RESOLUTION NO. 1801

WHEREAS, Application No. 4009 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry and Katherine Claflin to construct an addition to an existing building at 3022 - 45th Street on Lots 21 to 28 inclusive, Block 7, Clifton Addition to City Heights, and to operate as a hospital, full time, with a maximum of 40 beds; required setback to be maintained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3236, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 9/18/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 + 9/25/46 By Burton + Rick
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ~~10/9/46~~ 9/25/46 Hearing date 10/9/46
Decision approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1802

WHEREAS, Application No. 4107 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will ....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Henry and Katherine Claflin to construct a building at 3022 - 45th Street on Lots 21 to 28 inclusive, Block 7, Clifton Addition to City Heights, with no setback, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1802

Application Received 9/18/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/25/46 By Zoning Comm., Rick + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/25/46 Hearing date 10/9/46
Decision Denied Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/10/46
Planning Commission 10/10/46 Petitioner 10/10/46 Health Department 10/10/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1803

WHEREAS, Application No. 4127 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Kathrine H. Von Dreden and Mrs. Barbara Stacey to add two apartments above an existing building at 1826 Robinson Street on the West 75 ft. of Lots 25, 26 and 27, Block 249, University Heights, with 377 sq. ft. over coverage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated **October 10,** 19 **46** By .....

Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1803

Application Received 9/17/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/25/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/25/46 Hearing date 10/9/46
Decision Amended Ord. Approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1804

WHEREAS, Application No. 4105 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marie Seibel, and Mary Matthis, owners and San Diego County Mutual Fire Insurance Company, purchaser, to conduct an Insurance Office in an existing building at 3699 Park Boulevard on the Nly 10 ft. of Lot 2 and all of Lot 1, Block 248, University Heights, with a sign as permitted in any R-4 zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1804

Application Received 9/18/46 By Sowth
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/25/46 By Clark Sellers & Benton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/25/46 Hearing date 10/9/46
Decision Approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 & Comm
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

B.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1805

WHEREAS, Application No. 4088 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. H. Davis, owner and Charles Kunzelman, purchaser, to conduct a Retail Store for sale of Toys, with outdoor display, on the east side of 47th Street., approximately 300 ft. south of Federal Boulevard on Lot 55, Federal Boulevard Subdivision Unit No. 2, for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution and with a sign as permitted in any R-4 zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2308, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By .....

Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1805

Application Received 9/23/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Burton + Rick
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

6. K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1806

WHEREAS, Application No. 4153 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. E. Klein to construct a 14 ft. by 18 ft. addition to an existing residence at 2421 - 33rd Street on Lot 3, Block 40, Eastern Addition and maintain an 18 ft. rear yard for the addition; to be used as a playroom with bedroom above.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By

######## Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1806

Application Received 9/26/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Burton + Rick
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1807

WHEREAS, Application No. 4159 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Coronado Development Company to construct a garage on Lot 18, Block I, Redland Gardens Extension, 4600 Hinson Place, with a 2 ft. setback on the turn-around, but maintaining general 10 ft. setback on Hinson Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By .....
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1807

Application Received 9/26/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By ?
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O. K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1808

WHEREAS, Application No. 4150 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eugene and Ruth Lamb to conduct lapidary business 5 hours per day in an existing garage at 2636 Montclair Street on Lot 33, Block "P", Montclair, with no retail sales and no advertising on the premises.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12520, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1808

Application Received 9/28/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Burton + Rick
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1809

WHEREAS, Application No. 4170 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Worthy and Vera Lee Andrews to add garage with apartment above, to existing residence with a 2 ft. sideyard at 2048 Ocean View Boulevard on the SEly ½ of Lot 11 and all of Lot 12, Block 279, San Diego Land & Town Company; addition to maintain the required yard spaces.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1809

Application Received 9/30/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Burton & Rick
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1810

WHEREAS, Application No. 4144 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Maria Olguin, owner and R. S. Spencer, lessee, to convert garage to dwelling at 2240 Newton Avenue on Lots 10 and 11, Block 126, San Diego Land & Town Company's Addition, with a 4 ft. 6 in. space between dwellings and a 9 ft. 6 in. single court as access to Newton Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

By

Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1810

Application Received 10/1/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Rick + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1811

WHEREAS, Application No. 4177 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Milo L. Berenson to construct a stairway with a 1 ft. sideyard, 3 stories in height at 3366 - 6th Avenue, on Lot "L", Block 387, Horton's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

1. That if at any time a building is constructed on the adjoining Lot "K" a clearance of 6 ft. will be maintained between the building and the above mentioned stairway on Lot "L".
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

agreement #435
filed 10/15/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1811

Application Received 10/2/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Rick + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/15/46 Building Inspector 10/15/46
Planning Commission 10/15/46 Petitioner 10/15/46 Health Department 10/15/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1812

WHEREAS, Application No. 4176 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Milo L. Berenson to construct a balcony and fire escape at 3366 - 6th Avenue on Lot "L", Block 387, Horton's Addition with a 12.5 ft. setback from the front property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By .....
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1812

Application Received 10/2/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Rick + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1813

WHEREAS, Application No. 4143 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. A. Ross to operate cutting and polishing of semi-precious stone and retail, on a part time basis, no more than 4 hours per day, in an existing building at 4032 - 35th Street on the North 5 ft. of Lot 31, all of Lot 32 and the South 15 ft. of Lot 33, Block 197, City Heights, and maintain a 2 ft. by 3 ft. sign on the residence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By .....
Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 10/4/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Rick + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Comments
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

October 16,1946

P.Q.Burton, Zoning Investigator

Zoning Committee

Amendment to Resolutions 1814 and 1815

At the last regular meeting of the Zoning Committee two petitions were considered from Robert L. Haniman and the requests made therein granted.

In order to get these petitions before the Zoning Committee, such haste was necessary that errors were made in the legal descriptions involved. It is suggested that Resolutions No.1814 and No.1815 be amended to describe the parcels of land as follows:

(1) W 80'
(2) E 105' of the W 185'
(3) E 50' of the W 235'
(4) E 285'

Other papers involved should be amended accordingly.

P. Q. Burton
Zoning Investigator

B/r

RESOLUTION NO. 1814 Amended by Res. #1850

WHEREAS, Application No. 4194 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anne Marie and Robert L. Haniman to divide the South ½ of the North ½ of Acre Lot 36, Pacific Beach, (except streets), into four parcels and to construct three residences in addition to an existing residence on the parcel at the corner of Law and Ingraham Streets; parcels described as follows: (1) W 80'; (2) E 235' except the W 80'; (3) E 285' except the W 235'; and (4) the E 224.11', subject to the following conditions:

1. That all work as required under a tentative subdivision map which has been approved will be completed and the final subdivision map will be filed as soon as possible;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement # 433
filed 10/11/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

By .....
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1814

Application Received 10/9/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made ——— By ———
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 & Amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1815 amended by Res. # 1851

WHEREAS, Application No. 4193 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anne Marie and Robert L. Haniman to divide the North ½ of the South ½ of Acre Lot 36, Pacific Beach, except streets and alley into four parcels and to construct three residences in addition to an existing residence on the parcel at the corner of Law and Ingraham Streets; parcels described as follows: (1) W 80'; (2) E 235' except the W 80'; (3) E 285' except the W 235'; and (4) the E 224.25', subject to the following condition:

1. That all work as required under a tentative subdivision map which has been approved will be completed and the final subdivision map will be filed as soon as possible;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement #434 filed 10/11/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1815

Application Received 10/9/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date _____
Decision Cond'l approval Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

9,K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1816

WHEREAS, Application No. 4169 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold C. and Emma T. Brooks and Samuel A. and Dorothy A. Tomlin to divide the North ½ of the Northeast ¼ of Lot 30, Rancho Ex-Mission, Horton's Purchase into two parcels, each with not less than 100 ft. frontage, to permit one single family residence on each parcel, with at least 125 ft. between the two residences. Hilltop + Euclid.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 10/3/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Rick + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Ammson
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1817

WHEREAS, Application No. 3914 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to construct a 5 ft. standard cyclone wire fence on Lots 14 and 15, Block 62, Resubdivision of Blocks 39 & 56, Normal Heights, to enclose a school playground on three sides for the school at 4630 - 34th Streets; fence to be constructed in front of the setback line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

By [illegible] Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1817

Application Received 9/26/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Rick + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1818

WHEREAS, Application No. 4125 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of J. B. Eschwege to construct a residence on the north side of Beryl Street approximately 100 ft. west of Foothill Boulevard on Lot 21, Block 20, North Shore Highlands, and maintain a 10 ft. rear yard, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Section 8a, of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 9/27/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Rick + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1819

WHEREAS, Application No. 4097 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Building Company to divide Lots 23 t o 27 inclusive, Block 7, Point Loma Heights on Poe and Wabaska Drive south of Capistrano, into four building sites as per plat on file in the office of the Planning Department, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1819

Application Received 9/27/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Rick + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Ones
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1820

WHEREAS, Application No. 4175 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hester Hatcher to construct one additional residence at 2928 Franklin Street on Lots 31 and 32, Block 323, Reed & Daley's Addition, to a three unit court with a 9 ft. 5 in. court as access to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

By ..... Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1820

Application Received 10/1/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Rick + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1821

WHEREAS, Application No. 4140 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ewart E. Long to construct an addition to garage approximately 53 ft. from the front property line at 4182 Dwight Street on the East 37 ft. of the West 72 ft. of Lots 2 5 and 26 and the East 33 ft. of the West 68 ft. of Lots 27 and 28, Block 78, City Heights, and maintain existing 1 ft. sideyard for the new addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946 By .....
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1821

Application Received 10/2/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Rick + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1822

WHEREAS, Application No 4160 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Mrs. Willie Burris to operate a self-service laundry in a former beauty shop at 3011 - 30th Street on the West 85 ft. of Lots 27 to 30 inclusive, Block 4, S. Gurwell Heights, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 1946

By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No.1822

Application Received 10/2/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Rick + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 + Answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1823

WHEREAS, Application No. Letter dated October 7, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1539, be granted to G. W. Price to build a residence on the Easterly 50 ft. of Lots I, J. K and L, Block 332, Horton's Addition at 3rd and Quince Streets with no setback on 3rd Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 19 46

By ..... Chairman
Secretary

2145

Res. No. 1823

~~Application~~ Letter Received 10/8/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date
Decision Ext. approved Date 10/9/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/10/46 Building Inspector 10/11/46
Planning Commission 10/11/46 Petitioner 10/11/46 Health Department 10/11/46 x Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO 1824

WHEREAS, Application No 4136 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No 8924, as amended)

1 That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity

2 That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3 That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4 That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to Russell A. and Tot I. Seaman to conduct a dressmaking business in an existing residence at 4065 Louisiana Street on Lot 8 and the North ½ of Lot 9, Block 172, University Heights; no employees; part time approximately six hours per day, and one small sign in the window.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Filed with City Clerk
January 9, 1947

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 10, 19 46

By ######## Chairman
Secretary

TM 2145

Res. No. 1824

Application Received 9-25-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-9-46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-9-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 10-9-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/9/47 Building Inspector 1/9/47
Planning Commission 1/9/47 Petitioner 1/9/47 Health Department 1/9/47 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1825

WHEREAS, Application No 4131 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arno V. and Alma C. Thomas, owners and W. R. White, operator to operate maintenance and repair shop for small electric motors in an existing private garage at 1333 Edgemont Street, on Lots 5 and 6, Block 36, M. Santee Add. on a part time basis; no additions to the building; no advertising and no signs.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By .....

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. # 1825

Application Received 9/24/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 + 10/23/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 + 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1826

WHEREAS, Application No. 4142 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gussie Herz to build addition to a storeroom attached to a garage without a sideyard on the southwest side and no rear yard, and to convert the addition and storeroom to living quarters, 1565 Ebers Street on the Northeast 70 ft. of Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 18, Ocean Beach; addition to observe a 7 ft. 8 in. rear yard; permit to be for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution; and that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, and Section 8a of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

agreement # 438
filed 10/29/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1826

Application Received 9/23/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9 + 10/23/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9 + 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l app'l Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/29/46 Building Inspector 10/31/46
Planning Commission 10/31/46 Petitioner 10/31/46 Health Department 10/31/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1827

WHEREAS, Application No 4156 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to Cearl C. Corley to erect a residence on the North 60 ft. of Lot 33 (except the West 4 ft.) and the North 60 ft. of Lot 34, Block 483, Seaman's Subdivision, part of E½ of Pueblo Lot 1122, approximately 100 ft. from dead end of Falcon Street, on an alley; a parcel of land without the required street frontage; provided all yard requirements and coverage are complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1827

Application Received 10/4/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + Bauman
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1828

WHEREAS, Application No. 4007 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. A. Bachman to build a 30 ft. by 30 ft. garage in the rear of the lot at 3941 Mason Street on the Northeasterly 100 ft. of the Northwesterly 100 ft. of Lot 2, Block 454, Old San Diego, and use for the storage of contractor's trucks and equipment.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1828

Application Received 10/1/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/9/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date 10/23/46
Decision approved Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1829

WHEREAS, Application No. 4173 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Henry C. and Josephine V. Lilly, owners and Manuel Mesina, purchaser to construct a 17 ft. by 20 ft. restaurant building with no setback from Market Street on Lot 44, Block 38, C. L. Carr's Subdivision, 2678 Market Street, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By ####### Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1829

Application Received 10/8/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + Ammann
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1830

WHEREAS, Application No. 4172 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

The petition of Henry C. and Josephine V. Lilly, owners and Manuel Mesina, purchaser to construct and operate a 17 ft. by 20 ft. restaurant building at 2678 Market Street on Lot 44, Block 38, C. L. Carr's Subdivision, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1830

Application Received 10/8/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Sellew, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 x Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1831

WHEREAS, Application No. 4201 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. S. Campbell to move existing garage to new location on lot at 4018 Richmond Street on a portion of Lot 24 and all of Lot 25, Block 183, University Heights and place it in the same line as the existing residence and with no sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By .....
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1831

Application Received 10/11/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Sellew, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 & Ameen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1832

WHEREAS, Application No. 4109 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Sylvan Planson to construct a duplex on Lot 10, Block 20, Loma Alta No. 1 on the north side of Seaside Street between Green and Voltaire Streets, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By ######## Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1832

Application Received 9/20/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/2/46 + 10/3/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
Rick
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date 10/23/46
Decision Denied Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + ame
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1833

WHEREAS, Application No. 4110 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sylvan Planson to alter an existing residence on the Northwest corner of Voltaire and Seaside Streets on Lots 11 and 12, Block 20, Loma Alta No. 1, and construct addition to provide four apartments.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By

Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1833

Application Received 10/3/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

10/12/46 Rick

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Kerrigan, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/9/46 Hearing date 10/23/46
Decision Approved Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 x Assum
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1834

WHEREAS, Application No. 4155 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard W. and Marie Fritzenkotter to construct one additional unit to a 6 unit court, 600 ft. west of La Jolla Boulevard on Nautilus Street on Lots 17 to 20, inclusive, Block "E", South La Jolla, with a portion of three units on a lot, making a total of seven units on the four lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1834

Application Received 10/8/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Kernigan, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1835

WHEREAS, Application No. 4181 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Elmer B. and Virginia J. Middleswart to convert a 10 ft. by 30 ft. building with a 2 ft. 6 in. sideyard into living quarters at 4148 Poplar Street on Lots 11 and 12 and the Southwest ½ of Lot 13, Block 8, Lexington Park, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1835

Application Received 10/7/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Kerrigan, Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + Answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O. K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1836

WHEREAS, Application No. 4207 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dennis R. Higley and the M. Hall Company to divide Lots 7 and 8, Block "A", Resubdivision of Bird Rock-City-by-the-Sea on the west side of Chelsea Avenue between Abalone Street and Bird Rock Avenue to face on Chelsea Avenue, described as follows and measured along Chelsea Avenue; (1) Lots 7 and 8 except the Sly 60 ft.; and (2) the Sly 60 ft. of Lots 7 and 8; to permit the construction of one single family residence on each parcel, provided the required setback is maintained on Abalone Place and a 15 ft. setback maintained on Chelsea Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1836

Application Received 10/14/46 By Garrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Kerrigan, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1837

WHEREAS, Application No. 4141 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marion J. and Harriet Hayes and Lester A. and Fay Miller to conduct a rug cleaning business with not more than 10 employee's at 131 West University Avenue on the East 30 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block 4, Cleveland Heights, provided all work is done within the building; all drying within the building; cleaning done with portable equipment; all dirt and dust picked up with high pressure vacuum.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3210, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By .....

Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1837

Application Received 10/10/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Kerrigan + Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1838

WHEREAS, Application No. 4205 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. W. Reside to construct addition to a non-conforming residence with no sideyard for existing building at 819 San Gabriel Place on Lot "A", Block 43, Mission Beach; addition to maintain the required yard spaces.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By

Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1838

Application Received 10/16/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Kerrigan, Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1839

WHEREAS, Application No. 4208 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. P. and E. C. Sweetser, owners and R. E. Coloneus, operator, to use portion of residence at 1913 Georgia Court on Lots 19, 20 and 21, Virginia Square, for manufacturing small ceramic articles, on a part time basis approximately 2½ hours daily; all hand work, moteling and glazing only, no firing; no signs; no advertising.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By .....
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1839

Application Received 10/10/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Remington, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l permit Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1840

WHEREAS, Application No. 4183 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James and Lucile Welsch to maintain and operate an Antique Shop in an existing private garage at 4495 Montalvo Street on Lots 1 and 2 and the Westerly 15 ft. of Lot 3, Block 31, Loma Alta No. 2, part time, with no signs, no advertising and no employees.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 19 46 By .....
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1840

Application Received 10/18/46 By Weinberg
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Kernigan & Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1841

(Amends Resolution No. 1593)

WHEREAS, Letter dated October 18, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an amendment be made to Resolution No. 1593, dated June 20, 1946 to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to Donovan Lynch to erect a garage on the West 1/2 of Lot B and all of Lot C, Block 19, Mission Beach, at 829 Balboa Court with living quarters above, and maintain a 10 ft. rear yard for the garage and an 8 ft. rear yard for the apartment above.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By ..... Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1841

~~Application~~ Letter Received 10/22/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Kerrigan Sellers & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision amendment approved Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 & amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1842

WHEREAS, Application No. 4198 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. R. Palmer to build a 15 ft. by 27 ft. lath house at 4618 Wightman Street on the Easterly 62½ ft. of Lots 23 and 24, Block 2, Mountain View, to the property line on the west side, provided it does not extend closer to the front property line than the garage adjoining on the west.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1842

Application Received 10/21/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + Amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1843

WHEREAS, Application No. 4184 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hugh B. and Jennie R. Knox to move in two duplexes on the Southwest corner of Tourmaline and Mission Boulevard on all of the unsubdivided portion of Lot 5, Pueblo Lot 1783, lying west of Mission Boulevard and north of 1st Addition to Ocean Spray and south of Tourmaline Street, as widened; one single family residence already existing on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1843

Application Received 10/18/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 & Ass
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C. K

RESOLUTION NO. 1844

WHEREAS, Application No. 4163 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anthony C. Morgando to conduct a Carpet Sewing business, new carpets for stores, at 532 - 28th Street on the South 59½ ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3 and the South 59½ ft. of the East 5 ft. of Lot 4, Block 52, C. L. Carr's Subdivision; no selling; no cleaning; no storage; no advertising; part time for approximately 6 hours per day; permit to be revoked if and when in the opinion of the Zoning Committee it becomes a nuisance or a hindrance in the development of the neighborhood, or upon complaints from surrounding property owners.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1844

Application Received 10/10/46 By Garrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + Assem
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

1713 O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1845

WHEREAS, Application No. 4025 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. O. Pinains, J. J. Russell, Homer W. Brown and Ninth and E Corp., to divide the South 287.32 ft. of the North 643.98 ft. of the West 165 ft. of Quarter Section 81 RHO de la Nacion, on Sea Breeze Street between Potomac and Schuyler Streets into four building sites; 2 parcels, 70 ft. by 165 ft; one parcel 70.32 ft. by 165 ft. and one parcel 77 ft. by 165 ft. to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By .....
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1845

Application Received 10/21/46 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Sellew, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1846, extended by Res # 2510

WHEREAS, Application No. 4162 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Seaboard Security Company, Union Title Insurance & Trust Company and San Diego Associates, to erect a Tract Office and four storage sheds incidental to building Veteran's Housing, on the Southwest corner of 60th and Meade Streets on Lots 7 and 8, Waterville Heights, permit to be for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By .....

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1846

Application Received 10/8/46 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

0.15

RESOLUTION NO. 1847

WHEREAS, Application No 4186 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. H. Fleischmann to erect a Quonset Hut 40 ft. by 80 ft., to be used for the storage of crates and other things in connection with a Wholesale Egg Dealer, at 3892 "Z" Street on Lots 43 to 48, inclusive, Block 421, Duncan's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1847

Application Received 10/7/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Kerrigan Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/23/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1848

WHEREAS, Application No. 4204 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to N. P. Steveson to make addition to a non-conforming residence with an 18 ft. rear yard, 4414 Niagara Street on Lot 11, Block 87, Point Loma Heights; addition to conform with all yard requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By ..... ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1848

Application Received 10/15/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Sellers, Kernigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

d.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1849

WHEREAS, Application No. 4209 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. N. Thornton to conduct a 30 ft. by 40 ft. building on the Southwest corner of Spruce and India Streets on Lots 11 and 12, Block 120, Middletown, for manufacturing and bottling beverage concentrates.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1849

Application Received 10/7/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + Ass
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C-K

RESOLUTION NO. 1850 (Amends Resolution No. 1814)

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Zoning Investigator's letter dated October 16/46 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1814, dated October 10, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to Anne Marie and Robert L. Haniman to divide the South ½ of the North ½ of Acre Lot 36, Pacific Beach, (except streets), into four parcels and to construct three residences in addition to an existing residence on the parcel at the corner of Law and Ingraham Streets; parcels described as follows: (1) W 80'; (2) E 105' of the W 185'; (3) E 50' of the W 235'; and (4) E 285 ft., subject to the following conditions:

1. That all work as required under a tentative subdivision map which has been approved will be completed and the final subdivision map will be filed as soon as possible;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946

By .....
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1850

Zoning Inv. Letter

~~Application~~ Received 10/23/46 By

City Planning Department

Investigation made By

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date

Decision amended previous res. Date 10/23/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46

Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 x Amend

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1851 (Amends Resolution No. 1815)

WHEREAS, ~~Application No~~ Zoning Investigator's letter dated October 16, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... not ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1815, dated October 10, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to Anne Marie and Robert L. Haniman to divide the North ½ of the South ½ of Acre Lot 36, Pacific Beach, except streets and alley, into four parcels and to construct three residences in addition to an existing residence on the parcel at the corner of Law and Ingraham Streets; parcels described as follows: (1) W 80'; (2) E 105' of the W 185'; (3) E 50' of the W 235'; and (4) E 285'; subject to the following conditions:

1. That all work as required under a tentative subdivision map which has been approved will be completed and the final subdivision map will be filed as soon as possible;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1851

Zoning Inv. Letter

~~Application~~ Received 10/23/46 By

City Planning Department

Investigation made By

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date

Decision Amended Previous Resolution Date 10/23/46

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/23/46

Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1852

WHEREAS, Application No 4226 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows

Permission is hereby granted to James P. Twohy to construct a residence on a one-half acre portion in Pueblo Lots 1286 and 1287 (Assessor's Map 33A) (description on file in the office of the Planning Department) at 2025 East Torrey Pines Road, with a 10 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 24, 1946 By .....
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1852

Application Received 10/22/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23/46 By Sellew, Kernigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10/23/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/24/46 Building Inspector 10/25/46
Planning Commission 10/25/46 Petitioner 10/25/46 Health Department 10/25/46 + Dawson
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1853

WHEREAS, Application No. 4139 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Catherine Connet Burns, owner and Oscar R. and Rose K. Anderson, purchasers, to maintain and operate a boarding home for the aged at 2420 "F" Street, on Lots 28, 29 and 30, Block 6, Breed and Chase, provided no mental cases are kept on the premises and all requirements of the Health Department, Building Department and Fire Marshal are complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1853

Application Received 10/17/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/23 + 11/6/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/23/46 Hearing date 11/6/46
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1854

WHEREAS, Application No. 4199 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Harold J. Packer to erect a neon sign 4 ft. by 2 ft. 6 in. in front of the setback line on 4 in. pipe, at 3030 - 6th Avenue on the North ½ of Lot H and all of Lot I, Block 355, Horton's Addition and sign to extend over public property approximately 3½ ft., be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1854

Application Received 10/26/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By ~~Clark~~, Sellew, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1855

WHEREAS, Application No. 4200 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of The Brock Building Company to construct a four unit apartment, divided into two separate buildings with no distance between the buildings on the south side of Chalcedony Street between Haines and Ingraham Streets, on Lots 11 and 12, Block 125, Pacific Beach, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 7, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1855

Application Received 10/14/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Kerrigan, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision denied Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 & answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1856

WHEREAS, Application No. 4214 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Claud C. Bruner to construct a Quonset Repair Shop, 24 ft. by 36 ft., for household appliances and automobiles at 4275 - 44th Street on Lots 5 and 6, Block 1, Eastgate, provided the building is constructed 10 ft. in from the alley and hours of operation from 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1856

Application Received 10/21/46 By Garrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellew, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + Cameron
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1857

WHEREAS, Application No. 4151 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Erle G. Meeks to construct a garage at Abalone Place and Moss Lane on Lot 1 and the SWly 10 ft. of Lot 2, Block "F", Resub. of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, with a 9 ft. setback on Abalone Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 10/21/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + assume
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1858, See Res. # 2920

WHEREAS, Application No. 4231 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry Travis to build a 2nd story on a one story garage building with a 15½ ft. rear yard at 1266 Moana Drive, on Lot 13, Block B, Sunset Crest, to be used as an Amateur Radio Station, provided an agreement is signed by the owners and filed of record, stating that the building will not be used for living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

agreement # 441
filed 11/13/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1858

Application Received 10/21/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/13/46 Building Inspector 11/13/46
Planning Commission 11/13/46 Petitioner 11/13/46 Health Department 11/13/46 v Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1859

WHEREAS, Application No. 4191 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. A. Shedoudy, owner and Eddie Le Roy Farley, lessee to maintain and operate a Variety Novelty Shop in a now existing store building at 3192 Webster Street on Lots 47 and 48, Block 332, Choates Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1859

Application Received 10/21/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellers Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/8/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1860

WHEREAS, Application No. 4202 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edgar L. Smith to construct steps, two small retaining walls and wall of patio beyond the setback line at 5721 El Cajon Boulevard on Lot 16, Block 1, Monte Mar Vista, provided that if and when the s treet is widened the steps and retaining walls will be removed without expense to the city and that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13056, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

agreement #440
filed 11/13/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1860

Application Received 10/22/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/13/46 Building Inspector 11/13/46
Planning Commission 11/13/46 Petitioner 11/13/46 Health Department 11/13/46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1861

WHEREAS, Application No. 4085 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. Louis Allen to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1297, on La Jolla Shore Drive, into three building sites, as per plat on file in the office of the Planning Department, provided enough land fronting on La Jolla Shores Drive is deeded to the city to provide a right-of-way 60 ft. in width as access to the Pueblo Lot owned by the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1861

Application Received 10/23/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellew, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1862

WHEREAS, Application No. 4218 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles and Sue Marie Podorean to build a residence at Forward and Chelsea Streets on Lots 7 and 8, Block 1, Bird Rock Addition, with a 5 ft. setback on Forward Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1862

Application Received 10/23/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Selley, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1863

WHEREAS, Application No. 4227 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. Dobler to build a 13 ft. by 16 ft. addition to a store-residential building with no sideyard, 2146 Logan Avenue on Lots 12 and 13, Block 175, San Diego Land and Town Co. Addition, provided the addition is used for store building purposes only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1863

Application Received 10/24/46 By Garrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellew, Rhrugin & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 & assent
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1864

WHEREAS, Application No. 4224 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. Bowering, to erect a neon sign 6 ft. by 4 ft., with no setback from the front property line on the Northeast corner of 55th and El Cajon Boulevard on Lot 12 (except the Nly 50 ft.), Block B, Redland Gardens, provided that if and when the street is widened the owner will then remove the sign without expense to the city, and that an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13055, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement # 439
filed 11/13/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1864

Application Received 10/24/46 By Garrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/13/46 Building Inspector 11/13/46
Planning Commission 11/13/46 Petitioner 11/13/46 Health Department 11/13/46 + Omission
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

o.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1865

WHEREAS, Application No. 4166 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl and Metha Meili, owner and Lee and Phyllis Sundstrom, purchasers, to divide a portion of the SW¼ of the NW¼ of Quarter Section 104, RHO de la Nacion on the easterly extension of 4th Street in National City and record two parcels of land (1) 90 ft. by 250 ft. and (2) 80 ft. by 250 ft.; each parcel is without street frontage, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1865

Application Received 10/26/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Selley, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1866

WHEREAS, Application No. 4240 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. S. and Vivien Salter to operate a Beauty Parlor in an existing garage at 3468 Thorn Street on the East 45 ft. of Lots 21 to 24 inclusive, Block 16, City Heights, not more than 4 hours per day, no employee's and no signs.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1866

Application Received 10/28/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellew, Kernjan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 v Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1867

WHEREAS, Application No. 4248 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. W. Jackson to build a playroom, bath and laundry to garage with a 13 ft. rear yard, 3846 Atascadero Street on Lot 18, Block B, Resub. of Blocks 21, 32 & portion of 40, Point Loma Heights; addition not to be used as living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By ____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1867

Application Received 10/29/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Selley, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1868

WHEREAS, Application No. 4256 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ramon Kaiser to convert a residence to Refrigerator Repair Store, formerly occupied as a store, 3037 - 30th Street on Lots 20 and 21, Block 4, S. Gurwell Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1868

Application Received 10/31/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1869 amended by Res. #1934

WHEREAS, Application No. 4228 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Don Ransom to construct a 12 ft. by 15 ft. addition to an existing residence with a 12 ft. rear yard at 1768 Beryl Street on Lot 174, except the Ely 12½ ft., Congress Heights Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1869

Application Received 10/30/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellew, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1870

WHEREAS, Application No. 4234 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lars W. Anderson to construct a 10 ft. by 15 ft. bedroom addition to residence with a 3 ft. sideyard at 4767 Winona Street on the South 10 ft. of Lot 6, all of Lot 7 and the North 10 ft. of Lot 8, Block B, Montecello, and maintain a 3 ft. sideyard for the addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1870

Application Received 11/1/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellew, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 & Ammon
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1871

WHEREAS, Application No. 4254 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Velters V. Logan to use the residence at 4516 Arizona Street as location for commercial photography business; dark room work only; no pictures taken on premises; part time work; no signs; no soliciting or advertising on the premises; no employee's; on the North 16'8" of Lot 28 and the South 18' of Lot 29, except the East 60' thereof, Block 52, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946 By _____ Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1871

Application Received 10/30/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1872

WHEREAS, Application No. 4185 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Berthe L. Moe to construct an addition to a garage, for storage room, making a total area of 600 sq. ft., with no side or rear yard at 4625 El Cerrito Drive on Lot 9, Block 1, El Cerrito Heights, Unit No. 1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 19 46

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1872

Application Received 11/1/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellew, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 & assent
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1873

WHEREAS, Application No. 4265 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack W. May to erect a retaining wall and a wire fence ranging from 8½ ft. to 10 ft. above adjacent ground level at 4482 - 59th Street on a portion of Lot 26, East Redlands.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1873

Application Received 11/1/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1874

WHEREAS, Application No. 4219 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Brown Military Academy to construct a 7 ft. cyclone fence surrounding an athletic field on the north side of Garnet Street between Ingraham and Jewell Streets on Lots 1 to 40 inclusive, Block 202 and Lots 21 to 40 inclusive, Block 183, Pacific Beach and alleys and Streets closed adjacent.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1874

Application Received 10/21/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/5/46
Planning Commission 11/5/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/5/46 & answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

E.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1875

WHEREAS, Application No. 4188 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Victor L. Baldwin to divide Lots 4 and 5, Block 3, Loma View into two buildings sites, one 70 ft. by 100 ft. and one 60 ft. by 100 ft. facing on Silvergate Avenue instead of Wilcox Streets, provided a 10 ft. strip of land is dedicated to the City for the widening of Silvergate Avenue and a 10 ft. setback to be maintained from the new street line on Silvergate Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946 By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1875

Application Received 10/25/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + assent
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1876

WHEREAS, Application No. 4237 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Challenge Cream-Butter Ass'n to remodel the 1st floor and make additions to 1st and 2nd floors on front of existing creamery building and to remodel and make additions to the rear of the same building, 4600-6th Avenue on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1118.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1876

Application Received 10/24/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1877

WHEREAS, Application No. 4126 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Julius Jacobson and Earl Cawthon to erect an 8 ft. fence along the west property line and alley at 2940 National Avenue on Lots 31 to 35 inclusive, Block 8, Reed & Hubbell's Addition and to erect an 11 ft. wall on the front property between existing buildings, provided the front wall is painted to match the stucco building adjacent on the west.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1877

Application Received 10/28/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellers, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1878 (See Res.No. 1177 & 1529)

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated October 28, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald Taylor, owner and E. N. Gaudreau, renter to continue operation of a part-time radio repair shop in an existing garage at 3424 Texas Street on Lots 5 and 6, Block 18, Pauly's Addition, as originally granted by Resolution No. 1177, and extended for six months by Resolution No. 1529, for a period of six (6) months from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relateto the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 19 46

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1878

Application Received 10/29/46 By Mail - Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Sellew, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision Ext. granted Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

6.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1879

WHEREAS, Application No. 4274 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Patrick and Jananne Kennedy to move in a residence and maintain a 10 ft. rear yard, 536 Genter Street on the Westerly 50 ft. of the Southerly 70 ft. of Lot 3, Block 3, F. T. Scripp's Addition to La Jolla.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 7, 1946

By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1879

Application Received 11/4/46 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Kernigan, Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/7/46 Building Inspector 11/8/46
Planning Commission 11/8/46 Petitioner 11/8/46 Health Department 11/8/46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1880

WHEREAS, Application No. 4174 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul W. and Gertrude M. Surry to construct a building observing the required setback but the chimney extending 24 inches into the setback at 1151 Hornblend Street on Lots 13 and 14, Block 231, Pacific Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 12, , 19 46

By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1880

Application Received 10/21/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/6/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/12/46 Building Inspector 11/12/46
Planning Commission 11/12/46 Petitioner 11/12/46 Health Department 11/12/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

9/5

RESOLUTION NO. 1881

WHEREAS, Application No. 4290 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. B. Grove to erect a Quonset Hut for Contractor's Storage Yard, a non-conforming use, at 3216 Island Avenue, on Lots 41 to 44 inclusive, Block 129, Reed & Swaynes, with no sideyard on the west side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 and Section 8a of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 14, 1946

By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1881

Application Received 11/12/46 By Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/13/46 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/13/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/13/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/14/46 Building Inspector 11/14/46
Planning Commission 11/14/46 Petitioner 11/14/46 Health Department 11/14/46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1882

WHEREAS, Application No. 4260 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. R. Curtis to construct a residence on the east side of North Mountain View Drive approximately 50 ft. south of Benton Place on the Southeasterly 60 ft. of Lot 40, Portion of Block B, of Villa Lots 117 to 127, Normal Heights and maintain a 10 ft. setback from North Mountain View Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946

By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1882

Application Received 10/31/46 By Carrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 + 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved 10' setback Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 + assent
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1883

WHEREAS, Application No. 4223 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Chula Vista Development Company, Robert A. and Alma G. Wheatley and J. R. Delatour to divide Lots 1 and 2, Block 24, Paradise Hills on the Southeast corner of Cumberland and Rancho Drive into three parcels, described as follows: (1) Ely 50 ft. of Lot 2; (2) Nly 60 ft. of Lot 1 and Nly 60 ft. of Wly 10 ft. of Lot 2; (3) and Lot 1, except the Nly 60 ft. and Wly 10 ft. of Lot 2, except the Nly 60 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2720, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 19 46

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1883

Application Received 10/31/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6 & 11/20 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 & amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1884

WHEREAS, Application No. 4222 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Chula Vista Development Company and West Coast Corporation to divide Lots 9 to 12 inclusive, Block 31, Paradise Hills on the west side of Hopkins Street between Cumberland and Shaw Streets into 4 parcels, approximately as follows: (1) Nly 51 ft. of Lots 9 and 10; (2) Sly 51 ft. of Nly 102 ft. of Lots 9 and 10; (3) Lots 9 and 10, except the Nly 102 ft and also Lots 11 and 12 except the Sly 80 ft; (4) the Sly 80 ft. of Lots 11 and 12.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2720, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946 By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1884

Application Received 10/31/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/6/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6 & 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 & Assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1885

WHEREAS, Application No. 4253 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. L. and Sylvia Cornell to build addition to an existing garage at the rear of 3359 - 30th Street on Lots 9 and 10, Block 1, Frary Heights, for the manufacturing of a waterless cleaner, with maximum of 3 horsepower, provided the addition is painted to conform with garage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1885

Application Received 11/1/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Clark + Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 + assur
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1886

WHEREAS, Application No. 4230 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Estate of Eleanora Levi and Camino Del Rio Properties, Inc., lessee, to add an additional 9 holes to an existing 18 hole golf course and to add a short 18 hole course on Lots 3 and 4 in Pueblo Lot 1103, all of Pueblo Lot 1104 south of Friar's Road and Lot 1 in Pueblo Lot 1105, on Camino Del Rio.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated **November 21,** , 19 **46** By _____

~~########~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1886

Application Received 11/1/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O. K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1887

WHEREAS, Application No. 4236 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Camino Del Rio Properties, Inc. to relocate a golf club house from Lot 1 in Pueblo Lot 1105, as granted in Resolution No. 978, to a 5 acre parcel of Pueblo Lot 1119, north of Camino Del Rio and adjoining Lot 1 in Pueblo Lot 1105, on Camino Del Rio.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 19 46

By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1887

Application Received 11/1/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 + amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 84792

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Albert R. Lewis, 2804 Webster Avenue, from the Zoning Committee decision in denying by its Resolution 1888 his application No. 4277 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 to permit conversion of an existing residence at 129 So. 28th Street on the south 100 feet of Lots 25 and 26 Block 320 Reed & Daley's into a retail store, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 84792 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council DEC 17 1946

FRED W. SICK
Helen M. Willig City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

FORM 1270(10M-1/46)

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1888

WHEREAS, Application No. 4277 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Albert R. Lewis to convert existing residence at 129 So. 28th Street on the South 100 ft. of Lots 25 and 26, Block 320, Reed & Daley's into a retail confectionery store, candy, soft drinks, ice cream, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1888

Application Received 11/4/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision denied Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 + answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1889

WHEREAS, Application No. 4229 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Monroe G. Wright to maintain commercial chicken and rabbit raising and slaughtering room east of Westmoreland Street and south of Homewood Drive on that portion of the SW¼ of Pueblo Lot 1199, lying west of State Highway Freeway No. 395 (arbitrary No. 11), be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946 By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1889

Application Received 10/22/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made ~~10/22~~ 11/6 + 11/22/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/6/46 Hearing date 11/20/46
Decision Denied Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 & [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

G.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1890

WHEREAS, Application No. 4259 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph Edwards and Gilbert S. Townsend to construct a 24 ft. by 48 ft. Quonset Contractors Shop and storage building, on the south side of University Avenue 300 ft. east of 54th Street on the Wly 49 ft. of the Ely 415.9 ft. of the Nly 140 ft. of Lot 28, Lemon Villa, (arbitrary No. 401).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946 By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1890

Application Received 11/1/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/21/46
Planning Commission 11/21/46 Petitioner 11/21/46 Health Department 11/21/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1891

WHEREAS, Application No. 4187 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vivian I. Lee, owner and Wm. R. Gallucci, tenant, to conduct a Jewelry Manufacturing and Repairing shop in the residence at 3064 Elm Street on Lots 17 and 18, Block 5, J. P. Christensen Addition, subject to the following conditions:

1. That no signs will be placed on the property;
2. That the permit be for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1891

Application Received 11/21/46 By Cossock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 & Amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1892

WHEREAS, Application No. 4278 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marie G. Cooke, owner and Ethel M. Gerteis, purchaser, to divide the North 45 ft. of Lots 33 and 34, Block 7, Mc Laren's "H" Street Extension, 3354½ "K" Street, a parcel of land without street frontage and to occupy a now existing residence and connect a water meter.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1892

Application Received 11/4/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 x Assum
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1893

WHEREAS, Application No. 4279 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gran Gregory to build 65 lineal feet of retaining wall to a maximum height of ten (10') feet on Lots 6 and 7, Block 5, Florence Heights, 401 West Washington Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 19 46

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1893

Application Received 11/4/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1894

WHEREAS, Application No. 4282 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stanley Chiechi to construct a third living unit on Lots 31 and 32, Block 20, City Heights, 3128 Haller Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12520, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 19 46

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1894

Application Received 11/7/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 x amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1895

WHEREAS, Application No. 4230 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James A. and Maude Wood, owner and Al Jones, rentor, to operate a Hand Printing Press at 2103 "K" Street on Lot 1, Block 44, Sherman's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

1. All printing to be done by hand, without use of motors;
2. No signs;
3. No employee's;
4. Hours of operation from 7:00 P.M. to 9:30 P.M. and all day Saturday;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1895

Application Received 11/9/46 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 x
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1896

WHEREAS, Application No. 4291 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred L. Engledow to erect one single family residence on the West 110 ft. of the East 342 ft. (lying Sly of Birmingham Drive) in NW¼ of NE¼ of Pueblo Lot 1199.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1896

Application Received 11/12/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1897

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated November 3, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the date of this resolution be granted to Thomas M. Mac Lachlan to construct a new garage at side walk level at 2690 Broadway on the Sly 70 ft. of Lots 47 and 48, Block 34, H. M. Higgin's Addition, with no setback on Broadway, provided the top of the garage does not extend above the first floor level of the house; original Resolution No. 1214 and an extension granted by 1586.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 3, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1897

~~Application~~ Letter Received 11/4/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made — By —
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision Ext. Approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 + Ammann
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1898 Extended by Res. # 1927

AMENDS Resolution No. 1613

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated October 25, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1613, dated July 5, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to Don Lee Broadcasting System and Security Trust and Savings Bank to erect a radio transmitter, including three (3), 350 ft. high steel towers and approximately a 50 ft. by 60 ft. transmitter building near Euclid and Laurel Streets on Lots 1 and 2, Wadsworth Olive Grove."

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 10/28/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved 3rd Tower Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 Kaneen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1899

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated November 19, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months be granted to L. B. Williams to construct a residence on the East side of 28th Street, 150 ft. north of Grape Street on Lots 4, 5 and 6, Block 56, Seaman & Choates, with a 5 ft. setback from 28th Street, as originally granted by Resolution No. 1614, dated July 5, 1946.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 19 46

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1899

Application Received 11/19/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made — By —
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision Ext. approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 + amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1900

WHEREAS, Application No. 4255 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Frank H. Farrankop to raise and sell canaries at 4358 Bancroft Street on the North 25 ft. of Lot 18 and the South 12½ ft. of Lot 19, Block 1, Resubdivision of Portion of Block A, Teralta.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12589, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 19 46

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1900

Application Received 11/1/46 By Parish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 + answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action