

1901

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4271</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Herman W. Stellar to erect a four (4) unit two-story apartment building on the Sly corner of Bacon and Muir Streets on Lots 4 and 5. Block 93. Ocean Bay Beach provided the setback maintained is not less than the main wall of the house located furthest out to the street in that same block.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, . 1946

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1901

Application Received _	11/4/46	By	Bo	City Plan	ening Depar	tment	<u> </u>
Investigation made	11/20/46	By	Zon	City Plar	Com ining Depar	rtment	<u>×</u>
Considered by Zoning Decision Copy of Resolution sen Planning Commission	Committee <u>11/2</u> t to City Clerk <u>11</u> 11/2 2/4 @ Pet	21/46 Bu	earing date_ te $11/20$ ilding Inspective 2/46 I	ector Health	<u>11/2 2</u> Départm	/46 ent 11/2	2/46 × Q
Appeal filed with City (Decision of Council	Clerk, date	Co Da	uncil Hearing	ng, dat	.e		
Resolution becomes eff Application withdrawn		Co	ntinued to				
Time limit extended to		Da	ate of action	1			

and the set such that the set of the light for the set of the set hereds are to the Terterove of Criterice (. 12011, 10, went is berefy overtal and the second is a manufactor to second

AUSTRIA V AND I A JAN.

entrante in the second state of the second state of the second state is a second state of the second state

server as a well a server to a server the server of a server of the server is and the server is and the server the state of the s

the second of th

The second second television has spore the spore that and the second

VERTINGENDER DE TOTA DE MERICE EN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4267</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. P. Alcaraz to construct an addition to existing residence without frontage on a dedicated street but fronting on a road used by the public, the North 165 ft. of the West 352 ft. of the SET of the NET of Sec. 33 - TI6S R2W, at Thorn and Altadena Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated___November 21, , 1946

FORM 2145

By.

A Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1902

Application Received $\frac{11/2/46}{2}$	By By City Planning Department
Investigation made	By By Consister City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee //	12946 Hearing date
Decision approved /	Date $\frac{1/20/46}{1/22/46}$ Building Inspector $\frac{1/22/46}{1/22/46}$ Petitioner $\frac{1/22/46}{1/22/46}$ Health Department $\frac{1/22}{46}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	1/21/46 Building Inspector 1/22/46
Planning Commission 1/22/46	Pétitioner 11/22/46 Health Départment 11/22/46 Va
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

person of the property of the strice of the and the respected within A variation ball a residence of this were below and the second se Ettalding realized a static static s one stands to be the post the book of a submitter on a Fair bills internet maked to a . . In his to construct the filling to see

THERE FOR I THE PARTY AND THE PARTY AND THE PARTY AT SHE PARTY

- the set of the powerships to other recently deside one
- cations of a section polynomial by the property

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4268</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. S. and Ruth M. Davison to erect a two car garage on Lot 1, Block 3, La Jolla Beach, 7416 Monte Vista Street, provided the setback maintained is the same as the building to the north.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ November 21, , 19 46

FORM 2145

By

- y by the sub-A Converse, to be a produced by the proof
- The source of the sector is the market of the sector of the burner of the beauty of sector is a sector of the sect
- an oper the hadelick by the one runn will be fifth
- LEESELART' OF TI TERTIAND' OF DAY YOULS' COMPLETED TO BE CILL OF 200 DEDEON
- **P03.0** 12 Tout the met wires for the property whittened whome sup spales to the cravitions of Crairres Ma. 1273, No. 1944 is in reby graded 100295 States and the set of the set Enderstand the first is the sould shall be introduced to the morely. a la la contra parte da serie e a construction to contra construction de construction de construction de const La contra contra construction de construction de construction de construction de construction de construction de
- Time limit extended to Date of action

Application Received _	11/5/46	By	-0	Sur Di	anning Departmen	•
	11/20/46	B .,	2001	City Pl	Commis Department	the
Investigation made	1 1 1	Dy	Juice	City Pl	lanning Departmen	t
Considered by Zoning	Committee 11/20	46 He	earing date	e		Contraction of the second
Decision appro	red, Condie 1	110	te //	120/9	18 . 1 . 1	the charter par the
Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk 1/2	1/46 Bu	ilding Ins	pector_	1/22/4	16
Planning Commission	11/22/46 Petiti	oner 11/	22/46	Health	n Department	16/22/4620
Appeal filed with City (Ćo	uncil Hear	ring, da	ate	
Decision of Council		Da	ite	Sector 2	t Francisco de la composición de	and an an an an and a second
Resolution becomes eff	ective	The summer of the second				
Application withdrawn		Co	ntinued to)		
		D		All and a state of the state of		And the second s

The The Address of the Address of the

1010 * 140 * 100 B

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4308</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. S. and Ruth M. Davison to erect a two car garage on the front portion of Lot 1, Block 3, La Jella Beach, 7416 Monte Vista Street with no sideyard on the north but maintaining the required sideyard on the south.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ November 21, , 19 46

FORM 2145

By

MOLCLOIDIN. J.	0 1
Application Received	By Connock
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11/20/46</u>	By City Playning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/4	6 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 11/20/46 46 Building Inspector <u>11/22/46</u> her 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46+Quere Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/	16 Building Inspector 11/22/96
Planning Commission 11/22/46 Petition	ner 11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46+ase
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

101 00 TO

Deret.

No site is interview of the particulation of the section of her and the section of the section o

the many in the second at

Cull

- Samplan, Slave, Slave, et al.
 Samplan, Slave, Slave, et al.
 Samplan, Slave, Slave, Slave, et al.
- and the second s
- The second second
- the second of the second of the second second

ALL PO Fergi

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4261</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eugene C. Clark, owner and W. J. Beran, purchaser, to remodel existing building from four eperiments to three apartments, 917 Beech Street on the Ely 32.5 ft. of Lot 1 and the Mly 26.07 ft. of the Ely 32.5 ft. of Lot 2, Block 19, Bay View Homestead with no sideyard on the east side and a 4 ft. rear yard; approximately 170 sq. ft. over-coverage, but no additions to be made on the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated___November 21, , 1946

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1905

510 A00 - 517 - 3	2
Application ReceivedB	y City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11/20/46</u> B	y _ <u>Joning Committee</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46	Hearing date
Decision approved 11	Date 11/20/46
CODY of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/2/199	Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 11/2 2/46 Petitioner	11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/462 and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	Date of action

pereta a construction of the former of the f

. . .

 $L = L_{\rm eff}$, which we have the final of an article of a field of the constraint of the field of the constraint $L = L_{\rm eff} = L_{\rm eff} + L_{e$

the second of the second the second the second second

the second second

the second se

Sector States and Sector States

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4281</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Company and Otis Parks to construct an S ft. retaining wall on the lot line at 1734 Titus Street on Lot 4 and the North 2 of Lot 5, Block 21, Middletown Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931. New Series, be, and is here by granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____November 21, , 1946

Storetary Chairman

Res. No. 1906

FORM 2145

ALL	
Application Received <u>11-6-46</u> B	v South
rippication received	City Planning Department
	0.0
Investigation made <u>11-20-46</u> B	horing (mmittee.
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-20-46	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/21/46	Building Inspector 11/22/46
Planning Commission 1/122/16 Petitioner	11/2 2/46 Health Department 11/2 2/46 v Que
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>//21/46</u> Planning Commission <u>///22/46</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Date 11/20/96 Building Inspector 11/22/96 11/22/96 Health Department 11/22/96 reaction Council Hearing, date Date

Rent An Idolf

hores and the state providence of the second boy affit, then device, by, out the age of the short on los i will be found of her loss of the loss of the started on to manage in the second of the state with the second of the bagery way

Venant fronter : alter to the Lagers for all heaterph tempines i pulate

DEREADER, OR IN DISCONDER MY AND REPAIR CONTRACTORS OF THE CARE OF FUR DISCOND

the bar of the reception back, our will build be an entity developed to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4241</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. E. Miles, et al to divide four lots at right angles, into four building sites at Boundary and "F" Streets, Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 1, Walker's Addition, each lot to be not less than 55 ft. by 100 ft. in size. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35. New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 21, , 1946

By

Secretary Chairman

O.K

against a construction to a first and a first	0 0
Application ReceivedI/7/46E	y_ taughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11/20/46</u> B	y Zoning Committee
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46	Hearing date
	Date 11/29/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1/21/96</u> Planning Commission <u>11/2 2/96</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector <u>11/22/46</u>
Planning Commission 11/2 2/46 Petitioner	11/22/46 Health Department 11/22/46×02
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Dodanci Torra

観大学がそ

INERSECT 1

per- nd- grad

A very process and they are they within to the concerby reactions above. Sand a start of a forr of a then lies a t Tougherstood with Stretch, Note Sand a start of a start of a stretch of this sect is to be not b to brack the stretch of the str Ferriel . 'the second to be a liter, what to dride four laberst

Make ... " and a starty white the Maxim Plan of the City

the second second second states in the second second

1301

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4275</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Severin Construction Company to construct one single family residence on a portion of Lots 14 and 15, Block 6, Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, as shown on a plat on file in the Planning Department Office, the Northeast corner of Bellevue and Bird Rock Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____November 21, , 19_46

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1908

0. K

Application ReceivedB	y Qanch City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11/20/46</u> B	y <u>Joning Committee</u> City Planning Department
	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11/21/46</u> Planning Commission <u>11/22/46</u> Petitioner	Date 11/20/46 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 1/22/96 Petitioner	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council meaning, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Dere.

Lotion, bes a franke, this for a cliber to the lot of the sector weather Bowt. Areaster for the provide the sector for the prose of the sector weather and the result.

I Done as to a

at any pre-

THE REAL PROPERTY OF A

The survey of the second s

the second second

the second secon

a service of the second service of the secon

RESOLUTION NO. 1909, amended by Res # 2509

O.K

WHEREAS, Application No. 4083 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. E. Hazard and E. T. Hale to construct a 10 ft. fence on West Point Loma Boulevard, 10 ft. along Midway Drive, 6 ft. along Ollie Street and 8 ft. on the westerly boundary, a Portion of Lots 1 and 2, Partition of Pueblo Lot 219 lying southwest of Midway Drive, southeast of Ollie and north of West Point Loma Elvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____Nevember 21. , 19_46

FORM 2145

By_

	ALC DA
Application Received	6 By Coppock City Planning Department
Investigation made Considered by Zoning Committee	
Ditte	Data 11/3 0/9 0
Decision approved	erk <u>11/21/46</u> Building Inspector <u>11/22/46</u> Petitioner <u>11/22/46</u> Health Department <u>11/22/46r Conc</u> Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cle	rk mary Building Inspector
Planning Commission 1/2 2/46	Petitioner 1/22/96 Health Department 1/22/968 Case
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

10ª TU2

I withouse to but prevision of ordinance to. COL, or Depiers or, and is house of problem insolit on why will to be the receiver considered above.

contention is set of product in the formation of the formation is the feature of get rolation is starter if the manual field of the formation of the cloud of the deriver formation of the set and the formation of the formation of the local formatio

whether a follower of the start of the second free of the first of San Hitter.

and a second of the second and the second and the second of the second of the second of the second of the second

interfactor interfactor interfactor and interfactor interfactor interfactorial interfactori

the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4292</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mos</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>mos</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to I. E. Friedman, owner and C. A. Small, purchaser to make a 3 ft. addition to garage at 4317 Alder Drive on Lot 274. Talmadge Park Unit No. 2; addition to maintain a 2 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1030, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____November 27, , 19 46

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1910

Application ReceivedB	
	City Planning Department
	<u>Joning Committee</u> (City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/20/46 Decision approved 11/20/46	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 11/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/27/16	Building Inspector 11/27/46
Planning Commission 11/27/46 Petitioner	11/27/46 Health Department 11/27/46 & assessed
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

. CO# 10* 1.370

OI OLIN V ALL a pl. an. Nothing is her specification of Criticanes Sb. 1030, New Geries, be, and managederall provided includer on their oflate is the property temploted close.

. Hos with Petrodic Perio Daits in. F: of itim to inimitalia m S ft. retuck. Let to the to make a fit of the to to price by 0517 list white on

\$0 para

THE STARKS, ME OF PASSINGS, IN the Startes, Cossister of the City of Sup Biegd,

. The second of the second, and will make the dealth of pulsity of the second s

se a contrary applicable to the property

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4246</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Beatrice Edmonds Brenneman to divide Lot 16, Bridges Estate into three parcels; two parcels without frontage on a dedicated street but with right of access by an easement as shown on a plat on file in the office of the Planning Department, and to remodel an existing residence into two separate dwellings, each to maintain respective sideyards, Alcott Street north of Chatsworth Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series and Section 12, of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ December 5, , 19 46

By

Sterning Chairman

Res. No. 1911

O.K

FORM 2145

and she	. attivus Anglianco
Application ReceivedH	By Mail City Planning Department
Investigation made E	by <u>Joning Committee</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/2 0/46	Hearing date 12/4/4/6
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/2/5/46 Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 12/4/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/5/46	Building Inspector 12/6/46
Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner	12/6/46 Health Department 12/6/464 Cases
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A Vivilnos to bue providence of contingnom So. M., See Derige bud continguity, of Prainence by, SDCM, by, and is normally amount inwoist on their relate to the property mentioned rooms.

infinite in the increase function for the function increase to divide not 1, wideen intotes toto three purcease to purcels microse frontage on a fedderic chreat but will be of a constant front on the on five in the office of the 11 will department, and to remark on wilding real nucle logs be appeared fronting, core to maintain on wilding real nucle logs be appeared fronting, core to maintain result the cidering of the track of the fight, when the real bound of the static real nucle logs be a second of functions. Core to maintain result the cidering of the track of the of the track. The formation of the static real nucle, dest success of the function of the track.

Buchink passe, we in the provide the former formation of the Carsy of Sup Diewa

Bay vaos a name

WIG OTT

of the solver, and the preservation is the personal by other preservation of the prese

the second second

and the second second

Tell in the Tell

RESOLUTION NO._____

Plan

U,K

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

It is hereby declared that it is not, and was not, the intention of the Council to permit the sale of liquor on the Polo Grounds, Mission Valley, in connection with zone variance heretofore granted by Resolution 74572 to E. E. Hazard and Bruce Hazard on Lots 1 and 2 of Pueblo Lot 1103, and all of Block E Bayview Quarter Acres and all of Blocks 9, 10, 11, 12 Bayview Addition. Therefore, this Council will protest any issuance of such a license as applied for by Mr. Heller.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by s	true, and correct copy of Resoluti aid Council <u>APR 15 194</u> FRED W. SICK	on No. <u>85704</u>
	F. T. PATTEN	City Clerk.
	By	Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 84791

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

0. 6

That the appeal of Glenn O. Hellyer from the Zoning Committee decision in denying by its Resolution No. 1912 his application No. 4019 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 New Series to permit operation of a Class A motorcycle short track on Lots A and 2 of Pueblo Lot 1103, Block E Bayview Acres, and Blocks 9 to 12 inclusive Bayview Addition, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the abo	ve to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. $8479/$ as adopted by said Council $\frac{17}{126}$
f the Council of the City of San Diego, a	is adopted by said Council 1945
	FRED W. SICK
Ser. J	Helen M. Willig City Clerk.
	By
ORM 1270(10M-1/46)	Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4019</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Glenn O. Hellyer to operate a class A Netorcycle Short Track, using a small enclosed track now used for horse shows at the south end of Colusa Street on Lots A and 2 of Pueble Lot 1103, Block E, Bayview Acres, and Blocks 9 to 12 inclusive, Bayview Addition, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Appacation withdrawn

By

fiffffff Chairman

Res. No. 1912

FORM 2145

1

New Saws	》在WELFULLED Cline Lituati
Application Received $\frac{9/4/46}{By}$ By	City Planing Department
Decision denied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/2/5/46 Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	<u>Joning Committee</u> Hearing date <u>10/6/46 + Cont 11/20/46 + 12/4/46</u> Date <u>12/4/46</u> Building Inspector <u>12/6/46</u> <u>12/6/46</u> Health Department <u>12/6/46 + Contect</u> Council Hearing, date <u>Date</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Water and The Toria

the locks of the 12 productive, because and the backets of the back of the back of the provisions of trainings for Mobile devices to the provisions of trainings for Mobile the back of the provisions of training for the provision of the provisions of the provisions

The setision of them 0, well're to constend the bound to to the blort from, a lots runtly enclosed truct nor most for more shorter the south end of welling truck on lots , while of the lot of life, does , we have gree,

HERITOR, THE AT PETERSKE, IS THE SOULING CORMLESSO AT THE CLUB AT SMI DIVES

a the second of the adverse for as into the Second of the Star Circle

2. A second s

Not work the of the patterner, premered by bear preparty to a the present to the preparty of the present of the preparty of th

the province of the second sec

the next first try into the \$205

4285 _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, Application No. _ of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ______ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> The petition of Delbert R. Hall to convert an existing garage and storeroom in a duplex at 4035 Texas Street on Lots 15 and 16, Block 171, University Heights into additional living quarters, with no sideyard, be, and is hereby denied.

All st. I and the Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

AND DOWNERS STATI

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

19 46 December 5. Dated_

Bv

FORM 2145

Secretary Chairman

	·1、在这些资源的,大型和基本公司-24
Application ReceivedBy	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11/20/46</u> By Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11/20/46</u> Decision <u>leneel</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>12/5/46</u> Planning Commission <u>12/6/46</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	<u>Joning Committee</u> 2/4/4/6 Date 12/4/4/6 Building Inspector <u>12/6/4/6</u> 12/6/4/6 Health Department 12/6/4/6 × Queener Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

19-4 min 19-4 1980.

monthem of . but, we be leveled develop to block relation on the Replace al a) for a variance is the provisions of criticanes Ma. 920, press the samples solitized for solition likeling sourcess, this an effernely on, and is

TROPARTY, NAME PLANE AND A PLANE

AND REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A

in a Chiles statistic form form to be also by a lot a la manual a statistic the second The periods of willow ... all to convert on maintles garden rad eterogoes

a de la companya

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4276</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> The petition of Pearl and Dean Lockard to operate a Beauty Shop, full time, at 4117 Janul Avenue on Lot 64, Sierra Vista Addition, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 75, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

me hunit extended to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ December 5, , 19 46

By

-Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1914

FORM 2145

O.K

	74

A should be and bear protect homewood particular babbanks

ADDL . ALLEY MINE FLORE FOR DEFICIE OF REFERE OF

the provide of the of the second second second the line of the City,

TARRECTED BY IT ARE INVESTIGATE CONTRACTOR OF THE CITY OF SHIPLADED.

The retition of reard and them pockard to pression a Month' and, Entl cime,

Authoriton for a vertice to the provision of operative for fur ser the second and and and the second second Get TON *

1000 MANDARANCH COCTA, " Meries, De, and is hereby denies incolar as , ey relate to the graperty

Investigation made 12/4/46 By Clark City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 Hearing date Decision allenied Date 12/4/46 Copy of Resolution sent to, City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector 12/6/46 Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 12/6/46 Health Department 12/6/46 va Council Hearing, date _____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to

necespes.

Application Received ______/12/46 By

Ranning Department

and the state of the state of the

1910年 夏初学社

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>**4301**</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to John T. and Ruby J. Christensen to convert a basement to living quarters in an existing residence with an 18 inch sideyard on the north side, on Lets 41 and 42, Block 193, University Heights, 3955 Park Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By

Whith Chairman

Res. No. 1915

	Mark Fill Filmer Mark Alaster and American
Decembra, 13	R. I
Application ReceivedI	By City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{12/4/46}{12}$ H	By <u>Clark Kenigan + Burton</u> City Planing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46	Hearing date
	Date 12/4/46 12/5/14
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk / 2/5/46 Planning Commission /2/6/46 Petitioner	Building Inspector 14/8/46
Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	126/46 Health Department/2/46 & assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Therest chan apoint 91-14 Té And is hored, production of the rest reduce to the property of the A TIPLOTE IS THE TRAINLY A OF OPLIQUIDE D. BOND, STUDING SE, DE, and the state of the state while the side of the south size, but hot 12 and 12, Lice 19. you want a meridiant to Alving substant in on calebia restance with

STORN N

here include in the work strate of all works is much where de stratestances for

Contine Convertises of the City of San Diego. LINE FREEDER THE ALL BORDARY P

not setting affect the peolin of safery of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4283</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **mot** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **mot** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance and Trust Co., owner and Otis Parks, to construct a three unit dwelling with a 6 ft. court obstructed by a 4 ft. porch running the full length of the building, 1734 Titus Street on Lot 4 and the North 2 of Lot 5, Block 21, Middletown Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 19 46

HOS MADE STREAM IN

By_

Agentity Chairman

Res. No. 1916

FORM 2145

the second s

the set of the set of the set of the best of the set of the set of the best of the set of the best of the set of the set

and the providence of the second second and well mothing the health of the best of the second s

the provide the second second of the property of the second stars of the maximum second s

of the state of the state of the state is a state of the state of the state of the state of the state

There began a the state of the second state of the Current Second S

/s fights is a solution to the star like increases and (read onone of the sign of a solution of three unit desirate with a both, court and thread is a solution to the share the fight of the both the a (3) little signed on for the light is a fight?, showing the both the Medition.

A Nuclease to the provisions of theirsda No. Most, besting it, u., and is hereby granted interfer on they will be bud prove the orthogonal

Bv

THE WILL THE ORDER TO A

Application Received ______46

TO BY STICKET OF D

distant n

Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. 4299 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> and all and an and the second start of the start of the area and and the start and Permission is hereby granted to Angelo Glannantonio, owner and Robert C. Howard, rentor, to operate a Radio Repair Shop in an existing residence at 3802 Superba Street on Lot 24, Block 1, Superba Heights, subject to the following conditions:

1. Business to be operated part time only:

.

- 2. No. employees;
- 3. No signs;
- All work to be done within the existing building:

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Investigation made

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 46 Dated _____December 5.

By_

Sectedary Chairman

Res. No. 1917

FORM 2145
1 16	
2	And Address of the Ad
	4

12 VI 0 1.00

- The surface of the second second
- A Day for share of a low to be the state of the state of the state of the state of the city
- THERE ARE TO TE VERY AND AN ONE SCHOOL COMMENTED OF THE CITE OF SHE STARD.

for indicate the profession to a la monthemals, comentarily contra

et Just survive chart on lot 24, more 1, more meriter that mublicat to

- mainers to be a er ton bert tite o tit. 40 T* the following down think
- 10 07 0001 . , ON AND OLGER! *
- an head.

11/14/46

Carried Backgood In

Application Received _

- ST 112 17 All work to be cone within the ectobler building a sa
- Munices Lighting of Lag relies to the proverby mantaness source. A variable fo the provisions of codime to re. Allas, we may ly house
- By Clark Ker Investigation made ____ City Blanning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 Hearing date Date 12/4/46 Decision Cond' approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>12/5/46</u> Building Inspector <u>12/6/46</u> Planning Commission <u>12/6/46</u> Petitioner <u>12/6/46</u> Health Department <u>12/</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Copy of Resolution sent to, City Clerk 12/5/46 Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

By

2004 . OF

AND AND AND AND THE ME

Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4122</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul Challet and He E. Moore to divide four lots at Fenelon and Willow Streets into three building sites, to permit one single family residence on each; Lots 5 to 8 inclusive, Block 50, Roseville and one-half of Emerson Street closed adjacent; the corner parcel to have 85 ft. frontage on Willow Street and the remaining two parcels each with 75 ft. frontage, provided a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Willow Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

a set the set of the set of the

Dated December 5, , 1946

By_

Secretary Chairman

Rec. No. 1918

		100	

on all the bullet and " burterend by alles brokers's

1929: Chevrolatic control and the state of t

ended have been also a set when the state of the state of the set of the set of the state of the Carly

THERE ARE THE TO AT THE LAST BY THE YOU FUR CONSISTENCED AND THE FILL AL PAN DYARA

Wether, is not tained on thilly "treat. the contract the serve of the fraction within the second on the chight of , and the add north 1. of marken thanks side of a set Servit ere et ale givit a wine we an audit fets 5.50 S instanter. the factor is become constant to Faul thellot can a second to it the

is purply consider a purply as and relate to one property destinant A to plance to the provision of or lighted by. It, and sector, bu, and

Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to

N POLOT

Application Received	14/46 By	_ the	Gy Planning I	Department	
Investigation made	14/46 By	Clark,	Kerijan City Planing I		2
Considered by Zoning Com	mittee /2/4/46	Hearing date		and the second second second	
Decision Condi app	1000 12/5/46	Date 2/4 Building Ind	146 Dector 12/1	6/46	
Decision Condition Sent to C Copy of Resolution sent to C Planning Commission 12/6	146 Petitioner	2/6/46	Health Depar	rtment 12/6	146 + az
Appeal filed with City Clerk,	date	Council Hear	ing, date		u sin set pie
Decision of Council	and the fighter and the	Date	Second and the second	19 Lograduate	2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
Resolution becomes effective	3	Continued to			

100 P (1, P (3))

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4346</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul Challet to construct a residence and double garage on the Nly 35 ft. of Lots 7 and 8, Block 50, Roseville, and maintain a 10 ft. setback on Fenelon Street, at Willow Street

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

In the the state of the line fact and when a find the said of the second the black when a state

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19 46

1. 1. 1.

By_

Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

0

	A state of the

of an error of the second state of the second

Anti-addit and parameters of the second second and an end of the second parameter brobar has been and the second s

Then the grant of the second second and show a motion is alread the health of a second s

the fait is an include a supervise of the mercanic of the mercanic to the mercanic busic

a best of a factor of the second work with a pressent of the manual block of the cars

THERE'S OFT. BU IN PASS, MED. 15, 156 Second Constitutes of the Ciry of San Musices

providuation in here at granted to real of lies to country is a regimence and formule constrain a tealing of the original could be lies for the 50, foregrings, as is a fateling a lift, actions on seaton thread, at highlog thread, and a sector of the constraint of seaton of the 5, of highlog thread, and a heredy

thought trooters of they to prove by the property newstored thores.

Investigation made _________ By Clark Kenigen & Burton Considered by Zoning Committee /2/4/46 Hearing date _________ Decision approved Date /2/4/46 Building Inspector _________ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/2/5/46 Building Inspector _________ Planning Commission /2/6/46 Petitioner /2/6/46 Health Department /2/6/46 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ________ Decision of Council _________ Resolution becomes effective _______ Application withdrawn ________ Time limit extended to ________ Date of action ________

Planning Department

Bv

Decasion of f.

W ENI

Application Received _12/3/46

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4297</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elizabeth S. Dodge to erect addition to a residence having a 2 ft. sideyard at 6715 Neptune Street on Lot 2 (except the SE 22.475 ft.) and all of Lots 3 and 4, Elock 4, La Jolla Strand; addition observing the required sideyard; and to erect a garage with a 1 ft. sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Section Sa, Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 19 46

By

Secretary Chairman.

Res. No. 1920

an transfer of the second s
Application ReceivedBy ByBy
nvestigation made 12/4/46 By Clark, Kenigan + Burton City Plapping Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 Hearing date
Data tabulal Ganage Data talifal
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector 12/6/46
Taining Commission of the Techner Department of the
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Fime limit extended to Date of action

In the second surply fully i was block a list of the forgraphy and there a flower Exvariance to the eroviatons of south in Sr. Willingson South to . and a residence size a fit sidesing of single destine thread in the S (areast the significant site of note 3 cately directly in this Struct Addition of control to respect of an or driver size a size of

Territeron in the by counted in the web 1. Poste to short an ition to

COMPANY IN THE

setting a provide by address the sector filled of the Cats

second - 200 - in the for help the instant se stell and a file of the main he will be a suffery of

o terry of one of historianing on or fight brothers) mary more celement

so of the state of the second a second second second

1 inter

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4311</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Aubert L. Works, owner and R. I. Gardner, operator, to maintain and operate a new existing Radio and Watch Repair and Electric and Gas Welding Shop at 2689 "L" Street on Lots 1 to 3. inclusive, Block 43, Chas. Hensley, and to maintain new existing signs.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

me limit extended to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19 46

By

Secretary Chairman

ALL THE REAL PROPERTY AND A DESCRIPTION

of the stand of the stand of the structure and the shown (sue Section ;

a and the state of the second of the second state because in the second state of the second state of the second

Kitestourne bide and beseeting and the bet in the second of the second o

The surger of the second state of the second s

4 . Just the Mater Plan of the City advantation for the Mater Plan of the City

at gain here.

THERPORT, IN IT PISOISED, In the Sound, Committee of the City of San Diego.

leritelen is concreptabled to concret 1. order other pairs to deriver, open to be notrieller electrois e concrete control of the control open gret the trie attress of the the control of the control of the Control suctainty, there d, err, conder, col to recrete a section estation.

A working to the residence of the logication of the property particulation and the residence of the second states of the second states and the second states are second states and the second states are second states and the second states are second states a

1. 一门之子九二世 Application Received _11/18/46 By City Planning Department By Clark Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 Hearing date Decision approved Date 12/4/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/2/5/46 Building Inspector 12/6/46 Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 12/6/46 Health Department 12/6/46×Q Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

and they

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4310</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. W. Kennerly to add to a store building for the sale and storage of chickens, feed, poultry supplies, plants and shrubs, at 502 - 62nd Street on Lot 37, Garden Acres; addition to be same type as the existing structure.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relateto the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_46

By

Secretery Chairman

Res. No. 1922

a second a second s

A LA CALENDAR DE LA C

The state of the state

and the second of the second second

the second of the second of the the finite Committee of the City of San Dieror

Se allatar in service products as a secondary to sold to a store sullates for a subsecond store soles (second, second, souther supplies, phones and denotes), of second stores on out 27, earder when a second block to be succeeded as substant store true of a second 27, earder when a second block to be succeeded by

A viriera so the providious of or indice No. 116, ser derivat, bo, sud is Levely stantshid, sof r on they related the property residence hove.

Sign of the second s

By Clan Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 Hearing date Date 12/4/46 Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/5/46 Building Inspector_ Planning Commission 12/6/46 Petitioner 13/6/46 Health Department 12 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

Bv

Dured PROVODING

Application Received _

- + + + + + + - T - 1 - 5

A LAND ITS TOPO IS

lanning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4221</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James and Maria Mc Mahon and John H. Mc Mahon to relocate and renew equipment (pumps, tanks, etc.) to a nonconforming Service Station at 2344 Madison Avenue on the South 62 ft. of Lot 25, Block 19, University Heights and to erect a new sign, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the service station office and island on the corner of Madison and Mission Avenues, located in city street, shall be removed within two (2) months;
 - 2. And that the repair shop located within city street, shall be removed within two (2) years.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12559, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____ December 5, , 1946

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1923

	The state
Desember 3, 16	
Application Received	6 By City Planning Department
Investigation made 12-4-46	By Clark Kengan + Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee /2-	Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/	2.5-16 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-96 Pe	council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action
	win to the frage was there about .
A sublice to the provision	with Culturate Do. Light, Do. and in tarent
LONGAL LINESS EED	(S) Lague.
100 200 200 200 CHOR	y shop logated elimin city strands, whall be
	and worker, lookbed in oldy support, dwill be
A % 1. which the sprintes :	button of lee and itless on the power of a le
28 PRO 1 770 . 1 00 1. 1170	
The second of the second se	and a state the the free a state a set with a subject
pan-pilor and the pant of	the state of the second st
	A ti danas ent Marin io Jaben and Yohn N.
	*
and a set of the set o	
	mab supersety alrest the Amazor Plan of the City
•	second will both the materially second at the second secon
	A
	the second of the second of the second secon
	and the second s
	a the the orbital to
	1.4
	111

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4316</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John W. Sherman to operate Copper Plating business in a 12 ft. by 20 ft. portion of an existing building at 3629 Euclid Avenue on Lots 24 to 27 inclusive, Block 6, Fairmount Resubdivision of Blocks 1 to 12.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 1946

By

A Sheretery Chairman

Res. No. 1924

FORM 2145

0

	are to grant
	דר אינג קיברי אלא איין איין איין א
Leaven box 5, 10	0.1
Application Received _//-2.2-46 By	City Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made <u>12-4-46</u> By	Clark, Kernigan + Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 12-4-46
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12 1-46 Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner / Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission /2-6-46 Petitioner /	2-6-96 Health Department 12-6-96×02
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	. Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
A verience to the protisions of fur isroby ar when insufar as been pale	Incomes Da. 10., Der berler, De, end is - te bolthe richerte rentioned chove. "
A NOT THE A CAR AND	
	laciante, Thenk o, Thisperick
The strategy of the total of the strategy of t	And the Manual and the state of
THEFT OF A TALL TO A DESERVE PARTY	n the mult to operate a couper (Incing
a intraligação de la contración de la contr	
1 () () () () () () () () () (
	much private and a strate should be also be and the city
	а сталительные слара сарвистром
	the second
	A.C. S. S. Link of Course they be an in an inter of

with unserviced by other property

the second second

T.I.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4322</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**20**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George W. Wood, owner and R. A. Chapman. Manager, to operate a Co-operative Buying Club to furnish staple groceries for members only, in an existing building formerly used as a grocery, 600 Catalina Blvd to SlO Catalina Blvd., on a portion of Fueble Lot 146; no signs to be posted on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ December 5. , 19 46

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1925

C.K

|--|

of the start of the start, when, Roige the base nonsidered by the Sonary Constituent of the start of the star

- The second second
- permanenter metros, en este properte site property en tepper-
- I guide part i server of the free to be will take a server be the
- LHEREDY A REAL STRATEGICS DRAMA SHOTEL C

is hereby grouped include the . Libs to bes hereby contrained above. I VITLINGS TO THE FOULTHING - TIME FILLS DO. T., ME SERLAS, DA, AND Moteline to supplied on the to set .

Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

Investigation made 12-4-46 B	y Clark Kenigan + Burto
	City Planning Department
· Committee 12-4 41	Hearing date Date 12-4-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46x Council Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to Chy 6 Petitioner Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	council maring, dute
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_Date

Dated Agaiganting, 5,

Application Received ______ By____

Sate . The strate

Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>1332</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

1 1 19 50 2 1 1 1 1 1

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel Loeffler to operate commercial Photo Shop, part time, with no advertising, no signs and no employees, at 4016 - 33rd Street on Lots 19 and 20, Block 201. C ity Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12829, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 1946

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1926

FORM 2145

Application Receive

and the second state of the second state of
DN
Baughman
City Planning Department
City Planning Department
aring date e /2 - 4 - 46 Iding Inspector /2 - 6 - 46 6 - 46 Health Department 12 - 6 - 46 + 6 incil Hearing, date
e 12-4-46
Iding Inspector 12-6-46
6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 + and
incil Hearing, date
e
tinued to
e of action

Harris Sent Fritt

POLS. V de

and the second sec and which the ' are but which to be preased to be any the second the second state. Envertuner to the resultations of splanners to. 17670, as, med is howeby Stell It - The stores and said I hand the Alaska ball, a toy statistic

LEAST FLANSE CONTRACTOR

17 2

HOP BE INTERNET D TELL IN ALL I AN the address the line fold on an Lody saf

RESOLUTION NO. 1927

(Ext. of time on Res. 1613, amended by 1898)

Letter dated November 27, 1946 WHEREAS, **APPLICATION AND ADDED A**

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **mot** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **mot** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1613, as amended by Resolution No. 1898, be granted to Don Lee Broadcasting System and Security Trust and Savings Bank to erect a radio transmitter, including three 350 ft. high steel towers and approximately a 50 ft. by 60 ft. transmitter building near Huslid and Laurel Streets on Lots 1 and 2, Wadsworth Olive Grove.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 1946

By_

FRITTY Chairman

Res. No. 1927

Y	they beershort. ?' is 110
A	plication Received _1/28/46 By By City Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
In	estigation made By
C	City Planning Department nsidered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 Hearing date
De	cision Get d 6 ms. approved Date 12-4-46
Co	cision Crt of 6 ms. approved Date 12-4-46 py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46 nning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46×az
A	peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
	cision of Council Date Date
A	plication withdrawn Continued to
Ti	ne limit extended to Date of action
	horeby
	The ADE THE
	a Verkeren to bla purvicious of Childunges to. 100, and eries, bo, sad is a burnered from the burner of the r relevante from the burnered in ave.
	Standing (114 150)
	Gualuated free growing have really and search streats and starts in the first of the second streats and search streats and streats and search streats and streats and search streats and streats and search streats and search streats and search streats and streats and streats and streats and search streats and streats and search streats and streats and search streats and streats and search streats and se
	Weren not the state buy that the reading a terms of the second of the second second second
	the an elementary of els (1) furthe from the endinted of the of feroficion of (2, 2(1), de elementary of the little to, 1600, be greated to you were proved that for
18 []	
	"HUTBORD MULT DESCRIPTION OF THE ZONING COMPLETCE OF THE CALF OF SWD DICKO.
	1 NOT THE ALCOLOGY AND ALCOLOGY AND ALCOLD ALCOLD ALCOLD ALCOLD ALCOLD ALCOLD
	parents on the second states and the second second will apply be externally dely tended to
	the state of the second state of the second state of a second state heat the heat they at a sets of ,
	work many for the treatroation
	the second
	The state of the Associate Sty will be the second by the Tonich Committee
	I alson then. Main on her, Mail, emerged by 1600
	STRUCTURE NOT DELL'ENTE

Wat 10* Junio

(Ext. of time on Res. No. 1565)

Letter dated November 30, 1946 WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: SHOWAN BY WATER DIEPT. : BLVD.

That extension of Resolution No. 1565 be for a period of six (6) months from the date of this resolution, to permit Sidney L. Davis to build two 12 ft. by 72 ft. stables on the Northwesterly 52 acres of Pueblo Lot 264 (except subdivisions), at Knorville and Morena Boulevard, to be operated as a commercial stable, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Maximum of 20 horses;
- 2. Stables to be located not less than 300 ft. back from Morena Blvd.;
 - 3. Permit to be for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 55, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Higginsof Kealth Rept. 12'X26'BUILDING Wants to be notified of PERMIT ISSUED any purther extension is 12/31/46 Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. maning readential

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 5. , 19 46 Dated

FORM 2145

By_

Chairman

	-

TALE " " " NO DITO DU TOUT C' JUNT

NO. TRANS

The survey of the state of the second

the second second

S PALLACIAN Allowing the weight to weight the trade of Allowing and the trade of the trade of the trade of the trade of the

Mary erestil at die, Sing wit in the taller with good interaction and many school of a sport the second souther a second of a second the to be appropriately the work of a start to be ablest month we the forestart but the at but a provide but, by of it should a watch to make the life in it. The is Fine catenaion a. constation see. Long se for surveyor at mix (a) months when

A DE DE CENTRE DA DE LOUE L'ER L'ER L'ER L'END DE L'ENDE DE DE DE DE L'ENDE WARDER OF STY PREFESS 1.85

11/30/46

polication Received

A residency by dry sport siche al Control and do. 49, now beside, be, with 28. Level - Towns here 3. Formits to be for a verip of the (2) yours from the date AF d) is

"BACK PLANER TO AND THE PART AND ANY PART PLANER TO THE PLANER TO AN A THE PLANER TO AND THE PLANER

6-46+assess Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

Bv

	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	
,	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 H	learing date
Decision Cut. of ms. approved D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/2-5-16 B	ate 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-6-16 B	uilding Inspector /2 -6 -46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12	-6-46 Health Department 12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date

RESOLUTION NO. 1929

Letter dated December 3, 1946

(Ext. of 6 mo. on Res. No. 1572)

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months be granted on Resolution No. 1572, from the date of this resolution, to permit William A. Place, owner and George W. and Ruby M. Smith, purchasers, to construct a 20 ft. by 20 ft. garage on the West 51 ft. of Villa Lot 42, Normal Heights, just west of 3122 North Mountain View Drive, back 45 ft. from the front property line and with no sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Time limit extended to

Application withsitz with

*Investigation made

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ December 5. , 19 46

ates adoptors

By.

Sectedary Chairman

(Int.of base on Bess, Lo. 1577)

SOF WOR TORS

(ST. PERTY TOPICS, NP

of the little of the little Construction of a structure presented has shown (see Section 15 or distance presented has shown (see Section TATE & TEN MERBINS * 1000

- Then the transformed structure of the state of $\mathbf{n}_0 \mathbf{t}$, meaningly affect the implicator structure of $\mathbf{n}_0 \mathbf{t}$, meaningly affect the implicator of safety of the transformed structures in the structure of the transformed structures of the second structure of

- DIERDRORD, DA 17 MERCLARD, My the Zonios Conmittee of the City of Sam Diego,

"t" LO * gothin View Tity, Not 2 ft. from the front property line and with so (is doin of this resolution, to shrit Millin A. leas, onder and fearter, block is a resolution set to construct a 20 ft. of 20 ft. Spiriteson the set of 1 ft. of ville let H., or shrink Meights, furt wort of 3122 Jorth thes on aston I a of six () nous a be present on depotition so. 1948, 2500

Second created insolar as they r lite to the property mentioned above. Withings to the prostetions of Partnance of Weight, Sector Sr. Dr. ord is

	City I lanning Department
Investigation made B	Y
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46	Hearing date
Decision wit of 6 mo. approved	Date 12-9-94
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-16	Building Inspector
Decision Cot of mo. approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner	12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-461 as
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Bv

ROAM PLAN

Dense Deserenper. 11 110

Application Received _

12-3-46

RESOLUTION NO. 1930

O.K

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the date of this resolution be granted to William A. Place, owner and George W. and Ruby M. Smith, purchasers, to construct a concrete retaining wall 15 ft. high and a 3 ft. iron fence on top of the wall on the West 51 ft. of Villa Lot 42, Normal Heights, the parcel of land immediately west of 3122 North Mountain View Drive, as originally granted by Resolution No. 1573.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ December 5,, 1946

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1930

	Namp.	ACSU'S	

CLAPTOR OF MALE THE PART OF TOTAL

AND * (10) * T333

NY 1977 61.01

City Planning Department

The way and strate

- and a market of the second second
- Describe a contract of the more than the state of the second state

There is a first of the self of the west of the self of the self of the self.

the at experiment of the start a there are give at this substitution be

Personal - conter of Sectivity Ver 1573.

throu - generinos, 51

Application Received 12-3-46

By. Investigation made City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee/2-4-46 Hearing date Decision 6 mo. Cft. approved Date 12-9-96 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46 Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 access Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

lare to you need through on block boll to be and property remains about . A the fact to the provintions of the loss los they be reliant, we the ist

The shert of the i settless have of The level of the Martin Ale without the

By

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4328</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Larry H. Imig to erect a residence at 4816 Federal Boulevard on Lot 17, Imig Park No. 2, with a 4 ft, 2 in. sideyard on the east side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 19 46

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1931

Application Received5-46 B	y Case City Planning Department
	y <u>Clark Kenijan + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 12-1-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46 2-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46+asce
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk / 2-5-46	Building Inspector 12-6-96
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner	12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46+ as
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

* MAR STREET

Be supely there of the set they related the the troughty hendloned above. A verifice to the contribute of methodoe He. Oret, section of , be, and

When the out the east of the With Second to Low To on the Ly. Laty Farmings, M. Mich & Meth. P. Sec. .

LURBEROW M. . BEDERFORMEN ST. D. V. Consistent of the City of Sun Diego.

four the second of the contraction with the second of network the meeted of entertails detrimental to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4339</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jacob Solomon to erect an S ft. high fence around surplus property sales yard at 3520 Main Street on Lots 5 to 8, inclusive, Block 253, West Arlington.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 1946

By.

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1932

c.K

- AND IN MALE THE SET OF A
- printing that we are not the printing and will further be estimated by features in the and show that makes in which the health of some show and

- a that the dream will be set to be the will will be adverted by allow the boater then of the CITE

- DERSFORT, DE IT RESOLVED, Dy the Zonant Committee of the City of Sam Dirego.
- In hereby preshed involver on they relate th the property complement above. powerings to the providuous of Ordinance Bo. 1941, 2nd writes, br, and inclurive, Black 353, Wost 4212. ton. eline aur les recerts alles puble gent de l'arte de l'arte au lota d'es de

Tordering to bereast putition by soon atorion to errol in 2 26. 12 1 1 1 100

Jate of action

Approximit received	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>12-4-46</u> B	y <u>Clark Kerigan + Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date $12 \cdot 4 - 46$ Building Inspector $12 - 6 - 46$ $12 \cdot 6 - 46$ Health Department $12 - 6 - 46 \times 6$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2 -5-46	Building Inspector 12-6-96
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner	12-6-96 Health Department 12-6-96 = a
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By

TRE MINET. 72 Application Received _12-2-46

TERC

1 51 4 1 1 1 1

Letter dated December 4, 1946

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1901, dated November 21, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Herman W. Stellar to erect a four (4) unit two-story apartment building on the Sly corner of Bacon and Muir Streets on Lots 4 and 5, Block 93, Ocean Bay Beach, with a 2 ft. setback on Bacon Street."

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____December 5, , 19 46

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1933

(and the second stand (and a second s

wellow " ... the personet with the

of the state of th

- int start of the second start of the start of a special start of start strains a start of the start start start of the start start start start of the start sta start st
- 2. Det is the second s Second sec
- 3. That has granted in the section will got meterial to be found by a section of the found the of sectors of periods of the found of the sector of the se

THEREFORE, DE IT RESOLVED, Dy the source of the City of San Diego, Lictrin, as follows:

Tollows:

"serie low is series growthelds, the second result with a first second and the second second

A We light to the providing of the processly managed shows.

The second	
Application-Received _12-4-46 By_	2. Po the
Application-Received By	City Planning Department
	SON NO CONNILLE
Investigation made By_	Zoning Committee
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46 H	earing date ate $12-4-46$ uilding Inspector $12-6-46$ -6-46 Health Department $12-6-46 \neq 0$
Decision amendment approved Da	ate 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46Bi	uilding Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission /2 -6-46 Petitioner 12	-6-96 Health Department 12-6-46 & ases
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	
Resolution becomes effective	
	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

O.K

Letter dated November 26, 1946

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1869, dated November 7, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

" Permission is hereby granted to Don Ransom to construct an addition to an existing residence with a 12 ft. rear yard at 1768 Beryl Street on Lot 174, except the Ely 122 ft., Congress Heights Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ____ December 5, , 19 46

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1934

bun stre				All the second that I
Application Rece	Set on Carter	0.4	p	1
Application Rece	ived 6/4	6 By	Cop	pock
	1 1.		Cify P	lanning Department
Investigation ma	de	By		the second s
TTING MTILLIU		and a struct		Planning Department
Decision <i>Ame</i> Copy of Resolution Planning Commis Appeal filed with Decision of Coun	cil	12-5-16 Bu Petitioner /2-	te 12-9-9 ilding Inspector 6-96 Healt uncil Hearing, d	6 12-6-46 h Department 12-6-46+Gazes ate
Resolution becom Application with		·	ntinued to	
Time limit exten	ded to		te of action	and the second
Paters in	ant pol tablet, etc.	they to make a	PO ANTE MALCHINE O	- HERP TOROU # 11204
V ANDT-NO	a to 1 - 211-16	10.02 Line 200	100	ac river is ' and the
a tornia.	fon in her of -		Carbon to tore	arrended to trust ac a start a satition, to a single street, ou
attracter of	001000			
LHERER LINE				I UT THE LITER OF THE LITERO
ing particular				
		19 M		tors and Mantes Plan or the City
Latra i a				 the line mental on values of the line mental on values of the line methods here.
2				·
				were and the fact of a section

the second state and shall be

(101712 CON* 10* 2000

.

-08

AT A A

WHEREAS, Application No. 4102 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leo R. Canine to construct an addition to a residence with a 10 foot rear yard at 3115 McKinley Street on the West 30 ft. of Lot 15, Block G, Altadena.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

the state of a present of the state of the s

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ____ December 5, , 19 46

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairmon

Res. No. 1935

O.K
		-
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	TTI	0.000

15 of the city of the family and the residence presented has shown (see Section TIT

- The set of the second second second the protection of the petitioner, protection is protection to be protection to be the petitioner, protection is a second s
- accurate the loss of some ing on the accelling houd, and will, 425, pe on profe the treatment has
- Trust the grant of such wardships will DBELL where all you are stored flam af sime City a
- THEREFORE, BE IT RESCLATD, By the South source of the CLIPIOC Ban Diego.

envertures to the provisions of Dr for the second as adding deation do, be, onlide Test 10 75. of Lot 19, Hlouk C, Alton n . a realdonce with a 10 foot rear with at the the substant starts of the Perminaton is county granted to bee ". " In country brow shifting to

Second entropy reading to produce of the first honorphic matrices approxi-

TTTTTT ATTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-4-46	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 12-4-46 Building Inspector 12-6-46 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-5-46	Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission /2-6-46 Petitioner	12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46x
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By

______ By___(

LOUN SETE

Pasag

Lestenati at

Application Received ________

Investigation made __________

STBA

Planning Department

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4304</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dionicio and Paz Gonzales to erect a 24 ft. addition to residence with a 2 ft. sideyard on the northeast side.at 1661 Logan Avenue on Lot 33, Block 138, Mannassee & Schiller's; 6 ft. of addition with a 2 ft. sideyard and 18 ft. of addition with an 5 ft. sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ____ December 5, , 19 46

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1936

6.1

Application Received	11-15-46	By	Burton City Planning	Department
Investigation made	12-4-46	By	lark, Kerniga City Planning	pepartment
Considered by Zoning	Committee 12-4	-46 Hann	ing data	
Decision appr	oved 1/2	Date Date	12-4-46	<u>-6-46</u> artment 12-6-46= a
Copy of Resolution sen	it to City Clerk	Build	ing Inspector	6-76
Planning Commission	12-6-99 Peti	tioner 12-6	- 46 Health Depa	irtment 12-6-96 eq
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date	Coun	cil Hearing, date	and the second s
Decision of Council	and the second s	Date	Charles and the second	and a superior in the second
Resolution becomes eff	ective			
Application withdrawn			inued to	
Time limit extended to		Date	of action	

Actioned to the static of the static static for the for the restanding to the static for the state of the state

contraine in the property to the first of the property of

LOWELTSE, DU TARGARINE, DA DE PRES

ADAM NATE

Trade the relation of the selection will be used.

- BOUND STRUCTURE AND DESCRIPTION AND DUCK STRUCTURES.

- the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4312</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joneph A. and Yvonne D. Pergl to erect a building with one apartment below and two apartments above, with 7 ft. court as access to the street, 2011 Bacon Street on the Northeast 35 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3. Block 55, Ocean Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____ December 5. , 19 46

By___

FORM 2145

Secretary

alaoidlich Ro' mini

of the file of any star file a monthly and the widence provided by the Zoning (see Section 15 of any and file widence provided by shown (see Section

- tailing the set of the set o
- 2 The shirts print of the second field of the second second second second is a substant preperty.
- 3. This de contraine any contraine and with MAC puteriorly affect the beating underry of persons of a stable of a second to discrementated, and will RCM by mutarially describented to the subject sections of a second of an enserty of improvements on the periorborhood.
- 4. The largementance of the surviver will gob advecturity afters the Manier Man of the City of his Diene

FILESTORN, WE IT RESULTED, By the Southy Committees of the City of Sam Diege,

Possible is being presided to check , and radius as well to speck a sullation with one constructs solve on the spartments surve, sits 1 st. ensure of screek protections. Il incon street as the morthese 130 ft. pi sets 1, 20 con 3, place 51, them be set.

A varience to be provisions of sufframes MA, 2520, softlen ds, be, and Austereby granted institutes on they relate to be provered institutes prove.

Application Received	By Baughman
/ /	City Flanning Department
Investigation made <u>12-4-46</u>	By <u>Clark Kerrigan + Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	6 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 12-4-46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-5-	16 Building Inspector 12-6-46
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitione	Date 12-4-46 Y6Building Inspector 12-6-46 r 12-6-46 Health Department 12-6-46 ac
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Bachestering ? ? In .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4315</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>mot</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth and Ruth Denton and A. G. Blakey to construct a concrete block well 5 ft. high at 4253 Mission Boulevard on Lot 10, Block 262, Pacific Beach and a portion of Pueble Lot 1793 adjacent on the west.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 5, , 19 46

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1938

FORM 2145

PUACETI STITOD CURCE

			11

- That the state of the parton of H. D.C. . whereasty affect y's Model Plant of the City

THEREFORE, NE. IT RESOLVED, by the Soning Committee of the Correlation but Diego.

And Warth. what 10, blows the, predice served and a postion of lucily both 175 offerent on penetract a concrete Black wait of the Tilde Ne North Fire Manie were on or lectors to here by directed to berneth end south feater and the . Lates to

Deport granded tamater of they retains by the projectly registered and the The YATT ACA to the provintone of trol when No. 2011, or serier, by and is

Application Received _11/23/46	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>12-4-46</u>	By <u>Clark Kenigant Burton</u> City Planning Department
Canaidanal 1 7 in Canaily 10 HUL	Harring date
Decision approved	Date $12-4-46$ Building Inspector $12-6-46$ 12-6-46 Health Department $12-6-4646$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/2-5-4	Building Inspector 12-0-96
Planning Commission 12-6-46 Petitioner	12-6-76 Health Department 12-6-96+6
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

TICCONTRACT. 2*

1000201 The local states and the second

1)H (\$\$9) "

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4255</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**ROS**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Preferred Theatres Corp., owners and Al Babrof and Ray Fetherhuff, lessees, to construct a quonset garage not to exceed 20 ft. by 24 ft. and located approximately 29.50 ft. from the north line of this property and to operate a used car and auto repair service, on the Sly 203.50 ft. of Lot 16, Block B, Teralta on the Northeast corner of 34th and El Cajon Boulevard, provided the building is used solely by the lessees for the washing and polishing of used automobiles offered for sale by them at retail, and for light repair work thereen.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, 1946

bbneution as

FORM 2145

By

A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION

0.15

nikkulada six kompo ieko

of the state of the state of the state of the second back by the Section are Section is a state of the state

- and and and she been a set of the second device and the second of the second of the second of the second of the
- the second part of the second se
- A starting of the starting of
- at the first frequencies of the set of the s

Colitory a follows

Permission is bereav created to there a mentane dorper ormers and all about any reducting, leave a, to a structure dorper done of the exceed CBurt, by 26 Mis, and located a roll (19,00 fb, from the morth line of this remained of the construction of the construction

A suriance to incorrelations of Critingues No. 12959, be, and is intely granted instruction of Surg relate to the property destinant shows.

W A.

Laren Thomas and a Application Received _______ By ing Department Investigation made ____ By City Planning Department Hearing date Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/464 12 Decision Cond's Gamman Decision Condi approval Date 12/18/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/2/19/4 6 Building Inspector 12/20/4 6 Planning Commission /2/20/46 Petitioner /2/20/46 Health Department 12/20/4 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

Train the second

WHEREAS, Application No. 4270 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Whitson Company, owner and Pre-Mix Concrete Company, lessee, to reconstruct an existing Concrete Mixing Plant on Lot 1, Fleischer's Addition in the 4000 Block, 6th Street Extension, new plant to be 35 ft. by 31 ft. by 45 ft. high, of a 3 yard capacity, subject to the following conditions:

That the old plant be eliminated as soon as the new steel plant 1. is in operation;

2. All loading will be done off the highway, on private property;

3. An easement to be granted to the City for the necessary widening.

Reid-11/26/464 of 6th Street, Sintty Kelly 12/27/16 location of buildings on the property. An exact plot plan to be submitted and complete plans showing

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 190, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ____ December 19. 19 46 FORM 2145

By

#Side Chairman

	- All a 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state and the second state shown the Section 15 c

- the line of a second second second where the second s
- The second secon
- 3. The state of the configuration will not meterially effect the invite of another state of the state of t
- 4 . Data the financial of the wall ADD adversaly affect the Menter Plan of the City
- The front, he is it is it. by the Zouing Committee of the City of Son Diego.
- to the side of the second of the figure former all the is the evote former, leaved, to recommends an evisting Concrete Stainplicate on int 1, trincher's difition in the book for the different of tearies plant to be 79 st. 39 SL St. 39 No. 1105 for 0 3 Year opposity, subject to the follo ing confitions:

An encod plet with to be something and complete times which and
An encod plet with to be something complete times when and

III I detailed in the doub off the light , on private property

That has been been by clamination of soon as the new ponel clamb

logation of militance on the property.

Application Received _______ Bv ning Department Investigation made 12/4/46 By Joning Rity Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date. Date /2/18 Decision Condi approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/2/19/16 Building Inspector 12 Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/4 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action A thritends be bur provisions of Quainenes Ed. 140, New Astish, be, and in

HOREDOR IN.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4331 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ __ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry Seidschlaw, owner and George Muldoon, operator, to do commercial Photographic Printing and Developing in an existing garage at 71.7 Fynchon Street on Lots 5 and 6, Block H, Alta Vista Suburb; part time, not more than 4 hours per day; no signs; and no sales on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 78, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 19, , 1946 Dated____

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1941

- the second of the second part and with a second with the second with the second with the second a reaction with MOL - meterally offers, the health of safety of
- the state of the second second much ... movers the street the the set that of the CLLY
- THE PURCE, HE AL DESOLVED. BY THE CONTRE CONNECTED AT THE CLUB OF SHE DIDLA.

an me thought " hibiro; fret they, not nove then i here yer fort no eight inn he wilde Boierther, to do communical into remaine crimture unit evilo inc in an Boartetin, der o no 717 gradien tret en hote y and 6, Llock, alter tisk Proprietantin in Trucké gratul no trany Joideolite, orača subjectio Julion,

horally provide a sound and they relate to the projection to onye. are vertance to the other locator brittings for To, due resider, be, and th

Application Received _12/3/4/6	By
Investigation made 12/18/46	By Generative City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>12/18/4</u> Decision Condit Opproval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>12/19/4</u> Planning Commission <u>12/20</u> 46 Petitione Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	6 Hearing date Date $12/18/46$ 6 Building Inspector $12/28/46$ ar $12/20/46$ Health Department $12/20/46$ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Darrage House House The The House

North Man Andrew Place The Rest Prove

WHEREAS, Application No. _____has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thaddeus J. and Lorna F. Sessions to move an existing residence on a Portion of Lot 10, La Mesa Colony at 6164 El Cajon Boulevard from the C sone to the R-1 zone at the rear of the lot, and use as a store for garden supply business, for a period of six (6) months.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, , 1946

By

ALL Secretary A Chairman

No- 1949

RECOULTERN ROY TRUE

of the restriction of the fights and the widence president has shown (see Section 15 of the restriction president of the shown (see Section 15 of the restriction of the widenly indicated the shown (see Section

- A cost there are interested and the net apply generally to other property in the same
- X. Data which a superior is the superior would ______ recessory for the preservation becomes and then the construction the application is ______ recessory for the preservation of section of sourcestructed property rights of the periors perservation property and the property.
- 3. How is not a control design will motion between the bealth of relety of persons received or second in the meteliherhood, and will model be meterically detrimental to the public weights or the property or indicate the file meteliherhood.
- 4. That the many of of the variance will ... not advecteds affect the Mantor Might of the City
- Culifornes, as inlight

Agreed on in Levely practed to Shutdens 4, rad Loren 4, denotant to have on eviating rectioned on a lortion of not 10, In LeaseDolory of (109 al dogod Addinger of from the 0 same to the sel zone at the rest of the 105, end more a a store for marien smell Justmers, for a period of else(0) pushes, a

Advantage to the provisions of Critinance No. 13955, be, and is berely control include se they falle to the property continues chore.

Application Received _	12/3/46	By	City Play	nning Department	-
Investigation made	12/18/46	By	Zoning Cor Pla	Committee nning Department	_
Considered by Zoning Decision	Committee 12/1 approval	8/46 Hear Date	ing date 12/18/46	12/20/4/	4 63 0 5 .
Copy of Resolution sen Planning Commission Appeal filed with City (Decision of Council	12/20/46 Pet	itioner 12/20	19 Health cil Hearing, dat	Department 12/20/46+	assess
Decision of Council Resolution becomes eff		Date	artisti interna		
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to			of action		

Bange 2142

ERRET COMPANY STREET

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>mot</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Western Investment Company to split Lots 5 and 9, Eleck "H", Gatalina Manor into two building sites, 65 ft. by approx. 99 ft. each, facing on Redondo Street instead of Santa Gruz Street; a minimum of 15 ft. setback to be maintained on both streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1092, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____December 19. , 19 46

By.

Secretery Chairman

Res. No. 1943

0.4

Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 12/17/46 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/19/46 2/20/46 Health Department 12/20/4646 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

of the Second

A COLORIDANS

Department

The second se the provide a provide that of an inclusion to the part of the section of the section of they are Dire ter terrar de tait to some at the sole porter at a terrar at the sole to sole at a sole fait the Parrend; worlding of 15 rt. orthones to be arighted on the both strates. M. munition. " "I, auch, second custinguals strates ineto it of taxes there Jobs Man 1, Jacob Te", Get Mine man into the full lar siter, of Th. 1 succession in perchastroper to propose formations borders, pould of

Marg ug

Den altri the

Investigation made ____

Application Received <u>11/26/46</u> By Investigation made <u>12/18/46</u> By

The second secon

TREBATORS DE , PASOLULD, EN the contare Constitue of the Cary of San Diego.

15 0

- the and space of the bar of the said robit while an armetylariest the barter Plan of the Chry
- service states and the service contraction of any state in the sector representation described in

of the CAL, and there, the mental and the muldiplet presented has abeen (was faction

LIGHT PLICE 20 TEL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4154</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. Peterson, owner and Ed Ristau and Bill Casteel, lessees, to construct a 12 ft. by 24 ft. Dural Building for a Paint Shop in the rear of an existing non-conferming Auto Repair Shop at 3335 - 30th Street, on the South 6 in. of Lot 14, all of Lot 15, and except the South 1 ft. of the East 30 ft. of Lot 16, Block 1, Frary Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, , 19 46

By

#Steret #### Chairman

Res. No. 1944

	11:27	La proventa

of the processing the second with the evidence presented has shown (see Suction

- and the serie de thereit, and ell not A THE REPORT OF THE MOST OF THE COUNTY OF A COUNTY OF
- Arrest and the set of the set of

- THE SPECKED BY IT MERCIATE BY THE SHAPE'S CORRECTION OF THE GERMAN STREET
- Stint wor it has seen a to out at thoraid in the tribe to it has as a store to the Support, Marcal, to construct a Lott, proved and some for Ferming Non is levely crocked be is released, on an out of the boundary of
- Thurbon Angoing the thous of the to whe proverty mentato med shore. A verience to bue provision of the langes bealthing and to berok
- Investigation made _______ - By - going Comm City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date Decision approved Date 12/18/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46 Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/9 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

By.

REAL STAR

Date Deserver ?? ?

Application Received _12-3-46

電磁 ひゃくだいひ イン・・・・ A warters 15 1564 * 10 * TEME

TOMASS CUTS ENGLIS

Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. 4353 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will 10% ____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clayton H. Tjaden to operate Automobile Upholstering Shop in an existing garage at 1235 Colusa Street on the Southerly one-half of Lots 7 and 8, Block 1, Silver Terrace, subject to the following conditions: Sec. ast and the two of the state product a state of enter parte la station part i ser manifique adores :

- 1. Business to be operated not more than 20 hours per week:
- 2. No signs to be posted on the property:
- 3. Permit to be for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 1946

THACKER CONTROLLED AND A

FORM 2145

By

Chairman

Res. No.

	18	
	11:24	

the second second second and and a second second

A LEAST A LEAS

The state of the part of the part of the part of the press of the press of the city

THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF

reputerson is nervery er abe. In therein . This to chere to Submoulter's

7.2 the second second second the second second second State .

uppedable targe the sh esticitor aprove of 1250 bolor verses on the

- * The state is an experiment of the property is from an arriag on this name day particular of the second
- AT FAILS FORMATE STOR. strike to be the manufaction of the fall years food the date
- A verifice to the provisions of Creinishes No. 33, Now Corton, on, but

is throw wrented insoler an they relate to the property or binted nicere.

By Application Received _ Planning Department 12/18/46 _____ By _ Som Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date Date 12/18/46 Decision Condi approval Date Date 12/18/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46 Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/464 Council Hearing, date __ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Date Decision of Council_ Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

A Desta Bally Changes and

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4351</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John H. Dakin to construct one single family residence on the East 55 ft. of Lot 7 (original lot 60 ft. wide), Block "H", Redland Gardens Extension, 5459 Collier Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12794, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____December 19, , 1946

A. C. S. S. Starte

By_

Segerary Chairman

Res. No. 1946

D:K

TUCK

- which is the weble the new the relative brook the property of the works
- A REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY
- The second to the part of the second of the second second of the second of the
- The state of the second of the second of the second street where the margin and the CALL
- TEFRE ORE CONTRACTOR STATE SHOT IS COMPLETED OF THE CALF OF SHA DISPAN

Persientes le beveer presentes te de a " notes le construct one alorde family

Toll all the or considers, will doll lot the said and will be a set of the set of the set of the Perisonae mu for met gente of for / tori hel bot for for fighting, tock with,

AN BEAR INSTITUTE TO PORT TO TO THE DESCRIPTION PROFESSION PROFESSION AND THE TARK OF THE PORT a routener to the provide of Collector Mo. 1710, be, one of the set

12/7/46 By Application Received ____ partment Investigation made _______ By _ Zoning City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date Decision Approved Date Date 12/18/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/2/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46 Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to

Date Print Date Print Party 14,

10.9 (J# 1)

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>materially</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>materially</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Susie De Nechochea to operate a Dry Cleaning Pick-up station; no employees; S hours per day; and sign 15 sq. ft. in area, at 3951 Ocean View Boulevard on Lot 40, Block 4, Imperial Heights, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, , 19 46

By

Secterary Chairman

Res. No. 1947

O.K

	Shees, incISH:
Fav SPER	AND SALES FOR TIME OF
mered Descentary 13"	0 1
Application Received B	y City Plan6mg Department
, ,	
Investigation made 12/18/46 B	- Joning Committee
A TTY O AT AT AN A MAR AND A AT A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/14 b	Hearing date Date /2/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /2/19/86	Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission '2/20/Y G Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action
BOT'S	
The second se	and the solution of the second s
\$20- \$20-	and the last of the second sec
at Low an receit no runs Anally age	lets to the protect, pentitued above.
Duply lowshow for a vertence to the	prvicions of Artistico Co. 13216, De. and
	In crici tof the, be, suc An Sure of denied.
ATA ANTI ALASSA N PATAR SEE MELTIN	ST RUTT RUT SHE WAS STOPP UP DRUTT DEFENS
Toto cestian -1 angle te declosues	to protects a flag. Whe many sites - we retrained
WILLBRAD . DA CAL SAL	
THE REACTOR IN ALL PEROPARDS AND LINE	
	and a star for a start the Martin Plan of the City

the providence of the second o the interior of the two with the providence of and the providence of and the providence of the provide

the states of a partition passes by ather property

20 p Dicker Contraction 200 p Dicker and the Dicker from

the second a second of the second and the second and the the providence of the subjective providence of the the the providence of the second se

11

ALCS LOW

1

12151

at the present of the present of the set of the set idence present the the showe

WHEREAS, Application No. 4350 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to . the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nina Lee and Charles C. Hill to divide Lot 2. Cave & Mc Hatton's Subdivision into 3 parcels described as follows: (1) West 300 ft; (2) Mast 182.7 ft. of West 482.7 ft; (3) all of Lot 2 except the West 482.7 ft., provided an easement of 10 ft. is granted to the City along Division Street and 61st Street, for street widening, and a corner cut-off; also that an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record stating that if and when 59th Street is extended south to Division Street an easement for one-half the width of 59th Street will be given to the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above

State Hell 1945

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. Eld 12/30/46

December 19.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Dated.

By.

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1945

of the set and but the

- and as a second the second sec a produktion competition of the state products with a
- 3. The first should be the provide and a model on tering at me the mental of autois of
- to come contraction of we show the the statements whit woll move the materiality destinances to

- d . Then the short on on the residence will not adversarie attack the Master Plan of the City

- THERETORE, ON IT WE AVER, By the Repton Countree of the Cary of Son Diego.

The second second Igr-one-hear and width of J9th Darbed will be grown to thought. that it for then goth percent is extended notify to mivision percent on encount the purp in of the and the ast that ha fire offices of the out of the Layielon office and blob street, for straig preaning, and a corner cut-sure TOO ILT (1) THE INT I THE OF HELE HELE OF ID IN THE OF LOT OF THE CALFFERN second of the state of the second of the sec

Hearing date Council Hearing, date _ Date Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

person Excuped dupoint at they relate to the property merbioned a love-A verture to the scovicions of criticans io. 110, Mer Series, be, and is

Faterickan : DA T. T Application Received _12/10/46 By. Planning Department By Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Decision Contra Opproved Date 12/18/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46 Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 A Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn

N- QUA VOARTHIN

RESOLUTION NO. <u>1949</u>, et tending Res. # 1283 1 December 9, 1946 Mg. ______ has been amended by # 2755 Letter dated December 9, 1946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. May Hume and A. E. Roberts, owners and B. E. Soby, lessee, to continue the operation of a gift shop and creation of lamp shades at 2931 Carlton Street, on Lot 10, Block 25, Roseville, as originally granted by Resolution No. 1283, dated January 17, 1946, for a period of one (1) year from the expiration date of the previous resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2475, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expirés.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 19. , 19 46 Dated___

ublaters in participation

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1949

Dek

	- N N	
	4. 11. 16.	

Tapit's of a meaning of strange

stated, who will had the be externally dontriowoff, he mob . . resurvative affect the beauth or unforty of

TREES OF THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF

spine of the U.S. pers fion the explanation data of the providing perclama.

recent to the tradition of a state big for an and the second to the state of the second to the second Heritichen be his groutefant of Gritherine De. 2016, Ace wenter, Bergend te

Time limit extended to Date of action

Application Received 12/10/46	By City Planning Department
	City rianning Department
Investigation made 12/18/46	_ By _ Zoning Committee
8 1 1 .	Gify Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/	<u>Y</u> 6 Hearing date Date <u>12/18/46</u> <u>19/46</u> Building Inspector <u>12/20/46</u> ioner <u>12/20/46</u> Health Department <u>12/20/4640</u> Council Hearing, date
Decision Ext. approved T	Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/2/1	19/4 Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petiti	ioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/4610.
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to

Latter and and

a the part Tohto.

The Part of the Press 2. Last

Letter dated December 5, 1946 Application Not ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will mot materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months be granted to H. R. Warner to construct a single family residence on Lot 22F of Assessor's Map 171, Ex-Mission Rancho, without frontage on a dedicated street but access by a private road near Madrone Avenus, as originally granted by Resolution No. 1648, dated July18, 1946; extension to be for 6 months from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19. , 19 46

whitestealt is

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Letter

TRUE STATE

of the strength that Diese, Fallinger, and the exidence presented has shown (and Section 15 of C. P. C. P. 25.4 BUILDE AND TO THE PARTY IS THE DEED CONSIDERED by the Joning Committee

- refers territies or entries of the negligentary, is a will made to undertailly dust incoration to

THEREFORE, HE II MEDDINE C. She Jon on Converting of the City of San Diego,

right: a territor to be for 5 south thou the deter of the report time wind in the second of the solution of a second of a second of a second for the second second of a second second of a second of a second second of a second s thes in out with a build of a print of the stand of the section to construct a

berely grouted institute is that to be considered shore. S verince to the postations of Or Energy ". Will, ".otion is, "wi the

Application ReceivedB	V Maa
rippication Received	City Planning Department
	TOWING CORNELLES
Investigation and B	V
Investigation made B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/4/6	Hearing date Date $12/18/46$ Building Inspector $12/20/46$ $12/20/46$ Health Department $12/20/46 \neq a_2$
Desision Of Ros to	Date 12/18/46
Decision M. grance value luch	Puilding Inspector 12/20/46
Copy of Resolution sent to Lity Clerk	Building Hispector Jack Property 12/20/4/6 # 0
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner	12/20/90 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

2004 104 1520

WHEREAS, Application No. ______has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. H. and Margaret Leftwich to use own residence at 565 Diamond Street on the West one-half of Lot 23 and all of Lot 24, Block 4, Ocean Front, for sewing and repairs and alterations to clothing, on a part time basis, no signs, no employee's; permit to be revoked if and when in the opinion of the Zoning Committee it becomes a detriment to the community or upon complaints from the neighbors.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ____ December 19. , 19_46

Application Recover

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1951

- Correction Contraction of the second second second second states and the second se The The Are and the application of 205% and the how the how the address of
- The province and the state of the second of the weater what of the City
- TALEFORE, "L. I. MENCARD, IN MAR AND CARACTER OF THE CARE AT SAM DACED.

retrient to the number of non- some some furning the new short. souther it at other in the postition of the sentility of manager it proposed a statistic, of a print the first of a lag do it may no supported at legables of the Emeritarian far en al properties an a ser a maintait and the back of all

ALTON PERMANA INTELLE DE S'AV FALSES DE DES JONNELS DESTINATIONS - -------Environments to the provisions of Continuos No. 115, New Verser, No.

Application Received .	12/11/46	By	Gos City Pla	nning Department	
Investigation made		By	Zoning City Ma	Committee	
Considered by Zoning Decision Condition Copy of Resolution ser Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Decision of Council	Committee $\frac{2/1}{2}$ it to City Clerk $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{12/20}{16}$ Per Clerk, date	8/46 Hear Date 19/46 Build titioner $12/20$ Coun Date	ling Inspector ////////////////////////////////////	12/20/46 Department 12/2 te	20/46+az
Resolution becomes eff Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	1	Conti	inued to of action		

** 10* 7207

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4360</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe Maestro to maintain a Gustom Wood Working Shop at 4053 Idaho Street on Lots 11 and 12, Block 167, University Heights, with maximum of 5 H.P.; on a part time basis, not more than 30 hours per week, no signs, and permit to be revoked, if and when in the opinion of the Zoning Committee it becomes a detriment to the community or upon complaints from the surrounding property owners.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12559, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, , 19 46

By

Storatary Chairman

Res. No. 1952

O.K.

FORM 2145

- the priority in the priority of the presence o
- the state of the second state of the state of the second burning the second state of the second state of the preside of any second of
- The the State and the statement berr Barr square is when the matter him to the the the state of the
- THEREFORE, M. OT REAL WELL, Dr. Day Tony Convertient of the City of Smithight,
- A R STEPS LINE FOR 6757 CLURCH. an they will be that the state of the second of all the on in the country of the first the free the first of the state of agen all providence of the second and the provide solar solar from To provide solar the ERCENT FOR A CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF A DECEMPTING THE SECTION terate you to pare a share of the second of the second as returned to a desperitor of the second second
- tarmped youngers on good maying of the Lines of Hereit Thomas .
- Investigation made _______ By _ Zoning City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Decision Condi approval Hearing date 12/18/46 Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk (2/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46 Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 V C Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

THERE AND A PARTY OF Application Received ______ By Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4358</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Young Women's Christian Association to construct an 18 in. by 96 in. vertical double-faced Neon Sign, projecting 24 in. from the corner of existing building at 2905 Clay Street on the West 20 ft. of Lot 22 and all of Lots 23 and 24, Block 323, Reed & Daley's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, , 1946

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1953

O.K
	And the second sec
	100

- the second with the the second of the second second states is a second the second second second second second s
- 2. The state of the second second second second second by ables proposed in the presence of the proposed second s second sec
- 3. That the prime reaction the spatial sector is that .. reteration of the transmission of the sector of the secto
- the reaction will not an interaction of the storacty of interaction in the networked.
- A state that the second state of the second state the second state the second state of the City
- THER POST BE IT PLSOLVED, By the Zemany Committee of the City of San Biego,
- relation is the second of the second of the second back secon

Application Received $\frac{12/14/46}{By}$	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>12/18/46</u> By	- <u>Zoning Committee</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46	Hearing date
Decision Opproved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /2/19/46 Planning Commission /2/29/46 Petitioner	Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/1/2	Building Inspector 14 40/9 4
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

C8* 10* 7

HERE ARE STREET

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>3970</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. B. Gropps to erect one single family residence on the East 125 ft. of the South one-half of Lot 21, Hureka Lemon Tract, on Baker Street approximately 650 ft. east of Morena Blvd., provided a 20 ft. easement is granted to the City for the widening of Baker Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, , 1946

FORM 2145

By

Segretary Chairman

Res. No. 1954

		*	
	-		
	1 M 1		

of the seven we have college and the evidence presented has shown face Section 15 classes

- directed against the buy apply Received by an ather projectly in the same
- work underwary to the preservation of the pres
- set of the set of the second second will be a second by the second of the second The the part of the spectrum will poss. I meretally affect the beatth or safety of
- a store the provided of the contract of the Second Contract and a store of the second of the Cath
- THE REAL BE IN RESERVED BE AN ADDRESS FOR THE OF THE CELY OF SMA DIORON.
- when the contract of the second s statifier a file energy is in tarment to show for not the at endor of The state of the s
- heredy generated immediate and they reached to the projection summitteet summers A VIEL FOR AD SIM PERVENTION OF CREAMING TH. INC. THE ANGON, NO. WELL IN
- Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

THERE STREET STATE T AT A STREET STREET	
Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	_ By _ <u>Soning Committee</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18	$\frac{146}{12/18}$ Hearing date Date $\frac{12/18}{46}$ $\frac{12}{20}$ Building Inspector $\frac{12}{20}$ Health Department $\frac{12}{20}$ Health $\frac{12}{20}$ Heal
Decision Condi approval	Date 12/18/46
Planning Commission 12/20/96 Petitio	oner 12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 x az
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Datep
Application with drawn	Continued to

* TOT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4307</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vera Manning to erect a three unit court at the rear of 2182 Julian Street on Lots 21 and 22, Block 224, San Diego Land and Town Subdy., with a 9 ft. court as access to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

and a first that is not the partition of the second

sentences to the to be propriet to the the statement of the to be

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, , 19 46

By_

FORM 2145

Sagragat Chairman

Res. No. 1955

Tande Colorine . Application Received ______ By City Planning Department Investigation made 12/18/46 By Zonin City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date Date 12/18/46 approved Decision Decision approved Date 7/9/19 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /2/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46 Planning Commission /2/20/46 Petitioner/2/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 & azz Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action the second secon ponent charten presser of giel negles pa pro provering mentioned course A THERE AS BO THE FRANCISCON OF GRALINGO HO. UND. BOLLOW ME. OF ANY AD where the state of the second of account of the state of a proposition find another to a server any good to the server and the server and the a spectra and the second second and the second second second second second

treater the second of the second

There the ster of the sectores will MOR . Montes is strend the Manual First of the City

and say to a provide the rest form an gra be dealed as a publication of the spectra by participation of

received received of modeling and the contraction of a list should be maker to by decreased to In the reaction to the section of the section of

THEREFORE, ME IN MESSION, IN THE COURSECTION OF The City of San Diego.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4361</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Edna G. Sharon to erect a single family residence on Lots 18 and 19, Block 48, La Jolla Park at 1423 Coast Blvd., with one dwelling already existing on the lots, provided an yard requirements are complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

many and a set of the water the the the property many that all the

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 19, , 1946

By_

Secretary Ghairman

Res. No. 1956

FORM 2145

		2. 12
		10

of the Lift of Sur Deared California, and the exidence presented by the John fere Sesters 15 of the Lift of Sur Deared California, and the exidence presented has shown fere Sesters

- I This there are the property strenges of conditions applicable to the property of the property strength of the property is the made strength of the the made

- 4. That the still show at the elements with the the adversely affect the hanter Plan of the City of her prove.

THEREFORD, BE AT FENDERTH, By the found that of the City of San Diego.

Periodica in bounder protection for simple, shrined to eccept a simple realized and the real of the line of the second se

a meriance to the provisions of Criticance are. 13001, by, during the rest granted included the first relate to the property relation they are the property relation.

and the state of the state

Application Received By	Baughmen
/ /	City Planning Department
Investigation made 12/18/46 By	3 Roming Consitter
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Decision Approved	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner	Date 12/18/46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/19/46	Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner /	2/20/46 Health Department 12/20/46 + asses
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1110m 5157

A start & start of

Amends Res. No. 1796 WHEREAS, Application No. _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE. BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> That Resolution No. 1796, dated September 26, 1946, be amended to read as follows: the start of the start of the start of the

"Permission is hereby granted to Frank Olenicz, owner and C. N. Charnoch, purchaser, to divide a portion of Lot 28, La Mesa Colony (description on file in office of City Planning Department) into four lots; two lots with 58 ft. frontage, one with 52 ft. frontage and one lot with 69.71 ft. frontage on La Dorna Drive, and all lots with approximately 125 ft. depth."

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____ December 19, , 1946 By

Secretary Chairman.

Res. No. 1957

FORM 2145

	1 100
	11221

mana is a ret The

Lis they have a considered by the Years's Committee

- successive and the second seco
- to a priority pro part experience books and put in the part with detrimental bo and a substant of the state of a street the braith of cafety of

The second remaining the second of a second remaining the second rest

- sing is of the result of the motion works work) is to war is the tota with The set, be clairs a contact of bot 22, feature of the free forther of the set of the se
- Trontere on the lorne sets for the loss sites or the loss in the set of the
- timetry junctur of ther return to the property mererouse aports. 7 Auto une pa pa alla alla sulla de constitutes les 7 1012 est abie de current
- Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action
- Investigation made 12/18/46 By_ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12/18/46 Hearing date Decision amendo greviois Red. Date 12/18/46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/19/46 Building Inspector 12/20/46 Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner 12/20/46 Health Department Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective

自然後の回知のこれでは、

14 (PT) + +

Application Received _______ By City Planning Department 12/20/4 6 Health Department 12/20/4680

NEOCANDO S. Jin

WHEREAS, Application No. 4359 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

. . . .

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. A. Jorgensen to make addition to a building for the sale of poultry on Sand Rock Grade 300 ft. south of Camino del Rio, on a portion of Fueble Lot 1110.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947. New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____December 19, , 1946

FORM 2145

By_

HANNE Chairman

Res. No. 1956

.11

L'Assessment and the second of the indifference is a manufacture of the presentance of the presentance of the

Out the colding we bere as which we is the ground of inprovements in the multiplication. A set of a s As that for your a construction with Met. I noteriolly allost the builds or safety of

AD Byt. Int growthy of the part of the Solar solar straig affect the Master Plan, of the City

California, na followar The REFORE, RE PI RESOLVED, ALLE KONING SOMELSTON OF Die City of Sen Diego.

Dentry

·爱你说的是你可以了。"了。"

Canizo del Ciu, on a perbire of fuglito Lot 1110. s building for the male of periory on card Rook Grade 300 ft. south of regulation in hereby granter is . . . Jur capped to prist pullision bo

Levely granted inport of an they relate to the graphyter sentioned a some A VERTURE to the provision of containing in, thil, and define, but and to

Application Received <u>12/18/46</u> B	v Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	
A PARTY AND INC. I THE DESIGN AND A PARTY AND A PARTY	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee /2/18/46	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 12/18/89
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>2/18/46</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>12/19/46</u> Planning Commission <u>12/20/46</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector 12/20/46
Planning Commission 12/20/46 Petitioner	12/20/46 Health Department 12/20/461 and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

BERLE FOR MARKE

(1) 下了到福田村

85170

RESOLUTION NO._____

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Eugene Shepard, 5809 El Cajon Boulevard, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 1959 his application No. 2908 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, to permit construction of an airport on the northwest corner of Euclid Avenue and Federal Boulevard on a portion of Lots 14, 15 and 16 Horton's Purchase Ex-Mission Lands, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby sustained.

-	FRED	W. SIC	K
Bv	F. T.	PATTEN	City Clerk.
оу <u> </u>			Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>2908</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Harry Clingman and Eugene Shepard to construct an airport on the Northwest corner of Euclid and Federal Boulevard on a portion of Lots 14, 15 and 16, Horton's Purchase, Ex-Mission Lands, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35. New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 19 47

By___

Second and Chairman

Res. No. 1959

FORM 2145

The second s

of the sum that the state of the second of the second of the short of the second of th

- 2. The state of a second second second second second second by ather second sec
- All line the given of a period section with the sector with the sector of the sector of sectors of sectors of the sector of the
- An Institute & subject of the set successful and a subscreeky affect the Manuer Stars of the CAN
- Termity of for a part of the second Consister of the City of San Diego.
- The retuine of / may Clinge many successible on the construction Astroth on the fortune botton of split and formal fourter thouse a pertion of form 10, 10 and 20, controls construct and and in the probaberni for easier for 11.
- . An lication for a veriance to the provisions of it lamme we. I, ev Berios, Me, Ma' is Baleby emiglification of the veloce to the momentum restioned norme.

Application Received 6/29/45 B	y City Planning Department
Investigation madeB	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/15/45 + 1/2, Decision Menied	Hearing date 8/2 9/4 5 + 12/19/45
Decision Menied	Date 1/2/47 Building Inspector 1/4/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/3/46 Planning Commission 1/4/47 Petitioner	Health Department 1/4/474 acces
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

100% (0** (0))

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4375</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. R. and Mary E. Estep to erect a residence and attach to existing garage at 2823 Bowditch Place on Lot 1, Block Q, Montclair; garage 52 ft. from the front property line with no sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

the first many of strange and statistical statistics

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 1947_____, 1947______

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1960

			100 C	

of the first many there, full tracks, and the rational parameted has them face Section 15 et et al.

- In flow where our superior and the second structures of contribute the the property of start, is to be apply the second structure of the second to generally in which the second structure of where the rest
- 2. The effect of the state of the spatial sector. The measure is the measure is the top of hermitian is the measure is the partition is the property of the partitioner, parteened by other property of the partitioner, parteened by other property.
- 2. For the country of the second term and the second second with \$12 effect the heaterier infert of personal restricts, and the second second term the second secon
- The provided the texture and ing actions to the former to an improvements in the metalling second a
- 4. That the submany of the second state substants where the Master Plan of the Girs
- THENE PORT, HE IT ARENIAND, HE HAD CONTRA CONTINUE OF THE CITY OF SAD DIERO

. Veri nee to be erolisions of Critiched No. Byd', Deckies do, be, and the heavy transfer they relate to they relate to they relate to they we have

The second se

Investigation made _______ 1/2 - 4 6 By Selle ¥ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-43 Hearing date + +++ approved Decision Date 1-2-476 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-4 W Building Inspector_ 1-4-47 Planning Commission /- 4-47 Petitioner /-4-47 Health Department /-4-474 assess Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

Inter The Wester of the

Application Received ______ 12-19-46 By

the TURN

1200

Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4324</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. G. Le Hew, owner and Painters and Plasterers Labor Hall, Ass'n. Inc., purchasers to erect and operate a Labor Hall on the east side of Centre Street, 130 ft. north of University Avenue on Lots 23 and 24, Block 193, University Heights and 40 ft. of Blaine Avenue, closed, provided there are no entrances to the hiring hall on the north side of the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12985, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____January 3, , 19 47

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1961

FORM 2145

			1.10	
		1		

15 01 This was been convitered by the Zoning Cosmittee

- ants muchasally
- Due the set of our second representation and a momentally affect the health or safety of the rest science of each an indire scientifications, and will 202 by esterisity detrimental to

- DELL'ONE OF UT PERCONCE OF the Freint Committees of the City of Sec Decgo.

Avenue of folds 73 and 20, stock 195, miverials Methods and 10 ft, of flating finites, closed, provided brars are no entroneme to the Marine Fireforers Japor Mell, Med's. Inc., "our chordre is cruch ind o minute a Leber Well on the sore sile of Centro Strach, 200 ft, motth of pitture is: Persits for in the second real bar a de lie terrest of der sond the second of the second seco

control interior the cloy redate to blo property remained above. Abveriance to the provintants of trainmost no. 1994, be, real to struct Mell on the morel side of the multiple.

Application Received B	y City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y <u>Sellen + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $1-2-47$ Decision Confl. Opposed Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1-3-47$ Planning Commission $1-4-47$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Hearing date Date /-2-47 Building Inspector /-4-47 Health Department /-4-47 & Access Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Darten Alderer Ale and

Gentler

A MARABARA Distantion

Busto

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4349</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would **not** work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is **not** necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of George M. and M. Elaine Penland to operate a beauty shop in an existing residence at 3635 Utah Street on Lot 11, Block 17, West End, on a part time basis not over 4 hours daily, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12520, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ January 3, ____, 19 47

Application Methoded

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1962

FORM 2145

		1 T
		1 5

- the structure of the second of the second of the second to be added to be added to the second to be added by the second the second to be added by the second by the second to be added by the second by the second to be added by the second to be added by the second to be added by the second secon
- the style is and then by the control of the same transition by the control of the same magnification of they are invested by the second second state on the second by although the buside of sales of the second se
- server a particular a traine the bulking Plant on the City
- THER & DUT, AS IN MUSTICALLY ME TO CARD A CONTRACT OF LOW CARD AL SUMPLEME.
- Corriganist in the second
- Gang Loge that, our chart that ratio at exercit hours dolly, a, contractored ZN TH CLARTH PITC CLUB OL . This h threat an Hot IL, LLOUD 21, THAT Sto patitions of the province of the particular contraction management of monotonic
- 1000241* the laterary forder incorrect on the relation to the restance conclusion and the set of the set of the set of the 8 1 W ANTING AN
- Con Deci Cop Plan App Deci Reso Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

WINN SIVE

Unicug

and the second	
Application Received	16 By Parish City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Sellew & Burton
PATTER ATTING THE TALL STORE	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	-2-47 Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee	Date 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler	k1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47	Date $1-2-47$ k/-3-47 Building Inspector $1-4-47$ Petitioner $1-4-47$ Health Department $1-4-47+62$
Appeal filed with City Clerk date	Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-474 and Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date '
Resolution becomes effective	
A seliestice with 1	

STAR PARTY START

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4370</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

news. Res.# 1564

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. Bruce Westen to divide Lots 10 to 13, inclusive, Block 77, La Jolla Park Villa Tract on Olivet Streets and Mar Avenue into four parcels with 66/2/3 ft. street frontage and not less than 5,000 sq. ft. in area, for each lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Fifther Chairman

Dated January 3, , 1947

Application Received

By

FORM 2145

Ros. No. 1963

NEW TON YOU THE THE

(1) Furthers American and 10.0 and the median a presentation by the Nation Constitute of the C (, et al. has a base of the state of and the median a presentation has shown (som Sourcian 15 of C-1.

- 3. The second s second sec
- Aradati angan ka parateran. Samata sun bar pa kanan Kanatasanan markanan kanan k Kanatasananan kanan ka
- i. Year for the rest of all all the training in the second second second the bealth or select of periods rest to be restricted as the function of the second s
- 4. They she is a subject one we have set. Rot . "wheneverly affect the Barney Plan of the City

THEREFOLD BUILD IN MENLING AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND DIENO.

Permis Las An interes products by ... and a bound of set of the se

A verience to the crylelone of Ordinance Ho. 13200, on, ond is her by transferring the distribution of the sector monthered cheve.

Application Received 12-24-96 By Haersy
City Planning Department
Investigation made By Sellew & Buton
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-2-47</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Approved</u> Date <u>1-2-47</u> Juilding Inspector <u>1-4-47</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-3-47</u> Building Inspector <u>1-4-47</u> Planning Commission <u>1-4-47</u> Petitioner <u>1-4-47</u> Health Department <u>1-4-47474 asses</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-471 Que
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Dated Sampary 14

108 × 100 × 1703

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **net** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. D. Addis to construct a 10 ft. by 12 ft. storage room addition to existing garage with a 6 in. sideyard and 61 ft. from the front property line at 3567 Van Dyke Avenue on Lots 7 and 8, Elock 100. City Heights; addition to conform with the yard requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_47

By

######## Chairman

Res. No.1964

FORM 2145

THACSPERICE IN THE

	and the second second
	ALC: NO. R. L.
	100 Aug 10 2 8

- An a store of the second state of the second s

- a. The four of the best of the second of the second of the best of the second of the best of the second of the sec
- I Then the State State State and the MON addressed affeld the Shater Plan, of the Clore

- THEREFORE, BY THE SHALL SHE THE THE PARTICLE OF CHE CITY OF SHE BUTTON
- Joch Luc, wher Mut new: an it on to configm with the land requirements. pater de rie vie d'elle de stat a grave d'its d'auto in terme de l'its es THE REAL OF THE PARTY OF THE PA
- , though durings ; years and the new toyoge of the backett the stone . Service no the providence of or inches to. Sort, Bestion in, by and is
- Bar -Y AME AND A REAL AND A
- Iı CDCPALR A Time limit extended to Date of action

186

REAL PROV

Derect Hussinger 3

Application Received _12-24~ 40	By Ounsh
	City Planning Department
Investigation made /-2 ~ 47	By Sellew + Burton
S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-2-4</u>	7 Hearing date
Copy of Popolytics cont to City Clore / 5 35	V7 Building Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission /-4-47 Petition	Date $1-2-47$ Z Building Inspector $1-4-47$ her $1-4-47$ Health Department $1-4-4742$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to

an i cha larait

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will net be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Elsie H. Fanchin to build a residence and garage on a 5.05 acre portion of Pueblo Lot 1112, with 218 ft. frontage on a dedicated street (description on fil e in office of Planning Dept.), on the south side of Camino del Rio, approximately 1400 ft. east of 6th Street Extension. a he at some to the shirt " south a list of the over some at the a

A variance to the provisions of OrdinanceNo. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 3. , 19 47 Dated____

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1965

FORM 2145

of the r a second prove the second of the second the restletion of the second the second to the second to 13:5

- the next to be she at the store where were written were then a support the period at an entry of

- THE REPORTS. HE IT REPORTS. TO THE FALLE- COMPLETED OF THE FIRE OF SAM DAMAGE
- a with a second and have been a little and that DELONG SELECTED OF on the posts cile of Spills Add als approximately 2000 are more at the og " dediction street (feseri bion in Fil e in office of lights " Defer, Wy The of a state of the state of the of the TAR TITE ATELLETS IS THE STATE THE Ferris and the second provide the solution of the second state of the second second second second second second
- Laraby scanbed bicorder an they relate to the prepared semblened move. A West not be the proticions of Ortinanciale. Ibill, Fow forte , be, and is

Application Received 12-24-46 By	y City Planning Department
	y <u>Sellens + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-2-47</u> Decision <u>Approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-3-47</u> Planning Commission <u>1-4-47</u> Petitioner <u>1</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council <u></u> Resolution becomes effective <u></u>	Building Inspector <u>1-4-47</u> Health Department <u>1-4-47</u>
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Datey Monthly 24

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4314</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of J. Ward and Audrey Watson to smend Resolution No. 74115, to erect addition to second floor of building at 3766-65 - 4th Avenue on Lot 15, Block 2, Brookss Addition, and maintain the same setback as the first floor, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19 47

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1966

	- S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S	

of the stands of the stand of the stand of the stand of the stand fact in the stand fact in

- and a set of a second second
- The second secon
- 3. The product the spreading of the second second, and when the product of the second seco
- 4 Louis and the second a second a second second and the second second second second second second second second
- THERE'S CALL DU VE SELFERE DU VE CONTRACTOR DE LE DE VE CLES DE SED DISENS.
- the stort at them, to store of endlots of endlots at 5700-00 121 avenue on the lat 10, there a grooten as islam, and metabolic the man elerce of he attract along, by, and is berefy flected.

and he here of control duportry an there related to the run out of the second

- Dr.
- Investigation made ______ By _____ By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date_ Date 1-2-47 Decision allenied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47 Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-474 az Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

LOUM STAN

INTER DEBUG PARTICIPATION

SLUDACA

Application Passived	12-26-46 By	Courton
Application Received		City Planning Departmen
		0

100 - 10 M 11 L 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4389</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Charles H, and Madeline Nouneker and Franklin and Anna M. Dunn to convert an existing garage at 6055 Detroit Street on the Hast 100 ft. of the West 300 ft. of the Nast 1/2 of Lot 11, Cave & Mc Hatton's Subdy. to a residence and make a 19 ft. by 20 ft. addition, making the second residence on the property, be, and is hereby denied.

Date of action

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Application Received

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1967

TA

- of the Click of Sen Diegra, Coll Separate and the weitlenest presented has shown (see Section 117
- I II I well not the fight and that the start who can apply generally to other property in the pame
- and on pression of subscription property transferred of the partitions, possible by other property St Day that is being an and a start of the second start of the second start of the second start of the second st b is museesary
- middent control of an working to the occupational, but will workering be paterially detrivenent to

- 4. The Kientuck of the variable will a manually without the baaren Plan of the City

THEREBORK, DE 17 RESOLVED, Po the Construction of the City of San Diego.

aditive, which the endowed most charge on the property, les and in herein control. Sta which is the site of the state of the read if of totil. THE . . THE CONTRACTOR OF STATISTIC TELLS OF DORE DEPROTE TELLS IN have been the an all and the second and the left of the second provided in the

stoperup mentioned sim yo. sour boate . De, and is her or setting in soil is they are they alight to the best define for a suppress to be appressed of or dealer of the

Application Received _12-27-46 By	y City Planing Department
Investigation made <u>1-2-47</u> By	y <u>Sellew & Buston</u> City Planning Department
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/-3-47 Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

KORN SINT

Descent to a state of the state

1151 .** 0 ** 1511.V

A STATISTICS

Bauch

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4385</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to St. Joseph Roman Catholic Church to remodel lower floor of apartment house with excess coverage, no rear yard and 1 ft. sideyard into class rooms and library, Southwest corner of 4th and Cedar Streets on Lot L, Block 205, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa and 10, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____January 3. , 19_47

By

Sectedary Chairman

Res. No. 1968

FORM 2145

RESCUPTION NO. 1965

- The main of the second which is a second substance in contractions and to be a the property of the second second
- 2. Cost of the set with a contraction of the processing more than the processing of the processing way with a cost of the processing the property of the processing the property of the processing of the property of the processing of the procesing of the processing of the processing of the processing
- 3. The the associated for another should supply solversity affect the builth of satety of proteous reconcretes working in the very hearing, solvers models in more satisfy detrimental to the analytications of another into the product we improvide a fit models.
- at you put to

THEREFORE, HE IT RESCUED, by the Consection of the City of San Diego.

Permission is the property of the theory of the formulation is the lie of mode to removal lane rilloon is the multiple of the content of the lie of mode to removal later of the right of the light of the later is the set of the set of the offer the state of the light of the relation of the set later of the set of the light of the later is the relation. Day and is not the set of the light of the relation of the set lost of the set of the light of the light of the relation of the set of the set of the light of the light of the relation of the light of the lost of the set of the light of the light of the relation of the light of the lost of the set of the light of the light of the relation of the light of the light of the lost of the set of the light of the light of the relation of the light of the light of the lost of the set of the light of the light of the relation of the light of the light of the lost of the set of the light of the light of the relation of the light of the

Application Received <u>12-28-46</u> B	y <u>City Banning Department</u>
myesugation made	y <u>Sellen + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Building Inspector <u>1-4-47</u> 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47× and
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

10 MW 51 412

Dated and the state of the

HATE CON TOUC

WHEREAS, Application No. 4356 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles E. Keptner to build a residence and garage on Braeburn Road on Lot 118, Kensington Heights No. 2, with a 10 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Section 8s, of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 1947_ FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1969

		100	
		2	

the state of the second state of the explored strands has shown (see Sec) ion

MOR Jassier CLIMPSON STATIS

the subjects of the a dependence of the star and the second of the second of the second of the AT LOUD IN THE THE OF A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY AND AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF

and a second of the point of the balance of the balance is not a submit a large of the bar Hopes program

The restances of the process of , West subscherers of fight the market brow or the City

THERE OFF. 20 II MUCH FOR THE FOURIER LONGER LAG OF the City of She Bield.

I TOTAL & A TANK and formers and the second of the second state and the second second second second second second second second south and a second function of the second of the second seco

STID AS* pur de verses l'alegar pressan de post service pu pre solespi respinaren

Investigation made ______ By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date_ Date 1-2-47 Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47 Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-476 Can Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

Application Received 12-28-46 By

en × (2

City Planning Department

the destability of a set of a

126 P 107# 30 P

Plan

RESOLUTION NO. 84971

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

1970

That the appeal of Roy E. and Mary A. Powell, 2032 Rosecrans Street, from the Zoning Committee decision in denying by Resolution No. 1970 Application No. 4340, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, to permit the moving in of a Camp Callan building on Lots 7 and 8 Block 155 Roseville, at Rosecrans and Sterne Streets, and remodel to a duplex, be, and it is hereby denied, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby sustained.

I horohn cortifu the above to	be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolutio	n No
If the Council of the City of San Diego, as ad	erehy certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No	
	F. T. PATTEN	City Clerk.
	By	Deputy.

ORM 1270(10M-1/46)

O.K.

11,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4340</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>materially</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>materially</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Roy E. and Mary A. Powell to move in a Camp Callan building on Lots 7 and 8, Block 155, Roseville at Rosecrans and Sterne Streets and remodel to a dupler, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Chairman

Dated January 3. , 19 47

By

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1970
1210

- of the second of the second second of the second se 1000
- the second and the second second second second and the second sec the second of the second second second second second second of

- THE PERSON OF THE PARTY OF THE CONTRACT COMPLEXES OF THE CITY OF SHE DISKO.

subjective the subjective of punchastrepaid of operative act of the there are all readel to a during, be, calle hereby draipd. belietes an entry , and ', how is, an evilate through the course and (torgate The policies of the second second second to a solution of decised and

Serier, buy and is nevery denied inextap on they rol to be the property.

-

City Planning Department Selle Investigation made <u>1-2-47</u> By 1 & Dunton City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date Decision dlenied Decision dlenied Date 1-2-47 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk/-3.47 Building Inspector 1-4-47 Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

By

Desert Reporting 1 2

Application Received _______

CONDER STORES

10 11

8" + 90 = 1010

Fisher Company State 14

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4369</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. A. Barkus to do hand assembly and packaging of watch makers' tools within an existing residence at 1454 "A" Street on the South 40 ft. of the Hast 100 ft. of Block 182, L. L. Lockling's Subdivision, for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

time timit extended to

Application Received

FORM 2145

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Seondrany Chairman

Res. No. 1971

Tahr

- of the first of hand the state for the state of the meridence presented has shown then Section

- THE FULL PROPERTY OF A CONTRACT OF A DATE TO A CONTRACT OF A DESCRIPTION OF THE DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF has the manual and a manual most second the flact the health on safary of
- 4. That the front me the restance will mak adversely attack the Watter Plan of the City
- COTTLOLDING OF TOFTOMES THEREFORY RE D. RESOLVED, Hy the Ronard Committee of the City of San Diego.
- Arveriance, to the provisions of Ordinance for Layer, be ten in Ferely the second s drobe of phis resolution. . D. Donkling 's sublater, for unperiod of each (1) year from the Tight "A" GAP . S on the party to re. or she was so re. of stadt Los, new protected of state material bucks that a patients reaching on the second state of the Percipation in brook of groutent be as a lowing bende month concerning, and

Chartered insocher as they related to the property next toned above.

product a contract of the second of the seco

Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

Application Received	<u>46</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By	Sellen + Buston
STAND BILDER TAN GARS STOLL	wetters and a wetter	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee /	1-2-47 He	aring date
Decision Condi approve	Da	te 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	1-3-47 Bu	ilding Inspector 1-4-47
Planning Commission 1-4-47	Petitioner /~	City Planning Department aring date te $1-2-47$ ilding Inspector $1-4-47$ $4-47$ Health Department $1-4-47 \neq \alpha$, uncil Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Co	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Da	

baren General 34

CONTROL

The state of the tar

Bruch

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4398</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul E. Ford, owner and O. W. Waggoner and H. R. Gilkey, operators, to manufacture Patie Furniture and Fencing and Retail Sale of same at Euclid and Market Streets on the North 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 41, Ex-Mission Lands in Horton's Purchase, for a period of one (1) year from the date of this resolution, and business to be operated by O. W. Waggoner and H. R. Gilkey, only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3, , 19 47

IBVEIDED DOUGE

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1972

Grassing toy kors - 1013

of the first of the state of the section of the residence presented has shown (see Section 15 of the section of

- 1 is an allowing the second of the second state we allow we want the same
- The second second
- A "provide state of the second of the second state after the boaith or safety of the second state of the s
- 4. Tors the gran now of the stress to a 100 supervise effect the Menter Fint of the Ciky
- Thurs benefit BE 31 DI ADD Soc. But the Assistant provide the Carry of Sub Diego.

Darbid-cond. Br H. Discourses, we she weathy Connections of the Carty of San Discou

contract a for the state of the state o

World Tees to the prothetone of Ordinance No. 75, Now Action, be, and is Northety Northetoned above.

Investigation made B	y <u>Sellen & Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-2-47</u> Decision Condit Committee <u>1-2-47</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-3-47</u> Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	City Planning Department Hearing date Date 1-2-47 Building Inspector <u>1-4-47</u> 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47* American Council Hearing, date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

By

THAT THE TAX 12

Application Received

1-2-47

Hard Classifican

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4336</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>201</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. O. Anderson, owner and Dale V. Anderson, operator, to do commercial Photography, printing and developing only, in existing garage at 4045 - Sth Avenue on Lot 41, Fleischer's Addition, on a part time basis and also to maintain office and storage room within existing buildings, for off-premises sale of recordings, provided no signs are posted on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 190, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_47

FORM 2145

By.

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1973

67.67 TO 100 TO

af the state of th

- I bow the second second second second the second se
- 2. The state of the state of the state of the principle of the principle of the preservation
- N. The the state of the second state and a matrially affect the health of watery of
- the second of the rest in the second of the second of the second second the second the second second the second se

THEREFORD, BE IF FERNISSING SHARE FARMED FROM THE AT THE CALF OF SAM DACKO.

/ en.litited in the provident to C. C. Laderten, the could involve a content on a sector in the posterio, the offergent real as the probate in the orbit in enlishing reaction of Wear and the providence of the providence in the orbit is a sector the board and the providence of the providence in the orbit is a sector the board and the providence of the providence in the orbit is a sector the board and the providence of the providence of

A weilenes to the reculations of Gritingson is, 199, New Leries, 1s, and is hereig granted insolate as blay rolets to the property mentioned above.

Application Received 12-19-46 Br	- Oloss
	City Planning Department
	VAA AL
Investigation made <u>1-2-47</u> By	Selley + Centon
a seried antibility as a such makes a series a	City Planning Départment
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-2-47</u> Decision Condit approvel Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-3-47</u>	Hearing date
Decision Condi approve	Date / -2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47	Building Inspector <u>1-4-47</u>
Planning Commission 1-9-47 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>1-4-47</u> I-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47* area
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

104 1013

C31 5 22 1413

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4373</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Original French Laundry, owner and C. B. Wetzel, Manager, to erect a 40 ft. by 60 ft. storage warehouse at 1062 Cleveland Avenue on Lots 26 ad 27, Block 187, University Heights, provided all loading and unloading is done off of the street and alley, and the building located on the rear portion of the lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ January 3, _____, 19 47

wibbucation Reconstruction

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1974

FORM 2145

A CARACTERIO A COMPANY AND A CARACTERISTICS

O Market and the second of the second second second the second second the second secon

- Astronomic values of the second of the secon
- 3. part of provide the second seco
- 4. There are all and the second and the advances of all second and the Managare Plane of the City of Same Plane and the city

THEREFORE DO IN TELECORY BY NOW SAME COMPLETED OF the City of Ban Diego.

let in the let of a state of the state of the second resolution is a second of the second secon

A verience to the provisions of Orikannos No. 1911, re, out is needed a crucked investor as they relate to the Property will needed

Application Received 12-21-46	By Carrich
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Sellen & Buston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47	Hearing date
Decision Cond's approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk (-3-47	Data 1-2-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk (-3-47	Building Inspector <u>1-4-47</u>
Planning Commission/-4-47 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>1-4-47</u> 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 access
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Dated ______

161× 10× 17711

是 的复数美国高级

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4384</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. L. Haniman to construct 16 unit multiple dwelling with a 12 ft. covered passage to the street and with an 11 ft. rear yard, on Lots 36 to 40 inc., Blk. 220, Pacific Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Time limit extended to

Application Received :...

A residentions and helve used

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ January 3. , 19 47

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1975

FORM 2145

2.973

15 01 MELEAS provide and the Mission has been considered by the Soning Committee 1

contrast of the line the state of the second state of a contrast of the other property in the same

and employeers to be abarated or brick's region of the possitioner, possibled by without preparty

persons the share of southing, in the propherical, and will Math , be esteristly detrimetion, we that the granting of the opplication and mail motorsally affect the headth or safely of

- The stead of all the factors will will when a struct the matter film of the City

THEREFORL, BE IT FISOLVED, By the Consign Committee of the City of San Diego,

reer with, on fots 70 to 10 duct, Bly. 220, Freditic, Basels of ANNERTING WATCH - TO TO TO CONTRACT TO LONG TO THE DESCRIPTION OF THE REAL AND TO THE AND TO THE AND T Some stand in the stand of a stand of the stand of the solution of the solutio

here the free bod inscrimt on their wednie to the proversty mentiosed more A verified to the revisions of Deficition To, Coll, metion for be, and to

Investigation made <u>1-2-47</u> B	y <u>Sellen & Buston</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date $1-2-47$ Building Inspector $1-4-47$ $1-4-47$ Health Department $1-4-474$ a_{2}
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47	Building Inspector <u>1-4-47</u>
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner	1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47* az
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By

NOTIM 2141

Dates

Maria de la la la

plant and a part of the second second second

Application Received _12-21-46

MARTA MORA (TA)

The state is hard and

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4341</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. P. Martin to erect a new garage and hobby show, 18 ft. by 40 ft., at 3651 Fringle Street on Lots 13, 14 and 15, Block 22, Middletown Addition, with no rear yard and no sideyard on the southeast side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____ January 3, , 19_47

TIME HAN EXTENDED IO

By.

Secretary Chaimen

Res. No. 1976

FORM 2145

		the state of the s	
		1. 1.	
		CHE THE REAL PROPERTY OF	

of the providence presented has a been for a presented has about the Section

a second of the second second a second a second of the second of the second

ADB STORE STORE STORE

more and the set of the set of all belowed, and will 120.9. be materially delivered to the time the start of abbility the set of the protect of antick of antick of

as find the states of the processing with the schemely affact the heater Flan of the Cary

spectrum, an at metalogic as the fourthy commerce of the City of San Diego.

terniteriter in the second state of the second

no state a serie de la serie

have a provided from the break states to the requestion of a provide the states. st Active a par intering on an engineers her all " beargon of at any as

Seller Investigation made ______ By. City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date Decision approved Date 1-2-47 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47 Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

Application Received 12-30-46 By

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>3780</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. P. Liles, Jr., to build an addition, room and bath, to an existing residence at 3663 Jewel Street on Lot 25, Block 1, Grown Point, and maintain only a 14 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______ January 3, , 19_47

FORM 2145

trans and from

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1978

	10

12 at the second se

- its an indices of qualificate applicable to the property
- a we must be applied on the sub- milerially affect the health of safety of
- which we say that the the terestable body and will much be exterisity detries to
- Der Jahren and a successfully and advantage affact the Marter Plan of the City

- THE SUPPLY AND AN AND ADDRESS. AN ADDRESS COMPLETED OF THE CALF OF SAN DISCO.

- a volume to the revisions of Orexamps To. While, weeking it, be, rand The Levis of the local, and induction as many main star years work and the start that the start the start
- Sta Princips Annaled Superflats on that's policies the the properties and allower.
- BERT MELL IN LOW AND AND AND A

Date of action

By____

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector_ Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to

Application Received _12-28-46 By

Investigation made 2-2-47

Decision approved

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date Date 1-2-47 1-4-47 Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-47 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ______ Council Hearing, date ______ Date Continued to

Seller

City Planning Department

1 3 × 1 × 1 + 3

City Planning Department

Letter dated January 2, 1947 WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will mot materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1956, dated December 19, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

"Permission is hereby granted to Edna G. Sharon to erect a single family residence on Lots 18 and 19, Block 48, La Jolla Park, at 1423 Coast Blvd., with one dwelling already existing on the lots, and maintain a 15 ft. rear yard and a 10 ft. sideyard."

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, and Section Sa of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 17 January 3. Dated_

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1978

21.89 ILL ON 80 . 30.9 (William The Training of the States)

The second second

- the second of the second of and will met the meters of destances in the second of the second states and states in the second side beauting of adding of
- The second will MOB second alloca the Wester Black of the City
- HERCHORE, IN THESE SECTORS OF AN OF A LOSS COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SON DISCO.

A THE REAL PROPERTY OF A STATE OF 10112 L\$ The present of the state of the

with one group the reaction of the forest on his poper . The market of the

Crithance to. Soft, by, and is haraby granted involve as wery reints to the a vertice to be reading tons of the surger to all the west the to be These works can a to the second .

Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

1-2-47

hrence of management where.

Application Received _

Investigation made ______ By _____ By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47 Hearing date_ Decision Presions Res. amended Date 1-2-47 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47 Building Inspector 1-4-47 1-4-47 Health Department 1-4-474 acces Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective

Manning Department

Bv

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4333</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Larry H. Imig to erect a residence at 4916 Federal Boulevard on Lot 39, Imig Park No. 2, with a 4 ft, 2 in. sideyard on the east side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Time limit extended to

Investigation made

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____January 3, , 19 47

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1979

FORM 2145

STRUIDING UP SALL

D mighter Approved and the 1111 has been considered by the Zuning Cremittee of the Colline of the Colline of the Sumple of the Sumple of the Colline of the Sumple of the

- I their and the the the threader with a wet buy a provide the property in the more a star property in the more a
- 2 All states of the second second second second second by other preservation and still are second to second sec
- 3. The the growing of the application will ROL meterially affect the health or mainty of persons restrice of sectors in the regimentand, and will ROL the materially duitibuted to the philo solider of noticines to the property of ingrovements in the magneordial.
- THERSPORE, HE IT RESOLVED. F. THE BALLER CONTINUE of the CLEW of Sam Diego.

Perminten in former, water by Irach . File to speed a verbilition of

MORE Forces in a level of rebuild, I.J. Lorde Ne. 7, 101 a M 26, 2 in.

A variance to the provisions of writingness so. (SEM, Getter de, De, chd is lersby graatel incofer as they relate to the property nextended shows.

Application Received B	City Planning Department
Investigation madeB	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-47	Hearing date
	Date $1 - 2 - 47$ Building Inspector $1 - 4 - 47$
Decision $Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-3-47$	Building Inspector <u>1-4-47</u>
Planning Commission 1-4-47 Petitioner	1-4-41 Health Department 1-4-474 assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

KINT EST OF ST

Statistics of the set

21.0.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4334</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Larry H. Imig to erect a residence at 4966 Federal Boulevard on Lot 50, Imig Fark No. 2, with a 4 ft. 2 in. sideyard on the east side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____January 3. , 19 47

FORM 2145

By

Secret my Chairman

12 CARD DOMES WE YER SHE WE WERE THE

The second provide the state of the second Host "at an other of the control is space, a when of the petitioner, many and by atter preserve A the string of the second would be a measured for the proverse in

White the second of the possibly reasons will work the post of the prove one provide of any other of OXIL

OIDI Server's foreitop of westing in the re-shouther , and als' DDE ... to susprintly determinent to

4 . Has the Printer , of the orrested with _ BOB artistualy affect the hoster Plan of the City

THEREPORT BY AT RESOLVED. BY THE SHARE, FURTHERE AT THE CITY OF SHOP DISKO.

The Market States - States Free States and The States Bar with Market States Francisco - - -TALLING TO STATISTICS IN TELEVISE 12" NED!" DESTOR AS DE EDIS of these on the second of the BUCK RELEASE DE LES DU LES LES THE LES D' ARE RELEASE RELE

TATITATION TO THE TATE TO A TATE TO

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

1

Hallis

	Application Received	6 By	Hading
		1	City Planning Department
5	Investigation made	By	City Planning Department
	Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2	-47 Hear	ing date
	Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date	0
	Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	3-47 Build	- 47 Health Department 1-4-47 + asae
	Appeal filed with City Clerk date	Coun	ril Hearing date

La seu anne anno 1

STO AUT

1.K

RESOLUTION NO. 85214

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Mr. and Mrs. C. J. Paderewski, 1849 Lyndon Road, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 1981, the application of A. P. Ludwig, 1820 West Washington Street, to re-establish a Children's Day Dancing School in an existing building 1820 West Washington Street on Villa Lot 94 Mission Hills, be, and it is hereby overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby sustained.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and co of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council.	orrect copy	of Res FEB	solution No	85214
	FRED			B.
	F. T.	PAT	TEN	City Clerk.
By				Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. ____4352___ ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. P. Ludwig to re-establish a Children's Day Dancing School in an existing building at 1820 West Washington Street on Villa Lot 94, Mission Hills, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Children's Day Dancing School to be operated by Mrs.
- George Kastelic, only; with not more than eight (8) pupils per class;
- School to be for children not over the ages of 14 years; 2.
- Not to be operated after S:30 F.M.
- 4. No signa;

application withorawa

Permit to be temporary, for a period of one (1) year from 5. the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, , 1947

By

Secrecary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 1981

O.K

		and the second sec

- " offere the conversion the thready of the and and and the transfer is a state in other of the state
- increase and the second in the contraction and all store of a personality destruction to the set ward of a the application will not marriently affect the beater of at an area of
- The the stantice of the estimate will THE adversely affect the above Sine at the City

- INEXERORY' BE II DEPOINED' WE THE FOULING CONTACTOR OF THE CITA OF BED HEARE.
- Oblighter's Der Beibeine ashend to be strikted by Man on Fills lot 7 , Histon Mills, sucher to the fallohing rouditions: fertions a le bracky a stat to i i contratt to some that the something a state to the set of the state of the
- The dree of this Pepolation Territ to be parameters and the for the first of the state PO STUTE:

Tables to by for children set over the ning of larts.

Growing Hastslin, and . with not more then a we () will a ger ances:

Date Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to A VATINIZAN DE CLA I FUVILITE OF LITURATIO DE IZTOR, DE DE DE ADD

Not to be oferster unter

merus distinction 73"

DEC IN CE

267 1

Application Received ______ /2/17/46 By Planning Department Investigation made 1/2 + 1/15/47 By_ Jonin City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2/47 1/15/47 Hearing date Decision Cond' approval 1/15/47 Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47 Building Inspector_ 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 v ass Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4338</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Tyler J. and A. L. Fenn, owners and L. M. and Ethel B. Anderson, purchaser, to divide the West 100 ft. of the South 1 of the Northwest 2 of Lot 16, Horton's Purchase of Ex-Mission Lends, into a building site having 100 ft. street frontage, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35. New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ January 16, ____, 19 117

FORM 2145

Ser Harry Chairman

1000

0.12.

- 15 of C. of show they buy of the second of
- presented in the present of the second of the presence of the presence of the presence of MORE MACHINERED 2002
- a. That the particulation of the second will conversely affect the results as selected to receive the results of the results of the second of

.California, as rollows THEREFORE, BE IN HERGINED, PR CON KONING COMMULTER OF THE CITY OF BAD BLOKD.

be that we have invited to be, exceed the take, be, the is provedy denies. ANTONNONS WI LOT A . HENRY A LUNC ELSANT A- LERLON MARCH, INTO A successon, the busides the black of the the total state of the out the STO SEPTER OF STATE THE STORE STORE STORE STORE STATE AND REPORT ST.

INTERSOUND UTOAN" Serigo, Br, and is baraby conied inseries on those weithe to the property of A PLACEDOR TOTAL & TANDA TO DI COLORI MORE OF CONTACTOR ST.

Application Received	By Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{12/19 + 1/2/47}{1100000000000000000000000000000000000$	By _ <u>Joning Committee</u> Of Planning Department.
Considered by Zoning Committee /2/94/12/15 Decision Clencid Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/94 Planning Commission //8/47 Petitione Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Z Building Inspector //8/47 er //8/47 Health Department //8/474 assessor
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Uned Star

Denny - Adamsky rot - 1 55 DA

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4362</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Clarence La Fleur to operate a used car sales lot and to construct a 10 ft. by 12 ft. office building on the rear of the lot on Voltaire Street 100 ft. southerly from Ebers Street on Lots 43 and 44. Block 14, Ocean Beach Park, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793. be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____January 16, ____, 19_47

By_

Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

les. No.1983

SESOFALION NO. SUS

of the Fits of Sur Miero, California, and the solidence presented has shown (now Section 15 of the Fits et Sur Miero, California, and the solidence presented has shown (now Section 15 of redimension of MSIX, a section).

- () for the party into the product of the state of an analy generally to other property in the same of an inter-
- J. Thei struct productions of the computations would much undercontary becomes from the processing of the oppingence is <u>NDS</u>, conserved by preservation and average of substantial property rights of the petterance, parameted by other property source in the preservation of the petterance.
- 3. But the protection of the application will ______ meterially affect the health or safety of prices contact to entry of the responsibled, and will apply detrimental to the prices of the control to the prices of the control to the prices of the control to the prices.

THEREFORE, BE II RESOLVED, By the Zename Condition of the City of Sam Diego.

The office of strong of the birth of every a munitary miter for any to negatively of the birth, since building on the rear of the fet on Veltrie Strent 100 ft, negative from There strent on Joth Marine Mt, Flowe 11, or miner to Frein, be, and is nerely founds.

"Nyniiseriten for a varianes to the providings of Grainards Me. Marine. De, and in Except conted innother or they relate to the property " "South the first of the property".

Application Received 12/27/46 By By	
City Planning Department	
lide 7 b th	
Investigation made By By Committee City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date	internet 1
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1//6/47</u> Building Inspector <u>1//8/47</u> Planning Commission <u>1//8/47</u> Petitioner <u>1//8/47</u> Health Department <u>1//8/47</u> as	
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 az	esso
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	1. AL
Decision of Council Date	12 100
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

Doroy Degeninsty IC , 10 BT

TA NO.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4377</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will'<u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack W. and Helen Brem to divide Lot 13. Soledad Terrace on the north side of Los Altos Road, 50 ft. west of Soledad Road, into two building sites, approximately equal in area and each with approximately 150 ft. street frontage, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____January 16, ____, 1947

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1984

FORM 2145

ARRONALION SO. TANY

of the Corp. and her started for the product of the residence presented for shown (see Section 15 of the Corp. at the first the residence presented for shown (see Section

- 1. The transformed of the statement of provide the second statement is to define the statement is the statement of the sta
- work unmodelery and the property of the property of the period of the property of the property
- 3. The tree control of the application of the second second second second second to be period of the second s
- 4. That for a heat of the report out. Moto a presently of the the Matter Sim at the City of and Direct

THER FORT. 10. IN RESOLVED, DO THE FOUND DEPARTMENT OF the City of Sam Diego.

A VITTURE SO DIE PROVIETORE DI OPCILIZZON DO ILO CONTES, DE ARG In Level, Cranter Incover de Bary relate to the property mentioned move.

Application Received 12-31-4	BySouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation madeI	By <u>Zoning Committee</u> '
Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47	Hearing date
Decision approved 45/1-	Date $1/15/47$ Building Inspector $1/18/47$ 1/18/47 Health Department $1/18/474$ assess
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47	Building Inspector ///8/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner	1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47+asses
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Dated Supplied - 12

N. 71 . 2. Me

COM YOURDAN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4371</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ernest M. Bartley to operate a sheet metal shop (custom work) at 4026 Van Dyke Street on Lot 31 and the South 5 ft. of Lot 32, Block 4h, City Heights, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That no material will be stored in the yard;
- 2. Hours of operation to be from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM;
 - 3. Maximum of three (3) employees, besides himself;
 - 4. Maximum horsepower, 3 h.p.;
 - 5. Permit for the length of Mr. Bartley's ownership.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ January 16. , 19 117

TIME HUN GAIGHT O TO

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1985

₹·K

FIG:0 1 1102 20 3325

Where the construction of the set of the relation of the short (are Settion 15 of Dia you was a set of the settion of the sett

- 1. Then shows you and shows you shows to show and apply an entry to write a superity in the same shows with a state.
- 2. The star and the start of the start of the second start is the personal start in the presentation here are started in the start of the second start of the personal by other property and the start of the second start of the second start of the personal by other property start of the second start start of the second star
- 3. They are supported by the manufactuation will Hot. . meterially effect the health or taigty of periods and supported in the period. The period of intervention to an equipmentation to an entering of the materially detrimontal to the period of an intervention to an entering of the materially detrimontal to
- 4. That we construct the rest of the start Aot ... whereas a pointer the Ambres find at the City
- THEREFORE, MP IN MUSCHING IT'S the Zuples Commutation of the City of San Birgo.

Nor-Mermin for is jornely grantee to frant 1. Derpicy to open to a speed obtained at a prost of function (constant work) of North Yan , she prost on def 9 is not for for the form of St.

LOWR SING -

.

A revision to the provisions of the mannes for 1505, on, and is hereby errored insofar at the relate to the manners series where.

Foreit for the lended of the Anthropic evenuelity.

area a dependent to the	BA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
Application Received	By <u>City Planning Department</u>
Investigation made	By <u>Joning Committee</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47	_ Hearing date
Decision Condil approval	Date 1/15/47
Decision Condil approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/16/47	Building Inspector <u>1/18/47</u>
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner	Date 1/15/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47×asses
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1000 - 10 TO T

9. K

WHEREAS, Application No. 4405 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ethel M. Gerteis to make alterations and repairs to roof and general repairs to residence on a parcel of land without street frontage, 328 - 34th Street (formerly 33542 "K" Street on the North 45 ft. of Lots 33 and 34, Block 7, Mc Laren's 'H' Street Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

15

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

A STATE

FORM 2145

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1986

511 OP14104 20 303P

- MERFAS, excitation for MuOB. The Long Considered by the Zoning Consister of the City of Sup press. Collection, and the evidence presented for shown (see Section 15 of Course of the Sup and the evidence presented for shown (see Section).
- L, the light are sub- and the second of a set apply generally to show property to the property of the second second
- The state of the solution of the featuring solution sector. Recommending the preservation of the preservat
- 3. That the grantow of the apprincipation (1) 105 meretterity affect the health of animaty of persons confidence of welling of the original to the set of the set dimension of the set dimension in the set of the set dimension.
- A . That the standary of the set increases it. ROL set when y direct the Master Fian of the City of San Martes.
- THERIFICES, EL IT REPORTED, By the Avaing Computers of the City of Sun Diego.

rendering to graphy or set a first of rendering to make with rediction and repoint to most of graphy residue to redicing on a special of individual strict from the post of the first track (for really sight and directions had not to be set it is individual of the first of the first of the real signal of the providual set is first of the post of the post of the first of the post of the first of the post of t

A variation to the provint and the contraction to traffic produces 12, by, and is the second structure of the relate to the provint structure above.

Application ReceivedB	y <u>City Planning Department</u>
	y <u>Boring Committee</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47	Date 1/15/47 110/10
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47	Building Inspector8/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>1/18/47</u> 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47+ assessor
Planning Commission //18/47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the sea way way and the sea of the

(中國的十四世界十一丁马达)

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4416</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Garl E. Dewey to build a tool shed and covered patio 59 ft. from the front property line with ne sideyard at 3429 North Mountain View Drive on all of Lot 1 (except the East 35.83*), Block 3, Normal Heights.

> A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____January 16, , 19 117

FORM 2145

By_

Sectory Chairman

Res. No. 1987

0:1K

1

- otes) al concentrates of such those applituable is the property
- MALF HUBSCERAKLA
- maximum and the production of the productional, and will make he product and y decrimental to Je that we have not the application will MOV meterially affact the health of safety of
- 4. The the provide of the worldness with Hot Advantaty alloot the Master Fian of the City

CHERREFORM BE IT RESCURED, By the Fourne Committee of the City of Sun Diego.

TO STORE I' WELLET TESTIC. IN THE REPORT OF A SALE OF A PRIME OF THE OR THE I COMMENT THE PARTY . an analyzon on the state of Printerior a horeign churche bi supplies, levery on Fullit in boar shed the

profe Mallence to the provisions of Ordinance Se, Fort, constint Se, Dr.

in nevery granted incolar an they relate to the property mentioned above.

Enter Statement A part Bv Application Received _ City Planning Department By Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Hearing date Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 1/15/47 Decision Date approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/18/47 Building Inspector_ Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/474 asses Council Hearing, date _ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

ATTER VINTA

Statute and a second THE AND A STATE OF A S HERE'S THE * STUDIES
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4415</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Margaret H. Sander to construct one single family residence on a portion of Lot 23, La Mesa Colony, 50 ft. by 150 ft. in size, adjoining the parcel of land approved by Mes. No. 1684, on the Easterly side, on the Northwesterly side of Seminole Drive, south of El Cajon Boulevard, provided a 10 ft. strip across the front of the property is dedicated to the City for the widening of Seminole Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ January 16. , 1947

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1988

0.K.

AT PLITICA NO. 198

of the Tay a Contraction to the function of the Contraction of the Top Contraction of the Tay a Contraction of the Tay of the Contraction of the C

- 1. They was a first of the state of an interval on the state size of the spectrum applicable to the supering the two same are shown at a the same
- 3 Statistic states in the state of the state of the presentation of the presentatio
- Res the pression of the manual multiplication with an interacting attack the health or anisity of periods contribute a contribute of a local sector and will 50% be contactably detrimental to the multiplication of the period of a local sector and will be be an abberhood.
- the modifier maintains on an inclusion to the local sty, or increasements its the maintained.
- 4. They the standard of the parametry of Mot ... observely after the Master Plan of the City of Non Diversi

"DENSITIE, BE IT PRODUCT, IS THE SCHERE LOWERLESS OF the City of hes Biggo.

comination is forther tracked to reprind the mean defore to construct one wingle for the source of the state of the rest of th

GIVE THE THEOREM IN THE PARTY SET OF THE PROPERTY HERETONIC HOUSE.

By Application Received _ anning Department By_ Inn Investigation made City Planning Department Hearing date Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Decision Conde approval 1115147 Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47_ Building Inspector_ Health Department 1/18/47 x ass Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

Third A Charles In ,

The Barry

14-14 (1/ 11) 1 19

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4409</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Perry 0. Guthrie to manufacture Rope, not more than 2 hours daily, at the residence at 7136 Lisbon Street on Lot 3, Happy Valley Little Farms, provided no additional signs are placed on the premises and the shed to be painted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

FORM 2145

Dated

January 16.

Res. No. 1989

O,K

been the MOT To de

- of the first first from the section and the residence presented by the formulation of the first from the section first from the section first first from the section first from the section first first from the section first from the section first from the section first first from the section first from the section first first from the section first from the section first first from the section first first first first first from the section first f
- 1. The property is a second property of states of states of the property in the property is th
- 3. The system state of the manufacture will model and state its density of the periods for periods of the manufacture of the
- A . That we prove out the out these will date provincing attract the Mandar Plan of the City

THERE WE DE IT RECOMMEND BY THE Description of the City of Sad Diego.

v prince to the result of they relate to the property scholower, be, chi is

Application Received	By Parish City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>Joning Committee</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47	Hearing date
Decision Cond' approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/16/47	Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47	Building Inspector <u>1/18/47</u> 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47×ane
Planning Commission ///8/4? Petitioner	1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/4/ Kusseas
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

14.1 · 1. 1. 18

WHEREAS, Application No. _____has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John A. and Charlotte M. Robinson to alter a dwelling with no street frontage and a 1 ft. 4 in. sideyard, into a duplex, 821 Falcon Place (an alley) on the North 100 ft. of Lots 24 and 25 and the North 100 ft. of the West 17 ft. of Lot 26, Block 483, C. C. Seaman's Sub. of Pueblo Lot 1122.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____January 16, , 1947

By

#Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1990

FORM 2145

NEAD THE NOT THE AREA TO A CONTRACT OF A CON

- Association of the state of the second state of the state of the second state of the s
- Anty service and the service of the barry service of the barry of the service of the barry service and the bar
- 4 . That i we see a set it successes will make adversely affort the Manter Plan of the City
- THEND ORE, ME IT FRANK WIT, Do the Louise Committee of the City of San Diego. California of Inites

Peridan auterative der Lynderslad beiden um der Chertothen M. Holdrigh for diter einerlikur alter der Ryndersteil and der Lynder in 1 der Mitte Siferure, under Sie aussich in der Gestern Ronte in einer Siene Leisen in die eine Las der Die 16, 315645 wie die Seisen is Seb. Sonte in eine Line.

A yertende be the prestations of trainings he. Friederty mentioned cover,

Application ReceivedE	By City Planning Department
Investigation made $1/15/47$ B	y <u>Joning Committee</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47	Hearing date Date $1/15/4/7$ Building Inspector
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/474 assess Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	_ Date Continued to Date of action

annon no Terro

Market and Standy

RESOLUTION NO.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4372</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

1991

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Fermission is hereby granted to Alfred and Josephine Diodati to add three living units to an eight unit court, by altering garages, with 4 ft. between units and a 13 ft. rear yard, at 915 Beryl Street on the E 10 ft.of Lot 3 and ### all of Lots 4, 5, 6, Block SL, Pacific Beach, provided the roof of the building is pitched similar to the existing units.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series and Section Sa of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Amended by Res. # 2058

Dated_____January 16, , 1947_____

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

1 1 1 1 1	

of the control of the control of the control of the shewed free Section 15 of the

the second and the second and the second of the second of the second of the second of the second second second and second and second se

state is a set of the set of the set of the basesponet behavior, of signal brockets, i state is a set of the set of the set of the set of the basesponet behavior, of the basesponet before i state is a set of the i set of the i set of the i set of the set of t

A . For an Writer of the wart of the mark and the first the bushes There as the star

THE DESCRIPTION OF AN ADDRESS OF AN ADDRESS OF AN ADDRESS OF AN CITY OF SER Diego.

zoor of the militia, le fictual disider to him eclarized inclusmain addamn to die particions of bothmiles to him reproduce there ad debiten te at with map to fict, be, and it israil granted brader as disn' relate to any report pentices chert.

	γ γ
Investigation made B	Gity Planning Department
	Oity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47	Hearing date Date ///5/47 Building Inspector ///8/47 Council Hearing, date
Decision approved The	Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ///6/47	Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner	1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47+ ase
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

City Planning Department

Bv

Datay - Statt St.

Application Received _________

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4411</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph J. and Flora Davis, Russell Norgen and Oscar Escalante to divide Lots 1 and 2, Block 25, Paradise Hills on the Southeast corner of Edgewater and Rancho Drive into three parcels, described as follows: (1) Hly 50 ft. of Lot 2; (2) Nly 60 ft. of Lot 1 and the Nly 60 ft. of the Wly 10 ft. of Lot 2; (3) Lot 1 (except the Nly 60 ft. and the Wly 10 ft. of Lot 2, except the Nly 60 ft.; provided a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Rancho Drive and the minimum setback maintained on Edgewater Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2720 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 16, , 1947

By

FORM 2145

Application Received

Res. No. 1992

Chai rman

OK

15 01 of the the 1. J.L

- 10 P
- Distance in the state of the second of second of the second of the class of gen present

25 32 . actom as a superiod op landers Harten and the actometer recommendation the time first to start the the list is the start of the Start Sta Cashe the ready west (1) in the set of 104 104 11 (1) ish pointer of 106 3 the for a court and the second the second built to the the the the the second the second and Make Thind the to sittly a cho i sup F, Block 25, Many 210 Sills on a Service and the service of the service of the service, and shi her as

is hereby aroused itreasur as sher relate to the broperty variance theye. A VATINGS OF the restant of exclusion Bo. 1753 row Carles, bo, the

mair tained on therester direct.

Application Received/4/4/7	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	By
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 115/4	47 Hearing date
Decision approved Condit	Date 1/15/47 1.1
Decision approved Cond'l Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 44	16/47 Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission ///8/47 Peti	tioner 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47 × Class
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THEFOR OF OF OFFICE THE

CARDIN OF THE PARTY

1993 amended hug Res 3/25 4401 63 WHEREAS, Application No. _ _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James and Mary Marsh, owners and Louise Butler, purchaser to operate a beauty parlor, full time, with one employee. at 3446 Ray Street on Lot 1, Block 31, West End Addition, provided the business is operated within the existing building and permit to be for the above named owner and purchaser, only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Jennery 16, , 1947

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1993

of the state of th

- The second second second second of the second s
- Standard and some stand the state of the balance of the state of the s
- 5. The second se
- 4 . Then it is not a first the same state while the second state the land of the City
- THEREFORE IN ALL CASE AND ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND DIERON

the rest for the second structure of the second sec

A without to the revisions of trainways we little, by, one is he new gradients include the second to the revision of the second the second of the second trainways of the seco

Bv Application Received __ lanning Department Investigation made _____/_5/4 ___ By ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date Decision Condit Opproved Date Date Date Date Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/16/47 Building Inspector Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner 1/18/47 Health Council Hearing, date Health Department / 1/18/47 Health Departs Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

CREEKE Station Dies T's

WHEREAS, Application No. _____hor___ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. T. Edwards to make an 11 ft. by 12 ft. store room addition to an apartment at 1420 Broadway on Lots 4 and 5, Resubdivision of Block 179, Horton's Addition, Olmsteads; storage room to be on the lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ January 16. , 19 47

By.

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1994

O.K

FORM 2145

CONTRACTION NO. TOMA

(1) MILLER of Contraction and the solution of the restriction presented by the Zoning Counciltant of the Council Solution of the restriction of the restriction presented has shown (see Section 15 of D. T. 1971, 1971, 1972, 1971, 1971).

- 1 The curve of the state of the state of the state of sending the sender of the state of the
- 2. The subscript of the last of the spate weaks how on the the protection and enumers of the solutions. Subscript of the reliation conserved by other property metrics of the script of the solutions.
- 3. Provide account of the second seco
- 4 . That the second way to the carbona all _ 101 _ strarenty affort the Bastar Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE. BE IT RESCURED, By the Control Committee of the City of San Diego.

Por Lender Da Predo prestor da la composito de mederam 31 da de 16 dúa atore real realidad da en martenañ ed 2000 Brendergo en leita burne Di Rennedataion er blori 271, cortenes Andréles, Claricador atorigis recipio de de es tarolles line.

A WELLARDS to the provisions of contraine to. Sill, becader to, by and to here y fronts include to the provision of the terms of the provision of the provision

Application Received $\frac{1/13/47}{B}$	y Burton City Planning Department
Investigation madeB	y <u>Zoning Committee</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47	Hearing date
Decision approved .	Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47	Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>//8/4/</u> ///8/47 Health Department <u>///8/47* assessor</u> Council Hearing date
- ppcut med with City Cicik, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

KOWAY SITE

galet that stach

States of the states of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4394</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Mateka to erect a frame stucce building, 16 ft. by 25 ft., for storage, at the rear of the existing store buildings at 3021-23 Canon Street on the West 3 ft. of Lot 5 and all of Lot 6. Block 5. New Roseville.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ January 16, , 19 147

By

Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

tee No 1005

Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
Investigation madeBy	- Zoning Committee City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/42	Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 116/47	Building Inspector 1/18/47
Planning Commission 1/18/47 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>1/18/47</u> 1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/47+ asses
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A ve thes to the provisions of this man no. Be, May Series, be, and is is 1 story, 15 th, of 51 st., for objects, it the rises is the estation shore being the first of the source for the source fort, of fot 5 and 11 of the first of the sourcelling.

A story decided imposts at they suitable to the property manipoond styre.

THE ALL THE AND AND AND A THE TO THE AND AND AN ADDRESS IN TAKEN UPTIC

Cret . 13 rs

DREAT CALINATIN AT

these a recultive a fill the Cirk of Ban Diego. LIGHT

sighter when all the superiolly dear because to

The anticipation of the mental of anticks of

set output something to be other as much an alle back

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4168</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance and Trust Company, owner and F. L. Mc Mechen, purchaser, to erect a garage and dwelling on Nutmeg Street, east of 29th Street on the West 16 ft. S in. of Lot 16 and the Nly 75 ft. of Lots 17 and 18, Block 1, Park Addition; garage with no setback and dwelling with a 10 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____January 16, ..., 19 47

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1996

LEADER TO TEMP

9 When a start of the termination of terminatio

- A set of Assesses and Assesses of Assesses and Asses Assesses and Assesse Assesses and Assessesses and Assesses and Assesses and Assesses and Assesses and Assesses and Assesses and Asse Assessesses
- 2. The second state of the state of the state of the positioner, percent by sther property of the state property state of the position of the state property state of the position of the state property of the state of the state
- 3. The Kith of the houlds are suit of the materially affect the health or safety of mentions of the material is the material back, and will ROV, be meterially detrimental to the bit of the same of the same factor is to the material to the meterial product.
- 4. The first property of the state of the state of the state of the busines Plan of the CLAN

California, as follows.

provide Solution states, provide 0, a provide States Solution and Struct Congrammy, a set state 1, a set of the states of the states of the Solution of a set of the Solution Solution states, each of Solution States on the Solution in the state of the lo solution of the Solution of Solution of the Solution of the states as a set of the Solution of Solution of the Solution of the states as a set of the Solution of Solution of the Solution of the states as a set of the Solution of Solution of Solution of the states of the states as a set of the Solution of Solution of Solution of the states.

A vertiands to the provisions of trainence No. 19302, he, and is hereby

Application ReceivedBy	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/16/47	Date 1/15/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/6/47	Building Inspector <u>///8/47</u> ///8/47 Health Department ///8/47× assesso
Planning Commission //8/47 Petitioner	1/18/47 Health Department 1/8/474 assesso
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	1/18/47 Health Department 1/18/474 assessor Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>hhof</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to S. G. Hazlitt to divide the South 160 ft. of the Nhy 310 ft. of Morens Acre Lot 122 lying west of Hartford Street on Galveston Street, south of Milton Street, into four building sites, each with an SO ft] street frontage and approximately 125 ft. deep, according to plat on file in office of Planning Department.

> A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 55. New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Time limit extended to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____January 16, , 19 47

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 1997

FORM 2145

of the 11

- the second second
- 3. They the structure of the state of the structure of the structure attent the bouldh of metody of state of the structure of

THEN FORD, SE II SPORTADE BY THE FLAMMER CONTINUE OF the CALF OF SHE PICKO.

Toward for the first structure is the set of the set of the first structure of the set of the set of the first structure is the set of the first structure is the set of the set

Lex of storedy promited incoller of they relieve to the Toleity mentioned shown. - Y . Things to the providing of the of the of the of the set . E. Sou provides, bo, nuc

Application Received <u>1-14-47</u> B	y South City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y <u>Joning Committee</u> Gry Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-15-47</u> Decision <u>Approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-16-47</u> Planning Commission 1-18-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Decision of Council</u> Resolution becomes effective	Date /-/5-47 Building Inspector /-/8-47 /-/8-47 Health Department /-/8-47*azzez Council Hearing, date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Arthodora GUTS - Ladaria

RESOLUTION NO.

(Ext. of time on Res. #1625)

Letter dated January 4, 1947

WHEREAS, Application M. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**MO**</u>adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the date of this resolution be granted to M. G. Winn to erect six units on Lots I, J and K, Block 10, Mission Beach, on Mission Boulevard between San Luis Rey and Asbury Courts, as per plan submitted; originally granted by Resolution No. 1260 and extended by Resolution No. 1625.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

time firmit extended to

TUAGE EVIDOU ITTAL

Application Receive

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 17. , 1947

Sectore

FORM 2145

hit Chairman

(mat of they on the state

Refer to the second

Will an approximation of the second s

A second seco

2. Daniel and a second of the second second second second by other protection and second by other protectly and a second second

3. The second second processing the second secon

W. That for a sum out of a former of a sector MDE approximate afford the Newton Plan of the City of the former of

THERE THE PULL AND A SUBJER AND AND THE PROPERTY OF ALL THE CALF OF SAM DISKY.

show the contraction of side (6) control from the data of this revolution be promited we the claim to creat sid whith on Asta I, draw f, where it, the part of the resultient boulderous between the activity and dependent to the perturbation to activity initial francing by Repolation to. Ign's and side bounded by wearly lies in items.

A will may by the provident of their the property pression by and in the property provided theory and in

Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	By
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15-47	City Planning Department Hearing date
Decision Ext. Granted Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-12-47	Date /-/5-47 Building Inspector/-/8-47
Planning Commission /-18-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Letter

Letter dated January 4, 1947

WHEREAS, **Application No.** _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the date of this resolution be granted to M. G. Winn to erect six units on Lots I, J and K. Block 10, Mission Beach, between San Inis Rey and Asbury Courts, with a 4 ft. setback on Mission Boulevard; originally granted by Resolution No. 1261 and extended by Resolution No. 1626.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 17, , 19 47

By

debilight Chairman

Res. No. 1999

FORM 2145

state and a state of the

- A CALENDARY AND A C
- Here a state of the second second water sails affect the monith of asis, of
- second on the second second and will make an enterlativ detrimental to
- the introde will not the strength affect the Master Flatt of the City
- LHE BE CHI

rendende bil verstellen en 1990. Den vir inn solveret overslikerji tiking og så såbernardet per Tirr vide Den vir inn solveret overslikerji tiking og såbernardet per Tirr vide Den solver med solveret overslikerji tiking og såbernardet per 1990. Den solver Den solver solveret overslikerji tiking og solver oversliker og solver solver solver solver solver solver solver

sever at at put an at a set of the set of the off of the set of th

- POLO A There pur function at such tojopa po the brocket memorally incast " Versioned to the restances of Chelicana Mr. 20327, be, and is through
- Investigation made By_ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee /-15-47 Hearing date Decision Ent. Granted Date 1-15-47 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-17-47 Building Inspector 1-18-47 Planning Commission 1-18-47 Petitioner 1-18-47 Health Department 1-18-47 × az Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

By

City Planning Department

reaction from 12

Application Received

-4-47

has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are_ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will 10t be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the date of this resolution be granted to Clarence P. and Daisy Winn to build a residence on the Northwest corner of 52nd and Monroe Streets on the Easterly 622 ft. of Lots 27 and 28, Block 6. Alhambra Park, with a setback of 20 ft. from the front property line; and in no case shall the setback be less than 20 ft, and no nearer to the street than the house immediately to the north; originally granted by Resolution No. 1279 and extended by Resolution No. 1649.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12521, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 1947 January 17. Dated___

By

Secretery Chairman

Res. No. 2000

FORM 2145

Letter Application Received ______

of the Cirt, of Southings a Stitute and the section a presented by the Daving Committee 15 of Doumbers, of Adding particular,

- the anticours of the restrict at the state of the best from the terms of the state the second s The second s
- They is the result of the period of the second of the second second second second of the period of the result of t

THEREFORM, BE IT REACHTED I THE THE PARTY CONNECTOR OF the CLTY of Bon Dicky.

hy Lordating to. 1079 and mit more or accountion No. 1660. togans etterne than the new of with state of the new and have the state of The stand in no company the name as haritand that is it and no notion Tool . Alkowber tak, while reached of prist, from the french projectly and Stan and the address intracted on the State State of the address of the state and to claration . The fully with the to be it a population on the sorthing contern the ste supergrow of eis whether they clar fift of this reschation be supered

horoby dipated inseler on the relate to the property remained above. A Service to the provision of Or instanting, 12503, Section 1, the, and is

By
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date 1-15-47 Building Inspector 1-18-47
Building Inspector 1-18-47
1-18-47 Health Department 1-18-11 + Uses
_ Council Hearing, date
_ Date
Continued to
_ Date of action

Bv

Planning Department