

WHEREAS, Application No. 5123 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): .

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gladys Elizabeth Bradley to construct a four-unit apartment house with a 5 ft. access court leading to the entrance of one unit on the second floor, North side of Deal Court, between Ocean Front and Strandway on Lot D, Block 45, Mission Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-Struction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	Septembe	r 10,	, 19	47
- quea	Access of the second			-

By

Harry C. Haelsiger strix Ass't Planning Director Res. No.2501

Application Received 8-26-47 1	By St South City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-10-47</u>	By Kerrigen hverg, Burlo
a 11 11 Zoning Committee G . 10-117	Hearing date
Decision approved to City Clerk 9-11-41	Building Inspector 9-12-47
Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner	Date $9 - 10 - 47$ Building Inspector $7 - 12 - 47$ 9 - 12 - 47 Health Department $9 - 12 - 47Council Hearing date$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

CAT & TENH !-

,

and that have a been a second of the

ware a state in the power when

The second provide the second states

and the for the state of the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Oscar F. and Mattie Johnson to erect a residence with an 8 ft. setback on Lots 16, 17 and 18, Block 58, Seaman's and Choate's Addition, and portion of 29th Street closed adjacent, located on the West side of 29th Street, 80 ft. North of Hawthorn Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

OKRY

Dated September 10, , 1947

By_______ Harry C. Haelsigerstery Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2502

Application Received _ 8.26-47 E	By <u>9C- Baughmen</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-10-47</u> E	By Kerrigan City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-97	Hearing date
Decision appreciation Sent to City Clerk 9-11-97 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-97 Petitioner	Date 9-10-47 Puilding Incontrol 9-12 17
	Building Inspector
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	D (
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	_ Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second second second contract

are and the set of the

TREPTY & TYPE

a provent and power that we can

- - Carter Matter

*

TRUCK THE THE ST. DOR ROBATION OF

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5122</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles W. Curtis and Jefferson Stickney, Jr. to build an addition to an office building with a 5 ft. court width from rear dwelling to the street, 3771-5th Avenue, Lot 3, except the South 3.45 ft. of the West 70 ft., Block 5, Nutt's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsigerexex Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2503

pplication Received <u>8.27-47</u> By <u>P.g. Baulon</u> City Planning Department	
vestigation made <u>9-10-47</u> By <u>Kerrigon</u> <u>lung</u> , <u>bulo</u> City Planning Department	
onsidered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date	_
ecision Date 9-10-47 Dopy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-1-47 lanning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47	
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-41 Building Inspector 9-12-11	_
anning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47	7
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
ecision of Council Date	
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn Continued to	
ime limit extended to Date of action	-

an an in the second strategies in the second of the second

the second s

The second s

and the same of the second part of the same of the

and a

11.10 5.00

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5148</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Andy Woods, owner, and James Don and Rita H. Keller, purchasers, to construct a residence with a 3 ft. setback for the garage and a 6 ft. setback for the residence, on a portion of Lots 4 and 5, Block 6, Marine View Subdivision (description on file in Planning Department Office), on the South side of Puterbaugh, approximately 200 ft. West of Lark Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 1947

Harry C. Haelsiger Harry Res. No. 2504

Application Received <u>9-3-47</u> By	<u> 2. C. Baughman</u> City Planing Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 9-10-41
Const of Decolisition cent to Lity Lierk	Building Inspector 9-13-91
Planning Commission 9-12-41 Petitioner 9	-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the state of the

The set there are an and the set of the set

LUT ALL CON SITE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5149</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Andy Woods, owner, and James Don and Rita H. Keller, purchasers, to divide a parcel of land approximatel; 70 ft. by 120 ft. and construct a single family residence, said parcel being a portion of Lots 4 and 5, Block 6, Marine View Subdivision (description on file in Planning Department Office), located on the South side of Puterbaugh Street, approximately 200 ft. West of Lark Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsisegetary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2505

Application Received <u>8.27.47</u> By	It Baughman City Blanning Department
Investigation made <u>7-10-47</u> By	
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 H	Iearing date 9-10-47
Decision appared D	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-47 B	Building Inspector <u>9-12-47</u>
Decision D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-11-47</u> B Planning Commission <u>9-12-47</u> Petitioner 9 -	12-47 Health Department 9-12-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn C	continued to
Time limit extended to L	Date of action

The state of the second st

and a second second

A REAL PORT AND A REAL PORT OF A PARTY

· Don the same

and a second

A HAR COLLEGE THE TREE SHOULD A second second

.....

2506

RM

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Beatrice Edmonds Brenneman to divide and permit a single family residence on a portion of Lot 16, Bridges Estates, access to be provided by a 20 ft. private easement, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Harry C. Haelsiserexerx Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2506

Dated______, 19

Application Received <u>8-28-47</u> B	y <u>P. g. Decslo</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-10-47</u> B	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $9-10-97$ Building Inspector $9-12-97$ 8-12-97 Health Department $9-12-97$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The DA BERTINE BY AN ADDITION OF THE PARTY

in the second second second in the second of the second second second second second second second second second

particular second of the second of

A THE THE TAXANT PARTY & WERE AND THE TAXANT AT LESS OF SHE WAS A TAXANT THAT THE TAXANT

CARDINE STATES TO CONTRACTOR AND A STATE

一下 与于大学 马马尔斯的姓氏在中文的 化

THEFT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5171</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert C. Shuford and Effie Shuford to erect a concrete retaining wall 7 ft. 6 in. above the adjacent ground level at 2741 Newton Avenue on Lots 13, 14 and 15, Block 14, Reed and Hubbell's Subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ONAZ

Dated September 10, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsiserererer Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2507

Application Received <u>9-8-47</u> B	y R.J. Harrent City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 10 - 47$ By	y Cerrigen, Gury, Bento- City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-47	Date 9-10-47 Building Inspector 9-12-47
Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Party State of Manager

A CALL ALL ALL MALE CAR I THE

to be many and a service of the second service and the second second second second second second second second

and and another the transmitter of the second of the second

the second se

a man an an and a land

TALK ONE THE LEVEL TO THE

appendix in a matrix of the spin provident the

1

RESOLUTION NO. 2508 jamenking Res. # 1373

Letter dated Sept. 4, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1373, dated February 28, 1946, be amended to read as follows: Permission is hereby granted to Marjorie Conklin Kumler to construct a single family dwelling on a portion of Lots 17 and 18, Block 9, First Addition to South La Jolla, on Arenas Street, near Monte Vista Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig, Servery Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2508

Letter Application Received 9-4-47 By By
City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>9-10-47</u> By Kenigen kung, Bulo
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 9-10-47
ony of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-47 Building Inspector 9-12-47
lanning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

and the state of the second - 12 Cr --

130 1.23

12.

a weight and the ho

RESOLUTION NO. 2509, amenden Res # 1909 Letter dated September 3, 1947 # 2509, amended by #2612

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property . owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1909, dated November 21, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roscoe E. Hazard and E. T. Hale to construct a metal fence 20 ft. in height for 135 ft. on West Point Loma Blvd. and 475 ft. on Midway Drive and 60 ft. on Ollie Street, remainder of the fence on Ollie Street will be 6 ft. in height, and the balance of the fence on West Point Loma Blvd. will be 9 ft. in height, on a portion of Lots 1 and 2, Partition of Pueblo Lot 219, subject to the following conditions:

- Fence to be painted; 1.
- No commercial advertising other than one sign on each side 2. of the property advertising the business of the theater;
- Landscaping to be commenced within 90 days after completion 3. of the fence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 19 47

FORM 2145

OKAZ

Application Received _ 9-3-97 E	By <u>P. J. Buslow</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-10-47</u> E	By Jerregen lung + Bullon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47	Hearing date
Decision Assessed	Date
Conv of Resolution Keyf to (ity (lerk ?	Building Inspector <u>9-12-41</u>
Diaming Commission 9-12-17 Petitioner	7-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	•
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a production of the second second

a set the set of an even a second of the operation to the set of the second second second pressed as a

Contracted and the second of the and the second of particular and the second of the

111.34.

TWO CONTRACTOR

RESOLUTION NO. 2510 , extending Res # 1846

Letter dated September 4, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of nine (9) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1846, dated October 24, 1946, be granted to Seaboard Security Company, Union Title Insurance and Trust Company, and San Diego Associates, Inc. to erect a tract office and four storage sheds incidental to building Veterans' Housing, on the Southwest corner of 60th and Meade Streets on Lots 7 and 8, Waterville Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA N.P.

Dated September 10, , 1947

FORM 2145

Lector Application Received <u>9-4-47</u> By Mail	
Application Received By By City Planning Department	
nvestigation made <u>9-10-41</u> By Jerregus ling Scilo	
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-41 Hearing date Decision Approved Date 9-10-47	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-11-42</u> Building Inspector <u>9-12-47</u> Planning Commission 9-12-41 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-4	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	-
Resolution becomes effective	-
Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action	

a faith shares a market with a white the side be breaking a mean first share when

A COMPLEX AND AD

. . . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5146</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles J. and Edith E. Potter to construct a single family residence on the South 100 ft. of the South 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 30. Horton's Purchase, Ex-Mission Lands, with 100 ft. frontage on Euclid Avenue, 1000 ft. North of Market Street, subject to the following condition:

prototation I. That the owners will grant an easement 10 ft. in width across the front of this property to the city for the widening of Euclid Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Easement Granted 10/14/47 BOOK 2492 35 PAGE

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director Re

Res. No. 2511

OK

FORM 2145

)

Application ReceivedB-26-47E	By R & Hanced City Planning Department
	By <u>Cerregon lining + Berton</u> City Flanning Department
Const Perclution sent to City Clerk 9-12-41	Building Inspector 9-2-77
Planning Commission 9-18-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	9-12-41 Health Department 7-12-87
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second production for the state of the second product of

an and the manual states a state of the second states and the second states of the second sta

the antited and the second and the property of the second se

was and it is not the restance of the second of

the second se

an to its it at a state to an uncomposition for the set of the set of the set of the state of the set

Shares and the second second second second

the strate to the strate of the state

and index a second in the seco

and another and the second of the second A STAR DA TO THOIL A POLICED AND

the shared in the second being an and share second

大学になったのないない

Sente Comments of the

ALL MARSH

ALC: TTANK

anitar and supply of the

an an fin

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5169</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James S. and Fredia P. Davidson and Mamie T. and Odos H. Gideon to construct a single family residence on the Northeast 20 ft. of the Northwest 118 ft. of Lot 5 and the Southwest 30 ft. of the Northwest 118 ft. of Lot 6, Block 42, Point Loma Heights, and a portion of Redondo Street closed adjacent, southerly side of Redondo St., 300 ft. southwesterly of Narragansett Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

> INCLUDED IN RES 2687 RMP.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig, Meretery Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2512

Application ReceivedB	y <u>G. g. Buston</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-10-47</u> B	y Kerrigen, bung & Berlo City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9 - 10 - 47	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-12-47</u>	Date 9-10-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-47	Building Inspector <u>9-12-47</u>
Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner	9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Perclution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

7 Elen Las Tree services and the service of the ser

the manufacture of the second of the second second

The second s

the prover active and the same time to be been a discovered as the second and the second and the second and the in the state of the second the second s the second second propression of the state of the second second

TO ADT AND TANK AND A VAL

Che a grant of the Run

a she the tree we will be the to be were.

计行行 化学生 医尿道氏学疗上

APRINT A DALLAR COMPANY COMPANY AND APPLY A DALLAR DAL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5069</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glen O. Perkins to erect an apartment house with a 6 ft. setback from Ingelow Street, on Lot 9, Block 74, Roseville, said property being on the west side of Ingelow Street, 100 ft. north of Rosecrans, provided any natural growth within the setback area on Ingelow St. is kept to a reasonable height for visibility.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Sept. 21, ____, 19_17

By_______ Harry C. Haelsig, Assit Planning Director

Res. No. 25.

R. m. Carrich
City Planning Department
Hearing date 9-24-57
City Planning Department
Treating date / - / · /
Date 9-24-47
Building Inspector 9-26.97
-26-91 Health Department 9-26-71
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

and the second second second and the second second

Nº 1, 114

WHEREAS, Application No. 5172 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- no _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>NOT</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Clarence and Minnie Golding to erect two residences on the easterly 180 ft. of Lot 20, Block 58, Caruther's Addition, which property has no street frontage, being located approximately 200 ft. west of Logan Avenue and 43rd Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924. Section 12, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

Application Received F-12.47 E	by H. C. Walling Department
Investigation made <u>9-24-47</u> B	y Jerugen, Seelen, Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\overline{9 - 24 - 47}$ Decision Demed Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{9 - 25 \cdot 47}$ Planning Commission $\overline{9 - 26 \cdot 47}$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date $9-24-47$ Building Inspector $9-26-47$ 9-26-47 Health Department $9-26-47$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a all the shorter surrent is it

100.5, 2010

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4499</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mabel K. Giddings to divide a parcel of land into three lots and to permit a single family residence on each, said parcel consisting of portions of Lots 3 and 4, Block 162, and street closing adjacent, and portion of Lot 2, Block 163, La Playa (description on file in Planning Department Office), Rosecrans and Qualtrough Streets, providing an easement 10 ft. in width is granted to the city for the widening of Rosecrans Street. These parcels will be as follows: A. 80 ft. by 105 ft. and served by an easement not less than 12 ft. in width to Rosecrans Street; B. 65 ft. by 80 ft., fronting on Qualtrough Street (or served by the same easement); and C. 80 ft. by 120 ft., facing on Rosecrans Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

By______ Harry C. Haelsformetery Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2515

FORM 2145

CEPTED & RECERDED NOV 18 1947

Application Received	By R. J. Nanced City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-24-47</u> I	By <u>Services Selles Builton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Decision Course and Contract Constant Contract Contract Contract Contract Contract of Resolution sent to Vity Clerk 9-26-47	Hearing date Date 9-24-41 Building Inspector 9-26-47
Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	9-26-47 Health Department 7 26-41
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	_ Date of action

1. 1. 1. 1.

LEVEL DOWN BOT THE WORLD AND ADD THE WORLD'S COMPANY AND ADD TO ADD THE REAL THE ADD THE ADD THE ADD THE ADD THE

Part and a short

and the alter of the dealer

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5205</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the U.S. Holding Company to construct a single family residence and a 16-stall private stable on the North one-half of Lot 4, Pueblo Lot 1105, which property has no street frontage but is served by two 20 ft. easements, Mission Valley, 1000 ft West of 6th Street Extension.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 and No. 8924, Section 12, is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsisecretary Ass't Planning Director

Application Received <u>9-19-47</u> B	y P. g. Buston City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-24-47</u> B	Kerngen, Sellen, Buto
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-24-47</u> Decision <u>Apple 26</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-25-47</u> Planning Commission <u>9-26-47</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the second of the second of

CALLAND (C. D. LANDAN LY C. S. M. S. S. MARKED

1 March

DESERVICE SS.

LISENS UNCOUNTRY OF

RESOLUTION NO. 2517, amended by Ro. 3003

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5163</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Owen A. and Gertrude E. Murray and Loren C. and Arden M. Wilson to split Lot 10 (except the North 65 ft.), C. M. Doty's Addition, into three parcels and permit a single family residence on each, Northwest corner of Beryl and Emelene Streets, parcels to be as follows: 1. 55 ft. by 120 ft. facing Beryl Street; 2. 59 ft. by 120 ft. facing Beryl Street; and 3. 50 ft. by 114 ft. facing Emelene Street, providing the average setback on both streets is maintained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>September 24</u>, 19 47

pplication Received <u>7-15-47</u> By B.J. Manuel City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>9-24-47</u> By <u>Jerrigon</u> <u>Seelen</u> , Burlon City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date
ecision appraved Date 9- 24-97
ony of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47
ecision Date 9- 24-47 opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-25-47</u> Building Inspector <u>9-26-47</u> lanning Commission 9-36-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

A STREET STREET STREET

The man sector is the sector of the sector

Constant of the bar and the story " state of a strategy "he provide the star state of the start of the

Sware Party

(4) 11 11 11 11 11

(Dustand

100 30 ALTS

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5131</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Dickson to convert a residence at 2727 Ocean Front into a duplex by adding an apartment over an existing garage and to maintain existing 3 ft. side yard and 10 ft. rear yard for the addition, Lot D, Block 21, Mission Beach, according to plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 24, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsisecretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 25.

FORM 2145

The Had 161. Cover 64
Application Received By	B. g. Burlese City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-24-47</u> By	Kerrigen, Sellen, Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-24-47</u> Decision <u>General</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-26-47</u> Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council <u></u>	Hearing date Date 9-24-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The second second to the second as

Contra March

Los Protest

and the second burner of the second second of the second of the second second second second second second second

and the second state in the state of the second second state of the second state of the second state of the second states

CAR PLAN COLLAR STRATE A SUMPLY CONTRACTOR

The second second and the million of the property of the property for the second beaution to the property of the

and any first of a long of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5199</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the City of San Diego, Water Distribution Department, to erect a steel fence 10 ft. in height with threestrand barbed wire on arms projecting inward at a 45° angle on top of fence, said fence to surround a transformer at 619-65th Street, Lot 24, Brooklyn Terrace.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

Harry	C. Haelsig, Planning Direct			
Ass't	Planning Direct	or Res.	No.	251

Application Received <u>9-15-47</u> By	
Investigation made <u>9-24-47</u> By	Jerryan Seelere Durto City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 F	learing date
Duilien la contract	late 9-0-4-9/
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-25-97 H	Building Inspector <u>9-26-71</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-25-97</u> H Planning Commission <u>9-26-47</u> Petitioner 9	-36-47 Health Department 9-26-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to I	Date of action

and the second of the second of the second The second sec The second se

The second second provide a provide the second s

STATISTICS AND REAL FRAME

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5207</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. J. Conner to divide Lots 1 and 2 and the Northwesterly 30 ft. of Lot 3, Block 92, Point Loma Heights, into two building sites, one with 50 ft. frontage on Santa Cruz Avenue and the other with 63 ft. frontage on Santa Cruz Avenue, 4500 block, and to permit a single family residence on each site.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2520

Application Received 9-22.47 B	by R.J. Hansen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-24-47</u> B	y Kernigen, Sellere, Burlo City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-34-47 Decision Committee 9-34-47 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-3547 Planning Commission 9-36-47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date $Q-24-47$ Building Inspector $\qquad \qquad $
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The second second in the second provide a second second second second second second second second second second

and the second second and the second of a second second second second second second second second second second

A . Constant of the

A A PARTICIPAL PROPERTY

have stored former have

are

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5208</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. J. Conner to/residences on Lots 1 and 2 and the Northwesterly 30 ft. of Lot 3, Block 92, Point Loma Heights, each to observe a 10 ft. setback from Santa Cruz Avenue, 4500 block between Froude and Guizot Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

By______ Harry C. Haelsigecretary Ass't PlanningDirector Res. No. 2521

Application Received <u>9-22.47</u>	By R. J. Hancen City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-24-47	By <u>Gerrigan</u> Sellen Burlow City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-4	7 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 9.24.47
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25	-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47
Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petition	ner 9-26- 11 Health Department 9-26-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second s

THE REAL OF THE REAL STOCKED IS SHOT NOTE FOR ANY REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PR

and the structure of the structure of the structure of the state of the state of the state of the state of the

and a part of a state of the state I have a part of the state of the I have a part of the state of the

and the second many and the second second second build a second second

a signing a shear to a should be the shear to be the shear to be

the second state of the se

ing new prises (1)

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5206</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. J. Getz to construct a duplex and two single family residences on Lots 30 and 31, Block D, South La Jolla, south side of Nautilus, west of La Jolla Boulevard, said buildings to cross the lot lines.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 24, , 1947

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsigecretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2522

Application Received9-16-97	_ By_ R. m. Parriel
approximation 1000	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-24-47</u>	_ By Kerregon, Seelens, Bulo City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\underline{9-24}^{-}$ Decision approach Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{9-24}^{-}$	5-47 Building Inspector 9-26-97
Planning Commission 7 - 26. 71 retitio	oner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47 Council Hearing, date
Desision of CollInCil	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

NUCLEON AND AN AND AN AND AN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5211</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. J. Pettid to alter basement of apartment into a living unit with a 4 ft. access court to the street, subject to the approval of the Building Department, on the South 15 ft. of Lot 17 and all of Lot 18, Block 99, University Heights, 4325 Georgia Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, ..., 19 47

By______ Harry C. Haelsigecretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2523

Application Received <u>9-18-47</u> B	y B. J. Nauen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-24-47</u> B	y Jerrigan, Sellere, Buto City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Decision appeared	Hearing date Date 9-24-47 Building Inspector 9-26-97
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

Energy a service of the service and the contraction of the service of the service of the service of the service

and the second particle in the second second in the second s

Stephen Public

and the second state of the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5111</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOT**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clifford V. Pool, owner, and the San Diego Machinists' Building Association to erect a sign on an existing building which has a 12.5 ft. setback, sign to extend within 6 inches of the front property line, 3911 Pacific Highway, Lots 5 and 6, Block 240, Middletown.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 24, . 1947

FORM 2145

By______ Harry C. HaelsiSecretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2524

Application Received9-18-47 By	City Planning Department
	Serregon Seelen Busto
Considered by Zoning Committee 9.24-47 Decision approved	11ate 4-3 4-41
A speal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector <u>9-26-47</u> 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

SACHE FROMAS'S STREET, MAN STREET, STRE

ALL A GARDARY OF THE REPORT OF THE ALL OF

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5132</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Grant Alba Young and Lester C. Young to split out a parcel of land approximately 100 ft. by 100 ft. from Pueblo Lot 177 (legal description on file in Planning Department Office) and construct a single family residence over a garage, Rosecrans Street, approximately 350 ft. south of Armada Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

See Res. # 87323 following

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>September 24</u>, 19<u>47</u> FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2525

Application Received <u>9-8-47</u> By amended geteter. 9-24-47	R. M. Farriel City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-8-47-9-24-47</u> By	Terrigen, Secles, Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $9-24-47$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9-25-47$ Planning Commission $9-26-47$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date $9-30-47$	Hearing date Date $9 - 29 - 77$ Building Inspector $9 - 26 - 77$ 9 - 26 - 97 Health Department $9 - 26 - 97$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Decision</u> of Council <u>Appeal Menual</u> . <u>Nece</u> Resolution becomes effective	Date 10-21-47
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the second second second second on the second s

and the second state where the second state and the second state and the second state and

and the second the second of the second s

aller by the

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of C. Earl Gustafson, 910 Rosecrans Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting to Grant Alba Young and Lester C. Young permission to split out a parcel of land approximately 100 ft. by 100 ft. from Pueblo Lot 177 (legal description on file in Planning Department Office) and construct a single family residence over a garage, Rosecrans Street, approximately 350 ft. south of Armada Place, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 1947 1323 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

	F	RE	D	W.	SICK	
		F.	T.	PAT	TEN	City Clerk.
Ву	•					Deputy.

Clannen

87323 (See Res. # 2523

Henneng

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

82878

That the appea from the decision o Alba Young and Lest approximately 100 f on file in Planning residence over a gi of Armada Place, bu decision is hereby

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

rrans Street, ig to Grant but a parcel of land 7 (legal description t a single family ately 350 ft. south aid Zoning Committee

be fan # 250

1 Carolina	rrect copy of Resplictors No.19	I hereful certify the above to be a full, true, and co Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council
	FRED W. SICK	
City Clerk.	E. T. PATTEN	Rv
Deputy.	*	70 (10M 6-47)

5221

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to erect a fence 6 ft. in height on an 8 ft. high wall at the rear of the property at 4551-56th Street and to erect a fence 10 ft. in height on the north line and on the front setback line, Lot 17, Block A, Redland Gardens, said fence to be constructed around a playground.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Marry C. Haelsisgeretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2526

FORM 2145

Dated_

September 24,

Application Received 9-22-47	By J.d.m.
	City Planning Department
	By <u>Nerregare</u> , <u>Sellers</u> , <u>Buslow</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47	Hearing date
Desision and and	Date 9-24-41
C (Develution kent to (ity (lerk 9 - 2)-9/	Ruilding Inspector 7-0-6-91
Planning Commission 9-26.47 Petitioner	9-26-97 Health Department 9-26-41
Append filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second of a sharing on a sub-the second the second of the second of the station of the second is the

and the second of the second of the second of the second second second second in the second second second second

PREVAL DUAL OF HETRESTRUTT, GAR AND

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5151</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. Arnet Speer to use portions of Lots 2, 3 and 4, Block 1, Bay View Tract, and portion of Pueblo Lot 238 (description on file in Planning Department Office) for the operation of a used car lot and a parking lot, Easterly corner of Buell and Rosecrans Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA OK

Dated_September 24, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No.2527

Application Received	9-13-47	_ By <u>He</u>	City Planning Department
Investigation made	9-24-47	_ By Jerre	City Planning Department City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning C Decision Appendic	ommittee 9-24-	Date 9	te 7 - 2 - 4 - 47 ispector $7 - 26 - 47$
Planning Commission & Appeal filed with City Cle	-26-9/ Petitic	oner 9-26-47	Health Department 9-26-47
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effect	the second s	Date	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		Continued to Date of act	

THEN, THE OF ALL MESON AND AND A

The states and the

And the second second second states of a week priority of the second second second second second second second

There are a series of the seri

provide a state of the state provide a state of the provide a state of the provide a state of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5150</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Newell B. and Irene Phelps to erect a concrete block wall 2 ft. in height on a 6 ft. high retaining wall, total height of fence to be 8 ft. above the adjacent ground level, 4519 Brighton Avenue on Lots 29 and 30, Block 1, Ocean Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 24, . 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsigsecretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2528

	y R. J. Hansen City Planning Department
	y Kengon Seelen Durto City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47	Hearing date
D.:	Date 9-24-41
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47	Building Inspector 9-26-47 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47
Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner	4-26-47 Health Department 4-26-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	. Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

State Calif.

LANY MAR STUDAY STORE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5175</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to J. L. Simkins to erect a steel chain link fence 48 inches in height in front of the setback line at 4546 Redwood Street on Lots 25 and 26, Block 4, Clifton Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 24, , 1947

Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2529

Application Received By	
	City Planning Department
	1. 0
Investigation made <u>9-24-47</u> By	Kerregon Seelen
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47	Hearing date
Decision Approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-25-27	Date 9-24-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-25-#7	Building Inspector 9-26-47
Planning Commission 9.26.97 Petitioner 9	-a6. 47 Health Department 9-26-91
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1.1.1.1

Les au l'anne de l'anne de la complete de

IL PERCHENDED.

E

5189

WHEREAS, Application No._ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ mot materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cecil B. Grove and James W. Tetley to divide Lot 454, Talmadge Park Unit No. 3 and to construct a garage on the North 10 ft. and a residence on the South 50 ft. south side of Highland Avenue, north of Monroe Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No.1038, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above. insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

September 24. Dated_

FORM 2145

Harry C, Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2530

Application Received 9-16-47	By J.C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-24-47</u>	By Kernigan Seelen Build
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date $9 - 24 - 47$ 26 - 47 Building Inspector $9 - 26 - 47tioner 9 - 26 - 47 Health Department 9 - 26 - 47$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the first provide the second state of the second be stated as the second state and the second state and the second state of the se

Light Barris

*. 19 Martin

arthurston I. Conservation of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5093</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William and Bertha Kucher to construct a single family residence on a parcel of land 100 ft. by 200 ft., with 100 ft. of street frontage on Euclid Ave., being the East 230 ft. (except the East 30 foot street) of the North 100 ft. of the South 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 29 in Rho Ex-Mission (Horton's Purchase), located on the west side of Euclid Ave. 1300 ft. south of Federal Blvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>September 24</u>, 1947 FORM 2145

· ...

By______ Harry C. Haelsig^{Secretary} Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 253

Application Received <u>9-12-47</u> By	R.J. Hausen
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-24-47</u> By	Jerryon Secter, Bulo
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 9-24-47
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-47	Building Inspector 9-26-47
Planning Commission 9-26-41 Petitioner	1-26-47 Health Department 7-26-41
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the state of the second states and the state of the second for the provide the second states in

, i.

7941112440

10000000

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5198</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bernice Belanger to construct a residence on Lots 3, 4, 5 and 6, Block 77, Middletown Addition, said residence to observe a 3 ft. rear yard and a 4 ft. side yard, Northerly side of Neale Street, 200 ft. westerly of Pringle Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____September 24, , 1947

By Harry C. Haels Secretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2532

	By J.C. Baughman
Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-24-47</u>	_ By Jerregen Seelens Berlin City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9.24-	17 Hearing date
D ··· / ··· - / A A A	
C C	ioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47
Planning Commission 9 - 26 - 17 Petit Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second provide a second of the second second

and the second second second and the second s

I HE HERE THE ALL REPORTED TO AN THE ROUTED TO AN THE SAME SAME STATE OF THE COLL OF SHIT THE ALL

Duri the water and we be taken to protect the state of the second state and the protection of the

and some start the second s

there are an empirically an ampired adaptive that the other

STRUCTION ROTTON

the state of the state of the second date and the

WILLIGT BUT BUT BUT

5197

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bernice Belanger to construct a residence with a 15 ft. setback on Lots 3, 4, 5, and 6, Block 77, Middletown Addition, Northerly side of Neale Street, 200 ft. Westerly of Pringle Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 19 47

Application Received9-16	5-47 By J.C. Baughannan City Planning Department
Investigation made	1 0 0
Considered by Zoning Committee	$\begin{array}{c} \hline \hline \begin{array}{c} \hline \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} $ \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \end{array} \hline } \hline \end{array} \hline } \hline \end{array} \hline \end{array} \hline \end{array} \hline \end{array} \hline \end{array} \hline \end{array} \hline \end{array} \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \end{array} \hline \hline \end{array} \overline
Copy of Resolution sent to City Ch Planning Commission 9-26-47 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the second of the second of the second second

· and a second second

2.17 State on the supervision of the supervision

ANT A SUR

POLICE STORE

The start of the start

1244 M (* 01*2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>3912</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Fred H. and Anna M. Augustus to construct two apartments over a garage and to observe a 22 inch sideyard, Lot A, Block 30, Mission Beach, 709 Cohasset Court, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsisecretary Ass't Planning Director

Application Received B	v D. E. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-31-46</u> B	y
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-24-47	Hearing date
Decision Senced	Date $9 - 24 - 47$ Building Inspector $9 - 26 - 47$ 7 - 26 - 47 Health Department $9 - 26 - 47$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-47	Building Inspector 9-26-47
Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner	9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a stand of the second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second s

INF I MAL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5201</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. A. Buffat to construct one singlefamily dwelling on Lot 4 and the Southeast 10 ft. of Lot 3, Block 92, Point Loma Heights, located on the Northeasterly side of Santa Cruz Ave., between Froude and Guizot Sts.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 1947 FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig Ass't Planning Director
Application Received	By R. J. Hancen City Planning Department
-pp	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-24-47</u>	
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3	4~47 Hearing date
Desision approved	Date 9-24-47 26-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47
Decision Charling cent to City Clerk 9.	26-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47
Copy of Resolution sent to city citik	Hispan G. 3/ da Health Department G. 3/
Planning Commission 9-26-47 ret	itioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Resolution becomes enteente	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

CALLS CLEAN CONTRACTOR

and a set of the set of

and a state of a state of the state of the state of the state of the state

a second desired and the well of the state of a product of the product of the

641 1251

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5202</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. A. Buffat to construct a single family residence on the Southeasterly 10 ft. of Lot 3 and all of Lot 4, Block 92, Point Loma Heights, and to observe a 10 ft. setback from Santa Cruz Avenue, said property being located on the northeasterly side of Santa Cruz Avenue between Froude and Guizot Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsigecretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2536

Application Received By By	
Investigation made <u>9-24-47</u> By_	Serregan Seelen, Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7 27-9/ F.	learing date
Decision apprace D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-26-47</u> B	ate 9-24-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-26-41 B	uilding Inspector <u>9-26-41</u>
Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9.	26-41 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	Date of action

Service and the second services

LHERT CH' ' 16" 1

the second of th

the same second as a second of the second second part at the second second second second second second second s

the set of the

100 40 H

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5215</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. F. Hinojosa to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback from Narragansett Ave. on Lot 11, Point Loma Terrace No. 1, located at the corner of Narragansett Ave. and Redondo Court.

A variance to the provisions of Sections 3 and 4 of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ . 19 47

Harry C. Haelsig Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2

Application Received <u>9-19-47</u> B	y P-g. Buelow City Planning Department
	y Jerregan Seelen Burton City Planning Department
C Destition cent to City Clerk 9-26-91	Building Inspector 9-26-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

man, and the de store

A LEAST THE THE TALK AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

RESOLUTION NO. 87154

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Margaret Ernspiker, 316 Prospect Street. La Jolla, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 2466 the application of William and Margaret Ernspiker, numbered 5108, for permission to divide Lots 16 and 17. Bjock 12, Bird Rock Addition, into two building sites. each with 62.5 feet frontage on Midway Street, Northwesterly corner of Midway Street and Bellevue Avenue, be, and it is hereby denied. and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

Lee Res. # 2466

87154 I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council _______ SEP 001947 SEP 30

FRED W. SICK F. T. PATTEN By

City Clerk.

Deputy.

Planner

87154

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RESOLUTION NO.

That the apper La Jolla, from the ips Resolution No. Ernspiker, number: 17, Elock 12, Bir(with 62.5 fest fro Midway Street and and said Zoning 0

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

46 A466

sepect Street, se in denying by a and Margaret e Lots 16 and ng sites, each sterly corner of hereby denied,

Diperchy certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. Withe Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council CTD 201947 SEP 30 1947

City Clerk. F. T. PATTEN BV Deputy.

.Senis.

n 1270 (10M 6-47)

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5213</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kingsley and Cornelia Sullivan to construct an eighteen (18) unit court, buildings to cross lot lines, on Lots 7 to 14, inclusive, Block 4, Ocean Villa Tract, and Lots 7 to 14, inclusive, Block 4, First Addition to Ocean Villa Tract, located on the North side of Tourmaline Street, approximately 50 ft. West of Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 8, , 19_47

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsisgretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 253

DI

Application Received <u>9-18-47</u> B	J. C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made	Kernigen, Lener, Bult- City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{9 \cdot 24 \cdot 47}{10 \cdot 6 - 47}$ Decision approach Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{10 \cdot 9 - 47}{10 \cdot 9 - 47}$	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 10 -10 - 47 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

ten and the second of the second of the second provide the second of the

concernent the second state and the second second

A Real Provide State of the sta

1 14 14 14

A REAL PROPERTY AND

THE A COMPANY AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCR A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTIONO WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5165</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Chris L. Forster to erect an apartment-garage not closer to the property line on Union Street than 4 ft., southeast corner of Union and West Maple Streets on the West one-half of Lots 1 and 2, Block 82, Middletown, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 8, , 1947

FORM 2145

V

By______ Harry C. Haelsigecretary Ass't Planning Director

Investigation made <u>9-10-47 - 9-24</u> By Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-24-97</u> Decision Decued	Date 10-8-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Diamaina Commission / / / FELILIUILI	, incartin Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second she shall be the second second

and and the set of the

4677 5526

610

WHEREAS, Application No. 5050 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Janet Mattoon to operate a beauty shop in an existing residence, part-time only, at 3885 Birch Street on Lot F, Block 295, Arlington, for a period of one year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Harry C. Haelsiseretaryx Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2540

October 8, . 1947 Dated

Application Received B-20-#7 B	v R. m. Parisk
	City Planning Department
	Brinting
Investigation made 8-27-47 B	y Harling, Kerregan Seelen
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Corral approval	Date 10-8-41
Coort of Resolution sett to City Clerk 10 9-47	Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10 - 10 - 47 Petitioner	Building Inspector 10-10-47 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_Date
Recolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The End of the net wat where was which a state

AND AND ANTE CONTRACTORS AND AND

THE STOLEN IN L

tentrologica del per per estructure propio del per services del personales del person

The Andrew of the second and the sec

RESOLUTION NO. 2541, extended by Res 2967 extended by Res-3444

C

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5117</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ira B. and Florence Riford to construct a garage with a 1 ft. setback from Dolphin Place, on Lot 3, Block I, Resubdivision of portion of Bird Rock City-bythe-Sea, 5686 Dolphin Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 8, . 1947

FORM 2145

By______ Harry C. Haelsigersterv Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2541

Application Received $\underline{q-11-}$	47 By R.J. Hances City Planning Department
9-24-	47 47 47 <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u> <u>47</u>
Investigation made	9-24-47 By Therefore, Kellen G-24-47 City Planning Department
I Ansidered by Lumme Committee	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cl	Date 10-8-47 erk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10 -10 - 4	erk <u>10 9 .47</u> Building Inspector <u>10 - 10 - 47</u> 7 Petitioner 10 - 10 - 47 Health Department 10 - 10 - 47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

BUKS PAL MARY

102 1.4

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5179</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. L. Smithton to erect a residence and a garage on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1285 (description on file in Planning Department Office) and to observe a 10 ft. rear yard, corner of Torrey Pines Road and Hillside Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13295 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 19 47

Harry C. Haelsisger HANK Ass't Planning Director 0

Application Received <u>9-19-47</u> By	<u>R. M. Vareak</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-8-47</u> By	Burton Kerregen brung City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-8-47</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-9-47</u> Pleaning Commission <u>10-10-47</u> Petitioner	Date 10 -8 - 4 7 Building Inspector <u>10 - 10 - 4 7</u> 10 - 10 - 4 7 Health Department <u>10 - 10 - 4 7</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The part of particular and the second second

all a we have the state of the set

31 1 1 M M P 15

NTROP TOLOPPE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5162</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. E. W. Graves to construct a residence and observe a 4 ft. rear yard on Lot 17, Block 47, La Jolla Park, located at the Westerly corner of Prospect Place and Torrey Pines Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated___October 8, _____. 1947

By Harry C. Haelsisganary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2543

Application Received	By R. m. Parnick
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-8-47</u>	By Burton Kengon, Europ
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 -8 - 4	Z Hearing date
Decision approach	Date 10-8-47
Decision approach Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 9-	12 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitione	r 10 -10 - 47 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second

and a second second

and a second second

· COLLENSING

and the second

the real sector to take the sector to the sector

Jac. In

must be a set of the s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5228</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John D. and Helen Stine to construct an addition (bedroom) to an existing residence which has a 3 ft. sideyard, addition to maintain a 3 ft. sideyard, 553-61st Street on Lot 9, Block 2, Encanto Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig sector Ass't Planning Director Res

Res. No. 2544

OK

Application Received	By C. B. Rosa
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10 - 8 - 47</u>	_ By Buiton Kerigen liver
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 -8	- 47 Hearing date
Decision upperauch	Date $10 - 8 - 47$ 9 - 47 Building Inspector $10 - 10 - 47$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-	<u>9.47</u> Building Inspector <u>10-10-47</u>
Planning Commission 10 -10-41 Petiti	ioner 10 - 10 - 11 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

CARTER AND THE REPORT OF THE

LOBER OF BUILDE

2.5

• 1453/24 RM

Martin angels and

S 84 17.250

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5088</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the El Cerrito Lumber Company, owners, and Sam J. Russo and Ford F. Bratcher, purchasers, to use a portion of Lot 6, La Mesa Colony (as shown on "Map of Lot 6, La Mesa Colony, Map 346, showing Proposed Zone Variance" which is on file in the Planning Department Office), located at the rear of 6135 El Cajon Boulevard, Department Office), located at the rear of of which permission was in connection with the drive-in theater for which permission was granted by Resolution No. 2340, dated July 2, 1947, and to construct a granted by Resolution No. 2340, dated July 2, 1947, and to construct a soft drinks, candy, wrapped sandwiches, coffee, and similar confections, soft drinks, candy, wrapped sandwiches, coffee, and similar confections, but excluding liquor, beer and wine (proposed structures shown on the but excluding liquor, beer and wine (proposed structures shown on the but excluding liquor, beer and wine (proposed structures of this theater the theater premises in the R-1 Zone shall be to patrons of this theater only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 8, , 1947

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsiseretter Ass't Planning Director DK

Application Received <u>9-24-47</u> By	6. B. Rois
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-8-47</u> By	Burton Kenigan, ling
the supervisition and the second second	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 . 8 - 41	Hearing date
Decision approved cousil	Date 10-8.47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-10-47	Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10-10-17 Petitioner	10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A PROPERTY IN THE AND A PROPERTY IN THE AND A PROPERTY AND A PROPE

1000 2100

and an in the straight in the straight and

RESOLUTION NO. 2546, extended by Res. 3072

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5220</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas C. and Pauline M. Hughes to construct a residence on the south 50 ft. of Lot 31, Kensington Heights Unit No. 2 and to observe a 13 ft. 2 in. rear yard, on Marlborough Avenue near Bedford Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 8, . . 1947

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>9-24-47</u> B	y J.C. Bacchina City Planning Department
	y Kennigen Burton beneng City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 -8 - 47	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10.9-47	Date 10-8-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10.9-47	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner	Health Department 10-10-4/
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second second

LIEBRICHT THE TILL ANT WEED

- - -

- BEARSON DE DE MARE PRESENTE

are further with the state of the state of the

the next to be reached by the state the reached with the second state and second the second state and the

and the second and the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. 5230 ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. W. Jones to divide a portion of Lot 59, Subdivision No. 5 of Lot 12, Ex-Mission Rancho (des-cription on file in Planning Department Office), into two parcels, 50 ft. by 232.5 ft. each, fronting on Pidgeon Street, and to permit a single family residence on each parcel, Pidgeon Street between Lisbon and Foster Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 37, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 8, , 1947

FORM 2145

By_ Harry C. Haelsig, Segretery Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2547

Application ReceivedB	y J.C. Baughneen City Planing Department
Investigation made <u>10-8-47</u> B	y Kennigen, Burton, Erner
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-6-47 Decision approach	Hearing date Date 10-8-47 Building Inspector 10-10-57
Planning Commission 10-10-97 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The second s

to be the second second property second

THE PLEASANCE CONTRACTOR STORE PLANE

a set of the second

Print Print Print

Part

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5077</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. F. and Mardell Weight to construct a 5 ft. high wall on top of a retaining wall 42 inches in height for 55 ft. along the west property line at 4436 Santa Cruz Avenue on Lot 6, Block 84, Point Loma Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 8, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsigerstaux Ass't Planning Director 0

and the second	
Application Received <u>9-26-47</u> By	<u>J-C-Baughman</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-8-47</u> By	Kerregon Energy Bulon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47	Hearing date
Decision appraved	Date 10 - 8 - 47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47	Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10 -10 . 47 Petitioner	10 - 10 - 47 Health Department 10 - 10 - 47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the Rept She

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5238</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clarence S. and Nettie N. Tompkins to erect an addition, 12 ft. by 16 ft., to an existing residence which has a 4 ft. rear yard, addition to observe a 4 ft. rear yard, 1639 Monroe Avenue on the Westerly 45 ft. of Lots 47 and 48, Block 79, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 19 47

Harry C. Haelsigerank Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2549

Application Received	_ By _ J.C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made	_ By Kerrigen City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-9	47 Hearing date
Decision haspaced	Date 10-8-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-4.	47 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitio	ner 10-10-47 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second of the second second

FIELS .

WHEREAS, Application No. 5250 WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5250</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ruth Hess to construct an addition to an existing residence which has a 3 ft. sideyard, addition to observe all yard requirements, on the Northeasterly one-half of Lots 45, 46, 47 and 48, Block 22, Ocean Beach, Southwesterly corner of Narragansett Avenue and Ebers Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 8, ____, 19_47 Dated

By Harry C. Haelsig sectors Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2550

Application Received <u>9-27-47</u> By	<u>C-B.</u> Ross City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-8-47</u> By	Kerrigen, ling, Buto City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-8-47</u> Decision <u>Approved</u>	Hearing date Date 10-8-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10 - 10 - 47 Petitioner / Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

with the standard and the second of the standard and the second standard and the

the second of the second of the second of the second second second of the second s

THE TALL THE SHE WAS A THE SHE SHE SHE START THE START THE SHE SHE SHE SHE

and a second and the second second second second

2

PISALS ALL MELL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5226</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hazel Camp to erect a duplex on the rear of Lots 22 and 23, Block D, South La Jolla, making three units on this property, 363 Nautilus Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______ 0ctober 8, ____, 1947

Harry C. Haelsig secretaryx Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2551

0

Application Received <u>9-25-47</u> By <u>R.J. Name</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerrigan lung Burlow
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10-8-47
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 9 47 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10 10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10.47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Fime limit extended to Date of action

and as the second se

日本語見いまたい

a man in the start a strain an and the

The second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5241</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Fox Deluxe Theaters, Inc. to alter an existing building at 1666-1st Avenue to a candy and popcorn warehouse and to permit processing and bagging of popcorn in said building, Lot L, except the Southerly 2 ft., Block 209, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 8, , 1947

Harry C. Haelsig, second
9 llerk - 10 9.47 - Blag. Degt. 10-10-47 i Their Received. 9.29-17 By: N.C. Halley by: Buton, 6 7- 8-01 ilion made - 10-8-47 - pro-Reg 3. 0. 10 5.41 eltmuss: " app 01-01 unerer: me

Filed	
Ву	City Clerk.
	Deputy
ZONE VARIANCE OF ZONING C	
то	
	¢.
FOR	
	<u></u>
<u> </u>	
1 Ballinger	44

No.

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

5274

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will_____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTER,	71
That the following tise fibel woperty, Lot Block	x
Subdivision West side of Pacific Highway, south of Balboa	Avenue
(John W. Allen)	
a 15-unit motel and m	anager's
may be used fapther treation and operation of	
subject to the following conditions	
•	

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE City of San Diego, California No. 255 ning Res.

Dated ... October-8------194-7-

Application Received By D. E. South City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Investigation made 10-8-47 By Serrigen tung & Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 8-47. Hearing date
Convict Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-42. Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47
A possibility with (ity Clerk, date
Decision of CouncilDate
Production becomes effective
A light of the mithdrawn
Time limit extended to

the second part of burning the second second

RESOLUTION NO. 2554, amended lig # 2712 Of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4481</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Blanche E. Dulany to construct a residence on Lot 15, Block 34, La Jolla Shores, with access to the street by way of an alley, near Camino del Oro and Vallecitos.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 8, , 19 47 Dated

FORM 2145

By______ Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director

Application Received <u>9-23-47</u> B	y mail - KOM City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-8-47</u> B	y Kernegans, lung Buli- City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 8-47	Hearing date
Decision approach	Date 10-8-\$7
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 -9-47	Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner	10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

in some the second design and the second of the second states and the second second second second second second

MERGY TO PELL REALPHENT RATE

1 . T . T

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5200</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Yde to construct a fence 7 ft. in height with pilasters 7 ft. 6 in. high at 4805 Monroe Avenue, Lots 810-811, Talmadge Park Estates.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 8, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsisperature Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2555

FORM 2145

Application Received B	y P.g. Bulow City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-8-47</u> By	Kerryon lung Bulo- City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Decision approach Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47	Hearing date Date 10-8-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

they start with the start and start and the start

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edith S. Hull to construct a fence 4 ft. in height in front of the setback line at 2810 L Street on Lots 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26, Block 1, Reed's Central Subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 47

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Harry C. Haelsigsecketary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2556

FORM 2145

Dated

October 8.

Application Received	By
reprication Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made	City Flanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10- Decision approved -	-8-47 Hearing date
Decision approved -	Date 10-8-47
ony of Recolution cent to (ity (lerk /	V Y Y Billding Inspector
Planning Commission 10 - 10 - 47 Pe	etitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THERE OF THE TO THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF THE

ANTER TO AVE STREET, STREET, STREET,

1 8-1 2 8 A ...

2. 116. 111

Constants of Walking the work

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5240</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. N. and Violet C. Maker to construct a 21 ft. by 23 ft. addition to an existing residence which has a 4 ft. sideyard, addition to observe all yard requirements, at 4474 Berting Street on the South 100 ft. of the North 225 ft. of Lot 12, Redland Tract.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA OK

Dated____October 8, , 19 47

Marry C. Haelsisserathyx Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2557

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>9-25-47</u> By	P.g. Burlo City Planning Department
	Kerngen, tung, Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 -8 - 47	Hearing date Date 10-8-47
Const of Depolistion sent to Lity Lierk 10 7 71	Building Inspector 10-10-47 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the state of the

CAR MERCENCER AND A

The strength of the strength of the

Berlin Last

Terre

PROCESS IN A SUR STUDE AS SHE I LOAN

Fare the state of the state of the

The state of the second state and

LUED , CHEL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5176</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edith L. Chevalier to construct a 17 ft. 2 in. by 14 ft. 6 in. addition to an existing residence which has a 1½ ft. sideyard, addition to comply with all yard requirements, 4073 Orange Avenue on the West 40 ft. of the North 10 ft. of Lot 43 and the West 40 ft. of Lots 44, 45 and 46, Block 15, Subdivision of Lots 20 to 50, Teralta.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 8, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig, Segretery Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2558

FORM 2145

Application Received _	9-25-47	By R.J. Hansen City Planning Department
Investigation made		_ By Kerryon hung Builo
Considered by Zoning Decision Copy of Resolution sen	to City Clerk 10 4	Date 10-8-41 Regilding Inspector 10-10-47
Appeal filed with City (Decision of Council	Clerk, date	ioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes eff Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	ective	Continued to Date of action

LREX TOTOL

s.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5256</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sherman-Gray, Inc. to divide the South 105.52 ft. of the North 217.62 ft. of Lot 41, Encanto, on the East side of 60th Street, south of Wunderlin, into two parcels, fronting on 60th Street, as shown on Record of Survey Map No. 1284, and permit a single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig^{Secreter} Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2559

Application Received <u>9-29-47</u> By	R. M. Parush City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-8-41</u> By	Kerregen lung, Bealt
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 8-47 H	Iearing date
Decision uppeared I	Date 10-8-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 -9-91	Building Inspector 10-70-47
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10	-10.47 Health Department 10 -10 - 41
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to I	Date of action

COST CON

2. 61:05

A POTAL STATISTICS

a start for a start of

Let Kar ONE BRICKLER HOW

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5254</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sherman-Gray, Inc. to divide a portion of Lot 41, Encanto, at the Northeast corner of 60th and Wunderlin Streets, into four parcels, three parcels fronting on 60th Street and one parcel fronting on Wunderlin Street, as shown on Record of Survey Map No. 1284, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, providing the Setback Ordinance, as applied to interior lots, is observed on all parcels, on all street frontages.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

OIC

Dated October 8, , 19 47

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsisecretery Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 256

Application Received	By <u>R. M. Paruek</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made & - ¥ 7	By Kerregon hung, Bulo- City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 8-47 Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-	47 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 78 - 78 - 97 Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

NOVE PROPERTY THEN

LACK ALCONTY ME. LIS SPRATHER.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5255</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sherman-Gray, Inc. to divide the North 112.10 ft. of the West 190 ft. of Lot 41, Encanto, at the Southeast corner of Wunderlin and 60th Streets, into three parcels, two fronting on 60th Street and one facing on Wunderlin Street, and to permit a single family residence on each parcel, providing the Setback Ordinance, as applied to interior lots, is observed on all parcels, on all street frontages.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

. 19 47

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_______ Harry C. Haelsig, Segretery Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2561

FORM 2145

Dated_

October 8,

Application Received <u>9-29.47</u> By R. M. Cauch City Planning Department
nvestigation made 10-8-47 By Kerregen, hung, Bulo
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 -8 - 47 Hearing date
Decision Capponed Date 10-8-41
f Decolution/sent to (ity Clerk 10 9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10 -10-41 Petitioner 10 -10-41 Health Department 10-10-41
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and and a second dependence of the second second second second and the second second second second second second

10.15

The state of Contract

" the second states and

a subscription of the states

put the second states of parts to terminal the states of ships

2 C. M. C.

INTERCOL BE IL DERUNAS.

and the transfer

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5253</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sherman-Gray, Inc. to divide a portion of Lot 1, Block 12, Encanto Heights, at the Southeast corner of 60th Street and Broadway, into three parcels, each fronting on Broadway, as shown on Record of Survey Map No. 1284, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, providing the Setback Ordinance, as applied to interior lots, is observed on all parcels, on all street frontages.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

-	October	8,	10	47
Datad	and the second second second second		10	

FORM 2145

By______ Harry C. Haelsig; cretere Ass't Planning Director

No. 256 Res.

Application ReceivedBy	R.m. Parrich
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Kerregan, hung Bul
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 8 - 47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 10 3 71
Decision approach Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-4-47</u>	Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10 -10-41 Petitioner	Health Department 10-10-91
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second second

and the standard and the

CHICKEN AND TO PORT ON THE PORT OF THE

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carlos S. Pickering (Mrs. Conkling's Bakery) to construct a fence and retaining wall around a parking lot to a maximum of 9 ft. in height, Lots 7 and 8, Block 1, North Highland Park, 3277 El Cajon Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 8, 47

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig secretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2563

Application Received <u>10-1-47</u> By	6. B. Row
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-8-47</u> By	Kerregor beeren Bento City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 -8-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 10-8-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 -9-47	Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner	10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47
	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a list of the second second

store in the second state of the state of the state of the second state of the second

DIEFERSE AT ALASS TYPE STAN

structure whereas in the second second with a second s

and the second of the

a sile of each second second second for the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5269</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the County of San Diego to erect a fence 9 ft. in height around the Anthony Detention Home on Mission Valley Road on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1118.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

- 04

Dated	October	8,	19 47
saleu_	and the second s		

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsigeretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No.

Application Received By	
	City Planning Department
terret the second se	. /. 0 0
Investigation made <u>10-8-47</u> By	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10.8-17	Hearing date
Decision Massach	Date 10-8-47
C of Deschation cent to (ity (lerk /0-9-47	Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission / -10 - 47 Petitioner	10-10-41 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

2 30 C 1 1 1 1 1

The state is the state of the back

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5187</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry E. A. Schroeder to erect a residence on a parcel of land 50 ft. by 300 ft., being the West 50 ft. of the Easterly 150 ft. of Lot 29, Lemon Villa, on the North side of University Avenue, west of 55th Street, provided an easement 20 ft. in width across the front of this property is granted to the city for the widening of University Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

Jument # 495 (love)

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October	8,	19 47
FORM 2145		

Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2565

Application ReceivedB	R.J. Narcen City Planning Department
the second state to be a second state of the s	City Flanning Department
Investigation made <u>10 8 - 47</u> By	Kerrigan, Lung, Burlo
and the second state of the second	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47	Hearing date
Decision analared	Date 10 8-47
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 9-47	Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10-10 47 Petitioner	Building Inspector 10-10-47 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second

the second of the second of

. 32

And the state

and the second second second

LIPPORT DE LES EN 1822

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5174</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That a temporary permit for a period of six months from the date of this resolution is granted to E. C. Moore to operate a 40-unit trailer camp at the Northwest corner of 47th and Federal Boulevard, on the North one-half of the Northeast one-quarter of Lot 18, Ex-Mission Lands of San Diego (Horton's Purchase), and said camp will be eliminated and all trailers removed from the premises at the expiration of this six months period.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 8, , 19 47

Harry C. Haelsfg; thy Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2566

FORM 2145

Application Received 7-11-47 By	K. G. Haeler City Planning Department
	Lucy Kerrigan Bulo
Considered by Zoning Committee 16 9-47 Decision louil temp approvae	Hearing date
Decision lovel temp approval	Date 10-8-47
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 4-47	Building Inspector 10-10-41
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner	10 0 - 47 Health Department 10 10 - 47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

te de la companya de

where the standard war the

and the second the second

COPERTY ANDRESS

the state of the state

DEPENDED DET 'S ER

and the set of the second of the second of the second second

No.....

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

5174

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot
of San Diego (Horton's Purchase) Subdivision
Northwest corner of 47th Street and Federal Blvd.
(E. C. Moore) a 40-unit trailer camp
he used for the prestion and ensuration of
may be used for the erection and operation of
subject to the following conditions
2. Said camp will be eliminated and
all trailers removed from the premises at the expiration
of this six-months period.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

Harry C. Haelsi Secretary Ass't Planning Director

City of San Diego, California

256

Res. No.

Application Received By_ H.C. Haeling
City Planning Department
c/ R .
Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerryon, Lung Bulo- City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 9-47 Hearing date Decision Course Comp. Approved Date 10-8-47
Decision Court Temp agnoval Date 10-8-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10.4-47. Building Inspector
Planning Commission 10-10-42 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-42
Appeal filed with City Clerk, dateCouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn
Time limit extended to
Time limit extended toDate of action

all appressed weather bearing

and a set of the second of the set of the second second second second second second second second second second

teres and a second of the second address of the second of

THE PARTY OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPT

NELTER STOLE PRESENCE AND SECTION AND SECT

RESOLUTION NO. 2568, extending Res. # 848

Letter dated Sept. 8. 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are_ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would____ ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not ____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of three (3) years from the expiration date of Resolution No. 848, dated February 15, 1945, be granted to Helen McGlinchy for the operation of a rest home at 3025 Reynard Way on Lot 63, Reynard Hills, subject to the following conditions:

- Provide an extra wide door in the partition between 1. the second floor nor therly bedrooms; not less than 3'6" wide.
- Remove all first story partitions which are not plastered; 2.
- Provide one-hour fire resisting lath and plaster over all 3. exterior exposed (unprotected) wooden walls;
- Provide one-hour fire resisting lath and plaster on entire ceiling and stud walls forming the basement (cellar) storage 4. space:
- 5. Place no patients, ambulatory or not, in the central front second-story bedroom:
- Conditions 1 to 5, inclusive, must be complied with to the 6. satisfaction of the Building Department by the expiration date of Resolution No. 848, February 15, 1948. If they are Linne hunt extend not complied with by that time, this resolution will be of no effect and will be null and void.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

alexan conditions have been con

der retter from Bedg. Degt. datet ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

2-19-48-

Harry C. Haelsig secretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2568

FORM 2145

Dated.

October 8,

Application Received <u>9-16-47</u> B	y mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{9-24-47}{10-8-47}$ B	y Lucy, Kerrigan, Busto
Investigation made $10-8-47$ B 9-24-47	y City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 -8 - 47	Hearing date 10 8-47
Decision approved, Concil	Date 10-8-47
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-10-47	Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner	10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

address of the contraction

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5195</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur H. McKee to construct a residence on Lot 50, Collwood Park, with a rear yard varying from 5 ft. to 20 ft., providing an agreement is signed by the owner to the effect that no building will be placed on Lots 17 and 18, abutting this property in the rear, closer than 30 ft. from the rear lot lines of Lots 17 and 18, Collwood Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

agreement # 491 -Filed 10-16-47

> By______ Harry C. Haelsis, Secretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2569

0

Application Received _	9-13.47	By mail
Application Received -		City Planning Department
	9-24-47	N D R
Investigation made	10-8-47	By <u>Seringen</u> City Planning Department
Clarks pretired 1 1.4	9.24	
Considered by Zoning	Committee 10 -8 - 1	Hearing date
Decision have al	ingroud	Date $10 \cdot 8 - 47$
Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk 101	10-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission	10 - 10 - 4/ Petiti	oner 10-10-47 Health Department 16-10-41
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes eff	ective	
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

production and the second of the state of the second of

in this was

EL PROVER DATE

MATTING LOGIC - IN ST IN SUDAN

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

not

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

not

4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur H. McKee to construct a residence on Lot 81, Collwood Park, with a rear yard varying from 5 ft. to 20 ft., providing an agreement is signed by the owner to the effect that no building will be placed on Lot 52, abutting this property in the rear, closer than 30 ft. from the rear lot line of said Lot 52, Collwood Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

October 8, 7 19 47 18 Dated

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 01

Harry C. Haelsigeeretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2570

FORM 2145
Application Received <u>9-13-47</u> By	Borel
Tippinoution Received	City Planning Department
9-24-47	· / 0 P -
Investigation made By	Kornegow, Grung, Duerlo
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 8 - 47	Hearing date
Decision Consil apprause Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-10-97</u>	Date 10-8-47
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-10-47	Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner /	o-ro-47 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

11 The St

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5314</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That permission is hereby granted to M. O. Medina for the United Portugese S.E.S. Hall to construct a private club with a 6 foot setback from the front property line on Lots 3, 4 and 5, Block 11, New Roseville, located at 2820 Addison St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE Y OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA aelsig C.

Res. No. 2571

Dated October 15 , 19 47

't Planning Director

oplication Received <u>10-14-47</u> By <u>R. M. Parrich</u> City Planning Department	
vestigation made <u>10-15-47</u> By Ewing Lancaste, Birkel & Has	le
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>10-15-47</u> Hearing date Date 10-15-47 py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-16-47</u> Building Inspector <u>10-17-47</u> anning Commission 10-17-47 Petitioner 10-17-47 Health Department 10-17-47	
cision Uppreved Date 10-15-47	
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-16-42 Building Inspector 10-12-47	
anning Commission 10-17-47 Petitioner 10-17-47 Health Department 10-17-47	
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
cision of Council Date	
solution becomes effective	
nlication withdrawn Continued to	
ne limit extended to Date of action	

The Carlos of the stand of the stand of the state

THE RELATED

PERSONAL SIDE IN THE PERSON AND A REAL OF A DAY OF THE

for the and the termination of

WHEREAS, Application No. 5170 _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ ___ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- not That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to 3. That the granting of the application will the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George D. and Marie M. Fleet to construct a single family residence on a parcel of land in Pueblo Lot 1280 (description on file in Planning Department Office), said parcel having no street frontage, but served by a 10 ft. easement to Avenida de la Playa.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsisecreturex Ass't Planning Director DK.

Application Received 9-9-47	By R. J. Hausen
	City Planning Department
PP-24-47 10-8-47	/ O R -
Investigation made <u>10-22-47</u>	By Kerrigen Curry, Buto City Planning Department
9-24-4	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47	Hearing date 10-22-47
Decision approved	Date 10-22-47 2 Building Inspector 10-24-47 10-27-41 Health Department 10-24-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10.23-9	Z Building Inspector <u>10-24-47</u>
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner	10-27-41 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

. ... man marker a such

Chilly General Control

and the set is a set of the set of

THE OF GRA

5210

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frederick E. and Alice M. Parker to construct a single family residence on Lots 21 and 22, except the Northeasterly 45 ft., Block 1, Ocean Beach, on the Northeasterly side of Cape May Avenue, 75 ft. Northwesterly of Guizot Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 0

Dated October 22, , 1947

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsiggecketaryx Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2573

	Pro Pri :
Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
	,
Investigation made <u>22-97</u> By	Jeregen, Sancaster Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47	Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10 - 24-47 Petitioner	10-24-47 Health Department -0-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

And the state of the state

THE REPORT OF THE

5 5 . .

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. A. and Mary C. Blasingame to divide Lot 12, Cresta Real, into two parcels and permit a single family residence on each, 846 Bangor Street, according to plat submitte

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 22, 47

Dated_

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsigsecterity Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2574

Application Received B	K. M. Parriek City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-22-\$7</u> By	Serrigen, Laucasten, Butor City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47	Hearing date
Decision approach - Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-41	Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-41	Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10 - 24 - 47 Petitioner	0 -27-11 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second such as the

1.60.1.1.

#1. P

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5267</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOU**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph E. Peterson to construct a residence on Lots 21 and 22, Block 22, San Diego Property Union, with a 15 ft. setback from 33rd Street, 1912 33rd Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ October 22, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsi Secretance Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2575

FORM 2145

D

Application Received Dec. 3-97 By M	- m. Pariels City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-22-47</u> By Ja	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 22 - 17 Hea	ring date
Decision approach Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Buil	10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Buil	ding Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission/0-24-41 Petitioner 10-2	7-47 Health Department 12-24-41
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Con	tinued to
Time limit extended to Date	e of action

Contraction of the second s

present a serie of the series of the series and the

A DATA CHARACTER AND A STATE

prototes the second of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5248</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hazel N. Masica to maintain a 15 ft. by 17 ft. pergola with no sideyard and only 52 ft. from the front property line at 3639 Ingraham Street on Lot 71, Block 3, Grown Point, providing the pergola is kept open at all times and is never roofed or enclosed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 1947 October 22, Dated

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, Service Ass't Planning Director

Application Received _10-6-47 By	J. E. Souch City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-22-47</u> By	Serrega Lacester Burls City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47	Hearing date
Decision Conail approval	Date 10-22-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47 0-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-41	Building Inspector 10.24-41
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner /	0-27.47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a share marily share

ERICE CARLEND

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5280</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George L. Flagg to maintain an 11 ft. 6 in. setback from Redland Drive for a residence under construction on Lot 5, Block E, Redlands Gardens, 5550 Redland Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2577

Application Received By	. d. E. Souch
	City Planning Department
	1 D R
Investigation made 10-22-47 By	Kerrigen Sancaster Burto. City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47	
Decision approved	Date 10.22.41
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47	Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10 24-47 Petitioner	0 27.47 Health Department 10 - 24 - 47
	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

CALLS

DURENCE

WHEREAS, Application No. 5315 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wilbert V. Carter to construct a residence with garage attached on Lot 4, Block 22, North Shore Highlands, with a 2 ft. sideyard for the garage, Loring Avenue, 1 block East of Foothill Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, Semerery Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2578

- the state of the s
- received at sections to the properties of a state of the sector solly determined to
- 4 . That the a driving of the business will the , advancedy affect the Marres Plan of the City,
- THEREBOLE OF IN MESCLAND, By the Youln's Consective of the City of SHO DIERO

By Mehrigan ester & Burton La Investigation made 10-22-47 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 10.22-47 Hearing date Date 10 - 22 - 47 Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47 Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council_ Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to

Incofer on that related to the property mathematic subve-

be, and the second graded on to the particulars stated above, T AN TOUR DE L'EN DECETTIONE AL CRETTREES NOT CARES TROPPENT ON

AT A THE REAL PROPERTY OF A DOL AND A DOL AND A DOLAN WINTER

Later a start is a track for the Po wither by the start of the court trace a

Application Received 10-14-47 By D. E. R

T-prove the state of the server of the state of

DU DE LEMARTINA ATLACENS.

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5285</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Velters V. Logan to remodel and enlarge service porch on the residence at 4516 Arizona Street on a parcel of land without street frontage, being the North 16 ft. 8 in. of Lot 28 and the South 18 ft. of Lot 29, except the East 60 ft. thereof, Block 52, University Heights, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

FORM 2145

By

Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director OK

Weiner and the second sec	
Application Received <u>10-7-47</u> B	y _ J.C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10.22-47</u> B	Y Serryow, Sancaster, Buton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 .22-47	Hearing date
Decision Decised	Date 10-22-\$7
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47	Building Inspector 10.29-41
Planning Commission 10-29-47 Petitioner	10 2/-4/ Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE SHE WAS STORED

1205 3 2

THE OF COLLECT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5242</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Clark M. Cavanee to add a kitchen to an existing cottage at the rear of 2270 West Camino del Rio on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1120 (description on file in Planning Department Office), providing an agreement is signed by the owner to the effect that this cottage will be used as servant's quarters only and will not be rented as a separate unit or used in any way in violation of the zoning.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. agreement # 498 Fled 10-31-47

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____October 22, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By____ Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director AL.

Application Received By C. B. Rease City Planning Department
Investigation made 10.22-47 By <u>Bearingane</u> Kaneaster Burlo
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 16-22-47 Hearing date
Decision Cousi approval Date 10.22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10:23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10.27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

1.1.1

and but her service and is not up and promotion the events there are a subscription for the

meaning a many as charged and an analytically a starting of the second and a second and a second and a start a

NUMERICAL PROPERTY STATES

THE PART OF A DATE OF MICH AND A DATE

The same and a second be been

The second s

5288

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

not

- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Susie M. Woods to construct a garage with 0 ft. sideyard at rear corner, on a portion of Villa Lot 103, Mission Hills, at 3873 Pringle Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, . 19 47

Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2581

FORM 2145

Application Received By	P.g. Burlow City Planning Department
	Kerrigan Lancasten Builton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-32-47 H	Iearing date
Decision approace I Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 23-47 H	Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 23-47 H	Building Inspector 10:24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10	27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action

and the part of the second states in the

RESOLUTION NO. 2582, extending Res. No. 2136

Letter dated October 6, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2136 be granted to Fred H. and Lola I. Novack to construct a storage and garage building on Lot 10, Block B, Sterlingworth, 4319 Felton Street, with an 0 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsigsecretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2582

FORM 2145

WITCHTION NO. 1983, WITCHTOLDI, A. HO. 2136

The second se

While a maintained for a maintained by the Zoning Committee i the set block with and the evaluate presented has shown (see Soction 5 of a set in the set of the evaluate presented has shown (see Soction)

The second second second second second second the second secon

- 2. The more application of the application of the printing of the processing for the preservation as a second of the preservation of the preservat
- 3. For the rest of the second setting and the materially affect the bealth of sefery of the rest of the set of the second three continues and will with be materially detrimental to the rest of the second of the property of instances in the neighborhood.
- 4 . The the state of the sections of will include a site where the Matter Plan of the City of the Dist.
- THORSENDS, BE AN PERGUNED, BY the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Contraction of the second state of the second

A variante to the provisions of Ordinans's Mo. 12522 be, sud is bered, the construction end above, interest as bered, the be the property membload whore.

Application Received // //	DV VVIII -:
Application Received	City Planning Department
	By Jerrigen Lancasten, Burlow City Planning Department
Investigation made 10-33.47	By Jerregan Bancasten, Hurlon
A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY.	
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-2	2.47 Hearing date
Decision line sould	Date 10-22-47
C CD A A sout to City Clorely IA	23-17 Ruilding Inspector 10:24-47
Planning Commission 11-24.47 Pet	itioner 10.27-47 Health Department 10.24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	and the second se
Antipation withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
I me limit extended to	and of detroit

Letter

ARVIE UNDERFORMED DERKORDS

mail

RESOLUTION NO. 2583, extending Resolution No. 2182

Letter dated October 6, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. ____ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2182 be granted to Fred H. and Lola I. Novack to construct and maintain a building for storage only of supplies, trucks and equipment for a laundry on adjacent lot, 4319 Felton Street on Lot 10, Block B, Sterlingworth, with no sideyard on the south side of the lot, 575 square feet over 50% coverage and a 10 ft. rear yard, subject to the following conditions:

- Limited to a maximum of twenty employees; 1.
- 2. 35 horsepower boiler:
- 3. Hours of operation from 8:00 A.M. to 8:00 P.M.;
- All loading to be done on the property and off of public 4. property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No.12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 22, 19 Dated_

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secketary Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

0 -	
Lecter Application Received B	mail
Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
	c/ f R-
Investigation made 10-22-47 B	y Jerregan, Sencester Buton City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-32-47 Decision approved	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 10.22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47	Building Inspector <u>10-24-47</u> 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner	10-27-47 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ALC: SAY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5293</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Carl E. Burger to construct a porte cochere as an addition to an existing residence at 5323 Canterbury Drive, with no side yard for the porte cochere, approximately 38 ft. back from front property line on Lot 340, Kensington Heights Unit No. 3, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

See Pes. 87536 October 22,

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Harry C. Haelsig, Semenary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2584

Ø

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received B	y C. B. Row
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-22-47</u> B	y <u>Verrigen</u> , Sancaster Durlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-41	Hearing date
Decision Decised	Date 10 22-47
C C Develution cent to City Clerk 10-22-4	Ruilding Inspector 10124-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Planning Commission 70 29997 1 etitioner	C 'I II in data at a fait
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 10-28-47	Council Hearing, date <u>11-4-41</u>
Decision of Council Conal approval	Date <u>11-12-47</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council <u>Conal</u> <u>approval</u> Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and said in the second of the second of the second s

87536

Slamming Entered

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows: That the appeal of Carl E. Burger, 5323 Canterbury Drive, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 2584, his application No. 5293 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, to construct a porte cochere as an addition to an existing residence at 5323 Canterbury Drive, with no side yard for the porte cochere, approximately 38 feet back from front property line on Lot 340, Kensington Heights Unit No. 3, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the porte cochere construction be limited to 16 feet in length.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 87536 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

See Per 2584 precedency

FRED W. SICK	
Helen M. Willig	City Clerk.
	Deputy.

orm 1270 (10M 0 - 47



RESOLUTION NO. 87536

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal the decision of the Zo 2584, his application : nance No. 8924, Section to an existing residen the porte cochere, app on Lot 340, Kensington and said Zoning Cosmit BE IT FURTRER R

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

ury Drive, from

visions of Ordi-

re as an addition

h no side yard for

nt property line

is hereby sustained,

onstruction be



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5087</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl and Martelle Kramer to construct a residence with eaves projecting 2 ft. into the side yard at 4852 49th Street on Lot 1154, Talmadge Park Estates Unit No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Res. No. 2585

Dated October 22, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Application Received	By R. m. Parkich
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Jerrigan Sencaster, Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 -2	8-17 Hearing date
D · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10	23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Pet	Date $10 \cdot 22 - 47$ 23 - 47 Building Inspector $10 - 24 - 47itioner 10 - 37 - 47 Health Department 10 - 24 - 47$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the providence of the second o

and take webs down in the first trend address of

DENTE LONG

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5305</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald J. and Alice A. Ryan to construct a single family residence on a portion of Lot L, Mountain View Manor (description on file in Planning Department Office) and to permit the eaves on said residence to project 2½ ft. into the side yard, Wilshire Drive, north of Mountain View Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13594 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig, Servetery Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2586

FORM 2145

01

Application Received	7 By C. B. Ross City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-22-47</u>	By <u>Heringan</u> <u>Sancasten</u> <u>Burlow</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Z	
Decision approaced	Date $10 - 22 - 47$ $k \underline{10 - 23 - 47}$ Building Inspector $10 - 24 - 47$ Petitioner $10 - 27 - 47$ Health Department $10 - 24 - 47$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler	k 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47	Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the spectrum and the part of the

the second and the second and the second second second the second

and a second sec

Aller and the second of the

1.0.31,5710 137 137

The second s

WHEREAS, Application No. 5310 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nasin Fares to construct an addition to an existing residence at 5919 El Cajon Boulevard on a parcel of land without full street frontage, being a portion of Lot 26, East Redlands.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ 0ctober 22, _____ , 19_47

By Harry C. Haelsigsectation Ass't Planning Director 01

FORM 2145
Application Received	_ By J. C. Baughman
	_ By Jerregen Sancester Bulow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 22-	Y7_ Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 10-22-47 3-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2	3-17 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-41 Petitic	ner 10.01.91 Health Department 10 09-91
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second s

BOLING STON

We to the second

3.

WHEREAS, Application No. 5303 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>materially</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be</u> materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to N. P. and Evelyn Steveson to convert an existing garage at 4739 Saratoga Street into living quarters, making third living unit on Lots 34 and 35, Block 31, Ocean Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 22, . 1947 Dated_

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig Secretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2588

OK

FUSDIALITA MO. HOST

While South a straight of the formation of the formation of the Control Control of the Control for South Control and the system of the South of South and the system of the statement of the straight of South of the statement of

- 1. The transfer of the second subscription before a cumbinion applicable to the property is the transfer of the second subscription of apply generally to other property in the same of a second.
- The second second
- 3. Now the restrict of the approaches will ______ meteringly affect the bealth of safety of return a realing of weakles in the mithborhood, and will _____ be meteringly detrimined to the public welfare of an interim to the property of improvements in the meteriode.
- 4. That the prenting of the Contract and hold _____ adversely affect the Muster Plan of the City of Son Diraco.

THEREFORE, BF IN RESOLVED. By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

Parel store in service frances of 4752 Saraboys Spread inch Marine to convert of a failed of press of 4752 Saraboys Spread inch Marine currents, sample bails living whit on boss 14 and 35, along pa

A r rhater to the presidious of Ordinance Mo. 13795, bo, and id hereof (rathed as to the particulars stated surve, ideofar as they relate to the property sentioned above.

By C C Manguman
City Planning Department
1
By Jerrigen Sancester Pulo City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date 10-22-47
Z Building Inspector 10.24-47
Z Building Inspector <u>10.24-47</u> 10.27-47 Health Department 10.24-47
_ Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

the provident of the second

J.C. Barris

RESOLUTION NO. 2589 extended and 3122 log 3400

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5311</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Adolf and Goldie Beyer to construct three duplexes on a parcel of land 80 ft. by 110 ft., being the South 10 ft. of Lot 14, Block 1, and Fir Street closed adjacent thereto, and the North 10 ft. of Lot 1, Block 4, and Fir Street closed adjacent thereto, Cullen's Arlington Heights, East side of 31st Street, 300 ft. North of Elm Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsisg; www. Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2589

OK

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	R. J. Hansen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-22-47</u> By	Kerrigan Jancaster Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-41	Jearing date
Decision approved I Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 23 - 47 H Planning Commission 11 - 24 - 17 H	Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 -23.47.	Building Inspector 10-24-41 24-41
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10	Building Inspector 10.24-47 -27-47 Health Department 10.24-47 Council Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action

the second of the

all shi .

British and a second se

5273

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

not

4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Raymond F. Leber to construct a three-unit court with a 3 ft. access court on Lot C, Block 137, Mission Beach, on Bayside Walk, 54 ft. North of Liverpool Court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 22, 47

Harry C. Haelsigsterarry Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2590

AL

Application Received By	P. g. Burlan City Planning Department
	Kerregan, Jancasta, Burton City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 10^{-22-47} Building Inspector 10^{-24-47} 10^{-27-47} Health Department 10^{-24-47}
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The second se

CHERRY OR POST CLARE .

WINE PERSONNEL IN THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON AND A

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5268</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be</u> materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wayne M. Harris to excavate 150 cubic yards of rock and adobe material from Lots 8 and 9, Miramar Terrace, 7143 Fay Street, and haul said material off the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

Harry C. Haelsigseretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2591

Application Received	By J. C. Baughman
10 9.15	
Investigation made 22 - +7	By Jerigan, Lancasta Burlon City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22.	47 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 10.22.47
Convert Packation sent to City Clerk 10 23	-47 Building Inspector 10-34-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petition	ner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the party of the second of the second se

the second se

NEW LOOF TO DE L'UNCELLAS A DE

nike and

Support the second of the state of the second

. . .

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. 5286 ___ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would_____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Douglas B. Clark to construct a single family residence on the East 70 ft. of the West 212 ft. of the South 110 ft. of Lot 37; La Mesa Colony. North side of Saranac Street. West of 69th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 22. Dated.

FORM 2145

Harry C. HaelsigSecratery Ass't Planning Director OK

Application Received	By D. E. South
-ipproduction received	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10 22-41</u>	By Kerregan, Seneaster, Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 22	-47 Hearing date
Decision analysed	Date 10-22-47
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10.	23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Peti	tioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Land the second state of the

at with a close total are

MERCENTER OF THE PARTY AND AND AND AND AND

WHEREAS, Application No. 4324 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Cheney to construct a residence on Lot 4, Block 1, La Jolla Shores Unit No. 1, said residence to observe a 10 ft. rear yard, Westerly side of Calle de la Plata, opposite the end of Paseo Del Ocaso.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

SAME A LOCAL SALES

By Harry C. Haelsiger Maxy Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2593

colC.

251

Application ReceivedB	y C. B. Ross
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10 22-47</u> B	y <u>Serrigen</u> , <u>Sencaster</u> , <u>Bulon</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47	Hearing date
D ····	Data (2-77 17
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-41	Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner	Building Inspector $10-24-47$ 10-27-47 Health Department $10-24-47$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Witherard C. L. H.

and the second second

A DE TRACES

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5247</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Calixto Malta to re-open a grocery store in a twostory residence at 2458 K Street on Lots 39 and 40, Block 11, L. W. Kimball's Addition, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ByHarry C. Haelsisset Artxx Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2594

010

October 22, . 1947

Application Received	By <u>C.B.</u> City Planning Department
	By <u>Nerrigen Jonesten</u> , Bulon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 22-4 Decision Decision Served Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-9	1)ate 10-22-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner	18-21-47 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second

in particular and the character of the price of a provide at the

Ph. yali

161

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5239</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will_____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the followin	g described property, Lot § 3,4,5	Block
Subdivision	Tract 1368 (Fairmount Addition)	
	South side of EL Cajon Boulevard, 100 51st Street. (Frank Morgan)	
may be used for	the erection and operation of 14-unit auto court	and a manager's
	apartment.	
subject to the foll	lowing conditions	

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated October 22, 194.7

Harry C. HaelsigSecretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No.259

Application Received <u>10-3-47</u> By <u>3.C. Daughman</u> City Janning Department
Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigen, Lencaster, Burlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22.47 Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22.47 Hearing date Decision Date 10-22-47 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission. 10-24-47 Petitioner. 10-27-47. Health Department. 10-24-47.
Appeal filed with City Clerk, dateCouncil Hearing, dateDate
Resolution becomes effective
Time limit extended to

and the state of the second second

TUROLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

a martel property dates what operations is a second for when any second when it is prove a second a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5245</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James L. and Elizabeth N. O'Connor to construct an addition to an existing residence at 4245 Randolph Street, which has a 3 ft. sideyard, addition to observe the required sideyard, but only a 5 ft. rear yard, Lots 1,2, and 3, Block 20, North Florence Heights and Lots 1, 2, and 3, Block 20, Arnold and Choate's Addition, except the East 45 ft., and a portion of Hunter Street closed and Randolph Street closed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Ass't Planning Director

October 22, , 19 47 Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary

FORM 2145

Dated

Res. No. 2596

Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	A Rusta
Considered by Zoning Committee	22-47 Hearing date
Decision appliad	Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerl	Petitioner 10-24-47 Council Hearing date
Planning Commission 10 - 24 - 47	Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The contract of the second of the second second second of the second second second second second second second

and only as the second structure and the second states and the second states to second states as a second of the

Description of the start ward with a start a start as

WHEREAS, Application No. 4710 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James L. and Elizabeth N. O'Connor to construct an addition to an existing residence at 4245 Randolph Street, addition to observe a 10 ft. setback from Randolph Street, Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 20, North Florence Heights, and the West 28 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 20, Arnold & Choate's Addition and portions of Hunter Street and Randolph Street closed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsiseretaryx Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2597

BIL

Application Received <u>7-17-47</u> By	P. J. Burlon City Planning Department
	Serryon Sencenter, Buster City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47	Hearing data
Decision appraved	Date $10 \cdot 22 - 47$ Building Inspector $10 \cdot 24 - 47$ $10 \cdot 27 - 47$ Health Department $10 \cdot 24 - 47$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23 .47	Building Inspector 10-25-47
Planning Commission 10-29-47 Petitioner	10.27-47 Health Department 10.24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, dute	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

いいしん サイト キャント・キャー

TOTA MUCH COURTERS IN DESCRIPTION OF THE CONCERT SHOP

A LAND

3-16 67-

eterse + Charles and an an alter and the

Shart Artists Art

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Willie D. Russell to construct an addition to an existing residence at 3984 Ohio Street, addition to observe a 10 ft. setback from Ohio Street, on the East 100 ft. of Lots 45 and 46, Block 207, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, secretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2598

OK

Application ReceivedB	y C.B. Racd
FF	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-22-47</u> By	y <u>Yerregan</u> , Lancaster, Bullon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 10-22-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47 10-24-47 10-24-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47	Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner /	0-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

PROPERTY AND

15 2 and to north

A FILL THE LET THE ALT THE

-

State States &

RESOLUTION NO. 2599, extended by Res 3015 Resolution NO. 2599, extended by Res 3490

See 3823

ck

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5212</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. E. Oversmith to construct a four-unit court on Lots 33 and 34, Block 7, Ocean Beach Park, buildings to cross lot lines, South side of Muir Street, about 350 ft. East of Ebers Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsigsecretary Ass't Planning Director

Application Received <u>10-3-42</u> B	R. J. Hancen
	City Planning Department
	1 1 1
Investigation made 10-22-47 B	y Kerregen, Lancaster, Burlow City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution kent to City Clerk 10-23-47	Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner	10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The point of a provide a state of the point of the point of the

And the state of the second second

and the in the second

LARDELOBS IN IL LOAD

THE DA REAL PRINT

01. 860 (N. 20 -

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5291</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. E. Beardslee to construct a residence with eaves projecting 1 ft. 7 in. into the sideyard, Lot 287, Kensington Heights, on Ridgeway Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Harry C. Haelsi Secretery. Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Dated_

October 22,

. , 19 47

010

Res. No. 2600

Application ReceivedB	y R.J. Hansen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-22-47</u> By	Jerrigan, Lancester Bulos City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 22 - 47 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 33 - 47 Planning Commission 10 - 24 - 47 Petitioner	Hearing dateDate $10 - 23 - 47$ Building Inspector $10 - 34 - 47$ $10 - 37 - 47$ Health Department $10 - 24 - 47$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

MARKING ALL - 14"

a state in the second state of the

State of the state of the