RESOLUTIONS

1701
TO
1900

824

RESOLUTION NO. 1701

WHEREAS, Application No. 4004 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas H. and Eve H. Lowery, to erect a storage and garage building (30 feet of garage building in R-4 zone) on the Easterly 130 ft. of the Southerly 93 ft. of Lot 22, Caruther's Addition at 1082 S. 43rd Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 78 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1701

Application Received 8-3-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1702

WHEREAS, Application No. 3909 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elmer W. Place to build three living units (duplex and apartment over garage) with a 3 ft. court to the street, on Lot N, Block 52, Mission Beach on Devon Court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 19 46

By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1702

Application Received 8-3-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1703

WHEREAS, Application No 3993 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Riley Guye to erect a residence on a portion of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 12, Normal Heights, at 3100-3200 block on North Mt. View Drive, with a 15 foot rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1703

Application Received 8-7-46 By Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1704

WHEREAS, Application No. 3999 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Laura Matejka to divide Lots 37 to 40 inclusive, Block 5, Roseville Heights, into 2 parcels; parcel (1) the northerly 58½ ft; parcel (2) the southerly 58½ ft. facing Bangor Street, subject to a 15 ft. setback on Bangor Street and one family dwelling on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1704

Application Received 8-9-46 By Sauter
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision Coun'l Approval Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1705

WHEREAS, Application No. 4015 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. E. Lagerberg to use Lots 1, 2 and 12, Block 8, Gardner's Addition as a public parking lot, one night per week (Wednesday night) for six more weeks from the date of this resolution, and if operated after that time legal driveways will be provided for egress and ingress to parking lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1705

Application Received 8-10-46 By Peck
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kessigan Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1706

WHEREAS, Application No. 4000 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ....not.... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ....not.... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ....not.... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. N. Rowray to permit the construction of a residence on the Northwest 1/4 of the Southwest 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 104, at 425 Catalina Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1706

Application Received 8-12-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1707

WHEREAS, Application No. 3939 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elmer Engelstad to construct 5 living units and a garage with 0' rear and side yard for the garage and laundry room, a 4 ft. rear yard for the apartment over garage on a portion of Lots 189, 190 Normal Heights and a portion of Lot 1, Bonnie Brae, at 3822 Adams Avenue, provided an agreement is signed by the owner and recorded that no building on the north 40 feet of Lot 188, Normal Heights will be erected nearer than 6 ft. to the dividing line between Lots 188 and 189, Normal Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 and 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement #436
filed 10/22/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1707

Application Received 8-10-46 By Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Corrigan, Clark & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval (agreement) Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 & assesso
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1708

WHEREAS, Application No. 3998 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. W. and Jennie M. Bonner, to move a garage to within 67 feet of the front property line with no sideyard on the north side on Lots 32, 33 and 34, Block 1, Center Addition to La Jolla Park at 7556 Herschel Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946

By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1708

Application Received 8-2-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1709

WHEREAS, Application No. 3945 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George and Lea Krotz, to construct a 10 ft by 24 ft. private garage in the rear of the lot, with no sideyard on either side of the lot on the North 1/2 of Lot 1 and the North 1/2 of the Westerly 24 ft. of Lot 2, Block 14, Monte Villa Tract, at 5279 Dawes Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946

By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1709

Application Received 8-2-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1710

WHEREAS, Application No. 3969 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald F. Morrison to construct a duplex dwelling and double garage on Lot 7, Block 20, Loma Alta No. 1 on the north side of Seaside between Voltaire and Green Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946

By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 8-2-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1711

WHEREAS, Application No. 3983 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leo Wilson to construct an apartment over a garage with a 3 ft. sideyard on Lot 1, Block 18, Bayview Homestead at 1563 - 9th Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 19 46 By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1711

Application Received 8-5-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1712

WHEREAS, Application No. 4002 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Greenman Investment Company to construct garages with 3 living units above; living units with an 8 ft. rear yard, on Lots D, E and F, Block 48, Mission Beach at 811 Devon Court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1712

Application Received 8-6-46 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-14-46 By Kerrigan, Clark + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + Assesso
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1713

WHEREAS, Application No 4024 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

that permission is hereby granted to Glenn E. Cox and the 9th and E Corp. to erect a single family residence and to divide the South 86 feet of the North 276.66 feet of the west 165 feet of quarter section 81 Rho de la Nacion subject to the following conditions:

1. that 25 ft. be dedicated for Seabreeze
2. that 40' be dedicated for Potomac
3. that corner cut-offs be provided on this parcel in accordance with Subdivision Ordinance
4. that improvements be installed as required in the proposed subdivision at the time the property is subdivided.

Variance to Ordinance 118 N.S. is hereby granted.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 23, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res.No.1713

Application Received 8/12/46 By City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/14/46 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/14/46 + 8/21/46 Hearing date

Decision Cond'l approval Date 8/21/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/26/46 Building Inspector 8/28/46

Planning Commission 8/28/46 Petitioner 8/28/46 Health Department 8/28/46 + [illegible]

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1714

WHEREAS, Application No ..... has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H.Askenaizer to move the 2 existing residential buildings on Lot I, Block 76, Horton's Addition partly on to Lot D in the same block, both buildings to be not less than 3 feet from the side lot lines, not less than 6 feet between them, and at least 20 feet from the existing apartment building on Lot D.

Variance to Ordinance 8924, Section 8a is hereby granted.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement #428
filed 8/24/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 23, 1946

By .....
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res.No.1714

Application Received 8/14/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made By
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/21/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/26/46 Building Inspector 8/28/46
Planning Commission 8/28/46 Petitioner 8/28/46 Health Department 8/28/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1715

WHEREAS, Application No. 3964 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Ruby H. Major to divide Villa Lot 20, Mission Hills at 4290 Sierra Vista Street into two building sites to permit one single family residence on each parcel, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 19 46

By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1715

Application Received 7/26/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/14/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/14/46 Hearing date 8/28/46
Decision Denied Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 + Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1716

WHEREAS, Application No. 3947 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Severin Construction Company to divide and to permit the construction of one single family residence on the South 20 ft. of Lot 11, all of Lot 12 and the North 20 ft. of Lot 13, Block 10, Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, on Beaumont Street north of Bird Rock Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1716

Application Received 8/12/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellew, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1717

WHEREAS, Application No. 4026 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. W. Telford to construct a retaining and patio concrete masonry wall varying from 6 ft. to 8 ft. in height at 1955 Sunset Boulevard on the East one-half of Lot 2, Block 6, Resubdivision Inspiration Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1717

Application Received 8/13/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 + Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1718

WHEREAS, Application No. 4037 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clare E. and Nelson R. Keating to erect a lattice fence 5 ft. high on top of a retaining wall 4 ft. 7½ in. high at 2608 San Marcos Street on Lot 2, Block M, Burlingame.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1718

Application Received 8/16/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kernigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1719

WHEREAS, Application No. 4012 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Viola Deeds to permit the construction of a single family residence on the Southerly portion of Lot 23, La Mesa Colony, adjoining the Southwesterly line of property at 4706 Seminole Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 8/15/46 By Case
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 x Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1720

Letter dated August 16, 1946 (Extension of Res. No. 706)

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ ..... has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ...not... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ...not... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ...not... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. H. Fleischmann to continue the operation of wholesale egg dealer's business for a period of five (5) years from the date of this resolution, at 3892 "Z" Street on Lots 43 to 48, inclusive, Block 421, Duncan's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ...August 23,... 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

~~Application~~ Letter Received 8/16/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision 5 yr. Ext. approved Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 x Assen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1721

WHEREAS, Application No. 4006 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Bille W. Sterling to operate and maintain a now existing Nursing Home at 4504 Winona Avenue on Lots 25 and 26 (except the West 40 ft.), Block G, Montecello, with a maximum of 5 patients, provided the garage is vacated as living quarters within 60 days and all requirements of the Building Department and Fire Marshal complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1721

Application Received 8/7/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/14/46 & 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/14/46 Hearing date 8/28/46
Decision Cond'l approval Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 & Assn
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1722

WHEREAS, Application No. 4049 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The M. Hall Company to divide portions of Lots 3, 4, 8 and all of Lots 5 and 6, Block "D", Resubdivision of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea into two parcels to permit a residence on each parcel described as Arbitrary Parcels C and D on the Record of Survey of Survey Map No. 1302 on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1722

Application Received 8/19/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kernigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46 +
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1723

WHEREAS, Application No. 4047 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The M. Hall Company to divide Lots 1, 2 and portions of Lots 3, 4 and 5, Block "D", Resubdivision of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea on the east side of Dolphin Place south of Bird Rock Avenue into three parcels to permit one single family residence on each parcel described as Arbitrary Parcels A, B and E on Record of Survey Map No. 1302 on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 8/19/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 & Dawson
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1724

WHEREAS, Application No 4055 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. F. Matsch to erect a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1110 (see description in Planning Dept. office) on Texas Street approximately 450 ft. south of Camino del Rio, a portion within the limits of Sand Rock Grade, provided a letter is signed by the owner, offering to dedicate for public street purposes that portion of his land which lies within the limits of Sand Rock Grade or Texas Street extended southerly from Camino del Rio as shown and projected on City Engineer's drawing No. 2325B.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No.1724

Application Received 8-21-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 x Assem
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1725

WHEREAS, Application No 4056 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. A. Jorgensen to erect a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1110 (description on file in Planning Dept. Office) on Texas Street (Sand Rock Grade) approximately 550 ft. south of Camino del Rio, provided a letter is signed by the owner offering to dedicate for public street purposes that portion of his land which lies within the limits of Sand Rock Grade or Texas Street extended southerly from Camino del Rio as shown and projected on City Engineer's drawing No. 2325B.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1725

Application Received 8-21-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-28-46 By Clark, Sellew, Kemgan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-28-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 8-28-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-29-46 Building Inspector 8-30-46
Planning Commission 8-30-46 Petitioner 8-30-46 Health Department 8-30-46 + Comm
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1726

WHEREAS, Application No. 4003 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. F. Earnest to maintain a part time plastic assembly shop in a garage at 944 Missouri Street on Lots 29 and 30, Block 2, Ocean Front with no signs, no other employees and a maximum of 3 hours per day.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 8-21-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-28-46 By Clark, Sellers, Kerrigan & Ossman
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-28-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 8-28-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-29-46 Building Inspector 8-30-46
Planning Commission 8-30-46 Petitioner 8-30-46 Health Department 8-30-46 & Ossman
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1727

WHEREAS, Application No. 3920 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. E. Hunt, owner and Flora H. Johnson, owner, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop with a maximum of 10 horsepower at 4852 Voltaire Avenue on Lot 12, Block 19, Ocean Beach, provided a 6 ft. solid fence is constructed around the rear yard and the fence painted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1727

Application Received 8-23-46 By Louth
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-28-46 By Clark, Lellen, Kennison + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-28-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 8-28-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-29-46 Building Inspector 8-30-46
Planning Commission 8-30-46 Petitioner 8-30-46 Health Department 8-30-46 + Assn
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1728

WHEREAS, Application No. 4054 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry Travis to construct a garage and workshop on Moana Drive 200 ft. from Point Loma Avenue on Lot 13, Block "B", Sunset Crest with a 15½ ft. rear yard, provided a 5 ft. sideyard is maintained and the building is not used for living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1728

Application Received 8-22-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-28-46 By Clark, Sellew, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-28-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l permit Date 8-28-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-29-46 Building Inspector 8-30-46
Planning Commission 8-30-46 Petitioner 8-30-46 Health Department 8-30-46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1729

WHEREAS, Application No. 4029 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. J. Markey to build a residence at 5008 Windsor Drive on Lot 7, Block "B", Nettleship Tye Tract #2, with a 7½ ft. setback from Windsor Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1729

Application Received 8-22-46 By ~~Clark~~ Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-28-46 By Clark, Sellers + Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-28-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8-28-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-29-46 Building Inspector 8-30-46
Planning Commission 8-30-46 Petitioner 8-30-46 Health Department 8-30-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1730

WHEREAS, Application No. 4061 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank F. Faust and Herbert C. Kelly to construct and operate a Miniature Golf Course on a portion of Pueblo Lot 239, 3135 Midway Drive, provided a 4 ft. horizontal board fence is placed between this property and the government housing project, immediately and the lighting to be directed from the govenment housing project.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946 By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1730

Application Received 8-23-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-28-46 By Clark, Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-28-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approved Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 x Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1731

WHEREAS, Application No. 4046 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. E. Applegarth to erect a residence at the North end of Plumosa Way on Lot H, Plumosa Terrace, with an 8 ft. setback on Plumosa Way.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1731

Application Received 8-23-46 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-28-46 By Clark, Sellers, Kennyon & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-28-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 8-28-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 & Ammon
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1732

WHEREAS, Application No. 4059 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. W. Coggeshall, lessee, Clarence Anderson and R. C. and Mary Singleton, owners to operate a parking lot on the West 186 ft. of the North 115 ft. (except the North 57½ ft.) of Block 181, Lockling's Subdivision, 1263 - 14th Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the surface be properly oiled to keep down the dust, and the oiling to be done immediately;
2. That the easement be kept open for access of the property to the north;
3. That the permit be for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution;
4. And that an agreement to comply with the above conditions be signed by the owners and operator and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement #430
filed 9/10/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

By
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1732

Application Received 8/23/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1733

Letter dayed August 23, 1946 Amends Res. No. 1219

WHEREAS, ~~Application No~~ ..... has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1219, dated December 6, 1945, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. W. Kennerly to operate a store for the sale of live chickens, eggs, feed, poultry supplies and to operate a nursery for the sale of plants and shrubs, at 502 - 62nd Street on Lot 37, Garden Acres.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946 By ..... ~~Chairman~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1733

~~Application~~ Letter Received 8/24/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision Amendment approved Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 v a
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1734

WHEREAS, Application No. 3984 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Valli Raichart to erect a Rumpus Room at 1330 West Pennsylvania Avenue on Lots 31 and 32, Block 460, Winder's Subdivision, with no sideyard, provided it is not used for living quarters and an agreement to comply with that condition be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement #1427
Filed 8/29/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1734

Application Received 8/15/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 & Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1735

WHEREAS, Application No. 4021 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of E. S. Whitcomb to maintain an existing 3½ ft. by 9 ft. Chiropractor's sign, to the front property line at 4275 Wilson Avenue on Lots 5 and 6, Block 35, Resubdivision of Blocks H and I, Teralta, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 8/19/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision denied Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1736

WHEREAS, Application No. 4027 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. H. Peterson, Wm. V. Griffiths and Catherine W. Thompson to divide Lot 4 (except the Nly 50 ft. of the Ely 100'), Block 163, La Playa at San Antonio and Perry Streets into three building sites, two parcels 50 ft. by 125 ft. facing San Antonio Street and one parcel 50 ft. by 150 ft. facing Perry Street, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946 By .....
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1736

Application Received 8/23/46 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1737

WHEREAS, Application No. 4030 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Claude and Ruby Olvera to construct a residence on the Easterly 333 ft. of the Southerly 100 ft. of Lot 12, Joseph Reiner's Subdivision, with a 5 ft. sideyard, north of Camino del Rio near the Nly end of Harney Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1737

Application Received 8/23/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 x [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1738

WHEREAS, Application No. 4060 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Margaret M. and Clarence E. Godfrey to build a one family residence on Lots 4 and 5 (except the SWly 50'), Block 25, Chatsworth Terrace, Lytton and Evergreen Streets, with a 6 ft. setback on Lytton Street and a setback of the average of the houses in the block on Evergreen Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1738

Application Received 8/23/46 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1739

WHEREAS, Application No 4057 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gordon Thompson to build an 8 ft. 6 in. fence at Warrington and Narragansett Streets on Lots 15 and 16, Block 3, Loma Terrace, approximately 28 ft. long at the rear of the lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 8/23/46 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kernigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1740

WHEREAS, Application No. 4053 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert M. Golden to buil d a single family residence on the Southeast 75 ft. of Lot 73 and the Northwest 12½ ft. of Lot 74, Point Loma Villas on Elliott Street above Chatsworth Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946 By .....
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1740

Application Received 8/26/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark, Sellers, Kernigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1741

Extension of time on Resolution No. 370
Letter dated August 16, 1946

WHEREAS, Application No. ..... has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ....not.... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ....not.... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ....not.... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. N. Wagstaff and Mrs. Herbert Shirley, operator, to conduct a dog kennel at 6558 Pacific Highway on Lot 7, Block 32, Mission Bay Park Tract, subject to the following conditions:

1. **Permit to be for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution;**
2. **Not more than 15 dogs shall be kept on the premises at any one time;**
3. **No additional signs;**
4. **Not to be a commercial business but just for the operator's personal dogs; no clipping, stripping or boarding;**
5. **Permit revoked if and when in the opinion of the Zoning Committee it constitutes a hindrance to residential development in the neighborhood; or for violation of any of the conditions mentioned above; or complaints from the surrounding property owners;**
6. **Subject to approval of the Health Department.**

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 138, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ....September 12,.... 19 46 By .....
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1741

Letter
~~Application~~ Received August 1946 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/11/46 By Clark, Seeley, Lundy, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date 9/14/46
Decision Cond'l approval Date 9/14/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/46 Building Inspector 9/13/46
Planning Commission 9/13/46 Petitioner 9/13/46 Health Department 9/13/46 x Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1742

WHEREAS, Application No. 4042 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Max Maisel to make a 24 ft. by 11 ft. addition to a non-conforming building with approximately 2 ft. 4 in. sideyard on Lots D, E and F, Block 386, Horton's Addition at 3225 - 5th Avenue; addition to maintain the same sideyard as the existing building and to be used as living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

By .....
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 8/20/46 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/11/46 By Lundy Clark, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/11/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/17/46 Building Inspector 9/17/46
Planning Commission 9/17/46 Petitioner 9/17/46 Health Department 9/17/46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1743

WHEREAS, Application No. 4045 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Myles Standish to erect a residence on a portion of Lot 28, La Mesa Colony, as shown on a plat on file in the office of the Planning Department, Southwest corner of Catoctin Drive and Montezuma Road, a parcel of land containing approximately 3675 square feet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13508, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

MRS EDNA MEINUNG

COAST PROPERTIES PLANS.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1743

Application Received 8/22/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/28/46 & 9/11/46 By Clark, Selden, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 & 9/11/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9/11/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/46 Building Inspector 9/12/46
Planning Commission 9/12/46 Petitioner 9/13/46 Health Department 9/13/46 & Clemson
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1744

WHEREAS, Application No 4044 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Myles Standish to erect a residence on a portion of Lot 28, La Mesa Colony, as shown on a plat on file in the office of the Planning Department, Southwest corner of Catoctin Drive and Montezuma Road, provided a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Catoctin Drive and a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Montezuma Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1744

Application Received 8/22/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/22 + 9/11/46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/22 + 9/11/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 9/11/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/46 Building Inspector 9/13/46
Planning Commission 9/13/46 Petitioner 9/13/46 Health Department 9/13/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1745

WHEREAS, Application No. 3777 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward J. and Dorothy D. Stephens to build a residence on the West 182 ft. of the South 115 ft. of Pueblo Lot 173 at the south end of Bangor Street, west of Rogers Street, a parcel of land without frontage on a dedicated street and the only access to the property by a 30 ft. easement.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1745

Application Received 8/24/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/11/46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/11/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9/11/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/46 Building Inspector 9/13/46
Planning Commission 9/13/46 Petitioner 9/13/46 Health Department 9/13/46 & Dawson
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

A.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1746

WHEREAS, Application No 4032 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Frank Cassel to permit living room, bedroom with bath (garage apartment), with a 10 ft. rear yard at 4758 Vista Lane on Lot 2, Block "F", Kensington Park Extension, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series and Section 8a, of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946 By ##########Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1746

Application Received 8/24/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/11/46 By Clark, Sellew, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/11/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 9/11/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/46 Building Inspector 9/13/46
Planning Commission 9/13/46 Petitioner 9/13/46 Health Department 9/13/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

No. 1747

O.K.

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 4050 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is not necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lots 3 and 4 Block 248

Subdivision Pacific Beach

Ben Caviness

1907 Grand Avenue

~~may be used for the erection and operation of~~ DENIED permission to construct

and operate a 12-unit Auto Court

subject to the following conditions

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated September 12, 1946

By

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Res. No. 1747

Application Received 8/26/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/11/46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/11/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 9/11/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/46 Building Inspector 9/13/46
Planning Commission 9/13/46 Petitioner 9/13/46 Health Department 9/13/46 + Answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1748

WHEREAS, Application No. 4064 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. Disbrow Johnson and Benita S. Johnson to erect a residence on Lot D, Plumosa Terrace, 4328 Plumosa Way, with a 2 ft. setback for the residence and a 0 ft. setback for garage on Plumosa Way.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 19 46

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1748

Application Received 8/26/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/11/46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/11/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9/11/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/46 Building Inspector 9/13/46
Planning Commission 9/13/46 Petitioner 9/13/46 Health Department 9/13/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

U.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1749

WHEREAS, Application No 4065 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John K. and Wilhelmina T. Pattersen to erect a three car garage with living quarters above, making the second living unit on the Westerly one-half of Lot 9, Block 8, F. T. Scripp's Addition, 604 Westbourne Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1749

Application Received 8/28/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/11/46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/11/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9/11/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/46 Building Inspector 9/13/46
Planning Commission 9/13/46 Petitioner 9/13/46 Health Department 9/13/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1750

WHEREAS, Application No 4069 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Caudell and Johnson, owners, and Thurman Brothers, operators to manufacture concrete blocks on the Westerly side of the Northerly extension of Texas Street beginning approximately 450 ft. south of Friar's Road on the Northerly 100 ft. of the Easterly 300 ft. of the Northeasterly one-quarter of Pueblo Lot 1108, also that portion of Pueblo Lot 1173 lying East and South of Friar's Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1750

Application Received 8-28-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 + Amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1751

WHEREAS, Application No. 4062 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack J. Evinger and Hazel M. Hawks to maintain a now existing living quarters in a garage at 3750 Menlo Avenue on Lots 37 and 38, Block 7, Mountain View, with a 12 in. sideyard, subject to final approval by the Health Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946 By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 8-30-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark, Seller, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approved Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 + Ammen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1752

WHEREAS, Application No. 4084 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eugene P. and Marguerite K. Coffey to construct a residence and garage on the Sly corner of Quimby and Chatsworth Boulevard on the Northerly 40 ft. of Lots 20, 21 and 22, Block 17, Point Loma Heights, with a 4 ft. setback from Quimby Street, provided any structure built within 50 ft. of the alley observe the required 15 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

By .....
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1752

Application Received 9-3-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark - Sellew - Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 x
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1753

WHEREAS, Application No. 4093 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Angelo Sampo, owner and David C. Kalbfell, purchaser to operate a shop in an existing building and to construct additional buildings for manufacture, repair and warehousing of electronics equipment and the supplies and materials related thereto, 1060 Morena Blvd., on the portion of Lots 4 to 10 inclusive, lying Southwest of Morena Blvd. in Block 1, Week's Addition; additions to be placed along same line as rear of existing building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1753

Application Received 9-3-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O. K

RESOLUTION NO. 1754

WHEREAS, Application No. 4096 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sidney P. Vaughn to erect a garage with a 6 in. sideyard at 4332 Hermosa Way on Lot 11, Block 3, Mission Hills; garage to be located 50 ft. back of the front property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1754

Application Received 9-5-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1755

See Res. No. 1999 & 1354

Letter dated Sept. 9, 1946

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ ..... has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ...not... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ...not... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ...not... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of time be granted to Mildred E. and Benjamin L. Brittin to construct an addition on the front of an existing residence at 4966 West Mountain View Drive on the Southeast one-half of the Westerly 117 ft. of Villa Lot 39, as measured along Mt. View Drive, Normal Heights, with a 7 ft. setback; extension to be a period of six (6) months from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946 By .....

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1755

Application Received 9/10/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made — By —
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision Cpt. granted Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 v Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

a. K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1756 AMENDS Res. No. 1736

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated September 3, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1736, dated August 29, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to H. H. Peterson, Wm. V. Griffiths and Catherine W. Thompson to divide Lot 4 (except the Nly 50 ft. of the Ely 100 ft.), Block 163, La Playa, at San Antonio and Perry Streets into three building sites, two parcels 50 ft. by 125 ft. facing San Antonio Street and one parcel 50 ft. by 150 ft. facing Perry Street, to permit one single family residence on each parcel, with an 8 ft. setback to be maintained on Perry Street."

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 175

~~Application~~ Letter Received 9/3/46 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/11/46 By Clark, Lundy, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/11/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 9/11/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 & Denied
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1757

WHEREAS, Application No 4067 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen D. Miesen to erect an 18 ft. by 26 ft. bedroom living room attached to an existing residence with a 2 ft. 10 in. sideyard at 5019 Narragansett Street on Lot 38, Block 70, Ocean Beach, provided the addition complies with the required 3 ft. sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1757

Application Received 8-26-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark, Sellew, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46
Decision approved
Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46
Date 9-11-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46
Petitioner 9-13-46
Building Inspector 9-13-46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Health Department 9-13-46 + Concur
Decision of Council
Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective
Date
Application withdrawn
Time limit extended to
Continued to
Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1758

WHEREAS, Application No. 3552 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. E. and Leah A. Close to divide Lot 99, Point Loma Villas on the Easterly corner of Chatsworth Blvd. and Browning Street into two parcels, described as follows: (1) NWly 76 ft., approximately; (2) SEly 55 ft. approximately; to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

9-21-46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145 Deeds recorded Sept 21 1946

Res. No. 1758

Application Received 8-26-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark Sellew - Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1759

WHEREAS, Application No 4075 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. H. and Betty Wendte to build a garage and storage room adjacent to the side lot line at 615 - 60th Street on Lot 6, Block 1, Encanto Heights; building has an area of 600 square feet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946 By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1759

Application Received 8-30-46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark - Lundy - Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1760

WHEREAS, Application No. 4077 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Minnie D. Nelson to erect an addition to a unit of a non-conforming court at 4832½ Mansfield Street on Lots 15 and 16, Block 18, Normal Heights, 5 ft. 10½ in. between buildings and with a 10½ ft. rear yard, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1760

Application Received 8-31-46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark - Sellew - Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1761

WHEREAS, Application No 4087 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roscoe K. Freeman to construct a single family residence on the Easterly 44 ft. of Lot K and the Westerly 36.78 ft. of Lot L, La Mesa Colony (see Record of Survey Map 1291) on the south side of Amherst Street approximately 150 ft. east of 69th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1761

Application Received 9/3/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 + ann
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ~~9-17~~ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1762

WHEREAS, Application No. 4048 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred J. and Pearl Jones to operate a Real Estate Office in an existing non-conforming building on the North 75 ft. of Lots 25 and 26, Block 3, Imperial Heights at 3895½ Ocean View Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

By .....
Secretary
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1762

Application Received 9-3-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark - Sellew - Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1763

WHEREAS, Application No. 3687 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Company to construct one single family residence on the Nly 75 ft. of Lots 11 and 12 and the Nly 75 ft. of the East 16 ft. 8 in. of Lot 13, Block 1, Park Addition, Nutmeg east of 29th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 9-3-46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1764

WHEREAS, Application No. 3688 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Company to construct one single family residence on the Nly 75 ft. of the West 8 ft. 4 in. of Lot 13, the Nly 75 ft. of Lots 14 and 15 and the Nly 75 ft. of the East 8 ft. 4 in. of Lot 16, Block 1, Park Addition, Nutmeg east of 29th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

By ..... Secretary
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1764

Application Received 9-3-46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark - Sellers - Lundy + Brunton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1765

WHEREAS, Application No 3689 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Company to construct one single family residence on the Nly 75 ft. of the West 16 ft. 8 in. of Lot 16 and the Nly 75 ft. of Lots 17 and 18, Block 1, Park Addition, Nutmeg east of 29th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 9-3-46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark - Sellers - Lundy + Burston
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 + Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1766

WHEREAS, Application No. 4078 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Don E. and Lorraine Cowles to construct a single family residence on the North 330 ft. of Gilmore Tract, Lot 13, Ex-Mission Rancho on the east side of 61st Street approximately 660 ft. south of Detroit Street, provided a 10 ft. strip of land is dedicated to the City for the widening of 61st Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1766

Application Received 9-3-46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark-Sellers-Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 1767

WHEREAS, Application No 4072 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. W. Andrews to construct a 1 ft. 6 in. addition to an existing garage at 315 West Pennsylvania Avenue on the Ely 42½ ft. of the Wly 48½ ft. of Lot 24, Block 10, Cleveland Heights, provided it does not extend beyond the property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 13, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1767

Application Received 9-4-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark, Sellers, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-13-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 & Answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1768

WHEREAS, Application No. 4073 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jeanette Reid Gaylord to erect 3 units on Lot D, Block 116, Mission Beach, a parcel of land 30 ft. by 80 ft. in size, on Kennebeck Court approximately 90 ft. west of Mission Boulevard, with a 3 ft. court to Kennebeck Court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ####### Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. # 1768

Application Received 9-5-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1769

WHEREAS, Application No. 3951 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dewey V. and Grace Florence Spencer to erect an addition to an existing building located on a portion of the East ½ of Pueblo Lot 1296, and change to a residence; property is a parcel of land without street frontage North and East of La Jolla on an undedicated road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13456 and Section 8a of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. #1769

Application Received 9-5-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1770

WHEREAS, Application No. 4099 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen C. Bignell to erect a residence on the NWly 50 ft. of the SEly 170 ft. of the NEly 165 ft. of Lot A, Pueblo Lot 285, a parcel of land without street frontage, provided the owners along the undedicated street (Cushman Place) sign written offers to dedicate land for a 50 ft. street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ~~[illegible]~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1770

Application Received 9-6-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-26-46 Health Department 9-26-46 & Cases
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Plan

RESOLUTION NO. 84260

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Grace Guinon, 3830 Hilltop Drive, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution 1771 her application for variance to Ordinance No. 35 New Series, to permit operation of a real estate broker's office in the residence at the address mentioned on Lot 10 Block 17 Marilou Park, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

84260

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. _____ of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council OCT 8 1946

FRED W. SICK
Helen M. Willig City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

FORM 1270(10M-1/46)

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1771

WHEREAS, Application No. 3121 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Grace Guinon to operate a Real Estate Broker's Office in the residence at 3830 Hilltop Drive on Lot 10, Block 17, Marilou Park, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1771

Application Received 8-28-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-11-46 + 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date 9-25-46
Decision Denied Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 + Comm
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1772

WHEREAS, Application No. 4051 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George W. Divine to construct a single family residence on all of Lot 4 and the Nly 25 ft. of Lot 5, Block 22, La Jolla Shores Unit #3, on the Wly side of Paseo del Ocaso, approximately 200 ft. south of Calle del Frescota, provided an agreement is signed by the owner of the Sly 2 5 ft. of Lot 5 and all of Lot 6, stating that he will keep the 75 ft. as one parcel of land.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

See agreement #432 by letter 10/8/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1772

Application Received 9-6-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

No. 1773

O.K.

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 4128 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ---- necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot ---- Block Portion of 366

Subdivision Old San Diego, lying Wly of Pacific Highway

Frank and Katherine Roberts

4650 Pacific Highway

may be used for the erection and operation of ### conversion ### garage into two additional Auto Court units in an existing 20 unit auto court as originally granted by Res. 67322.

subject to the following conditions

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated September 26, 1946

By ---- Secretary ### Chairman

Res. No. 1773

Application Received 9-13-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1774

WHEREAS, Application No. 4112 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. C. and Alice F. Gross to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 142 into two building sites to permit one single family residence on each parcel; one 235 ft. by 100 ft. with street frontage on Silvergate Place and the other parcel 100 ft. by 125 ft. fronting on Tavara Place, provided a strip of land 15 ft. wide along the east side of the property is dedicated to the city for the widening of Tavara Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1774

Application Received 9-16-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/46 Building Inspector 9/27/46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1775

WHEREAS, Application No. 4114 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ....not.... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ....not.... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ....not.... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John F. Bate to make an addition to existing residence at 3658 Warner Street on Lot 13, Block 2, Warner Villa Tract, with a 17 ft. 4 in. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1775

Application Received 9-16-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/26/46 Building Inspector 9-26-46
Planning Commission 9-26-46 Petitioner 9-26-46 Health Department 9-26-46 + Ammen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1776

WHEREAS, Application No. 4111 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred and Verna Holding, Sr. to divide a portion of Lot 28, La Mesa Colony which is 142.5 ft. frontage and 150 ft. depth and 110.5 ft. across the rear of the property into two building sites; to alter a garage with a 7 ft. rear yard and 3 ft. sideyard into living quarters for a period of 6 months; to build a separate toilet building 7 ft. by 13 ft.; existing residence to be removed within 6 months, 5080 Catoctin Drive. (description of property on file in Planning Dept. Office.)

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 and Section 8a of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1776

Application Received 9-16-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellers & Cantor
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 + Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1777

WHEREAS, Application No. 4115 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. S. and Bertha Beckwith to operate a photo dark room commercially in an existing residence at 4430-37th Street on Lot 32, Block "D", Teralta Heights, provided all work is done within the existing building, not more than 4 hours per day and no signs to be posted on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ..... Secretary
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1777

Application Received 9-17-46 By Garrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 & assn
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1778

WHEREAS, Application No. 4124 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. T. Scripps, Inc., to replat Lots 1 and 2, Block 11, Braemar Extension at Mission Bay, on Dawes Street east of Briarfield Drive to face Mission Bay instead of Dawes Street, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1778

Application Received 9-18-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 + Oscar
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1779

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated September 12, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Extension of time on Resolution No. 1451, dated April 11, 1946.

That an extension of 6 months from the date of this resolution be granted to Albert J. and Margaret Rivera to add 35 ft. by 47 ft. to non-conforming store building at 2693 Market Street on the North 50 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block 39, C. L. Carr's Addition, according to plans on file in the Planning Department Office, with 25% overcoverage, no sideyard and a 3 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1779

Letter
~~Application~~ Received 9-13-46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made ——— By ———
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Ext. Approved Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 x Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1780

WHEREAS, Application No Letter dated September 12, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Extension of time on Resolution No. 1452, dated April 11, 1946.

That an extension of 6 months from the date of this resolution be granted to Albert J. and Margaret Rivera, to add 35 ft. by 47 ft. to non-conforming store building at 2693 Market Street on the North 50 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block 39, C. L. Carr's Addition, with no setback, according to plans on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 11, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

~~Application~~ Letter Received 9-13-46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made ——— By ———
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Ext. Approved Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 + Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1781

WHEREAS, Application No. 4106 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of San Diego County Mutual Fire Insurance Company, Mary Mathis and Marie Seibel, to construct a building with a 6 ft. setback from Park Boulevard at 3697-99 Park Boulevard on Lot 1 and the Nly 10 ft. of Lot 2, Block 248, Subdivision of University Heights, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 9537, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ~~[illegible]~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 9-18-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1782

WHEREAS, Application No. 4108 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fay M. Goodwin, operator and A. I. Medearis, owner to operate a Dance Studio on Lot J and the South 10 ft. of Lot K, Block 386, Horton's Addition, 3280 - 6th Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the business be operated between the hours of 4:00 P.M. and 9:00 P.M.;
2. Permit to be for one (1) year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1782

Application Received 9-14-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 + Chauvin
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1783

WHEREAS, Application No. 4063 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Effie Jones to divide Lot 40, Portion of Block B, Subdivision of Villa Lots 117 to 127 and Por. of 116, Normal Heights, Benton Place and Mountain View Drive into two building sites, each with 50 ft. or more street frontage and each parcel to contain 5,000 sq. ft. or more, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13594, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1783

Application Received 9-4-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 + Pearson
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1784

WHEREAS, Application No. 4068 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to S. G. Hazlitt to build a single family residence on the South 80 ft. of the Northerly 310 ft. of Morena Acre Lot 122, lying West of Hartford Street, on Galveston Street south of Milton Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1784

Application Received 9-6-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-26-46
Planning Commission 9-26-46 Petitioner 9-26-46 Health Department 9-26-46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O,K

RESOLUTION NO. 1785

WHEREAS, Application No. 4091 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of William F. and Beatrice G. Justice to operate a Shoe Repair Shop in a garage at the rear of the residence at 3482 Copley Avenue on the West 37 ft. of Lot 13, Block 8, Normal Heights, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1785

Application Received 9-6-46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-26-46
Planning Commission 9-26-46 Petitioner 9-26-46 Health Department 9-26-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1786

WHEREAS, Application No. 4100 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Floyd R. Downham to convert portion of an existing garage at 1365 Mendota Avenue on Lot 1, Block 13, Point Loma Heights into a sleeping room, with a 1 ft. sideyard, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1786

Application Received 9-10-46 By Garrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-26-46
Planning Commission 9-26-46 Petitioner 9-26-46 Health Department 9-26-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

0.1

RESOLUTION NO. 1787

WHEREAS, Application No. 4113 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel and Elizabeth Kahn to erect a Do-nut stand on Lot 6, Block 233, Middletown, on the corner of Bandini Street and Pacific Highway, with a 12½ ft. setback, subject to the following conditions:

1. **When the street is widened the building will be moved back to the established setback line without expense to the city;**
2. **And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.**

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

agreement # 431
filed 10/2/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By .....
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1787

Application Received 9-13-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2-46 Building Inspector 10-2-46
Planning Commission 10-2-46 Petitioner 10-2-46 Health Department 10-2-46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1788

WHEREAS, Application No. 4080 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Klicka Jr. and Joseph and Stephanie E. Avoyer, to divide Lots 1 and 2, Block 2, Loma View on the Southeast corner of Silvergate and Jennings Streets, into two building sites to permit one single family residence on each parcel, described as follows: (1) Sly 60 ft. of Lots 1 and 2 and (2) the Nly 71 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, provided 10 ft. of land along Silvergate Avenue is dedicated to the City for street widening and also a corner cut-off at the corner of Silvergate Ave. and Jennings Street, and a 10 ft. setback to be maintained from the new street line on Silvergate Avenue.
A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

OK Reg 10/18/46

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. #1788

Application Received 9-17-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Seller + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 + Assn
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1789

WHEREAS, Application No. 4082 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Klicka Jr., and Joseph and Stephanie E. Avoyer, to divide Lots 1 and 2, Block 4, Loma View on the Southeast corner of Silvergate and Wilcox Streets into two parcels to front on Silvergate Avenue, described as follows; the Sly 61 ft. of Lots 1 and 2 and the Nly 71 ft. of Lots 1 and 2; provided a 10 ft. strip of land is dedicated to the City for the widening of Silvergate Avenue and a 10 ft. setback to be maintained from the new street line on Silvergate Avenue.

OK Geo S. 10/18/46

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By .....
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1789

Application Received 9-17-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Lellen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1790

WHEREAS, Application No. 4081 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ....not.... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ....not.... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ....not.... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Klick Jr. and Joseph and Stephane E. Avoyer to divide Lots 13 and 14, Block 2, Loma View, on the Northeast corner of Silvergate Avenue and Wilcox Streets into two parcels to face on Silvergate Avenue and described as follows: (1) the Sly 71 ft. of Lots 13 and 14; and (2) the Nly 61 ft. of Lots 13 and 14, provided a 10 ft. strip of land is dedicated to the City for the widening of Silvergate Avenue and a 10 ft. setback to be maintained from the new street line on Silvergate Avenue.

OK [illegible] 10/18/46

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 19 46

By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1790

Application Received 9-17-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1791

WHEREAS, Application No. 4132 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Klicka Jr., and Joseph and Stephane E. Avoyer to divide Lots 14 to 17 inclusive, Block 14, Roseville Heights at right angle at the corner of Jennings and Albion Streets into two parcels to permit one single family residence on each parcel described as follows: (1) the Nly 56 ft. of Lots 14 to 17 inc.; (2) and the Sly 61 ft. of Lots 14 to 17 inc.; provided a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Albion Street;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By [illegible] Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1791

Application Received 9-25-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

6.

RESOLUTION NO. 1792

WHEREAS, Application No. 4133 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Klicka Jr., and Joseph and Stephane E. Avoyer to maintain a 5 ft. setback on Jennings Street at the corner of Jennings and Albion Streets on Lots 14 to 17 inclusive, Block 14, Roseville Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1792

Application Received 9-24-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1793

WHEREAS, Application No. 3898 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. W. Richards to divide Lots 9 to 12 inclusive, Block 24, Bird Rock Addition on Beaumont Avenue into three (3) building sites, each with 53 1/3 ft. steet frontage and 125 ft. in depth, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1793

Application Received 9-17-46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1794, amended by # 2613

Amends Res. No. 1639

Letter dated September 16, 1946

WHEREAS, ~~APPLICATION NO~~ ..... has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ....not.... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ....not.... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ....not.... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1639, dated July 18, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. W. Rimes to divide Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 89, Subdivision of Acre Lots 17, 18 & 35, Pacific Beach at Beryl and Jewell Streets into two lots facing Jewell Street; the corner lot to have 75 ft. street frontage and the inside lot 50 ft. street frontage; to permit one single family residence on each parcel; provided the required setback is maintained on Beryl Street and a 10 ft. setback maintained on Jewell Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 46, 19.....

By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Rec. No. 1794

~~Application~~ Letter Received 9-17-46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made ..... By .....
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date .....
Decision amended Res. #1639 Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 & Amount
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ..... Council Hearing, date .....
Decision of Council ..... Date .....
Resolution becomes effective .....
Application withdrawn ..... Continued to .....
Time limit extended to ..... Date of action .....

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1795

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated September 18, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of time be granted on Resolution No. 1377, dated March 14, 1946 and Resolution No. 82856, dated April 2, 1946 to permit Philip N. Shea and Joseph Levikow, et al, owners and the U. S. Holding Company, purchaser, to build and operate a Radio Transmission Station, including 3 towers and an equipment building at the north end of 63rd Street on Lots 13 and 14, La Mesa Colony, for a period of 6 months from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By ~~#####~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1795

~~Application~~ Letter Received 9-19-46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made — By —
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Ext. Granted Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 + Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1796 amended by Res # 1957

WHEREAS, Application No. 4098 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Olenicz, owner and C. N. Charnoch, purchaser, to divide a portion of Lot 28, La Mesa Colony (description on file in office of City Planning Department) into four lots; three with 58 ft. frontage and one with 63 ft. frontage on La Dorna Street, near Catoctin Drive, and all lots with approximately 125 ft. depth.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

I have recd on copy survey map
C. N. Charnoch 10/2/46

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 19 46

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1796

Application Received 9/5/46 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/25/46 By Clark, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/25/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9/25/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/2/46 Building Inspector 10/2/46
Planning Commission 10/2/46 Petitioner 10/2/46 Health Department 10/2/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 1797

WHEREAS, Application No. 4101 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred A. Brandt to make interior alterations and construct an addition to an existing duplex at 1640-42 State Street with no sideyar on the North 10 ft. of Lot 9 and the South 20 ft. of Lot 10, Block 32, Middletown; addition to be an enlargement of the bedroom, taking in an existing porch and to be under existing roof with no additional living units.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1797

Application Received 9/10/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/25/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/25/46 + 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1798

WHEREAS, Application No. 4020 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles D. and Mary A. Mc Farlane to erect 8 additional units in a now existing court at 6235 El Cajon Boulevard, a portion of Lot 9, La Mesa Colony, approximately 125 ft. east of 62nd Street with 100 ft. frontage on El Cajon Boulevard, provided a strip of land 20 ft. wide, across the front of the property is dedicated to the city for the widening of El Cajon Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By ..... Secretary, Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1798

Application Received 9/11/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/25/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/25 + 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + ameson
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1799

WHEREAS, Application No. 4011 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Southern California Telephone Company to build and operate an addition to a Telephone Exchange Office at Dawes and Hornblend Streets on Lots 19 and 20, Block 230, Pacific Beach, with a 10 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By .....
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1799

Application Received 9/23/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Burton & Rick
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1800

WHEREAS, Application No. 4010 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Southern California Telephone Company to build and operate an addition to existing Telephone Exchange Office at 3704 Tennyson Street on Lots 15 to 17 inclusive, Block 20, Point Loma Heights, with a 0 ft. sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By .....
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1800

Application Received 9/23/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Burton + Rick
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action