

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4238</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Margaret F. Kiel to maintain and operate a boarding and lodging house with a maximum of eight (8) guests, at 1605 - 28th Street on Lot 6, Block 9, South Park Addition, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The premises shall be maintained in a neat and orderly condition and comply with all housing and sanitation laws and regulations;
- 2. No signs shall be displayed on the premises except a small S x 10 inch sign placed in a window;
- 3. This permit is granted to the owner and operator requesting same, and shall not be transferable;
- 4. Permit to be for three (3) years from the date of this resolution;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 13, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By_

Res. No. 2101

and the second
percept 3 di la dia di la di
Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made By Clark Kenigan + Buston
Investigation madeBy Clark Kengen + Courton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47 Hearing date Decision Condit opposed Bate 3/12/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47 Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 V Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 V Commission 3/15/47 Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action
<pre>northotion is report whether to tar put 2.11 1046 whither is on the exhormuly three lot in every whether to tar put 2.11 1046 whither is on the builder of the latter to the state of the second to the second to the philosity of its of the lot of the latter to the second to the philosity of its of the latter to the latter to the second to the philosity of the latter to the latter to the second to the philosity of the latter to the latter to the second to the philosity of the latter to the latter to the second to the philosity of the latter to the latter to the latter to the philosity of the latter to the latter to the latter to the philosity of the latter to the latter to the latter to the philosity of the latter to the latter to the latter to the philosity of the latter to the latter t</pre>
interiore activities and the contraction of the sould be and the second se
terre and printed and a set and are and are and and a set
and bon - relevant of the spin
en lennerdt i berenstel alongensk ogsattel de lenner men berenstel en berenstel en berenstel Berensteller i berenstel alongensk ogsattel de lenner berensteller i berensteller i berensteller i berensteller Berensteller i berensteller i berensteller i berensteller i berensteller i berensteller i berensteller i berenste Berensteller i berensteller i berensteller Berensteller i berensteller i berenstelle Berensteller i berensteller i berenstelle Berensteller i berensteller i berensteller i berensteller i berenstell
All a sur an art taken a sur taken and taken and taken and taken and taken and taken a sur and taken a sur

WHEREAS, Application No. 4580 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u><u>nob</u></u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack and Laura Nelson to convert an existing garage at 1109-1113 South 43rd Street on Lots 3 and 4. Block "C", Highland Square, to a duplex, with 63 inches between dwellings.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 13, , 19 47

By_

Stord by Chairman

小行道其有些"了",	2/20/10	By Parrich
Application Received _	- 2/2//41	City Planning Department
Investigation made		By Clark Kening + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee 3/12/	47 Hearing date
Decision appro	red II	Date 3/12/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47 ioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 + Onen Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk 3/1	147 Building Inspector 3/15/47
Planning Commission Appeal filed with City C	3/15/47 Petit	ioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 & assess
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	7	Date
Resolution becomes effe	ective	
Application withdrawn	and the second se	Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

The state of the second state of the state o

Aleria " a de la serie de la consta de la serie de la

The Lord on Indian and the feat of the state of the strength of

and the second second second second

the markened of a second of a consist fighter a floor a short of the second

related to the other with the shear . . .

THERE LONG AND AN APROPERTY AND AND A DR. COM

PROPERTY AND

al are all she

April a sta

40° .

and the second

and the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Verna A. Joseph to build addition to a second floor apartment at 2454 - 5th Avenue on Lot E, Block 281, Hogtor's App. portions with no sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 1947_____, 1947_____

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2103

0.

Application ReceivedI	By City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{3/12/47}{12}$ H	By Clark, Kengin & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47	Hearing date
Decision approved 1	Date 3/12/47 11
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/13/42	Building Inspector 3/15/47
Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner	3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47+ asses
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $3/12/47$ Building Inspector $3/15/47$ $3/15/47$ Health Department $3/15/47+a_{max}$ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ALLANT SOF BUT

tends of a first stratight and the state of the state of the

The Take construction of the month with the set work

and the second with an another of the second date for and the summer of the second states and the second states and

Dates - Con the an entry and the second terrain and the second of

and the state of the second second

The supervised the state of the state of the

1.1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. 4561 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______. work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Raymond A Turner to construct a duplex on Lots 44 to 46 inclusive, Block 40, Ocean Beach, on the Southwest side of Orchard Street, 100 ft. Southeast of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, with one duplex already existing across the rear of all three lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby Granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 13, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By_

Sectory Chairman

Res. No. 2104

Application Received $\frac{3/3/47}{B}$	y Baughanent City Planning Department
Investigation madeB	y <u>Clark, Kerrigan + Burton</u> City Planing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3/12/47$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3/13/47$ Planning Commission $3/15/47$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 3/12/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/13/47	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner	3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 + assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

managery to the the matter that we are shown in the state of the state state A A THE LOW OUT THE ADDRESS OF THE DESTROY OF THE ADDRESS THE THE ADDRESS ADDR

A a grandin activitie activate of a set of the constraint for *
A a granding activitie activate of a set of the constraint activity of the constraint o

and the second second second second second

a server and the provide a server of the server and the server

and a store assessed in the second state of th

- TARANTAR AND STATE AND STATE AND

LINESEUBE

· Ample draft.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>3929</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ardath H. Johnson to construct a residence with an S ft. setback and garage with a 5 ft. setback from Hastings Street, on Lot 141 Kensington Heights Unit No. 2 on the north side of turning radius at dead end of Hastings Street, provided the residence and garage are no closer to the front property line than the other houses to the north on Bristol Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 3, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 13. , 19 47

FORM 2145

By_

Sogretary Chairman

Res. No. 2105

time - Holder of the second	
Application ReceivedB	y City Planning Department
Investigation made $3/12/47$ B	y <u>Clark Kenigen & Burton</u> City Plansing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/13/47	Date 3/12/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 × Occessor Council Hearing, date
Appear med with City Clerk, date	Council riearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The state of the s

1210 LOCALL CHURCHICK STRIKE

LILLATING A MARY LE DUI SUCTAVE LE NEW CONTRACTOR AND AND A MARY AND

The contraction of the second state where the property of the second states of the

the state of the

to a private of the second of a product of a state of a second of the second of the second of the

the super the state and any the superior state the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4585</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. B. and Luebelle Lucas to make a 23 ft. by 25 ft. addition to an existing Grocery Store by converting part of an existing residence to store and building a 13 ft. by 22 ft. addition at 1705 South 39th Street on Lots 23 and 24, Block 449 Garland's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they related to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Neron 13, , 1947

FORM 2145

Nº 1

By_

Begrebery Chairman

Res. No. 2105

0.4

States - All States				
3/4/47	Ву	Roca City Planning	g Department	
3/12/47	By <u>Clan</u>	k Kenigas City Danning	g Department	
Committee 3/1.	2/47 Hearing	date		
roved	Date .	3/12/47	1 .	
t to City Clerk	13/47 Building	r Inspector	15/47	
3/15/47 Pe	etitioner 3/15/4	7 Health Dep	partment 3/15/474 asse	a.
Clerk, date	Council	Hearing, date _		
	Date		And a state of the second state of the	
fective				
	Date of	action		
	$\frac{3/12/47}{Committee} \frac{3/12}{47}$ to City Clerk $\frac{3}{15/47}$ Performed by Clerk, date	$\frac{3/12/47}{Committee} \xrightarrow{3/12/47} By Class Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Date $	City Planning Gity Planning City Planning Date Date Date Council Hearing, date Date Continued to	City Planning Department Signation of the system City Planning Department Date Date Date Council Hearing, date Date Continued to

A STATE OF A STATE AND A STATE

the her set is the set of the set

CHARLEN STEAM THE READER

24月9日 4月17日 4月17日2

A STATE OF ALL ALL STATE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4596</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mary P. Leaf to construct an apartment in the rear of the lot, over an existing garage at 4345 Kansas Street on Lots 13 and 14, Block 110, University Heights, and maintain an existing 5 ft. access court from the street.

A variaince to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Narch 13, , 19 47

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2107

surveyor 1 and the state	0
Application ReceivedE	By City Planning Department
	By <u>Clark Kenigen & Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47 Decision approved	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/13/47 Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 3/12/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/13/47	Building Inspector 3/15/47
Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner	3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/474 and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

TRUE LINE LINE LUS WIN MOUNT

We we have the set of the restriction of the set of the set of the restriction of the set of the se

and the manual south the second provide the second s

PLATE CONTROL PRIMA WAR ADD TO BE A DOCTOR

JOL

CALLER AND THE

A state in a state in the state in the state in the state of the and interest in the particular sector and the sector and the sector in the sector in the sector of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4595</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

11- S ...

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would **not** work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is **not** necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Nina K. Scott to construct additional unit to an existing 4 unit court with 7 ft. 6 in. court at 4112 Florida Street on Lot 27 and the S2 of Lot 28, Block 143, University Heights and portion of Florida Street closing, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby denied, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 13, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By_

service Chairmon

Res. No. 2108

O.K

1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
By City Planning Department
By <u>Clark Kerning + Burton</u> City Planning Department
_ Hearing date
Date 3/12/47
Building Inspector <u>3/15/47</u> S/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 + and
3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 & asse
_ Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

HA HILE STATE YOUR

state of the second secon

and rily of

A STATE OF A

Philade and

A PART

.....

1001

a server and provide the stand strain and an and the strain of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4595</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. H. Mc Gauley to divide Lots 1 and 2, Block F. Starkey's Prospect Park at the east end of Bon Air Street, into three parcels and to build one single family residence on each parcel; one parcel without adequate street frontage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance Ne. 13294 and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5924, be, and are hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 13, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By

Southers Chairman

Res. No. 2109

O,K

the standing with a second WE FITT TOTA By City Planning Department By Clark 3/12/47 Sunta Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47 Hearing date Date 3/12/47 Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/3/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47 Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to Less - States of the Mar En la serie de Serie de la serie And the property and the neeponger have, the lise we have and the back of the back to the standard 115 James pro lasta sus 123 12 10 10 10 10 13 prover a subject of the part of the second o VIE TIT A ACT SAF HOR. OUT AND I SUCCESS a state and the safe fields The state of the second of the second second second Ltd Berban Deal Th a 1128 Same and the second second and a second ,01 the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. 4545 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Purl Purdy to divide the South 40 ft. of Lot C, all of Lots D, B and F and 15 ft. of Palm Street closed, Block 338. Horton's Addition on the east side of Dove Street, north of Palm Street, into 4 parcels to permit one single family residence on each, described as follows:

1. 5 40' of Lot C and N 10' of D; 2. S 40' of Lot D and N 10' of E; S 40' of Lot E and N 10' of F; 3. 5 40' of Lot F and 15' of Palm Street closed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

19 17 Dated _____March 13,

FORM 2145

By

Chai man

Res. No. 2110

0. K

	Constant it
and the second of the second sec	010
Application ReceivedB	y <u>Haelsig</u> City Planning Department
	A 1
Investigation made $\frac{3/12/47}{B}$	y <u>Clark Kengin & Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{3/2/47}{Decision}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{3/13/47}{Planning}$ Commission $\frac{3/15}{47}$ Petitioner	Hearing date Date 3/12/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47 Building Inspector 3/15/474 Building Inspector 3/15/474 Building Inspector 3/15/474 Building Inspector 3/15/474 Building Inspector 3/15/474 Building Inspector 3/15/47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
	Date of action
<pre>Approx =</pre>	
	лор
the provide the second se	Roturne and a second se
	and the management has an in the second stands
	the product of the second s
- 3 - that are a for a prove of the second second	
	a new provinsion of the provin
	ins compare branching the strain () to main the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4500</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Purl Purdy to re-divide Lots A, B and the W 10 ft. of Lot C and the S 40 ft. of Quince Street closed adjacent to Lot A, Block 338, Horton's Addition on the cast side of Dove Street between Palm and Quince Streets into 3 parcels, each with 50 ft. street frontage on Dove Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 13. , 19 47

TO PAR A PARTY DATA CARD

HAD BEER DEST CODENTS IN

By_

spectrup Chairman

Res. No. 2111

Application Received <u>3-8-47</u> By <u>Gaes</u> City Planning Department
City rianning Department
Investigation made <u>3-12-47</u> By <u>Clark Kenijan + Burton</u> City Planning Department
City Flagging Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-12-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 3-12-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-13-47 Building Inspector 3-13-47
Decision Date 3-12-47 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-13-47 Planning Commission 3-15-47 Petitioner 3-15-47 Health Department 3-15-474
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Prove the prove of the pro

The set of the set of

and the second sec

Line and the set and the set of the

and the set of the set

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4608</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

---- ---

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Tern Glen Development Company to divide Lots 6 and 7, Fern Glen Colony at the westerly end of Vista de la Playa into three parcels, according to plat on file in the Planning Department office, and to construct one single family residence on each parcel; said parcels having frontage on Vista de la Playa of less than the full width of the lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By

0-1

HZ By City Planning Department
By Clark, Kenijan + Burton City Planning Department
3/12/47 Hearing date
Date 3/12/47
rk3/13/42 Building Inspector 3/15/47
Petitioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 Vane
Council Hearing, date
Date
Date of action
3/12/47 Hearing date Date 3/12/47 Date 3/12/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47 Petitioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47×accer Council Hearing, date

11. 12 4

oliter, sui to con ir de la line de la line de la line de la line de la compara line natesta lotana fro frie en lints de la line de la line de la compara line al tre lot. In line l'al line de la compara de la line de la compara de la compara de la compara de la compara l'al line de la compara de

and interior is the state of the formation of the state o

and the second of the second the second by the second the second the second the second the second the second the

the second s

CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4590</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Challenge Gream and Butter Association to erect a 74 ft. by 54 ft. addition to an existing creamery and remove existing wood building on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1115, 4600 - 6th Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 13. , 19 47

By

Secretary Chairman

0

Investigation made	City Planning Department By <u>Clark Kenigan + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/4	7_ Hearing date
Decision approved The	Date 3/12/47 147 Building Inspector 3/15/47 oner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 A Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/13	147 Building Inspector
Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitio	oner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47.4 and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A vist mee to the training of literary to the proverties of the second vistors of the busiles of the training of the second vistors of the second vis

Serie de la la la production de la care de la la care de la compositione de la composition de la composition de la compositione de la la care de la composition de la composit

the same that a sold was seen

a He pleas that the last set of the last set of the set

The second stand the second stand stand to the second stands on the second stands

· Josef and the set of the set of

and the set of the second will be an interest of the second of the secon

ELECTION DIAL CONTRACTOR

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4560</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry W. Richards to split Lot 12, Block "E", Starkey's Prospect Park at Bon Air Street and Tyrian Street, into two 50 ft. by 100 ft. parcels to construct a duplex on each.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 1947_

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2114

0.10

Application Received _2/21/47 By By City Planning Department
Investigation made By Clark Kenigen + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/2/47 Hearing date
Decision approved J Date 3/12/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/42 Building Inspector 3/15/47
Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 access
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3/14/97</u> Planning Commission <u>3/15/97</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and some

a werlinge to so providing of ordinance (e.1921, orginally as berny granted on us the particulant guited means, assigning real to solve, the provide the solution

remarked of the structure of the star former correlates of the city of San Diego.

and a second second with materials affase the matter Plan of the City

and american and the second for the processing the processing the second for the processing the second second

a series and the series of the

"Jud Provident Provident Provident Provident Committee

to solid the solid of the solid s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4605</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>mot</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>mot</u>adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Otto W. Becker to alter basement to one additional apartment in an existing 5 unit apartment at 3981 Georgia Street on Lots 4 and 5, Block 195, University Heights, with a 9 ft. court for one unit only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Narch 14, , 19 47

By

O.K

	The states
all	By Burton
Application Received _3/6/47	City Planning Department
	PARV. D+
Investigation made	By <u>Clark Kerning + Buston</u> City Planing Department
	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47	Date 3/12/47
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/47	Building Inspector 3/15/47
Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner	Health Department 3/13/97+ acces
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action
to the property within of a or .	
	The off head where the indefinition and the set of the
A will note to the provisions of t	in I more how disting and by and in
	8
and with a d .	stick pertupet approved at out total
	and the primer of the four is they
a startworth of Honore Chimple 20 10	a a thirdhold ba is a standard to other
£.	
a la se seconda de la companya de la	
	Senare Committee of the City of San Diego.
	The second provide the particular the second by the same gran
	second and a he was a second a contraction of the second to
	A CONTRACT CONTRACTOR A CONTRACTOR AND IN THE PARTER OF

ter a serie de la construcción de la constru

the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4515</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. C. Ferris to build a 20 ft. by 20 ft. garage, 42 ft. from the front property line, on the East 100 ft. of Lots 25 and 26, Block 53, University Heights on the Northwest corner of Hamilton and Monroe Streets, provided a 3 ft. sideyard is maintained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, , 19 47

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2116

Application Received _2/25/47_B	v Buston
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	by Clark Kenigan & Burton City Plansing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47 Decision Commission Solution sent to City Clerk 3/19/47 Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date $3/12/47$ Building Inspector $3/15/47$ $3/15/47$ Health Department $3/15/47 + a_{max}$ Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/1997	Building Inspector 3/15/47
Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner	3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47+ assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

STORAS, NOVITI

and a part of the the

Four function in the number of the state of the state in the house of the set of the set

The second, and the second years the second care of the City of San Proto-

the second second

1202 - The second burner of the second of the second s

and and a subscription of the second property of the second property

and the second of the second second second and the second s

Service and the service of the servi

State of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4543</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Florence L. Mason to divide the North 201' of the East 212' of Lot 59 and the West 20' of the Eg of Lot 59 lying north of Lisbon Street (except the North 161') all in Ex-Mission Rancho Partition in Subdvision No. 5 of Part of Lot 12, into two building sites, approximately 100'x 212'each; one with 20' street frontage and the other with 20' easement as access, east of Pidgeon Street and north of Lisbon Street, provided a setback of 30' from the center line of the easement and its northerly extension is maintained and if and when the city wishes to put a street through this property, the necessary easement will be granted to the city for street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and are hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, , 1947

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2117

1213 - Land and a structure of the structure of the structure (see Section

a set of the second of the sec

a state the state of a second state of the second state of the state of the second state of a second state of a second state of the second state o

4 The stand of the stand with the stand of the stand by the stand of the stand of the City

TID PARTEL OF AN WEIGHTED, BUILD AND PRIME COMMUTING OF THE GIPS OF BARBAN

the rel 10 of lot 9 of the state 1 is non to this the serie of a discondination of the state 1 is 11, 11 is the series of the series of the rel 10 of the state 1 of 1, 11 is the series of the series of the state of the state 1 is non-the state, and the series of the state 1 is a state 1 of 1 state 1 for series the state, and the state state 1 is a state of the state 1 for state 1 is state 1 and 1 is of the state 1 is a state 1 of 1 and 1 for the state 1 and 1 is state 1 and 1

Altre & cover of.

Provest provide an eres arguing to the brokking? Self Broug O. ball.

Investigation made]	By <u>Clark Kenigen + Burton</u> City Planning Department
8	City Planning Department
Decision Confil approval	Date 3/12/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/97	_ Building Inspector
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3/2/47</u> Decision Concerned Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3/14/47</u> Planning Commission <u>3/15/47</u> Petitioner	Date 3/12/47 Building Inspector 3/15/47 3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 v and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Bv

Total Tal

Application Received _

2/25/47

and a state of the state

Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4599</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to construct a 5 ft. high fence in front of the setback line on Lots 3, 4 and 5. Block 21, West End Addition on the west side of Ray Street, north of Capps Street, to enclose a school playground.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, , 1917

FORM 2145

By.

Secretary Chairman
to a survey and the second and the sources comparison

- COUPSTORY . The IL REALISED, By and South Construct of the City of San Dirgo.
- antitude, the spolar at rate 1 plat round, and any one of the provident at the Diffuence. The set of C would will a post of a post of the front of the pathener find president , the radian There will be a second in which to the of the property of the second of
- bertwarperand a sention and store. The same in the second of the second second second of the second se A ANALY LO DU THE MULTIPOUR DE LAST MULE NOT DELL' SEN DETENDE DE THE THE

By Clark Cernico + Bu Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12 Hearing date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

Bv

mony - Depart fit's

Application Received __

in a state and a state

g Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4582</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. J. and Edith Schipper and H. H. and D. A. Chandler to split Lots 12, 13 and 14, Block 3, Overlook Heights, on the Southwest side of Ellsworth Street 100' west of Elovation Road into two building sites, each with 12 lots, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, , 1947

By

fit the Chairman

Res. No. 2119

O.K

FORM 2145

	and the second second second second second
Les	0.1
Application Received _3/7/47B	City Planning Department
//	
Investigation madeB	y <u>Clark Kengin + Burton</u> City Planning Department
	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47	Date 3/12/47
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/42	Building Inspector 3/15/47
Planning Commission -15/97 Petitioner	3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47+ acce
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
XIIIII	
199 J	
Hat Brendeter - due rability have det	CAN IN TR.
Remark and a set of the partitulity of	test alost, incornt on they related to
Addition to the residence of failure	one for St. Your designed. De. such the
allow balland	

· · ·

and a second a second to be a second

GOL . surning is side of the Waster Flan of the City

HOS . MARKED IN THE PARTY PARTY AND AND AN AND AN AND AND AN

correction will Act to many ally detremtel to

a set of the second second the the these there Section

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4601</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. A. Bachman to operate a garage and storage yard for contractor's equipment and to construct pre-cast septic tanks made from one-half cement and one-half sand and quarter-inch rocks, mixed, at Congress and Mason Streets on the NELY 100 ft. of the NWLY 100 ft. of Lot 2, Block 454, Old San Diego, provided all of the requirements in Resolution No. 692, dated August 17, 1944, and Resolution No. 79933, dated August 31, 1944 are complied with. These resolutions granted the original request.

A variance to the provisions of ^Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By_

Secregary Chairman

Res. No. 2120

0.K

Application ReceivedB	by City Planning Department
Investigation madeB	by <u>Clark Kenica + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/2/47	Hearing date
Decision Condition sent to City Clerk 3/14/47	Date 3/12/47
Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner	Building Inspector 3/15/47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, flate	3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/474 and
Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

NAME AND A DEADER OF

CAPTORISH & CAST anti une fa tra contrato long colla longer de l'agge, les que da anti an minteri en les des referie lleve de l'élégieures, longér, co brés relation toj far presente

Teref and control of the province of the control of the control of the start to the start of the a manage and a property of the second of the

The Contraction of the

which must and seed of the processing despipering as

sony bereathered

and the residence state build build have from the section

RESOLUTION NO.

(Ext. of time on Res. No. 1827)

0.15

WHEREAS. Aberlication March 7, 1947

WHEREAS, And Gerico and the second se

 That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2121

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months be granted to Gearl C. Corley to erect a residence on the North 60 ft. of Lot 33 (except the West 4 ft.) and the North 60 ft. of Lot 34, Block 483, Seaman's Subdivision, part of No of Pueblo Lot 1122, approximately 100 ft. from dead end of Walcon Street, on an alley; a parcel of land without the required street frontage; provided all yard requirements and coverage are complied with; as originally granted by Resolution No. 1827. Extension to be from expiration date of previous resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12 and Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 14, , 19 47

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2121

FORM 2145

Back Star	A NAME AND STRATE OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPANTO OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A
Letter 2/-1-	-1 P .
Application ReceivedB	y City Planning Department
	City Flamming Lepartment
Investigation made By	У
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47	Hearing date Date 3/12/47
Decision Extension granted 3/14/47.	Building Inspector//5/47
Planning Commission 3/15/47 Petitioner.	3/15/47 Health Department 3/15/47 + asses
Appeal filed with City Clerk, flate	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
will be be the to only within a	riteriers succed shrow, through asyliner
the second to the instant of the	France Fo. 1919, Recolon 12 and Cr. No.
and the second sec	
	one tard tites of states of treating and
the many is a principle principle of the	one hour too menter thispand hadden off
and a list " shall be a start of a list	1 21 There was I was an Island of the state
	of Ty (Theorem 5 of Tax) and the contract to the theorem of theorem of the theorem of the theorem of the theorem of the theorem of theoremoson of theoremood of theorem of theoremood of theorem of theor
	The needs to we will a house the of the second of
Manual and the second second with the second	
	ATTACASE TO MANY AND
	second of the matter contracted where and the
the second se	The second statement of the paper of the point of
· · · · ·	
	A LOS DA LAN DA LAN DA LAN DA DA LAN DA
	AND R. CHARLES AND LA
	construction of the second state of the second state and share the
	any many manufaction part shows (see Section -
	of the rest when the test by the New the Committee
Carl and a second se	Compare the second on the second matching
	(1x5. 0) time on bet. Fo. 1027)

Letter deted March 12 1947 PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______ pecessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will______be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

4 (portion)

Start's	at the following described property, Lot Block
Su	bdivision
	a 22 unit trailer camp
ma	when used for the erection and operation of
	that an extension of 6 months be granted on
	the original application, Resolution No. 143, dated July 16, 1942.
sul	oject to the following conditions

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Ghatrman

No. 2122

(Ext. of Res. No. 143)

March 14, 47

By

Dated _____194___

Letter Application Provinced 3/12/47 By	Participation Participation and a second
Application Received 3/12/47 By	City Planning Department
Investigation madeBy	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47 Decision 6 mo 47. granted Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3/14/42	City Planning Department Hearing date Date Building Inspector JIS/47 Health Department 2/15/47 + Association Council Hearing, date Date
Planning Commission 3/1.5/4.7. Petitioner	J/S/9/ Health Department // / / Kulesen
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	.Date
Resolution becomes effective	C i i and to
Application withdrawn	.Continued to
Time limit extended to	.Date of action

the original artituction, Serotation No. 191, mand Juy 16, 1912, 1911

that a provision of Campaba be evaluation root is used for the standing and operation of

n 28 mais theildr deam

teripe for near not girls.

and the off a bar and the , dend by the first left, 1225 at the other of the 4 (pertion)

in will be set the a property of the property of the newsly of the public welling of the public welling of

and the second sec

Level The offerthe Marth PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4555</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Martin Gackstetter to operate and maintain a now existing Rest Home for the Aged, ambulatory boarders only; maximum of 15 boarders at 3784 Georgia Street on the Ng of Lot 31 and all of Lots 32, 33 and 34, Block 249, University Heights, subject to final approval by the Building Department, Health Department and Fire Marshal; also to display a 1 ft. by 2 ft. sign.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12839, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 27, . 19

Dated

FORM 2145

By

Services Chairman

Res. No. 2123

Application ReceivedBy	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-12 + 3-26-47</u> By	only a ranning a oper timons
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-12-47	Hearing date <u>3-26-47</u> Date <u>3-26-47</u> Building Inspector <u>3-28-47 + assess</u> Health Department Gruntz helfere + Council Hearing, date <u>Frie</u>
Decision Cond's opproval	Date 3-26-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-27-47	Building Inspector <u>3-28-47 + assess</u>
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department county helfe
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date Council Hearing, date Che march
Resolution becomes effective	4
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

reat we have

the set of the fight of the second

nontionel above. an to the resticulture at believer, innot a se they relate to through each A munimon by the provisions of fundament to. 12550, te, and is hereight granted

" T I C DD S I.F. GT DD. solutions accordingly in like of the second size second with the second size of the first of the like a I and 54, DI an C'M, DRIVER IL ME, AUDINES to STRAL ANDRAVIA DE LA I, DOUTCOTT OL 31 30 CALE AN ATONG ON SHA NO OF CAS IT ON ALL ON LOSS 30, nor ortabiling Rout None for the Nord, - Selatory warebore bills should at Perril a lite in taggely dr that be bour it therefollor in operation can call this bring of

THE RELEVANT OF ALL TO DESCRIPTED OF THE SHARE COMPLETED OF SHARE FIRST PRODUCT

THE PART AND THE PART OF THE P

who were in the section love, and evil and in the more section of detrivential in

those the production of the we those at 1 1125 . Secretarly without the Master Plan of the Clev

all all the second of the seco

and the second of the second of the second of the species the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. 4535 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. C. Robertson and I. H. Paajanen to maintain and operate a now existing Old Age Boarding Home, ambulatory patients only, with a maximum of 6 patients at 4193 Georgia Street and 1901 Howard Street on Lots 1 and 2 (except the East 772 ft.), Block 143, University Heights, subject to final approval by the Building Department, Health Department and Fire Marshal.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 27, , 1947

FORM 2145

By_

Storphere Chairman

Res. No. 2124

	The property of the second second
ared 25.3.67 21* 11.47	
Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-12+ 3-26-47</u> B	y <u>Clark _ Sellers + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-12-47</u> Decision <u>Constitution</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-27-47</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council <u></u> Resolution becomes effective	Hearing date <u>3-26-47</u> Date <u>3-26-47</u> Building Inspector <u>3-28-47 + Assessor</u> Health Department Juie Machel Council Hearing, date <u>Suite Welfare</u>
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Interior parte prover interior The be the to be the state of the . . a sting to the provisions of preliging for levels, be, and the herein

, out by no rear that it is I a live war same le

remittal a le der ar de contra bart, ... deschoe and 1. . Taujeran ta noint le dra contra contra contra contra contra contra contra le cor notici de a l'arté contra contra contra contra contra contra contra contra l'el a provinci de contra l'arté (e contra contra contra contra con l'el a provinci de contra co

the Star Sandry Commisters of the City of San Diego. A REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL PROPER

not mentioned attack and heater stand of the city

The second second

the solution and the second solution of the second to 203 more to by allows the multiply of an entry of

1332

The second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4577</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. F. Hurd to construct a single family residence on the South 60 ft. of Lots 17 to 20 inclusive, Block 90, Subdivision of Lot 34, Pacific Beach on the west side of Lamont Street, approximately 65 ft. south of Beryl Street, a parcel of land 60 ft. by 100 ft. in size, provided a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Lamont Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

I valencels to be host innide for buildings.

a windowing in the work station of profession The 13557, be, and is half of

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 27, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2125

o.K

- the second of the second s the second a second as the materially allows the leafer at water at
- a thirty and a start of the second of the second start of the seco
- where the three is the providence will thet indepression while the barter Plan of the City
- RAILS FOR AS TO TOWN THEREFORD TO IT PESSONAL, by the Spring Committee of the City of San Disges.
- For lustum in Fernix Crontel to to fa hard to construct applied intille
- Ind ft. in alte, spirited a Ly ft. actions is maintained on Auroat Stroet. CONTRACTOR OF A CARL OF ANY A VERMEN OF THE A THE AND TO THE DES Supply 1 1 in st and the provided to the states south state build down to re ideous on the denia on is, of date if to to include, findigo,
- to bus writegrey mentioned shores. hovely granted as to the proticulate adding proves incorner to they reached . L V LITHIC LA MAD | POTITIONE OF WILLIAMS No. ILC WAY COTION, NO. 1911 10

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-26-47</u> By	Clark Sellent + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-26-47</u> Decision Opposed Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-27-47</u>	Hearing date
Decision Opproved	Date 3-26-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-27-47	Building Inspector <u>3-28-97</u>
Planning Commission 3-2 K-47 Petitioner.	3-27-9/ Health Department 3 20 1 Vale
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Becen a Solf 12

The state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4614</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>mot</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Blanche Booth to manufacture wooden novelties in connection with sales room, all to be housed in the building on Lot 5, Block 43, Tract 1365, at 50192 El Cajon Boulevard, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Building to be stuccoed inside and outside;
- 2. Machinery to be operated between the hours of 5:00 A.M. and 6:00 F.M:
- 3. Permit to be for a period of 3 years from the date of this resolution;
 - 4. All materials to be kept inside the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____March 27, , 1947

FORM 2145

By_

Security Chairman

Res. No. 2126

of The state of th

A second of the second of the second of the second state of the second s

- a state of the second s
- A second second to second the second model operating along the heatth ay safety at the first had a safety at the second s
- A . Y as the same real of contraction of the Maximum State and the Maximum State of the City
- the second set of the second second
- The first, as if privately by the second formultime of the City of Sub Diego.
- Constantes for a first best by the south of a south to manufacture and an appretites
- We concrete the relation of the state of the mathematic set of the state of the factor of S. B' with the local set of the state of the
- The sublict point of the second size of a second from the state of the second s
- an allowing the pro- realized of a contraction in. 17509, be, we is a second of
- Decision of Council
 Date

 Resolution becomes effective
 Continued to

 Application withdrawn
 Continued to

 Time limit extended to
 Date of action

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Quar - 1984 - 1775-75-

nning Department

Investigation made <u>3-26-47</u> By <u>Clark Selley & Burton</u> Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-26-47</u> Hearing date Decision Confl Conce Date <u>3-26-47</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-27-42</u> Building Inspector <u>3-28-47</u> Planning Commission <u>3-28-47</u> Petitioner <u>3-28-47</u> Health Department <u>3-28-47</u>

Application Received <u>3-12-47</u> By

To erbi bentionar Janves

Laray Link 1 1 2

4603 WHEREAS, Application No.__ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. W. Johnson, owner and F. F. Johnson, lessee, to construct a 40 ft. by 60 ft. quonset but with concrete floor on Lot 10. Block 9, Gardner's Addition and use for operating existing dry cleaning equipment in connection with an existing laundry, provided the property is cleaned up and all debris removed. 1246.18 5 St

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 27. , 1947 Dated_

By

Res. No. 2127

0,15

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>3-14-47</u> By	Rass City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3~26-47</u> By	Clark Sellen + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-26-47 I	Hearing date
Decision approved I	Date 3-26-47 Building Inspector <u>3-28-47</u> -28-47 Health Department <u>3-28-47+0</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-27-17 I	Building Inspector 3-28-47
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Petitioner 3	-28-47 Health Department 3-28-97+ and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

604 704 GTOS

Possibilitatia successive telto - comento buter col - F. Televos Le construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de reon col - construction de la construction de relative de recleration de la construction de la construction de relative de reson col - construction de la construction de relative de recleration de la construction de la construction de relative activitation de la construction de la construction de relative de relation de la construction de la construction de relative de relation de la construction de la construction de relative de relation de la construction de la construction de relative de la construccession de la construction de la construction de la construction de relative de la construccession de la construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de la construccession de la construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de la construccession de la construction de la construccession de la construction de la construction

ALL REPORT OF ALL REPORT

THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE SHARE COMMITTEE AT THE CITY OF SOM DIEBON

not material affect the braith of safety of 200 and all motion affect the braith of safety of 200 and all motion after a safety of the City 200 and all the City

a service and the service of the ser

The A. A. Tarta I. There are the sentime presented by the Zerran Constitution of the Section

Letter and sollies and

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee

of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Beacon Light Seventh Day Adventist Church to construct a church building on the Southwest corner of Franklin and 31st Streets on Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 328, Choates Addition with a 10 ft. setback on Franklin Street, as shown on the plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 27, , 19 47

By_

forther Chairman

Res. No. 212

FORM 2145

Dated_

the second s	Street States Class 1 14 A M
Application Received <u>3-14-47</u> By	Baughman City Planning Department
and the second	Clark Sellew + Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-26-47 I	Hearing date
Decision approved I	Date 3-26-47 Building Inspector 3-28-47 -28-47 Health Department 3-28-47+0
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-27-42 I	Building Inspector 3-28-47
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Petitioner 3	-28-47 Health Department 3-28-47+0
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to I	Date of action

annen on to to the state of the solution of th

and the second second second

the 2 march 1 miles

/ Print of An approximate of a second diverse of a second se

malantite and man and shot is a survey of the manifold of the

the second of the second of

An entry - and the second of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4468</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen Trevor to add one apartment unit to a four unit apartment at 324 Prospect Avenue on Lots 36 and 37, Block 16, La Jolla Park, with a balcony 2 ft. from the lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 27, , 1947

By_

Short Chairman

Res. No. 2129

r.k

FORM 2145

Dated_

in second in the second s	
Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>Clark Lellen & Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-26	-47 Hearing date
Decision annal	Date 3-26-47 7-47 Building Inspector 3-28-47 ioner 3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-474 Occurs
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk	7-12 Building Inspector 3-28-47
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Petit	ioner 3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-47 and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

server he ar provide the astrant but here

any state the second shirts the state has fore

S. A.

A variation of the province inter and the second to the problem of the out in

the subjection head in an

Mark Barry Barry

07

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4616</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. Ward and Audrey Watson to erect a second floor apartment at 3766-68 - 4th Avenue on Lot 18, Block 2, Brookes Addition with existing two living units on the lot; rear house now served by 6 ft. court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 27, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2130

0,1

All a medical cliff and a cliff	
Application Received <u>3-17-47</u>	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-26-47</u>	By <u>Clark Sellew + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-26-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 3-26-47 2 Building Inspector 3-28-47 r 3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-47-0
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-27-9	2 Building Inspector <u>3-28-97</u>
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Petitione	r 3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-47-02
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

> control in topers of the second secon

and the second second

and the second second and an end of the second s

THE LICE CONTRACTOR LOOK .

TIN HELITAN DE LT

CTLEVIC MINE THE

and the manufacture of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. 4436 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. D. and R. L. Zaucha to build a fourth living unit on Lots 19, 20 and 21, Block 30, Ocean Beach, 4720 Saratoga Street, provided that 90 days after the new structure is completed the present house on the most northerly corner of the lot will be vacated as living quarters or plans will be submitted for remodeling the structure to make it more desirable living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 27. , 19 47

FORM 2145

By_

secretery Chairman

Res. No. 2131

	that a short a short the short
Application Received <u>3-17-47</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-26-47	Hearing date
Decision Condit approval 2=22-47	Date 3-26-47 Building Inspector <u>3-28-47</u> 3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-474 and and a
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Startin	2-28-47 Health Department, 3-28-474 apr
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

interior is the property of the bold of the property of an is the property of the propert

270 0.24 / Derfal 2709 1.50 7 4 1.

HERSEMIST IN THE SERVICE THE

and a second second

the second second is a comment of the statement of the second second second second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4623</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Linden W. Church, owner and Mrs. J. E. Rand, purchaser, to erect six epartment units on Lots 11 and 12, Block 129. Middletown, the Southwest corner of Columbia and Sassafrass Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1026, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 27, , 1947

By_

Shudder Chairman

Res. No. 2132

O.K

FORM 2145

-, -, -, -, -, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +,	
Application Received By	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-26-47</u> By	Clark Sellew + Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-26-47	Hearing date
Decision Charped	Date 3-26-47 Building Inspector <u>3-28-47</u> 8-28-47 Health Department 3-28-47 + and a
Course of Perceptition cent to City Clerk 3 - 27-47	Building Inspector 3-28-47
Copy of Resolution Sent to City Citik	-28-42 Health Department 3-28-47+0
Planning Commission Clark data	Council Hosping data
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council riearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

to be the rest of the second second

FROM HE WE

The Constant

a set of the set of the

A DATE OF A DATE OF A DECK OF A DATE OF A

the second s

the first of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4576</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Elbert Ltd. and I. M. and Nina Buesch to erect a 6-unit court on Lots 5 to 8 inclusive, Block 42, Pacific Beach, 100 ft. east of Mission Boulevard on the south side of Loring Street, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 27, , 1947

FORM 2145

By_

Freiererer Chairman

Res. No. 2133

ak

and the 12	
Application Received B	y Choss
- PF	City Planning Department
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Clark Sellew + Buston
Investigation made <u>3-26-47</u> B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-2(-47	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-26-47</u> Decision	Date 3-26-47
Const of Perclution cent to City Clerk 3-27-47	Building Inspector 3-28-47
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Petitioner	3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-474 ac
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action
Time limit extended to	
" pear product argers"	
retrow of our is mouth farther int	state the set of the tart of the state
and the state of t	one share and the second of the low
A CONTRACTOR OF	
and the second secon	The sector and the sector and the sector secto
	· Margare Second Stop 25t 2 24 25t
diturnin an office me the second of the	
aneter one is to he of at at an group	south a second is the second of the an about a second of the
a la	

A. Then are set in an advertised weekly were an first and the set are being a compared for city

a "The source of the second se

autorial and an analytical interior of the second of the

Manital strategies and the second strategies and the second strategies and the room care Section

REAL PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY AND A

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>1013</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Peters and Floyd Randall to build a duplex on Lots 30 and 31, Block 215, University Heights, 1033 Robinson Street, one unit now existing on lot, with a 6 ft. court as access to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 27, , 1947

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2134

Application Received E	By Garrish City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-26-47</u> E	By <u>Clark Seller & Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-26-47</u> Decision	Hearing date Date 3-26-97 Building Inspector <u>3-28-97</u> 3-28-97 Health Department 3-28-9710
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Petitioner	3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-4710
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The was sub- not be a second

A static of L. Lin, most store of the state of state of state of state of the state of a state of the state o

ale clip das faire a reaction constrained for the faire attracts of the fair o

in appart to a crown which at our so which it's high it fight is been build

and the state of the state of the state toppt the state of the state of a summer of state of the state of the

per series and the series of the series of the series have a series of the series of t

the second s

And it is a set of a set of a set of the set

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4642</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clifton E. and Dorothy M. Alger to erect one single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1250, known as Arbitrary 2F (description on file in Planning Department office), Avenida de la Playa, approximately 1200 ft. east of La Jolla Shores Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 27, , 19 17

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2135

O.K

All and a second second second second	A REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL PROPERTY OF
Application Received	_ By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-26-47</u>	By <u>Clark - Sellen</u> + Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-26-	Y7 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 3-26-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-27	-47 Building Inspector 3-28-47
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Petition	Date $3-26-47$ -47 Building Inspector $3-28-47$ her $3-28-47$ Health Department $3-28-47$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

& v stroce to but contribute of sulfacedon. 1579%, be, calls to transp Indet d to be the contribute checked shows, indefer an under relate to the Presents leadione, shows.

210 (6), 9 101 1 10

te de la contra de l La contra de la contr La contra de la contr

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

and the second sec

which is the second of the

0.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4604 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred H. and Lola I. Novack to construct a storage and garage building on Lot 10, Block B, Sterlingworth, 4319 Felton Street, with O' setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 27. , 1947

By_

Sacretary Chairman

Res. No. 2136

FORM 2145

Dated_
Application Received <u>3-19-47</u> B	y Qarrish City Planning Department
Investigation made	Clark Lellew & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-26-47</u> Decision Opproved Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk- <u>3-27-47</u>	Hearing date Date <u>3-26-47</u> Building Inspector <u>3-28-47</u> <u>3-28-47</u> Health Department <u>3-28-474 General</u>
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-47* access
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

source parameters

and the second second of

Stand Grand Parts

71 2 1

ADDENTIG REDISSING - CONST.

The Cat a current of we pro-

LIGHT STRACT

1000 100 1000

STO Press where the state of the state

the second s

the top of the state of the state of the state of the states?

age to real the of second .

そうたいでんたち、10、松市したり」、「いい」の日本についていた。たいです。

WHEREAS, Application No. 4646 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Fred W. Jackson to build a six unit court on Lots 19 and 20, Block 59, Ocean Beach at 4918 Santa Monica Street with a 3 ft. 1 in. setback, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 27, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2137

0.15

Application Received 3-19-47	By Panich
r 1	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Clark, Selley + Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-26-4	Z Hearing date
Decision allenied	Date -3-26-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-22-	42 Building Inspector <u>3-28-47</u> her 3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-47 + Quee
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Petition	er 3-28-97 Health Department 3-28-914 use
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	, Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The spin distance of a second second second strain was the second s

TRANSFORM TO A THE SECOND AND THE ARE ARE A MOUTH OF

a faral?

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4672</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred W. Jackson to build a six unit court, with one unit in the second duplex served by a 6 ft. 9 in. court on Lots 19 and 20, Block 59, Ocean Beach, 4918 Santa Monica Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, infofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.



Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 27, , 1947

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2138

Application Received _ 3-27-47	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-26-47</u>	_ By <u>Clark Sellent & Burton</u> City Planning Department
a 11 11 7 . C in 1 26.	47 Hearing date
Decision approved in 13-2	Date 3-26-47 <u>7-47</u> Building Inspector <u>3-28-48</u> ioner 3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-47402 Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Health Department 2-28-4746
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Petiti	Council Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Dote
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
	Date of action

Alternation of the second second

REPAIR AND A STREET

per se la company de la company

WHEREAS, Application No. 4646 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

12- 11 1

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. A. R. and Florence E. Richard to make alterations and additions to living unit on upper floor at 4015 Ibis Street, on the West 55 ft. of Lot 9 and the West 55 ft. of the North 2 ft. of Lot 10, Block 56, Arnold & Choates Addition; building has a 2 ft. 4 in. sideyard and a 7 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____March 27, , 1947

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2139

0,

and the second state of th	
Application Received 3-20 - 4	7 By Baughman City Danning Department
Investigation made <u>3-26 - 47</u>	By <u>Clark Sellew + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-	26-Y7 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 3-26-27
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date 3-26-27 327-47 Building Inspector 3-28-47
Planning Commission 3-28-47 1	Petitioner 3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-471 and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Reference to show a set of one prime prime of the off a special of a static frame of a set of the s

provide a state of the second state of th

AVANT WILLIAMS

to the state is an it with whether

and the second of the second second second

LET BEALT I THE LE AL POINT BA

des the start of the start of the start of the

WHEREAS, Application No. 4645 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl W. Gray to build apartments on Lots 3 to 5 inclusive and the West 50 ft. of Lot 6, Block 11, Culverwell & Taggart's , 21st and B Streets, with 0' setback on 21st Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relateto the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 27, , 19 47

5 the month of a bill of the second

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2140

0.

Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-26-47</u>	By Buston Buston
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-26-	47 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 3-26-47 7-47 Building Inspector <u>3-28-48</u> ioner <u>3-28-48</u> Health Department <u>3-28-48+0</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 52	7-47 Building Inspector 3-28-48
Planning Commission 3 -28-48 Petit	ioner 3 -28-48 Health Department 3-28-48+0_
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Accultant de la contra barbarte, aux sarreità quanta en locquito definitation de la contra barbarte de la definitation de la contra contr

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4621</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lee and Margaret C. Ramage to erect three units in the 800 block on Niantic Court on Lot N, Block 163, Mission Beach, rear unit having 3 ft. court as access to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 27, , 19 47

fifffff Chairman

Res. No. 2141

0

Application Received	By Copposed Department
Investigation made <u>3-26-47</u>	City Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3.	<u>-26-47</u> Hearing date Date <u>3-26-47</u> <u>3-27-47</u> Building Inspector <u>3-28-47</u> Petitioner <u>3-28-47</u> Health Department <u>3-28-47+4</u>
Decision approved	Date 3-26-47
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk	3-2/-9/ Building Inspector 3-28-7/
Planning Commission 3-28-47 P	etitioner 3-28-97 Health Department 3-28-912 Q
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date

Model is provide the set of the barrent, and solution the set of the set

poloni baos ap's seupronor vouse

THERE IS A A AN ANTONIA

int tensite of this is following the second of the se

and a second a second a second and a second a se

a special a l'arrest de la contrata L'arrest de la contrata de la contrat L'arrest de la contrata de la contra

WHERE AS A REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF TH

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4569</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. L. Ramsey to convert residence to duplex by adding an Sg ft. by 11 ft. kitchen to existing residence with a 2 ft. 4 in. sideyard at 5059 Hawley Boulevard on Lot 7. Block 3. Normal Heights and maintain a 2 ft. 4 in. sideyard for the addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____March 27, , 1947

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Hes. No. 2142

yCity@lanning Department
y Zoning Committee
Hearing date
Date 3-26-47 Building Inspector <u>3-28-47</u> 3-28-47 Health Department <u>3-28-47+0</u>
Building Inspector 3-28-47
3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-47+0
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

perity is interval of the state of the

Culiffrance of the second

THO SCHELER IT REAL AND AN AND FOR THE COMMITTEE OF THE CHARGE SAN DIEZO.

That we are presented in the second provide the American Films of the Chi

interf both interface interface is allowed and how the external for any interface interface interface interface is an interface interface. In the second second second second interface is a second seco

The second s

The second second

File -

the statistic way in the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4622</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>nos</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Beatrice Brenneman to maintain a 2 ft. 6 in. sideyard for 30 ft. of the building on a portion of Lot 16, Bridges Estate, (description on file in Planning Department office), near Chatsworth Boulevard off Curtis Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 27. , 19 47

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2143

Application Received	By Copport
Investigation made <u>3-26-47</u>	By <u>Clark Sellew + Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-20	6-47 Hearing date
Decision opproved	Date 3-26-47 27-42 Building Inspector 3-28-47 itioner 3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-4722
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	27-12 Building Inspector 3-28-47
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Peti	itioner 3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-4722
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
resolution becomes chective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action
Application withdrawn	
Application withdrawn	

Le reversi de la tracia tracia Le reversi relatio de la tracia L'erre combrine travela L'erre combrine travela el coma Monthe presente travela el coma.

Twomicals in between study to the second of the instants for a discussion of the second of the secon

The real and the set of the A the set of the bread

the second s

1 1 Not a martine to the second of the second of the second of the

and another the second property of the property of the property

the the

and a share when the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4611</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to American Construction Company, I. Mallen, President, to split Lots 11, 12 and 13, Block 2, Point Lona Heights and 20 ft. of Clove Street closed into three building sites, two with 45 ft. frontage and one with 57 ft. frontage on Tennyson Street, northwest of Plum Street, to permit one single family residence on each lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Time timit extended to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 27, 19 1947

By

Thirty Chairman

Res. No. 21.44

FORM 2145

Dated_

at the c.). IT

No. and the second state and the second state and the second of

THE SAME AND A THE REPORT OF A STATE OF A DESCRIPTION OF ANY LATE OF SAME THERE A

Ire 1 t, the distance is the IC of the Ice 1 the Constraint of the Bourse, and a course of the proof of the second construction of the state

Beither remark as to big instand on shaked with a state , and , and there as bigg will be T ANTITUDE TO MAN PROFILTING OF TITLE DU. IT' THE POLICE' IN' THE TAY

te bue proferry Devisioned spere.

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-26-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 3-26-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-27-47.	Date 3-26-47 Building Inspector <u>3-28-47</u> 3-28-47 Health Department <u>3-28-47</u>
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Petitioner .	3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-47 2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By Clar

By

We absorption to a

Investigation made 3-26-47

The

City Planning Department

City Planning Department

William Contan in

RESOLUTION NO. 2145, amended by Res. 2455

0.4

WHEREAS, Application No. 4594 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stella F., Jim and Robert Holladay to build a 3rd residence on one nine acre parcel of land the Wly 837.5' of Pueblo Lot 1112, measured on the center line of Camino del Rio and lying south of Camino del Rio, east of 6th Street extension; residence to be built on the Westerly 125 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 27, , 19 47

By_

Soff the Chairman

Res. No. 2145

Application Received	By <u>City Planning Department</u>
Investigation made	
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-26-4	2 Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-27-4	Date 3-26-47 2 Building Inspector 3-28-47 3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-47+9
Planning Commission 3 -28-47 Petitioner	3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-47+a
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	_ Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second state

to the project of the services i controt. herew, greated as to be surfaced on attack about , forester as that welkes Jamerissie to the considere of stinubed for 1 "is now ferien, ", "With

the deate of 220 200 24.

of brailes del the, and a value for as containing root a re to be built on -moute of lit, remain of the said a time of a time to the torth attain the telephone on a complete the sould be good and again of a Persident a study (sector to the state of a line on a state day of a sector

CHITTLE

This could be a subjection, by one for the function is a function of the of here,

- a service many reactions and the service of the service when because the service of the Carty
- eres is a constructional and with rate of a construction of the second state of the se

- - - - and the second of the second of the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4501</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. C. Lane, owner and Hazel R. and Theodore P. Greville, purchasers, to construct a residence and garage on Lot 40, 84K.8, except the SELY 60 ft., Normal Heights subdivision of Villa Lots 117 to 127 and portion of 116, on the SELY corner of Benton Place and North Mountain View Drive with a 10 ft. setback from North Mountain View Drive for the residence and the garage to be placed no closer to the property line on Benton Flace than the house adjacent to the north.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____ March 27, , 19 47

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2146

Application Received	Baugh ma Cito Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-26-47</u> By	Clark Sellew + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-26-47</u> H Decision Cond's approved D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-27-47</u> B Planning Commission <u>3-28-47</u> Petitioner <u>3-</u>	learing date
Decision Cond' approval D	ate 3-26-97
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 = 27-41 B	uilding Inspector <u>3-28-97</u>
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Petitioner 3-	28-47 Health Department 3-28-47 Van
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
	ontinued to
	Date of action

are l'of CITO

Charles The Period of Start Start Start A perference to the period of the stated above, heredeer we block with the the

That our contact of do build that i have a probably to the south the second second second second second second where the a chromoson and a state of a chromoson to the bus the property The real postion of 10, on the bid, or mer of south of how entry. We real Concert but all of the state will describe and deliver of will wilder 1954 117 the Look to be reported to the state out of the state out and the state of the book state of the sta

DENERGED SET OF STORED OF BEST STORES CONTRACTING OF CALFUL SON DIERO.

The set of the second state the set of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4613</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. G. and C. Marie Greene to build a garage, attached to existing residence and enclose rear porch at 959 Albion Street on the NLy 73 ft. of Lots 29 to 33 inclusive, Block 12, Roseville Heights; residence with a 2 ft. sideyard on the south side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____ March 27, , 19 47

By

Secretary Chairman .

Res. No. 2147

0.4

Application Received <u>3-17-47</u>	By City Planning Department
	By <u>Clark, Sellew + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-26-47	Hearing date
Decision apprend	Date 3-26-47 2 Building Inspector 3-28-47 3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-47+ and
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-27-4	Building Inspector <u>3-28-47</u>
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Petitioner.	3-28-47 Health Department 3-38-41 + and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ARTS TOU TOTAL

a subjects the convisions of a large set 390%, sentime to, and in a set of the constraints to the constraints to the set of the set residence this s 2 21. sileration the small ride.

also and to relating some above to those soor parch of 9.3 within derived on the state of some sources in the second of the second sources in the second second second sources in the second se a set in a set i the set of the set of the heater far the particular the set of the set

THESE DRY, IN IN REMETERS IN THE CONTRACTORS OF THE CASE OF SHADDLERS.

- the second second setting with the second second
- and the production of the market will be selected by an entering of the function of the function of the selected of the select
- weby the second of the second respective to the second sec

a real more the company presented has shown then Sent Lon-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4620</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alice and Frank Cimmino to manufacture Overhead Doors and necessary fittings pertaining thereto; maximum of 10 horsepower equipment and 10 employees, in the 3300 Block on National Avenue on Lots 31 and 32, Block 290, Seaman & Choates Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance Ne. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 27. , 1947

By

Secretery Chairman

Res. No. 214g

Application ReceivedE	By Baughang Department
Investigation made <u>3-26-47</u> E	By Clark Sellew + Bunton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-27-47	Hearing date
Decision Oppond Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-27-47	Date 3-25-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-27-47	Building Inspector <u>3-28-47</u>
Planning Commission 3-28-47 Petitioner	3-28-47 Health Department 3-28-474 au
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WAT LOOP TIME

the projectly continued clove. er a realized an to the particulars of the second flow 1561, we shak to be reight

Avenue on Sola 31 rs. St. Mock Sc., sonstarts Motor Pre addition. hursey such equipment and to entry mer, in the 1500 of et an Equipment. CALLE I AS TH DECOMPTY TILLAN SOUTHARD SUPPORT IN THAT AND A TO THE Acritican is hereby an also be the said trade Charadae be specifications of

THEREFORE, IN TO DESCRIPTED BY DAMAGINE COMPLETENCY THE CARD OF SAM DISPLA.

I A THE REAL PROPERTY ATTACK IN MALE ATTACK OF THE REAL OF THE CASE

the second description with the second states of the second states of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4627</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. P. Jones to split a portion of Pueble Let 1347 (description on file in Planning Department Office) into two building sites, each with 40 ft. frontage on Breadway and to permit two single family residences or one duplex on each parcel according to a plat on file in the Planning Department Office on Broadway, 100 ft. west of 35th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 3. , 1947_

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2149

E.K

With 2 the state of the state of the providence presented has shown free Section is of the state of the state

2. The anti-section and the property for the reservation was a section to the property for the reservation was a section for the property for the property for the property of the property of

4 - Des the set of the second set of motion of the second property factor to be at the state

THEREFORE AND THE PERSONNEL BY THE Second Constitutes of the City of Son Diego

A dreat in the intervent of function for the second will be complete of Frichton of Frichton Lob 1987 (description on File in File of Second willing) into the helicitation ether, end with Fili, functories on reactive to struct the similar field from the file of the Fili (the function of the second in the struct the struct in the restances of such filities, an investory, 100 fb. (erb of 5. the Struct. the structure for the structure, an investory, 100 fb. (erb of 5. the Struct.).

A workstone to the revisions of a lowes be. I for, he, and it haveby Anoted as the particulary and the work, herein a bey relate to to the property methods of them.

By. Lonis Investigation made ____ City Planning Department + 1/2/47 Hearing date Considered by Zoning Committee 3/26/47 Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/3/47 Building Inspector Date 4/3/47 Health Department 4/3/47 Council Hearing, date Planning Commission 4/3/47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council_ Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

By

ALL I

the min fill a fil

ning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4635</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Company to re-construct a residence on the Northwest corner of 4th and Palm Streets on Lot G. Block 331, Horton's Addition, with 0 ft. setback on 4th Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 3. _____, 19 47

FORM 2145

By

Sharet any Chairman

Res. No. 2150

O.K

		1.1.1.1

When the Alexandrow Alexandrow and the source of the Source Case Section for the Source Section.

Aussensity and the prevention of the section of the prevention of

3 provide the first second substance. And the static affect the heaths are affect of a second sec

A The period of a part of the strong will . Math. Investmenty effect the busines than of the City

THEOLOGY ONE IN TO REPORT TO THE ROUTING COMPLETE AT THE CALF OF SHIP Diego.

C Farminelo is a rebuilt of the building State Incurstice & Frust Contary the summer of a residence on the inclusion to start of bth and falls Streets on bot 0, their SFL, there is itten, when 0 ft, settent on the burnes.

A verimen to the convictance of and quecked. J'Fil, us, and is hereby " granted us to the verifical relates to the projecty continue where.

 Resolution becomes effective

 Application withdrawn

 Time limit extended to

 Date of action

By Planning Department By <u>Zonin</u> Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Hearing date Considered by Zoning Committee 3/26/47 Decision Considered by Zoning Commission Date Decision Opproved Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/3/47 Building Inspector 4/3/47 Health Department 4/3/47 + Ase Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn

TRANS VILLET

100* 100* 0300

AND GAR TANON

WHEREAS, Application No. 4587 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Raymond A. and Doris Jung to erect a four unit court on Lots 38, 39 and 40, Block 11, La Jolla Strand, 100 ft. west of La Jolla Boulevard, on Rosemont Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted ingofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 4, , 1947

FORM 2145

By

8/5/42	- Rose
A August	0.0
Application Received	y Carrish
	City Planning Department
- i alia lua T	Bai Paritte
Investigation made E	By Joning Committee City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 3/12/47 Decision	Date 3/12/47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/4/97	Building Inspector <u>41847</u>
Planning Commission 4/9/47 Petitioner	4/9/47 Health Department 4/9/47 & and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

fusion and there we have not the the proverty newstands above.

A haddened the for the follow of the formule the lifed', bet and in bear by growing

>umit is investigation of the second structure in the structure is the second structure source as well a second structure is a second structure is a second second structure. We well a former all second structure.

THEY TAK NOT THE ALL AND DECOM

to the second of the second street the Manuel Plan at the City

I have a straight at the second second

and and an and a second s

the second s

The second second

COPY

A: 35 P. OFFICE GRANT RECORDERS OFFICE GRANT DEED

54053

FOSTER S. POST and MAUDE B. POST, husband and wife, For and in consideration of Ten and no/100 - - - - - - - - - - - Dollars. Do hereby grant to DUNCAN NICOL and CATHERINE E. NICOL,

husband and wife as joint tenants

All that Real Property situated in the County of San Diego, State of California, bounded and described as follows:

An easement and right of way for public utilities and road purposes over all that portion of Pueblo Lot 1291 of the Pueblo Lands of San Diego, in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California, according to the Map thereof made by James Pascoe in 1870, a copy of which said Map was filed in the County Recorder's office of said San Diego County November 14, 1921 and is known as Miscellaneous Map No. 36, described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the Southeasterly line of said Pueblo Lot 1291 with the Westerly line of Pacific Highway; thence South 75°09'20" West along said Southerly line to the most Southerly corner of said Lot; thence Northwesterly along the South-westerly line of said Lot a distance of 50 feet; thence North 75°09'20" East, parallel with said Southeasterly line of said Lot to said Westerly line of Pacific Highway; thence Southerly along said Westerly line of Pacific Highway; thence Southerly along said Westerly line to the point of beginning.

and days i shirts a far tool by this Personality while because hirts of the there is the

WITNESS our hands this 20th day of May, 1947

Signed and executed in presence of

FOSTER S. POST

MAUDE B. POST

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>3691</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marvin Willis and Duncan Nicol to divide a portion of Pueble Lets 1290 and 1291 (60 acres and description on file in the Planning Department Office), Ardath Read, 12 miles south of the City Dump and to erect a stable before the residence is erected; the parcel of land does not have frontage upon a dedicated street but fronts upon an adequate easement; provided there is a recorded easement for access leading from this property to a dedicated street. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5924, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

No pomits until recorded eacoment shown

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 10, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2152

City Planning Department
Zoning City Planning Department Hearing date 12/4/46 Date 12/4/46 Building Inspector 4-11-47
Date 12/4/46
Building Inspector <u>4-11-47</u>
-11 - 47 Health Department 7-11-47 Vas
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

60+ 01-5

Hall Digitize services of the

construction is the set of the formation in the set of the state of the state

The " By an I want the state the Consultance of the Cary of Day plane.

and a set of the set of the best from the set of the set of the best of the set of the s

the second second we are also really affects the Manter of the pitch of the color

the manufactory and static Solt in accounting only benckel to

a second a second description of a second description of the second de

2.05 date of the other by health of early of

The second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4320</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to P. S. Claytor to alter a two story dwelling at 2015 Fort Stockton Drive on Lots 12 and 13. Block 6. Mission Hills into a duplex, provided the remodeled building has the appearance of a single family residence and the final decision on the appearance to be made by the Flanning Department office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_17

FORM 2145

By_

Sdererster Chairman

Res. No. 2153

O,K

	 Dak K. 	-

a start and the start and the start of the start of a start of

the second of the second second

the second second man and a second of a second of the second of the Call

Minimum Shi an at a shirth and the or the contraction of the fact of Shirth Diese.

at 2011 cry the box of the control of the test of the box of the box of the line is the box of the box of

Alightation of the provide in the set of the set and alight, by, rade in here , surred STERNING THORNESSER OUT OF

randonce on a plicel freition of the production to be mult by the

Devoj CLASS 090AC+ naits his protections stated goars, interior as they relate to be proved

Investigation made 12/4/46+ 4/9/47 By Zoning City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12/4/46 Hearing date 12/18/46 + 4/ Decision Cond's approval Decision Cond's approved Date 4/9/47 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-11-47 Building Inspector 4-11-47 4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-47 va Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

_____ By _

11/27/46

Tiecher -844-11 110*

Application Received __

Planning Department

Ne 《如何》《图图》》是
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4618</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry J. Theuner, owner and E. L. Henderson, G. S. Hampson, J. G. Hauft, C. C. Keating, and R. C. Updegraff, lessees, to operate off-sale liquor package store in an existing market at 4725 Federal Boulevard on Lots 6 to 10 inclusive, Federal Boulevard Unit No. 1; permit to be revocable.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2305, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 10, , 19 47

FORM 2145

0. K

The second 100 million and a second the view of a second of a second of

the first state is a filterial or the behavior of the filter manager of the set formations?"

di litte a filment i and can reason with the state of a strate the strate the state City

THEY DEF. J. LESCINED. D. D. JO JOURNE COMPLETE ALLED CLUD OF SHIDLERES.

10 LOADS 100 "should very be to to to including very Tenter I Sall very Unit We. It formit to o closers oft- a fo Tranca he chaite ofond the a forthe land of the sector and. C. Lordson, C. C. Mauris, C. C. D. Dotting, and S. C. Chickpoils, Lordson, by Pre-ornistion is revers branco, to nonr, . the mar, owner rul de de car erron,

puttoreby frombud as to the invitendary stated above, longing as the univer to and variance to the provisions of Or isches How 2300, on Jeries, or, and is

Investigation made ______ By Clark City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-47 Hearing date Decision Condil Opportunity Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-11-47</u> Planning Commission <u>4-11-47</u> Petitioner <u>4-11-47</u> Planning Commission <u>4-11-47</u> Petitioner <u>4-11-47</u> Petitioner <u>4-11-47</u> Health Department <u>4-11-47</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

By_

lanning Department

A ST STALLAND . CONTACT ...

MARKET MARKET STREET

Application Received _3/20/47

the processly needed encode allores.

MENG THE THE STATE STATE

Ga

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clifton R. and Ethel Bassett, owner and Rubwa and Julian Brickson, purchasers to move in a single family residence on a portion of Lot 12, Ex-Mission Mancho, 75 ft. by 115 ft. in size, approximately 630 ft. east of 69th Street on Jamacha Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 10. . 1947

By

Secretary, Chairman

Res. No. 2155

o.k

Dated _____

	and the second se
Man Andrea The Andrea Andrea	0,1
Application Received _2/21-47 B	, Janish
Appreciation Received	City Planning Department
	00 0
Investigation made <u>4-9-47</u> B	Clark Kengen + Burton City Planing Department
Interested and a second s	City Playning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-97	Hearing date
Decision apara ?	Date $4 - 9 - 97$ Building Inspector $4 - 11 - 47$ 4 - 11 - 47 Health Department $4 - 11 - 474$ Osca
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-11-47	Building Inspector 4-11-47
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitioner	Uniting Inspector for the United of the Unit
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	C illi in lots
Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. A.B.

11 3 2 3

THORES ON THE PLACE OF STA

TOP TO THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

TTY , the restart of a surply of TELESA

and the second second second second second

TO THE A CAST TO THE S GUAR.

THEY THEY AND THE

souther refer to the reference to the reference

A WEITING SH. CHA PROPERTIC STREET

the same at these is a standard to

112

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4588</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James and Carolyn Lowrie to erect a garage 36 ft. by 20 ft. S in. (total area of 745 sq. ft.) at 5524 Montesuma Road on Lot 9, Block 2, College Park Unit No. 1, with a 125 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Ea, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 10, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2156

O.K

Application Received <u>3-21-47</u> By	City Channing Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-97</u> By	Clarke Kenigan & Burton City Planging Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-47 H	Iearing date
Decision approved D	Date 4-9-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-11-47 B	uilding Inspector <u>4-11-47</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4}{11-47}$ B Planning Commission $\frac{4}{1-11}$ Petitioner $\frac{4}{7}$	uilding Inspector <u>4-11-47</u> -11-47 Health Department 4-11-47 * and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	continued to
Time limit extended to D	Date of action

intion to family of the state is a state operation of a state spore, and the state is a state to the state of the state of

> explanates is it is the second of the second second

And the second s

The state of the

the further and a fair and a substant we take a constant of the source of the substant of the substant of the substant

prestructures interpreter course

FILDER HI ME LOT PHY:

LARKET, ON PERIOD STOLEN .

and the second which is the state of the

A.F. 196* 1999日日 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4651</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

H- 2.

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. J. Fulleylove, owner and Voltaire Motors, lessee, to construct a 24 ft. by 96 ft. Stransteel model 24 shop building on the Southeasterly side of San Clemente Street, SO ft. Westerly from Voltaire Street on Lot 3, Block 2A, Loma Alta No. 1, with no rear yard and 63% coverage, for use in connection with auto sales and service building on adjacent lot in "6" zone, provided the building is not less than 12 ft. from the southerly lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series and Section Sa of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Appell 10, _____, 19 17

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2157

0.1

All the address of the the second sec	and the second
Application ReceivedB	City Planing Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-47</u> By	Clark Kengin + Burton City Penning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-47	Hearing date Date 4-9-47 Building Inspector 4-11-47 4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-474 access
Decision Condil general	Date 4-9-17
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-11-47	Building Inspector 4-11-47
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitioner	4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-474 asses
Appear med with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	An excellence of the second of

an of the second second and the second se

The role, set a flow this at her flow the low be related to the state of the set

Har we in completion will oute a second pressice will be write the to the topic

Teachteira i hourir ir att 151 - ... 105 Lover e ber wit Milt tee Jotopre Leace, an constant - 2 st. or 151, to be areflet reactiff short suit in oa

the Southerstructure is the state, and it was been well of the state o

and the second sec

Scarzy and so the surgery of the transmission the best of the

LHL CALLER

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4652</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. J. Fulleylove, owner and Voltaire Motors, lessee, to construct a 24 ft. by 96 ft. stransteel shop building and an 8 ft. fence, on the Southeasterly side of San Clemente Street, 30 ft. westerly from Voltaire Street, on Lot 3, Block 2A, Loma Alta No. 1, with no setback from San Clemente Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 10, , 1947

What is an bus publics to wat

Secretary Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2158

Application Received <u>3-21-47</u> By	y Baughan City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-47</u> By	Clark, Kernigan & Buston City Planing Department
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-11-47</u> Planning Commission <u>4-11-47</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 4-9-97 Building Inspector 4-11-97 9-11-97 Health Department 9-11-97 Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

TON * 01 10 * 15520

A verience to be reministed of reingree of light, by the bench, grated on the field or sticle we show flow, innovation to the to the monostrine timed conta

contraction of contraction and contraction of the second of the sec

The Clef is the set of the set of

Markey Ass. C. J. House Markey

WHEREAS, Application No. 4653 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. J. Fulleylove, owner and Voltaire Motors. lessee, to construct a chain link fence S ft. high, along front, side and rear of lot on the Southeasterly side of San Clemente Street, SO ft. Westerly from Voltaire Street on Lot 3, Block 24, Loma Alta No. 1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 10, . 1947

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2159

6. 18

Dated____

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-9-47</u> By	Clark, Kenigan & Burton City Planong Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-9-47</u> Decision <u>Approved</u> Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-11-47	Hearing date Date 4-9-47 Building Inspector 4-11-47
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

**** ET 0

www. or the table

of lot on the protociterity of a constraint of the solution of the solution of the control of the solution of the control of the solution of t

isomission, Isless of constants, independent, independent, independent, is set to constant, independent, independent,

and a second s

and the state of the set of the set of the party of the set of the set of the set

HENTER, LASS MALLES

and the second states of the

WHEREAS, Application No. 4641 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marian and Mark Eline to use an existing residence at 3346 - 30th Street on Lot 18, Block 1, Lynhurst, in which to operate a Real Estate Office and to maintain a sign not to exceed 15 sq. ft. in size and projecting not more than 8 in. from the face of the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 10. _____, 19 17

FORM 2145

By_

0

and the second s	0
Application Received <u>3-24-47</u>	By Garrish City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-47</u>	By <u>Clark Kengin + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-	Y7 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 4-9-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-1	11-47 Building Inspector 4-11-47
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petit	Date $4 - 9 - 47$ 11 - 47 Building Inspector $4 - 11 - 47tioner 4 - 11 - 47 Health Department 4 - 11 - 477 and$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

CA IN MALWARK UP LINE H

same to be to show were have reached about the reached to the the first the for best is share a require the first of the a sa anna shi su ta A controlling in total a parter \$ = 21 a controlling \$5 are on elliptical publication of \$4. - 5.5. traction is to 1.5. both \$. a number \$5. state \$5. so provide a control to 1.5. and \$5. so to 1.5. and \$5. so to 5. a control \$5. and \$5. and \$5. and \$5. and but the and projection a to 1.5. and \$5. and \$5.

endies.ph. semptours, whose - 18 1

LINES, LOUIS

a point a strain and a strain with a strain a st

TO P

10.1

WHEREAS, Application No. 4615 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. B. and Elizabeth T. Chappell to erect a garage at 3175 "A" Street on Lots 43 to 45 inclusive, Elock 120. M. W. Morse, with no setback on "A" Street, provided the highest point of the garage is not higher than the floor level of the house adjacent on the west.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 10, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2161

0. 4

·····································	
Application Received <u>3-25-47</u> B	y City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-47</u> B	y <u>Clark Kerngin + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-9-47</u> Decision Condit Opproved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-11-17</u>	Hearing date Date 4-9-47 Building Inspector 4-11-47
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitioner	9-11-97 Health Department 9-11-97 4 42
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	_ Council Hearing, date _ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

00+= 0+= TET

, voi nee te the conficient of concerts limit, et le let to be the let do the concerts of the

- 0. 6*

ALL'Y PLAN STATES

18.172

control district a state to the state of the state o

to Ref. and to Ref. and the second state of th

and the provide state of the second state of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4665</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. A. Laughlin to make interior alterations to a rooming house (install bathroom) at 202 West Fir Street on the Mg of Lot G and the Mg of the South 15 ft. of Lot H, Block 235, Morton's Addition, with an existing 3 ft. rear yard; total value of work to exceed 50% of 1935 assessed valuation.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Ss, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Secretary, Chairman

Res. No. 2162

There is a second to be a second to	
Application Received By	City Hamming Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-47</u> By	Clark Kengins Buton City Planing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-9-47</u> Decision <u>Approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-11-47</u>	Hearing date Date $4 - 9 - 47$ Building Inspector $4 - 11 - 47$ 4 - 11 - 47 Health Department $4 - 11 - 47$ F and a second sec
Planning Commission 9-11-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

personal l'al maneri de l'el sus concernes paras aparents encones presente encor de la facto de

contract is a second of the second seco

and the set of the state of

a provide a start of the second of the

and an internet at the same that have been a ferred

A. いたたはのですう、「「マーム」を行っていたか。

きたまですが、またいないないないない。

1601 1 2001

par an

P just pror .

Letter and the second

Carlen 1 1 12

The officer portion of the

ALOT POST

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4669</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. P. Wilmurt to build a residence on in Lots 17 and 18, Block 14, Center Addition to La Jolla Park, at the south end of Cabrillo Avenue with a 21 ft. setback where the average is 34 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

turner is filt to atta the heatle pite state (Then dan see a

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ April 10, , 1947

FORM 2145

As a serie of the second second second

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2163

Application Received	By Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4-9-47$	By <u>Clark Kernigen + Burton</u> City Planing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-4	7 Hearing date Date $4-9-47$ -47 Building Inspector $4-11-47mer 4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-47 \neq a_{-2}$
Decision approved	Date 4-9-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-11	-47 Building Inspector 4-11-47
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitio	ner 4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-47 & and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the solution of the state of the second second of the second second second second second second second second s

sobalal all strategic strategic to the strategic bill and the second strategic to the second strategic

17.00

the addition of the second of

00* 14* 15 (G)

Tentionel above.

DHOWER OFFICE WY TA STRATEGIC

at here the task that the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4660</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George W. Wood to construct an 11 ft. by 12 ft. kitchen addition to a dwelling (No. 35), 810 Catalina Boulevard on Pueblo Lot 182, the Theosophical Grounds; permit to expire on the same date as previous resolutions No. 113 and 172.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____April 10, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2164

Application Received	3-24-47	By	G	Burton ty Planning Depar	tment
Investigation made	4-9-47	_ By _C	Clark, H	Kennig ty Planning Depar	* Buston
Considered by Zoning	Committee 4-9-9	7 Hear	ring date		
Decision appro	med .	Date	: 4-9-	47	the state of the second second second
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 4-11	-47 Build	ding Inspec	tor <u> 4-11-</u>	47
Planning Commission	4-11-47 Petitio	oner 4	11-47 He	ealth Departme	ent 4-11-47va
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date		Cour	Date $4 - 9 - 47$ Building Inspector $4 - 11 - 47$ $4 - 11 - 47$ Health Department $4 - 11 - 47 \times 2$ Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council		Date	e		and the second
Resolution becomes effe	ctive		the contraction of		
Application withdrawn		Cont	tinued to		
Time limit extended to		Date	e of action		

hored's granice's Line of the blant licks to buy up northered's sove.

Stop Street to ...

interior and are and the balance of the second the second the second the second part of the second part and the

Astronomical in the properties to be a local back the construction it ferting is

A ANT A RANK AND

a second a second of the second s

The second second second second as the second second

NUMBER OF THE SERVICE

Schores is a transmission of

.

COM STATIST

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4639</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward and Marie Smith to divide a portion of the Ely 2 of the Wly 2 of the SWly 2 of 4 Section 103, Rancho de la Nacion, a parcel of land with 166 ft. street frontage and 200 ft. deep, into two parcels, to permit one single family residence on each parcel, provided the 166 ft. is divided so that each parcel has equal street frontage, on the north side of Alleghany Street about 20 ft, west of Flintridge Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____April 10, , 1947_

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2165

0. 1.

Application Received	ByCity Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-47</u>	_ By <u>Clark Kenijan + Burton</u> City Planing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-4	7 Hearing date
Decision Con l' approval	Date 4-9-47
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-11-	47 Building Inspector 4-11-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-11-</u> Planning Commission <u>4-11-</u> <u>47</u> Petition	47 Building Inspector <u>4-11-47</u> ner 4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-47 & a
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-11-</u> Planning Commission <u>4-11-47</u> Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	47 Building Inspector <u>4-11-47</u> ner <u>9-11-97</u> Health Department <u>9-11-97</u> and <u>6</u> Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	47 Building Inspector 4-11-47 ner 9-11-97 Health Department 9-11-97 Council Hearing, date
Decision Config Composed Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-11-</u> Planning Commission <u>4-11-</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Council Hearing, date

Pick Stop

Align and the state of the

and the set by many a many

Don's literative states and states

A CALL AND A

Terry and a star of the

RESOLUTION NO. 85827

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of C. R. Carroll from the decision of the Zoning Committee in regard to the erection of a new Neon sign on a non-conforming store building at 1926 Fort Stockton Drive on Lots 14 to 17, incl., except east 126 feet, be, and it is hereby sustained, and a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and it is hereby granted insofar as it relates to the property mentioned for erection of a 2'10" x 6' sign, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby overruled.

I hereby certify	the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No	00021
of the Council of the City of Sa	n Diego, as adopted by said Council APR 2 9 1941	

FRED W. SICK

F. T. PATTEN

City Clerk.

Deputy.

OFDON

Plan

O.K

By.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4687</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elise Lueders, owner and Charles Carroll, tenant, to erect a new Neon Sign on a non-conforming store building at 1926 Fort Stockton Drive on Lots 14 to 17 inclusive, except the east 126 ft., provided the sign dees not exceed 15 sq. ft. in area and provided it does not extend beyond the face of the building more than 8 inches.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No.12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 10, , 19_47

1 March

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No.2166

0.

Application Received4-2-47	_ By Bouth
Investigation made <u>4-9-47</u>	City Planning Department By <u>Clark Kernian + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-4	7 Hearing date
Decision Cond's approvale Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-11</u>	Date 4-9-97
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitie	oner 4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-474 and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

HORE OFLICE

weithe bo be adding that all the dense to be the there be the set of the state of the set of the se

and the set of the set

WHEREAS, Application No. 4628 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. G. A. Mac Namara to alter a single family residence on the east end of 4th Street (in National City.) Lot 16, B. F. Pritchard's Paradise Villa Addition to a duplex, provided the remodeled building has the appearance of a single family residence and subject to final approval of the plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 115, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_

FORM 2145

April 10, , 19 47

By

Secretary Chairman

0,

Application Received	By Ry City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-47</u>	By <u>Clark Kernigån & Bunton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-9-</u> Decision Conduct approach Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-4</u> Planning Commission <u>4-11-47</u> Petiti Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date $\frac{y-y-y}{1-\frac{y-1}{2}}$ Hearing Inspector $\frac{y-11-\frac{y}{2}}{1-\frac{y}{2}}$ tioner $\frac{y-11-\frac{y}{2}}{1-\frac{y}{2}}$ Health Department $\frac{y-11-\frac{y}{2}}{2}$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

· more of Lean

wruises () to voriginate of this we will be ealery be fore () without a to the cution of the or , in the prove to the provide of the cution of the or , in the provide of the cutoff of the cut IN THEFT 10 M 1 4 4 ariterra fetar file dille dilla della de

to the other the production of the second

HELEN ON THE TO REPAIR AND ST

ARS CITY OF LOW A CONTRACT OF LAND

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 24 12

April and a state of the second state of the second state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4344</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack Kennedy and D. L. Hunt to build, repair and sell small boats and marine supplies at 4218 Midway Drive on Lot 3. Block 8, Drucker's Subdivision, provided a 6 ft. solid fence is constructed around the entire rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 213, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 10, , 1947

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2168

J.T.F. P()*)	, set a set of the set		0	
Application Received	3-21-47	By	City Planning Department	
Investigation made	4-9-47	_ By	Clark Kenigen + 6 City Planing Department	Burton
Considered by Zoning	Committee <u>4-9-</u>	42 1	Jearing date	
Decision Condi a	to City Clerk 4-11.	-47 1	Date $4-9-47$ Building Inspector <u>$4-11-47$</u> -11-47 Health Department 5	
Planning Commission	Y-11-47 Petitio	ner 4	-11-47 Health Department	1-11-47 vasse
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date		ouncil Hearing, date	
Decision of Council			Date	
Resolution becomes effe	ective			
Application withdrawn			Continued to	
Time limit extended to			Date of action	Constant of the second second second second

aller Christian

top in a second solution with the

control and the state of t

to the proverties of the second of

at the press

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4575</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. L. Green and Bernice Pratt to erect an S ft. wire link fence with 3 strands of barbed wire at a 45 degree angle in, at 719 - 13th Street on Lot F. Block 78, Herton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 10, , 19 47

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2169

FORM 2145

Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-9-47</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Approved</u> Date <u>4-9-47</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-11-47</u> Building Inspector <u>4-11-47</u> Planning Commission <u>4-11-47</u> Petitioner <u>4-11-47</u> Health Department <u>4-11-47</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> Decision of Council <u>Date</u>	Application Received _	3-21-47	By	City Planning Department
Planning Commission 9-11-97 Petitioner 9-11-97 Health Department 9-11-9 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective	nvestigation made	4-9-47	By <u>Clan</u>	k Kenigan + Buton City Planing Department
Planning Commission 9-11-97 Petitioner 9-11-97 Health Department 9-11-9 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective	Considered by Zoning	Committee <u>4-9-</u>	47 Hearing	g date
Planning Commission 9-11-97 Petitioner 9-11-97 Health Department 9-11-9 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective	Decision appr	oved	Date	4-9-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective	Jopy of Resolution sent	t to City Clerk 7	- Y Building	g Inspector <u>19129</u>
Decision of Council Date Date				
Resolution becomes effective	Decision of Council	licik, uate		Incaring, date
	Resolution becomes effe	ective		
Application withdrawn Continued to	Application withdrawn		Continu	ied to
Time limit extended to Date of action			Date of	action .

30 4 53 8

a relation to the provisions of this time set pick on controls bes in the second

hereit could be to the surface of the surface of the cours, incost with the such will be

to the provident contract of a

Letther control with the big of the to

1.0

1 pt 25

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4570</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hyman and Annie Effron to erect a 20 ft. by 24 ft. garage on Lot I, Block 103, Mission Beach (an unimproved lot), 723 Jamaica Court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____April 10, , 19 47

By_

Secretary Chairman .

Res. No. 2170

·.K

FORM 2145

A STATE TO A STATE OF A	
Application Received By	Garrish
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-47</u> By_	Clark, Kerrigen & Burton City Planong Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-47 H	learing date
Decision approved D	ate 4-9-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /-//-47 B	uilding Inspector <u>4-11-47</u>
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitioner 4	ate $\frac{y-y-47}{y-11-47}$ uilding Inspector $\underline{y-11-47}$ $\frac{y-11-47}{y-11-47}$ Health Department $\frac{y-11-47}{y-11-47}$ are ouncil Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	Pate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	ontinued to
	Date of action

The state

170

TO OIG . HEAT THE BOLL T

123.2000

Stroke .

The second OWATTLE

DOZIT NUMPER PROVIDENCE 1 the second

VALTY

200

\$STURN! Riser

BY SL Theman **卒[13. 14**1-14 10310

D

60*** 0** 1110*

36.2 37

Said Take The Top OL .

n p

The search of the second second

86018

Plan

O.K

RESOLUTION NO.____

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Robert B. Wilson, 627 Genter Street, La Jolla, and Richard C. Blackledge, 6933 Draper Avenue, La Jolla, from the original decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its kesolution No. 2171 their application No. 4661 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, to divide Lots 11 and 12 Block A Starkey's Prospect Park into three parcels, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said original decision be, and it is hereby denied, and BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and they are hereby granted on said property, to permit division into three parcels with a single-family residence on each 50 ft. by 120 ft. parcel and two residences on the 73 ft. by 120 ft. corner parcel, at the southeast corner of Draper and Westbourne Streets, provided a minimum of 15 ft. setback is maintained on Draper

Street, and BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Resolution No. 85828, adopted April 29, 1947, be, and it is hereby repealed.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. MAY 13 1947 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council FRED V'. SICK

By.

Deputy.

F. T. Sitzelerk.

8601.8
Plan

CK

RESOLUTION NO. 85323 Repealed by 86018 RESOLVED

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Robert B. Wilson, 627 Genter Street, La Jolla and Richard C. Blackledge, 6933 Draper Avenue, La Jolla, from the original decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 2171 their application No. 4661 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, to divide Lots 11 and 12 Block A Starkey's Prospect Park into three parcels, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said original decision be, and it is hereby denied, and

IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that variance to the provisions of Ordi-BE nance No. 13294, be, and they are hereby granted to said property, to "Interently the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. of the Councib of the City of San Diego as adopted by raid Councib urne St mum of 15 ft. setback is maintained on Draper Stree City Clerk. DW.SICK By Deputy. F. T. PATTEN

522 \$5828 \$60,8 WHEREAS, Application No. 4661 has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):
 - 1. That there are _____ NO _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
 - not 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
 - 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
 - 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Richard and Mary Blackledge and Robert and Genevieve Wilson to split Lots 11 and 12, Block A, Starkey's Prospect Park into three parcels and permit one single family residence on each 50 ft. lot and two residences on the corner lot, Southeast corner of Draper and Westbourne Streets, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____ FORM 2145

April 10, , 19 47

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2171

U.K.

	0
Application Received	By Closs
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-47</u>	By Clark Kenigan & Burton City Planing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-4	7 Hearing date
Decision dlenged	Date 4-9-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-11	-17 Building Inspector 4-11-47
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitio	Date $4-9-47$ -47 Building Inspector $4-11-47mer 4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-47 VQ22$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

01* 0* TIT

ou is tevenge het. . 2.5. -Coliceban, fer e Virberer is ble real lose of distances in. 1359, Depend 18 Gerengenstaled inspirerentieus i dieus die seiter. Eine die die seitered in ee.

the restates of Utility of the set of the se

a water and the se

THE REPORT OF A REPORT

I.I.I

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen L. Bloominshine to divide Lot 2, Block 167 La Playa at San Fernando and Regers Streets into two parcels, each with 75 ft. frontage on San Fernando Street and 150 ft. deep with the required setback maintained on San Fernando Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated

FORM 2145

April 10, , 1947

By

Res. No. 2172

Application ReceivedBy	Coppock My Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-47</u> By	<u>Clark Kennigen & Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date $4-9-47$ Building Inspector $4-11-47$ 4-11-47 Health Department $4-11-47$ r Q ₂
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-11-47	Building Inspector <u>9-11-97</u>
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitioner	4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-47 r Q2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE TRUESS

Real Stears of the control of the control of the control of the bar of the control of the contro

Lerviteblow in Femily (Truite) that large a sector in the inclusion into the sector of the sector of the sector is a sector of the sec

Allestinen is in structure in the second in the second in the second is an arriver of the second in the second is the second is

there for a surplus of providence of the surply surply surply and the sure of the surply a straight

all the contract of the second state of the second se

prove the second s

the a set of the addition of the set of the

of the Cirk of the provide the second of the second s

STRAIL AND STATES TO STATE

WHEREAS, Application No. 4644 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George C. Compton to erect a single family residence on the Northeasterly 65 ft. of Lots 25 and 26. Block 36. Ocean Beach, on the Southwesterly corner of Del Monte and Ebers Streets; dupler on remaining portion of original lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 10, 19 47

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2174

FORM 2145

Dated_

A star we have a star of the s	2
Application Received E	Sv
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-47</u> E	By Clark Kerrigan + Burton City Planeing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-9-47</u>	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 4-9-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-11-47	Building Inspector <u>4-11-47</u>
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-11-47</u> Planning Commission <u>4-11-47</u> Petitioner	4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-47 + assee
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

aparts I work in the

APrice of the second of the second a contract of the second of the

17 12

14 8

3

the second the species the second second

101 mm 61 410 32 ...

THEY KEEP CO.

and rol and the pass agreed a rola is

PUPPERIO DE PETERIO I DE PE

anation for a star of a contract of a star

test tot total

1. 1. 7. 7. 7. 7.1

to the second second

which is a function of the place

	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4665</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. G. Fenton Material Company to make shallow gravel excavation and to operate a small rock crushing plant and a concrete mixing plant, (description of property on file in Planning Department office) Chollas Valley, east of 35th Street to Fairmount Avenue, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The small rock crushing plant and concrete mixing plant and all buildings to be placed on the WE of Lot 20, Horton's Purchase, Ex-Mission Lands, lying north of Federal Boulevard;
- At the expiration date of this resolution all equipment will be removed from the property, the holes will be filled and the area will be left in clean condition; an adequate channel will be provided;
 As agreed upon by the petitioner, at the City's request an easement will be
- 3. As agreed upon by the petitioner, at the City's request an easement will be granted to the city for the widening or extension of Chollas Valley Parkway to a width of 100 ft. and a right of way for Wabash Canyon Read on widths as required;
- 4. The Pre-Mix Concrete Plant at 6th and Washington Streets will be dismantled and removed within 6 months.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 & 32 New Series, be and is hereby granted as to thany permission granted by interfore solution with the late of this resolution. revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_17

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2174

O.K

Application Received	4-8-47	By	City Planning Department
Investigation made	4-9-47	_ By <u>Cla</u>	KKenigan & Burton City PfInning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee 4-9-	47 Hearin	g date
Decision Condia Copy of Resolution sent	approval	Date	4-9-47 ng Inspector <u>4-11-47</u>
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk	Buildir	ig Inspector <u>7-11-97</u>
Planning Commission		oner 9-11-	47 Health Department 4-11-47+ and
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	Counci	l Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date	
Decement of Counter		the second s	
Resolution becomes effe	ctive	and the second	the second s
Resolution becomes effe Application, withdrawn Time limit extended to	10.2	Contin	ued to

신 아프 신경 가지

- the strate of the second provide and the second second second second on the An appropriate of the city frame, with the or enter top of the the wither the state of the state of a state of a state of the state ole a condition: while a the changed the second of : The same of the second second
 - At the sport time of the short the start of the start of the start of the start 1. The gall reduction is that the general indicates it is stirt to a sterior of a constraint where a molecular indicates is a first of later general of the ballet wit.
 - I LE THE IS A TIGE
- eventein un bar contra contra chaile de étudiante noi à charactetadin (cha eventeine di contra contra contra character de la contra charactetadine eventeine di contra contra contra contra contra contra in contrittonne. · PPT DECENT IN LOW 11 STORETON START

TUS RELATION AND AND AND

150 2

months and and an and a second and N. MARKA MARKEN

WHEREAS, Application No. 459 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. P. and Ehrma P. Kessling and Albert Joe and Nellie Free to construct a residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1286 (description on file in Planning Department office) known as Arbitrary B7A of Assessor's Map No. 33A, south of Torrey Pines Road, a parcel of land without street frontage but with 74 ft. frontage on a 50 ft. wide easement, provided the 50 ft. easement is dedicated as a public street from Torrey Pines Road to the southerly line of the above described property or to a southerly termination beyond this property.

A variance to the provisions of ^Ordinance No. 13294 and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 10. , 19 47

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2175

Dated _____

and the second state of th	
Application Received <u>4-1-47</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{9-9-97}$ By	Clark, Kerrigen & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-47	Hearing date Date $4-9-47$ Building Inspector $4-11-47$ 4-11-47 Health Department $4-11-474$ Orace
Decision Condi approval	Date 4-9-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-11-47	Building Inspector <u>4-11-47</u>
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitioner	4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-474 and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

source and the second sec

> of the constraint of the state of the

The Cleared with an unit with a strate of the provider of the provider of the provider of the predation, related there are contract or a blence share erston of the share a contract standarion is here of the first of is a set of an or set a second by the distance of

the state of the state of the state of the state of the

16.6

The fight bring to start the start in the second start of the second starts

LITER DO JU

ALL AT GUE

40* ********

WHEREAS, Application No. 4686 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph L. Frank, owner and Mrs. Mugenia Robb, purchaser, to divide Lots 3, 4 and 5, Block 9. Resubdivision of Inspiration Heights at Bandini near Orizaba Streets into two building sites, to permit one single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

the data way a marked have been tool for every the south of the south

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 11, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2176

	Contraction in the second		
Application Received	4-1-47	By	Garrish
			City Planning Department
Investigation made	4-9-47	By	ark Kenigan & Burton City Planing Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee 4-9-4	7 Hearin	na date
Decision appro	ed	Date	4-9-47
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 4-1	1-47 Buildin	ng Inspector <u>4-11-47</u>
Planning Commission	1-11-17 Petiti	oner 4-11.	ng $4-9-47$ ng Inspector <u>$4-11-47$</u> - 47 Health Department $4-11-47$ v asse
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	Counci	il Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date	
Resolution becomes effe	ctive		
Application withdrawn		Contin	nued to
Time limit extended to		Date o	of action

and the second s

A writing to the Totician for lines of the , by, for investor of product on the four lines of the formula in the formula for the formula for the formula formu

LTREE MET ON CARACTER FO

August and an and a second and a Magazine and a second WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4663</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James C., Evelyn N., Orville C. and Helen M. Purpus to erect a residence on Hilldale Road, south of Braeburn Road on Lots 126 and 127, Kensington Heights Unit No. 2, with the same setback as the garage to the north which is approximately 5 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No.12321, Section 3, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property-mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 11. , 1947

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2177

7.1

FORM 2145

And Transferrer .	0
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-97</u> By	Clark Kengin + Buston City Planing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-47 H	learing date
Decision Con 14 approval I	Date <u>4-9-97</u> Building Inspector <u>4-11-47</u> -11-47 Health Department <u>4-11-47</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk4 -11-47. E	Building Inspector <u>4-11-47</u>
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitioner 4	-11-47 Health Department 4-11-47 2000
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Time limit extended to I	Date of action

The fail the wine ?

1: 3 (2

West mittings that the rest of the interest of the interest of a section of the

. owning in the term of the term of the law is the definition of the lead a term is the law is

27.2 許

to the ran estimate times a state

and have a part respect for the start of the second

St. and

ale and a second

all the second second

TIL

. 5. LA 20. 50 T 13

12.65

WHEREAS, Application No. 4656 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul and Vinnie F. De Bach to divide Lets 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 10, Foint Lons Heights and Lots 1 and 10, Block 115, Reseville on the Southeast corner of Clove and Macauley Streets into three parcels facing Clove Street; one parcel 70 ft. by 78 ft. and two parcels 65 ft. by 78 ft., provided a setback of 15 ft. is maintained on Clove Street and the required setback maintained on Newell and Macauley Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 11, _____, 1947_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2178

	and the second
Application Received _ 3-22-47 By	City Planing Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-47</u> By	Clark Kerrigan + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-47	Hearing date Date $7-9-47$ Building Inspector $4-11-47$ $4-11-47$ Health Department $4-11-47 \times \alpha_{men}$
Decision Cond' approval	Date 7-9-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-11-42</u>	Building Inspector <u>4-11-47</u>
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitioner	4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-47 + and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

interimation of the interiment of the interimetation of the interimetation is 1 di wive, iso if the interimentation of the interimetation of the interimetation interimentation of the off of the off of the interimetation if is the interimentation of the interimetation of the interimetation if is the interimentation of the interimetation of the interimetation if is the interimetation of the interimetation of the interimetation is the interimetation of the interimetation of the interimetation is the interimetation of the interimetation of the interimetation is the interimetation is the interimetation of the interimetation of the interimetation is the interimetation is the interimetation is the interimetation of the interimetation of the interimetation is the interimetation of the interimetation is the interimetation of the

3.3

10¢

*

ALLE PERSON SC

The second s

Letter dated 4000000, 400000

WHEREAS, Application Nor <u>March 5,1947</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of two (2) years from the expiration date of Resolution No. 890, dated March 29, 1945, be granted to R. H. Baker for permission to operate a riding stable on Lot 22, Eureka Lemon Tract near Baker Street and on Morena Boulevard, and subject to the same conditions.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

and the states the sec

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated___

FORM 2145

April 11, , 1947

THIN AN C

insuit, " and at binner of purchillar of

By.

"Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2179

0,1

Letter Application ReceivedB	
Application Received 3/10/47 B	v Haelsis
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	v
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-47	Hearing date
Decision Ent. acound	Date 4-9-47
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 47147	Building Inspector 4-11-47
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitioner	Date 4-9-47 Building Inspector 4-11-47 4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-47*0220
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Time limit extended to	

100 F 100 F 10 1

a n'nà mee sa sa postri sa an'i tanà any 1,3,4 amin'ny bayan 120. Perdua 125 ina ina mandri basa malata da tao paominin'ny mandrina mana.

the second second section () to be the second build be all for 1 to

Line in the set of the

These for an investigation of the state of the south the second state of the second of the second of the second state of the s

and a particular of the second start of the se

A sour the sources of the sources of the source of the sources of

to of protonomical states of the second states were second as a subscription of the second states and the second states are second states are second states and the second states are second

Letter dated April 2, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2036 be amended to permit the construction of the garage and maid's room and bath, prior to the residence, provided the residence is started within two weeks; Harold A. Mosier on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1280 and 1297, a parcel of land served by a 60 ft. easement to La Jolla Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 11, , 1947 Dated___

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2180

Investigation made	By
with the second of the second s	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2	Hearing date Hearing date 4-9-47 Hearing date $4-9-47$ Health Department $4-11-47 \times C_4$ Petitioner $4-11-47 \times C_4$
Decision amendment to pre	nions Res. Date 4-9-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	<u>Y-11-47</u> Building Inspector <u>Y-11-47</u>
Planning Commission 4-11-47	Petitioner 4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-47 VCL
Appear med with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

TOT TON

production c. "5] = production is the equation of the problem of the result of body, plot is the equivalence provided in the local is at 2 dig erities in the result of the equivalence of the local is the local production of the result of the equivalence of the result of the local is at 2 dig erit.

animental en la president de la companya de

in the second second

the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4657</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>adversely</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to N. W. and Edith A. Brown to construct a residence with approximately 52 ft. setback and porch with approximately 4 ft. setback, as the forms are now laid out, on the east side of Circle Drive, 300 ft. Northeast of 39th Street on Lot 51, Bonnie Brae.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 11, , 19 47

By_

0,

FORM 2145

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Application Received <u>3-22-47</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-97</u> By	Clark Kengin & Buton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-11-47	Building Inspector <u>4-11-47</u>
Planning Commission 9-11-47 Petitioner	4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-47 & access
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

To after neutiners risks. "" who tak no to the sectio larse of the miny , hearing an tory which to the The second s real even the maintain the step of the the mole attained in the training the form that where the second states of the step of

the second and the second s

THE WELL AND

to more the second of Decense and the second of the starting of the starting with the starting of th They be constructed on the section because by but by

The burner of the the way when a start of the the the start of the test of the test of the

O.K

WHEREAS, Application No. 4215 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ __ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred H. and Lola I. Novack to construct and maintain a building for storage only of supplies, trucks and equipment for a Laundry on adjacent lot, 4319 Felton Street on Lot 10, Block B, Sterlingworth, with no sideyard on the south side of the lot, 575 square feet over 50% coverage and 10 ft. rear yard, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Limited to a maximum of twenty employees;
- 2. 35 horsepower boiler;
- 3. Hours of operation from S:00 A.M. to S:00 P.M.;
- 4. All loading to be done on the property and off of public property;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12959, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 11, , 19 47 Dated____

Sectedate Chairman

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2182

111

Application Received <u>3-11-47</u> B	y_ Parrish
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-26-47</u> B	y <u>Zoning Committe</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-26-47	Hearing date Date 3-26-47 Building Inspector <u>4-11-47</u> 4-11-47 Health Department <u>4-11-47</u> + assessed
Decision Con l'1 aparone	Date 3-26-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-11-47	Building Inspector <u>4-11-47</u>
Planning Commission 4-11-47 Petitioner	4-11-47 Health Department 4-11-47 + asse
	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A second se

the second of the second of the second second

metek in succession for start, such as any Net, thread and southing the succession of the start for a local transferred to the start of the start of

to the to the second of the second of the sub- of a construct of the

not tot

had a set of provide the product of the

surger of a star is the start of the start o

1. 1. Military they prove the state to be write to be and

territores upplies

ALL SELLS ALL SELECT

-5" - 20- 0100 and 005 - 11

10* 00* CT

and particular and

where a second

Abl minutated.

WHEREAS, Application No. 4609 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ray Smith to construct a two story Drug Store and Doctor's office building at Island Court and Mission Boulevard on Lot A, Block 96, Mission Beach, with 76% lot coverage; required setback and yard spaces to be maintained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 24, ____, 19 47

FORM 2145

+-

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2183

0.16

	S- th
Application Received <u>3-28-47</u> B	Y City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-9-47+ 4-29-47</u> B	3 <u>Zoning Committee</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-47	Hearing date $\frac{4-24-47}{4-25-47}$ Date $\frac{4-23-47}{4-25-47}$ Building Inspector $\frac{4-25-47}{4-25-47}$ Health Department $\frac{4-25-47}{4-25-47}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-2 141</u>	Building Inspector <u>4-25-47</u>
Planning Commission $4 - 25 - 47$ Petitioner 9 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	4-25-47 Health Department 4-25-47 & asses
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The March of the second struct is bold of which to compare the form the same struct is second of the second second struct and the second second second struct is second to be a second s

DEC.

and the second of the

an and had a the split of the a market

STACE IN DALASSING TO STATE

The state we have been stated

A RECEARCH AND

and the second second second second second second second

Veril and the first and a second show the presence of the

他们也。你同时,你算过

and the second se

TERRET TO CAME

· Contraction 1 5 .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4526</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to N. H. Golden, owner and L. A. Barcom and H. V. Clark, lessees to erect a neon sign at 3877 Pacific Highway on Lot 3. Block 245, Middletown, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The pole to be not less than 52 ft. from the property line and the sign to be not less than 15 in. from the front property line:
- 2. If and when the street is widened the sign will then be moved back to the established setback line without expense to the City;
- 3. And that an agreement to comply with the above conditions be signed by the owner and lessees and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

agreement # 457 filed 5/6/47 Dated_____April 24, , 1947

ASecretary Chairman

Res. No. 2184

FORM 2145

1)

	an summer of helps
	AND
MANY MATTER A	0
Application Received 4-4-47 By Ban	shman
City	anning Department
Investigation made 4-23-47 By Seller, Lu	la + But
Investigation made <u>4-23-47</u> By <u>Aellen, due</u> City F	lanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-23-47 Hearing date	
Decision Condi approval Date 4-23-4	7
Decision Condi approvel Date 4-23-4 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-24-47 Building Inspector Planning Commission 4-25-47 Petitioner 4-25-47 Healt	4-25-97
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, d	n Department 7-23-914 llese
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	
the factor of the second second second states and the	
an inter a stand to the surgitude of the second to the	
the state of the second second for the second states in the second	
 The state of the s	
the second state and a new prior contract but of whether and of y	THE STORE IN DOLLAR THE STORE THE
and the second side that the second s	
and the state of the set of the term the state and	Cheve as Mar
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	colert to error a starting
THE DEP, I INTERS . THE DARK SO & COLUMNIA OF	
	CTRD - COVE/A CONCLUSE DA
I woil of in the leavely of the de by I. D. Colors, born the	THE TARE AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY
APERTORNAL OF 18 SERVICE AT AT AT ATTACK	
A start of the second se	
to the second	a set a set a single a
the state attached a second state and the second state and the second state and the second state of the second	

ter and the second of the seco

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Fred W. and Ada M. Fitzpatrick to construct a 3 ft, by 6 ft, addition and an 8 ft. by 8 ft. addition to existing residence with 25 ft. sideyard, at 4167 Ohio Street. on Lots 7 and 8. Block 156, University Heights and to maintain a 25 ft. sideyard for both additions.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 24 , 1947

TO ALL DE CASE OF ALL SES

FORM 2145

C. M. Haller

By___

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2185

and the state of the second state		
Application Received _		By Gity Planning Department
Investigation made	4-23-47	By <u>Sellew, Lunky + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee 4-23-4	 Hearing date Date 4-23-47 Building Inspector 4-25-47 er 4-25-47 Health Department 4-25-47+ 0
Conv of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk 4-24-	2 Building Inspector <u>4-25-47</u>
Planning Commission	4-25-47 Petition	er 4-25-47 Health Department 4-25-474 and
Appeal filed with City (lerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes eff	ective	
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

the second state of the second state of the second state of the second second second states and second

IT IS, SUIT TO DEPART OF A PARTY WE AN TO DEPART A SUIT A SUIT OF A PARTY AND A SUIT AND AND AND AND AND AND A

Magnet in the second of the second seco

and the sime of the standard o

2194

Table

GE STATION

State Services and Antipe

a with the take the bandward of white your

an the second second is that we are as remaining the second second

Treparties the second statistic the second

GARDET OF DERING HAL

TARBUTCHE IN ARCOMMENT

The Long man party a large strong of the state of the

T.P.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4688</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Don Shattuck, owner and Ironcraft Co., lessees, to conduct an Ornamental Iron Shop in an existing building at 2327 El Cajon Boulevard on Lot 3, Elock 126, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 24. , 19 47

THACKER BLUE IN THE

FORM 2145

A

By_

Secretarys Chairman

Res. No. 2186

- vane
raase
10/14

and a second second

wintime to the contract to contract on the prove the second contract of the two to the two to the two the two to two to the two

The second s

1106

EPPERED TO A CONTRACT REAL PROVIDE STUDIES CONTRACTOR

appending and a set of the set of

THE PARTY AND A DECEMBER OF

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4696</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Charles P. Edwards to erect a fence 4 ft. high, in front of the residence at 1787-93 Kearney Avenue on Lots 25 to 27 inclusive, Block 179, Mannassee & Schiller's.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____April 24, , 19 47

FORM 2145

1

By

Secretary Chairman

presidenter proventing and the second second second second

ioni ivr, clochla, i na sec a su sa sta se a

an ever see a constant of the constant of the state of the second of the second of the second of the

with a man to be with an entry an active to the present of the second of the second of the

bet an and a sub- the second s

well a service of the service of the

un south and the second of the print of the print of the second of the second of the second of the second of the

File, in write a concertain or built to be a file a concertain or beaution and

11月2日月1日1日

WHEREAS, Application No. 4698 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Lillious F. and Gladys C. De Velbiss to build an apartment over an existing garage with a 3 ft. sideyard, a 12 ft. rear yard and 4 ft. between dwellings at 59th and Estelle Streets on Lot 1, Block 15. El Cerrito No. 2 and the Sly 3 ft. x 65 ft. of Lot 26, East Redlands adjoining.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 24 , 19 17

FORM 2145

N. N.

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2188

OK
Application Received	By Oarrish City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-23-47</u>	By Sellew Lunly & Buston City Planing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-23-4	7 Hearing date
Decision approved.	Date 4-23-47 2 Building Inspector 4-25-47 er 4-25-47 Health Department 4-25-47+access
Planning Commission 4-25-47 Petition	er 4-25-47 Health Department 4-25-47 + access
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Details of the second secon

top and the second s

MERICAL STREET, STREET

AUTOR LODI TO LL

a sure and a sure and a sure and

THE REAL PROPERTY AND

Torana subscription of the art retries of the second of the second states of the second state

WHEREAS, Application No. 4533 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Everett and Lillian Busby, owners and W. I. Gay, lessee, to maintain an S ft. by 30 ft. addition to a nonconforming store building with no sideyard, at 4507 Thorn Street on the West 50 ft. of Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Clifton Addition, Block 4.

> A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 and Section Sa of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 24, , 1947

By_

Secretary Ohairman

Res. No. 2189

FORM 2145

in the

AND THE PARTY OF T	0
Application Received By	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-23-47</u> By	<u>Sellew Lundy + Burton</u> City Planning Department
and the set of the sub-set of the set of the	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-23-47	Hearing date
Decision Opproved	Date 4-23-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-24-41	Building Inspector <u>4-25-47</u>
Planning Commission 4-25-47 Petitioner	Hearing date Date $4-23-47$ Building Inspector $4-25-47$ 4-25-47 Health Department $4-25-47+Classee$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second party of the main offers and the to the second second second

a service the second state of a second s

And and the second s Second And second se

all Short sh

Particle and the second s

the second sound

10. TO PERFORM AND THE STREAM PROPERTY AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A

12·4 年初本1933年11

AL WOY OF THE STREET

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>mot</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Harry Haigh to build a 9 unit apartment at Illinois and Boundary Streets on Lot 1, Block 61, University Heights, with a 2 ft. 6 in. setback for stairs on Illinois Street and a 3 ft. setback for stairs on Boundary Street, according to sketches submitted, provided the curbe return includes the pole at the northerly point of the property.

> A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 24, , 1947

By

Secretary Chairman

OK

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-7-47</u> B	y Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-23-47</u> B	y <u>Sellen Lunky + Buston</u> City Planing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-23-47	Hearing date Date $\frac{9}{-23-97}$ Building Inspector $\frac{9}{-29-97}$ $\frac{9}{-29-97}$ Health Department $\frac{9}{-29-97*a_{ee}}$
Decision approved Config	Date 4-23-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-24-47	Building Inspector <u>4-24-47</u>
Planning Commission 4-24-47 Petitioner	4-24-47 Health Department 4-24-47 + and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

to a way show by the stands that be all the state of the

and the second second

and some the set of the

or the provide the provide the provide the provide the second of the provide t

I SE GEN COM A DIRECT PROPERTY

THE PLANT OF A MERCIAL OF A STRATEGICAL

the second of the state of the

at harris

· · · · ·

出版成本"国际和中心"的国际

1 - July - In Standard

WHEREAS, Application No. 4702 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to R. A. Kimball, owner and Jean Dearden Conley, purchaser to install a water meter for a residence at 1204 West Spruce Street. on a portion of Lots 7 and 8, Block 128, Middletown (description on file in Planning Department Office) and a portion of Spruce and State Streets, closed, with no street frontage, no alley and with a 3 ft. easement to Spruce Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 24, 1047

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 21.91

01

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received 4-7-47	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-23-47</u>	By <u>Sellew Lunky + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-23-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date $\frac{y-23-47}{y-25-47}$ Building Inspector $\frac{y-25-47}{y-25-47}$ Health Department $\frac{y-25-47}{y-25-47}$ Health Department $\frac{y-25-47}{y-25-47}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-24-47	Building Inspector <u>4-25-47</u>
Planning Commission 4-25-47 Petitioner	4-25-47 Health Department 4-25-474 assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Martin Links

in the second of the second second

OA SI SUITA

and the second

and the

Teal short start and the start start start start start

in dery in the state of the state of the state of the

Broad The weather the second of the second se

STOL WITH THE REPERTING TO WAR SHALL

Plan

RESOLUTION NO._____85974

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Chris Canta, 2481 J Street, by Bertram J. Brown, 441 C Street, from the decision of the **pinnuing** Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 2192 his application No. 4682 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, for operation of a real estate office with existing signs, at 2481 "J" Street on Lots 5, 6 and the east 16-2/3 feet of Lot 7 Block 11 L. W. Kimball's Addition, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

S5974

0.11

I hereby certify the above to be a	full, true, and correct copy of Resolut by said Council MAY 13 19	ion No
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted	by build Countern	
	FRED W. SICK	All and a second
	F. T. PATTEN	City Clerk.
	By	Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4682</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> The petition of Chris Canta to Operate a Real Estate Office, with existing signs, at 2481 "J" Street on Lots 5, 6 and the East 16 2/3 ft. of Lot 7, Block 11, L. W. Kimball's Addition, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1917

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Application Received <u>4-8-47</u>	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-23-47</u>	By <u>Selley Lundy + Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-2347	Hearing date
Decision blenced	Date 4-23-47 2 Building Inspector 4-25-47 4-25-47 Health Department 4-25-47 = and Council Hearing, date 5-13-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-24-4	7 Building Inspector <u>4-26-47</u>
Planning Commission 4-25-47 Petitioner	4-25-47 Health Department 4-25-47 = and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 4-28-47	_ Council Hearing, date 5-13-47
Decision of Council appeal sustained	Date 5-13-47
Resolution becomes effective 5-13	-47
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

111 200

The MARKING STREET AND DESCRIPTION OF THE STREET STREET, S

and a construction of the second of the seco

or new the reaction and a state that the state of a state.

5 GAR.*

The state of the second states and the

the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4678</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Henry Grant and John J. Cahill, owners and Charles Weber and Edward Cooper, operators, to operate a Cabinet Shop in an existing double garage in the rear of the lot at 4455 Park Boulevard on Lot 7, Block 77, University Heights; no other employees and not more than 3 horsepower total equipment; and to be operated by Mr. Weber and Mr. Cooper, only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 190, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 24. , 1947

FORM 2145

1

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2193

Application Received	4-8-47	By	Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made	4-23-47	_ By de	iller Lunky + Butn + Carrin City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee 4-23-	-47 Heari	ring date
Decision Condi	aparame	Date	4-23-41 ling Inspector <u>4-25-47</u> 25-47 Health Department 4-25-47 * and
Copy of Resolution sent	t to City Clerk 4-	24-47 Buildi	ling Inspector <u>4-25-47</u>
Planning Commission	4-25-47 Petiti	ioner 4-2	25-47 Health Department 4-25-47 × and
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	Ċounc	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date	
Resolution becomes effe	ective		
Application withdrawn		Contin	inued to
Time limit extended to		Date of	of action

to the she are started to the week of the A minimum that is a predict of the base of a set of the provident of a set of the provident of a set of the base of the ba

017.7.00 and a set bear to a set of the se THE IN TERMENTAL STRATE AND THE TRANSPORTED TO BE A THE DRAFT STRATE STRATE AND TRACK PARTY A LITE THAT THE PLAN THAT THE PLAN THAT THE District Constant of the notion to be the state of a grant of the state * A will See

The second of the second s

a series and the series of the The second of states at the second of the second states and the second states and the second states the second

S. Large Date Cover

and the second second

and the state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the

LEEE'S ONE SEVERITIE SAL "BANCHS DOWNED

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Frank St. Sure, owner and William E. Butler and Richard A. Grihalwa, lessees to use Lots 31 and 57, Block C, Belmont as a retail sales and storage yard in connection with adjacent used car sales yard, on the west side of 49th Street approximately 100 ft. north of El Cajon Bouleward, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____April 24, , 19 47

By

Secretary Chairman

Hes. No. 2194

OK

FORM 2145

	By City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>4-23-42</u>	By <u>Selley, Lundy Burton + Parrin</u> City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-23-47	'Hearing date	
Decision dlenied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-24-4	Date 4-23-47	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-24-4	2 Building Inspector <u>4-25-47</u>	
Planning Commission 4-25-47 Petitione	r 4-25-47 Health Department 4-25-47 Case Council Hearing, date	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

and the state of the second second

The number of the set of the set

ALLE SER CO.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William J. and Maud L. Wild to erect an addition to a store building in a three unit court at 4877 Voltaire Street on Lots 43 and 44, Block 12, Ocean Beach Park, leaving a 4 ft. court as access to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19 47

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2195

Application Received	4-11-47	By	Sout	د	
Investigation made	4-23-47	By <u></u>		anning Department	- Parpin
Considered by Zoning	g Committee <u>4-2</u>	3-47 Heari	ng date		and and and a second
Decision ap	proved ,	Date	4-23-47	10 1 100	
Copy of Resolution se	nt to City Clerk	29-97 Buildi	ing Inspector	4-25-47	
Decision Op Copy of Resolution se Planning Commission	14-25-47 Pe	titioner 4-25	-47 Health	Department 4-	25-47×02
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date	Counc	il Hearing, da	ate	- Ac
Decision of Council		Date			
Resolution becomes ef	fective		States and the states of the s		nen al de geleter - ne angleter de al adaite
Application withdraw	n	Contin	nued to		and a second
Time limit extended t	0		of action		Plant Contractor

at the Little

THAT I PART TO USE TO DET OFFICE TO DEALT

in a sector of the sector of the

toparties and the state of

CHECKSpance of D. Standfred RA spacework chies, the second stands of

and a provide and a strate of the second of the second strate of the sec

The second second stand stands of second stands of the second second second second second second second second

the circulation of the control of the structure of the providence of the providence

WHEREAS, Application No. 4714 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Adolf J. Johnson to construct a single family residence on the N 150 ft. of the E 231.5 ft. of the NW2 of the NW2 of t Sec. 104, Rancho de la Macion, except streets and except that portion lying east of east line of Hilton Tract No. 1, in 5600 Block on the south side of Division Street, subject to the dedications necessary for the widening of Division Street. No dedications recessary for the

A' variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 115, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 24, , 19 47

FORM 2145

)

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2196

61

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-23-47</u> By	Selley, Lundy, Buton + Carrin City Planoing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-23-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 4-23-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-24-42	Building Inspector <u>4-25-47</u>
Planning Commission 4-25-47 Petitioner	Date <i>Y-23-47</i> Building Inspector <u>Y-25-47</u> 4-25-47 Health Department <i>Y-25-47</i>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

AND PROPERTY

A variable of the first to the variable of the variable o

MAN BORL

The second secon

The state of the s

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to The Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego. a Corp., Sole, to build a convent and school building at 4544 MI Cerrito Drive on the south 65 ft. of Lot 5, all of Lot 6 and the north 25 ft. of Lot 7, Block A, Redland Gardents, with a 125 ft. rear yard and eaves less than 5 ft. apart.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 1947

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2197

OK

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-14-47</u> B	y Coppoch City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-23-47</u> B	y Leller, Lundy, Buston + Barrin City Planing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-23-47	Hearing date Date $4-23-47$ Building Inspector $4-25-47$ 4-25-47 Health Department $4-25-47 \times R_{exc}$ Council Hearing, date
Decision approved	Date 4-23-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-24-47	Building Inspector 4-25-47
Planning Commission 4-25-47 Petitioner	4-25-47 Health Department 4-25-47 × and
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

507 · 01 · 0 · 07 Th

Lower with of the state of the

Note ion on the ball by protein to the protein state of the state of the second s

and the second provide and the second property of the second provident and the second second second second second

The part of the property of th

pregrand of the second straight of the president of the president of the second straight of

at the cash is a second of the second and the weather the second but speed the second but speed the second but

WHEREAS, Application No. 4712 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to George Clark Rose, et al. owner and Mrs. Josephine R. Hooker, purchaser to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1281 and 1285 (description on file in Planning Department Office) known as Arbitrary No. 1B2 of Assessor's Map No. 27 and Arbitrary 47A of Assessor's Map No. 33 on the Southwest corner of Paseo Dorado and Avenida Alamar.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

"Secretary, Chairman

Dated_____April 24. , 1947

By

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2198

OK

Application Received <u>4-15-47</u> By Bauchan and City@lanning Department	
Investigation made <u>4-23-47</u> By <u>Selley Lundy Burton + Can</u> City Planning Department	rin
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-23-47 Hearing date	
Decision approved Date 4-23-47	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-24-47 Building Inspector 4-25-47	
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-29-47</u> Planning Commission <u>7-25-47</u> Petitioner <u>7-25-47</u> Date <u>7-23-47</u> Building Inspector <u>7-25-47</u> Health Department <u>7-25-47</u>	1.0.5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	Long .
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

A verificant by the remaining of the part of the state of

A BE FRAME THE DESIGN

the sure over the second second second to the second s

e set al al set en la set en la maine la la set al al de bel de bar set en la set al set al set en la set en l La set al set en la s La set al set en la s

the second second in the second of the second se

at the close of the second second to be and the angle of the second of the second second second second second s

WHEREAS, Application No. 4719 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hunt and Johnson, owners and Paul H. and Mary E. Campbell, purchasers, to operate a cleaning and dyeing plant at 4852 Voltaire Street on Lot 12, Elock 19, Ocean Beach Park, with a 10 horsepower boiler, 5 horsepower electrical equipment and maximum of 4 employees.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars mentioned above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____April 24. . 19 47

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2199

OK

Application Received	_ By Ross City Planning Department			
Investigation made $4 - 23 - 47$	_ By <u>Sellers, Lundy Buston + Parri</u> City Planning Department			
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-23-47</u> Decision <u>Appened</u> Config Config Config Config Config Planning Commission <u>4-25-47</u> Planning Commission <u>4-25-47</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>4-25-47</u> Detitioner <u>4-25-47</u> Detitioner <u>4-25-47</u> Council Hearing, date <u>Config</u> Detitioner <u>4-25-47</u> Council Hearing, date <u>Config</u>				
Decision approved Conti	Date 4-23-47			
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-24	- Y2 Building Inspector <u>Y-25-47</u>			
Planning Commission 4-25-47 Petition	ner 4-25-47 Health Department 1-25-47 + and			
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date			
Decision of Council	Date			
Resolution becomes effective				
Application withdrawn	Continued to			
Time limit extended to	Date of action			

* FERT TTROUGH

A viri new to the larger light of the second s

No. which are not a set of the se

and start in the second designed and the second starts at the second starts at the second starts at the second

The the second stand of the stand of the second of the second stands of the

Addition for initial the state of the s

Upas of the

Bo - Him and A - 10-0

D2 yours

Alb

85375

Plan

Deputy.

O,K

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Cornelius C. Clark, 3404 Front Street, San Diego 3. from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 2200 the application of Cornelius C. and Ethel N. Clark, numbered 4497, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 to alter an existing single family residence at said address into a duplex on a portion of land beginning at the NE corner of Block 414 Horton's Addition, thence S 84.10'; thence S 70'; thence NEly to a point 60' W of beginning, thence E to beginning, including part of Upas Street closed, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council_

	FRED	W. SICK	
		PATTEN	City Clerk.
Bv_			Deputy

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4497</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>materially</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to</u> the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> The petition of Cornelius C. and Ethel N. Clark to alter an existing single family residence at 3404 Front Street into a duplex on a portion of land Beginning at the NE corner of Elock 414. Horton's Addition; thence So. 54.10'; thence W 70'; thence NELY to a point 60' W of beginning; thence E to beginning, including part of Upas Street closing, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be. and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Appril 24, , 1947

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Chairman

Res. No. 2200

OK

Application Received By	City Planning Department			
Investigation made <u>4-23-47</u> By	Sellew, Lunky, Burton + Parrin City Planing Department			
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-23-47	Hearing date			
Decision Ileniad	Date 4-23-47			
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-23-47</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Semial</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-24-47</u> Building Inspector <u>4-25-47</u> Planning Commission <u>4-25-47</u> Petitioner <u>4-25-47</u> Health Department <u>4-25-47</u> Health Department <u>4-25-47</u>				
Planning Commission 4-25-47 Petitioner	1-25-47 Health Department 4-25-47+ and			
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7.29-91	Council Hearing, date <u>3-13-97</u>			
Decision of Council Oppeal demid	Date 5-13-47			
Decision of Council <u>General demid</u> Resolution becomes effective <u>5-13-47</u>				
Application withdrawn	Continued to			
Time limit extended to	Date of action			

Store All

AT LAND ROT

and the device for the store of the state to the protocol contracts for .

the former the

The provide state of the source of the property of the property of the source of the property is the source of the source of

anno en esta de la companya de

of the CL. In such that the second of the second se