RESOLUTIONS

1901
TO
2100

RESOLUTION NO. 1901 amended by Res. #1933

WHEREAS, Application No. 4271 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Herman W. Stellar to erect a four (4) unit two-story apartment building on the Sly corner of Bacon and Muir Streets on Lots 4 and 5, Block 93, Ocean Bay Beach provided the setback maintained is not less than the main wall of the house located furthest out to the street in that same block.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1901

Application Received 11/4/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 x
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1902

WHEREAS, Application No. 4267 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. P. Alcaraz to construct an addition to existing residence without frontage on a dedicated street but fronting on a road used by the public, the North 165 ft. of the West 352 ft. of the SE¼ of the NE¼ of Sec. 33 - T16S R2W, at Thorn and Altadena Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1902

Application Received 11/2/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1903

WHEREAS, Application No. 4268 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. S. and Ruth M. Davison to erect a two car garage on Lot 1, Block 3, La Jolla Beach, 7416 Monte Vista Street, provided the setback maintained is the same as the building to the north.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 19 46

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1903

Application Received 11/5/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision approved, Cond'l Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 x Ammen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1904

WHEREAS, Application No. 4308 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. S. and Ruth M. Davison to erect a two car garage on the front portion of Lot 1, Block 3, La Jolla Beach, 7416 Monte Vista Street with no sideyard on the north but maintaining the required sideyard on the south.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1904

Application Received 11/15/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1905

WHEREAS, Application No. 4261 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eugene C. Clark, owner and W. J. Beran, purchaser, to remodel existing building from four apartments to three apartments, 917 Beech Street on the Nly 32.5 ft. of Lot 1 and the Nly 26.07 ft. of the Ely 32.5 ft. of Lot 2, Block 19, Bay View Homestead with no sideyard on the east side and a 4 ft. rear yard; approximately 170 sq. ft. over-coverage, but no additions to be made on the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1905

Application Received 11/6/46 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 & answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

a/k.

RESOLUTION NO. 1906

WHEREAS, Application No. 4281 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Company and Otis Parks to construct an 8 ft. retaining wall on the lot line at 1734 Titus Street on Lot 4 and the North ½ of Lot 5, Block 21, Middletown Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946 By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1906

Application Received 11-6-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-20-46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-20-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 & amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1907

WHEREAS, Application No. 4241 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. E. Miles, et al to divide four lots at right angles, into four building sites at Boundary and "F" Streets, Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 1, Walker's Addition, each lot to be not less than 55 ft. by 100 ft. in size.
A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1907

Application Received 11/7/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 x Ameen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1908

WHEREAS, Application No. 4275 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Severin Construction Company to construct one single family residence on a portion of Lots 14 and 15, Block 6, Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, as shown on a plat on file in the Planning Department Office, the Northeast corner of Bellevue and Bird Rock Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1908

Application Received 11/8/46 By Parnsh
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 x
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1909, amended by Res # 2509

WHEREAS, Application No. 4083 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. E. Hazard and E. T. Hale to construct a 10 ft. fence on West Point Loma Boulevard, 10 ft. along Midway Drive, 6 ft. along Ollie Street and 8 ft. on the westerly boundary, a Portion of Lots 1 and 2, Partition of Pueblo Lot 219 lying southwest of Midway Drive, southeast of Ollie and north of West Point Loma Blvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 19 46 By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1909

Application Received 11/8/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46 Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petitioner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46 & Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1910

WHEREAS, Application No. 4292 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to I. E. Friedman, owner and C. A. Small, purchaser to make a 3 ft. addition to garage at 4317 Alder Drive on Lot 274, Talmadge Park Unit No. 2; addition to maintain a 2 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1030, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 27, 19 46

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1910

Application Received 11/12/46 By Garrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/27/46 Building Inspector 11/27/46
Planning Commission 11/27/46 Petitioner 11/27/46 Health Department 11/27/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1911

WHEREAS, Application No. 4246 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Beatrice Edmonds Brenneman to divide Lot 16, Bridges Estate into three parcels; two parcels without frontage on a dedicated street but with right of access by an easement as shown on a plat on file in the office of the Planning Department, and to remodel an existing residence into two separate dwellings, each to maintain respective sideyards, Alcott Street north of Chatsworth Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series and Section 12, of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 19 46

By _____
\#\#\#\#\#\# Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1911

Application Received 11/4/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Hearing date 12/4/46
Decision approved Date 12/4/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector 12/6/46
Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 12/6/46 Health Department 12/6/46 & amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Plan

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 85704

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

It is hereby declared that it is not, and was not, the intention of the Council to permit the sale of liquor on the Polo Grounds, Mission Valley, in connection with zone variance heretofore granted by Resolution 74572 to R. E. Hazard and Bruce Hazard on Lots 1 and 2 of Pueblo Lot 1103, and all of Block E Bayview Quarter Acres and all of Blocks 9, 10, 11, 12 Bayview Addition. Therefore, this Council will protest any issuance of such a license as applied for by Mr. Heller.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 85704 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council APR 15 1947

FRED W. SICK

F. T. PATTEN City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

FORM 1270(10M-1/46)

o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 84791

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Glenn O. Hellyer from the Zoning Committee decision in denying by its Resolution No. 1912 his application No. 4019 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 New Series to permit operation of a Class A motorcycle short track on Lots A and 2 of Pueblo Lot 1103, Block E Bayview Acres, and Blocks 9 to 12 inclusive Bayview Addition, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 84791 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council DEC 17 1946

FRED W. SICK
Helen M. Willig City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

FORM 1270(10M-1/46)

O.K.

254

RESOLUTION NO. 1912

WHEREAS, Application No. 4019 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Glenn O. Hellyer to operate a class A Motorcycle Short Track, using a small enclosed track now used for horse shows at the south end of Colusa Street on Lots A and 2 of Pueblo Lot 1103, Block E, Bayview Acres, and Blocks 9 to 12 inclusive, Bayview Addition, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946

By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1912

Application Received 9/4/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/25/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ~~10/6~~ 9/25/46 Hearing date 10/6/46 + Con't 11/20/46 + 12/4/46
Decision denied Date 12/4/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector 12/6/46
Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 12/6/46 Health Department 12/6/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1913

WHEREAS, Application No. 4285 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Delbert R. Hall to convert an existing garage and storeroom in a duplex at 4035 Texas Street on Lots 15 and 16, Block 171, University Heights into additional living quarters, with no sideyard, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 5a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 19 46

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1913

Application Received 11/2/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/20/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 + 12/4/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 12/4/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector 12/6/46
Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 12/6/46 Health Department 12/6/46 x Anderson
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1914

WHEREAS, Application No. 4276 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Pearl and Dean Lockard to operate a Beauty Shop, full time, at 4117 Jamul Avenue on Lot 64, Sierra Vista Addition, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 75, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1914

Application Received 11/12/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/4/46 By Clark, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 Hearing date
Decision denied Date 12/4/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector 12/6/46
Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 12/6/46 Health Department 12/6/46 + answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1915

WHEREAS, Application No. 4301 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John T. and Ruby J. Christensen to convert a basement to living quarters in an existing residence with an 18 inch sideyard on the north side, on Lots 41 and 42, Block 193, University Heights, 3968 Park Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 1946

By _____
~~Chairman~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1915

Application Received 11/13/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/4/46 By Clark, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12/4/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector 12/5/46
Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 12/6/46 Health Department 12/46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1916

WHEREAS, Application No. 4283 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance and Trust Co., owner and Otis Parks, to construct a three unit dwelling with a 6 ft. court obstructed by a 4 ft. porch running the full length of the building, 1734 Titus Street on Lot 4 and the North ½ of Lot 5, Block 21, Middletown Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946

By _____
~~Chairman~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1916

Application Received 11-6-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-20-46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 + 12/4/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 12/4/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector 12/6/46
Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 12/6/46 Health Department 12/6/46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1917

WHEREAS, Application No. 4299 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Angelo Giannantonio, owner and Robert C. Howard, rentor, to operate a Radio Repair Shop in an existing residence at 3802 Superba Street on Lot 24, Block 1, Superba Heights, subject to the following conditions:

1. Business to be operated part time only;
2. No employees;
3. No signs;
4. All work to be done within the existing building;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946 By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1917

Application Received 11/14/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/4/46 By Clark, Kernigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 12/4/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector 12/6/46
Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 12/6/46 Health Department 12/6/46 + assent
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

a. K

RESOLUTION NO. 1918

WHEREAS, Application No. 4122 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul Challet and H. E. Moore to divide four lots at Fenelon and Willow Streets into three building sites, to permit one single family residence on each; Lots 5 to 8 inclusive, Block 50, Roseville and one-half of Emerson Street closed adjacent; the corner parcel to have 85 ft. frontage on Willow Street and the remaining two parcels each with 75 ft. frontage, provided a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Willow Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 1946 By _____

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1918

Application Received 11/14/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/4/46 By Clark, Kernjan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 12/4/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector 12/6/46
Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 12/6/46 Health Department 12/6/46 & assumed
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1919

WHEREAS, Application No. 4346 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul Challet to construct a residence and double garage on the Nly 85 ft. of Lots 7 and 8, Block 50, Roseville, and maintain a 10 ft. setback on Fenelon Street, at Willow Street

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1919

Application Received 12/3/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/4/46 By Clark, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 12/4/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector 12/6/46
Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 12/6/46 Health Department 12/6/46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1920

WHEREAS, Application No. 4297 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elizabeth S. Dodge to erect addition to a residence having a 2 ft. sideyard at 6715 Neptune Street on Lot 2 (except the SE 22.475 ft.) and all of Lots 3 and 4, Block 4, La Jolla Strand; addition observing the required sideyard; and to erect a garage with a 1 ft. sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Section 8a, Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946 By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1920

Application Received 11/18/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/4/46 By Clark, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 Hearing date
Decision ~~12/4/46~~ approved Date 12/4/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector 12/6/46
Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 12/6/46 Health Department 12/6/46 + Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

9K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1921

WHEREAS, Application No. 4311 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Aubert L. Works, owner and R. I. Gardner, operator, to maintain and operate a now existing Radio and Watch Repair and Electric and Gas Welding Shop at 2689 "L" Street on Lots 1 to 3, inclusive, Block 43, Chas. Hensley, and to maintain now existing signs.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 19 46 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1921

Application Received 11/18/46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/4/46 By Clark, Kernigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 12/4/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector 12/6/46
Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 12/6/46 Health Department 12/6/46 x assum
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1922

WHEREAS, Application No. 4310 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. W. Kennerly to add to a store building for the sale and storage of chickens, feed, poultry supplies, plants and shrubs, at 502 - 62nd Street on Lot 37, Garden Acres; addition to be same type as the existing structure.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relateto the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 19 46 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1922

Application Received 11/20/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/4/46 By Clark, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 12/4/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector 12/6/46
Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 12/6/46 Health Department 12/6/46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 1923

WHEREAS, Application No. 4221 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James and Maria Mc Mahon and John H. Mc Mahon to relocate and renew equipment (pumps, tanks, etc.) to a non-conforming Service Station at 2344 Madison Avenue on the South 62 ft. of Lot 25, Block 19, University Heights and to erect a new sign, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the service station office and island on the corner of Madison and Mission Avenues, located in city street, shall be removed within two (2) months;
2. And that the repair shop located within city street, shall be removed within two (2) years.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1923

Application Received 11-22-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-4-46 By Clark, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 & assum
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1924

WHEREAS, Application No. 4316 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John W. Sherman to operate Copper Plating business in a 12 ft. by 20 ft. portion of an existing building at 3629 Euclid Avenue on Lots 24 to 27 inclusive, Block 6, Fairmount Resubdivision of Blocks 1 to 12.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1924

Application Received 11-22-46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-4-46 By Clark, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 + assent
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1925 (See Res. #2294)

WHEREAS, Application No. 4322 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George W. Wood, owner and R. A. Chapman, Manager, to operate a Co-operative Buying Club to furnish staple groceries for members only, in an existing building formerly used as a grocery, 600 Catalina Blvd to 810 Catalina Blvd., on a portion of Pueblo Lot 146; no signs to be posted on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 19 46 By _____

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1925

Application Received 11-23-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-4-46 By Clark, Kernigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 + assum
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

d. K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1926

WHEREAS, Application No. 4332 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel Loeffler to operate commercial Photo Shop, part time, with no advertising, no signs and no employees, at 4016 - 33rd Street on Lots 19 and 20, Block 201, C ity Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12829, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1926

Application Received 11-26-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-4-46 By Clark, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1927

(Ext. of time on Res. 1613, amended by 1898)

WHEREAS, Letter dated November 27, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1613, as amended by Resolution No. 1898, be granted to Don Lee Broadcasting System and Security Trust and Savings Bank to erect a radio transmitter, including three 350 ft. high steel towers and approximately a 50 ft. by 60 ft. transmitter building near Euclid and Laurel Streets on Lots 1 and 2, Wadsworth Olive Grove.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946

By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1927

~~Application~~ Letter Received 11/28/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made — By —
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
Decision Cert. of 6 ms. approved Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 x assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

272 O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1928

(Ext. of time on Res. No. 1565)

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated November 30, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

STREET ADDRESS AS SHOWN BY WATER DEPT.: 1375 MORENA BLVD.

That extension of Resolution No. 1565 be for a period of six (6) months from the date of this resolution, to permit Sidney L. Davis to build two 12 ft. by 72 ft. stables on the Northwesterly 5½ acres of Pueblo Lot 264 (except subdivisions), at Knoxville and Morena Boulevard, to be operated as a commercial stable, subject to the following conditions:

1. Maximum of 20 horses;
2. Stables to be located not less than 300 ft. back from Morena Blvd.;
3. Permit to be for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Higgins of Health Dept. wants to be notified if any further extension is requested. He states the neighborhood is becoming residential

12' X 26' BUILDING PERMIT ISSUED 12/31/46

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1928

Letter ~~Application~~ Received 11/30/46 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date _____
Decision Ext. of 6 mo. approved Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1929

(Ext. of 6 mo. on Res. No. 1572)

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated December 3, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months be granted on Resolution No. 1572, from the date of this resolution, to permit William A. Place, owner and George W. and Ruby M. Smith, purchasers, to construct a 20 ft. by 20 ft. garage on the West 51 ft. of Villa Lot 42, Normal Heights, just west of 3122 North Mountain View Drive, back 45 ft. from the front property line and with no sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 19 46

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1929

Application Received 12-3-46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made — By —
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
Decision Ext of 6 mo. approved Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 v Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1930

(Ext. of 6 mo. on Res. No. 1573)

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated December 3, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the date of this resolution be granted to William A. Place, owner and George W. and Ruby M. Smith, purchasers, to construct a concrete retaining wall 15 ft. high and a 3 ft. iron fence on top of the wall on the West 51 ft. of Villa Lot 42, Normal Heights, the parcel of land immediately west of 3122 North Mountain View Drive, as originally granted by Resolution No. 1573.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1930

Application Received 12-3-46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made — By —
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
Decision 6 mo. Ext. Approved Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 x Ameen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

J.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1931

WHEREAS, Application No. 4328 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Larry H. Imig to erect a residence at 4816 Federal Boulevard on Lot 17, Imig Park No. 2, with a 4 ft. 2 in. sideyard on the east side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 19 46

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1931

Application Received 11-25-46 By Case
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-4-46 By Clark, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

c.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1932

WHEREAS, Application No. 4339 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jacob Solomon to erect an 8 ft. high fence around surplus property sales yard at 3520 Main Street on Lots 5 to 8, inclusive, Block 253, West Arlington.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1932

Application Received 12-2-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-4-46 By Clark, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 + amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1933 (AMENDS Res. No. 1901)

WHEREAS, Letter dated December 4, 1946 ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1901, dated November 21, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Herman W. Stellar to erect a four (4) unit two-story apartment building on the Sly corner of Bacon and Muir Streets on Lots 4 and 5, Block 93, Ocean Bay Beach, with a 2 ft. setback on Bacon Street."

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 19 46 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

~~Application~~ Letter Received 12-4-46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-20-46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
Decision Amendment Approved Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1934 (AMENDS Res. No. 1869)

WHEREAS, Application No. Letter dated November 26, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1869, dated November 7, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

" Permission is hereby granted to Don Ransom to construct an addition to an existing residence with a 12 ft. rear yard at 1768 Beryl Street on Lot 174, except the Ely 12½ ft., Congress Heights Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946 By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1934

~~Application~~ letter Received 11/26/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date _____
Decision Amendment Approved Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1935

WHEREAS, Application No. 4102 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leo R. Canine to construct an addition to a residence with a 10 foot rear yard at 3115 McKinley Street on the West 80 ft. of Lot 15, Block G, Altadena.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 19 46

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1935

Application Received 11/26/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-4-46 By Clark, Kernjan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 x
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

6.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1936

WHEREAS, Application No. 4304 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dionicio and Paz Gonzales to erect a 24 ft. addition to residence with a 2 ft. sideyard on the northeast side, at 1661 Logan Avenue on Lot 33, Block 138, Mannassee & Schiller's; 6 ft. of addition with a 2 ft. sideyard and 18 ft. of addition with an 8 ft. sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946 By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1936

Application Received 11-15-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-4-46 By Clark, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1937

WHEREAS, Application No. 4312 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph A. and Yvonne D. Pergl to erect a building with one apartment below and two apartments above, with 7 ft. court as access to the street, 2011 Bacon Street on the Northeast 35 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 58, Ocean Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

#1937

Application Received 11/18/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-4-46 By Clark, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

G.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1938

WHEREAS, Application No. 4315 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth and Ruth Denton and A. G. Blakey to construct a concrete block wall 8 ft. high at 4253 Mission Boulevard on Lot 10, Block 262, Pacific Beach and a portion of Pueblo Lot 1793 adjacent on the west.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1938

Application Received 11/23/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-4-46 By Clark, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 + [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

0.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1939

WHEREAS, Application No. 4286 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Preferred Theatres Corp., owners and Al Babrof and Ray Fetherhuff, lessees, to construct a quonset garage not to exceed 20 ft. by 24 ft. and located approximately 29.50 ft. from the north line of this property and to operate a used car and auto repair service, on the Sly 203.50 ft. of Lot 16, Block B, Teralta on the Northeast corner of 34th and El Cajon Boulevard, provided the building is used solely by the lessees for the washing and polishing of used automobiles offered for sale by them at retail, and for light repair work thereon.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated **December 19,** , 19**46**

By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1939

Application Received 11/19/46 By Parish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/4/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 & 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 & Ossman
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1940

WHEREAS, Application No. 4270 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Whitson Company, owner and Pre-Mix Concrete Company, lessee, to reconstruct an existing Concrete Mixing Plant on Lot 1, Fleischer's Addition in the 4000 Block, 6th Street Extension, new plant to be 35 ft. by 31 ft. by 45 ft. high, of a 3 yard capacity, subject to the following conditions:

1. **That the old plant be eliminated as soon as the new steel plant is in operation;**
2. **All loading will be done off the highway, on private property;**
3. **An easement to be granted to the City for the necessary widening of 6th Street;**
4. **An exact plot plan to be submitted and complete plans showing location of buildings on the property.**

Rec'd. 12/26/46 Sent to Kelly 12/27/46

Not granted 4/29/47

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 190, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

By _____ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1940

Application Received 11/25/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/4/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/19/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 + amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1941

WHEREAS, Application No. 4331 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry Seidschlaw, owner and George Muldoon, operator, to do commercial Photographic Printing and Developing in an existing garage at 717 Pynchon Street on Lots 5 and 6, Block E, Alta Vista Suburb; part time, not more than 4 hours per day; no signs; and no sales on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 75, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1941

Application Received 12/3/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1942

WHEREAS, Application No. 4345 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thaddeus J. and Lorna F. Sessions to move an existing residence on a Portion of Lot 10, La Mesa Colony at 6164 El Cajon Boulevard from the C zone to the R-1 zone at the rear of the lot, and use as a store for garden supply business, for a period of six (6) months.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 12/3/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 + assent
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1943

WHEREAS, Application No. 4235 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Western Investment Company to split Lots 8 and 9, Block "H", Catalina Manor into two building sites, 65 ft. by approx. 99 ft. each, facing on Redondo Street instead of Santa Cruz Street; a minimum of 15 ft. setback to be maintained on both streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1092, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1943

Application Received 11/26/46 By Hadley
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 Ammen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1944 Amended by Res. #2057

WHEREAS, Application No. 4154 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. Peterson, owner and Ed Ristau and Bill Casteel, lessees, to construct a 12 ft. by 24 ft. Dural Building for a Paint Shop in the rear of an existing non-conforming Auto Repair Shop at 3335 - 30th Street, on the South 6 in. of Lot 14, all of Lot 15, and except the South 1 ft. of the East 30 ft. of Lot 16, Block 1, Frary Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated **December 19,** , 19 **46** By _____

~~Secretary~~ **Chairman**

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1944

Application Received 12-3-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-18-46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1945

WHEREAS, Application No. 4353 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clayton H. Tjaden to operate Automobile Upholstering Shop in an existing garage at 1235 Colusa Street on the Southerly one-half of Lots 7 and 8, Block 1, Silver Terrace, subject to the following conditions:

1. Business to be operated not more than 20 hours per week;
2. No signs to be posted on the property;
3. Permit to be for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1945

Application Received 12/7/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 + Amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1946

WHEREAS, Application No. 4351 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John R. Dakin to construct one single family residence on the East 55 ft. of Lot 7 (original lot 60 ft. wide), Block "H", Redland Gardens Extension, 5459 Collier Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12794, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1946

Application Received 12/7/46 By Haeley
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 + amm
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. 4356 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Susie De Nechochea to operate a Dry Cleaning Pick-up station; no employees; 8 hours per day; and sign 15 sq. ft. in area, at 3951 Ocean View Boulevard on Lot 40, Block 4, Imperial Heights, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, , 19 46

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1947

Application Received 12/9/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 + answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. 4350 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nina Lee and Charles C. Hill to divide Lot 2, Cave & Mc Hatton's Subdivision into 3 parcels described as follows: (1) West 300 ft; (2) East 182.7 ft. of West 482.7 ft; (3) all of Lot 2 except the West 482.7 ft., provided an easement of 10 ft. is granted to the City along Division Street and 61st Street, for street widening, and a corner cut-off; also that an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record stating that if and when 59th Street is extended south to Division Street an easement for one-half the width of 59th Street will be given to the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Jan. Slater 47
Lee Hill 1945
Hill to sell
1-23/52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

agreement #445 filed 12/26/46 + agreement #446 filed 12/30/46

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

By _____
Secretary
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1948

Application Received 12/10/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond Approval Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 x
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1949, extending Res. # 1283 amended by # 2485 extended by # 2755

WHEREAS, Letter dated December 9, 1946 ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. May Hume and A. E. Roberts, owners and B. E. Soby, lessee, to continue the operation of a gift shop and creation of lamp shades at 2931 Carlton Street, on Lot 10, Block 25, Roseville, as originally granted by Resolution No. 1283, dated January 17, 1946, for a period of one (1) year from the expiration date of the previous resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2475, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1949

~~Application~~ Letter Received 12/10/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Ext. approved Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 + Amen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1950

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated December 5, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months be granted to H. R. Warner to construct a single family residence on Lot 22F of Assessor's Map 171, Ex-Mission Rancho, without frontage on a dedicated street but access by a private road near Madrone Avenue, as originally granted by Resolution No. 1648, dated July18, 1946; extension to be for 6 months from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 19 46

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1950

Letter
~~Application~~ Received 12/6/46 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made — By
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Cft. granted Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. m 4337 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. H. and Margaret Leftwich to use own residence at 868 Diamond Street on the West one-half of Lot 23 and all of Lot 24, Block 4, Ocean Front, for sewing and repairs and alterations to clothing, on a part time basis, no signs, no employee's; permit to be revoked if and when in the opinion of the Zoning Committee it becomes a detriment to the community or upon complaints from the neighbors.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1951

Application Received 12/11/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l permit Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 + Assn
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

o.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1952

WHEREAS, Application No. 4360 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe Maestro to maintain a Custom Wood Working Shop at 4053 Idaho Street on Lots 11 and 12, Block 167, University Heights, with maximum of 5 H.P., on a part time basis, not more than 30 hours per week, no signs, and permit to be revoked, if and when in the opinion of the Zoning Committee it becomes a detriment to the community or upon complaints from the surrounding property owners.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12589, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, , 19 46

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1952

Application Received 12/13/46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 & Amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. 4358 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Young Women's Christian Association to construct an 18 in. by 96 in. vertical double-faced Neon Sign, projecting 24 in. from the corner of existing building at 2905 Clay Street on the West 20 ft. of Lot 22 and all of Lots 23 and 24, Block 323, Reed & Daley's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1953

Application Received 12/14/46 By Haeley
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1954

WHEREAS, Application No. 3970 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. B. Crepps to erect one single family residence on the East 125 ft. of the South one-half of Lot 21, Eureka Lemon Tract, on Baker Street approximately 650 ft. east of Morena Blvd., provided a 20 ft. easement is granted to the City for the widening of Baker Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1954

Application Received 12/14/46 By Parish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 x Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1955

WHEREAS, Application No. 4307 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vera Manning to erect a three unit court at the rear of 2182 Julian Street on Lots 21 and 22, Block 224, San Diego Land and Town Subdv., with a 9 ft. court as access to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1955

Application Received 12/13/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1956

WHEREAS, Application No. 4361 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edna G. Sharon to erect a single family residence on Lots 18 and 19, Block 48, La Jolla Park at 1423 Coast Blvd., with one dwelling already existing on the lots, provided ~~a~~ yard requirements are complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1956

Application Received 12/16/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1957

Amends Res. No. 1796

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated December 17, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1796, dated September 26, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to Frank Olenicz, owner and C. N. Charnoch, purchaser, to divide a portion of Lot 28, La Mesa Colony (description on file in office of City Planning Department) into four lots; two lots with 58 ft. frontage, one with 52 ft. frontage and one lot with 69.71 ft. frontage on La Dorna Drive, and all lots with approximately 125 ft. depth."

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1957

Application Received 12/17/46 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/18/46 By
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date
Decision Amends previous Res. Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1958

WHEREAS, Application No. 4359 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. A. Jorgensen to make addition to a building for the sale of poultry on Sand Rock Grade 300 ft. south of Camino del Rio, on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1110.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, , 1946

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1958

Application Received 12/18/46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/18/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 [illegible]
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Plan

85170

RESOLUTION NO. _____

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Eugene Shepard, 5809 El Cajon Boulevard, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 1959 his application No. 2908 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, to permit construction of an airport on the northwest corner of Euclid Avenue and Federal Boulevard on a portion of Lots 14, 15 and 16 Horton's Purchase Ex-Mission Lands, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 85170 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council FEB 11 1947

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk.

By F. T. PATTEN
Deputy.

FORM 1270(10M-1/46)

RESOLUTION NO. 1959

WHEREAS, Application No. 2908 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Harry Clingman and Eugene Shepard to construct an airport on the Northwest corner of Euclid and Federal Boulevard on a portion of Lots 14, 15 and 16, Horton's Purchase, Ex-Mission Lands, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1959

Application Received 6/29/45 By Haelig
City Planning Department

Investigation made — By
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/15/45 + 1/2/47 Hearing date 8/29/45 & 12/19/45
Decision Denied Date 1/2/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/3/46 Building Inspector 1/4/47
Planning Commission 1/4/47 Petitioner 1/4/47 Health Department 1/4/47 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1960

WHEREAS, Application No. 4375 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. R. and Mary E. Estep to erect a residence and attach to existing garage at 2823 Bowditch Place on Lot 1, Block Q, Montclair; garage 52 ft. from the front property line with no sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, 1947 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1960

Application Received 12-19-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/2-46 By Sellew & Benton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision ~~1-2-47~~ approved Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1961

WHEREAS, Application No. 4324 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. G. Le Hew, owner and Painters and Plasterers Labor Hall, Ass'n. Inc., purchasers to erect and operate a Labor Hall on the east side of Centre Street, 130 ft. north of University Avenue on Lots 23 and 24, Block 193, University Heights and 40 ft. of Blaine Avenue, closed, provided there are no entrances to the hiring hall on the north side of the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1961

Application Received 12-19-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 & Ammon
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1962

WHEREAS, Application No. 4349 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of George M. and M. Elaine Penland to operate a beauty shop in an existing residence at 3635 Utah Street on Lot 11, Block 17, West End, on a part time basis not over 4 hours daily, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12520, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 19 47 By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1962

Application Received 12-20-46 By Garrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Denied Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1963 Renews. Res. # 1564

WHEREAS, Application No. 4370 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. Bruce Weston to divide Lots 10 to 13, inclusive, Block 77, La Jolla Park Villa Tract on Olivet Streets and Mar Avenue into four parcels with 66/2/3 ft. street frontage and not less than 5,000 sq. ft. in area, for each lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated **January 3,** , 19**47** By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1963

Application Received 12-24-46 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-~~46~~ 47 By Sellew & Anton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1964

WHEREAS, Application No. 4382 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. D. Addis to construct a 10 ft. by 12 ft. storage room addition to existing garage with a 6 in. sideyard and 61 ft. from the front property line at 3567 Van Dyke Avenue on Lots 7 and 8, Block 100, City Heights; addition to conform with the yard requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 19 47

By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1964

Application Received 12-24-46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1965

WHEREAS, Application No. 4381 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Elsie H. Fanchin to build a residence and garage on a 5.05 acre portion of Pueblo Lot 1112, with 218 ft. frontage on a dedicated street (description on fil e in office of Planning Dept.), on the south side of Camino del Rio, approximately 1400 ft. east of 6th Street Extension.

A variance to the provisions of OrdinanceNo. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 1947 By _____
Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1965

Application Received 12-24-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1966

WHEREAS, Application No. 4314 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of J. Ward and Audrey Watson to amend Resolution No. 74115, to erect addition to second floor of building at 3766-68 - 4th Avenue on Lot 18, Block 2, Brookes Addition, and maintain the same setback as the first floor, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 19 47 By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1966

Application Received 12-26-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellers + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1967

WHEREAS, Application No. 4389 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Charles E. and Madeline Neuneker and Franklin and Anna M. Dunn to convert an existing garage at 6055 Detroit Street on the East 100 ft. of the West 300 ft. of the East 1/2 of Lot 11, Cave & Mc Hatton's Subdv., to a residence and make a 19 ft. by 20 ft. addition, making the second residence on the property, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, 1947 By _____

Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1967

Application Received 12-27-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + Anderson
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1968

WHEREAS, Application No. 4388 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to St. Joseph Roman Catholic Church to remodel lower floor of apartment house with excess coverage, no rear yard and 1 ft. sideyard into class rooms and library, Southwest corner of 4th and Cedar Streets on Lot L, Block 205, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a and 10, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 19 47 By _____

Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1968

Application Received 12-28-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1969

WHEREAS, Application No. 4386 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles E. Keptner to build a residence and garage on Braeburn Road on Lot 118, Kensington Heights No. 2, with a 10 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Section 8s, of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 1947 By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1969

Application Received 12-28-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 Amerson
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Plan

O.K.

1970

RESOLUTION NO. 84971

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Roy E. and Mary A. Powell, 2032 Rosecrans Street, from the Zoning Committee decision in denying by Resolution No. 1970 Application No. 4340, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, to permit the moving in of a Camp Callan building on Lots 7 and 8 Block 155 Roseville, at Rosecrans and Sterne Streets, and remodel to a duplex, be, and it is hereby denied, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 84971 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council JAN 14 1971

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk.

F. T. PATTEN

By

Deputy.

FORM 1270(10M-1/46)

RESOLUTION NO. 1970

WHEREAS, Application No. 4340 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Roy E. and Mary A. Powell to move in a Camp Callan building on Lots 7 and 8, Block 155, Roseville at Rosecrans and Sterne Streets and remodel to a duplex, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 19 47 By _____

Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1970

Application Received 12-30-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision denied Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 & answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1971

WHEREAS, Application No. 4369 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. A. Barkus to do hand assembly and packaging of watch makers' tools within an existing residence at 1454 "A" Street on the South 40 ft. of the East 100 ft. of Block 182, L. L. Lockling's Subdivision, for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, 19 47 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1971

Application Received 12-28-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1972

WHEREAS, Application No. 4398 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul E. Ford, owner and O. W. Waggoner and H. R. Gilkey, operators, to manufacture Patio Furniture and Fencing and Retail Sale of same at Euclid and Market Streets on the North 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 41, Ex-Mission Lands in Horton's Purchase, for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution, and business to be operated by O. W. Waggoner and H. R. Gilkey, only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 1-2-47 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-~~46~~ 47 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1973

WHEREAS, Application No. 4336 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. O. Anderson, owner and Dale V. Anderson, operator, to do commercial Photography, printing and developing only, in existing garage at 4045 - 8th Avenue on Lot 41, Fleischer's Addition, on a part time basis and also to maintain office and storage room within existing buildings, for off-premises sale of recordings, provided no signs are posted on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 190, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, 1947

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1973

Application Received 12-19-46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1974

WHEREAS, Application No. 4373 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Original French Laundry, owner and O. B. Wetzel, Manager, to erect a 40 ft. by 60 ft. storage warehouse at 1062 Cleveland Avenue on Lots 26 and 27, Block 187, University Heights, provided all loading and unloading is done off of the street and alley, and the building located on the rear portion of the lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1974

Application Received 12-21-46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 v assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1975

WHEREAS, Application No. 4384 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. L. Haniman to construct 16 unit multiple dwelling with a 12 ft. covered passage to the street and with an 11 ft. rear yard, on Lots 36 to 40 inc., Blk. 220, Pacific Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1975

Application Received 12-21-46 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1976

WHEREAS, Application No. 4341 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. P. Martin to erect a new garage and hobby shop, 18 ft. by 40 ft., at 3651 Pringle Street on Lots 13, 14 and 15, Block 22, Middletown Addition, with no rear yard and no sideyard on the southeast side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1976

Application Received 12-30-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1977

WHEREAS, Application No. 3780 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. P. Liles, Jr., to build an addition, room and bath, to an existing residence at 3663 Jewel Street on Lot 25, Block 1, Crown Point, and maintain only a 14 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1978

Application Received 12-28-46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + amend
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1978 (AMENDS RES. NO. 1956)

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated January 2, 1947 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1956, dated December 19, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to Edna G. Sharon to erect a single family residence on Lots 18 and 19, Block 48, La Jolla Park, at 1423 Coast Blvd., with one dwelling already existing on the lots, and maintain a 15 ft. rear yard and a 10 ft. sideyard."

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, and Section 8a of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, 1947 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Letter received ~~Application Received~~ 1-2-47 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-2-47 By Sellew & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision Previous Res. amended Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1979

WHEREAS, Application No. 4333 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Larry H. Imig to erect a residence at 4916 Federal Boulevard on Lot 39, Imig Park No. 2, with a 4 ft. 2 in. sideyard on the east side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1979

Application Received 12-31-46 By Haeley
City Planning Department

Investigation made — By
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1980

WHEREAS, Application No. 4334 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Larry H. Imig to erect a residence at 4966 Federal Boulevard on Lot 50, Imig Park No. 2, with a 4 ft. 2 in. sideyard on the east side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1980

Application Received 12-31-46 By Haeling
City Planning Department

Investigation made ~~1-2-47~~ By
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Plan

J.K

RESOLUTION NO. 85214

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Mr. and Mrs. C. J. Paderewski, 1849 Lyndon Road, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 1981, the application of A. P. Ludwig, 1820 West Washington Street, to re-establish a Children's Day Dancing School in an existing building 1820 West Washington Street on Villa Lot 94 Mission Hills, be, and it is hereby overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 85214 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council FEB 18 1947

FRED W. SICK

F. T. PATTEN City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

FORM 1270(10M-1/46)

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1981

WHEREAS, Application No. 4352 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. P. Ludwig to re-establish a Children's Day Dancing School in an existing building at 1820 West Washington Street on Villa Lot 94, Mission Hills, subject to the following conditions:

1. Children's Day Dancing School to be operated by Mrs. George Kastelic, only; with not more than eight (8) pupils per class;
2. School to be for children not over the ages of 14 years;
3. Not to be operated after 8:30 P.M.
4. No signs;
5. Permit to be temporary, for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

7/19/47 Note Reported that license changed to 4547-30th June 30th 1947

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, 1947 By _____ ~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1981

Application Received 12/17/46 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/2 + 1/15/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2/47 Hearing date 1/15/47
Decision Cond'l approval Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1982

WHEREAS, Application No. 4338 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Tyler J. and A. L. Fenn, owners and L. M. and Ethel B. Anderson, purchaser, to divide the West 100 ft. of the South ½ of the Northwest ¼ of Lot 16, Horton's Purchase of Ex-Mission Lands, into a building site having 100 ft. street frontage, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, , 1947 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1982

Application Received 12/6/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/19 + 1/2/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/19/46 1/2/47 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

B.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1983

WHEREAS, Application No. 4362 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Clarence La Fleur to operate a used car sales lot and to construct a 10 ft. by 12 ft. office building on the rear of the lot on Voltaire Street 100 ft. southerly from Ebers Street on Lots 43 and 44, Block 14, Ocean Beach Park, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1983

Application Received 12/27/46 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/15/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1984

WHEREAS, Application No. 4377 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack W. and Helen Brem to divide Lot 13, Soledad Terrace on the north side of Los Altos Road, 50 ft. west of Soledad Road, into two building sites, approximately equal in area and each with approximately 150 ft. street frontage, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1984

Application Received 12-31-4 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/15/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

E.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1985

WHEREAS, Application No. 4371 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ernest M. Bartley to operate a sheet metal shop (custom work) at 4026 Van Dyke Street on Lot 31 and the South 5 ft. of Lot 32, Block 44, City Heights, subject to the following conditions:

1. That no material will be stored in the yard;
2. Hours of operation to be from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM;
3. Maximum of three (3) employees, besides himself;
4. Maximum horsepower, 3 h.p.;
5. Permit for the length of Mr. Bartley's ownership.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1985

Application Received 1/2/47 By Garrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/15/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

B.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1986

See Res. # 1892

WHEREAS, Application No. 4405 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ethel M. Gerteis to make alterations and repairs to roof and general repairs to residence on a parcel of land without street frontage, 328 - 34th Street (formerly 3354½ "K" Street on the North 45 ft. of Lots 33 and 34, Block 7, Mc Laren's 'H' Street Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1986

Application Received 1/6/46 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/15/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1987

WHEREAS, Application No. 4416 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl E. Dewey to build a tool shed and covered patio 59 ft. from the front property line with no sideyard at 3429 North Mountain View Drive on all of Lot 1 (except the East 38.83'), Block 3, Normal Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, 1947 By _____ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1987

Application Received 1/9/47 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/15/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1988

WHEREAS, Application No. 4415 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Margaret E. Sander to construct one single family residence on a portion of Lot 23, La Mesa Colony, 50 ft. by 150 ft. in size, adjoining the parcel of land approved by Res. No. 1684, on the Easterly side, on the Northwesterly side of Seminole Drive, south of El Cajon Boulevard, provided a 10 ft. strip across the front of the property is dedicated to the City for the widening of Seminole Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, 1947 By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1988

Application Received 1/10/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/15/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1989

WHEREAS, Application No. 4409 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Perry O. Guthrie to manufacture Rope, not more than 2 hours daily, at the residence at 7136 Lisbon Street on Lot 3, Happy Valley Little Farms, provided no additional signs are placed on the premises and the shed to be painted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1989

Application Received 1/10/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/15/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1990

WHEREAS, Application No. 4423 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John A. and Charlotte M. Robinson to alter a dwelling with no street frontage and a 1 ft. 4 in. sideyard, into a duplex, 821 Falcon Place (an alley) on the North 100 ft. of Lots 24 and 25 and the North 100 ft. of the West 17 ft. of Lot 26, Block 483, C. C. Seaman's Sub. of Pueblo Lot 1122.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 1/13/47 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/15/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1991 amended by Res. #2058

WHEREAS, Application No. 4372 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred and Josephine Diodati to add three living units to an eight unit court, by altering garages, with 4 ft. between units and a 13 ft. rear yard, at 915 Beryl Street on the E 10 ft. of Lot 3 and ### all of Lots 4, 5, 6, Block 81, Pacific Beach, provided the roof of the building is pitched similar to the existing units.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series and Section 8a of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, , 1947 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1991

Application Received 12-31-46 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1992

WHEREAS, Application No. 4411 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph J. and Flora Davis, Russell Norgan and Oscar Escalante to divide Lots 1 and 2, Block 25, Paradise Hills on the Southeast corner of Edgewater and Rancho Drive into three parcels, described as follows: (1) Ely 50 ft. of Lot 2; (2) Nly 60 ft. of Lot 1 and the Nly 60 ft. of the Wly 10 ft. of Lot 2; (3) Lot 1 (except the Nly 60 ft. and the Wly 10 ft. of Lot 2, except the Nly 60 ft.; provided a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Rancho Drive and the minimum setback maintained on Edgewater Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2720 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ~~January 16,~~ , 1947

By _____
~~[illegible]~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 1/14/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made — By —
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Cond'l Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1993, amended by Res 3125

WHEREAS, Application No. 4401 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James and Mary Marsh, owners and Louise Butler, purchaser to operate a beauty parlor, full time, with one employee, at 3446 Ray Street on Lot 1, Block 31, West End Addition, provided the business is operated within the existing building and permit to be for the above named owner and purchaser, only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, 1947

By _____
Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1993

Application Received 1/8/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/15/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1994

WHEREAS, Application No. 4407 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. T. Edwards to make an 11 ft. by 12 ft. store room addition to an apartment at 1420 Broadway on Lots 4 and 5, Resubdivision of Block 179, Horton's Addition, Olmsteads; storage room to be on the lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, 1947 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1994

Application Received 1/13/47 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/15/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1995

WHEREAS, Application No. 4394 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Mateka to erect a frame stucco building, 16 ft. by 28 ft., for storage, at the rear of the existing store buildings at 3021-23 Canon Street on the West 3 ft. of Lot 5 and all of Lot 6, Block 5, New Roseville.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, , 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 1/13/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/15/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1996

WHEREAS, Application No. 4168 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance and Trust Company, owner and F. L. Mc Mechen, purchaser, to erect a garage and dwelling on Nutmeg Street, east of 29th Street on the West 16 ft. 8 in. of Lot 16 and the Nly 75 ft. of Lots 17 and 18, Block 1, Park Addition; garage with no setback and dwelling with a 10 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Application Received 1/13/47 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/15/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/16/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1997

WHEREAS, Application No. 4406 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to S. G. Hazlitt to divide the South 160 ft. of the Nly 310 ft. of Morena Acre Lot 122 lying west of Hartford Street on Galveston Street, south of Milton Street, into four building sites, each with an 80 ft. street frontage and approximately 125 ft. deep, according to plat on file in office of Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, 1947 By _____
Secretary ~~Chairman~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1997

Application Received 1-14-47 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 1-15-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-16-47 Building Inspector 1-18-47
Planning Commission 1-18-47 Petitioner 1-18-47 Health Department 1-18-47 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1998

(Ext. of time on Res. #1625)

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated January 4, 1947 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the date of this resolution be granted to M. G. Winn to erect six units on Lots I, J and K, Block 10, Mission Beach, on Mission Boulevard between San Luis Rey and Asbury Courts, as per plan submitted; originally granted by Resolution No. 1260 and extended by Resolution No. 1625.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 17, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1998

Application Received Letter 1-4-47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made — By —
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15-47 Hearing date
Decision Ext. Granted Date 1-15-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-17-47 Building Inspector 1-18-47
Planning Commission 1-18-47 Petitioner 1-18-47 Health Department 1-18-47 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1999

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated January 4, 1947 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the date of this resolution be granted to M. G. Winn to erect six units on Lots I, J and K, Block 10, Mission Beach, between San Luis Rey and Asbury Courts, with a 4 ft. setback on Mission Boulevard; originally granted by Resolution No. 1261 and extended by Resolution No. 1626.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 17, 1947 By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1999

Letter
~~Application~~ Received 1-4-47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Ept. Granted Date 1-15-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-17-47 Building Inspector 1-18-47
Planning Commission 1-18-47 Petitioner 1-18-47 Health Department 1-18-47 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2000 extended by 2416

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated January 7, 1947 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the date of this resolution be granted to Clarence P. and Daisy Winn to build a residence on the Northwest corner of 52nd and Monroe Streets on the Easterly 62½ ft. of Lots 27 and 28, Block 6, Alhambra Park, with a setback of 20 ft. from the front property line; and in no case shall the setback be less than 20 ft. and no nearer to the street than the house immediately to the north; originally granted by Resolution No. 1279 and extended by Resolution No. 1649.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12521, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 17, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2000

~~Application~~ Letter Received 12-8-46 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Ext. granted Date 1-15-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-17-47 Building Inspector 1-18-47
Planning Commission 1-18-47 Petitioner 1-18-47 Health Department 1-18-47 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____