

RESOLUTION NO. 2001

Letter dated January 11, 1947

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months be granted from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1691 to Mrs. E. K. Hennessy to construct a new roof, bath and exterior alterations necessary to a residence which has a 20 inch sideyard on Lot 18 and the North 1/2 of Lot 19, Block 172, University Heights at 4027 Louisiana Street, provided the shed on the rear of the building is removed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 6a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 17, , 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Letter
Application Received 1-13-47 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Ept. granted Date 1-15-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-17-47 Building Inspector 1-18-47
Planning Commission 1-18-47 Petitioner 1-18-47 Health Department 1-18-47 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREFORE BE IT REQUESTED THAT THE ZONING COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
of the _____
4. _____
5. _____
6. _____
7. _____
8. _____
9. _____
10. _____
11. _____
12. _____

12 of _____
of the _____

6.12

Letter dated January 13, 1947

WHEREAS, ~~Application No. _____~~ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the date of this resolution be granted to Frank and Eva M. Fikes to construct an addition to and convert a single family residence at 2970 Kalmia Street on Lots 41 and 42, Block 5, Park Addition into a duplex with a 4 ft. rear yard; originally granted by Resolution No. 1234 and extended by Resolution No. 1650.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 17, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Letter Application Received 1-13-47 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Est. Granted Date 1-15-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-17-47 Building Inspector 1-18-47

Planning Commission 1-18-47 Petitioner 1-18-47 Health Department 1-18-47 *Assessor*

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4417 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Stephen C. Saunders to erect a duplex with a 12 ft. rear yard on the ground level and an 8 ft. rear yard on the second story on the North side of Jamaica Street 220 ft. west of Mission Blvd., on Lot F, Block 108, Mission Beach, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 17, 19 47

By _____ ~~Chairman~~ Chairman

Application Received 1-13-47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15-47 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 1-15-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-17-47 Building Inspector 1-18-47

Planning Commission 1-18-47 Petitioner 1-18-47 Health Department 1-18-47 *Answer*

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

WHEREAS, BE IT ORDERED BY THE ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

1. That the application for a change of zoning classification...

2. That the application for a change of zoning classification...

3. That the application for a change of zoning classification...

4. That the application for a change of zoning classification...

5. That the application for a change of zoning classification...

6. That the application for a change of zoning classification...

7. That the application for a change of zoning classification...

8. That the application for a change of zoning classification...

9. That the application for a change of zoning classification...

10. That the application for a change of zoning classification...

o.k.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4402 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the City of San Diego, to construct and maintain a steel water tower, 70 ft. high, with 750,000 gallon conical top tank, 43 ft. in diameter on the Southeasterly portion of Lot 162, Encina de San Diego, on the westerly side of Aviation Drive, approximately 60 ft. south of Benson Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/3/47 By Riik
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/15 + 1/29/47 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/15/47 Hearing date 1/29/47

Decision Approved Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

The Commission hereby approves the application for the proposed use of the property described above, which is shown on the official Zoning Map of the City of San Diego as being in the _____ Zoning District.

The Commission finds that the proposed use is in accordance with the provisions of the Zoning Ordinance and that the same is in the public interest and is not contrary to the public health, safety or morals.

The Commission hereby grants a permit for the proposed use of the property described above, subject to the conditions set forth herein.

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to regulate the use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to grant permits for the proposed use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to regulate the use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to grant permits for the proposed use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to regulate the use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to grant permits for the proposed use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to regulate the use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to grant permits for the proposed use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to regulate the use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to grant permits for the proposed use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to regulate the use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to grant permits for the proposed use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to regulate the use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to grant permits for the proposed use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to regulate the use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to grant permits for the proposed use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to regulate the use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to grant permits for the proposed use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to regulate the use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to grant permits for the proposed use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to regulate the use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to grant permits for the proposed use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to regulate the use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to grant permits for the proposed use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to regulate the use of the property described above; and

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized by the Charter of the City of San Diego to grant permits for the proposed use of the property described above; and

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 4412 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John L. Foster to add one unit apartment to an existing triplex, with a 6 in. sideyard and 4 ft. access court from the street; addition to conform to all yard requirements, at 3737 Highland Avenue on Lots 15 and 16, Block 9, Subdivision of Blocks 3, 6, 9 and 12 of City Heights Annex No. 1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, , 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 1/7/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Sellen, Kernigan, Clark & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 ✓ Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

o.k

WHEREAS, Application No. 4418 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. A. N. Rhines to construct a residence and garage with no setback from Folsom Drive for the garage but residence to observe required setback, Southwesterly side of Folsom Street approximately Northwest of Dodge Drive on Lot 2, Block 39, La Jolla Hermosa No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 1947

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 1/14/47 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellow, Kerrigan & Austin
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Date 1/29/47

Decision Approved Building Inspector 1/31/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Health Department 1/31/47 Assessor

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

Faint, illegible text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.

SWITZERLAND
JEREMY
4
3
12 of
of the
MILWAUKEE

O.K.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4419 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. A. N. Rhines to construct a patio wall 5 ft. 6 in. high, in front of the setback line, between the garage and residence on the Southwesterly side of Folsom Street, approximately Northwest of Dodge Street, on Lot 2, Block 39, La Jolla Hermosa No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 19 47

By _____
~~Chairman~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/14/47 By Coppock
 City Planning Department
 Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Selley, Kernigan + Burton
 City Planning Department
 Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 1/29/47
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47
 Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 + assessor
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

The City of San Diego, California, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct copy of the original as filed in the office of the City Clerk, San Diego, California, on this 14th day of January, 1947.

WHEREAS, the following is a true and correct copy of the original as filed in the office of the City Clerk, San Diego, California, on this 14th day of January, 1947.

The City of San Diego, California, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct copy of the original as filed in the office of the City Clerk, San Diego, California, on this 14th day of January, 1947.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4380 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Antonia Fradet to erect a third living unit on the south side of Dwight Street, 70 ft. easterly of 39th Street on the Easterly 70 ft. of Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 94, City Heights, with a 4 ft. access court to the street for the dwelling in the rear, provided the new structure maintains a setback of not less than the residence to the east which is 3919 Dwight Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, and Section 8a of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 1947

By _____

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/15/47 By Garrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellen, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

THE BOARD OF HEALTH HAS REVIEWED THE APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE TO OPERATE A RESTAURANT AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PREMISES ARE NOT SUITABLE FOR SUCH USE.

THE BOARD OF HEALTH HAS REVIEWED THE APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE TO OPERATE A RESTAURANT AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PREMISES ARE NOT SUITABLE FOR SUCH USE.

CITIZENSHIP OF CITIZENSHIP

THE BOARD OF HEALTH HAS REVIEWED THE APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE TO OPERATE A RESTAURANT AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PREMISES ARE NOT SUITABLE FOR SUCH USE.

THE BOARD OF HEALTH HAS REVIEWED THE APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE TO OPERATE A RESTAURANT AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PREMISES ARE NOT SUITABLE FOR SUCH USE.

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 2009, amended by Res. # 2956

WHEREAS, Application No. 4374 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. D. Manseau to erect a tool shed now and four residences later, on Lot 59, Burns Court (Assessor Map No. 1) a lot without street frontage, approximately 180 ft. north of National Avenue, east of 39th Street, provided a setback of not less than the buildings on both sides of this property is maintained; permit to be for Mr. Manseau, only, and is not transferable.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 19 47

By _____
~~Chairman~~ Chairman

Application Received 12/23/47 By Buston
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/2/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/2 + 1/15 + 1/29/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

O.K.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4439 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Dalisi to construct a single family residence at 836 - 18th Street with a 6 ft. access court from the street to existing residence on the rear of the lot, Lot 4, Block 9, Culverwell's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Section 8a of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, , 1947

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 1/20/47 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellers, Kernigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mostly illegible text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, mostly illegible text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4424 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Floyd and Colleen Watkins to split a portion of Lot 29, La Mesa Colony, known as Lot "g", into two building sites, to permit one single family residence on each parcel and each parcel with 50 ft. street frontage, provided a 10 ft. strip of land across the front of the property is dedicated to the City for the widening of Gatcetin Drive, on the west side of Gatcetin Drive, approx. 300 ft. north of Montezuma Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Handwritten: Appl. #85311
Watkins + Homes

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, , 19 47

By _____

Handwritten: Secretary
Printed: Chairman

Application Received 1/20/47 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellen, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Condit Approval Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 * Answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4440 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clyde D. and Dorothy J. Compton to construct a single family residence with 71 ft. frontage on Olive Street, in San Diego County, 500 ft. south of Anherst Street on the South 71 ft. of Lot A, Sullivan Tract and the South 71 ft. of the West 205 ft. of Lot J, La Mesa Colony.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 19 47

By _____

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/20/47 By Barnish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark Sellers, Kempton & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

RESOLUTION NO. 2013

WHEREAS, Application No. 4455 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred K. and Esilda M. Howard to convert an existing garage at 5455 Electric Avenue on Lots 3 and 4, Block 23, Bird Rock Addition, into servant's quarters consisting of two bedrooms and a bath, with the required sideyards and a 12 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 19 47

By _____
~~Chairman~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/20/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellen, Kemgin & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Date 1/29/47

Decision approved Building Inspector 1/31/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 Assessor

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

9. K.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4365 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward G. Wood to build a single family residence on the North 50 ft. of the East 100 ft. of Lot 7, G. M. Doty's Addition on the West side of Lamont Street at Wilbur Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, , 19 47

By _____

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/22/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellen, Kenyon & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4437 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. P. Keeling and A. J. Free, to build a single family residence on Lot 27 and the South $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 28, Block 10, Bird Rock Addition, 5554 Linda Rosa Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 19 47

By _____
Chairman

Application Received 1/22/47 By Ross
 City Planning Department
 Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellev, Kernigan + Burton
 City Planning Department
 Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 1/29/47
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47
 Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 + Assessor
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

This document is a copy of the original document filed with the City Clerk. It is not a legal document and should not be used for legal purposes.

CHARTER OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
 ARTICLE II - THE CITY CLERK
 SECTION 1 - The City Clerk shall be the chief officer of the City and shall see that the laws of the State and the City are faithfully executed.

Letter dated January 20, 1947

WHEREAS, ~~Application No. _____~~ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the date of this resolution be granted to Ethel Titus and Gertrude Stemerick to divide the ~~W~~ of the E 2/8 of the SW 1/4 of Sec. 103, Rancho de la Nacion on the West side of Alleghany Street near Rec Drive into two parcels, each with 82 1/2 ft. street frontage, to permit one single family residence on each parcel, as originally granted by Resolution No. 1661.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Letter Application Received 1/20/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Ext. approved Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Letter dated January 15, 1947

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the date of this resolution be granted to Robert Gordon and Barbara Hill Sharp to divide and to permit the erection of a single family residence on the West $\frac{1}{2}$ of the N $\frac{1}{2}$ of Pueblo Lot 173, a portion of land approximately 116 ft. by 232 ft. in size, with access to the property by a 30 ft. private easement from the Southerly end of Bangor Street, as originally granted by Resolution No. 1641.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Letter Application Received 1/16/47 By Mail - Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Ext. Approved Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 Anna

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text]

Letter dated January 23, 1947

WHEREAS, ~~Application No. _____~~ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the date of this resolution be granted to Catarino Hernandez, owner and R. E. Hernandez, purchaser, to construct a second residence on Lot H, Shaw Addition to Encanto Heights, 120 Ritchey Street, as originally granted by Resolution No. 1663.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Letter
Application Received

1/24/47

By

Mail

City Planning Department

Investigation made

By

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

1/29/47

Hearing date

Decision

Ext. Approved

Date

1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

1/30/47

Building Inspector

1/31/47

Planning Commission

1/31/47

Petitioner

1/31/47

Health Department

1/31/47 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 11787 PASSED BY THE BOARD OF CITY PLANNING AND ZONING ON JANUARY 29, 1947. THE BOARD HAS APPROVED THE APPLICATION FOR A VARIATION OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE CORNER OF ...

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA. RESOLUTION NO. 11787 PASSED BY THE BOARD OF CITY PLANNING AND ZONING ON JANUARY 29, 1947.

WHEREAS, the Board of City Planning and Zoning has received an application for a variation of the zoning ordinance for the property located at the corner of ... and ... streets, San Diego, California; and

and whereas, the Board of City Planning and Zoning has considered the application and has determined that the proposed variation is in the public interest and is consistent with the general plan of the City of San Diego;

RESOLUTION NO. 2019

WHEREAS, Application No. 4452 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Disbrow and Benita S. Johnson to build a retaining wall 9 ft. high at 4328 Plumosa Way on Lot D, Plumosa Terrace.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/23/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellen, Kernjan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____
Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4472 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anna M. McClure to make an 8 ft. by 5 ft. addition to residence with a 6 ft. rear yard at 1442 "C" Street on Lots 19 and 20, Block 180, Sheldon's Addition; addition to maintain a 10 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, , 19 47

By _____

Secretary
~~Chairman~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/25/47 By Garrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellen, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 & answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

o.k.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4300 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. R. Ascher, owner and Joseph D. Pacher, lessee, to conduct a Welding Shop for small items, with a maximum of 15 h.p. electrically operated equipment, at 3830-6th Avenue on Lot 13, Block 4, Nutt's Addition. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/23/47 By Garrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/28/47 By Clark, Sellen, Kerrigan & Buxton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Date 1/29/47

Decision Approved Date _____
Building Inspector 1/31/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector _____
Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner _____
Health Department 1/31/47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____
Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____
Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____
Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 4448 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas Levis to use home at 4590 Myrtle Street on the Ely 35 ft. of Lots 25 to 28 inclusive, Block 1, Bungalow Park for a business address and use existing garage for storage of Stationery and Magazines, subject to the following conditions:

1. No signs;
2. No employees;
3. Business address only and all business transacted away from the residence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/23/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellow, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Cond Approval Date 1/29/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47
Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4385 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Otto Welp to build one single family residence on Lot 8 (except a 20 ft. triangular portion) Block 15, La Jolla Hermosa, on Avenida Commercial near La Canada Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 19 47

By _____
Secretary
Chairman

Application Received 1/11/47 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellev, Kernigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 Admission

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, BE IT IS ORDERED BY THE ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
1. That the application of _____ for a _____
2. That the application of _____ for a _____
3. That the application of _____ for a _____
4. That the application of _____ for a _____
5. That the application of _____ for a _____
6. That the application of _____ for a _____
7. That the application of _____ for a _____
8. That the application of _____ for a _____
9. That the application of _____ for a _____
10. That the application of _____ for a _____
11. That the application of _____ for a _____
12. That the application of _____ for a _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 4354 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to University Christian Church to erect a church building at 3916 Cleveland Street on Lots 24, 25 and 26, Block 184, University Heights, maintaing an 8 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30., 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/15/47 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellen, Kernigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Date _____

Decision Approved Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 Answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

[Faint, mostly illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 2025

WHEREAS, Application No. 4355 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to University Christian Church to erect a church building at 3916 Cleveland Street on Lots 24, 25 and 26, Block 184, University Heights, with a 10 ft. rear yard and 90% coverage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

This permission shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, , 1947

By _____
Secretary
Chairman

Application Received 1/15/47 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellev, Kernigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 Ames

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

WHEREFORE BE IT RECOMMENDED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
1. That the application of _____ be approved and that the zoning _____
2. That the application of _____ be approved and that the zoning _____
3. That the application of _____ be approved and that the zoning _____
4. That the application of _____ be approved and that the zoning _____
5. That the application of _____ be approved and that the zoning _____
6. That the application of _____ be approved and that the zoning _____
7. That the application of _____ be approved and that the zoning _____
8. That the application of _____ be approved and that the zoning _____
9. That the application of _____ be approved and that the zoning _____
10. That the application of _____ be approved and that the zoning _____
11. That the application of _____ be approved and that the zoning _____
12. That the application of _____ be approved and that the zoning _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 4378 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Willie and Annie Liggins to maintain and operate a hand laundry, in a garage at 3329 - 38th Street on Lots 1 to 11, inclusive, Block 111, City Heights, for a period of three (3) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/15/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellev, Kerrigan & Buxton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, Condit Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 + action

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

See Res 2027 following

RESOLUTION NO. 85215

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Gaylord A. Stephan, 1319 18th Street, Apartment D, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying application No. 4434 of B. M. Krafft and G. A. Stephan for variance to Ordinance No. 12942 to permit operation of a Real Estate Office on the first floor of an apartment house and display a sign approximately 8 in. by 24 in. at 1319 - 18th Street on Lot 4 Block 1 Gardner's Addition, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 85215 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council FEB 18 1947

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk.

F. T. PATTEN

By _____ Deputy.

*See Res 85215
preceding
O.H.*

WHEREAS, Application No. 4434 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of B. M. Krafft, owner and Gaylord Stephan, lessor, to operate a Real Estate Office on the first floor of an apartment house and display a sign approximately 8 in. by 24 in. at 1319 - 18th Street on Lot 4, Block 1, Gardner's Addition, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/23/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Clark, Sellen, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 1/29/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47

Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4430 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Miss Corinne Stuart to continue operation of a Nursery School at 2876 Redwood Street on Lots 7 and 8, Block A, Wallace Heights, subject to the following conditions:

1. To operate as a Day Nursery only with a maximum of 25 Children;
2. That all requests of the Health and Welfare Departments be complied with;
3. Premises be kept in first class condition at all times;
4. That the rear yard be kept enclosed for a play yard;
5. Permit to be to Miss Corinne Stuart only;
6. Permit to be revoked if and when in the opinion of the Zoning Committee it becomes detrimental to surrounding property or upon complaints from surrounding property owners.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30, 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 1-25-47 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-29-47 By Clark, Sellen, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 1/29/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/30/47 Building Inspector 1/31/47
Planning Commission 1/31/47 Petitioner 1/31/47 Health Department 1/31/47 + assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mostly illegible text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, mostly illegible text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

1947

WHEREAS, Application No. 4456 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Erwin C. and J. Myrtle Loss, to erect an 8 ft. by 12 ft. addition with a 5½ ft. rear yard, at 4035 Wightman Street, on Lots 25 and 26 (except the west 60 ft. and the east 45 ft.), Block 53, City Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 5a, b, and c, is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 3, 1947

By _____
Ass't City Planning Engineer

Application Received 1/23/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/31/47 By Slave South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Date 1/29/47

Decision Approved subjt. to P. Department Building Inspector 2/4/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/3/47 Petitioner 2/4/47 Health Department 2/4/47 + Assessor

Planning Commission 2/4/47 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.

Calligraphic text, possibly a signature or official stamp, located at the bottom of the page.

RESOLUTION NO. 85388

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Bruce P. Hall, Florence G. Hall, Security Trust and Savings Bank, by T. B. Young, Agent-in-fact, 523 Bank of America Building, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 2030 their application No. 4364 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038 NS to permit construction of service station, including a grease rack but no auto repair shop, on the southwest corner of Hilldale Road and Marlborough Avenue on Lots 1,2,3 Block 2 Kensington Heights, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 85388
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council MAR 11 1947

FRED W. SICK

F. T. PATTEN

City Clerk.

By _____

Deputy.

104

WHEREAS, Application No. 4364 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Bruce P. and Florence G. Hall and Security Trust & Savings Bank to construct a service station, including a grease rack but no auto repair shop, on the Southwest corner of Hilldale Road and Marlborough Avenue on Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 2, Kensington Heights, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series, be, and is hereby denied, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
~~Chairman~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/13/47 By Coppock
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29 + 2/13/47 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date 2/13/47
 Decision Denied Date 2/13/47
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47
 Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 + assessor
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4464 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alec L. Cory to divide all of Lots 14 and 15, and Lot 16, except the Northwest 10 ft., Block 54, Point Loma Heights, into two building sites, one parcel with 60 ft. frontage and one with 56 ft. frontage, Orchard Street and Catalina Boulevard, provided a minimum setback of 5 ft. is maintained on Catalina Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 1/23/47 By Burton
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Kernigan, Clark & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 2/13/47
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47
 Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 Assessor
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, the following is the report of the Zoning Commission of the City of San Diego:

1. That the Commission of the City of San Diego has received the report of the City Planning Department...

2. That the Commission of the City of San Diego has received the report of the City Planning Department...

3. That the Commission of the City of San Diego has received the report of the City Planning Department...

4. That the Commission of the City of San Diego has received the report of the City Planning Department...

5. That the Commission of the City of San Diego has received the report of the City Planning Department...

6. That the Commission of the City of San Diego has received the report of the City Planning Department...

7. That the Commission of the City of San Diego has received the report of the City Planning Department...

8. That the Commission of the City of San Diego has received the report of the City Planning Department...

9. That the Commission of the City of San Diego has received the report of the City Planning Department...

10. That the Commission of the City of San Diego has received the report of the City Planning Department...

11. That the Commission of the City of San Diego has received the report of the City Planning Department...

12. That the Commission of the City of San Diego has received the report of the City Planning Department...

✓
\$ 4292

WHEREAS, Application No. 4463 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. Meyer to operate a Pony Ride and alter an existing building to a stable, 2545 West Camino del Rio on all of Block 470, Old San Diego, subject to the following conditions:

1. Maximum of six (6) ponies;
2. That a fence be provided along the highway with proper ingress and egress to the property;
3. No parking on the highway; all parking to be provided on the above property;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/25/47 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 *Assessor*

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text]

19

WHEREAS, Application No. 4306 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Foster & Kleiser and Dr. John Kellogg to alter two existing panels at 4th and Redwood Streets on Lots H, I and J, Block 358, Horton's Addition, into a single streamlined panel, provided it is placed no nearer the front property line than the existing panels.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/27/47 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4441 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of O. J. Radbeck to remodel and repair existing residence at 4505 North Avenue on the West 35 ft. of Lots 21 to 24 inclusive, Block 45, University Heights, with no sideyard, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/27/47 By South
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kerrigan & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
 Decision denied Date 2/13/47
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47
 Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 Assessor
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

92

WHEREAS, Application No. 4469 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Good Shepherd Lutheran Church, Rev. R. F. Kibler, to construct a 48 ft. by 18 ft. 6 in. addition to existing church at 4335 Van Dyke Avenue on Lots 15 to 18 inclusive, Block 2, Wilshire Place, with 56% coverage and 11 1/2 ft. rear yard; also rebuild garage 14 ft. by 22 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, b, and c, is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 1947

By _____
~~SECRETARY~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/29/47 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kernigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
Date 2/13/47

Decision Approved Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4460 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold A. Mosier to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1280 for one building site to be served by a 60 ft. easement across a portion of Pueblo Lot 1280 and 1297, to La Jolla Shores Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/27/47 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4471 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Emily R. S. Devlin, owner and Edward F. Devlin, lessee, to manufacture Jewelry, in an existing hobby shop at 2596 Chalcedony Street on Lot 3, Homeland Villas No. 2, not more than 3 hours daily provided no signs are posted on the premises.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/27/47 By Garrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kerrigan & Burston
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Cond. Approval Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4433 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James B. Taber to operate a custom shop, making picture frames, in an existing garage at 2245 Felspar Street on Lot 12, Block 208, Pacific Beach, approximately 4 hours daily, with a maximum of 1 h.p. machinery, provided no signs are posted on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 1/28/47 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark Kerrigan Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
Date 2/13/47

Decision Cond'l Approval Building Inspector 2/17/47
Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 Assessor

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____
Date of action _____

Resolution No. _____
The Commission on the subject of the proposed zoning change for the property located at _____
has considered the same and has determined that the same is in accordance with the provisions of the City Charter and the Zoning Ordinance and that the same should be approved.

RESOLUTION NO. _____
WHEREAS, the Commission on the subject of the proposed zoning change for the property located at _____
has considered the same and has determined that the same is in accordance with the provisions of the City Charter and the Zoning Ordinance and that the same should be approved.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4473 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Adin M. Layton to erect a second residence on the Easterly 167.4 ft. of the Westerly 942.5 ft. of the Northerly 115 ft. of Lot 12, Ex-Mission Rancho, south of Jamacha Road, 7007 Jamacha Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 1/29/47 By Parrish
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kerrigan & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 *Assessor*

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

(Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page)

(Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page)

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

177

WHEREAS, Application No. 4506 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is.....necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
- 2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
- 3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot 16, 17 and 18 Block 5

Subdivision La Mesa Colony

James T. Miller

6848 El Cajon Boulevard

may be used for the erection and operation of 7-unit addition to existing Motel.

subject to the following conditions

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
City of San Diego, California

Dated February 14, 1947

By _____

Chairman

Application Received 2/3/47 By Garrish
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kernigan, & Buxton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Decision of Council

Resolution becomes effective

Application Withdrawn

Time limit extended to

[Faint, mostly illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page. Some words like "Resolution" and "Committee" are faintly visible.]

RESOLUTION NO. 2041

WHEREAS, Application No. 4487 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. L. Butterfield to build one single family residence on the South 80 ft. of the North 160 ft. of the South 520.04 ft. of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 104, Rancho de la Nacion, lying west of Mariposa Street and north of 4th Avenue in National City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 2/3/47 By Burton
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kenyon & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of the City of San Diego, California, this 3rd day of February, 1947.

Mayor

City Clerk

WHEREFORE, it is requested that the Zoning Commission of the City of San Diego, California, do the following:

1. That the Commission do the following: (a) ...
2. That the Commission do the following: (b) ...
3. That the Commission do the following: (c) ...

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of the City of San Diego, California, this 3rd day of February, 1947.

City Clerk

WHEREAS, Application No. 4486 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. G. Ingle to build one single family residence on the South 80 ft. of the North 240 ft. of the South 520 ft. of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 104, Rancho de la Nacion, lying west of Mariposa Street and north of 4th Avenue in National City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/3/47 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kernigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
Date 2/13/47

Decision Approved Building Inspector 2/17/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 Assessor

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Continued to _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Date of action _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____
Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4192 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. G. Paige to build one single family residence on the North 80 ft. of the South 520 ft. of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 104, Rancho de la Nacion, lying west of Mariposa Street and north of 4th Avenue in National City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/3/47 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kerrison + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 *Assessor*

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4518 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William and Catherine Chapman to erect one single family residence on the North 80 ft. of the South 280.005' of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 104, Rancho de la Nacion, lying west of Mariposa Street and north of 4th Avenue in National City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/10/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark Kenyon + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
Date 2/13/47

Decision approved Building Inspector 2/17/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 + Assessor

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____
Continued to _____

Decision of Council _____
Date of action _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____

Time limit extended to _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4517 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. W. and Mae A. Boston to erect one single family residence on the North 80 ft. of the South 200.005 ft. of the SW $\frac{1}{2}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 10⁴, Rancho de la Nacion, lying west of Mariposa Street and north of 4th Avenue in National City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

1119-20

WHEREAS, Application No. 41149 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. R. Merchant, owner and P. E. and Lena Thomas, purchasers, to divide into a building site a 150 ft. by 295 ft. portion of Lot 21, Eureka Lemon Tract (description on file in the office of the Planning Department, subject to the following conditions:

1. That if and when Ticonderoga Street is widened the owners of the above described parcel of land will grant an easement across the front of their property, 30 ft. in width, to be used for street purposes;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and purchasers and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
~~Chairman~~ Chairman

Agreement # 451 filed 2/14/47

Application Received 2-4-47 By South
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Henigan & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
 Date 2/13/47

Decision Cond. Approval Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 ✓ Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, the applicant has applied for a change in the zoning classification of the property described in the petition and the Commission has considered the same and has found that the same is in the public interest and that the same should be granted;

and that the Commission has no objection to the same and that the same is in the public interest and that the same should be granted;

and that the Commission has no objection to the same and that the same is in the public interest and that the same should be granted;

and that the Commission has no objection to the same and that the same is in the public interest and that the same should be granted;

WHEREFORE, the undersigned hereby petition the Zoning Commission of the City of San Diego, California, to grant the same and to amend the zoning map of the City of San Diego, California, to conform to the same.

1. That the granting of the same will not adversely affect the public health, safety and general welfare of the City of San Diego, California.

2. That the granting of the same will not be in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

3. That the granting of the same will not be in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

4. That the granting of the same will not be in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

5. That the granting of the same will not be in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

6. That the granting of the same will not be in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned hereby petition the Zoning Commission of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has been considered by the Zoning Commission of the City of San Diego, California, and the same is hereby granted.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4534 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Boyd E. and Phyllis A. Chambers to construct one single family residence on the South $\frac{1}{2}$ of Villa Lot 50, Normal Heights, on the east side of Cliff Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13594, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/11/47 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kernigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47
Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

326

WHEREAS, Application No. 4509 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. S. and Rosa L. Brown to erect one single family residence on all of Lot 26 and the Sly $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 27, Block 9, Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, on the west side of Bellevue Avenue, approximately 440 ft. north of Bird Rock Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/5/47 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kenyon & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
Date 2/13/47

Decision Approved Building Inspector 2/17/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/5/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 Assessor

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

Whereas the City of San Diego is desirous of maintaining the
character of the neighborhood of _____
and the health, safety and general welfare of the community
it is hereby ordered that the _____
be and the same shall be subject to the same
as the _____

WHEREFORE, it is resolved by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego

1. That the _____
2. That the _____
3. That the _____
4. That the _____

of the City of San Diego, California, and the ordinance hereunto referred to (see Section _____)
has been considered by the Zoning Committee

WHEREAS, Application No. 4194 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl W. Compton to build a building attached to an existing church which has a 15 ft. rear yard at 4967 - 69th Street on Lots 1 and 2, Block 8, La Mesa Colony.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
Secretary/Chairman

Application Received 2/5/47 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kenyon & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/12/47
Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from a resolution document.]

- 2 -

WHEREAS, Application No. 4503 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. H. Kidwell to move in store building and attach to existing residence with a 2 ft. sideyard at 4755 Voltaire Street on Lots 38 and 39, Block 13, Ocean Beach Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/1/47 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kenijan & Buxton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 4435 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louie Marcus to manufacture Plastic Ornaments and Novelties at 315 East Fir Street on Lot A, Block 226, Horton's Addition, approximately 4 hours per day, provided no signs are posted on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/22/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/3/47 By Clark, Kerigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
Date 2/13/46

Decision Cond'l Approval Building Inspector 2/17/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 + Assessor

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____
Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4432 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles Laurentius and Mollie S. Berg, to split the Southerly one-half of Lot 2, Block 152, La Playa, on the east side of San Elijo Street, approximately 75 ft. south of Owen Street, and to construct a single family residence; parcel 75 ft. by 150 ft. in size.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/29/47 By Ross
 City Planning Department
 Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kerrigan & Burton
 City Planning Department
 Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 2/13/47
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47
 Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 + Assessor
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 4504 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to build a church at Marlborough and Orange Streets on Lots 1 to 6 inclusive, Block 17, Teralta, Subdivision of Lots 20 to 50, Block N, with a 10 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 3, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 14, , 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 2/3/47 By Coppock
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kenyon & Buxton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
Date 2/13/47

Decision Approved Building Inspector 2/17/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 Assessor

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Continued to _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Date of action _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____
Date of action _____

WHEREAS, the applicant has applied for a change of zoning classification for the property described in the petition, and the Commission has considered the application and the evidence presented and has determined that the proposed change is in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

WHEREFORE, the Commission hereby resolves that the zoning classification for the property described in the petition be changed from _____ to _____.

1. That the Commission has considered the application and the evidence presented and has determined that the proposed change is in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

2. That the Commission has considered the application and the evidence presented and has determined that the proposed change is in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

3. That the Commission has considered the application and the evidence presented and has determined that the proposed change is in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

4. That the Commission has considered the application and the evidence presented and has determined that the proposed change is in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

5. That the Commission has considered the application and the evidence presented and has determined that the proposed change is in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

6. That the Commission has considered the application and the evidence presented and has determined that the proposed change is in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

7. That the Commission has considered the application and the evidence presented and has determined that the proposed change is in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

8. That the Commission has considered the application and the evidence presented and has determined that the proposed change is in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

9. That the Commission has considered the application and the evidence presented and has determined that the proposed change is in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

10. That the Commission has considered the application and the evidence presented and has determined that the proposed change is in the public interest and that the same should be granted.

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 4445 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
- 2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
- 3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot 6 Block 146

Subdivision Middletown

Joe F. and Ruth C. Bruggeman

3505 Kettner Boulevard

may be used for the erection and operation of 4-unit court or Auto Court

subject to the following conditions

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated February 14, 1947

By Secretary Chairman

Application Received 1/28/47 By Baughman
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kenyon & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date
 Decision Approved Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/15/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47
 Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
 Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective Continued to

Application Withdrawn Date of action

Time limit extended to Date of action

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, including phrases like 'MEMORANDUM', 'ZONING COMMITTEE', and 'CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT']

WHEREAS, Application No. 4478 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gladys and Orlan L. Bradley to erect a duplex on the south side of San Luis Rey, east of Mission Boulevard, on Lot G, Block 10, Mission Beach, with 56.6% coverage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 15, , 19 47

By _____ ~~Chairman~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/29/47 By South
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kerigan + Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 2/13/47
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/17/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47
 Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 + Assessor
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

PROCES

NO. 10

Resolution adopted by the Zoning Commission of the City of San Diego, California, on the 13th day of February, 1947, in relation to the application of _____ for a change of zoning from _____ to _____, and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

WHEREAS, the Zoning Commission of the City of San Diego, California, has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an application from _____ for a change of zoning from _____ to _____, and the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

1. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

2. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

3. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

4. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

5. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

6. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

7. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

8. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

9. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

10. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

11. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

12. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

13. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

14. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

15. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

16. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

17. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

18. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

19. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

20. That the Commission has considered the application and the Commission's recommendation that the application be approved.

303

WHEREAS, Application No. 4446 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of R. L. and Helen O. Ullum to alter a garage to living quarters with a 1 ft. sideyard and an 8 ft. rear yard at 737 Jamaica Court, on Lot D, Block 103, Mission Beach, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 15, 1947

By [Signature] Chairman

Application Received 1/20/47 By South
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kenyon & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 + 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
 Date 2/13/47

Decision denied Building Inspector 2/17/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 + Assessor

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Council Hearing, date _____
 Date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____
 Date _____

Decision of Council _____
 Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
 Date _____

Application withdrawn _____
 Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____
 Date of action _____

RECORDED
 INDEXED

RESOLUTION NO. 10000

WHEREAS, the Board of Health of the City of San Diego, California, has adopted a resolution to amend the Health Ordinance of the City of San Diego, California, to read as follows:

SECTION 1. The Board of Health of the City of San Diego, California, hereby amends the Health Ordinance of the City of San Diego, California, to read as follows:

SECTION 2. The Board of Health of the City of San Diego, California, hereby amends the Health Ordinance of the City of San Diego, California, to read as follows:

RESOLUTION NO. 10000

WHEREAS, the Board of Health of the City of San Diego, California, has adopted a resolution to amend the Health Ordinance of the City of San Diego, California, to read as follows:

SECTION 1. The Board of Health of the City of San Diego, California, hereby amends the Health Ordinance of the City of San Diego, California, to read as follows:

SECTION 2. The Board of Health of the City of San Diego, California, hereby amends the Health Ordinance of the City of San Diego, California, to read as follows:

SECTION 3. The Board of Health of the City of San Diego, California, hereby amends the Health Ordinance of the City of San Diego, California, to read as follows:

SECTION 4. The Board of Health of the City of San Diego, California, hereby amends the Health Ordinance of the City of San Diego, California, to read as follows:

SECTION 5. The Board of Health of the City of San Diego, California, hereby amends the Health Ordinance of the City of San Diego, California, to read as follows:

SECTION 6. The Board of Health of the City of San Diego, California, hereby amends the Health Ordinance of the City of San Diego, California, to read as follows:

SECTION 7. The Board of Health of the City of San Diego, California, hereby amends the Health Ordinance of the City of San Diego, California, to read as follows:

SECTION 8. The Board of Health of the City of San Diego, California, hereby amends the Health Ordinance of the City of San Diego, California, to read as follows:

SECTION 9. The Board of Health of the City of San Diego, California, hereby amends the Health Ordinance of the City of San Diego, California, to read as follows:

SECTION 10. The Board of Health of the City of San Diego, California, hereby amends the Health Ordinance of the City of San Diego, California, to read as follows:

Letter received February 7, 1947

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1944, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. Peterson, owner and Ed Ristau and Bill Casteel, lessees, to construct a 12 ft. by 24 ft. Quonset building for a Paint Shop in the rear of an existing non-conforming Auto Repair Shop at 3335 - 30th Street, on the South 6 in. of Lot 14, all of Lot 15, and except the South 1 ft. of the East 30 ft. of Lot 16, Block 1, Frary Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 15, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Letter Application Received 2-7-47 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 2/13/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/17/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47
Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mostly illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREFORE BE IT REQUESTED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
of San Diego
1. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to amend the Zoning Ordinance of the City of San Diego to read as follows:
2. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to amend the Zoning Ordinance of the City of San Diego to read as follows:
3. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to amend the Zoning Ordinance of the City of San Diego to read as follows:
4. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to amend the Zoning Ordinance of the City of San Diego to read as follows:
5. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to amend the Zoning Ordinance of the City of San Diego to read as follows:
6. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to amend the Zoning Ordinance of the City of San Diego to read as follows:
7. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to amend the Zoning Ordinance of the City of San Diego to read as follows:
8. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to amend the Zoning Ordinance of the City of San Diego to read as follows:
9. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to amend the Zoning Ordinance of the City of San Diego to read as follows:
10. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to amend the Zoning Ordinance of the City of San Diego to read as follows:

RESOLUTION NO. 2058 (Amends Res. No. 1991)

315

plans submitted have
WHEREAS, ~~Application No. #~~ ~~has~~ been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1991 be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred and Josephine Diodati to add three living units to an eight unit court, by altering garage, with 4 ft. between units and a 13 ft. rear yard, at 915 Beryl Street, on the East 10 ft. of Lot 3 and all of Lots 4, 5, 6, Block 81, Pacific Beach, provided the architecture of the area way is changed and the parapet lowered, as suggested by the Zoning Committee.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series and Section 5a, of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 15, , 19 47 By _____
Secretary Chairman

Plans Application Received 2/13/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 2/19/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/17/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 *Assessor*

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

[Faint, mostly illegible text, likely a resolution or report body]

157

WHEREAS, Application No. 4476 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. M. and Ethel B. Anderson, to divide and to construct a single family residence on the North 260 ft. of the South 662 ft. of the West 330 ft. of Lot 16, Ex-Mission Lands, of Horton's Purchase, access to the property being by a 50 ft. easement from "A" Street, east of 49th Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. That if and when the city wishes to put a street through this unsubdivided portion of land, the owner will then grant a 50 ft. easement to the city, the center line of which will be 145 ft. east of the west line of the above described property;
2. That no structures will be built on the above described easement;
3. And that an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement # 448 + 450
filed 2/18/47*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 15, , 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 1/28/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kemjian + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Cond. Approval Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/17/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 ✓ Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mostly illegible text from the reverse side of the page, including a resolution number and committee details.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4477 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. G. and Irene Boronda, to divide and to construct a single family residence on the North 260 ft. of the South 662 ft. of the East 330 ft. of the West 660 ft. of Lot 16, Ex-Mission Lands, of Horton's Purchase, access to the property being by a 50 ft. easement from "A" Street, east of 49th Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. That if and when the city wishes to put a street through this unsubdivided portion of land, the owner will then grant a 50 ft. easement to the city, the center line of which will be 175 ft. west of the east line of the above described property;
2. That no structures will be built on the above described easement;
3. And that an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series and Section 12, of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement # 449 + 450
filed 2/18/47*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 15, , 19 47

By _____
Secretary *Chairman*

Application Received 1/28/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kernigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
Date 2/13/47

Decision Condit Approval Building Inspector 2/17/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 + Assessor

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____
Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 3911 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Georgeon to divide the east 100 ft. of Lots 7 to 11 inclusive, including a 10 ft. street closing and the east 100 ft. of the south 1.5 ft. of Lot 12, including a 10 ft. street closing, Block 33, University Heights (assessed as Lots 8 and 9, Assessor's Map No. 30) into two parcels to permit the construction of one single family residence on each parcel, provided a minimum setback of 5 ft. is maintained on Massachusetts Street and the regular setback on Madison Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 15, 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 1/27/47 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kemjan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
Date 2/13/47

Decision Condl Approval Building Inspector 2/17/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 *Assessor*

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

[Faint, mostly illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego

has recommended that the Commission should

approve the application of _____

to change the zoning of _____

from _____ to _____

and that the Commission should

approve the application of _____

to change the zoning of _____

from _____ to _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2062
(Extension of Res. No. 1578)

Letter dated February 3, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the date of this resolution be granted to Harry R. Clingman to build and operate an adobe brick plant at Fairmount and Ghollas Road on Lot 3 of the Southeast 1/4 Ex-Mission Lands (Horton's Purchase) ~~as~~ as originally granted by Resolution No. 1578, dated June 6, 1946; bricks to be sold wholesale.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 15, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Letter Application Received 2/4/47 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Ext. Approved Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/17/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 + Assessment

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page, including a header that appears to say 'RESOLUTION NO. ...']

WHEREAS, Application No. 4467 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Orville G., Evelyn N., Helen M., and James C. Purpus, to build and operate a 9-unit court at Palomar and La Jolla Boulevard, on Lots 29 to 36 inclusive, Block 13, La Jolla Strand.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 15, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/4/47 By Baughman
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13/47 By Clark, Kenigin & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/17/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47
 Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

Letter dated January 20, 1947

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That permission be granted to George W. Kottenburg to maintain a 24 ft. by 40 ft. building on Lot 11, Block 29, Roseville, for storing lumber in connection with the building of boats now conducted on Lots 7-10, Block 29, Roseville, for a period of two years from the date of this resolution, permission originally granted by Resolution No. 155, Dated July 31, 1942.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 15, , 19 47

By _____
~~Chairman~~ Chairman

Res. No. 2064

Letter Application Received 1-20-47 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____
Date 2/13/47

Decision Cond. Approval Building Inspector 2/17/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 Assessor

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

Faded, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.

WHEREAS, the following is the zoning ordinance of the City of San Diego:

- 1. That the zoning ordinance of the City of San Diego...
- 2. That the zoning ordinance of the City of San Diego...
- 3. That the zoning ordinance of the City of San Diego...
- 4. That the zoning ordinance of the City of San Diego...
- 5. That the zoning ordinance of the City of San Diego...

WHEREAS, the following is the zoning ordinance of the City of San Diego...

Letter dated January 20, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That permission be granted to George W. Kettenburg to maintain a 22 ft. by 40 ft. building on Lots 7 and 8, Block 29, Roseville for painting and general use in connection with the building of boats now conducted on the property, for a period of two years from the date of this resolution, permission originally granted by Resolution No. 176, dated August 12, 1942.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 15, , 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Letter Application Received 1/20/47 By City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 2/13/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/17/47 Building Inspector 2/17/47

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Petitioner 2/17/47 Health Department 2/17/47 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

CONSIDERED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

WHEREAS THE ZONING COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

of San Diego

1. That the proposed use of the premises will not adversely affect the public health of the City

2. That the proposed use of the premises will not be injurious to the neighborhood

3. That the proposed use of the premises will not be in violation of any ordinance

4. That the proposed use of the premises will not be in violation of any ordinance

5. That the proposed use of the premises will not be in violation of any ordinance

6. That the proposed use of the premises will not be in violation of any ordinance

7. That the proposed use of the premises will not be in violation of any ordinance

WHEREAS, Application No. 4498 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Weseman to build a fireplace adjacent to the side lot line at 862 Prospect Street on Lot 27, Block 55, La Jolla Park, and remodel rear building into living quarters with a 1 ft. sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Conditions pertaining to Zone Variance, Resolution No. 2066, are as follows:

1. Front porch stairs to be removed; 2nd floor door to be converted back into a window and the porch railing stained to match the rest of the building;
2. Second floor to be converted back to bedrooms;
3. Kitchen on second floor to be eliminated;
4. Steel grill and wire mesh over roof windows required by ordinance;
5. Stucco inside and outside of wall on rear of building adjacent to lot line;
6. Close up window and stucco space on this wall;
7. Stucco dormer to be painted to match the roof color;
8. The premises must be cleaned up, generally.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 17, 1947

By _____

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/29/47 By Riik
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/29/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/29/47 Hearing date _____
Date 1/29/47

Decision Approved Building Inspector 2/17/47
Health Department 2/17/47 + Assessor

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/17/47 Petitioner _____
Council Hearing, date _____

Planning Commission 2/17/47 Date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____
Continued to _____
Date of action _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____

Time limit extended to _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1000

RESOLUTION NO. 1000

RESOLUTION NO. 1000

WHEREAS, Application No. 4178 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David Hogarth to excavate 1200 cu. yards of fill dirt and remove, east of 2802 Market Street on Lot 3, Block 1, Hoitt's Addition, to grade lot to a usable level.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 25, , 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee _____ Hearing date _____
Decision _____ Date _____

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/25/47 Building Inspector _____
Planning Commission _____ Petitioner _____ Health Department

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4403 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jesse and Adda Stretts to construct approximately a 9 ft. by 21 ft. addition to an existing residence at 3921 Normal Avenue on the Westerly 68.50 ft. of Lots 23 and 24, Block 191, University Heights; addition to be used as a beauty parlor; provided the architecture of the addition conforms with the existing dwelling.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, , 1947

By _____
~~Chairman~~ Chairman

Application Received 1/30/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/13 + 2/26/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/13 + 2/26/47 Hearing date _____
Date 2/26/47

Decision Condl Approval Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 + Admin

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____
Time limit extended to _____

[Faint, mostly illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page. Some words like "Resolution" and "Committee" are visible.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4514 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph J. and Flora W. Davis to erect a residence on the Southeast corner of Edgewater and Rancho Drive on the Nly 60 ft. of Lot 1 and the Nly 60 ft. of the Wly 10 ft. of Lot 2, Block 25, Paradise Hills, with a 21 ft. setback on Edgewater Avenue and a 15 ft. setback on Rancho Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, , 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/5/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellev + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____
Date 2/26/47

Decision Condi Approval Building Inspector 2/28/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 + answer

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

RECORD NO. _____
PAGE NO. _____

WHEREAS the applicant desires to use the property mentioned above
for the purpose of the location of a building as shown on the plat

and the same is in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance
of the City of San Diego, California, and the applicant has
complied with all the requirements of said ordinance and the
provisions of the ordinance of the City of San Diego, California,
and the applicant has complied with all the requirements of said ordinance

WHEREFORE the applicant requests that the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego
recommend that the property mentioned above be zoned _____

and that the applicant be permitted to use the property mentioned above
for the purpose of the location of a building as shown on the plat

and that the applicant be permitted to use the property mentioned above
for the purpose of the location of a building as shown on the plat

and that the applicant be permitted to use the property mentioned above
for the purpose of the location of a building as shown on the plat

and that the applicant be permitted to use the property mentioned above
for the purpose of the location of a building as shown on the plat

and that the applicant be permitted to use the property mentioned above
for the purpose of the location of a building as shown on the plat

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the applicant has hereunto set his hand and seal
this _____ day of _____, 1947.

RESOLUTION NO. _____

D.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 2070

WHEREAS, Application No. 4488 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lillian M. Gabbs, to build a residence and a guest residence, for Mother only, on Lot 15, Block 1, Warner Villa Tract, on Dudley Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the guest residence will be occupied by the Mother only, and will not be a rental;
2. That at such time as the guest residence is vacated by the Mother, it will then be converted to a legal use;
3. And that an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement # 452
filed 3/5/47*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, 19 47

By _____
Secretary/Chairman

Application Received 2/6/47 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 50107

WHEREAS, the Zoning Commission of the City of San Diego
has recommended that the following be adopted as a resolution:
1. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to
2. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to
3. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to
4. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to
5. That the Commission of the City of San Diego be authorized to

RESOLUTION NO. 2071

WHEREAS, Application No. 4505 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vernon L. and Marie J. Embury, owners and E. A. Sisson, lessee, to operate a graphic art studio in an existing garage and storage building at 4327 Marlborough Avenue on Lots 17 and 18, Block 5, Wilshire Place, subject to the following conditions:

1. No signs;
2. Permit to be for the above named owner and lessee, only;
3. Permission granted for one (1) year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/7/47 By Baughman
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellers & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/6/47 Hearing date _____
 Decision Cond'd Approval Date 2/6/47
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47
 Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 + answer
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2072

WHEREAS, Application No. 4450 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stanley H. and Cosette H. Sessions to divide the East 130 ft. of Lot 3, G. M. Doty's Addition at the corner of Lamont and Malden Streets into two building sites, to permit one single family residence on each parcel, neither lot to be less than 60 ft. in width and fronting on Malden Street; minimum of 15 ft. setback to be observed on both streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

1st Sp. T
Feb 20-1947

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2-7-47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellow + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____
Date 2/26/47

Decision Approved Building Inspector 2/28/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 + Assessor

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Resolution No. 12-10-47
The Commission on the Zoning of the City of San Diego
has considered the application of the City Planning Department
for a change in the zoning of the property located at
the intersection of 16th Street and Broadway, San Diego,
California, from the present zoning of R-1 to R-2.
The Commission has found that the proposed change is
in the public interest and is consistent with the
general plan of the City of San Diego.

RESOLUTION NO. 12-10-47
ON MARCH 10, 1947
The Commission on the Zoning of the City of San Diego
has considered the application of the City Planning Department
for a change in the zoning of the property located at
the intersection of 16th Street and Broadway, San Diego,
California, from the present zoning of R-1 to R-2.
The Commission has found that the proposed change is
in the public interest and is consistent with the
general plan of the City of San Diego.

G.K.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4529 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sherman-Gray, Inc., to divide Lots 7, 8 and 9, Block 96, Point Loma Heights at Orchard and Guizot Streets into three new parcels, according to plat submitted, provided a minimum setback of 15 ft. is maintained on Guizot Street, and ~~the~~ required setback on Orchard Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, , 19 47

By _____

~~Signature~~ Chairman

Res. No. 2073

Application Received 2/10/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellevy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 + Amended

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

RESOLUTION NO. 5012

WHEREAS, the City Planning Commission has recommended that the following be adopted as a resolution of the City of San Diego:

1. That the Commission do hereby recommend that the following be adopted as a resolution of the City of San Diego:
2. That the Commission do hereby recommend that the following be adopted as a resolution of the City of San Diego:
3. That the Commission do hereby recommend that the following be adopted as a resolution of the City of San Diego:
4. That the Commission do hereby recommend that the following be adopted as a resolution of the City of San Diego:

RESOLUTION NO. 5012

G.K

WHEREAS, Application No. 4470 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego, a Corporation, Sole, to construct an addition to the Mausoleum at 4470 Hilltop Drive on the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Lot 26, Horton's Purchase, to total size of 220 ft. by 166 ft., to include 3255 additional crypts.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, 19 47

By _____ ~~Chairman~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/14/47 By Halsaj
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/28/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Resolution No. 11 adopted by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego
on the 11th day of February, 1947, in accordance with the provisions of the
City Charter, Chapter 1, Article 10, Section 10-10, and the provisions of the
City Ordinance No. 100,000, adopted on the 11th day of February, 1947,
relating to the zoning of the City of San Diego, and the provisions of the
City Ordinance No. 100,000, adopted on the 11th day of February, 1947,
relating to the zoning of the City of San Diego.

RESOLUTION NO. 11 ADOPTED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
ON THE 11TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1947, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE
CITY CHARTER, CHAPTER 1, ARTICLE 10, SECTION 10-10, AND THE PROVISIONS OF THE
CITY ORDINANCE NO. 100,000, ADOPTED ON THE 11TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1947,
RELATING TO THE ZONING OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, AND THE PROVISIONS OF THE
CITY ORDINANCE NO. 100,000, ADOPTED ON THE 11TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1947,
RELATING TO THE ZONING OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.

O.R.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4546 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. D. and Hazel R. Myrick to construct a 12' by 15' rumpus room addition to an existing 18'x 20' garage with a 1 ft. sideyard at 4556 Del Mar Avenue on Lots 10 and 11, Block 10, Ocean Beach, provided the architecture of the addition conforms with the existing building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, , 19 47

By _____
~~*****~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/14/47 By Ross
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellev & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47
 Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 + Asseman

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

(Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from a resolution or report.)

(Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from a resolution or report.)

RESOLUTION NO. _____

85389

extended long Res 86996 (filed after 2489)

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Albert J. Ross and Beatrice Ross, 739 - 30th Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 2076 their Application No. 4427, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3310 N.S., to permit the operation of an Oil and Gas Service Station at the address mentioned, on Lots 19 to 24 Block 97 E. W. Morse's Addition, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby overruled.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. _____ of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council _____

85389

MAR 11 1947

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk.

F. T. PATTEN

By _____

Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4427 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Albert J. and Beatrice Ross to operate an Oil and Gas Service Station at 739 - 30th Street on Lots 19 to 24 inclusive, Block 97, E. W. Morse's Addition, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3310, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

See Res. 85389 + 86996

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/17/47 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellev + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 85390

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Irene Clark, 4682 Polk Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 2077 her Application No. 4429, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, to permit operation of a part-time beauty shop in an existing residence at the address mentioned, on the East 55 feet of Lots 24 and 25 Block 2 Chester Park, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby overruled.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 85390 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council MAR 11 1947

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk.
By F. T. PATTEN
Deputy.

See Res # 85390 preceding
O.K.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4429 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Irene Clark to operate a part time Beauty Shop in an existing residence at 4682 Polk Street on the East 55 ft. of Lots 24 and 25, Block 3, Chester Park, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, 1947

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 2/17/47 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellev + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Denied Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47
Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4553 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of James H. Mc Daniel to operate a Fix-it Shop for sharpening and repairing lawn mowers, saws, knives, etc., in an existing garage at 3927 Meade Avenue on the West 50 ft. of Lots 45 and 46, Block 48, W. P. Herbert's, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, , 19 47

By _____
Secretary / Chairman

Application Received 2/18/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 *Ames*

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4549 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. B. Bennett to construct an apartment over an existing attached garage at 2755 Mission Boulevard on Lot "S", Block 24, Mission Beach and maintain existing 9 ft. 8 in. rear yard for both garage and apartment.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, , 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/18/47 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 2080

WHEREAS, Application No. 4551 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to N. W. Bone to erect two residences, one on each lot, Silverado Place, 150 ft. east of Ivanhoe Street on Lots 7 and 8, Block 50, La Jolla Park, with a 15 ft. setback from the front property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, , 1947

By _____
Secretary ~~Chairman~~

Application Received 2/18/47 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark Sellen + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

0.4

WHEREAS, Application No. 4550 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Challenge Cream & Butter Ass'n, E. E. Horn, Manager, to make a 95 ft. by 12 ft. 10 in. addition to existing Creamery Refrigeration Plant at 4600 - 6th Street on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1118, provided the addition is painted white to conform with the existing buildings.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/19/47 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellev & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

WHEREAS, Application No. 4557 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Milo A. Yergich to close in porch, add new siding and install new foundation to residence at 2861 "B" Street on Lots 7 and 8, Block 63, E. W. Morse's Subdivision, with an 18 in. sideyard on the west side, provided an addition is constructed on the east side of the building to extend at least one foot over the lot line and the eaves of the existing building cut back.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, , 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Application Received 2/19/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark Sellow + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 Oneman

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

Letter dated February 24, 1947

WHEREAS, ~~Amended~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2066, dated February 17, 1947, be amended to eliminate condition No. 2 and 3, provided a new stairway is built on the inside of the dwelling to the 2nd floor satisfactory to the Building Inspector and no changes made on the exterior; also amend items 5 and 6, in part, provided the bedroom wall is moved to be 3 ft. away from the lot line and the living room wall to be stuccoed inside and outside, adjacent to the lot line. The property is owned by Mrs. John Weseman, Lot 27, Block 55, La Jolla Park, 862 Prospect Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 5a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

6-K

Letter
Application Received 2/26/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Amends Previous Res. Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

RESOLUTION NO. 85391

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of C. Britt and H. U. Parker, 4628 Park Boulevard, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 2084 their Application No. 4485, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, to provide for setback variance to permit erection of a residence and garage on the east side of Panorama Drive, 150 feet north of Adams Avenue on Villa Lot 382 Valle Vista Terrace, with a 7-foot setback, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 85391 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council MAR 11 1947

FRED W. SICK

E. T. PATTEN City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

*See Res 85391
preceding 11*

WHEREAS, Application No. 4485 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Clarence Britt and Harry Parker to erect residence and garage on the east side of Panorama Drive, 150 ft. north of Adams Avenue on Villa Lot 382, Valle Vista Terrace, with a 7 ft. setback, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/5/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark Sellow & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____
Decision denied Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47
Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 Admission

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

78 0281
V ALLIANCE

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text]

[Faint, illegible text, possibly a letter or report]

RESOLUTION NO. 2085

WHEREAS, Application No. 4516 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Russell H. Segel to construct a 4-unit court on the south side of El Cajon Boulevard, 50' east of Dawson St. on the East 46.6 ft. of the North 125 ft. of Lot 4, Lemon Villa Tract, with a 5 ft. access court, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That a usable stairway from the front entrances down to the open area at the rear be constructed;
- 2. Either the 20 ft. strip at the rear be dedicated as an alley or it will be retained in the same ownership as the above described property;
- 3. The 20 ft. strip will not be built upon;
- 4. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, b, and c, is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement #453
filed 3/12/47*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/6/47 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellev & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____
Date _____

Decision Cond'l Approval Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 Ammer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____
Date of action _____

[Faint, mostly illegible text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, mostly illegible text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

9K,

WHEREAS, Application No. 4507 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hugo B. and Amy G. Wickstrom to erect a garage 62 ft. from the front property line with a 3 ft. sideyard at 4715 - 51st Street on Lot 95, Talmadge Park Manor No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/8/47 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark Sellen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

C.R.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4521 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Lillian W. Connet to move in a building and alter to residence, corner of San Vicente and Jamacha Road on a portion of Lot 12, Rancho Ex-Mission, Horton's Purchase (description on file in Planning Dept. Office), a parcel of land with no street frontage, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, , 19 47

By _____

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/8/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellen + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Denied Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47
Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

2K

WHEREAS, Application No. 4523 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. W. Schmidt to construct a bedroom addition to existing residence with a 2 ft. sideyard at 4513 - 38th Street, on Lot 7, Block I, Teralta Heights Subdivision No. 2, provided the addition complies with the yard requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, , 19 47

By _____

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/13/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Clark, Sellev + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mostly illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint, mostly illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

O.K.

WHEREAS, ~~Application No. _____~~ ^{Letter dated February 18, 1947} has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1748, dated September 12, 1946, be granted to Mr. Disbrow Johnson and Benita S. Johnson, to erect a residence on Lot D, Plumosa Terrace, 4328 Plumosa Way, with a 2 ft. setback for the residence and a 0 ft. setback for the garage on Plumosa Way.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27, 19 47

By _____
Secretary Chairman

Letter
Application Received 2/19/47 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Ext. of b.ms. Approved Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2/28/47 Building Inspector 2/28/47

Planning Commission 2/28/47 Petitioner 2/28/47 Health Department 2/28/47 Admission

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mostly illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 2090

WHEREAS, Application No. 4117 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. P. Kesling to construct a second duplex on Lots 22 and 23, Block 3, La Jolla Park at 7438-40 Cuvier Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 3, , 19 47

By _____
~~*****~~ Chairman

Application Received 2-3-47 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2-13-47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2-13-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 2-13-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/3/47 Building Inspector 3/3/47

Planning Commission 3/3/47 Petitioner 3/3/47 Health Department 3/3/47 Admission

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Large block of very faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

o.k.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4542 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. T. Wollgast to construct a 20 ft. by 24 ft. private garage and store room in the rear of Lot "K", Block 239, Horton's Addition (a vacant lot) on the west side of 2nd Avenue, 50 ft. south of Hawthorne Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 3, 1947

By _____
~~Chairman~~ Chairman

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 4556 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
- 2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
- 3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot All of Block 518

Subdivision Old San Diego

Cecil C. and Wm. C. Thompson

2330 La Jolla Avenue

may be used for the erection and operation of five additional units to an

existing Auto Court, making a total of 40 units.

subject to the following conditions final approval by the Building Department

and Health Department.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated March 3, 194 7

By Chairman

Application Received 2-19-47 By Parrish
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 2-26-47 By Clark Sellev & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2-26-47 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 2-26-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/3/47 Building Inspector 3/3/47

Planning Commission 3/3/47 Petitioner 3/3/47 Health Department 3/3/47 Amerson

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application Withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

DATE: 2-26-47

TO: CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

FROM: CLARK SELLEV & BURTON

SUBJECT: [Illegible]

RE: [Illegible]

On 2-19-47, an application was received from [Illegible] for a [Illegible] in [Illegible].

The application was reviewed by the City Planning Department on 2-26-47. The [Illegible] was found to be in compliance with the [Illegible].

It is recommended that the [Illegible] be approved.

Very truly yours,
 Clark Sellev & Burton

RESOLUTION NO. 2093

WHEREAS, Application No. 4544 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Irwin and Gladys Rooder to alter an existing residence and utility room into a duplex residence, making the third living unit on the lots, on Lots 3 and 4, Block 114, Pacific Beach in Zone R-2, at 911 Law Street, and permitting the living units to be served by a court 5 ft. 6 in. in width.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series and to Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and are hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

A permit cannot be issued

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 13, , 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/17/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made Feb. 26, 1947 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 2/26/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/12/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47

Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

4537

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed ~~not~~ by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, Portions of ##### 305 & 306

That the following ~~Public Lots - lying SWly of Pacific Highway~~ Block

Subdivision ~~Duane and Nina P. Stafford~~

~~4002 Greenwood Street~~

continue operation of 64 unit trailer

~~park and make an addition of 36 units to the park.~~

that a fence be constructed around the property,

subject to the following ~~5 ft. high and a screening hedge planted and maintained in good condition at all~~

times; this work to be done within the next one year period; and a certificate of

occupancy to be submitted to the Planning Department, from the Health Department,

before the new addition to the trailer park is occupied.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated March 13, 1947

By Chairman

Application Received 2/11/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26/47 3/12/47 Hearing date

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 3/12/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/13/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47

Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 Classen

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mostly illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page. Some words like "RESOLUTION" and "PROPERTY" are visible.]

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 2095

WHEREAS, Application No. 4496 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Duana D. and Nina P. Stafford to continue to operate a 64 unit trailer park and make an addition of 36 units to the park, 4082 Greenwood Street, on the portion of Pueblo Lots 305 and 306 lying SWly of Pacific Highway, subject to the following conditions: that a fence be constructed around the property, 5 ft. high, and a screening hedge planted and maintained in good condition at all times; this work to be done within the next one year period; and a certificate of occupancy to be submitted to the Planning Department, from the Health Department, before the new addition to the trailer park is occupied.

A variance to the the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 13, , 1947

By _____
~~SEATTLE~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/11/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26 & 3/12/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Condi' approval Date 3/12/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/13/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47

Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 4536 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen Regina Clarke and Janice Helma Casey to make one bedroom addition to existing residence at ~~4440~~ Florida Street on the Ely 55 ft. of Lot 19 and the Ely 55 ft. of the Sly 13 ft. of Lot 20, Block 76, University Heights, with no sideyard on the south side and a 2½ ft. sideyard on the north side.

A variance to the provisions of 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 13, , 19 47

By _____
~~Chairman~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/13/47 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 2/26/47 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 2/26 + 3/12/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 3/12/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/13/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47

Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 + O'Connor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

APPROVED

FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING

BY _____

APPROVED

FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING

BY _____

APPROVED

FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING

BY _____

APPROVED

FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING

BY _____

APPROVED

FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING

BY _____

APPROVED

FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING

BY _____

APPROVED

FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING

BY _____

APPROVED

FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING

BY _____

APPROVED

FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING

BY _____

APPROVED

FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING

BY _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 2097

WHEREAS, Application No. 4447 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. C. Smith, owner and F. L. and G. E. Hebard, operators, to do commercial photography, printing and developing, in an existing garage at the rear of 4629 Greene Street on Lots 31 and 32, Block 23, Ocean Beach Park, approximately 4 hours per day, subject to the following conditions:

1. No signs;
2. No other employees;
3. To be operated by F. L. and G. E. Hebard, only;
4. Permit to be for a period of one year from the date of this resolution;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 13, , 19 47

By _____

~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/20/47 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/12/47 By Clark, Kenzler & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Condit Appeal Date 3/12/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/12/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47
Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 + Cassman

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 4563 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. ~~Edhel~~ V. Parker to operate general business office at 321 Spruce Street on Lot A, Block 358, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12978, be, and ~~it~~ is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 13, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/21/47 By Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/12/47 By Clark, Kernison & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 3/12/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/13/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47

Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

[Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, likely bleed-through from another document.]

o.k

RESOLUTION NO. 2099

WHEREAS, Application No. 4548 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. A. Bachman, owner and H. V. Kretsch and G. D. Stecker, purchasers, to construct six units of an 18 unit apartment building in the 2100 Block on Balboa Avenue, all of Block 241, Pacific Beach, north of the alley, except the East 100 ft., with a 10 ft. setback on Balboa Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 13, , 19 47

By _____
~~Chairman~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/24/47 By Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/12/47 By Clark, Kernigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 3/12/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/13/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47

Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 4567 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John B. and Beatrice L. Wilson to erect one single family residence on the S 63' of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the E $\frac{1}{2}$ of the the N $\frac{1}{2}$ (exc. the Wly 25') and the N 32' of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the E $\frac{1}{2}$ of the N $\frac{1}{2}$ of Pueblo Lot 1774 (exc. the Wly 25') a parcel of land 305 ft. by 145 ft., with no street frontage but with a 25 ft. easement as access to a dedicated street, subject to the following conditions:

1. That upon the City's request the owner of the above described property will then grant a 40 ft. easement for street purposes;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Section 12 of Ordinance No. 8924 and Ordinance No. 13294, be, and are hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*agreement # 454
led 3/13/47*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 13, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Chairman

Application Received 2/24/47 By South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3/12/47 By Clark, Kenyon & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47 Hearing date _____
Date 3/12/47

Decision Cond'l approval Date 3/12/47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/13/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47

Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____
Date of action _____