Vanna

86567

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 86567 extending Res #1748b BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ida M. Kenyon, 1427 - 31 st Street, to maintain four living units in the lower floor of the building at 1519 Edgemont Street, on Lots 19 and 20, Block 17, M. Santee's Subdivision, for a period of one year. Variance to the restrictions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and it is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

By

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5033</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Starr Lumber Company, B. P. Menard, Manager, to construct a solid board fence S ft. high (according to plans submitted and on file in the Planning Department Office) with a 3 ft. setback on Municipal Tidelands, Southeast corner of Nutmeg Street and Pacific Highway, subject to the following condition:

1. That an agreement shall be signed by the lessees and filed of record to the effect that at such time as the City of San Diego directs or if and when the street is widened, said fence will be removed at no expense to the city.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, and Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above. insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 30,

By

1947

Glenn A. Rick, Secretery City Planning Director

Res. No. 2401

Estally, Ar Louis

Mighting Approximations and the evidence presented has shown there Section of the line of Sections to the evidence presented has shown there Section 15 of the line is a section.

- And a standard and a second spectral standard and and the second se
- 2 The state of the state of the exploration of the split state of the policity of the property sights of the policity sights of the policity sights of the policity of the policity sights of the policity of the policity
- 3. Tost the transferge of the appletores will could be contained the headings anisty of the period of the beadings anisty of the period of the transfer of the test of test of
- N. That the granting of the monorpoints __DB __ musically affect the Waract Plan of the City of See Diego.

THERITCRE. D. I. M. D. M. M. W. M. Coming Committee of the City of San Diego.

securiterion is introby a start is the terr proor depress is the family a interer, to construct the list of terrist start of the topor is the terrist ensatted and on tile is the fourth start of the filterious solution is building in the fourth content of building topol and points it building is the fourth of the filterious content of building points it building is the fourth of the filterious content of building points it building is the fourth of building content of building topol and points it building is the fourth of the fourth of building.

In the operations which is the start of the

A variance to the provision of draining of the structure of a structure structure of the provision of the structure of the

Investigation made 7-30-47 By	y Haelseg, Sellen, Kenigan City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-30-47</u> Decision Courle approval	Hearing date
Decision Coul approval	Date 7.30.47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-31-47	Building Inspector <u>3-1-47</u>
Planning Commission 8-1-47 Petitioner	$\delta - 1 - 47$ Health Department $\delta - 1 - 47$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	In the second
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By 6. B. Ka

City Planning Department

HORE STAR

Distant July 30.

Application Received 1-25-47

WHEREAS, Application No. 4158 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Alta S. Grant to construct and operate an Asphalt Paving Plant on the South 3/4 of the South 1/2 of Pueblo Lot 1183, North of Mission Valley Road and East of Murray Canyon, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 145, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______, 1917_____, 1917_____,

FORM 2145

Glenn A.Rick, Statisty City Planning Director

Res. No. 2402

OK

A SULLING AN

of the state of th

- the service of the se
- A start which is the segmentation would be a set of the application of the processing in the presentations would be a set of the application of the presentation of the processing by women property of the set of the processing of the property of the processing of the property of the processing of the procesing of the processing of the
- to that the period of the second state structure is the second of struct the boxies of second diperiod of the second of the second second second second to be materially destinants to the bolic second second second of the second sec
- d a Thet the grant of the station will prove some accessive affect the Bester-Film of the Clay of San Birgs.

THEREFORE, St. 19 . Sciences, Dr. die Zoning Coumities of the City of San Diego.

The position of which of the South Lyte of the derived and distribute of distributed to the south of the south of the south Lyte of the south the south of the so

Suplication for a visitues to the president of dry property more thank

Application Received E	By R. M. arrish
	City Planning Department
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Investigation made 7-30-47 E	By Haeling - Kerrigan - Secter City Planning Department
7-16-47	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-30-47	Hearing date7- 30-47
Decision Denied	Date 7-30-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-31-47	Building Inspector 8-1-47
Planning Commission 8-1-47 Petitioner	8-1-47 Health Department 8-1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

- 123 Jun *

Land Alatha and a surf

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4972</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. F. G. Skinner to build a garage on the side property line on the front portion of the lot, being a portion of Playa de Arenas, unnumbered lot, near the corner of Dunemere and Monte Vista.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 1947

AND REPRESENCE TO COMPANY

FORM 2145

ENDS TITUE

1

By______ Glenn A. Rick, Secretary City Planning Commission Res. No. 2403

Application Received 7-12-47 B	y P.g. Burlow City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> B	y <u>Haeling Seelen Kerrega</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-30-47 Decision approved	Hearing date Date 7-30-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{g-1-4}{2}$ Planning Commission $g-1-47$ Petitioner	8-1-47 Health Department 8-1-47
Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

in a statistic constration Selector address

California, as follows

the second second second of the second se

of the Control of the Section 15 of the section of the section presented has shown free Section

- - FOR MELTER MELTER OF DESCRIPTION OF A COMPANY AND A COMPANY

po mo had and a first of the second s A VITABLE LO MAR ADDRESS AND ADDITIONAL PAR PROPERTIES ADDRESS AND ADDRESS to present mouspoker gert and the course and proprior in the second of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4997</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph E. and Viola Mae Bush to erect a single family residence on portion of lot, 100 ft. by 100 ft., with 100 ft. street frontage, on the East side of La Jolla Shores Drive, 150 ft. South of Camino del Collado, being the south 100 ft. of Lot 41A of Assessor's Map No. 27 of Pueblo Lot 1297 (description on file in Planning Department office).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 30. , 19 47

FORM 2145

By Glenn A. Rick, Secretary City Planning Director FIL

EXALCTION NO.

of the Citie of Eleve Council and the solutions presenced has shown free Section 15 of the Citie of Section

- I control a control of the second structures of conditions applied to the property for the property in the second second second structures in the second structure in the second second
- A for the second second world be replication to be returned by other preservation and second by other preservation of the pres
- 3. The two provides of the stabilization will NDE. Handstold with a first the beside of active of the stabilization will not will not will not be according to the stabilization.
- 4. That the drawing of the entropy with not amounty attact the Matur Blan of the Cary of September.

THERE DEL, HE IT RESOLVED, Hy the Zorine Consistee of the City of Son Diego. California of College.

For the second state of th

A variando la bue providente of Dulinghous be. Lagh be, not is hereby 'ranged no be the projector charter that there are then the projector

Application Dessived 1-12-71 B	v 0 g. outon
Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> B	y Halling Seelen Jerriga
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-30-47	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 8-1-47 Petitioner	o i i catti Departiment
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	. Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

struction interestion

B ... 1-

TANK ANDREAM

R. A

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4969</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D.W. Rodibaugh to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback for the garage, on the west side of Waverly Avenue, north of Via Del Norte, Lot 3, Block 33, La Jolla Hermosa No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 30.

FORM 2145

T

. 197

Glenn A.Rick, Mereterk City Planning Director

Res. No. 2405

CL.

Descar, directions percent of a bing () data addressing a sine basic real

- and the set of a should be the set of the set of a state of the set of the set of the set of the set of the In the surplust of the sector and the sector of the sector of the provide of an and the of

- THEREFORE, M. UT M. POLYED By the Social Connected of the Dicy of San Diego.

" THE I'VE MED OF LOL BOD COLUMN OF THE ADD ADD THE ADD HEATER THAT'S HALP AD party of the sector is anyther of the shart of anyther of the state of the state of the sector of the

to it the marger that there is the preasure of the part of the the second a statute to the last the second of contraction we read to be all the second

the per nearly have a store of the toright definition for the

Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-30-47 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-31-47 Planning Commission 8-1-47 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Application Received _

HE 17 100 001 *

By P.g. Bu

anning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5008</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ida D. Hecker to move in four residences and erect four garages, residences to cross property lines, on Lots 25, 26 and 27, Block 62, City Heights, Northwest corner of Landis and 36th Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19<u>47</u> FORM 2145

By______Glenn A.Rick Secretary City Planning Director

Res. No. 2406

of the prover the former for the relation we have meaninged has shown face Section

- prove a serie of the series and the series and will a succeed by mutatively intributed to 3. The state of the set in the set is and the set of the set of the set of the set of
- a pres the countries of the sources will continue of a new rest affect the Merter Figure of the City

THERE'S JUL. D. IT ADSOLVED, DI the Control Consistent of the Ciry of Sun Diego.

Those 62, Giby Helight, Brouldwelt Barnor of Long the Long Ship Christian speed fran pointer, shall and to constructioners finer, on form 25, 25 and 21, Territates in the second the second is a second to so now in fort realization

Designational moders. an to the section have at the port, incontar 4 . Under selects to the languages A subtract to the providing as he have not be been been the way as maked a subtract

Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> By	y Halling - Berugan + Seller City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-3e-47</u> Decision Approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-31-47</u> Planning Commission <u>8-1-47</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Bv

Teteral Parties Ber

Application Received ______

aughness

EN . MARY MELINDER

City Planning Department

AC. I THE THE ITS GOOD

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4872</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. E. and Vernia S. Thompson to conduct a commercial chicken farm, not over 1500 chickens, on Villa Lots 13 and 14, Ocean View Hts. 311 South 49th Street, for a period of three years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 75, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Investigation as

Application Received

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1917_ FORM 2145 By

Glenn A. Rick, Stitute City Planning Director DIL

COUNTRY NO. 1 OF

- 1. The life of the low determined which to not spoily generally to a set of outside the big sume growth of the low determined which to not spoily generally to a set of outside the same growth of the low.
- Anteresting of bottom at the solution and the solution and the protocol of the solution of the solution of the solution and the solution of th
- (a) The post of the supercontrane will motion of the first the health of safety of proceeding or weaking in the acceptions of a 11 - 300L or retained to the subdet wilfers of subrane is the process of arbits the network of the the subdet wilfers of subrane is the process of arbits the network of.
- al See Press.

THEREY OF BUILD IN ALSOLVED IN AND TOULOR CORRECTED OF THE CLEY OF Sen Diego, uliforein, as Initowy.

Perminenton is a roby (read in the second versions of the second second

A variende to the constrinue of exclusion of 70, Feb Series, by and is hereby granted as to the construction stabet shows, incluse on they relate to the property modificies charact

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-30-47 Decision approved, could' Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-31-47 Planning Commission 8-1-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Haelsig Lelles Kenger City Planning Department Hearing date Date Building Inspector <u>8-1-47</u> F-1-47 Health Department <u>8-1-47</u> Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Bv

R.m.

Application Received ____

GIER LINDERS OF STREET

City Planning Department

and south kind

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5009</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eberhart F. Weerts, owner, and L. B. Leech, lessee, to construct and operate a self-service laundry building, 30 ft. by 66 ft., on the Northeasterly 250 ft. of Southeasterly 255 ft. (except streets) of Pueblo Lot 239, south side of Gaines Street, approximately 175 ft. west of Midway Drive, providing a 5 ft. setback is maintained on Gaines Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1947_____, 1947_____, FORM 2145______

By

Glenn A. Rick, Schetter City Planning Director

Res. No. 2408

OK

- persons received of another of the contraction of the same of the second of the second of the These the generates of the supplication will state the sector of a block the besith of eafery of

THERETORY, DE 11 MASOLVEL, DE FIL POILLE, CORMALLES OF The City of San Diego.

aldrey brive, provident 5 5 11. contrain to maintained an database street. of Puoble tob 734, south sind of this of the server, consectionally 215 cl. weak of par at " ar the partmenterships on the us contraction and the state at the state at the Laucon, to complete and overal an alf-during ine loundary contraints, 35 states Perfector is haven't thus a postance ?. Henrich, were R. M. Manus

IN THE DECEMBER LONG TO BE STORE paired to with an in the train which share a share in the second and a superior A VALLENGE TO DUE TOVI TOUT AN UPILIANAS THE THE PROPERTY A, MILLEN

	City I lanning Department
Investigation made 7-30-47 B	y <u>Daelsig Kenigen</u> - Sellen City Planning Department
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-30-47 Decision Concil Approace - Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-31-47 Planning Commission 8-1-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 7-30-47 Building Inspector <u>F-1-47</u> F-1-47 Health Department F-1-47 Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Bv

7-22-47

twing unin Ma

Application Received _____

1993年,1993年4月19月1日(1997年1993年) 1993年—1993年4月19日(1997年1993年)

Charles he milles.

6. B. K.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5015</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred A. Vinyard to construct a four-unit one-story apartment building on Lots 10 and 11, Block 162, Middletown, West side of State Street, 50 ft. South of Chalmers Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1020, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 30, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Glenn A. Rick, Meretneyst City Planning Director

12011110H HO' DO

of the Dity of Day Diero, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Drinnary No. 2572 of manual).

- I that there are a property interaction of any chick and apply generally to other property in the name take and state and apply generally to other property in the name take and so that apply generally to other property in the name
- 2. They struct application is the significant would a subsequences any hereity, and the knowledge of the application is measury for the oreacroation are employed, of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possigned by either property empore to the supression and variation.

THEREFORE, BE IT SESOLVED. By the Fonte, Long Lite of the City of San Diego.

Permission is beredy granded to set a dry of on openantimet o tour-white age-story apertured ball in or one it one it. The locality within them, went side of prete Street, it is contract of on there street.

A variance to the operations of Crutanonce Se 1000, How Series, second is hereby growth, as to the persistintary spanning boxe, theorem of the peloty for the peloty

Application Received By	y <u>City Planning Department</u>
Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> By	y Saeling Jerregen, Seelen City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-30-47</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-31-47</u> Planning Commission <u>8-1-47</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 7-30-47 Building Inspector 8-1-47 <i>P</i> -1-47 Health Department 8-1-47 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

STAR STAR

DEPENDENT STREET

and the subs

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4732</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Globe Discount Company, owner, and R. W. McCleary, purchaser, to split Lot 9, Block 2, Sunset Grove, into two building sites, each 532 ft. by 107 ft., with 532 ft. frontage on Muir Street, and construct a single family residence on each parcel. Northwest corner of Muir and Venice Streets. Setback to be observed on Muir Street of the average of the houses in the block.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to

the property mentioned above. 1/2 of lat 9 50/4+ Recorded within 6mos of 7/30/47. (Both lats & for Bidy permits, P.98 6/26/53)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

OIC

Dated_____July 30, , 19 47

FORM 2145

Glenn A. Rick, Secretary City Planning Director

Res. No. 2410

Application Received <u>7-22-47</u> B	y R.J. Howen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> B	y Haeling Sellew - Kerrigan City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $7 - 30 - 47$ Decision 47 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $8 - 1 - 47$ Planning Commission $8 - 1 - 47$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

to to the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the

a construction of the second state of the seco

The pile wards in the pile state of the pile of the state of the state

LETTERNEN

the second of a constrained when the second of the second se

CTREED CUTTON STORAGES

FAMILY FORMER

WHEREAS, Application No. 4982 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frances Carr Lane to construct a residence on a lot 45 ft. by 70 ft., making third living unit on original lot, being a portion of Lot 1, Block 12, F. T. Scripp's Addition to La Jolla Park, including right-of-way on the west, on Sea Lane near Draper St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 30, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By Glenn A.Rick, Secretary City Planning Director

Res. No. 2411

OK

Application Received 7-23-47 E	By Bay munday City Planning Department
and the second	City Planning Department
	By Thelang Sellers, Kengan City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> E	By Haelsig Sellen, Kerrigan
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-30-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 7-30-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-31-47	Building Inspector 8-1-47
Planning Commission 8-1-47 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>8-1-47</u> 8-1-47 Health Department 8-1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

S. R.S.

Lint Big - mart

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5018</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

44 A 44

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. H. MacDonald to construct a retaining wall 4 ft.high and a 4 ft. high wire fence on top of wall, making a total of 8 ft., on Lot 9, Block F, Encanto Heights, Southwest corner Imperial Avenue and 66th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars mentioned above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _________, 19 47

FORM 2145

Glenn A. Rick, Secreterxx City Planning Director

Application Received 7-23-47	By C-B. Ross City Planning Department
Investigation made 7-30-47	By <u>Haeling Verregen</u> , <u>Seelen</u> City Planning Department
Information in a company and a	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7.30.47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 7-30-47 Z Building Inspector $F-1-47F-1-47Health Department F-1-47$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-31-41	Building Inspector <u>F-1-47</u>
Planning Commission 8-1-47 Petitioner	F+7 Health Department F+7
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second second

present the second and had been according to the second and the second the second the second the

of the second second

the second strategy and the second strategy and the second strategy and

Mark I Will Stork .

Potr Itanol . Detter

A CONTRACT OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5028</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Isador Teacher to erect three apartment buildings on Lot 9. Block 4, New Roseville and portion of Pueblo Lot 188 lying northerly of southerly line of Lot 9 and southerly of southerly line of Locust Street. observing a 3 ft. setback on Locust Street and a 5 ft. setback on Canon Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 30, , 1947

FORM 2145

By ______ Glenn A. Rick, September City Planning Director

Res. No. 2414

Application Received 7-24-47 By R.J. Manager City Planning Department	
, , , ,	P
Investigation made By Kalling Derrigen City Planning Department	Jellen
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-30-47</u> Hearing date	
Decision approved Date 7-30-47 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-31-47</u> Building Inspector <u>8-1-47</u>	and the second second
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-31-47</u> Building Inspector <u>8-1-47</u>	
Planning Commission 8-1-47 Petitioner 8-1-47 Health Department 8-	1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	and the second second
Resolution becomes effective	and the second second second
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	Aller .

and the second sec

the set of the statistical of the second set of the

TACK SHOT THE STOREST OF

ri i pombal era cepi

The structure of the the second of the second of the second of the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5001</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. D. Lewis to divide a parcel of land 220 ft. by 260 ft. from an unsubdivided portion of Lot 12, Ex-Mission Rancho and erect a single family residence on it, Madrone Street, East of 69th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	July 30.	, 19 47

FORM 2145

By______ Glenn A.Rick, Secretery City Planning Director

Res. No. 2413

OK

Application Received <u>7-23-47</u> B	y Yay munday City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> B	y Vallacy, Kerrigan, Seelen City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-30-47	Hearing date
Decision approach	Date $7 - 30 - 47$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /-31-47	Building Inspector 8-1-47
Planning Commission 8-1-47 Petitioner	F-1-47 Health Department F-1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second se

the state of the second second the second second

HERE OF THE REPORT OF

Miran 17.72 - 3 *

the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. 4995 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helmer Eden to erect an apartment on the second floor of an existing building with no sideyard for 22 ft. of the apartment, 2056 Kettner Boulevard, on the Easterly 38.31 ft. of Lot 1, all of Lots 2 and 11, Block 52, Middletown Addition, subject to the approval of the Building Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

struction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA DK

Glenn A. Rick, Secretary City Planning Director

a 4173

Res. No. 2415

July 30, . 1947

FORM 2145

Dated

Application Received <u>7-12-47</u> B	y _ P. g. Burlow City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> B	y Hackarg Ferrigan Seelen City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-30-47</u> Decision <u>granee</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8'1' 47</u> Planning Commission <u>8-1-47</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date Building Inspector F
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date Continued to Date of action

AND THE STATE OF A DAY

The second se

West and the share is a state surgery and

and provide the providence of the second second

any out what the same provide the provident we say that which the

The real state of the providence of the state

AND THE TENTH THE AREA THE

TIND IN THE Y

RESOLUTION NO. 2426 , estending # 1279

Letter dated July 11, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the date of this resolution be granted to Clarence P. Winn to build a residence on the Northwest corner of 52nd Street and Monroe Street, the easterly 628 ft. of Lots 27 and 28, Block 6, Alhambra Park, with a setback of 20 ft. from the front property line; in no case shall the setback be less than 20 ft. and no nearer to the street than the house immediately to the north; originally granted by Resolution No. 1279, and extended by Resolutions No. 1649 and 2000.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	July	30.	. 19 4	7
Dated	ALL SUBJECT			100

FORM 2145

By Glenn A. Rick, Storetary City Planning Director

Application Received By	mail
- approximation recourse	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> By	Haelorg, Kerregon Seelen City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-30-47	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 7-30-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-31-42	Building Inspector 8-1-47
Planning Commission &+7 Petitioner	8-1-47 Health Department 8-1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

N. SILTA S

Taking and the second second second

THERE OF THE PARTY SOLVED

a the same the second of the second second

and the second of the providence of the second of the seco

the second second second the table lack of the State and the second second second second second second second s

1.0300 (1.3 0 C . 19 C . 19

A. Pla provide and a second second

WHEREAS, Application No. 4842 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold E. Quinn to divide Lots 17 and 18, Cardena Home Tract into four parcels as follows: the westerly one-half and easterly one-half of Lot 17 and the westerly one-half and easterly one-half of Lot 18, southerly side of Gardena Avenue, approximately 400 ft. East of Knoxville Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85. New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 47

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 30,

FORM 2145.

By_____ Clean A. Rick, Secretary City Flanning Director

Application Received By	R.J. Hansen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> By	Haeling - Seelen Gerregon City Planning Department
A STATE AND A DESCRIPTION OF A	
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-30-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 1-30-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-31-47	Building Inspector 8-1-47
Planning Commission 8-1-47 Petitioner	F-1-47 Health Department F-1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action

us = to @ for 11 and interface to the to a state of the second state of the to a state of the second state of the second

a for the second of the second a second of the part which is the second of the the second of the second of

Lass of the second of and the following of the second states and the second second second second second as

the start has here black

Containe mit of

LIER REGEN

Pt Paur Lawrence

Man Alexandre Contraction and the

The second process of the second of any short the second here the here the second second

of the Care of the Digiti, Mould and the second of the second of the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. 4841 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold E.Quinn to divide Lots 4 and 5. Gardena Home Tract, into four parcels as follows: Westerly one-half and Easterly onehalf of Lot 4, and Westerly one-half and Easterly one-half of Lot 5. Northerly side of Gardena Avenue, approxainately 400 ft. east of Knoxville Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	July	30.	 ,	19	47	

FORM 2145

By Glenn A. Rick, Secretary City Planning Director

Res. No. 2418
Application Received 7-15-47 B	R.J. Jansen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> By	Kenigan, Seelen . Halling City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 7-30-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-31-41</u>	Building Inspector 8-1-47
Planning Commission 87-47 Petitioner	8-1-47 Health Department 8-1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Epit un de la companya de la company E la companya de la co E la companya de la co

of the first second of the second of the

the summer and a state of the s

The state of the second s

10.5 magel 0.5 9 mg

and the second of the second second with the second s

CENTRAL LE DE - COLLONGED

4. 2. 1. 1-1 Add 17 17 16 16 16

ALCON YOUT

Letter dated July 16, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2296, dated June 5, 1947, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Rolando Community Methodist Church to move in a barracks building, 20 ft. by 40 ft., and convert to a church school, and to maintain a 15 ft. rear yard, 4855 Seminole Drive, on a portion of Lot 24, La Mesa Colony, provided the exterior is stuccoed and the building meets all Building Department requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No.13558 and Ordinance No. 8924. Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 30, , 1947_

FORM 2145

By Glenn A. Rick, Secretary City Planning Director

Res. No. 2419

AK

Application Received By	mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-30-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 7-30-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-31-47</u>	Building Inspector 8-1-41
Planning Commission 8 - 1 - 4 7 Petitioner	5-1-47 Health Department 8-1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

renner an eine har ser har einer einer han einer har einer einer einer einer einer einer einer einer einer eine Gestanden einer Gestanden einer einer

a the start of the start to a start of the start of the

19495 AT ATTAIN, BARRIE DE LATTAINER . WAR

ALL ALL AND AND A AND A

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5014</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Guy W. Thompson and Wilbur L.Wilson to divide the Southwesterly 80 ft. of Lots 45, 46, 47 and 48, Block 2 4, Ocean Beach, into two buildings sites, 40 ft. by 100 ft. each, and erect a single family residence on each, at 1885 Ebers Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

City Planning Director

Res. No.2420

Dated July 30, , 19 47

FORM 2145

Application ReceivedB	J. L. Baughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> By	City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-3047	
Decision approved	Date 7-30-41
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-31-47	Building Inspector 8-1-47
Planning Commission 8-1-47 Petitioner	Building Inspector $\mathcal{F}^{-\gamma} - \mathcal{Y}^{\gamma}$ $\mathcal{F}^{-\gamma} - \mathcal{Y}^{\gamma}$ Health Department $\mathcal{F}^{-\gamma} - \mathcal{Y}^{\gamma}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

solut the oblight the low

States and the table of the second

2 - May regard

The Market Larger.

and the country

ALL CAL MY

EP OF CLARING

trainer argunation from the

ar is

WHEREAS, Application No. 4998 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to P. S. Packard to erect a quonset hut within 6 ft. of the rear of a dwelling at 1343 - 2nd Avenue, Lot C, Block 194, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 30, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Glenn A. Rick, Manager City Planning Director

Res. No. 2421

yte Haeling
City Planning Department
Hallieg, Herregon Seelen
City Planning Department
Hearing date
7-31-1/2
Building Inspector 8-1-41
8-1-47 Health Department 8-1-47
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

the second state of the the second of the second date of the second s

the second second

and the second second of the second second second second

CALL AND AND A

States in the second states in the second states

State States and

LOHET ...

10 Francisco de trabajo de entre de terresta

and the second states of the second

CARA SCONTAGE STATE

A. OFF.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5016</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. E. and Della O. Shattuck to construct a single family residence on portion of lot without full frontage on a dedicated street, being the East 100 ft. of the South 70 ft. of Lot 15, Resubdivision of Block 10, Kensington Park, and a portion of Tract "K" of Normal Heights, West side of Terrace Court, 50 ft. north of Jeffersoh Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19 47

FORM 2145

Glenn A. Rick Secretary City Planning Director

Application Received 7-24-46 B	y AC- Naclacy City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> B	y Halling Kengen Seelen City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-30-47</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-31-47</u>	Hearing date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Principal des l'he raber araber for a la later territe de l'araber et construction des principals de la construction de

where the second s

And the second second

The second second

and a supply we have to see a provide the second second second second second second second second second second

C. C. CODI D. Pagers - P.

a real to be the strategical and the set of the

Olicet March

MURDER NEW POLIS

The state of the offerty

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5020</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sixto Montoya to construct a galvanized chain link fence 4 ft. high in front of the setback line, 2020 Kearney St., on Lots 4 and 5, Block 189, San Diego Land and Town Company's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 30, , 19 47

FORM 2145

DK

Application Received 7-24-47	By R. m. Farrick
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u>	By Haeling Herrigen Seelen City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7- 10-47	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-31-47</u> Planning Commission	Date 7-30-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-31-47	Building Inspector 6-1-47
r laining Commission 8-1-47 Petitioner	8-1-47 Health Department 8-1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the set of the set

THE PROPERTY AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY AND THE PR

Printer and the stand of the second second

and the self of the second of

and the strict was provide to state of

or the second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4920</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard R. and Nelle P. McQueen to erect a residence and garage on an unsubdivided parcel of land with 50 ft. frontage on a dedicated street, being all of Charmolee Tract, 300 ft. south of Imperial Avenue at the end of Thrush Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

July 30.

, 1947

FORM 2145

Dated.

By Glenn A. Rick, Secretecc City Planning Director

Res. 2424

OK

Application Received7-23-47 B	R.m. Parrect
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-30-47</u> B	Kaeling, Kerregan, Seelen City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-30-47	Hearing date
Decision Approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-31-47	Building Inspector <u>5+7</u>
Planning Commission 8-1-47 Petitioner	8-1-47 Health Department 8-1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second state of the se

The same and the second states and

And the second s

Dens Shares Inc. ...

the second s

is a solution of the providence of the second of the second

and a particular and and a series and a series of the series of the series of the series of the series of the

the magnetic states and the set of the set of

2425 RESOLUTION NO.

Letter dated July 1, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That a permanent extension be granted to James P. Tate on Resolution No. 580 which granted him permission to remodel the existing garages below the apartments at 3117 and 31172 Ocean View Boulevard, Lots 19 and 20, Block 336, Choate's Addition, into two (2) apartments, subject to the following condition:

That an agreement be signed by the owner and filed of record to 1. the effect that Lots 19 and 20 and 3 ft. of Lot 18 which is adjacent to the apartments will be retained in the same ownership at all times.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal Agreen fled 7-2447 Dates is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 1947

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Olenn A. Rick, Secretary C ity Planning Director

Res. No. 2425

FORM 2145

OK

Application ReceivedE	v
	City Planning Department
Investigation made E	v
7-30-47	City Planning Department
Conditioned by Lonnie Committee	area me date
Decision Court apprecial	Date
Decision Concil a ppraval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-1-47</u>	Building Inspector 8-1-47
Planning Commission 8-1-47 Petitioner	8-1-47 Health Department 8-1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

10 .

ALMAN, MARK

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

5042

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will_____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMIT Lots 2 & 3	TTEE, 431
That the folloling fractive groperty, Lot	
Subdivision Pacific Highway, south of Rosecrans	
Earl A. Lombard	
31-un:	it auto court and office, and 14
gravalge used for the erection and operation of	
subject to the following conditions	
,	
	C. K. J. C.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated _____ July -30, _____ 1947___

Glenn A. Rick, Storeday

Application Received 7-26-47 By Jl Brughman
City/Planning Department
Investigation made 7-30-47 By Hacking, Genegar, Sellen City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee. 7-30-41 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 7-30-47
Considered by Zoning Committee. 7 - 30 - 47 Hearing date Decision
Planning Commission & 1-47 Petitioner & 1-47 Health Department & 1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of Council
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn
Time limit extended to

while you a second a support reason and the second s

* 16 N. . . .

THE REPORT OF THE ALL STREET, AND A STREET,

VIII-

RESOLUTION NO. 2427, Revoking Res 224

Letter dated June 26, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. __ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

at history

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The request of H. S. Englander for an extension of time on Resolution No. 224 which granted permission to Purl Purdy to maintain as sleeping quarters two auxiliary buildings at 3590-5th Avenue on Lot 5, Block 13, Brookes Addition, the south building with a 2 ft. sideyard and required rear yard, the north building with a 9 ft. rear yard and required side yard, subject to the following conditions:

- That the premises be cleaned up and kept in first class condition at all 1. times:
- That all regulations of the Building Department and Fire Department be 2. complied with:
- 3. The rooms are to be used for sleeping rooms only, with no kitchens:
- II. Permit is for the present owner, Mrs. Purl Purdy, only;
- 5. Said Resolution shall expire six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country;
- 6. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record;

is hereby denied.

Application for extension of time on variance to provisions of Ordinance No. 8924. Section Sa, be and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 30, , 1917

FORM 2145

By_ Glenn A. Rick, Secretery City Planning Director

Res. No. 2427

DIL

Pelter - 7-27-47 pplication Received B	y <u>Mail</u> City Planning Department
vestigation mode 7-16-47 D	a color the former long
vestigation made <u>7-16-47</u> B 7-30-47 7-16-47	City Planning Department'
onsidered by Zoning Committee 7-30-47	Hearing date
	Date 7-21-47
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-1-47</u>	Building Inspector 8-1-47
i commission of i cultioner	a rieditti Departiment a rifi
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action
A STATE AND A STAT	

the property of the second second

the set all all and a state of the set of the

the state of the s

G. T. TT SUMPLIAN PROPERTY

The standing of the

- -

14572 7 4 STA

a little protest

「正切すい」」「「すってない」

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4992</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William C, and Lela M. Brown to construct a single family residence on a portion of original lot with 80 ft. of street frontage, being the North 80 ft. of the South 157 ft. of Lot B, and 67th Street closing, La Mesa Colony, on the West side of 67th Street at the West end of Saranac Street. Residence and other buildings are to observe the setback of the house to the south.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

1947

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Glenn A. Rick, Survey City Planning Director

Res. No. 2428

OK

FORM 2145

Dated

July 30.

Applie the Dessingd - 11-14-47	_ By P. m. Farrick
Application Received $14-47$	City Planning Department
and the second	
Investigation made	By Haeling, Nerregan, Secon City Planning Department
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-30-5	47_ Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-1</u> .	Date 7-30-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1.	-47 Building Inspector
Planning Commission 8-1-47 Petition	ner 8-1-47 Health Department 8-1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and again the first provide the provide the state of the

and the matter of a second state " it was a

and that are a main it as call and the collections will der

NEW STREET, ST

A114 19 19 19 19 19 19

THE REPORT OF THE

and the second second and the second s

The city of a set of the couples to the best of the providence of the providence of the couple of the couple of the

a sumation is a state of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4867</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George F. and Louise Hodgkinson to construct a single family residence on a parcel of land 140 ft. by 160 ft., at the Northeast corner of Alleghany Street and the Northerly projection of Westport Street, being the East 160 ft. of the West 165 ft. of the South 140 ft. of the Southeast 1/4 of 1/4 Sec. 103, Rancho De La Nacion, beginning at the East line of the Northerly projection of Westport Street, subject to the following condition:

1. That when the city requests it, the owners will grant an easement 30 ft. in width along the south line of the above-described property for the widening of Alleghany Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 115, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Agreement No. 478 Not biled with City Clerk

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ July 30, , 1947_

FORM 2145

Glenn A. Rick, Sector

DIC

Application Received Br	
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made 7-30-47 By	City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-30-41	Hearing date
Decision approved, Cora'd	Date 7-30-47
Decision approved, Corra's Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-1-47	Building Inspector <u>& - 1 - 4 7</u>
Planning Commission 87-47 Petitioner	8-1-47 Health Department 8-1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Sales and the second second of the second second

The of the set of the

the second of th

NEW THE CONTRACTOR STORE AND THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY AND THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY.

and the second of the second secon The second The second se

to the start to some a where the part was a series of the series and the series of the

Aller .

sources and success and the second second

A CALE TOTAL OF LAST A CONTRACT OF THE ACCOUNTS OF THE OFFICE

•

CHURCELOUP IN

CONTRACTOR STORY 1450 CONTRACTOR

on the circle of second second of the second of the second second second of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. 4593 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William Brooks (Embassy Hotel) to maintain a canvas canopy with standards, canopy extending to the front property line, at 3645 Park Boulevard on Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4, Block 247, University Heights, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. No signs to be posted on the canopy;
- 2. Permit to be revocable.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 9537 and No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ August 13, _____ , 1947

FORM 2145

By_____ Glenn A. Rick, Secretary City Planning Director

Application Received	By R. J. Hausen
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{7-30-47}{8-}$	By Seelew, Kerregon, Hace, City Planning Department
7-20-54 7	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-30-47	7 Hearing date 8-13-47
Decision approved codal	Date 8-13-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-14-47	Building Inspector 8-15-47
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitione	r 8-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A SUPPLY CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

and the state of the second of the

ANAL TALL STATES AND ANTICOLOUS

LABRE PLUS

and the second state of the second state of the

The second second second second second second

and the second sec

A CARLES NOT BEEN AND A CARLES AND A CARLES AND

and serve addition of the and any the particulation of the server and the server of

the second the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5022</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank and Anna Adams to construct two duplex residences with no setback on Felton Street on the West 85 ft. of the North 67 ft. of the South 143 ft. of Lot 2, Block 28, Normal Heights, East side of Felton Street, 76 ft. north of Adams Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 13, , 1947

FORM 2145

Glenn A. Rick, Secretary City Planning Birector

Res. No. 2431

Ole

and the second s	2
Application Received	C. B. Ross
	City Planning Department
7-30-47	1 41
Investigation made $\frac{7-30-47}{8-13-47}$ B	Sellen Herrigan & Haelsig
7-50-07	y <u>Secleur</u> Kerrigen & Haelsig City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47	Hearing date 8-13-47
Decision approved	Date 8-13-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-14-47	Building Inspector 8-15-47
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner	Date 8.13-47 Building Inspector 8-15-47 8.15-47 Health Department 8-15-47 Council Hearing date
From filled with City Citik, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

(17 Sta 1. J. Statistical A. S. Taraba C. S. .

Furthiseion is hereby crusted to visit and some share to conternot the limit periodences with no subscheme tion of some on his set sy is all limitable of the of the mouth set his all host is not a north of the destrict of the family relient trail, to fer notion of answell

and the set to

a second second second second and a second second

the second s The second sec

the sizes of the PDD inters of the second so. This we shall be through the second solution to the second solution of the second solution

AUDITER Ladas

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5006</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Christine E. Coburn to maintain three living units on Lots 5 and 6, Block 75. Park Villas, two units with no sideyard on one side, for a period of one year at 3575 Arnold Avenue is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to Ordinance No. 12889 and No. 8924, Section Sa, be and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19 47

FORM 2145

By Glenn A. Rick, function City Planning Director

Application Received <u>7-15-47</u> By	P. m. Parrick
	City Planning Department
7-30-47	1 1 1
Investigation made <u>8-13-47</u> By <u>A</u>	errigan Sellew & Naeling City Planning Department
7-30-47	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47 Hea	ring date
Decision Denied Dat	e 8-13-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-14-47 Bui	ding Inspector 8-15-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{8^{-14} - 47}{1000}$ Bui Planning Commission $8^{-1} - 5^{-4} - 7$ Petitioner 8^{-1}	5-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Dat	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Cor	tinued to
	e of action

the state of the

the second second second and the second s

the second second is a second s

and we to she we want to be to be and

CENTRA AL

a start to be a set of a spectral start to be a start to be a

gri princia Malarua

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5057</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Bartolme and Amalia Vales to construct a 10 ft. by 20 ft. beauty shop addition to existing residence which has a 4 ft. rear yard and 4 ft. between buildings, and with 69 per cent coverage, at 1709 K Street on Lot 1 (excluding the West 52 ft.), Elock 40, Sherman's Addition, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 13, , 1947

FORM 2145

By_____ Glenn A. Rick, Sectoration City Planning Director

Application Received <u>7-31-47</u> B	y <u>J.C. Baughnan</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-13-47 B	y Kerregan, Lundy + Haelee's City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{5-13-47}{7}$ Decision duried Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{8-14-47}{7}$ Planning Commission $8-15-47$ Petitioner	Hearing date Date $F - 13 - 47$ Building Inspector $F - 15 - 47$ F - 15 - 47 Health Department $B - 15 - 47$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Council Hearing, date _ Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The second second

alter at the

any mentality and the president sector of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5041</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred W. Aldridge to construct a single family residence on the front portion of the West 20 ft. of Lot 17, all of Lot 18 and the East 5 ft. of Lot 19, Block 9. La Mesa Colony, at 6954 Amherst Street, subject to the following condition:

1. That an agreement be signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that the West 20 ft. of Lot 17, all of Lot 18, and the East 5 ft. of Lot 19 will be retained in the same ownership at all times.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

apernent # 480 - filed 8-19-17 CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	August 13,	,	1947_
FORM 214	5		

OF

Application Received July 31-1947 B	
Investigation made $8 - 13 - 47$ B	y Haelsig Herrigan & Lundy City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-41	Hearing date
Decision long approval	Date 8-13-41
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-14-47	Building Inspector 8-15-47
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner	8-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

As by the set of a strategy of the set of the

to a single entering to the age assessment to an all the second of the second second second and the second of the

And and the second s The second sec Second second

and a billion of the Charles and the Statements of the Article Article and the Statement and the Statement of the

WE STORE + NOTAL STORE

111 794 1 14

·他们说,在《古古中的月末》。《方法》:"不是

WHEREAS, Application No. 4691 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert K. and Margery L. Walker to construct one single family dwelling on a parcel of land 150 ft. by 250 ft., with 150 ft. street frontage on the north side of Avenida de la Playa, 1900 ft. east of La Jolla Shores Drive, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1280 (description on file in Planning Department Office).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 13. , 1947

FORM 2145

1

By Glenn A. Rick, Secretexx City Planning Director

Application ReceivedB	y C. B. Rass City Planning Department
	City Flanning Department
Investigation made 8-13-47 B	y Halling, Kernegan & Lunky City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47	Hearing date
Deinion	Data G 13-117
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8.14-47	Building Inspector 8-15-47
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>8-15-47</u> P-15-47 Health Department 85-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

x = approved and the provide the second and th

and a state of the second s

The second se

THE REPORT OF THE PERSON AND A THE STUDIES. THE PERSON

r may be

the out of the second second state and the second second

Mate Some state state

The art . There don't

WHEREAS, Application No. 5063 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph and Ciria Gruz to construct a perch on residence, observing an 11 ft. setback, on Lots 29 and 30, Block 189, San Diego Land and Town Company's Addition, 2075 Julian Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By__

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 13, , 1947

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, Statistic. Ass't Planning Director DIC
Application Received By	
Fr	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-13-47</u> B	Haeling, Gerligan & Tuesdy City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date
Copy of Resolution/sent to City Clerk 8-15-47	Building Inspector 8-15-47
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner	F-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

an and the second design and the second desi

the second of th

 WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5064</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Corbin to alter an existing residence and maintain a 2¹/₂ ft. setback from Alta Way on Lot 10, Block 15, La Jolla Hermosa Unit No. 2, 5839 Avenida Commercial.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2479 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August	13.	10 47
		10 *

FORM 2145

Dated

By Glenn A.Rick, Successory City Planning Director

Res. No. 2437

Application Received 8-5-47 B	y J. C. Baughman City Planning Department
L i i D T I I D	den yline - P
Investigation made <u>8-13-47</u> B	y Kerregan Halling & Lundy City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-14-47</u>	Building Inspector 8-15-47
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner	8-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The fail of a set of the set of the

and the state and the state and the state of the state of

and a Branch and a second and a s

The an isson of the state of the state of the state of the

The second of the second s

Galia -

Aller Hall . Sarry

Vieland Contraction of Contraction

WHEREAS, Application No. _ 5062 ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Minnie Painter, owner, and Robert Kuhlman and Robert R. Rachmanow, lessees, to construct and operate an ice cream manufacturing plant at 10352 Garnet Avenue on the South one-half of Lots 9 and 10, Block 223, Pacific Beach, subject to the following conditions:

1. Maximum of five (5) employees;

, 19 17

- 2. Maximum of fifteen (15) horsepower equipment:
- Only small panel truck to be used for pick-up and delivery. 3.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Harry C. Haelsig, Millin Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2438

OK

August 13. FORM 2145

Dated

Application ReceivedF	By R. m. Carrich
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-13.47</u> H	By Kerregan Luckyr Haeling City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47	Hearing date
Decision Conal approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-47	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-47	Building Inspector 8-15-47
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner	8-5-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The are seen that is a set of the set of the

I Participation and the second

Planter"

a strate for a activity and the how when the trade of

RESOLUTION NO. 2439, Alended by Res 2861

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5032</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elizabeth C. Piper to divide Lots 29, 30, 31 and 32, Block 14, Center Addition, into two building sites, one S1 ft. by 100 ft., facing on Cabrillo Street, and the other 45 ft. by 100 ft., facing on Pearl Street, 1231 Pearl Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

, 1947

Glenn A. Rick, Strictery City Planning Director

Res. No. 2439

Die

FORM 2145

Dated

August 13.

Application Received <u>8-8-47</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-13-47</u> By	Kernigan, Lundy & Haeling City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-12-117	
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Charles and a second second

the second states the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5059</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Philip S. and Esther P. Dickenson to construct an "L" shaped addition, approximately 72 ft. by 58 ft., to principal residence; addition to contain new kitchen, old kitchen to be abandoned, 5307 La Jolla Shores Drive on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1297, 300 ft. by 732 ft. Caretaker quarters will remain as at present.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By______ Harry C. Haelsig,^{Sogistic} Ass't Planning Director OK

Application Received <u>8-5-47</u> By	H. C. Halling City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-13-47</u> By	Kernigen Lundy + Halley City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47	Hearing date
Decision approace Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-14-41	Date Building Inspector 8-15-47
Planning Commission 8-15.47 Petitioner	Building Inspector 8-15-47 F-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the strength of the strength o

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to move in a residence and construct addition to an existing residence with an S ft. rear yard, addition to observe 20 ft. rear yard, at 4176 Ibis Street, on the North one-half of Lot 17, all of Lots 18, 19 and 20, and the East 15 ft. of Lots 5, 6, 7 and the North one-half of the East 15 ft. of Lot 5, Block 24, Arnold and Choate's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

BMarry C. Haelsig, sectory Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2441

Dated____August 13,

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>8-5-47</u> By	. J. C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-13-47</u> By	Kerrigan Lundy, + Halling City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47 Decision annaled	Hearing date Date Building Inspector $\underbrace{\mathcal{E}}_{-1}\underbrace{\mathcal{E}}_{-4}\mathcal$
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

to star service and share to the service of the

Data a contra de la Prima de la

12 11 21

and the second state of th

and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5083</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. L. Branch to construct an S ft. by 10 ft. service porch addition to rear of existing residence which has a 1 ft. 6 in. sideyard, addition to maintain all yard requirements, 3954 Texas Street on Lots 37 and 38, Block 199, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 13, . 1947

FORM 2145

By_____ Harry C. Haelsig, Secretery Ass't Planning Director

6-15-47 8-13-47 Application received . 8-7.47. hig: 3.C. Bang Investigation made . 8-13-47 By: Kenigon, hendy + Betz Jug. proved. Leve to aty clerk. 8-14-47. 8-13-47 64 41 8 ed hyz c. on aggree Pill 8-15-47 Accesion ! mudered Carg

				• •	
Filed	1	-	- B	1920-19	
			-		
	,				
³ y -	171				City Cler
			1		
					Deput
70	ND	TAD	TANGT		
20					OLUTION
		3	то		
		1			1
				8	
			FOI	R	
			101		
			1		
		1			
		5	N.L.		13-24-5
	115	1	i fa		ATT BE
24	-				
19		10	1.1.9	-	100 million
	12		-		
	42	-			
				5 here	
	direction of	1			
	de la contra		-1-1		
	And and a state	- Wed's	1	-	

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to 0. J. Foltz to maintain a pergola on the front of the residence and to maintain a barbecue, each with a 1 ft. setback, at 3904 Madison Avenue on a portion of Villa Lot 235, Normal Heights (description on file in Planning Department office).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 13, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, Sectorary, Ass't Planning Director DIC

Application Received E	y Kom
7	City Planning Department
7-30-47	4/ 1 1/1
Investigation made 8-13-47 (!) E	iv Herregan, Sellen, Halley
0.21.14	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{8-13-47}{8-13-47}$ E Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{7\cdot30-47}{8-13\cdot47}$	Hearing date 8-13-47
Decision approved	Date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-14-47	Building Inspector <u>8-15-47</u>
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner	8-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

with a structure of the experience of the anticipation of the structure of the structure of the structure of the

and the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4987</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to 0. J. Foltz to construct a concrete block wall in front of the setback line with a height of 2 ft. above floor level of adjacent residence, 3904 Madison Avenue, on a portion of Villa Lot 235. Normal Heights (description on file in the Planning Department office).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 13, , 1947

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, Sufficient Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2444

OK

Application Received $7 - 11 - 47$ E 7 - 16 - 47 E 7 - 30 - 47	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-13-47</u> E 7-16-47	By Jerregan, Seller, Hacking City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-30-47 Decision annaned 8-19-47	Hearing date 8-13-47 Date 8-13-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{8-14-47}{15}$ Planning Commission $8^{-1}5^{-4}7$ Petitioner	Building Inspector $\underbrace{\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}}$ Health Department $\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the state of the sequences in the source of the second s

and the second second second second second and the second second second second second second second second second

The second secon

The characteristic and the set of the set of

The second s

the survey of the states where the

the second second second

Constanting of the state of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5051</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Douglas R. Lewis, owner, and Kate Carlstrom, purchaser, to move in three buildings on Lots 13, 14 and 15, Block 70, Ocean Beach, maintaining a 12 ft. rear yard, on Del Monte Avenue near Ocean Front.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

, 1947

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Dated

August 13.

0

Harry C. Haelsig, Semeters Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2445

Application Received <u>8-9-47</u> B	y R. m. Carrish City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{r-13-47}{B}$ B	y <u>Gerugen Sundy</u> r Haeley City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47	Hearing date
Decision appraved	Date
Decision appraved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-14-47	Building Inspector 875-47
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner	8-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the set of the set

San Stan Republic Johns

DOM DY ST ST

41-

Private C. S. P. Mart - Chrynes, P.

the states and a second

WHEREAS, Application No. 4996 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Levie G. Tittarelli to construct a fence 4 ft. high in front of the setback line at 2245 Kearney Street, Lots 37 and 38, Block 174, San Diego Land and Town Company's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 13, , 19 47

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, Sector

Res. No. 2446

Application Received $7 = 29 \cdot 47$ By	Bay Munday City Planning Department
Investigation made B	Haeling, Kernegan + Lundy City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-41	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 8-13-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-14-47	Building Inspector $\frac{\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}}$ $\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}$ Health Department $\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}$
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner	8-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

MARTY - Contract

ALLE STAT

I have a reading of the former

18 · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5054</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. M. and Dorothea Thompson to erect a lattice fence to a height of approximately 8 ft. on side property line at 5058 Canterbury Drive on Lot 13, and a portion of Lot 14, Block 4, Kensington Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 13, , 19 47

FORM 2145

10

Harry C. Haelsig, Surretary Ass't Planning Director

Application Received <u>7-30-47</u> B	y <u>J. C. Baughman</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-13-47</u> B	y Halley, Verregant hundy City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47 Decision agriculture	Hearing date Date $8 - 13 - 47$ Building Inspector $8 - 15 - 47$ 8 - 15 - 47 Health Department $8 - 15 - 47$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A Fin Class and the second and the second of the second second second second second second second second second

as and a company and a second

The second second and the second s

Net and the second

-

1.2.1. P. 1. 1. 1. 1.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5013</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George J. Russ and Ben Moore to erect a store building with a setback of 18 inches on the Southwesterly 25 ft. of Lot 365, Block 17, Crown Point, located on Ingraham Street near the corner of La Cima Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 13, , 19 47

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2448

DR

Application Received	By R. m. Carrish
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-13-47</u>	By Maeling - Junky & Kerregi City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-4	7 Hearing date
Decision appraved	Date <
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-14-4	7 Building Inspector <u>8-15-47</u> r 8-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner	r 8-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ALL SCHOOL NOT AGA

. All ration in which it

A TREASURE NO. 13. IN THE REAL PROPERTY

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4942</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. H. and Lilly M. Taliaferro, owners, and A. F. Simons, lessee, to operate an automobile parking lot on the South 40 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 6, Gardner's Addition, West side of 15th Street, 140 ft. south of "A" Street, subject to the following condition:

1. That the lot be oiled or surfaced in a satisfactory manner to keep the dust down.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 18, , 1947

FORM 2145

By______ Harry C. Haelsig, Mistry Ass't Planning Director

Application Received By	R.J. Mancen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-16-47</u> By	Seelen, Curry & Burlo- City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-16-47	Hearing date
Decision loud'e approval	Date 7-16-47
Copy of Recolution cent to City Clerk P. 18:47	Building Inspector 8-19-47
Planning Commission 8-19-47 Petitioner	8-19-47 Health Department 8-19-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date #=+8-+7	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second of the

LHANGE

- 1

the second state of the second

Sur Car

WHEREAS, Application No. 5044 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 20 _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Joseph Thomas Joesler to construct a 7 ft. beard fence windbreak on a 5 ft. retaining wall, total height of 12 ft. on Lot 7, Block A, Sunset Crest on Trieste Drive south of Point Loma Avenue, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 47 August 13. Dated

FORM 2145

 \square

10

By Harry C. Haelsigsectory Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2450

DK

Application Received By	6. B. Rosa
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-13-47</u> By	Halling Herrigan & Lundy City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47	Hearing date
Decision Venied	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-14-47	Building Inspector 8-15-47
	8-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

The 14-2 state of the set of the second state of the set of the second state of the se

and the second states and the second states and a second states and

and a start and the

There are a survey and a party of the second

ana la sur a s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5061</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lloyd B. and Gertrude A. Becker to construct a 416 sq. ft. addition to an existing book binding shop at 4469-47th Street. on Lot 34 of Granada Tract. permit to expire concurrently with Resolution No. 928.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 13, , 19 47

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2451

Application Received By	R. m. Parrich City Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made 8-13-47 By	Haelseng Jerregans & Banky City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47	Hearing date
Decision Compared	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-47	Building Inspector 8-15-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-15-47 Planning Commission 8-5-47 Petitioner	F-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action

and a start and a start spec

and the second

a service service and the service service and the service service service service service and the service serv

ter son the second state of the

the second se

and a second the second the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5076</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>mot</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George A. and Edna Pfaff to make repairs to an existing residence which has a 6 ft. rear yard, repairs to be over 50 per cent of the 1935 assessed value, 2428 Monroe Avenue on the West 45 ft. of Lots 25 and 26, Block 52, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By__

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19 17

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, XXXXX Ass't Planning Director

Application Received By	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{8-13-47}{By}$ By	Valley, Kerregers & Lundy City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47	Hearing date
Decision appraved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk \$ 14-47	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk \$ 14-47	Building Inspector 8-15-47
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner	8-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the real is an a submitted strategy to a set of the second strategy and the

Milling Car

A CONTRACT OF A Charles Marine

RESOLUTION NO. 2453, extending Res. 2060 , extended by Res. # 2872 Letter dated August 2, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months be granted to J. C. and Irene Boronda to divide and to construct a single family residence on the North 260 ft. of the South 662 ft. of the East 330 ft. of the West 660 ft. of Lot 16, Ex-Mission Lands of Horton's Purchase, access to the property being by a 50 ft. easement from "A" Street, East of 49th Street, subject to the same conditions as set forth in the original Resolution No. 2060.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, and Section 12 of Ordinance No. 8924 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 1947 Dated August 13.

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, Segerater Ass't Planning Director

6K

pplication Received By By City Planning Department
City Planning Department
nvestigation made By
City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47 Hearing date
Decision lid 1 1 1 2 2 2 Date 8-13-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-14-47</u> Building Inspector <u>8-15-47</u> Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner 8-13-47 Health Department 8-15-47
lanning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner 8-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

The second strate with the second second strate the second s

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PRO

The The The Contest

and the second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5071</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe F. Crownover to construct a chain link fence 4 ft. high in front of the setback line at 3909 Gamma Street on Lots 1 and 2, Block G, Arlington.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 13. , 19 47

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsiggertary Ass't Planning Director
Application Received By	J.C. Buighman City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-13-47</u> By	Haelseg. Verregan & Lander City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8.13-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date Building Inspector <u>8-13-47</u> 8-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47 Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-13-47	Building Inspector 873-47
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner	8-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second of the second sec

the second contract of the second contract of the second sec

and the second second

and the second states of the

THEY REAL TO A VERY WORKS TO A REAL POINT

RESOLUTION NO. 2455 amending Resolution No. 2145

Letter dated August 12, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2145, dated March 27, 1947, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stella F., Sim and Robert Holladay to build a third residence on one nine-acre parcel of land, being the Westerly 837.5 ft. of Pueblo Lot 1112, measured on the center line of Camino del Rio and lying South of Camino del Rio, east of 6th Street Extension, residence to be built on the Westerly 320 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947. New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 13, , 1947

FORM 2145

Ì

2

By ______ Harry C. Haelsig, Sectorery Ass't Flanning Director DR

Application Received _ 8-12-47	⁷ By
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-1	13-47 Hearing date
Decision approved	<u>13-47</u> Hearing date Date 83-47 <u></u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	215-47 Building Inspector 8-15-47
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Pe	titioner 8-15-47 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The sub-state of the state of the state of the product of the state of

Lenne of still a construction of the second state of the second of the second state of

The istant into the second in the literation of the second second states and the

and the second stand and the second of the second of the second of the second second second second second second

der stratter in the aller and the set of a set of the set

the product of the product of the

12 OF CONT. M. C. March March March 12

and see and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. 5072 __ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. C. and P. W. Burgener and Eric O. and Emma F. Tauer to divide Lots 2, 3 and 4, Block 36, La Jolla Hermosa Unit No. 2, into two building sites as follows: Lot 2 and the North 1/2 of Lot 3, and the South 1/2 of Lot 3 and Lot 4, and to permit a single family residence on each parcel, and to permit a 26 inch eave overhang on the residence to be constructed on Lot 2 and North 1/2 of Lot 3. This permit is subject to the following conditions:

- That the owners of Lot 2 and the North 1/2 of Lot 3 (L.C. and P. W. 1. Burgener) sign an agreement to be filed of record to the effect that the proposed residence, which will contain a butler's pantry with a sink in addition to the regular kitchen, will not be used in violation of the Zoning Ordinance which limits use and occupancy to not more than one family:
- That an agreement be signed by the owners of the South 1/2 of Lot 3 and 2. all of Lot 4 (Eric O. and Emma F. Tauer) and filed of record to the effect that said South 1/2 of Lot 3 and all of Lot 4, Block 36, La Jolla Hermosa Unit No. 2, will be retained in one ownership at all times.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. agreement # 481 - filed 8-19-47 " # 482 filed 8-20-47

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	August 13.	 19_47
FORM 214	5	

P

By Harry C. Haelsisecratery Ass't Planning Director oK

Application Received By	G. M. Caruch City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-13-47</u> By	Halling Jerregon & Sundy City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47	Hearing date
Decision boude approval I	Date 8-13-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-13-47	Building Inspector 8-15-47
Planning Commission 8-15-47 Petitioner 8	-15-41 Health Department 8-15-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action

1.G

the state of the second rate of the state of the second rate of the second rate of the second rate of the second

Sugar Bach

the second states whether the second states and the second states and the second states and the second states a

a she she she both it

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5019</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald F. Doxie to construct a duplex and three garages and a store room with one apartment above on Lots 39 and 40, Block 13, La Jolla Strand Addition on Palomar Street, west of La Jolla Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated <u>August 27</u>, 19 47

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA By Harry L. H Haelsig Harry anning Director Res. No. 2457

Application Received B	v
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-27-47</u> E	by <u>Seelen</u> , <u>Verrigen</u> Beulon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-37-47	Hearing date 8-37-47
Decision approved	Date 8-27-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-28-47	Building Inspector 8-29-47
Planning Commission 8-29-47 Petitioner	Building Inspector 8-29-47 8-29-47 Health Department 8-29-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE STATE OF THE REPORT OF THE STATE OF THE

(1) A second second in the other for and when an end of the second when the dust in the second se

a set a s

* A spectral Construction was exclusively and the second state of the second state

and a supervise when an an an internet a supervise the second second second second second second second second

the addition of the second second

a main of provident and the opening

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

not

- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Maude E. Coker to construct a stucco fence 8 ft. in height on the rear property line at 3380 Bayside Walk, Lot C, Block 122, Mission Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

August 27, . 1947 Dated_

FORM 2145

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA am By

Ass't Planning Director

Res. No.2458

oKto

Application Received 8-22-47 B	y S. E. Sauch City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8.27.47</u> B	y Kerregow, Seelen Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-22-41	Hearing date
Decision appeared Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-28-47	Date 8-27-41
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 28-97	Building Inspector 8-39-47
Planning Commission 8 - 29 - 47 Petitioner	8-29-47 Health Department (-29-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A MARKEN SLAVE USAN THE RECEIPTION OF BOUND

Carl Content all and a second second and

Shing man works the set of post man a state of the set

And which is a support of the part of a support of the part of the

and the second in

A STATICE AND AMON

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5133</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Maude E.Coker to construct an apartment over an existing garage, and maintain a 9 ft. rear yard for one portion of the apartment at 3380 Bayside Walk on Lot C, Block 122, Mission Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated August FORM 2145

ZONING COMMITTEE ITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA am anning Director Res. No. 2459

OLB

Application Received 8.22.47 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-27-47</u> By	Herregon, Seelere Bulon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-21-41	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date $g-27-47$ Building Inspector $g-29-47$ P-29-47 Health Department $8-29-47$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 28-91	Building Inspector 8-29-47
Planning Commission 8-29-47 Petitioner	7- 29-47 Health Department 8-39-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

C. TO LEAST AND A DECK OF

D.

1 3

AND THE MORE COMPANY

14 · 14 · 16 ·

WHEREAS, Application No. 5058 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. Jesus Santos to construct a 12 ft. by 19 ft. addition to a non-conforming building which has one sideyard of 2 ft. and the other of 3 ft., addition to observe a 3 ft. sideyard, 1629 National Avenue on Lot 41, Block 132, Mannassee and Schiller's Subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

August 27, , 1947 Dated_

 \Box

FORM 2145

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA By Harri xu. HaelsSecretery Planning Director

ZONING COMMITTEE

Res. No.2460

OKB

Application Received By
1-13-47 City Planning Department Naclac
Investigation made <u>8-37-47</u> By <u>Neuroper</u> <u>Seelens</u> <u>Builton</u> <u>6-21-47</u> City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-27-47</u> Hearing date
8-21-47 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-2/4/ Hearing date
Decision approved. Date 8-27-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-28-47 Building Inspector 8-29-47
Planning Commission 8-29-47 Petitioner 8-29-47 Health Department 8-29-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

THE SECTION OF A DAY OF A DAY

o) and the second of the second of the second s

A BASPALL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5082</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. F. Reed to erect a residence observing a 7 ft. setback from Soledad Avenue, and no setback from Al Bahr Drive, on Lot 7, Ludington Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 27, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsiegretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2461

OKD

Application Received 8-13-47 B	y R.J. Hansen City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-27-47</u> B	y <u>Yerrigan</u> , Sellen Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-27-47	Hearing date
Decision annual	Date 8.27-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 28-47	Building Inspector 8-79-47
Planning Commission & 39-47 Petitioner	Date $g \cdot 27 \cdot 47$ Building Inspector $g \cdot 39 \cdot 47$ $g \cdot 39 \cdot 47$ Health Department $g - 39 \cdot 47$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE P. COT. N. U.L. S. COLORAGE, By MACKAGE COMPLETE AND A STREET, MARKED AND A STREET, MARKE

an en sin uite explored a there all the second in the second second and the second second second second second

and the second second first and the second sec

· Un cubita

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5066</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harvey A. Kern to construct three living units on Lot N, Block 168, Mission Beach, with a 3 ft. access court to the rear unit, North side of Ormond Court, 100 ft. east of Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 1947 August 27. Dated'

FORM 2145

CITY OF SAN/DIEGO, CALIFORNIA tarry By U. Maelsi Secretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2462

ZONING COMMITTEE

	nn I
Application Received <u>8-14-47</u> By	, R.J. Harren
	City Planning Department
	J = p
Investigation made 8.27-47 By	Terregon dellen Juston
0	Kerregon Seelen Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-27-47	Hearing date
Decision annance	Date 8-27-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8 -28-47	Building Inspector 8-39-47
Planning Commission 8 . 29 - 41 Petitioner d	9-39-47 Health Department 8-39-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A PARK OF THE STREET STREET

the second s

A second second second the CAL Second s

和我们的时候,这些人们,是一些是是不能在这个时候,我们的事实和你们的事实,你不能是我们的是我们,你不能是我们的

an and a set of a set of the manual of the set of the set

and the second second



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5084</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frederick S. Renneker and A. Harutunian, owners, and Myron O. and Agnes Tobin, purchasers, to construct and operate a Dog Kennels on the Northerly four acres (except the Westerly 100 ft.) of Pueblo Lot 1788, East side of Pacific Highway, approximately 2400 ft. north of Balboa Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3061, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar . as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

August 27. , 19 47 Dated

FORM 2145

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Iss't Planning Director Res. No. 2463

Application Received 8-12-47	By <u>R. m. Parish</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made 8.27-47	By Keringan, Seelen, Busto
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-27-47	Hearing date
Decision appraved	Date 8-27-47
Decision approaced Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-28-47	Building Inspector 8-29-47
Planning Commission 8-29-47 Petitioner	8-29-47 Health Department 8-29-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second s The second sec The second se

and the second second and the second s

and the second of the second of the second second second in the second second second second second second second

1.73 2 2 3 6

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5130</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George and Lyda Owen to construct a 10 ft. by 12 ft. storage room addition to an existing 12 ft. by 20 ft. garage, making total length 32 ft., addition to observe 0 ft. sideyard and 0 ft. rear yard, at 2207-29th Street on the West 40 ft. of Lots 10, 11 and 12 and 10 ft. of 29th Street closed, Block 64, Seaman and Choate's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

arry Flanning Director

Res. No.2464

OLB

Dated_____August 27, , 19_47

FORM 2145

Application Received By	<u>R. m. Parish</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-27-47 By	Kerregan, Juleus Bulo- City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 27-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 8-27-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-28-47	Building Inspector 8-29-47
Planning Commission 8-39-47 Petitioner 8	Date $8 - 37 - 47$ Building Inspector $8 - 39 - 47$ -39 - 47 Health Department $8 - 39 - 47$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second of the second of

the reason and a

2

Cilline 1 1 1 1 1 1



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5132</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would **not** work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is **not** necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Harry S. and Lucy Jane McKeever to erect a store building to be attached to an existing dwelling, store building to observe an 0 ft. sideyard on north side, at 4260 Fairmount on Lots 39 and 40, Block 21, Resubdivision of Blocks K and L, Teralta, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 27, , 19 47

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelseg, Ass't Planning Director

Application Received I	By H. C. Halling City Planning Department
	By Kerrigen Jellen Burlo City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27-47	Hearing date
Decision Denied	Date 8-27-49
Decision Denied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-28-4/	Building Inspector 8-39-47
Planning Commission 8 79 -41 Petitioner	8-29-47 Health Department 8-29-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

We have a set of the s

are strated and a second of the second strategy and the second strategy and

A THE PERSON OF BUILDING THE ASSOCIATE THE PRODUCT OF THE PERSON OF A THE PERS

The second provide the second s

and the second of the provide the second state and the second state of the second state of

1.

July Com

CHERCE STREET

C. Kare Marth.

WHEREAS, Application No. 5108 ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of William and Margaret Ernspiker to divide Lots 16 and 17, Block 12, Bird Rock Addition, into two building sites, each with 62.5 ft. frontage on Midway Street, Northwesterly corner of Midway Street and Bellevue Avenue, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

See Res. # 87154-

Dated August 27, , 19 47

ZONING COMMITTEE Filed ofter Ris 2537 CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA ZONING COMMITTEE

FORM 2145

Harry C. HaelSig Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2466

Application Received 8-21-47 B	1
	City Planning Department
	1 , 1
Investigation made 8-27-47 B	Kerregon, Seelen, Bulo- City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-27-41	Hearing date
Decision Denne	Date 8-27-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8 38-41	Building Inspector <u>8-29-47</u> 8-29-47 Health Department 8-39-41
Planning Commission 8-29-47 Petitioner	8-29-47 Health Department 8-39-41
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 9-2-47	Council Hearing, date9-16-47
Decision of Council Denied	Date 9-30-47
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE STATE

interior in the interior interior

the second second with the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5121</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Otto Smidl to erect a residence on the Southerly 60 ft. of Lots 7 and 8, Block A, Resubdivision of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, and to maintain a 16 ft. rear yard, on Chelsea Street, south of Abalone Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the user and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ August 27, _____, 19_47

FORM 2145

0

Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2467

SKB)

Application Received 8-22-47 By	R.J. Harren
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-27-47 By	Kerregne Seelen Burlo City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8 37-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 8-27-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-28-11	Building Inspector 8-29-47
Planning Commission 8-39-47 Petitioner	8.29.47 Health Department 8-29.47
	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second second states and the second s

and the second of the second of the second second second second the second second second second second second s

The second se

AND THE OWNER OF THE PARTY OF

WHEREAS, Application No. 5126 ___ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clifford O. and Delta M. Boren to divide Lot 8, Block 2, Sunset Grove, into two building sites, each 531 ft. by 107 ft., with 531 ft. frontage on Long Branch Avenue, providing the required setback is observed on Long Branch Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Aplit. NW1/2 1-30 2/ BORENYPADOATT

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Bylarry C. Haelsseenetary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2468

FORM 2145

Dated

August 27, , 19 47

Application Received <u>8-23-47</u> B	y <u>IC Baughman</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-27-47 B	y Kengan Julen But
Considered by Zoning Committee \$ 27-47	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 8 27-47 Decision approved	Date 8 37-47 Building Inspector 8-39-47 8-29-41 Health Department 8-29-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 838-4	Building Inspector 8-39-47
Planning Commission 8-39-47 Petitioner	8-29-41 Health Department 8-29-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Den ra

"end:

When have been to provide the taken

LET START ALL LL AND ALL AND AL

the suit was some on the law manufacture at the

(2.9) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9)

BLOUINLIND HOT

the state of the s

WHEREAS, Application No. 5105 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ mot materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will __ not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alta S. Grant to construct and operate an asphalt processing plant on the South 3/4 of the South 1/2 of Pueblo Lot 1183, North of Friar's Road, east of Murray Canyon, subject to the following conditions:

- Permit to be for a period of six months only; 1:
- That a \$5000 bond be posted with the City of San Diego 2. guaranteeing the dismantling of the plant at the end of the six months period and the general cleaning up of the premises;
- Permit to be revocable for violation of statements and 3. provisions in the petition and accompanying letter.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 148, New Series, be. and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 27, , 1947

FORM 2145

)

Harry C. HaelSig Ass't Planning Director Res. No.2469

OKB)

Application ReceivedB-15-47B	DE South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-27-47</u> B	Kernigan Seelen Bulo- City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-27-47	Hearing date
Decision approved, could	Date 8-27-47
Decision approved, could Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-28-41	Building Inspector 8-29-41
Planning Commission 8-39-47 Petitioner	8-39-47 Health Department 8-39-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 1-9-2-47	Council Hearing, date 9-10-47-
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

AUGST CASE ATTAIN TREATED IN THE SHALL PLAN TO SHALL THE SHALL PROVE THE SHALL PLAN THE AND

The start of the second second second

e 4. 19.

WHEREAS, Application No. 5021 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- not 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Mrs. Marie Leonard to construct a residence and a garage with an O ft. setback from Bacon Street, on Lots 9 and 10. Block 68, Ocean Beach, is hereby denied.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August 27, , 1947 Dated

FORM 2145

By_ Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2470

OKB

Application Received <u>7-30-41</u> B	Jit Baughnean City Panning Department
6	
Investigation made $\frac{8-3.47}{8-3.47}$ B	Kerregon, Section, Hacking
8-13-47	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8:27-47	Hearing date
Decision Denied	Date 8-27-47
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-28-47	Building Inspector 8-28-47
Planning Commission 8 - 29 - 4 7 Petitioner	8-29-47 Health Department 8-29-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

LANGER ST. HE TO STRUKTE ST. DELLA TO ST. DELLA TO THE ST. DELLA ST.

and the set of the set

and adversely a tell the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5135</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth S. Ray to add to and remodel existing garage which has a 3½ ft. sideyard and to add a play room on the second floor of the garage and maintain the 3½ ft. sideyard, 4244 Jackdaw Street, Lots 21 to 24, inclusive, except the West 15 ft., and a portion of Jackdaw Street closed, Block 18, Arnold & Choate's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 27, , 19 47

FORM 2145

D

By______ Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2471

642

Application Received	By R. M. Parcel City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-37-47	By Kenger, Seller Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-27-47	7 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 827.47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-28-4	Z Building Inspector 8-29-47
Planning Commission 8-29-47 Petitioner	r 8-29-47 Health Department 8-29-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second

and the state of a low weight the provident of the second state of the second state of the second state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John A. Maclin and Etienne and Santina De Falco to divide Lots K and L, Block 338, Horton's Addition, into two building sites, one 80 ft. by 100 ft. and the other 50 ft. by 100 ft., both facing on Curlew Street, and construct a single family residence on each parcels. Parcels are as follows: 1. Lot L, except North 20 ft. and all of Lot K; and portion of Curlew Street closed adjacent. 2. North 20 ft. of Lot L, South 30 ft. of Quince Street closed and portion of Curlew Street closed adjacent.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 27. , 19 47

FORM 2145

Harry C. HaelsSecretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2472
Application Received 8-20-47	By <u>G. B. Ross</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-27-47</u>	By Kerregse Seelers, Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-27-47	Hearing date Date 8-29-47 Z Building Inspector <u>6-29-47</u> r 6-39-47 Health Department 8-39-47
Decision approved	Date 8-27-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-28-3	Z Building Inspector 8-29-47
Planning Commission 8-29-47 Petitione	r 8-39-41 Health Department 8-39-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

STRATE AND A STRATE AND A DESCRIPTION OF A

na e l'anna a la seconda de la seconda d La seconda de la seconda de

67. 14 . .

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard C.Thorp to construct a 40 ft. by 100 ft. quonset storage building for use with existing potato chip factory at the rear of 4764 Logan Avenue on the East 330 ft. of the North 1/2 of the South 1/2 of the Southwest 1/4 of Lot 55, Rancho Ex-Mission (Horton's Purchase).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 78, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 1947

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 2473

OKR)

Marry C. Haelsiseretary Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Dated_

August 27,

0

Application Received <u>8-18-41</u> By	P.g. Kusto- City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8.27-47</u> By	Kerregen, Seelens Buton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-27-41	Hearing date
Decision appropriate	Date 8-21-41
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-38-41	Building Inspector 8-39-41
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-38-41 Planning Commission 8-39-47 Petitioner 8	39 . 41 Health Department 8 - 39 - 47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The sub-transferred stands and the second stand of the second stands and the second stands and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5099</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sam and Pansy Claggett to construct three living units on Lot D, Block 55, Mission Beach, with a 3 ft. access court for the rear unit, Ensenada Court, west of Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE ITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA arry ecretary Planning Director Res. No. 2474

Dated August 27, , 19_47

FORM 2145

Application Received aug 13-47 H	By D. C. Baughman - City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-27-47 H	By <u>Nerregne</u> , <u>Seelen</u> , Burto- City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-37-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 8-37-17
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-38-47	
Planning Commission & 39-47 Petitioner	8-29-17 Health Department 8-39-17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

· has a second of the second second

Service States of the service of the

HERE AND

Tash trugatesa."

1. 2. 2. 2. 2.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5106</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sam A. and Pansy P. Claggett to erect a duplex over an existing garage which has 0 ft. sideyard, duplex to observe 3 ft. sideyard, and to add a 20 ft. by 19 ft. garage to the existing garage, and to maintain a 6 ft. access court for the living units, 2945 Ocean Front, Lot A, Block 53, Mission Beach, subject to the final approval of the Planning Department on the appearance of the structure.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 27, , 1947

FORM 2145

D

0

By Harry C. HaelSTgrary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2475

Application Received By	O. g - Kerlo- City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-27-47</u> By	Kenegan, Seelen Busto- City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-27-47	
	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-28-47	Building Inspector 8-29-47
Planning Commission 8-29-47 Petitioner	8-39-47 Health Department 8-39-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the cap have the state of the base

WHEREAS, Application No. 5078 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are __ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph and Florence Bacon to construct a wire fence 5 ft. in height in front of the setback line at 2449 Sea Breeze Drive, Lots 1 to 8, inclusive, Block 2, La Huerta, providing the fence is constructed of heavy galvanized chain link fencing.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By__

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 27, , 19 47

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2476

	y R.J. Harren City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-37-47 B	y <u>Lerugase</u> <u>Seelen</u> <u>Seulo</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8 37-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-38-47	Building Inspector 8-39-47
Planning Commission 8-39-47 Petitioner	8-39-47 Health Department & 39-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the and the second of the

a call a second second second and a second second

a the second second

Contraction of the state of the

Sector - Barry

No.

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE 5112

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is _____necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by tother property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neightothood; and

3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THERI	EFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING TO LOUS 4, Block 431	
-	That the following described property, Lot	e)
	Subdivision Pacific Highway and Smith Street	
	(Earl A. Lombard)	
	15-unit motel and manager's quarter	s.
r	may be used for the erection and operation of	
s	subject to the following conditions	
-		
-		
-		
-		
9 14 14		

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

Harry C. Haelsisecretary Ass't Planning Director

City of San Diego, California

Res. No.2477

Dated August 27, 1947

Application Received By R-J Marian City Planning Department
City Planning Department
inter a l'iller a K 1-
Investigation made 8:27-47 By Kenger, Seleer Bud-
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee.
Decision approved Date F37-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8 28-47 Building Inspector 8-29-47 Planning Commission 8 39:47 Petitioner 8-39-47 Health Department 8-29-47
Planning Commission 8 39 47 Petitioner 8 39-47 Health Department 8-29-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of Council
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawnContinued to
Time limit extended toDate of action

PRODUCTION OF PROBREM DATE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5107</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. D. Arnold to construct a residence, observing a 15 ft. setback from Hilldale Road, on Lot 128, Kensington Heights Unit No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 27, , 19 47

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2478

Application Received By	<u>R. M. Parrick</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-27-47</u> By	Kesugan, Seelen Busto City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-27-47	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8 -38-97	Date 8-27-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8 38-97	Building Inspector 8-29-41
Planning Commission 8-29-47 Petitioner	8-29-47 Health Department 8-29-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

of any state of the state of th

IST IN A THISPAN

The store of the second second store and second second second second second second second second second second

has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, Application No.__ of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur G. and Pearl G. Lewis to operate a retail nursery at own residence at 4566 Delta Street, on the North 187.9 ft. of the East 125 ft. of the West 438 ft. of Lot 70, Ex-Mission Lands (Horton's Purchase).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 78, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 27, , 197

FORM 2145

1)

Secretary Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2479

Application Received <u>8-18-47</u> B	R-J. Hanne City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-27-41 B	Kerryn, Selew, Bula
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-37-41	Hearing date
Decision approved seguring	Date 8-27-47 Building Inspector 839-47 8-39-47 Health Department 8-29-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 839-4/
Planning Commission 8 - 29 - 47 Petitioner	5 39 47 Health Department 8 39 47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second of the second se

a set of the set of the best o

and the second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5124</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy Riner to construct a court with a 7 ft. setback from Narragansett Avenue, Lots 7 to 13, inclusive, Block 71, Ocean Beach, located at the extreme west end of Narragansett Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>August 27</u>, , 19<u>47</u> FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director

Res. No.2480

Application Received By	7
	City Planning Department
	1 0 0 0 .
Investigation made 8.27-47 B	Kerregon, Sellen, Buto
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-27-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 8-27-817
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8 38-47	Building Inspector 8-39-47
Planning Commission 8-29-47 Petitioner	Date 8-2-7-97 Building Inspector 8-29-47 8-29-47 Health Department 8-29-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ALT INCOME THE DEPOSITION OF A DEPOSITION

and the second second

Ale of the second second control of the second seco

" I developed the second of the second se

and a second of the second of

Fire a more strength of the set of the

A BEAL AND A THE ST. DOLOGICAL AND A SAMPLE STATE

ACCESSION OF ACCESSION

RESOLUTION NO. 2481, extended by Res. 2869

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5127</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Pacific Cast Iron Pipe and Fitting Company, d.b.a. San Diego Pipe and Supply Company, to construct and operate an addition to a wholesale plumbing and hardware store and warehouse at 3877 - 43rd Street, and to maintain a 10 ft. rear yard and 60 per cent lot coverage, Lots 11, 12, 13, and 14, Block 47, City Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 27, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsferetary Ass't PlanningDirector

Res. No. 2481

Application Received	R.J. Hansen
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-37-41</u> By A	errigan, Seller Justo
Considered by 7 : Consister E: 37-17 Here	ing data
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-37-47 Hear Decision	F - 3 7 - 4 7
Decision approved Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>28-47</u> Build	ling Inspector 8-29-47
Planning Commission 8-39-47 Petitioner 8-3:	-47 Health Department 8 - 29 - 47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cour	cil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Cont	inued to
	of action

of the state of the second of the state of the second second second second second second second second second s

artiste attended

Fr. . Willie

WHEREAS, Application No. 5007 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ NO special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would not hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Isabelle McDonald to convert a storage room to living quarters and make an addition thereto with a 3 ft. rear yard. 4879 Auburn Drive, on a portion of Lots 37 to 40, inclusive, Block 3, Fairmount Addition, Resubdivision of Blocks 1 to 12, (description on file in Planning Department Office), is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 17 Dated August 27. FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director Res. No.2482

Application Received 7-18-47 By	
	City Planning Department
7-30-47	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
7-30-47 Investigation made 8-13-47 By	Sellers, Keneger, Bulon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-13-47	Hearing date
Decision Denied 8-27-47	Date 8-27-47
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{\overline{5} - 1 \cdot 3 - 47}{\overline{2} - 27 - 47}$ Decision Derved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\overline{5 - 25 - 47}$	Building Inspector 8-29-47
Planning Commission 8-39-47 Petitioner 8	- 29 - 47 Health Department 8 - 29 - 47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action

ter construction of the second of the site tradition the second of the second of the second second second second

and the second second and the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. 5068 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ruth B. Ryder, owner, and Guy M. Miller, Purchaser, to split a parcel of land with 120 ft. frontage and 227.07 ft. and 90.22 ft. in depth into two building sites, according to plat on file in Planning Department Office, being a portion of Lot 28, La Mesa Colony, on Catoctin Drive, south of Montezuma Road, subject to the following conditions:

- That the owner will immediately grant to the City an 1. easement 100 ft. in width for the extension of Montezuma Road;
- That the owner will immediately grant to the City an 2. easement 10 ft. in width across the front of this property for the widening of Catoctin Drive. Oficcepted by alg Council

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August 27, . 1947 Dated_

FORM 2145

Harry C. Hael Sergetary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2483

OK RM

Application Received 8-33-47	By <u>P. J. Buslow</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made 877-47	By Kenigan, Sellen Bulo- City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27-47 Decision Concil Approval	Hearing date Date 8 77747 I Building Inspector 8739-91
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	8-34-47 Health Department 8 39-49
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

as the the call and the first part and the little is the

The second of the second s

St. Stanger

RESOLUTION NO. 2484 , extending Re. 2063

Letter dated August 13, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2063 be granted to W. H. McCreary and Del Thurber to construct and operate a 9-unit court at Palomar and La Jolla Boulevard on Lots 29 to 36, inclusive, Block 13, La Jolla Strand.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 27, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By_____ Harry C. Haelsisecretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No.2484

Application Received 8-14-47 By M	ail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-27-47</u> By Ke	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8 37-47 Hearin	g date
Decision leasante la late	8-27-47
Copy of Resolution cont to City Clerk 8 28-4/ Buildin	g Inspector 8-39-41
Planning Commission 8-29-47 Petitioner 8-29	47 Health Department 8-29-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Counci	Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Contin	ued to
	faction

and the division of the

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1283, as extended by Resolution No. 1949, dated December 19, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. May Hume and A. E. Roberts, owners, and Mrs. Claire S. Lugo, lessee, to continue operation of a gift shop and to retail fabrics for draperies (interior decorating) and for women's apparel at 2931 Carlton Street, on Lot 10, Block 25, Roseville.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2478. New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ByHarry C. Haelsideretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2485

August 27, , 19 47 Dated

FORM 2145

eter plication Received 8-25-47 By Mail
City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>8-27-47</u> By Kenegon Gellen Bul-
nsidered by Zoning Committee 8:37-41 Hearing date
cision Umman. 2 d Date 8-07-47
py of Resolution sent to City Clerke 28-47 Building Inspector 8-24-47
unning Commission 6-29-47 Petitioner 8 29-47 Health Department 8-29-47
peal filed with City Clerk date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
solution becomes effective
plication withdrawn Continued to
ne limit extended to Date of action

and the set of the set

COLUMN AND A

The struget

Photo: U.F.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5129</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to St. John's Parochial School to construct approximately 450 lineal ft. of 6 ft. high wire fence (plus barbed wire on alley line) on top of a retaining wall with a maximum height of 8 ft., making total height of fence 14 ft., at the Northeast corner of Normal and Lincoln Streets on Lots 17 to 24, inclusive, Block 179, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

. 19 47 August 27, Dated

FORM 2145

nning Director

ZONING COMMITTEE Y OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 2486

Application Received 8-22-47 By	B-g. Built City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-37-47</u> By	Rearing Seelen, But
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-21-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date = -27-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-24-47	Building Inspector 8-29-47
Planning Commission 8-39-47 Petitioner	Building Inspector $\frac{8-29-47}{8-39-47}$ 8-39-47 Health Department $8-55-47$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ML SAGE SHEERS PERIOD STATE

..

a the south of the southers

VILLET 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5144</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clinton E. Black, owner, and Howard Ogden, purchaser, to split out a parcel of land 170 ft. by 200 ft. from Lot 12, Rancho Mission (description on file in Planning Department office) to permit a single family residence, Madrone Avenue, east of 69th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CATY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA ctor

Res. No. 2487

Dated <u>August 27</u>, 1947

Application Received 8-26-47 By	City Planning Department
	,
Investigation made <u>8-27-47</u> By	Kerregan, Seelen, Bust-
C. C. La Martin M. S. Statistica M. S.	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-37-41	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 8-27-47
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-29-47	Building Inspector 8-39-47
Planning Commission 8-39-47 Petitioner	F-29-41 Health Department 8-29-97
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

are good not set the state of the set of the

the first a set of the ball of the set of the

the second manufacture and the second shall so be "a should be the second second second second second second s

marth Black Const

PLATY DESIGN DAVEL WAS

- 1 to 1

and the provide state and the second states

and a start of the second start and a second at the

WHEREAS, Application No. 5097 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. N. Thornton to construct a residence 21.5 ft. by 22.5 ft. on a lot which is 26.31 ft. by 39.47 ft. and to maintain a 4 ft. rear yard, Northeast corner of 46th and Dwight Streets on Lot 1, Block 2, Belle Crest Annex.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

lut #1 ricorded as exeprate lat Union title Co

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE TY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA By Larry Contailing Ass't flanning Dirfetor Res. No.2'

Dated August 27, , 147

FORM 2145

Application Received 8-14-47 By R.J. Hanse City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-27-47 By Kerregan, Seelens, Bull-
Considered by Zoning Committee 8 -27-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9:27-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2⁻²⁹⁻⁴⁷</u> Building Inspector <u>8⁻³⁹⁻⁴⁷</u> Planning Commission <u>8⁻³⁹⁻⁴⁷</u> Petitioner 8⁻³⁹⁻⁴⁷ Health Department 8⁻²⁹⁻⁴⁷
Planning Commission 8-29-47 Petitioner 8-39-47 Health Department 8-29-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

The Charles are a second to a substant for a second of a second second second second second second second second

in the second state of the metric of a second the second state

· Charles

the second s

The Course of the South State

AND THE STATE OF THE STATE

A LET CALL AND AND LANDER OF THE

S. L. AND

• • • • •

THE MAN AND THE PARK MENTING

RESOLUTION NO. 2489, amending # 2223

Letter dated August 20, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2223, dated May 8, 1947, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to CarlA. and Nyda Steen to construct and operate two 4-unit courts on Lots 1 to 4, inclusive, Block 2, Ocean Spray Addition, Mission Boulevard and Opal Streets, and to maintain a 3 ft. sideyard on the South. One 4-unit court shall be on Lots 1 and 2 and the other shall be on Lots 3 and 4. No permission is granted for a rear yard suspension for the garage on the south of the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 27, , 1947

FORM 2145

By______ Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2489

City Planning Department
Kerregen, Seelen, Buli- City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date 8-29-47 Building Inspector E-29-47 Health Department E-29-47
Building Inspector
8-29-47 Health Department 8-29-47
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

spectrum a set of the set of the

AND A DATA THE POST OF BUSINESS

LUD BY COLE . DY TE CLASS

RESOLUTION NO. <u>86996</u>, efterding Res # 855389 BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows: (See Rev # 2076 it an extension of the City of San Diego, as follows:

flanning

That an extension of time /he hereby granted to Albert J. & Beatrice Ross, 739 - 30th Street, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3310 N.S. to permit the operation of an Oil and Gas Service Station at the address mentioned, on Lots 19 to 24, inclusive, Block 97, E. W. Morse's Addition.

I hereby certify the above to be a ^{of} the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted	l, true, and co	prrect copy of Resolution No SEP 101947	86996
Council of the City of Sun Diego, as adopted by		FRED W. SICK	
	Ву	F. T. PATTEN	City Clerk.
orm 1270 (10M 6-47) Acorn Press — San Diego			Deputy.
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4376</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harriet Copeland Howe to divide Lots 2, 4, and a portion of 6, Block 11, First Addition to South La Jolla, (description on file in Planning Department Office) into two parcels, each fronting on Olivetas Street and containing not less than 5,000 sq. ft., and to construct two residences on each parcel, Northwest corner of Olivetas and Arenas Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

September 8, , 19 47

FORM 2145

Dated

By Harry C. Haelsiegetary Ass't Planning Director OK

Application Received <u>3-6-47</u> By	R. Coppeck
Investigation made <u>3-12-47</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-12-47</u> Decision <u>appeared</u> . Course Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-11-47</u> Planning Commission 9-13-47 Petitioner	Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The second second is a second s

A PERSONAL PROPERTY AND A STOCKTOR PROVIDENT AND A COMPANY A REPORT OF A REPORT OF

Franks on west Handautt menty store and the

and a second second

Service Proved Services

The series of a second of a second of the second of the

a the man second of the way that the way that the second of the second the second second second second second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5056</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jeanne Marvin to construct a 10 ft. by 11 ft. addition to an existing residence with no sideyard for the addition and with not more than 3 per cent excess coverage, 2834 Qualtrough, Block 165, La Playa.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 1947

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsisector Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2491

of the Dilpert for the Dilpert and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 1) and the condition presented has shown (see Section 1) and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 1) and the condition of the evidence presented has shown (see Section 1) and the condition of the evidence presented has shown (see Section 1) and the condition of the evidence presented has shown (see Section 1) and the condition of the condi

- and will be a simple with the second state of a color provide to the property in the sum
- A list of a post-array of the transform would secondary for the preservation is secondary for the preservation to a property rights of the postiloner, parameted by other property rights of the postiloner, parameted by other property rights.
- 9. Then its premiung of the uniform will UDB ... advoragely winds the Master Fian of the City of Sum Decko.
- CURREPORE, DE IT RUSOLVED, By the Zoning Cornition of the City of San Diego.

Persimalen to seculy special to detude Ferrin to construct a 10 ft. N= 11 ft. a.stitta lo un stisting residence with no sideyory for the stitution who situade one thin 3 per out annual coverage.

May , C. Litouth, Mach log, La Mara.

A systemes by now for the total of fraintenes for SMT4, applied by buy the term and the second secon

Application Received	Contraction of the second seco		varian	
			City Planning Department	nt
		/	I DALINE COMME	LLPE, /
Investigation made	8-27-47	By Kerren	an Sellen	1 Halle
LETTER MALERIA	Contraction of the second s		City Planning Department	nt ,
Considered by Zoning	Committee 8-27-	47 Hearing date	9-10-4	7
Decision ann		Deta		and the second
		Late G		
Copy of Resolution con	t to City Clerk 9.	Date 9-	10-47 9-1	247
Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk 9	Date G-	ector <u>9</u>	2.47
r laining Commission	9-12-47 renti	ioner 9-1 a-4/ r	realth Department	9-12.47
Appeal filed with City (Clerk, date	Council Hearin	realth Department	9-12.47
Appeal filed with City (Decision of Council	Clerk, date	ioner 9-1 a-4/ r	realth Department	9-12.47
Appeal filed with City (Decision of Council Resolution becomes eff	Clerk, date	Council Hearin	realth Department	9-12.47
Appeal filed with City (Decision of Council	clerk, date	Council Hearin	realth Department	9-12.47

HETEL LI LOCETA HETEL STREET WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5140</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Demmy Lamson to construct a residence and a 2-room guest house on the East 1/2 acre of a parcel of land in Pueblo Lot 1280 (description on file in Planning Department Office) and to divide and permit a single family residence on the West 1/2 acre of said parcel, located on Avenida de la Playa, approximately 330 ft. East of La Jolla Shores Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

See Res # 87393 # 87376

Dated_September 10, , 1947

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsiggererer

Res. No. 2492

- could, shi b for not apply powersily to other Property in the spon
- for an operation of spin-rantial property rights of the positioner, reastrated by other projects the state and the granting of the application is accessed to the presence top

- s. Thus the appreting of the entrance will tobe indversely affect the Master line of the City

- THEREFORK, HE IT WESCHVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of Sac Biego.

1999 The baid pricel, loonber on avenits de la Flage, eferesismenty and to hivido and possible clinits rootly residence on the Neet 1/2 Tueblo Longardo (doertiption on rite in llanning Copperboart Orgical

taint to can properly appearance where har by pranted as so the perturbate assisted above, intering as they minuriones by the provisions of Crisiminan No. 13.786 cs. mails

	Bustan, Kerregan, Lucky City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-27-47</u> By	Jana, Religen, Lucky
LTTER MATCHING LAND ON AN ANTI MATCH	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8 - 27 - 47	Hearing date 9-10-41
Decision appraved	Date 9-10-47
LODV OI Kesolotich cont to City Clark 7-11-47	Building Inspector 9-12-47
Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9	-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _9-15-47	Council Hearing, date <u>9-23-47</u>
Decision of Council Jee, Rea # 87393 + 87516	Date 10-28-47 r 11-4-47
Resolution becomes effective (Fied allow	# 2623)
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By m.

ity Planning Department

Application Received

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5114</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of R. P. and Helen E. Lorenz to construct an addition (recreation room) over existing garage which has 0 ft. setback, 5858 Adelaide Street on Lot 18, Block 11, El Cerrito Heights, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 10, , 1947

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig Survey Ass't Planning Director B

Res. No.2

- and there are a first and the set of a state of a part of the about the property of the brobacts.
- MORE MUSEDOREWEN
- the public wellare as anyonous to the property or improvements in the neighborhood. For the solution of the spontaneously on entertaily effect the health of splets of meteric constitution and still in the neighborhood, and will be superially detrimented to

- THEREFORE, DA 13 RESELVED, By the Control Consisters of the City of Sam Diego.
- Hat as postal cantour Allertian rows over substar Enrols which has 5 the sebadat, the proletion of a P, but solen 2 lotense on construct, ser undiction
- to this Large to get hisball propagance aparent on the set we would have an to me barehouse couple apart ponoters This for int for a variance to the proting of boild when an it's the

v c is leavy
City Planning Department
y Kerregon lineng, Deerlos City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date 9-10-47
Building Inspector 9-12.11
Date $9 - 10 - 47$ Building Inspector $9 - 12 \cdot 47$ $9 - 12 \cdot 47$ Health Department $9 - 12 \cdot 47$
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

A REAL CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

-9. C. Bergh

2494

5011

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ not _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of A. V. and Velena B. Morse to construct roofs over existing balconies on the north and south sides of an existing duplex over garages, with 0 ft. sideyard for the new roofs, at the rear of 4473 North Avenue, Lots 5 and 6, Block 78, University Heights, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

_ , 1947

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Harry C. Haelsig sector

Res. No. 2494

FORM 2145

Dated

September 10,

ALCOLULIAN AND ALLOND	

les have considered by the Zoning Committee

- supers on the sume cone and witchning.

- THEREFORD, BY PERCEVED, By the Contrar Consister of the City of San Diego,

and rout of the set of the more than the complete the set of the state of the state

shove, (number is buey relate to the property montioned above. A selon fo, by, and is hureby wented as he the perticulary attend application for a fatiance to the provisions of Draitmags Ho. Mpst.

Investigation made <u>9-10-47</u> B	y Kerrygere, Eurog, Berlo
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47	Hearing date
Decision Denniel	Date 9-10-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-41	Date $9-10-47$ Building Inspector $9-12-47$ 9-12-47 Health Department $9-12-47$
Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner	9-12-41 Health Department 9-12-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	•
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Fime limit extended to	Date of action

Bv

Application Received _ 8-28

Meete, is hereby denied.

AT LAND THE INTLACTOR

Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5164</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. G. and Miriam R. Basford to erect a concrete block wall, portions of which will be approximately 7 ft. above adjacent ground level, 3049 Vancouver on Lot 7, Block G, Montclair.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

September 10, 47

FORM 2145

Dated

Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2495

- the of the section of configurate subjection to the property
- the addition of the relation of the property of inprovements to the metabolitord. The the posting of the operiodication will materially plinet the health of adjety of material of a series in the much simple will like be insterially detrimented to

THESE ONE, HE IT ELSOLUTE. By the Fonlag Committee of the City of Son Biego

MANULTARIAN IN DATORY SERVICE IS N. C. MILTIGE R. MANUEL DD.

there at an else pertraphy aracha crocket manoper at spick regare. A ATTIVITA IN THE BEOLINICAL OF TENTINGE ON' SANT' DO' ONT TE WILCON

and a subgraun block will, portion of which bill by support dated,

Application Received <u>9-3-47</u> B	y . J. Suclow
	City Planning Department
	1 · · · ·
Investigation made <u>9-10-47</u> B	Y Kerregon Guring & Benlon City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 9-10-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>I-11-47</u>	Building Inspector 9-12-F7
Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner4	-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	A R A R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

9.3.47

to the property manthouse abuse.

ALL STREET

A STATE AND A STATE

By P. g. Buelow

9024 * 1 1 1 * T * T

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5155</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ernest M. Bartley, owner, and J. Byron Anderson and Haral R. Larsen, lessees, to operate a photographic studio in an existing residence at 4026 Van Dyke and to permit one small sign to be painted in each of the two front windows, Lot 31 and the South 5 ft. of Lot 32, Block 44, City Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2496

OKAMP

Dated_September 10, 1947

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>9-2-47</u> B	y <u>IC Baughman</u> CityPlanning Department
	Kerrigen City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9- 1-47 Planning Commission	Date 9-10-47 Building Inspector <u>9-12-47</u>
Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner	Building Inspector $9-12-97$ 9-12-97 Health Department $9-12-97$
Appeal filed with City Clerk date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

54887777

and the Cours & St. of Lot 32, should be , City Met Sile.

the production representation approximation of the second se

- NUMPEROLE BE IT RESOLVED. By the Zoniog Counties of the City of Son Diego,

I when the the second of the second sports and the second second of the second se

is the prediction of the section of

alerad a fe rereby granted to fituras is director, order and di Syron alerado i contrato bang residence de vare lan nyae and do perais one .

- provide the wing or working in the on theories, and will . Will be enterlated descimental to

- the state of the best fracting on the sould support the in operatory for the preserves source and the state of the second se

an in the Californian and the relations presented are shown (new Socialor

OKRIM

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE 5160

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed oby other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will_____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, of Lot 8 1	
That the following described property, Lot Block	
Subdivision 4328-35th Street	
James A. Bellows	
a 3-unit auto court.	
may be used for the erection and operation of	
1. That an agreement be signed by the	
SWBER to Add following conditions cord to the effect that these units will not advertised as nor used as an auto court.	be
	,

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement # 490 Filed 10.11 47

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated September 10, 197

By______ Harry C. HaelsigSecretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2497

Application Received
Application Received City Planning Department
and 147 De Raining from Beals
Investigation made 9-10-47 By Kerrigen living, Bulo
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date Decision Date Date Date
Decision Approved Could Date 9 10 11
Copy of Resolution sent to City ClerkBuilding Inspector
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-77 Building Inspector 9-12-47 Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of Council
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn
Time limit extended to
Decision of Council

and the solution of the second s

Count to be a finant contraction of the set set over chart though miles with non as

the second s

how pt 100 flock

FROTALION OF MUCHARLY. DEE

TA mo

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William C. and Florence O. Haddock to raise existing residence one story and construct a two-car garage and storage room under said residence, Southwest corner of Spruce and 3rd Avenue, and to maintain 4 ft. between existing residences, 7 ft. rear yard, 3 ft. between new stairs and existing apartment, and 62.8 per cent lot coverage, Lot L, Block 359, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Harry

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2498

C. Haelsig, Secretary

Dated September 10, , 1947

FORM 2145

the second of the second second

a Don the southing of the Seriance sitt Just adversely affect the Maxter Plan of the City

California, an follows: THEREFORM, DE 17 PESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of Sun Diego.

aperturned, and 62. When cont lot coverage, bot 1, stock 349, Marine , " II rear yard, ? Is become not contra the contra the scatter twist an otor we recht under sicht staadenee, Southwest berner, of te reise existing rocidnes out reorf and construct a tro-our Far Letter is hereby graded to Lillion G. and Clorence C. 36 deck

it that raines to one property spatsoned above. est is haren's grouped as to the particulars sector store, intoint A variance be who provisions of trainings No. Myst, Section Sr. be;

Line station with the state of	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-10-47</u> E	By Kerrigen living Berlo City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47	Hearing date
Decision (Date G_{10-47}
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-11-47</u> Planning Commission	Building Inspector 9-12-47
I mining Cullingsion 9-12-91 Petitioner	Date $9 - 10 - 47$ Building Inspector $9 - 12 - 47$ 9 - 12 - 47 Health Department $9 - 12 - 47$
Appeal filed with City Clark date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Conneil	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

9-3-47

Application Received

· The second second

By P. g. Busto

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5089</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Union Title Insurance and Trust Company (Mrs. Clark M. Cavanee) to construct a 6 ft. high chain link fence (concrete or steel posts) with extension arms of three-strand barbed wire projecting inward, entirely around a parcel of land in Pueblo Lot 1120 (description on file in Planning Department Office), North side of Camino Del Rio (Old Mission Valley Nursery).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ву				
Harry	C. Haelsisecratary		serer a	
Ass't	Planning Director	Res.	No.	2499

FORM 2145

Dated

September 10, , 1947

Application Received 9-4-47 B	v R. m. taruch		
rr	City Planning Department		
	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Investigation made 9-10-47 B	y Kengon Currig Burlo City Planning Department		
	City Planning Department		
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47	Hearing date		
Decision approximate de	Date 9-10-47		
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-11-47</u> Planning Commission <u>9-12-47</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk <u>9-11-47</u> Petitioner <u>9-12-47</u> Date <u>9-10-47</u> Building Inspector <u>9-12-47</u> Health Department <u>9-12-47</u>			
Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner	7-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47		
- Appear filled with thirty there date	Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council	Date		
Resolution becomes effective			
Application withdrawn	Continued to		
Time limit extended to	Date of action		

and a contract of a plan box

by why Linberg's supproved spoke.

THEREFORE. HE IT RESCUTD, By the South Consisters of the City of San Biego.

and a fine and perturbutary about about, indefer as such related L'autimie se the provinting of orthunned No. 2011 be, and is herder

transformed of the state of the state indication i

tores allow the net the tore tore tore tore tore tores at the and the

15 Set 1 1 1934, 21 (months);

- sound the time town warms and with points.

of the alle alle Dicko. California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5156</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph A. and Kathryn Lederer to construct a 10 ft. by 14 ft. addition to an existing residence which has a 14 ft. rear yard, addition to observe all yard requirements, 4511 Pescadero Avenue on Lot 8, Block 97, Point Loma Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 19 47

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsiseratary Ass't Planning Director ORAN

Application Received <u>9-5-47</u> B	y_2. C. Daughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-10-47</u> B	y Kerrigen lung, Burlo- City Planning Department
Considered by Coning Committee a - 10 - 17	Hanring data
Decision Copy of Resolution Sent to City Clerk <u>9-11-47</u> Planning Commission <u>9-12-47</u> Petitioner	Date 9-10-47 Building Inspector <u>9-12-47</u>
	9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47 Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Date of action

THERLORE, D. II RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

the second will remainly Sycamo on bat 2, Block SY, Foint Bone with this a lift for, that your, addition to observe all yars be-

Fornitarion is hereby grouped to foroth a and arthrys hereits to

Se they relate to the procerty asoriousd above.

ophermote a lo fe. by the fe. dddielon by an extering

Contraction and

" Partimes to the protections of understop Hot Syst, Deabled Pat to.

- a. Thus the granting of the variance will alob advancely affect the Marter Flan of the City
- the sublic ecitars or injurious to the property or improvements in the metgeboshoul.
- husdalup, and that the acanting of the application is necessary for the preservation
- pressons resulting or working in the noighborhood and will work be actorially detrivenent to That the granting of the application will DEC materially affect the health or safety of

THER HURSTANNER