

RESOLUTION NO. 1701

WHEREAS, Application No. 4004 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will 20% adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas H. and Eve H. lowery, to erect a storage and garage building (30 feet of garage building in R-4 zone) on the Easterly 130 ft. of the Southerly 93 ft. of Lot 22, Carather's Addition at 1082 S. 43rd Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 78 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15 19 46

Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1701

Application Received 8-3-46 By	Baughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation made8 - 14-46By	Kurigan, Clark & Burton Cly Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 1 Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner 8-1	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

prover a second present of the state of the second prover and the to a service of the service of the structure of the sublices of the service of the service of the service of the

content n is here y stated bet lowes. And the remetry is created a beauter is a state of the rest of an abilitation in the some, on the state is the rest of the solution is the site of the rest of the rest of the rest of the rest of the state of the state of the solution is the rest of the solution is the rest of the rest.

RESOLUTION NO. 1702

WHEREAS, Application No. 3909 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elmer W. Place to build three living units (duplex and apartment over garage) with a 3 ft. court to the street, on Lot N, Block 52, Mission Beach on Devon Court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15 19 46

By Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1702

Application Received 8-3-46 By	Burlow
Kbb	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-14-46 By	Kerrigan Clark + Burton
the second s	City Planning Department
considered by Zoning Committee & -14-46	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 8-14-46
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46	Building Inspector & 16 - 46
Planning Commission & -16 .46 Petitioner	8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-46 + Chesho
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	.Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

territer in the state of the st

A druibeling to betray remained to prices . Those of 5 200 thread light to the

11708

WHEREAS, Application No. 3993 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will no. materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will most be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Hiley Guye to erect a residence on a portion of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 12, Normal Heights, at 3100-3200 block on North Mt. View Drive, with a 15 foot rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Ву.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15 1946

XSECENARY Chairman

Res. No. 1703

Application Received &-7-46 By City Planning Department
Investigation made &-14-46 By Karriagen Clark & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zanian Consister Salt- Hearing date
Decision <u>Approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46Building Inspector <u>8-16-46</u> Planning Commission <u>8-16-46</u> Petitioner <u>8-16-46</u> Health Department <u>8-16-46</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk,dateCouncil Hearing, date Decision of Council
Resolution becomes effectiveContinued to Application withdrawnDate of action

An der finde is a strategie auf in die volgen volgen is sollt ander sollt ander sollt ander sollt ander sollt a

Text to them do howery for about by the store the store structure to excern a social and one of a social and the second to de the store of the store structure of the store store

14.

in the second second

and the second second and a second second

Trage - Proventier and the second s

THE PATE PARA T

3999

has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, Application No. of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will mot materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will 2012 be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Laura Matejka to divide Lots 37 to 40 inclusive, Block 5, Roseville Heights, into 2 parcels; parcel (1) the northerly 58% ft; parcel (2) the southerly 58% ft. facing Bangor Street, subject to a 15 ft. setback on Bangor Street and one family dwelling on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15 19.46

Secretery Chairman

Res. No. 1704

	-	10.00		
S. Contain	1			
a contraction		1011	14 10	

Application Received 8-9-46 By South
City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-14-46</u> By Kerrig an Clark + Button City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision Could Approval Date &-14-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk &-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk'&-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission & 16-46 Petitioner &-16-46 Health Department, &-16-46 + assessment
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of CouncilDate
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawnContinued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Cat That I stars

Austication to the reprint of and a general print of the state of the link is been a stated insider on they will be to the reprint of the state of the state.

MO C.

and and

production and a mapping and the second second and

The state of the second state of the state of the state of the second state of the sec

WHEREAS, Application No. 4015 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. E. Lagerberg to use Lots 1, 2 and 12, Block S, Gardner's Addition as a public parking lot, one night per week (Wednesday night) for six more weeks from the date of this resolution, and if operated after that time legal driveways will be provided for egress and ingress to parking lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15 1946

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1705

Application Received 8-10-46 By	Pick
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-14-46 By	Verrigen Clark + Burton City Planning Department
I wanted the second states of the second states of the second states of the second states of the second states	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee. 8-14-46	Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval	Date
Decision Cond's approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-4	Building Inspector 8-16-46
Planning Commission & -16 -46 Petitioner	8-16-46 Health Department 8-16-464 and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	
Time limit extended to	

1000

toral and a spill the state

RESOLUTION NO. 1706

WHEREAS, Application No. 4000 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. N. Rowray to permit the construction of a residence on the Northwest 1/4 of the Southwest 1/4 of Pueblo Let 104, at:425 Catalina Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15 19.46.

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1706

Application Received 8-12-46 By Baughmanning Department
City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-14-46 By Terrigo Clark + Burton City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 8-14-46
Decision <u>Approved</u> Date <u>E-14-46</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector <u>8-16-46</u>
Planning Commission &-16 -46 Petitioner &-16 -46 Health Department &-16 -46 Faster
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of CouncilDate
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

12 3 4 5 3 5

et hrshriderid for inder inter in a state of the second se

which is its hereign worker to it. . many to see it so repetitorized at a construction of structure for the second s

13

Dug - ----

RESOLUTION NO. 1707

WHEREAS, Application No. 3939 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will motion materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will motion be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elmer Engelstad to construct 5 living units and a garage with 0' rear and side yard for the garage and laundry room, a 4 ft. rear yard for the apartment over garage on a portion of Lots 159, 190 Normal Heights and a portion of Lot 1, Bonnie Bras, at 3822 Adams Avenue, provided an agreement is signed by the owner and recorded that no building on the north 40 feet of Lot 185, Normal Heights will be erected nearer than 6 ft. to the dividing line between Lots 185 and 189, Normal Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 and 8924, Section Sa. be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

agreement # 436 filed 10/22/46

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15 19.46

Secretary: Chairman

Res. No. 1707

Application Received 8-10-46 By Sauth
City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-14-46</u> By <u>Krizan</u> Clark & Button City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date Decision Condil approval (agreement) Date 8-14-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Building Inspector 8-16-46
ranning Commission Arly - 4 Petitioner of 19 - 4 and health Department of bully of
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to
"Pplication withdrawn
Time limit extended to Date of action

net all in accurate the paper in sub-state the state the second the subcondition of

and he escape, we set that the the dividing file between ote let

ounder and when it is the second the of a contrast the range the state of richt of also and sine into it a molescule and general and then the state of the state of the state of the second state of the state of th

and the second s

Car ar Fight

a burley and the state of the late

ally so prove property and

THE MANY AND AND AND

3998

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>motion</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>motion</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. W. and Jannie M. Bonner, to move a garage to within 67 feet of the front property line with no sideyard on the north side on Lots 32, 33 and 34, Block 1, Center Addition to La Jolla Park at 7556 Herschel Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15 1946

SoSerrotary Chairman

Res. No. 1708

Application Received 8-2-46 By	Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-14-46</u> By.	Kerrige Clark + Burton Culty Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Decision Approved	Hearing date Date 8-14-46
Planning Commission &- IL HI Detitioner X-	Health Department in the
ADDEAL ITTED WITH LITY LIEFK, GALE	Connert near men ,
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to

10 - 1. 10 Ma

villes en contrartella contrartella contrartella contrartella contrartella, contrartella contrartella contrartella contrartella contrartella contrartella contrartella contrartella contrartella contrartella

/ rite is a laterily contact for is contact represent to invest // representation is from the laterial representation of the representation // representation is from the laterial of the representation representation // representation representation

11 2

4

RESOLUTION NO. 1709

3945

has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, Application No. of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and.vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is_____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George and Les Krotz, to construct a 10 ft by 24 ft. private garage in the rear of the lot, with no sideyard on either side of the lot on the North, 1/2 of Lot, 1 and the North 1/2 of the Westerly 24 ft. of Lot 2, Block 14, Monte Villa Tract, at 5279 Dawes Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15 1946

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1709

Application Received 8-2-46 By	Bauchtent City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-14-46 By	
Considered by Zoning Committee &-14-4	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46 Planning Commission 8-16-46 Petitioner	Building Inspector 5-16-46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	"Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

140001-4-52

A veritorie to Ben specific and a fulled by self-periodic start for the self and the second provided the s

- maximizion fosherely, much o fa com o set en sobe, to com truct e lorge et. "The foster of an a contract the fost either the relation of a bitter but of the fost on the fost the fost of the fost of the relation of the relation of the relation of the fost the fost of the relation of the relation of the relation of the fost of the relation of th

RESOLUTION NO. 1710

3969

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will......adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald F. Morrison to construct a duplex dwelling and double garage on Lot 7, Block 20, Loma Alta No. 1 on the north side of Sesside between Voltaire and Green Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15 19 46

X Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1710

The LE TP

Application Received 8-2-46 By Baughmanning Department
Investigation made &-14-46 By Kerrig on Clark + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee &-14-14, Hearing date
Decision <u>approved</u> Date <u>8-14-46</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-15-46</u> Building Inspector <u>8-16-46</u> Planning Commission <u>8-16-46</u> Petitioner <u>8-16-46</u> Health Department <u>8-16-46</u> Y aneron
Decision of Council
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

the second sectors as such high on a we have have a second a poster of a The second second states and a state of the second states and states and states and second second second second rusling and fourthe period of the set of the set of the lot look the set of t

Territor, the former of any territor on the standard in an any any second states

1

separation of the state of the second second second second

the provide the provide state of the providence and the state of the seal of the seal of the

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will most materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will most be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will......adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leo Wilson to construct an apartment over a garage with a 3 ft. sideyard on Lot 1, Block 18, Bayview Homestead at 1563 - 9th Avenue.

A variance to the progisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa. be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 15 19 46

By.....

Secretaryx Chairman

Res. No. 1711

Application Received 8-5-46 By City Planning Department
Investigation made &-14-46 By Kerrigen Clark & Burton City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Hearing date Decision approved Date 8-14-46
Copy of Pasalution sont to City Clerks-15-46 Building Transator & 10-46
Planning Commission &-16-46 Petitioner &-16-46 Health Department &-16-46 fusion
Appeal filed with City Clerk, dateCouncil Hearing, date Decision of Council
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawnContinued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and the possible of the set of the the man the life of victors of the indiance of apply the design of the

and the second sec

The second second second to the second second

a prove that a start was a specific

top - ----

The state of the s

WHEREAS, Application No. 4002 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **20%** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **20%** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Greenman Investment Company to construct garages with 3 living units above; living units with an S ft. rear yard, on Lots D, E and F, Block 48. Mission Beach at S11 Deven Court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ 19.46

By.....

Res. No. 1712

Application Received 8-6-46 By.	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-14-46 By.	Kurigian Clark + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-14-46 Decision Approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-46	Date Building Inspector 8-16-4(
Planning Commission &-16-46 Petitioner	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

A WELLING SO THE RALIGLE TOTAL THESE . TOTALS OF A C. DEPEND Is brondy with the Institute Juny 2-1:10 to the two with next ones above.

remitering is bound without to so much investing to constraint conservation of its interval to very invite without in C. it. she with no lots of the it, that he, is in the constraint of the state of the

101

1 AT ST

LC TO MALLON . MAY

1.11 11 12 14

WHEREAS, Application No 4024. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

that permission is hereby granted to Glenn E. Gox and the 9th and E Corp. to erect a single family residence and to divide the South 86 feet of the North 276.66 feet of the west 165 feet of quarter section 81 Rho de la Nacion subject to the following conditions:

- 1. that 25 ft. be dedicated for Seabreeze
- 2. that 40' be dedicated for Potomac
- 3. that corner cut-offs be provided on this parcel in accordance with Subdivision Ordinance
- 4. that improvements be installed as required in the proposed subdivision at the time the property is subdivided.

Variance to Ordinance 118 N.S. is hereby granted.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1713

r.K

Application Received 8/12/46 By	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/14/46 By	Zoning Committee
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/14/46+8/21/46 Decision Condit Opprovo2 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk8/26/46 Planning Commission 8/28/46 Petitioner 8/ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Considered by Zoning Committee 0/17/46+8/21/7*	Hearing date
Decision Condi approval	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 26/46	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 8/28/46 Petitioner 8/	28/46 Health Department 0/2 /40
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	.Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

at so is the second to the second

personal amplicate and the cost of the second second state of the optimic of the optimic and the second sec

1 . 1 . p . 41 h

and to have the in the took when you have the of the set of the set

1 * 1 S.I.

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H.Askenaizer to move the 2 existing residential buildings on Lot I, Block 76, Horton's Addition partly on to Lot D in the same block, both buildings to be not less than 3 feet from the side lot lines, not less than 6 feet between them, and at least 20 feet from the existing apartment building on Lot D.

Variance to Ordinance 8924, Section 8a is hereby granted.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 23, 1946

Filed 8/24/46

"Semmers Chairman

Res. No. 1714

1,1	D	
Application Received 8/14/4	By Close	
11/-	City Planning Depar	tment
Investigation made	By	
	City Planning Depar	tment
Considered by Zoning Committee	8/21/46 Hearing date Date Clerk 8/26/46 Building Inspector Petitioner 8/28/46 Health Depart Council Hearing, date	
Decision Condil approved	Date	local at
Copy of Resolution sent to City	Clerk 8 2646 Building Inspector	28/4 9 to chules Read
Planning Commission 8/2 146 F	Petitioner 8/28/46 Health Depart	ment and a free the
Appeal filed with City Clerk, dat	eCouncil Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	Date	
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

- There , gove - The states as the free month

ALOUTA MULTIPLE TO

a other a start of the second

and a state of a series

WHEREAS, Application No. 3964 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ Mot ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> The petition of Ruby H. Major to divide Villa Lot 20, Mission Hills at 4290 Sierra Vista Street into two building sites to permit one single family residence on each parcel, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August 29,

46

19....

Service Chairman

Res. No. 1715

FORM 2145

Dated.

avare the second	Section Cartman
Application Received 7/26/46 By	Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/14/46 By.	
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/14/46.	City Planning Department Hearing date 9128/46
Decision Alenced Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46	Date 8/28/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/14/46 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	

TIER

///ly corf alor of sectors served, he, this is here yf rented. // lightion fort y six yes in the work then yill undimnes for lighting of epology for the incode for the star which is the first for the sector

The vetition of a 7-9. Moder to fields [1116 fot 20, steaded bills of 1000 lists of the free that the fills of the fit of the field of the fills of the field of the field of the field.

205

WHEREAS, Application No. 3947 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Severin Construction Company to divide and to permit the construction of one single family residence on the South 20 ft. of Lot 11, all of Lot 12 and the North 20 ft. of Lot 13, Block 10, Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, on Beaumont Street north of Bird Rock Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

B y.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 19.46

South Chairman

Res. No. 1716

uniour sa'	
	D t
Application Received 8/12/46	By City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/28/46	By Clark Sellew, Kernigen & Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 812	8/4 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 8/28/49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	8/29/46 Building Inspector
Planning Commission 8730/46 Petiti	8/46 Hearing date Date 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46 oner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46×000 Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to

1600 460 FIST

A writtined to the growinious of C. Londs Me. 19199, we, unjuly here ye contained in the growing the property mentioner chore.

Consistion as revear then be to invertine Select making Selectry to Cirile that to can it the construction of one placks from the contraction of out to for each of it, shi of not like it and the contraction of the lot it. Electric, So for each of the shi of not like it and the contract. It is to it. The lot it. Since and contraction of a contraction of the contraction of the second seco

The second second for the first second to be and the

WHEREAS, Application No. 4026 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ________ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **RCS** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **RCS** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to J. W. Telford to construct a retaining and patio concrete masonry wall varying from 6 ft. to 8 ft. in height at 1955 Sunset Boulevard on the East one-half of Lot 2, Block 6, Resubdivision Inspiration Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

FORM 2145

CARL YOUT WARANT

Sacturary Chairman

and the second s	
Application Received 8/13/46 By	Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/28/46 By	Clark Sellen Kenigan + Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46	City Planning Départment
Decision Oppone	Date 6/28/16
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/21/46 Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 87 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector 8/30/96 access
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

SHOAT!

in the property sea - an margine in the

and the second of the second o

TID: C

ent in hear y provided insolution they which to the for erty reactioned a A V Fichen to the travitions of Criterice to. 2011, Jew Series, De.

Institution instation. Dollo concrebe account to li within the line of the total the between at other the second the concrete of the cent of charles of the cent of the second seco Territe 1 m 10 bereis a unbed to a. W. Alton to construct Frast build and

1000

110.2

nat

WHEREAS, Application No. 4037 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not**materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Clare M. and Nelson R. Keating to erect a lattice fence 5 ft. high on top of a retaining wall 4 ft. 72 in. high at 2608 San Marcos Street on Lot 2, Block M. Burlingame.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

Secretory Chairman

Res. No.1718
CH SALE COL

Application Received 8/16/46 By	Bauchang
	City Planing Department
Investigation made 8/28/46 By	Clark lelleur Kernigan + Burton City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46	
Decision approved	Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 72490	Building Inspector of Stand Bl30/4 620 aner
Planning Commission of Petitioner	Hearing date Date Building Inspector 30/46 Health Department 8/30/4640 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
mime limit extended to	Date of action

A Y TI nge to Mie trotitiour de la lutee ac. 2011, feu eries, De, Mai la naroit (ranko) ices is onl e prilate ta Mie property mentione, anove.

. Standard in ferely subjective line . Successon . Levillage in exect . C letaire lines 5 ft. Lib on the of parts into roll 1 ft. 7 in. Hi h of Soul for them are for of the lines , and in the

statistic statistics

the sense of the Constraint of the sense of the

aton aton

and the second second

RESOLUTION NO. 1719

WHEREAS, Application No. 4012 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- That the granting of the variance will _______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Viola Deeds to permit the construction of a single family residence on the Southerly portion of Lot 23. La Mesa Colony, adjoining the Southwesterly line of property at 4706 Seminole Drive.

> A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

Setretary Chairman

Res. No. 1719

15 Det tel 12	
Application Received 8/15/46 By	Case City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/28/46 By.	Clark Sellen, Kenijan + Buston City Planning Department
and it Taning Committee 8/38/46	Weening data
Considered by Zohing Committee Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2946 Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date Of All Building Inspector 8/30/46 Klage
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

Trented institute of a the contraction of the second structure coore. . .

seriesiss is hereor gradies to it is secimite nearly is a construction of southing spatic residenced more an tracky nexting of log within ea defense, rejoining the optime to the size of the structure light with of this.

21.75

HUR STATES AND AND A STATES

net

the way is not as the wild state the

RESOLUTION NO. 1720

Letter dated August 16. 1946

(Extension of Res. No. 706)

WHEREAS, Application No has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to W. H. Fleischmann to continue the operation of wholesale egg dealer's business for a period of five (5) years from the date of this resolution, at 3892 "2" Street on Lots 43 to 48, inclusive, Block 421, Duncan's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 23, 1946

By secretary Chairman

Res. Ne. 1720

VARIANCE N. CO. 1	The Charles
Letter Application Received 8/16/46	· n -
Antication Received 8/16/46	By City Planning Department
Rpp110	City Planning Department
Investigation made	LITY PLANNING Department
shaly!	Hearing date Date 9/4/Building Inspector 8/30/4/6 Council Hearing, date Date
Considered by Zoning Committee	Date 8/28/46
Decision the City Clark 8/2	9 MbBuilding Inspector 8/30/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/464 ac
Planning Commission And Planning Commission	Council Hearing, date
Appeal in of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
A lication withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

V -1 Ree be do confictions of the Longo for 19715, of the lateraid

Levinging is here be and but to letachrows to continue the polyment of the letachrows of a letache letacher for a second of the letacher for a second of the letacher for a second of the letacher letacher with streat on a sole differently is the letacher leta



3.1

WHEREAS, Application No. 4006 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application willmaterially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Bille W. Sterling to operate and maintain a now existing Nursing Home at 4504 Winoma Avenue on Lots 25 and 26 (except the West 40 ft.), Block G. Montecello, with a maximum of 5 patients, provided the garage is vacated as living quarters within 60 days and all requirements of the Building Department and Fire Marshal complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

Sight Chairman

Res. No. 1721

in the second	
Application Received 8/7/46 By	South City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/14/46 +8/25/46 By	Clark Selley, Kenigan + Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/14/46	Hearing date 8/28/46
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/14/46 Decision Communication Sent to City Clerk8/29/46 Planning Commission 8/36/46 Petitioner 8 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector 8/30/46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	
Time limit extended to	

A Northogo to se protitions of for transfer to. 19819, ste, rud is longer of the instance to the new transfer of the protection of the second se

Provide the second

NOP

and a second second

· BADIE . I'V HIDE - VAR BUNG THE REPORT

The mere an and the second reaction of the arbitrary arbitrary and the participations

WHEREAS, Application No. 4049 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The M. Hall Company to divide portions of Lots 3, 4, 8 and all of Lots 5 and 6, Block "D", Resubdivision of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea into two parcels to permit a residence on each parcel described as Arbitrary Parcels C and D on the Record of Survey of Survey Map No. 1302 on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1945

Stintin Chairman

Res. No. 1722

Application Received 8/19/46	By City Planning Department	
Investigation made 8/28/46	By Clark Lelley Kenigan & Burto City Planning Department	k
	City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/4	6 Hearing date Date 29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46 r 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/4600 Council Hearing, date	
Decision Opproved	Date 8/28/89	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	29/19 Building Inspector 8/20146 Manual	
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitione	r 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/960	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	•••
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		•••
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to		

A voltane do the providitions of the notes of 1500, by and is have a comparison that the second second the second se

no teller i

The provide of the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. 4047 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to The M. Hall Company to divide Lots 1, 2 and portions of Lots 3, 4 and 5, Block "D", Resubdivision of Mird Reck City-by-the-Sea or the east side of Dolphin Place south of Bird Rock Avenue into three parcels to permit one single family residence on each parcel described as Arbitrary Parcels A, B and E on Record of Survey Map No. 1302 on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 19 46

FORM 2145

Ву.....

state Chairman

Res. No. 1723

and the second sec	
Application Received 8/19/46 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/28/46 By Cl	ark Lellen Kernjan + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hea	aring date
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hea Decision Day Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk8/29/46 Bu Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30	te 8/2 8/96 ilding Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 3/30/96 Petitioner 8/30 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of CouncilDay Resolution becomes effectiveDay	te
Application withdrawnCon Time limit extended to Dat	ntinued to

A vist nee brothe , revisions of furt shoe for 2,2564, for, end is fet within the drive they release to the crossforrely worker enorgy.

40 3 M . . .

102

13.3 0 10 1

TOT

CONTRACT TUPIDE AU

WHEREAS, Application No. 4055 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **205** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **205** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. F. Matsch to erect a single family residence on a portion of Pueble Lot 1110 (see description in Planning Dept. office) on Texas Street approximately 450 ft. south of Camino del Rio / WPMHH The limits of Sand Rock Grade, provided a letter is signed by the owner, offering to dedicate for public street purposes that portion of his land which lies within the limits of Sand Rock Grade or Texas Street extended southerly from Camino del Rio as shown and projected on City Engineer's drawing No. 2325B.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

stilling Chairman

Res. No.1724

Application Received 8-21-46 By	South
Investigation made 8/28/46 By	City Planning Department Clark, Selley, Kernjan + Burton City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Decision Condy Committee 8/28/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46	Building Inspector 8/30/46
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner	7/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 x ase
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Secision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

contends a second a of the full of the full for even the full fully contends a second a of the full of the full for the full of the full est, of full of the full set, of full is the full of the office of the full of the office of the full of the office of the full of the office of the full of the ful

Any solutions to the provision of the inclusion of 1. 1, on soliting the

0 107.1.*

and a start

10pt

The a series where the top of the state of t

the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. 4056 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. A. Jorgensen to erect a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1110 (description on fike in Planning Dept. Office) on Texas Street (Sand Rock Grade) approximately 550 ft. south of Camino del Rio, provided a letter is signed by the owner offering to dedicate for public street purposes that portion of his land which lies within the limits of Sand Rock Grade or Texas Street extended southerly from Camino del Rio as shown and projected on Gity Engineer's drawing No. 2325Be

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1725

VIRGINE SOL

HONES REAL M

	0
Application Received 8-21-46 By	South
Application Root	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-28-46 By	Clark leller Kengint Burton City Planning Department
COR 44	
Considered by Zoning Committee 0-28-76	Hearing date
Decision Condi approval	Date 8-28-19
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk -29-46	Building Inspector 8-30-96
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-28-46 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk8-29-46 Planning Commission 8-30-46 Petitioner 8	-30-46 Health Department 5-30-4640
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	

N. M. S.C. MICHANNELL

COLORAD SHE SHE

nop

the second s

and the stand of the second of the second

IT LOLOPA I THREE INTO ALL TE SLOW SATES OF SUCCESSION IN SATES TO STRATE THE TAXABLE TO DIE.

for any an entropy and a gui a star a pression in starts an analysis.

T. LEW

WHEREAS, Application No. 4003 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ________ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not**materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **mon** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. F. Barnest to maintain a part time plastic assembly shop in a garage at 944 Missouri Street on Lots 29 and 30, Block 2, Ocean Front with no signs, no other employees and a maximum of 3 hours per day.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119. New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

Septetaty Chairman

Res. No. 1726

Application Received 8-21-46 By	Gloss
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-2846 By	Clark Lelley, Kernjan + american City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-28-46	Hearing date
Decision Conde opproved	Date 0-28-79
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 29-96	Building Inspector 8-30-46
Planning Commission 8-30-46 Petitioner	Date 8-28-46 Building Inspector 8-30-46 -30-46 Health Department 8-30-4640
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	"Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The of the second

te les propries de la contra de

TODATO?

Accelentian is ferolog (restal in . 1. This sub tarmaint is the provide the formit of a second creater to be the rest of the rest of the formulation for the rest and rest of the right second of the second of the rest of the , normal, second rest filt we share, so edicates is not restruct.

1100

and the state of t

and the second second and the second second and the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. 3920 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ... not ... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. E. Hunt, owher and Flora H. Johnson, owner, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop with a maximum of 10 horsepower at 4852 Voltaire Avenue on Lot 12, Block 19, Ocean Beach, provided a 6 ft. solid fence is constructed around the rear yard and the fence painted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1727

	0
Application Received 6-23-46 By	South
Investigation made 8-28-96 By.	Clark Leller, Kenijan + Busta
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-28-46 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk8-29-46 Planning Commission 8-30-46 Petitioner	Hearing date
Decision Conde approved	Date 8-28-76
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk -29-46	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 8-30-46 Petitioner 8	-30-16 Health Department A
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	

White a state of the gradient at

. Verience to be resulting of relies to the new fortheory coove.

ion_ion_ion_in hersely_product to i..., muc, of the flows i. columny, order, to sit this a constructively more that the flow of information to the interval of the flow of the log construction of the flow of the splither to interval of the flow of the flow of the flow of the flow splither to interval of the flow of the flow of the flow of the flow splither to interval of the flow of the flow of the flow of the flow splither to interval of the flow of the flow of the flow of the flow splither to interval of the flow of the flow of the flow of the flow splither to interval of the flow of the flow of the flow of the flow splither to interval of the flow of the flow of the flow of the flow splither to interval of the flow of the flow of the flow of the flow splither to the flow of the flow of the flow of the flow of the flow splither to the flow of the flow splither to the flow of the flow of the flow of the flow of the flow splither to the flow of the

0,011

A DEPOSIT OF A DEPOSIT

- -

IT LA IN THE IN

WHEREAS, Application No. 4054 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry Travis to construct a garage and workshop on Moana Drive 200 ft. from Point Loma Avenue on Lot 13. Block "B". Sunset Crest with a 152 ft. rear yard, provided a 5 ft. sideyard is maintained and the building is not used for living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

Secretary Chairman

Hes. No. 1728

and seen a second se	
Application Received 8-22-46 By	Baughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-28-46 By	Clark, Sellen, Kerrigin + Burton City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee /	Hearing date
Decision and permit	Date 0 -28-96
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk -29-46	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 8-30-46 Petitioner	Date 5-28-46 Building Inspector 8-30-46 -30-46 Health Department 8-30-46+0
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	"Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The Present

La sussence de la constraint de la longe le. Mai, sussence, estre les les constraint de la constraint de la

istria ist is istrict within to leave that is construct in the second sound of a first of the contained of a second with a second of the istrict of the second of the contained of the second of the second of the istrict of the second of a second of the second of t

trup

nop

ALL TALL OF ALL TALL

WHEREAS, Application No. 4029 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. J. Markey to build a residence at 5008 Windsor Drive on Lot 7, Block "B", Nettleship Tye Tract #2, with a 71 ft. setback from Windsor Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

B y.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Setters Chairman

Res. No. 1729

Application Received 8-22-46 By Clark Ross
City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-28-46 By Clark Jellens + Kernigan + Burton City Planning Department
City Planning Department U
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-28-46 Hearing date
Decision Opproved Date 5-28-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-29-46 Building Inspector 8-30-46
Decision Date 8-28-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-29-46 Building Inspector 8-30-46 Planning Commission 8-30-46 Petitioner 8-30-46 Health Department 8-30-464 Aug
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of CouncilDateDate
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn
Time limit extended to

A year nee to the sovietone of a inches of 1971, Je, estimate of a market a market a market a market a market a market and the to the cost of the test restance restance and the solution of the test of test of test of the test of t

ins is instant a lot of build by the solution of a solution of a solution of a solution of a solution of the s

and a second second

WHEREAS, Application No. 4061 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank F. Faust and Herbert C. Kelly to construct and operate a Miniature Golf Course on a portion of Pueblo Lot 239, 3135 Midway Drive, provided a 4 ft. horizontal board fence is placed between this property and the government housing project, immediately and the lighting to be directed from the government housing project.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Ву.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1730

Application Received 8-23-46 By Boughment
City Flaming Department
Investigation made 8-28-46 By Clark, Selley, Kerrigin + Burton City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerko / 9/90 Building Inspector
Planning Commission 0/30/99 Petitioner 8/30/96 Health Department 0/30/99
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of CouncilDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDateDAteDAteDAteDAteDAteDAteDAteDAteDAteDAteDAteDA
Application withdrawn Continued to
Application withdrawnContinued to

Time limit extended to ______ Date of action ______

tereby truthed in the state of the ball of the state of the sector sector and the

DEDINGT

100+ F200.

A PERSONAL PROPERTY AND A PARTY AND A PARTY AND A

the second second and the store processing the second second second second second second second second second s

and a set of the set of a set of a set of the set of the set of the

RESOLUTION NO. 1731

WHEREAS, Application No. 4046 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. E. Applegarth to erect a residence at the North end of Plumosa Way on Lot H. Plumosa Terrace, with an 8 ft. setback on Plumosa Way.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sector Chairman

Res. No. 1731

FORM 2145

1.18 4

Application Received 8-23-46 By	Haelsig
Investigation made 8-28-46 By Cl	lask Sellers, Kernijan & Barton
	City Planning Department
Decision Day Down Sent to City Clerk 8/29/96 Bu Planning Commission 8/30/96 Petitioner 5/30/ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Com	te 8-28-46
Grew of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Bu	ilding Inspector 8/30/46
Copy of Resolution Rizdilla Datis Fisch	In the second states and the second s
Planning Commission	Health Department Agenting
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of CouncilDa	te
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Con	ntinued to
Time limit extended to Da	te of action

63. This I shad

STATES (BUTTING)

40 X9* - -

A CALER ALL AND

Harts, retraction of when day. sella is a will el Triver ils es replit trade d'interes New Is low In former, and on to te a manual whole a mediance

The supervision of the supervision of the

and the standard the get of a first

The second s

WHEREAS, Application No. 4059 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application willmaterially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. W. Coggeshall, lessee, Clarence Anderson and R. C. and Mary Singleton, owners to operate a parking lot on the West 186 ft. of the North 115 ft. (except the North 572 ft.) of Block 181, Lockling's Subdivision, 1263 - 14th Street, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the surface be properly oiled to keep down the dust, and the oiling to be done immediately:
- 2. That the easement be kept open for access of the property to the north;
- 3. That the permit be for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution;
- 4. And that an agreement to comply with the above conditions be signed by the owners and operator and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

agreement # 430 filed 9/10/46

Dated August 29, 1946

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Ву.....

Secretary Chairman

Application Received 8/23/46	By Baughmen City Planning Department
Investigation made 5/28/46	By Clark Selley Kernjan & Burt
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/40	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Hearing date Date <u>8/28/96</u> 9/96 Building Inspector <u>8/30/96</u> 8/30/96 Health Department <u>8/30/96</u>
Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1-628 PO* 11

warming of the property of the second

- and the second second second second second second second -THE STURE COL AND THE AN ADD THE LEAD ADDALL OF INTEREST
- the state of a factor property
- the tre warts to sure branch and and the set of the promition to the months:
- the the entrant no . to open this could of the The state of the second second size of the
- I. Without the ourting of the old out of Y of the bound the dust.

and the second sec

construct.

And the second of the second of the second s

and the second of the second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second

Verticitie is how of interior in 2. . to realize low on, live ce stored

NOP

start and the second of the second second

RESOLUTION NO. 1733

Letter dayed August 23, 1946

Amends Res. No. 1219

WHEREAS, Application No has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1219, dated December 6, 1945, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. W. Kennerly to operate a store for the sale of live chickens, eggs, feed, poultry supplies and to operate a nursery for the sale of plants and shrubs, at 502 - 62nd Street on Lot 37. Garden Acres.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

ASAANAANA Chairman

Res. No. 1733

Application Received 8/24/46 By	Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation madeBy	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5/28/46 Decision American Company Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/29/46 Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date
Decision Ament oppraced	Date 8/28/49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46	Building Inspector 8/30/49
Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner	30/46 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council a manufacture and a	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

here the second strand and and the second is the state of a constant of the state of the state of the second state of the seco V THE FOR PRODUCE TO LEADER THE LEAD OF FLAT OF THE OF THE AS

ante en los los e to da los parte de laterno, et de los e tonde trach outros el.

service and the second the second of the second the

Start . Whili the a filler drive from the straight of a surpled to tesd, ...

I The second second second

the destate higher the south of an interaction for

1 (a 2 3 3 4

you and a set and and

MITHING TO BULL

bar slag rond:

20.0 1. 18 5 a ser and a ser a ser a ser a s

not wother with the

The state of the s

WHEREAS, Application No. 3984 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **195** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **195** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Valli Raichart to erect a Rumpus Room at 1330 West Pennsylvania Avenue on Lots 31 and 32, Block 460, Winder's Subdivision, with no sideyard, provided it is not used for living quarters and an agreement to comply with that condition be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

greenen

\$44440 Chairman

Res. No. 1734

· Sol garage is ? * Application Received 8/15/96 By City Planning Department Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark Jellers, Kengin & Burta Considered by Zoning Committee 8/25/46 Hearing date Decision Cond'l Opping Date 5/2 F/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46 Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 7/30/46 American Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council_____Date____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____ Time limit extended to ______ Date of action ______

is hereof in about the to the to the to the origination of the total of total of the total of tot . Tratenes to the postators of culturates as that a sector of, be, rul

STEL DI SUCULUY ind in riteeners to could a to a to consider of the of the of the or of the origin and ler i ni u le draege "Nyte, th' rit i struct to grad a survir sam t 1500 e l'émisticait. Neur onte tail de le des loci et , inder's nu ivition, l'ét no si contri, prois et it le le de loci for liviture product

The second se

which and that not a she that a work a date

WHEREAS, Application No. 4021 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will......adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of E. S. Whitcomb to maintain an existing 32 ft. by 9 ft. Chiropractor's sign, to the front property line at 4275 Wilson Avenue on Lots 5 and 6, Block 35, Resubdivision of Blocks H and I. Teralta, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

Stating Chairman

Res. No. 1735

all and that is a set of the set
Application Received 8/19/46 By City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/28/46 By Clark Lellen Keniger & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Hearing date Decision Date 5/28/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Building Inspector 8/30/46 Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30/46 Health Department 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/30 /46 Health Pitering He
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/29/16 Building Inspector 8/30/46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

4. 5- mo- m112

book of for for a variance to the providions of the bounds we. 1936. On, one for hencely found insoftr of they relate the provide we were the provide the provide

classication of the first of the state of the state of the state without and the first of the contraction of the state of the state of other of the state. or, a function of the state. WHEREAS, Application No. 4027 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **nov** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **nov** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. H. Peterson, Wm. V. Griffiths and Catherine W. Thompson to divide Lot 4 (except the Nly 50 ft. of the Ely 100⁴), Elock 163, La Flaya at San Antonio and Perry Streets into three building sites, two parcels 50 ft. by 125 ft. facing San Antonio Street and one parcel 50 ft. by 150 ft. facing Perry Street, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

Score tary Chairman

Res. No. 1736
AND A SECONDERING

Perminition is fere y rute to . . letero, r. V. Diffic s ni it erie... (for sen to lit e of) (e de tore Ur 50 it. of the ly 1 ef), loop b, it luret in other into the sector. its is to is other sectors to the feature is lite, the rule sectors is a set perceit. to remit o sub letrubly rech a re on set perceit.

LA MARKEN MOP

SHO ACH

not.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4030 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Claude and Ruby Olvera to construct a residence on the Easterly 333 ft. of the Southerly 100 ft. of Lot 12, Joseph Reiner's Subdivision, with a 5 ft. sideyard, north of Camino del Rio near the Nly end of Harney Treet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 19.46

Sectorary Chairman

Res. No. 1737

the second to the second to the	
Application Received 8/23/46 E	By Burton City Planning Department
Application Received	City Planning Department
8/28/46 F	City Planning Department By Clark Seller, Kenngan + Burton City Planning Department Hearing date
Investigation made	City Planning Department
	01-1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46	Hearing date Date <u>8/25/9/9</u> 6 Building Inspector <u>8/30/96</u> 8/30/96 Health Department <u>8/30/96</u> Council Hearing, date
Decision	Date 0/20/20/20
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/2/	Building Inspector B/30/964 Mace
Planning Commission	0/30/96 Health Deput
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Preising of Council	Date
Decision of Council affective	
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

. Viri Heg to the Frantishne of Critishes No. 2009, Breiling fo, De, can to hurmor are tog infofir of they relate to the Township satisfied houve.

20'0

1134 P

But an interest the second of the second a second

RESOLUTION NO. 1738

WHEREAS, Application No. 4060 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Margaret M. and Clarence E. Godfrey to build a one family residence on Lots 4 and 5 (except the SWLy 50*), Block 25, Chatsworth Terrace, Lytton and Evergreen Streets, with a 6 ft. setback on Lytton Street and a setback of the average of the houses in the block on Evergreen Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 19.46

By Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1738

Application Received 8/23/46	2
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/28/46 E	By Clark Sellers Kenger v Bunton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46	Hearing date Date <u>828/46</u> Building Inspector <u>8/30/46</u> Health Department <u>8/0/46 Access</u> Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 29/4 Planning Commission 8/30/4/6 Petitioner	Building Inspector 8/30/46 130/46 Health Department 8/30/46 x Ones
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

NOT THOM THE

中心的方法是可

A best we for any movie is a start material for the second start of a second start is the second start of the second start of

Noncon in Collign on Version Protect.

of the contract of the contract

41.65

not not

Service and the service of the servi

WHEREAS, Application No 4057 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gordon Thompson to build an 8 ft. 6 in. fence at Warrington and Narragansett Streets on Lots 15 and 16, Block 3, Loma Terrace, approximately 28 ft. long at the rear of the lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

By.....

Chairman

Application Received 8/23/46 By	?
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/28/46 B3	Clark Seller Kerrigan + Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46	Hearing date Date 8/28/96 Building Inspector 8/30/96 8/30/96 Health Department 8/30/96 Access Council Hearing, date
Decision approved	Date 8/28/9 9
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 21/1	Building Inspector Bloght Bloght & acces
Planning Commission 030/46 Petitioner	8/30/90 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action

2. (5) MD: 75: 231

A writing to the provisions of a linke for the transfer of the provision of the terms of the transfer of the terms of ter

- strike dan is ber workstelle voor zoon barent bereite is 6 26. 0 in. weres at corrist but entitievenen 15 012 ets an 1653 15 rul 16. uitett 2. Mars Severec, so werte (12.28 st. 100; ct the rest of the late.

A. C. M.

1000

110 8

aot.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4053 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert M. Golden to buil d a single family residence on the Southeast 75 ft. of Lot 73 and the Northwest 125 ft. of Lot 74, Point Loma Villas on Elliott Street above Chatsworth Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Ву....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 29, 1946

Sauderty Chairman

Res. No. 1740

10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	
Application Received 8/26/46 By	Parrich
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/28/46 By	City Planning Department
the bissing of the second s	' City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46	Hearing date,
Decision approved	Date 8/28/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk \$1291461	Building Inspector 8/30/46
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46 Decision Copy of Resolution Sent to City Clerk 8/29/46 Planning Commission 8/30/46 Petitioner 8/29/46 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	30/46 Health Department 8/30/46+02
Appeal filed with City Clerk date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended toI	Date of action

Portation to nervery fritter to short . ("Lichtle higher title" the still

Tot a support

the many provide may second the second waters

RESOLUTION NO. 1741

Extension of time on Resolution No. 370 Letter dated August 16, 1946

WHEREAS, Appropriate Not has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. N. Wagstaff and Mrs. Herbert Shirley, operator, to conduct a dog kennel at 6558 Pacific Highway on Lot 7, Block 32, Mission Bay Park Tract, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Permit to be for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution:
- 2. Not more than 15 dogs shall be kept on the premises at any one time;
- 3. No additional signs:
- 4. Not to be a commercial business but just for the operator's personal dogs; no clipping, stripping or boarding;
- 5. Permit revoked if and when in the opinion of the Zoning Committee it constitutes a hindrance to residential development in the neighborhood; or for violation of any of the conditions mentioned above; or complaints from the surrounding property owners;
- 6. Subject to approval of the Health Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 138, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 19.46

FORM 2145

Ву.....

september Chairman

Res. No. 1741

O.K

nulpenper 75*	
Latta.	
Application Received august 1946 B	y mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 9/11/46 P	Clark Lellen Lunder Buston
Investigation made 9/11/96 B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/4/6	Hearing date 9/11/4 6 Date 9/11/46 Building Inspector 9/13/46
Decision Confi Operand	Date 9/1/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/9	Building Inspector 9/13/46
Planning Commission	Health Department 1/12/9. K. Charles
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes offertion	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY	FI STORE TO THE STORE
I sumper as the should be pressing on the	·
endering of the sector for the sector the	A ASSAULT STATES
AND THE MINISTER OF BROADER OF ST	
NAMES OF THE PARTY	thinks a modicional or contrains
	The second
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Myap ton pic oler porta Lerson T
. The come dimension on a plant be in at	on the Architect of the cry of thest
The second second sector of the CL) year dron the date of this resolution .
Stret, mbl of the the following o millions.	
GOT LOS & TO LEMMIT AS A DOM LOT OF TO THE	on top 1' store a strauton the
interious by three aparts the sould be the of	of and three nerverts whytory our three to
and the set of the set	no de esti de la companya de la comp
	all a manufactor in the second of the second
	not
	and the second se
the second state of the se	and the farmer and the second second second second second
the start is a start of the start of the start of the	
	and a second of the second of the second sec

٩

1

Ļ

1.1.1 * 009 * 01.T

r tyrr

WHEREAS, Application No. 4042 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Max Maisel to make a 24 ft. by 11 ft. addition to a non-conforming building with approximately 2 ft. 4 in. sideyard on Lots D, E and F, Block 386, Horton's Addition at 3225 - 5th Avenue; addition to maintain the same sideyard as the existing building and to be used as living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned abovea

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 19.46

By..... stiller Chairman

HOLEPER IS.	
Application Received 8/20/46	By Haelsig
	By
Investigation made 9/11/46	By Lundy Clark, Sellew + Burton
Considered by Zoning Committe 9/11/46	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/17/9	16 Building Inspector 9/17/46
Planning Commission 9/17/46 Petitioner.	9/17/46 Health Department 9/17/461 deser
Appeal filed with City Clerk.date	Date Date 9/17/96 Health Department 9/17/961 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
	Continued to
	Date of action

-00 X6*

a veri nee to ble rroviriana of relinance to. 3501, foction is, le, one in love of the providing the state of the proventional

IN FILDE HOL PL TIANT. ICEAPILIT

> emulation is constructed to its start to start affort, so it ft. an titos to a conformination it is with rorterizately dote, dote, singuration of a start. The start barbon and then to 5000 - 600 stronger affortion to starts the side offerend as the clicture withing.

and the part of the second second was and the second second

not

WHEREAS, Application No. 4045 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Myles Standish to erect a residence on a portion of Lot 25, La Mesa Colony, as shown on a plat on file in the office of the Planning Department, Southwest corner of Catoctin Drive and Montezuma Road, a parcel of land containing approximately 3675 square feet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13508, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 19.46

Sepidian Chairman

SPOPERTIES PLANS. OK

Dat

the state and the feet	0
Application Received 8/22/46 By	Baughman
· / ·	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/28/46 + 9/11/46 By	Clark Sellen Lundy + Countra
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/28/46+9/4/46H Decision 9999 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/46 H Planning Commission 9/12/46 Petitioner 9/12 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 9/12	learing date
Decision approved I	Date //11/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/46 F	Building Inspector 9/12/4 ala hal
Planning Commission 9/12/46 Petitioner 9/1	3/46 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	
Time limit extended to	

(1) 私しため(1) いまみで

light in the second of the second sec

3

. w white to the rewining of the humes to. 17505, bo, call it hereign granted insolvy on they will to the projectly pentionel above.

12,002.

levintion is hereog writed to lylon Stanlich to event a verifence of a yestion of not of, follow bolow, on chow on thist on file in the office of the locating constants, forthered computed Stocthe Stive and four runn ford, a provide of long contrining forwards 13 5675 search

10 p

REPERTING AND ADDRESS OF BEILD

WHEREAS, Application No. 4044 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Myles Standish to erect a residence on a portion of Lot 28, La Mesa Colony, as shown on a plat on file in the office of the Planning Department, Southwest corner of Catoctin Drive and Montesuma Road, provided a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Gatoctin Drive and a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Montesuma Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

B y.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1744

Ok

Application Received $\frac{8/22/46}{8/22/46}$ By <u>City Planning Department</u> Investigation made $\frac{1}{22} \pm \frac{9/11/46}{4}$ By <u>Clash Jelley, Jundy & Burton</u> City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{8/22 \pm 9/11/46}{1}$ Hearing date Decision <u>Considered by Zoning Committee</u> $\frac{8/22 \pm 9/11/46}{1}$ Hearing date Dot <u>Date</u> <u>Date} <u>Date</u> <u>Date</u> <u>Date} <u>Date</u> <u>Date} <u>Date</u> <u>Date</u> <u>Date} <u>Date</u> <u>Date</u> <u>Date} <u>Date</u> <u>Date</u> <u>Date}</u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u>

and the first

A wordeness to the realized of frequence of the L. M. collectory. Created institutes they follow the treated to the store the store to the store the store to the

Perris in in Persy (7 nted to 7.1en the isk to evert a reck ence on a bouile of lot 1, recent Color, an short out olet on like in the outlies of the limit elections, out were concer of ortectin wive rad returne off, reovided a like, actively is minimized on detoetin wive rad and a limit, appendic to relative and ortenum one.

210 0

100 CT

THE REAL POINT AND A REAL POINT

WHEREAS, Application No. 3777 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward J. and Dorothy D. Stephens to build a residence on the West 152 ft. of the South 115 ft. of Fueblo Lot 173 at the south end of Bangor Street, west of Rogers Street, a parcel of land without frontage on a dedicated street and the only access to the property by a 30 ft. easement.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

FORM 2145

think Chairman

Res. No. 1745

0.1

the second is the second	
Application Received 8/24/46 By	Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made 9/11/46 By	Clark Sellers, Jundy & Burlow
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/11/46	User in the
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision appropriate algorithm	Date di 1979
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 913996 Petitioner	Health Department 71999 + 4000
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/96 Planning Commission 9/13/96 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

140 (* F10* (F1));

CALENCE I ANT A PARTICULAR TO PA

Toother on the latter of the state of the st

to the procent, lettioner move.

the second second second second second second second

contention is beauty or niced for the outbound of the product of the problem to build a presidence on the rest la flored the outbould first the product of t

WHEREAS, Application No 4032 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ NOT ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ not _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Frank Cassel to permit living room, bedroom with bath (garage apartment), with a 10 ft. rear yard at 4758 Vista Lane on Lot 2, Block "F", Kensington Fark Extension, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series and Section Sa, of Ordinance No. 5924, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

THE PARTY OF THE MAN

Anthe Chairman

4.18

Application Received 8/24/46	By City Planning Department
Investigation made 9/11/46	By Clark Sellen Lundy Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/11/46	Hearing date Date Building Inspector 13/46 Health Department 9/13/464 Cases Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/1 Planning Commission 9/13/14 Petitioner	6 Building Inspector 9/13/96 1/3/96 Health Department 9/13/96 4 annual
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
	Date of action

Mulliching abie voi meete a provint start from there for 10. 10. .

The petition of small Great to or it litters rows, or works with both (1999) a constant by its with the state of the state

Chever III have

o.K

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 4050 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lots	a_4	Block248
Subdivision Pacific Beach		
Ben_Caviness		
nievilie weed for the weeter and operation of	NEWIED nermission .	to construct
and operate a 12-unit Auto Court		
subject to the following conditions	<u></u>	
		and a second second second

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Res. No. 174

By

Secrement Chairman

Application Received 8/26/46 E	y Parrish
/ /	City Planning Department
Investigation made	y Clark, Sellen, Lundy + Curton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning C : Obulul	City Planning Department .Hearing date .Date
Decision	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution cont to City City of the link	Date
Planning Commission 9/13/96 David	Building Inspector
Appeal filed with City Clerk date	1/13/70 Health Department //10/90 Care
Decision of Council	.Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Date
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	Date of action

a and other te all - with i to ourt

THIS MAY AND A

Contra distant respectively and successful of the section of contract of

the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of

1748

WHEREAS, Application No. 4064 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. Disbrow Johnson and Benita S. Johnson to erect a residence on Lot D. Plumosa Terrace, 4325 Plumosa Way, with a 2 ft. setback for the residence and a O ft. setback for garage on Plumosa Way.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 19 46

By....

standarman Chairman

te pir des set his	
Application Received 8/26/46 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made 9/11/46 By	Clark Sellew Lundy + Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/11/46 Decision Approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/12/46 Planning Commission 9/13/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date
Decision	Date
Planning Commission	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	

1 50# 1

- Verificand to Lie rest inter on a furneeric, start, on, L COMER

The set of the state of the state of the set of the state of the state

110.0

Non

the second to the second second

RESOLUTION NO.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4065 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

1749

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **NOD** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **NOT** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John K. and Wilhelmina T. Pattersen to erect a three car garage with living quarters above, making the second living unit on the Westerly one-half of Lot 9, Block S, F. T. Scripp's Addition, 604 Westbourne Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

sections Chairman

Res. No. 1749

arterber 17,	
Application Received 8/28/46 By	Copport City Planning Department
Investigation made 9/11/46 By Ce	
Considered by Zoning Committee 1/11/46 Hea Decision 2000 Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/2/46 Bu Planning Commission 1/13/46 Petitioner 2/14 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Con	aring date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/2/46 Bu Planning Commission 1/13/46 Petitioner 9/1	ilding Inspector 113/96 13/96 Health Department 9/13/9640
Decision of Council	te
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Day	ntinued to
Time	

ee+ jur juni

. Werd now to bue quickline of or hunde of light, we, suc is levely a sumbly institutes they will be be projectly realized thous.

Formite ion in Menery music to dollar. In fillettime ... interprove to event a three of a state interimination of state a construction the endone lists white on the schedule on early of solution (solution (solution)). All ition, the schedule treet.

WHEREAS, Application No 4069 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Caudell and Johnson, owners, and Thurman Brothers, operators to manufacture concrete blocks on the Westerly side of the Northerly extension of Texas Street beginning approximately 450 ft. south of Triar's Road on the Northerly 100 ft. of the Easterly 300 ft. of the Northeasterly one-quarter of Pueblo Lot 1105, also that portion of Pueblo Lot 1173 lying East and South of Friar's Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1750

O.K

iespetiner II.	0
Application Received 8-28-46 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-11-46 By	Clark Lellew Lundy Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46	Hearing date Date Building Inspector 9-13-46 -13-46 Health Department 9-13-46kQ
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk / 2.4	Building Inspector 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	"Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit entended to	Date of action

CONTRACTOR OF

C. Production

a vită nee po tra gera tone of trajunde fo. 1 41, tav serier, se, rud In sera v zratelita soulu na bier reinte to tra rojenty restioned chore.

A confishion is conclure to statell on following on one, and flumman frothers, conclure to remain the concrete flocts on the esteril side of the screenily oftension of energy rest to induce the rest of the fill control of this flocd on the outside in the contraction to the control of this flocd on the outside in the fill from restarily 150 fb. of the outside that your set outside in the fill for the outside of cheeks of its in that your set outside an induce interval of the outside of cheeks of its is interval of the outside of the outside of the cheeks of its is the outside of the outside of the outside outside of cheeks of its is the outside of the outside of the outside of the outside of the outside o

2011

not not

and a foregraph of the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. 4052 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack J. Evinger and Hazel M. Hawks to maintain a now existing living quarters in a garage at 3750 Menlo Avenue on Lots 37 and 38, Block 7, Mountain View, with a 12 in. sideyard, subject to final approval by the Health Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above. 101011111

3.5

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 19.46.

FORM 2145

WEATHING STREET, THERE AND AND

Ву....

Secretary Chairman

0.1

Application Received 8-30-46 By	South
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-11-46 By	Clark Selley Lundy + Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46	Hearing date Date 9-11-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46×022
Decision and appropriate	Date 7-11-7 9 12-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk///2/46	Building Inspector 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner	-13.46 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

200 - 100 - BU

and any other other and

and and the bar advantage of the date of the second s

The start said has to share be there are the structure of the said the

A villes to sur rotations of a finale of the rotation of the solution of the s

leveled a feature restance to the restance of the restanc

NOC

200

TIAT

WHEREAS, Application No. 4084 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eugene P. and Marguerite K. Coffey to construct a residence and garage on the Sly corner of Quimby and Chatsworth Boulevard on the Northerly 40 ft. of Lots 20, 21 and 22, Block 17, Point Loma Heights, with a 4 ft. setback from Quimby Street, provided any structure built within 50 ft. of the alley observe the required 15 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Ву.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 19.46.

A Secretary Chairman

O.K

3. CONTOR. T. . .

Application Received 9-3-46	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-11-46</u>	By Clark- Sellew - Lundy & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Decision Conde Opprovel Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-1	Hearing date Date 9-11-96 16 Building Inspector 9-13-96 9-13-96 Health Department 9-13-96+0e
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

veriends to ble received of a lange for 1572, bu, rad 13 ber b Compading an elev relate to the rad entry entry bet boys.

Acritation is levely wrated to wrend . call of wrents i. to key by a construct a pesitioner embed for the it calls of a billy and downwrat a pesitioner embed wrend that is the object of the optimity and downer of the construction of the it for the optical optimity threat, the bloch if, reduction of the its is the force of the office times threat, the reduction is the force.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4093 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will 205 materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Angelo Sampo, owner and David C. Kalbfell. purchaser to operate a shop in an existing building and to construct additional buildings for manufacture, repair and warehousing of electronics equipment and the supplies and materials related thereto, 1060 Morena Blvd., on the portion of Lots 4 to 10 inclusive, lying Southwest of Morena Blvd. in Block 1, Week's Addition: additions to be placed along same line as rear of existing building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 1753

Application Received 9-3-46 By City Planning Department	
Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark Selley, Lunly Bunton City Planning Department	h
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date Decision Date 9-11-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 912-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46 Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-464	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	2
Planning Commission 1-13-16 Petitioner 1-13-16 Health Department	- and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of CouncilDate	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawnContinued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

interior to the staticians of and there to be the relation of the sector. In the sector

nonziog di septimi a spirate

// 1. 10/ 10 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 2000 / 200

mather to the printing of the year

they are not interest of the for the for the the the bull

De serie de la contra de la c

and the second second

BEZOU GLADE FO STORE

WHEREAS, Application No. 4096 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ BOL ____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sidney P. Vaughn to erect a garage with a 6 in. sideyard at 4332 Hermosa Way on Lot 11, Block 3, Mission Hills; garage to be located 50 ft. back of the front property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

FORM 2145

Sheedtary Chairman

Res. No. 1754

O.K
Application Received 9-5-46	By Ross
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-11-46	By Clark Selleur, Lundy + Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12	-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Planning Commission. 9-13-46 Petitioner.	Date 9-11-46 -46 Building Inspector 9-13-46 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-468 Acc
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

at this

- Wettinge to the melting of the law of the sector of the sector of the Conche pu respondent 20 st. rest of the Track rought lie. of the standard of the standard way to the most standard the top states a

a more an inter a long of the loss of the loss of the second solution of shows.

somiterion in here, y much the there is Turbu to such a such a such a.

the start with the start start of the start of the at the at Threather and the average, P

- Topolar par the is beauting

I - MARCH TAK THE REAL FOR THE CARDING IS IN

aperies of an end water and the second of the second of the second of the property of the second of the second

RESOLUTION NO. 1755

See Res. No. 1999 & 1354

WHEREAS, Application No. of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application willmaterially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of time be granted to Mildred E. and Benjamin L. Brittin to construct an addition on the front of an existing residence at 4966 West Mountain View Drive on the Southeast one-half of the Westerly 117 ft. of Villa Lot 39, as measured along Mt. View Drive, Normal Heights, with a 7 ft. setback; extension to be a period of six (6) months from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv.....

Dated September 12, 1946

Secretary Chairman

O.K

FORM 2145

COLOR DE LA DA DE

Car or Si

A verifice to be moviliate of relation 10. 1070, by out interest -

List me e tempica of the de grates to introd and enformed to interact to complete the of the of the loss poly endotted at little are control incontrate of the a off a standard for and off the off. The of little of the endotted to a off a standard for and endotted for the of little of the endotted to a off a standard for a standard with the of little of the endotted to a off a standard for a standard with the of little of the endotted to a off a standard for a standard with the of the solution of the endotted of the standard standard for the off the little of the off the standard standard of the standard standard standard for the off the little of the off the standard st

Thet muss tending of the he subber to furbout . This entrough the situation of the second for th

in the book of the start of the second of the start interest in the second of the seco

A CARLES AND A C

A set of the set of th

and a second of the second second second in the second second second second second second second second second

- The response of the second s

Version of the set of

SKASSING TOOL VILLE 120

RESOLUTION NO. 1756 AMENDS Res. No. 1736

Letter dated September 3, 1946

WHEREAS, Application No. of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will notmaterially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will....not.........adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

That Resolution No. 1736, dated August 29, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to H. H. Peterson, Wm. V. Griffiths and Catherine W. Thompson to divide Lot 4 (except the Mly 50 ft. of the Ely 100 ft.), Block 163, La Playa, at San Antonio and Perry Streets into three building sites, two parcels 50 ft. by 125 ft. facing San Antonio Street and one parcel 50 ft. by 150 ft. facing Perry Street, to permit one single family residence on each parcel, with an S ft. setback to be maintained on Perry Street."

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 175

Q.K

acara an	
Letter dalus	2.4
Letter Application Received 9/3/46	By OUNS
	City Flanning Department
Taxastigation made 9/11/46	By Clark, Lundy, Sellers Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made and proprietation of the	City Planning Department
aliter	
Considered by Zoning Committee. 7/11/96	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 9/11/96
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/2.4	Building Inspector 2-13-16
Planning Commission 1-13-46 Petitioner	Hearing date Date 9/11/96 Building Inspector 9-13-96 Health Department 9-13-96 Marc
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

n the state of the state o

which search the strate, which invite 20, 1975, he conduced to real ours

100

The second s to the second coup and

the sport of a second second

the second of the second of

The .

ACTUMENT FOR

- - da

WHEREAS, Application No. 4067 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will **NOT** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen D. Miesen to erect an 18 ft. by 26 ft. bedroom living room attached to an existing residence with a 2 ft. 10 in. sideyard at 5019 Narragansett Street on Lot 38, Block 70, provided the addition complies with the required 3 ft. sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Ву.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 19 46

Sectorary Chairman

Res. No. 1757

O.K

FORM 2145

1.1

Application Received 8-26-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark Selley, Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46
Decision approve & Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Date 9-11-46
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Ulerky-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Decision Opproved Hearing date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk9-12-46 Planning Commission 9-13-46 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date. Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Date Date 9-11-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 Council Hearing, date Date
Appear fried with City Clerk, date
Decision of Council Hearing, date
Decision of CouncilCouncil Hearing, dateDateDateDateDate
Resolution becomes effective Date Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to
Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action
Date of action

Providenzo, is responding which by malous . There to write by Isin, swife it. onlight of this of the state of the relation state from time a state, locate, is stirt of this with the state of the state of the state. The state of the state of the state onlight of the state state the state of the state of the state. The state of the state onlight of the state state the state of the state of the state. The state of the state of the state of the state onlight of the state state of the state of

and the provide of the state of the presidence

A Marine and and a second way of

the Best and the state of the property of the state of th

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will motion materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. E. and Leah A. Close to divide Lot 99. Point Loma Villas on the Easterly corner of Chatsworth Blvd. and Browning Street into two parcels, described as follows: (1) NW1y 76 ft., approximately; (2) SELY 55 ft. approximately; to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946 FORM 2145 Deeds recorded - 21 1946

9-21-46

Secretary Chairman

Dik

		a. 2	116.5		10.1	5
1053	6.11.	5.50		1	1	

Application Received 8-26-46 By	Ross
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-11-46 By	Clark Sellew - Lundy + Busto
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46	Hearing date
Decision	Date 9-11-99
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-16	Building Inspector 7777
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner	Date 9-11-46 Building Inspector 993-96 9-13-96 Health Department 9-13-96 Asses
Appeal filed with City.Clerk,date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and soft an an inclusion

The second particulation and the second statement where and

coll b constraints b a contraint of contraints of the solution of the coll of the col

Installe in te feren service to te to miller A. Clore to divide about

is a size and the maintained of the second to the remember of the source .

1.901

WHEREAS, Application No. 4075 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will notmaterially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. H. and Betty Wendte to build a garage and storage room adjacent to the side lot line at 615 - 60th Street on Lot 6, Block 1, Encanto Heights; building has an area of 600 square feet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

Sectedary Chairman

FORM 2145

representation Tot

Application Received 8-30-46 By Panish
City Flaming Department
Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark - Lundy - Sellent Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 9-11-96
Decision Date 9-11-96 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-96 Building Inspector 9-13-96 Planning Commission 9-13-96 Petitioner 9-13-96 Health Department 9-13-96
Planning Commission 193-96 Petitioner 1913-96 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of CouncilDate
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn
Time limit extended to

is the set of the indications of the is not the set of the set of

Let is ion is revery writed to a lite interest reader to with a rise on the total the lot lite with a rise on the lot lite is \$25 - 1040 starts on the lot i the lot lite is \$25 - 1040 starts on the lot i the lot lite is a rise of the lot a lite is the lot lite is a rise of the lot a lite is the lot lite is a rise of the lot a lite is the lot lite is a rise of the lot a lite is the lot lite is a rise of the lot a lite is the lot lite is a rise of the lot a lite is a rise of the lot a rise of th

1.1.4

WHEREAS, Application No. 4077 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 3. That the granting of the application will ______materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will.......adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Minnie D. Nelson to erect an addition to a unit of a non-conforming court at 48322 Mansfield Street on Lots 15 and 16, Block 18, Normal Heights, 5 ft. 102 in. between buildings and with a 102 ft. rear yard, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 19.46

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1760

0.1

FORM 2145

FOD POLIDES TOP

Application Received 8-31-46 By Parish
City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark - Sellew - Lundy + Burton
City Planning Department
9-11-46
Considered by Zoning Committee //// Hearing date
Decision dleases Date 9-11-46
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-76 Decision Date 9-11-96 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-96 Planning Commission 9-13-96 Petitioner 9-13-96 Health Department 9-13-96
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of CouncilDate
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

state and a first

· or other (and the transferred as weiter up, no, no te interest which there readed and the to be Stationed a for a visited to say most tone of in turned to. St.

Toolers, Souther Filler, Filler, States and States and States and Filler and Fi not words to be the set of the se

and a present in an entrance of the are shown in the second of the second of the second of the second s

and the second second second and the second s

pett

WHEREAS, Application No 4087 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roscoe K. Freeman to construct a single family residence on the Easterly 44 ft. of Lot K and the Westerly 36.78 ft. of Lot L, La Mesa Colony (see Record of Survey Map 1291) on the south side of Amherst Street approximately 150 ft. east of 69th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

Secretary Chairman

Res. No.1761

0.

FORM 2145

of tendery 1.0.	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	
Application Received	9/3/46	By Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made	9-11-46	By Clack, Sellew, Lundy & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning (Decision	Committee 9-11-46	Hearing date Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution ser Planning Commission	nt to City Clerk -/-	2-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-464
Appeal filed with City Decision of Council	y Clerk, date	Date
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Appeal filed with City Decision of Council Resolution becomes eff Application withdrawn	y Clerk,date	

130.5717

A vist nee to sid youldious of furthered of 1951, by, relies terrar cannot the second to be the second to be

A state ton in new a state i to longoars. Transmittor constrate to the state intit in the color of the interval to the of the state of the state of not is a set point. (sto strout on strong of a fill loss constration, of the set utility of restrict is to fill and the strong of the state of the of the set utility of restrict is to fill and the strong of the state of the state of the set utility of restrict is to fill and the strong of the state of the state of the set of restrict is to fill and the strong of the strong of the set of the state of the set of restrict is to fill and the strong of the strong of the set of the state of the set of restrict is to the strong of the set of the strong of the set of the state of the set of restrict is to the set of t

FIOR

NOC STRUCTURE

WHEREAS, Application No. 4048 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ... not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not. be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred J. and Pearl Jones to operate a Real Estate Office in an existing non-conforming building on the North 75 ft. of Lots 25 and 26, Block 3, Imperial Heights at 38952 Ocean View Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1046

FORM 2145

Secretary Chairman .

Application Received 9-3-46 By Baughman
City Plaining Department
Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark-Sellew-Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/2-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46
Decision Opproved Date 9-11-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 942-46 Building Inspector 9-13-46 Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 Address
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of CouncilDate
Resolution becomes effective
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Time limit extended to

a v ut por to the rowling of buildings no. And , one conternation shows,

construct interest of the solution in the statement of one state solution in the solution of the solution

a service a

war deren voren i de de la france enner al ser prove dere de la france de la france de la france de la france de

ABITER AND THE TALE AND THE TALE AND THE AREA THE AREA TO AND THE AREA TO AND

DECEMPTOR DATE NOT ADSOL

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will motion materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will _____ not _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Company to construct one single family residence on the Mly 75 ft. of Lots 11 and 12 and the Mly 75 ft. of the East 16 ft. S in. of Lot 13, Block 1, Park Addition, Nutmeg east of 29th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

The PERSON PORT AND THE PARTY

Secretary Chairman

1.

Application Received 9-3-46 By City Haning Department	
or ty a raming separ theme	
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-16 Hearing date	
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-46 Building Inspector 9-12-46 Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46 Addee	
Planning Commission 77376 Petitioner 72576 Health Department 77376 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	2
Decision of CouncilDateDate	
Resolution becomes effectiveContinued to	
Time limit extended to	

Print Barris Contract Darth

A writings to the growing he brighter ends i with a statistical state of the second states of the second states of the second states and states

10 Maria and States and States

Wernie foe 's new yn yn de tydning with i marifes offenit derryn bo enietroet de leterarii yn in ee om theili. Yn the er om theili, 'n the er offelil, er ip en' the 'i, 'n n', of the fet in fe. fin of iot in, inch i, inch i, inthe chittin, inther erent of the treet.

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Company to construct one single family residence on the Nly 75 ft. of the West S ft. 4 in. of Lot 13, the Nly 75 ft. of Lots 14 and 15 and the Nly 75 ft. of the East 8 ft. 4 in. of Lot 16, Block 1, Park Addition, Nutmeg east of 29th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

FORM 2145

Secretary Chairman

0.1

Application Received 9-3-46 By	Coppock
	Cify Planning Department
Investigation made 9-11-46 By	Clark - Sellew - Lundy + Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46	Hearing date Date Building Inspector Health Department 9-13-462000000000000000000000000000000000000
Decision	Date /1-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-46	Building Inspector 9-13-16
Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9	-13-46 Health Department 9-13-162 Quee
Appear filed with orey officer, date	.Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Conp. 24×

PART TO PARTY

jnc ?

nor

5 suit is fer / forming to film fills light of first doubtary to contruct are flain for it relations of the film of the fort of the statistic for it. The film of the form is the film of the film is state for it. In the film is the form is the films, when end of the form is the film.

To Stude to the propinion of children, 0. 2.17.

SECT PERSON

The second s

the set was a set at all of a set

WHEREAS, Application No 3689 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>motion</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Company to construct one single family residence on the Nly 75 ft. of the West 16 ft. 8 in. of Lot 16 and the Nly 75 ft. of Lots 17 and 18, Block 1, Park Addition, Nutmeg east of 29th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Chairman

O.K

FORM 2145

0 2 11/	Phil
Application Received 9-3-46 By	City Planning Department
	city maining bepartment
Investigation made 9-11-46 By	Clark - Selley - Lundy + Buston
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46	Hearing date Date Building Inspector 9-13-46 Health Department 2-13-46
Decision approved	Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk - 2-4	Building Inspector 9-13-49
Planning Commission 77209 Petitioner	Y-11-46 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A vard red to use maintains of milliones no. 3 11 , or, rolin to beauty

construct on is lev by randed the line lite interpret to the construct on is lev by randed the line lite interpret on the lite is all the estimate the construct on the lite is all the lite is a lite of loss 17 row h , loss 1, which is write it will be at 25 to the lite 1, write it.

The state of the second of the

400 -

APPENDED BY STREET

mog

WHEREAS, Application No. 4078 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
 - 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
 - That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Don E. and Lorraine Cowles to construct a single family residence on the North 330 ft. of Gilmore Tract. Let 13. Ex-Mission Rancho on the east side of 61st Street approximately 660 ft. south of Detroit Street, provided a 10 ft. strip of land is dedicated to the City for the widening of 61st Street.

& variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 12, 1946

FORM 2145

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1766

Q.K

Septer:062.12.	16	^ ·
Application Received	9-3-46	By Garrish
		City Flaming Department
Investigation made	9-11-46	By Clark-Seller- Lendy + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning C	Committee 9-11-46	Hearing date
Decision Condie	approval 212	Date 9-11-46
Copy of Resolution ser Planning Commission	13-16 Petitioner	Date 9-11-46 19 Building Inspector 9-13-46 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-464 Case
Appeal filed with City	y Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes eff		Date
		Continued to
		Date of estion

1 5+ 1×0+ 1

W W WILTSLUST

a verifice to be free lither of two ends of bit, on writer, by it is to be in the second of the seco

touth of charts starts, roughd). In startal of

and and a second s

WHEREAS, Application No 4072 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to E. W. Andrews to construct a 1 ft. 6 in. addition to an existing garage at 315 West Pennsylvania Avenue on the Ely 422 ft. of the Wly 482 ft. of Lot 24, Block 10, Cleveland Heights, provided it does not extend beyond the property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 13, 19 46

STATISTICS STATIST

By...... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1767

Application Received 9-4-46 By South	
City Flaming Department	
Investigation made 9-11-46 By Clark Sellens Lundy & Burton City Planning Department	<i>d</i>
City Planning Departmebt	
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date	
Decision Date 9-11-46	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /- /3-96 Building Inspector /- /3-96	
Decision Date 9-11-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-13-46 Planning Commission 9-13-46 Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-46 Health Department 9-13-46	alle la
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of CouncilDate	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

3 3*

contract to the portation of fraim of a. 1 2, 30, milia erers of think to the prove the test of the prove that a second of the provent of the prove the provest of the prov

Further into the control to the thread in the state of the little of the state of t

Dop.

mils t

The store states

the start of the second strangener with the

WHEREAS, Application No. 4073 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**. be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jeanette Reid Gaylord to erect 3 units on Lot D, Block 116, Mission Beach, a parcel of land 30 ft. by 80 ft. in size, on Kennebeck Court approximately 90 ft. west of Mission Boulevard, with a 3 ft. court to Kennebeck Court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 19 46

FORM 2145

Res. # 1768

O.K

the of the grid he

A verimee to the revisious distribute of the reverts dentioned above.

Persimites is hereby frombal to feareble leid former to error 3 units on Lot , There ILD, Indonus er, a prost of long 50 th, by colft. In wire, as four-brock onthe convolution of the wort of ississ in forder z, thus a 3 th, court to endered to zt.

the second second second

the stars in standing

WHEREAS, Application No. 3951 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dewey V. and Grace Morence Spencer to erect an addition to an existing building located on a portion of the East 1/2 of Pueblo Lot 1296, and change to a residence; property is a parcel of land without street frontage North and East of La Jolla on an undedicated road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13455 and Section Sa of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 19 46

FORM 2145

highty Chairman

Res. #1769

0

the terber with a life	
Application Received 9-5-	- 46 By South City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-25.	-46 By Clark, Sellen & Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	9-25-46 Hearing date Date 9-25-46 V Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-4642
Decision approved	Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City	Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46	Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-4680
appear filed with City Clerk.da	teCouncil Hearing, date
Resolution to	Date
becomes effective	
Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the proverty contained above. cruinance no. Sich, be, and in here of the Afril imenity of they relate to A variance to the provisions of the laws of the section of of

LONG

I'mit adding a breed input the forte and the of the Anthe and the Sure for the of the In fot 1996, sup change to m regiserest powerty in a percel of erret en actition to caletistic puilling lor fai ou o porbine de tra brit. Jerminian in houring parted to Dener 1. 182 There liveringe sherers to

and and the second s

in the second se

the second of the second staticity of the local of the best stated to be and all the second of the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. 4099 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **100**materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **100** ... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen C. Bignell to erect a residence on the NWly 50 ft. of the SEly 170 ft. of the NELy 165 ft. of Lot A, Fueble Lot 285, a parcel of land without street frontage, provided the owners along the undedicated street (Cushman Place) sign written offers to dedicate land for a 50 ft. street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section \$#, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

12

Dated September 25, 19 46

September Chairmen

Res. No. 1770

FORM 2145

Application Received	9-6-46	By City	y Planning Department	
Investigation made	9-25-46	By Clark	Selley - Burton	
Considered by Zoning C	ommittee. 9-25-46	Hearing dat	e	
Decision Condit og	eproval	Date2	5-96 9-37-45	
Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk 7.29	9 Building In	spector gattaint 9-24	4620000
Appeal filed with City	Clerk date	Council Hea	ring, date	
Resolution becomes eff				

second in which here a provide to the condition of the condition of the second states of the

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____ Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

101 W.Do I'r Lander I

les a construction of here a construction of the line of the construction of the

We with a stor with a start

RESOLUTION NO. 84260

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Grace Guinon, 3830 Hilltop Drive, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution 1771 her application for variance to Ordinance No. 35 New Series, to permit operation of a real estate broker's office in the residence at the address mentioned on Lot 10 Block 17 Marilou Park, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

84260

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No.

		SICK		
Helen	Μ.	Willig	City	Clerk.

By.

Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. 3121 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Grace Guinon to operate a Real Estate Broker's Office in the residence at 3830 Hilltop Drive on Lot 10, Block 17, Marilou Park, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35. New Series. No, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

ORM 2145

secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1771

O.K

AMEREAS, Apultuation No. 3101 has been considered by the Soning Considers of the City of San Dipgo, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see derived 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amonded)

- Thet there are not a provided control to the sould be apply to be apply to be apply to the state of the sould be able to the sould be be able to the sould be able t
- That he granting of the toplands on a log intertably stars the control of paraous residing of other of the respirator of and will be a second of derivation of the restriction of the re
- Al That the grantlog of the variance still, space of shorts the structure state of the state of the birds.

THEREFORM NE IT PERCEVED, BU THE FAMILY COMPLETE OF END PLAT W

The Petition of Grace Cuincu to observe a Bell of the Probar's office in the recidence of 3030 Likitop Prive subject 10, liket 1, lowilou 1 why be, one is hereby denied.

Application for a verifice to the provisions of writingno 10. 35. For seried. De, and is hereby denied inspire as they relate to the property continued above.

Investigation made 9-11-46 + 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-46 Hearing date 9-25-46 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-96 Building Inspector 9-27-96 Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 402 Decision of Council _____ Date _____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____ Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Application Received 8-28-46

State Charter

医白白色 的过去式
WHEREAS, Application No. 4052. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George W. Divine to construct a single family residence on all of Lot 4 and the Mly 25 ft. of Lot 5, Block 22, La Jolla Shores Unit #3, on the Wly side of Paseo del Ocaso, approximately 200 ft. south of Calle del Frescota, provided an agreement is signed by the owner of the Sly 2 5 ft. of Lot 5 and all of Lot 6, stating that he will keep the 75 ft. as one parcel of land.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

432 by letter

greement 10/8/46

Dated September 26, 19 46

SPOLES FOR STIDUES

"Secretics" Chairman

ZONING COMMITTEE

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 1772

of the Ciry of San Diego California, and the avidence presented has shown (non Santaut

- sone and visinity. inclined, or to the der intended which do not apply generally to which provide it the the
- and anjoyment of autoinstical property rights of the publication of processing to rates property
- persons residing or marking in the neighborhood, and will not be unintered over minters That the artuiling of the application will BOX. Depertuing affect the nearth of safety and

LITHERORE BE IL MECOLARD' BALLIS YOUNDE COMMILLES IL AND DELL'AND ON AN COLL

south of Colle del Treedebe, provident for a research is at and by the owner of Disten Unit 13, on the MLY ride of Prace del Conro, "miterishtery 200 15. residence on all of not land the bly PL FL. series F. Dieck MT, in Colling Persien is bereig empire to beer e . Trine to construct a challe the to the to the below

A variance be the provirious of Ovilinger He. 2 Helb, or, and Solareby 15 the ab and lorger of lond. the size of the by had a man well of had of stated of the best in the bar had be

Created inpoter on they relate to the property monthaned manual

Investigation made 1-25-46 By Clark City Planning Department The merer level work Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-16 Hearing date Decision Condi approval Date 9-25-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46 Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46-0 Decision of Council_____Date____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____ Time limit extended to ______ Date of action ______

the

galgampet 10*

Application Received 9-6-46

ng Department

successful sector and show there of the

By Bar

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will_____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot Block -366
Subdivision
Old San Diego, lying Wly of Pacific Highway
Frank and Katherine Roberts
may be used for the erection and operation of garage into two additional Auto Court
units in an existing 20 unit auto court as originally granted by Res. 67322. subject to the following conditions

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated

September 26.

By

TTTT Chairms

Res. No. 1773

Application Received 9-13-46 By Caughman
City Department
Investigation made <u>9-25-96</u> By Clark Selley & Buston City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Opproved Date 9-25-46
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date Decision Date 9-25-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46 Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 equations
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 re-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of Council
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn
Time limit extended toDate of action

alter the first a factor of the outer of the outer the sented by ere the

fild an Diede, Laipe Dy of 1 of the Londa'

G 22 W TO T DI

15

parts into the childlawll with dorst

ICESOULDOM OF PROBERTY USE

and the set of the set

WHEREAS, Application No. 4112 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **MOS** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **MOS** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. C. and Alice F. Gross to divide a portion of Fueblo Lot 142 into two building sites to permit one single family residence on each parcel; one 235 ft. by 100 ft. with street frontage on Silvergate Place and the other parcel 100 ft. by 125 ft. fronting on Tavara Place, provided a strip of land 15 ft. wide along the east side of the property is dedicated to the city for the widening of Tavara Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

CODETURES ED

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By....

41-31-6

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

VONTORCYON KULATAND

FORM 2145

Fime Itmit extended to

difficit Chairman

Res. No. 1774

RESOURTION NO. TIL

(1) TEAN Application No. - MILL ... has been considered by the Loring Committee of the City of Sac Dirge California, and the exidence presented has shown (new Section 18. of Ordinance No. 2024, as amended).

- 1. That there are a proceed encoded encoded and apply proceeding to optimize the property for and visitable of the property of apply proceeding to optimize the property is the analysis.
- 3 That the grantery of the application will DOD, setainely after the health of astronomy at persons residing as emilted in the neighborhood, and sit NOD, he personally described as the property of interval and the public solicity of interval as the property of interval and the period.

THEREFORE, BC IT RECONFD, By the Follow Committee of the City of San Links. Con

Permission is hereby formed to 3. C. and Aldos . Gross to Alvies a pertion of Specie Let 100 into the building eiter to result are juste fraily residence on each percel; one 255 ft. ry 100 it. His strent instere on Bilwor site fince and the other percel NO ft. of 100 st. fronting on every times, provided in strip of leaf 1, ft. alte along its with filler.

A verifices to the provisions of Ordinance to. 39, Now Series, bo, and is bereby granted infortures they relate to the property mentioned shows.

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark lew + Courts City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date Decision Condi Opproval Date 9-25-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/27/46 Building Inspector 9/27/46 Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46+0 Decision of Council_____Date____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to ______ Date of action ______

The sign of the second states and second

they !

1 11 12 -

Application Received 9-16-46 By

1110 200

ENDINE TO THE PARTY SECTOR

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ________ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ... not ... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John F. Bate to make an addition to existing residence at 3658 Warner Street on Lot 13, Block 2, Warner Villa Tract, with a 17 ft. 4 in. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

CODY OF REMOVICION ANDI TO CITY

VabriceLTen Millions

Sager Chairman

Res. No. 1775

OLLT THE

of the City of San Diego California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section WHEREAS, Application No. MILLW. me been considered by the Soniag Committee

- 1 That there are applied to a periline applied by the the Area of the Area o
- the public entrate of injurious in the property of reprovements of the merculations persons residing of yorking on the sulgiborhood, and will got in estation (sectoristic for the sectoristic for That the premiting at the application will mot materially willow, the measure of the second of
- to they the present of the vertices evil . not allowing affine the best of the

FORMIN, PR FOLLOWS

ATCH W TA LC" A TD. LOLL MILL. realdence at Joyn Merner Strong on Lot 13, Clock 7, Annur Vill Creek. Permission in hereig guested to form P. Pate to wird in multiput to entabling

A YATTIMOR TO THE PROTITIONS OF STATUTES 10. 5001, AND LONG THE THE THE

serves transfer instruction to they relies to the instruction conver-

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark len + Bur City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date Decision Opproved Date 9-25-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/26/44 Building Inspector 9-26-46 Planning Commission 9-26-46 Petitioner 9-26-46 Health Department 9-26-46 Decision of Council______Date_____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____ Time limit extended to ______ Date of action ______

By

TO THE THE POLIDES 103

Application Received 9-16-46

NUMBER OFFICE

Department

C.14 104 9113

WHEREAS, Application No. 4111 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred and Verna Holding, Sr. to divide a pertion of Lot 25, La Mesa Colony which is 142.5 ft. frontage and 150 ft. depth and 110.5 ft. across the rear of the property into two building sites; to alter a garage with a 7 ft. rear yard and 3 ft. sideyard into living quarters for a period of 6 months; to build a separate toilet building 7 ft. by 13 ft.; existing residence to be removed within 6 months, 5080 Catootin Drive. (description of property on file in Flanning Dept. Office.)

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 and Section Sa of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 19.46

FORM 2145

standing Chairman

RESOLUTION NO. TITO

WHERKAN, Application No. 2111. Ins been considered by the Fraint Committee of the City of San Diego California, and the oridence presented has shown (see Specific 15. of Ordense's no. 5.24, as barndod).

- In that there are not not attended which do not apply generally to other property in the next time and includes.
- 2. Destriction of the scattered would measured by antipartities would measured by antipartities of substantial antiparty sights of the printinger, presents by athen property of the printing of the presents of substantial antiparty sights of the printinger, presents by athen property of the printinger, presents by athen property.
- 3. Their the presidence of the supplication will MOD metericity along the health of entry of periods of the supplication of
- A that the presenting of the warkened site. 1006- ... edepressit effect one means from at the

THEASTON BE IT REPORTED, By the Jouing Comparise of the City of for Diste, which

The starts for is hearly crafted to are one Verm folding, fr. to divide a postant of lot 26, in here cointy which in this, for the fraction on 150 fb. Festh (b) iff., if, serons the row of the trajecty into the milling either the allow a surge with a 7 fb. when y is that of fb. eitheory into living entry for allow a surged of 6 months; to maile a new properties building 7 fb. fy 15 fb.; establing recidence to as removed visith 5 reach s, 000 correctin vive. (description of property on the in flerning 2004, Critec.)

A VELANCE to the provisions of Crelimnee No. 13555 and inclue a sitrilinged So. SUCH, be, and is hereby franked insolve as they relate to the property continued above.

	orey i admittig separ emetre
Investigation made 9-25-96 By	Clark, Sellew + Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46	
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk -26-46 Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9	Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/-26-76	Building Inspector 7-21-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9	-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 ac
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council in the second s
Decision of Council	"Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
application withdrawn	Data of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Notes the states of the states

No

Application Received 9-16-46

31. F 1. 04 9110

NUT THE OF STREET

WHEREAS, Application No. 4115 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ________ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will motion materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. S. and Bertha Beckwith to operate a photo dark room commercially in an existing residence at 4430-37th Street on Lot 32, Block "D", Teralta Heights, provided all work is done within the existing building, not more than 4 hours per day and no signs to be posted on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Line trait extended to

A INAGELTERLION MYCE

and the start of the second start and a second seco

Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Rec No. 1777

RESOLUTION NO LITT

is of Ordinance No. Spid, as amonded ?" ITT?

- severa in the same rails and training and experience of substantial property reduce of the partitioner, restand by ators picture.
- the public moline or injusting to the property of improvements in the merephoning 3. That the granting of the application will not discituity effort the mester of weither an persons residing of working in the anighternody and sill not be contractly descramined to the public melling and the contraction of the second second of the second secon

fornia, as follows

on the property. enteting militing, not nor to a floure or dry in no china to up postor bob 32, Mode and, Mer IC science, provided all toth is fore all have a drif woon conversibly in a calgain, residencon't bid watch which on which FUTURE TOT IS HETOLY DIVISION TO SAME AND ATELLY OF THE TO STATE TO STATE OF THE SAME

Crowbed insolar on they withte to the property continent speva. A Variance to the provisions of Criticance . 10. 18909, Do. on. in the sere year of

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark Sellew + Burton City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-96 Hearing date Decision Condi Opprovol Date 9-25-96 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-96 Building Inspector 9-27-96 Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-4620 Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective

Application Received 9-17-46 By

ng Department

BUSES -- BRODERNINGERER'S OF - 10 HER

WHEREAS, Application No. 4124 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not**materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

BURL CLIER ANDLE MAR

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. T. Scripps, Inc., to replat Lots 1 and 2, Block 11, Braemar Extension at Mission Bay, on Dawes Street east of Briarfield Drive to face Mission Bay instead of Dawes Street, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Ву.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 19 46

WRALLOWSTON NUSSILAND

Beauiniton become enterties

Shidthif Chairman

Res. No. 1775

SILL TON NOTION 10518

9 spersons, application No. NL2163. has been considered by the Zersine Constitution of the tire of size Diego. California and the seldence presented has shown the section 15, of Ordinance No. 5924, as arounded).

- 2. That strict applycation of the strictlene would. hard-hip, mid that the provision of the sould allow an an encoded day is pressented and antisyment of procession property stillers of the political procession of the strictlenest of the political procession.
- First the proof of of any approached sollingt, , metached a strend the beauty of solity of periods reaching of approximation of the probability of the provest of the probability determines to the proverse of approximation of the provest of the

THEREFORE, HE IT RESOLVED, BY the Contra Constant of the City of any Disker, Call

Fernication is heroby presided to P. C. Curron, No., to replat Lote L and P. Slock M. Drosser Actendion of Listin May, on Mores Streak each of Bringled Drive to 2 of Michian May instead of Leves Streak, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Brilmance He. 119, New Serier, be, and is beredy granted inspire as they relate to the property series in they relate to the property series in they we they relate to the property series in the second second second.

Application Received	7-18-76	By Close
apprication Records and		City Planning Department
		0 0
	8-20 116 '	- NO. & XODALIS Ch. t
Investigation made	1-20-79	By Clark Seller + Buston
		City Planning Department
sind we chick five lays .	9-25-46	
Considered by Zoning Co	ommittee 1-20-76	Hearing date
Desister Of	91.00.19	Date 9-25-46 96 Building Inspector 9-27-46 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46
Decision		46 D. 111: Townships 9-22. 11
Copy of Resolution sent	t to City Clerk	Wilding Inspector
Planning Commission %	-27-46 Petitioner	1-27-46 Health Department 1-21-464 Oc
Area 1 Git 1 ith City	Clerk date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City	Cleik, da te ministration	D-4-
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes effe	ective	
		Continued to
Application withdrawn		
Time limit extended to		Date of action

Barrow Sectorber 30,

10

出现。你们完全的正正正正

Pole The T

RESOLUTION NO. 1779

WHEREAS, application No. 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Extension of time on Resolution No. 1451, dated April 11, 1946.

That an extension of 6 months from the date of this resolution be granted to Albert J. and Margaret Rivers to add 35 ft. by 47 ft. to non-conforming store building at 2693 Market Street on the North 50 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block 39, C. L. Carr's Addition, according to plans on file in the Planning Department Office, with 25% overcoverage, no sideyard and a 3 ft. rear yards

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the . sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Ву.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

VOLTIONETON MAEDATAMN

Copy by Kunotucion adds

THREE CINELION MAGA

FORM 2145

Resorne jour precomen straterias

Sectorney Chairman

Artical Walter Leyberster 12,

of the City of Son Diego Galifo and the evidence presented has shown the Southon AULETVE CALLEVIAGON

- name's in the page page and wicklift that werket application of the regulations would
- persons reactions or morting in the actionshould and will mobile on marking a personal and That the grantine of the application will gind materially adding the heaten of and the addition of

Dentenner Pr., 1945

n Paceived

9-13-46

Letter

Extension of time on Assolution Fo. 1951, beted April 11, 1940.

LOUI AVAU* "Lenning Hopersteert of Lice, with 25% everences, as all creatent a 5 -b. Diocit 30, C. J. Denvis Aftabion, seconding to them on sile in the store building at 2603 leavet street on the lorth 10 ft. of Lots 1 and 6. to shows at any longer facto to buy H The by M to an according to thet an extension of 6 months from the date of this resolution be franted

Uninded incolts as bley silling to the represent centioned shown. A verience to be providions of Craincice No. 1990, De, and te hereit

TITLE TO A COLOR AND A COLOR A	City Planning Department
	A STREET AND A STREET AND A STREET
Investigation madeBy	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hea	ring date
Decision Ett. approved Dat	e 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-26-76 Bui	Iding Inspector 9-27-46
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-96 Bui Planning Commission 9-27-96 Petitioner 9-27-	-46 Health Department 9-27-46 8 Game
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of CouncilDat	e
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Con	tinued to
Time limit extended to Dat	e of action

Note that and a

WHEREAS, Apprint in the September 12, has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will most be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Extension of time on Resolution No. 1452, dated April 11, 1946.

That an extension of 6 months from the date of this resolution be granted to Albert J. and Margaret Rivera, to add. 35 ft. by 47 ft. to non-conforming store building at 2693 Market Street on the North 50 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block 39, C. L. Carr's Addition, with no setback, according to plans on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 11, 1946

Time limit extended t

Sagaran Chairman

Res. No. 1780

RESOLUTION NO.

CO FULTING AND ADDRESS STATES AND SOME STATES AND BEEN CONSIDERED BY THE SUMMITTEE OF CARACTER THE CARACTER SECTION AND THE SECTION (See Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8021, at amended)

- 2. That the grantine of the application of (1,000), successfully strong the meetid of active of present residing of secting in the neighborhout, and will gift be maintainy devicement in the public weights or reputions to the property is included in the origibarback.

TRUNKFORE, BE IT REPORTED By the Zening Complition of the City of San Diese, Dailold, as follows

Extendion of time on Resolution to. 1993, dated furth it. 1994.

Then an extension of 6 membra from ale it is of bits remaining by interested to Albert d. and herearch diverse, to and 35 ft. 17 W(ft. to conseputations store suilding at 2005 thrich fivert on the forth 50 ft. of lote 1 and 2, flock 39, 6. 1. Ontrie Addition, with to sobyed, and ring to place on file in the ilonaing from these border.

A variable to the provisions of the proceeding a period above.

City Planning Department
Investigation madeBy
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date
Decision Eft. approved Date 1-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-26-96 Building Inspector 7-27-96
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46 Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of CouncilDate
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

9-13-46

Lette

中国生活的 网络小学校 2010

WHEREAS, Application No. 4106 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application willmaterially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of San Diego County Mutual Fire Insurance Company, Mary Mathis and Marie Seibel, to construct a building with a 6 ft. setback from Park Boulevard at 3697-99 Park Boulevard on Lot 1 and the Mly 10 ft. of Lot 2, Block 248, Subdivision of University Heights, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 9537, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

at at insufact his clarge reliants in the aptroporter and chemical shallow

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

THEN OF HOTION

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 19.46.

TUASS STRUCTOU DINE

Application Received

Heeofnition pecomes silecting

bod of the Chairman

Res. No. 1781

LESOLUTION NO LIT

NUMBAS, Application Ne 2106, has been considered by the Soning Consistent of the City of San Diego, Colifornia, and the ovidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Galinance No. 8924, as swended).

- 3. That the granting of the application will, 265 secondly aftent the particul at each of each of particular and all the property of the prope
- t. That the granting of the variance will think a diversary affaul the Master Dist of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORM BE IT NEGOLVOD, By the Zuillog Cumplices of the City of The Darge, Call

The petition of in piece County introducing findersons Carteny, Mary Lathia and Duris Selbel, to construct the Linne with n 0 fb. setucid from Part Poulevari of 2007-09 off: optevird on hot I and the MIP 10 fb. of 1 Lot 2, diach the, subliviation of University Solution by and in Loreby Cented.

Ap listion for a writtee to the provisions of treitmore to. (937, be, ond is hereby feated incoder on they relate to the procent instituted above.

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date Decision Decision Date 9-25-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-86 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk, av 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 Realth Department 9-27-46 Decision of Council _____ Date _____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____ Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Bv

period perperper Sotan

Application Received 9-18-46

164414 1 64 T 15/F

anning Department

- - - -

WHEREAS, Application No. 4108 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fay M. Goodwin, operator and A. I. Medearis, owner to operate a Dance Studio on Lot J and the South 10 ft. of Lot K, Block 386, Horton's Addition, 3280 - 6th Street, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the business be operated hetween the hours of 4:00 P.M. and 9:00 P.M.;
- 2. Permit to be for one (1) year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 19 46

CODA OF RECONCION TODA

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1782

LEZOFOLIOM NO . TOP

of the Crity of San Diego California and the avidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Grainman No. 8924 as purpled)

- 1. Thet the a site invested on to the upp incomford, which do not apply proposity to other, proposity in the same none and visionity.
- 3. Then the granting of the application will mot the second the heat of a business of a particular parameters of the particular of the second second second to the second seco
- 4 Then the granting of the environme still (2005) suppressive states who measure then of the Cathy of the Press.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESCLASH, By the Consector of the City of our Livie we

fermis ion is hereby granted to Frysh, coshin, any tar and a. 1. contrast ounce to operate a same studio on Lot a millio long restrict, of rob a, Block 355, sorten's Arbitles, 3250 - Aby Strot, such of to the following conditions:

- ante Otob Littlific
- 1 7. Jerosh to be for one (1) reit from the date of this recolution.

A workends to the providing of the right, set is not a result of the subject of they reached to the subject of they reached to the subject of they reached to the subject of the subject o

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark es + Cento City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date Decision Confl Opprove Date 9-25-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46 Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46 Decision of Council ______ Date _____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____ Time limit extended to ______ Date of action ______

Charles Charles

Departmen

and a standard and and and

Application Received 9-14-46 By

WHEREAS, Application No. 4063 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Effic Jones to divide Lot 40, Portion of Block B, Subdivision of Villa Lots 117 to 127 and Por. of 116, Normal Heights, Benton Place and Mountain View Drive into two building sites, each with 50 ft. or more street frontage and each parcel to contain 5,000 sq. ft. or more, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13594, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

the second s In the second second

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 19.46.

Sagretary Chairman

Res. No. 1783

SECONDITION NO. THE

V HEREAS, Application No. 1923, has been considered by the Soning Converses of the City of San Billin, Collicania, and the evidence presented has shown (see Surling 15. of Ordinance No. 8024, se amended).

- 1. They there give a subscient exceedent on conditions applieded to the memory involved, at to the viscounded, which do not apply generally to other property to the temperature of a subscience.
- 2 That strict application of the reministrate would hardship, and that the station of the suplication of hord entrument of the station of the suplication of
- A number of the provision of statuty there at the peristance, personally other protects
- 3. That the evaluation of the spokerstion will BOC asterially affact the breath or antery of persons residing on weaking in the neighborhood, and will BOC be estimately descreased in the public selface or invorting to the property or improvements in the self-period.

Normission is hereby arounded to Kru. Divis Money to divide Lot 30, Fertion of Money e, Austimizion of Tills Lots Lif to Lef and Fer. of 11, Torogi Pricetory, Benton Place and Homekani Mer Brive Into the building sites, order file 50 fs. or more struck fracting and such reach is contain 9,000 me. It. or more, to promit one charle fracting and such reach.

A Warkings to the manualize of Brothensee to. 1959", be, rol is bereit

Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date Decision Dete 9-25-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-16 Building Inspector 9-27-46 Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-4640 Decision of Council_____Date____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn ______Continued to _____ Time limit extended to ______ Date of action ______

Application Received 9-4-46

Belog Bengeroen Sel

By Goa ing Department

TEL 10° 7100

WHEREAS, Application No. 4068 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- . 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
 - 3. That the granting of the application will mot materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
 - That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to S. G. Hazlitt to build a single family residence on the South SO ft. of the Northerly 310 ft. of Morena Acre Lot 122, lying West of Hartford Street, on Galveston Street south of Wilton Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85. New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

DWEG DE BREERD

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 19 46

HEBIICEITED Receibed

By Sidefity Chairman

Res. No.1784

SELOTOLION NO TINY

MHPREAS, Application No. NUMB. Thus been considered by the Sonang Consistence of the City of San Diego. California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 5924. as anothed).

- That there are a particular control of circumstances or conditions applicable to the property in the low involved, or to the use intended which do not apply generally to other property in the low rose and without y.
- 2. Thei strict sphilosites of the regulations would hardehip, and that the granting of the application is precessed for the granting and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the periods. Surcessed by effect or neer events in the same and efficienty
- 2. Thet the granting of the application will hab, measurable affect the new th or encorport persons residing or working in the calgaborhood, statistic field of mersiding accurately destinancel as the public solfars of injurious to the property of leptoneously a law accurately accurately destinancel accurately needed.

10

hebsernes shi

Application Received 9-6-46

THEREFORE, BE IT REFULTED BY the Journe Consistence of the Collina and

Normination is bereau drauted to d. C. Lapidth to which a that is indicated and the north of the orthogonal structure of the south of the logic structure of the south of the logic structure of the south of the line theory.

Planning Department Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark ers + Buston City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date Considered by Zoning Committee 7-23-76 Hearing date Decision Date 9-25-96 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-96 Building Inspector 9-26-96 Planning Commission 9-26-46 Petitioner 9-26-46 Health Department 9-26-46 Decision of Council_____Date_____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____ Time limit extended to ______ Date of action ______

WHEREAS, Application No. 4091 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ Not_____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of William F. and Beatrice G. Justice to operate a Shoe Repair Shop in a garage at the rear of the residence at 3482 Copley Avenue on the West 37 ft. of Lot 13, Block S, Norman Heights, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12959, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1046

Copy of Resolution munt to this

Application withdrawn

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1785

O.K

respective in the second	
Application Received 9-6-46	- Presek
Application Received 7-6-76	Eity Planning Department
Investigation made 9-25-46	By Clark Sellew + Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46	Hearing date Date <i>P-25-Y6</i> Y6 Building Inspector <i>P-26-Y6</i> <i>9-26-Y6</i> Health Department <i>P-26-Y6</i> + <i>G</i>
Convert De Intion sent to City Clerk -26	46 Building Inspector 9-26-46
Planning Commission 9-26-96 Petitioner	9-26-46 Health Department 9-26-46 + 92
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time linit standed to	

indiferential for a values to the fourthous of three of the second states of the second secon

CEUTONS

the relition of allies :. Mi attrice . Justice to a structure shoe menuit from list of solution retroit to the near shop to it. Without the solution without the solution of t

and an and a second of the sec

The second se

Ar and seen the ship of a ship of a ship of a ship of and an and a ship of a

The second second we available the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. 4100 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ Not_____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application willmaterially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Moyd R. Downham to convert portion of an existing garage at 1565 Mendota Avenue on Lot 1, Block 13, Point Lona Heights into a sleeping room, with a 1 ft. sideyard, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26. 1946

Res. No. 1786

O.R

				A
Ye	$P \cup L$	1):		
10.00	1- 20 -		1	A 10.

45 AS AS

-10.1

TOO .

Application Received 9-10-46 By Garrich City Planning Department
Application Received City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark, Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date Decision Date 9-25-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-26-46 Planning Commission 9-26-46 Petitioner 9-26-46 Health Department 9-26-46 Resolution
Decision g=26-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Building Inspector
Planning Commission 7-20-96 Petitioner 7-26-76 Health Department 7-26-76 402
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of CouncilDate
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn
Time limit extended to

the standard the second in a second with the

seption The bre consult morning desired i bod r as they relate to be This time for a vertice of the state of the burger of the second the

The pulting of logic . We is to convert notify of a signify of a logic to a l

Towners importantly that.

the merria

Real AND BUTTER

WHEREAS, Application No. 4113 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel and Elizabeth Kahn to erect a Do-nut stand on Lot 6, Block 233, Middletown, on the corner of Bandini Street and Pacific Highway, with a 12 ft. setback, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. When the street is widened the building will be moved back to the established setback line without expense to the city;
- 2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

reement # 431 filed 10/2/46

FORM 2145

Dated September 26, 19 46

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Res. No. 1787

STATES STATES STATES THE STATES S
Application Received 9-13-46 By Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark Sellew & Burton City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date Decision Contraction and Date 9-25-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2-46 Building Inspector 10-2-46 Planning Commission 10-2-46 Petitioner 10-2-46 Health Department 10-2-464
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 0-2-46 Building Inspector 0-2-46
Planning Commission 10-2-46 Petitioner 10-2-46 Health Department 10-2-1619
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of CouncilDate
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to

1012 *0 AL

A verd non to the protisions of the inclusion of the second replaced sources and the heread framework and the second second sources and the second second sources and the second second second sources and the second second

- * added to the starte and the product
- to the net filter oftends line stands from the to the city :
- 1. Then the strong is live an the building said by 1 over 10 bit

following conditions:

States and she with the

Service and a service reached to some in the other of a boar of a service of some service of a s

the second se

2011 2011 WHEREAS, Application No. 4080 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ... not ... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Klicka Jr. and Joseph and Stephanie E. Avoyer, to divide Lets 1 and 2; Elock 2, Loma View on the Southeast corner of Silvergate and Jennings Streets, into two building sites to permit one single family residence on each parcel, described as follows: (1) Sly 60 ft. of Lots 1 and 2 and (2) the Mly 71 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, provided 10 ft. of land along Silvergate Avenue is dedicated to the City/Enders' describer cut-off at the corner of Silvergate Ave. and Jennings Street, and a 10 ft. setback to be maintained from the new street line on Silvergate Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 19 46

FORM 2145

Res. #1788

Shoten ser at	
Application Received 9-17-46	By City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-25-46 F	y Clark, Seller + Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46	Hearing date Date 9-25-46 6 Building Inspector 9-28-46 9-28-46 Health Department 9-28-46 Council Hearing, date
Decision Condi approval	Date 1-25-96
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ?- 26-4	6 Building Inspector 7-28-96
Planning Commission 9-21-46 Petitioner	9-21-46 Health Department 9-21-46x Oca
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	
Time limit extended to	

Provide CITYCE

Lige 90 Gilverocka iyonie. 4 svilance by the provision of intiration of 32, everyor, on, only in Indian of interaction to the restored of the subset.

indver, to interpret to come liets of the requiring the primits of the result of the rotation is investigated to the state of the rotation of the rot

not

and the set of the set

WHEREAS, Application No. 4082 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **NOT** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **NOT** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Klicka Jr., and Joseph and Stephanie E. Avoyer, to divide Lots 1 and 2, Block 4, Loma View on the Southeast corner of Silvergate and Wilcox Streets into two parcels to front on Silvergate Avenue, desicribed as follows; the Sly 61 ft. of Lots 1 and 2 and the Mly 71 ft. of Lots 1 and 2; provided a 10 ft. strip of land is dedicated to the City for the widening of Silvergate Avenue and a 10 ft. setback to be maintained from the new street line on Silvergate Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Ву.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

FORM 2145

Aseastary Chairman

and the fer ber of	
Application Received 9-17-46 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-25-46 By	Clark Lelley + Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Decision Condit appropriate Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Planning Commission 9-21-46 Petitioner 9	Hearing date
Decision Condi appropal	Date 7-2 5-76
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/-26-46	Building Inspector
Planning Commission Jedin 76 Petitioner 9	-27-96 Health Department 9-21-968 and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	"Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

L'ANTINCE DE DE REGULARDE DE PRIMER DE J'ANTINUES DE PRIME, D'A PRILA RETERV REGER LERBY N'EN L'ANTIN LA LONGER DE REFLAND MONTA.

a service planeties as its annet an and

testinitationing testing (prices to come bid's dr, for order on bid drain , somer, to distrate both 1 cond, to there is not construct conner of diversity definition areats into bid specify to more on dilatering some, definition control is the later of to more on the too is 'i is of obstant off, provide a later of the finite definition is the offer for the literia, of liversity of the rate of the definition of the offer for the literia, of liversity of the rate of the definition of the offer for the literia, of liversity of the rate of the definition of the offer for the literia, of liversity of the rate of the definition of the offer for the literia, of liversity of the rate of the definition of the offer for the literia, of liversity of the rate of the definition of the first for the literia, by literial of the rate of the rate.

nop
WHEREAS, Application No. 4081 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Klick Jr. and Joseph and Stephane E. Avoyer to divide Lots 13 and 14, Block 2, Loma View, on the Northeast corner of Silvergate Avenue and Wilcox Streets into two parcels to face on Silvergate Avenue and described as follows: (1) the Sly 71 ft. of Lots 13 and 14; and (2) the Nly 61 ft. of Lots 13 and 14, provided a 10 ft. strip of land is dedicated to the City for the widening of Silvergate Avenue and a 10 ft. setback to be maintained from the new street line on Silvergate Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 19 46

secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1790

Application Received 9-17-46 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-25-46 By	0
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46	
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Decision Consil garage 1 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-961 Planning Commission 9-27-96 Petitioner 9-	Building Inspector 1-27-46 27-46 Health Department 9-27-4640
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	
Time limit extended to	

014 04 100

is the fine to blan posterious at it inter on the restored in a state of the sectored norma

" ABUINAL

nob

not

170 0

WHEREAS, Application No. 41.32 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Klicka Jr., and Joseph and Stephane E. Avoyer to divide Lots 14 to 17 inclusive, Block 14, Reseville Heights at right angle at the corner of Jennings and Albion Streets into two parcels to permit one single family residence on each parcel described as follows: (1) the Mly 56 ft. of Lots 14 to 17 inc.; (2) and the Sly 61 ft. of Lots 14 to 17 inc.; provided a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Albion Street;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

FORM 2145

Societaty Chairman

Res. No. 1791

O.K

Application Received 9-25-46

the put por 10.

Department By By Clark

at you This

Investigation made

w + Curto City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-96 Decision Condit Opproval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-96	Hearing date
Decision Condil approval	Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46	Building Inspector 9-27-96
Planning Commission 7-27-46 Petitioner 2-	27-46 Health Department 1-27-46+0
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

9-25-46

. HE SO AT IT LET THERE AT A DIA LATING AN THE TROUGHT CHERICIAN VOUND.

An art of the First fice: () and plater of the first of the first first one sie to comer al antisectos on a cal inter actantes à terroris (n's parts actants and a se Hermin for in Merkow rather by their a filther we and the dram bold up

and the second of the second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. 4133 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Klicka Jr., and Joseph and Stephane E. Avoyer to maintain a 5 ft. setback on Jennings Street at the corner of Jennings and Albion Streets on Lots 14 to 17 inclusive, Block 14, Roseville Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.....

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 196

Sector SaryChairman

The primary and a state	
Application Received 9-24-46 H	Burton
Investigation made 9-25-46 E	A
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46	Hearing date Date 9-25-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Decision approved	Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk -26-	6 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 7-4 / 79 Petitioner	-27-96 Health Department 9-21-79 192
	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

10.0* 110*0 Y120*

. Wetting is the movisions of rilinged . I start of the fatter.

uor second s

and Acre WHEREAS, Application No. 3898 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. W. Richards to divide Lots 9 to 12 inclusive, Block 24, Bird Rock Addition on Beaumont Avenue into three (3) building sites, each with 53 1/3 ft. steet frontage and 125 ft. in depth, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 19 46

By sticking Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1793

Application Received 9-17-46 By City Planning Department
City Framming Depart mont
Investigation made 9-25-46 By Clark Selley + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-46 Hearing date Decision Opproved Date 9-25-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46 Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-46
Decision approved Date 1-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner 9-27-46 Health Department 9-27-4646
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of CouncilDate
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawnContinued to
Time limit extended to

100* 10* 1522?

A word not to the provision of the protection of 13700 y 10 y the static of the protection of the prot

1 2 2 2

0

repriseion in the version tot. . Starte to ivite out 9 to 1: Inclusive, Bach re, the ort within on counsel vane into Sires (5) building ritet, decouvles of 1/3 re steet rest scaling re. In terts, to servic one cincle frails residuces on a characti.

an and a second of the second

RESOLUTION NO. 1794, amended by # 2613 Amends Res. No. 1639 Letter dated September 16, 1946

WHEREAS, **PPPIERTION NO** has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1639, dated July 18, 1946, be emended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. W. Himes to divide Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 59, Subdivision of Acre Lots 17, 18 & 35, Pacific Beach at Beryl and Jewell Streets into two lots facing Jewell Street; the corner lot to have 75 ft. street frontage and the inside lot 50 ft. street frontage; to permit one single family residence on each parcel; provided the required setback is maintained on Beryl Street and a 10 ft. setback maintained on Jewell Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

В у.....

Secretary Chairman

Rec. No. 1794

FORM 2145

Dated

September 26.

46

Letter Application Received 9-17-46 B	y Mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	yCity Planning Department
Q an ul	
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-25-96	Hearing date
Decision amended Kes. # 1639	Date 9-25-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 26-4	Hearing date Date 9-25-46 Building Inspector 9-27-46 -27-46 Health Department?-27-46
Planning Commission 9-27-96 Petitioner 9	-27-46 Health Department -27-462 acaec
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	

1424 114 1. By

The in Carlinson

There is the factor of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state.

Destimated of the second of the second structure of the second structure, The second structure of the second structure of the second structure, the second structure of the

that erna dan un, leffe, a ben augelt ; lefte, je sugared to word en rellorer:

the second second second and the second s

100

a liter and a second

1707

- the stand best sides it's bills

RESOLUTION NO. 1795

Letter dated September 18, 1946

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of time be granted on Resolution No. 1377, dated March 14, 1946 and Resolution No. 82856, dated April 2, 1946 to permit Philip N. Shea and Joseph Levikow, et al. owners and the U. S. Holding Company, purchaser, to build and operate a Radio Transmission Station, including 3 towers and an equipment building at the north end of 63rd Street on Lots 13 and 14, La Mesa Colony, for a period of 6 months from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 1946

By...... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1795

Letter Application Received 9-19-46	By Mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-25-16	Hearing date
Copy of Possibilition cent to City Ch. 1 226	Date 7-25-96
Planning Commission 9-27-46 Petitioner	Hearing date Date 9-25-96 96 Building Inspector 9-27-96 9-27-96 Health Department 1927-964000
appear filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

eur dans represente

remines to the recipions of a inner of interest interest interest

and the second second second second second second

ant not

Charles T. T. S. Charles

RESOLUTION NO. 1796 ander 1957

WHEREAS, Application No. 4098 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application willmaterially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Frank Olenics, owner and C. N. Charnoch, purchaser, to divide a portion of Lot 25, La Mesa Colony (description on file in office of City Planning Department) into four lots; three with 55 ft. frontage and one with 63 ft. frontage on La Dorna Street, near Catoctin Drive, and all lots with approximately 125 ft. depth.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

I have every on copy surry Shap

By

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 26, 19

FORM 2145

Sauth Chairman

Res. No. 1796

O.K

the perspect to 12, 13,	2 1
Application Received 9/5/46 By	Rick
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made 9/25/46 By	Clark Seller + Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/25/46	Verting date
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/25/46 Decision Opposed Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/2/46 Planning Commission 92/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 9/25/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/2/46	Building Inspector 10/2/46
Planning Commission 12/49 Petitioner	10/2/46 Health Department 10/2/4/64 and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Acsolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A restored solution of the solution of the solution of a solution of the solut

1730

not not not

they want will be they that when he have being an inter

WHEREAS, Application No. 4101 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to Fred A. Brandt to make interior alterations and construct an addition to an existing duplex at 1640-42 State Street with no sideyay on the North 10 ft. of Lot 9 and the South 20 ft. of Lot 10, Block 32, Middletown; addition to be an enlargement of the bedroom, taking in an existing porch and to be under existing roof with no additional living units.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By

Searchang Chairman

totopar lo, a state Me	a see the tree
Application Received 9/10/46 B	Parish City Plansing Department
Investigation made 9/25/46 B	Zoning Committee
Considered by Zoning Committee 925/46+ 10/9	Citselanning Department
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/0/46	Hearing date Date Building Inspector <u>10/11/46</u> Health Department <u>10/11/46</u> Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

sal to a state of the

partition to the provisions of in hence No. """, Perion ", De, suit is beached

1049 p 0 * 1 1

is relationed on in here of strated to late . Such the price interior discrimentations and construct on Facilitan to an existing online to 1000 period site of the price of the price of on the facts IOTE, strate 9 and the south 10 Mt. of act 10, the PC, of statistic on the set of the south of the bedreen, to interiod establishes and to be the entries of the bedreen, to interiod establishes and to be the entries of the bedreen, to interiod

and the second

104

WHEREAS, Application No. 4020 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3 That the granting of the application will **205** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **201** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Fermission is hereby granted to Charles D. and Mary A. Mc Farlane to erect 8 additional units in a new existing court at 6235 M Cajon Boulevard, a portion of Lot 9, La Mesa Colony, approximately 125 ft. east of 62nd Street with 100 ft. frontage on El Cajon Boulevard, provided a strip of land 20 ft. wide, across the front of the property is dedicated to the city for the widening of El Cajon Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13555, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 19 46

increherry Chairman

Res. No. 1798

COPOLET T	Christian and Andrew Christian and
	By City Planning Department
Investigation made 9/25/46	
Considered by Zoning Committee 725+10/9/46 Decision Conde Approvol Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/9/10/44 Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/0/10/44 Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner	Building Inspector 19/11/46 0/11/46 Health Department/9/11/46 1 Games
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to

NUMPER PROPERTY (PRO-

a writings to the registration of redimension 1990, in, and is bereby control lugorith on they relate to the relation of the relation of the relation.

repristion in terms support to incluse . The set . Couldre to smoke to include the set . Couldre to smoke to include the set . Couldre to the set . Could be . Could be set . Could be set

not

not.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4011 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

+ n / 1

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Southern California Telephone Company to build and operate an addition to a Telephone Archange Office at Dawes and Hornblend Streets on Lots 19 and 20, Block 230, Pacific Beach, with a 10 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

By Sacrarate Chairman

Res. No. 1799

anunanenessenessenessenessenes '

O.K

obober 10, 16	
Application Received 9/23/46 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made 10/9/46 By	Burton + Rick
	City Discrite Deservest
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/96 He Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/9/10/96 Bu Planning Commission 10/11/96 Petitioner 10/11	aring date
Decision approved Da	te 10/9/96
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /0/10/16 Bu	ilding Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 19/11/46 Petitioner 10/	1/46 Health Department 10/11/464 Case
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	te
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawnCo	ntinued to
Time limit extended toDa	te of action

Tet. 1 4. 3 100

A vertice a bo the providing of drift incree to the provention of us such in the carrier routed in the provident of the second o

TO SET ADDA SANSE'S

Lordingion is foreign arrited on worthous of light of the first to main the second of the one of the second of the

and a the second for the second room in the

are a sea a suger of a sub-reaction of the second s

The survey of the second second

the set of the set of

The Party Party of the second to the state

a and fore the second state and the second s

And Late and Kouge 10.11

A MAL OLGIUS OF LAS 1961 DA PROVINCE

WHEREAS, Application No. 4010 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to Southern California Telephone Company to build and operate an addition to existing Telephone Exchange Office at 3704 Tennyson Street on Lots 15 to 17 inclusive, Block 20, Foint Loma Heights, with a 0 ft. sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By-----

ZONING COMMITTEE . CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

"Secondary Chairman

Res. No. 1800

C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	The second s		
Application Received	9/23/46	B _. y	City Planning Department
Investigation made			
			City Planning Department
Decision Opport	committee 19.1/96	Hearing Date	date / 0/9/46 g Inspector 10/11/46 Health Department 19/11/46 + Acas Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution ser Planning Commission / C	it to City Clerk /3/10 2/11/46 Petitioner	10/11/46	g Inspector 0/11/46 Health Department 9/11/46 + Aan
Appeal filed with Cits Decision of Council	Clerk, date	Council Date	Hearing, date
Resolution becomes eff	fective		ed to
Time limit extended to	D	Date of	action

Level des to bie reportion d'offic l'anne for the report of the problem of the second states.

Libes it of the star star Mail Tomo "Da abbay C on Jack 15 to 17 Includive, of we set south your on wills and ondrete in Sollt on to evisting " Ladon's John e clile it Tem instant is for in carefor to not them with with to be service to

nop nop

nat