

Resolutions

2501
To
2700

RESOLUTION NO. 2501

WHEREAS, Application No. 5123 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gladys Elizabeth Bradley to construct a four-unit apartment house with a 5 ft. access court leading to the entrance of one unit on the second floor, North side of Deal Court, between Ocean Front and Strandway on Lot D, Block 45, Mission Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsing, ~~xxxx~~ Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2501

Application Received 8-26-47 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47 By Kerrigan, Irving, Burt
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-10-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-47 Building Inspector 9-12-47

Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK RW

RESOLUTION NO. 2502

WHEREAS, Application No. 5074 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Oscar F. and Mattie Johnson to erect a residence with an 8 ft. setback on Lots 16, 17 and 18, Block 58, Seaman's and Choate's Addition, and portion of 29th Street closed adjacent, located on the West side of 29th Street, 80 ft. North of Hawthorn Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2502

Application Received 8-26-47 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47 By Kerrigan, Luning, Burt
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date _____
Date 9-10-47
Decision Approved
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-47 Building Inspector 9-12-47
Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2503

WHEREAS, Application No. 5122 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles W. Curtis and Jefferson Stickney, Jr. to build an addition to an office building with a 5 ft. court width from rear dwelling to the street, 3771-5th Avenue, Lot 3, except the South 3.45 ft. of the West 70 ft., Block 5, Nutt's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2503

Application Received 8-27-47 By P.G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47 By Kerigan, Irving, Burt
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-10-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-47 Building Inspector 9-12-47

Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

61
8 M

RESOLUTION NO. 2504

WHEREAS, Application No. 5148 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Andy Woods, owner, and James Don and Rita H. Keller, purchasers, to construct a residence with a 3 ft. setback for the garage and a 6 ft. setback for the residence, on a portion of Lots 4 and 5, Block 6, Marine View Subdivision (description on file in Planning Department Office), on the South side of Puterbaugh, approximately 200 ft. West of Lark Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2504

Application Received 9-3-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47 By Lerrigan, Irving, Bolton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-10-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-47 Building Inspector 9-13-47

Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2505

WHEREAS, Application No. 5149 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Andy Woods, owner, and James Don and Rita H. Keller, purchasers, to divide a parcel of land approximately 70 ft. by 120 ft. and construct a single family residence, said parcel being a portion of Lots 4 and 5, Block 6, Marine View Sub-division (description on file in Planning Department Office), located on the South side of Puterbaugh Street, approximately 200 ft. West of Lark Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2505

Application Received 8-27-47 By JC Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47 By Ferrigno, Luning, Guto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date 9-10-47
Decision Approved Date

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-47 Building Inspector 9-12-47
Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK
R M

WHEREAS, Application No. 5145 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Beatrice Edmonds Brenneman to divide and permit a single family residence on a portion of Lot 16, Bridges Estates, access to be provided by a 20 ft. private easement, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2506

Application Received 8-28-47 By R. G. Deusto
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47 By Kerrigan, Lawrence, Deusto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 9-10-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-47 Building Inspector 9-12-47

Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

01A7

RESOLUTION NO. 2507

WHEREAS, Application No. 5171 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert C. Shuford and Effie Shuford to erect a concrete retaining wall 7 ft. 6 in. above the adjacent ground level at 2741 Newton Avenue on Lots 13, 14 and 15, Block 14, Reed and Hubbell's Subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2507

Application Received 9-8-47 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47 By Lerregan, Irving, Berto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 9-10-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-47 Building Inspector 9-12-47
Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2508 *amending Res. # 1373*

Letter dated Sept. 4, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1373, dated February 28, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marjorie Conklin Kumler to construct a single family dwelling on a portion of Lots 17 and 18, Block 9, First Addition to South La Jolla, on Arenas Street, near Monte Vista Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 1947

FORM 2145

By _____
Harry C. Haelsing, ~~xxxx~~ Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2508

Letter
Application Received 9-4-47 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47 By Kerrigan, Irving, Barto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-10-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-47 Building Inspector 9-12-47

Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OKRZ

RESOLUTION NO. 2509, amending Res # 1909

Letter dated September 3, 1947 #2509, amended by #2612

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1909, dated November 21, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roscoe E. Hazard and E. T. Hale to construct a metal fence 20 ft. in height for 135 ft. on West Point Loma Blvd. and 475 ft. on Midway Drive and 60 ft. on Ollie Street, remainder of the fence on Ollie Street will be 6 ft. in height, and the balance of the fence on West Point Loma Blvd. will be 9 ft. in height, on a portion of Lots 1 and 2, Partition of Pueblo Lot 219, subject to the following conditions:

1. Fence to be painted;
2. No commercial advertising other than one sign on each side of the property advertising the business of the theater;
3. Landscaping to be commenced within 90 days after completion of the fence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 19 47

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Lester
Application Received 9-3-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47 By Herrigan, Luning & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date _____
Date _____

Decision Approved Building Inspector 9-12-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-47 Health Department 9-12-47

Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

Letter dated September 4, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of nine (9) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1846, dated October 24, 1946, be granted to Seaboard Security Company, Union Title Insurance and Trust Company, and San Diego Associates, Inc. to erect a tract office and four storage sheds incidental to building Veterans' Housing, on the Southwest corner of 60th and Meade Streets on Lots 7 and 8, Waterville Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

1-47
OCT-24-46

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2510

Letter
Application Received 9-4-47 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47 By Fernando Luning, Puerto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-10-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-47 Building Inspector 9-12-47

Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK
R

RESOLUTION NO. 2511

WHEREAS, Application No. 5146 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles J. and Edith E. Potter to construct a single family residence on the South 100 ft. of the South 1/2 of the North-east 1/4 of Lot 30, Horton's Purchase, Ex-Mission Lands, with 100 ft. frontage on Euclid Avenue, 1000 ft. North of Market Street, subject to the following condition:

- Prob. 10/14/47*
1. That the owners will grant an easement 10 ft. in width across the front of this property to the city for the widening of Euclid Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

*Easement Granted
10/14/47
Book 2482
PAGE 357*

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 8-26-47 By R J Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47 By Kerregan Livingston & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Council approval Date 9-10-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-47 Building Inspector 9-12-47
Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK
AM

RESOLUTION NO. 2512

WHEREAS, Application No. 5169 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James S. and Fredia P. Davidson and Mamie T. and Odos H. Gideon to construct a single family residence on the Northeast 20 ft. of the Northwest 118 ft. of Lot 5 and the Southwest 30 ft. of the Northwest 118 ft. of Lot 6, Block 42, Point Loma Heights, and a portion of Redondo Street closed adjacent, southerly side of Redondo St., 300 ft. southwesterly of Narragansett Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

/ INCLUDED IN RES 2687
R.M.P.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 10, , 1947

FORM 2145

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2512

Application Received 1-4-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47 By Kerrigan, Gunning & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 9-10-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-12-47 Building Inspector 9-12-47

Planning Commission 9-12-47 Petitioner 9-12-47 Health Department 9-12-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2513

WHEREAS, Application No. 5069 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glen O. Perkins to erect an apartment house with a 6 ft. setback from Ingelow Street, on Lot 9, Block 74, Roseville, said property being on the west side of Ingelow Street, 100 ft. north of Rosecrans, provided any natural growth within the setback area on Ingelow St. is kept to a reasonable height for visibility.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 24, 19 47

FORM 2145

By _____
Secretary
Harry C. Haelsig,
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 251

Application Received 8-27-47 By R. M. Barrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47-9-24-47 By Kerrigan Seelaw Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date 9-24-47
Date 9-24-47

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2514

WHEREAS, Application No. 5172 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Clarence and Minnie Golding to erect two residences on the easterly 180 ft. of Lot 20, Block 58, Caruther's Addition, which property has no street frontage, being located approximately 200 ft. west of Logan Avenue and 43rd Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 251

Application Received 9-12-47 By H. C. Helling
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerrigan, Sellen, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Denied Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2515, amended by Res. 3000

WHEREAS, Application No. 4499 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mabel K. Giddings to divide a parcel of land into three lots and to permit a single family residence on each, said parcel consisting of portions of Lots 3 and 4, Block 162, and street closing adjacent, and portion of Lot 2, Block 163, La Playa (description on file in Planning Department Office), Rosecrans and Qualtrough Streets, providing an easement 10 ft. in width is granted to the city for the widening of Rosecrans Street. These parcels will be as follows: A. 80 ft. by 105 ft. and served by an easement not less than 12 ft. in width to Rosecrans Street; B. 65 ft. by 80 ft., fronting on Qualtrough Street (or served by the same easement); and C. 80 ft. by 120 ft., facing on Rosecrans Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2515

ACCEPTED & RECORDED
NOV 18 1947
NO 87609

Application Received 9-15-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerrigan, Jellum, Beutler
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Council Approval Date 9-24-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47
Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2516

WHEREAS, Application No. 5205 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the U. S. Holding Company to construct a single family residence and a 16-stall private stable on the North one-half of Lot 4, Pueblo Lot 1105, which property has no street frontage but is served by two 20 ft. easements, Mission Valley, 1000 ft West of 6th Street Extension.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 and No. 8924, Section 12, is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-19-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerrigan, Sellev, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____
Date 9-24-47

Decision Approved
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2517, amended by Res. 3003

WHEREAS, Application No. 5163 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Owen A. and Gertrude E. Murray and Loren C. and Arden M. Wilson to split Lot 10 (except the North 65 ft.), C. M. Doty's Addition, into three parcels and permit a single family residence on each, Northwest corner of Beryl and Emelene Streets, parcels to be as follows: 1. 55 ft. by 120 ft. facing Beryl Street; 2. 59 ft. by 120 ft. facing Beryl Street; and 3. 50 ft. by 114 ft. facing Emelene Street, providing the average setback on both streets is maintained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, 19 47

FORM 2145

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2517

Application Received 9-15-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Ferrigan, Sellev, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2518

WHEREAS, Application No. 5131 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Dickson to convert a residence at 2727 Ocean Front into a duplex by adding an apartment over an existing garage and to maintain existing 3 ft. side yard and 10 ft. rear yard for the addition, Lot D, Block 21, Mission Beach, according to plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 19 47

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-15-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerrigan, Sellev, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-47 Building Inspector _____

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2519

WHEREAS, Application No. 5199 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the City of San Diego, Water Distribution Department, to erect a steel fence 10 ft. in height with three-strand barbed wire on arms projecting inward at a 45° angle on top of fence, said fence to surround a transformer at 619-65th Street, Lot 24, Brooklyn Terrace.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-15-47 By B.G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Ferguson, Seelaw, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2520

WHEREAS, Application No. 5207 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. J. Conner to divide Lots 1 and 2 and the Northwesterly 30 ft. of Lot 3, Block 92, Point Loma Heights, into two building sites, one with 50 ft. frontage on Santa Cruz Avenue and the other with 63 ft. frontage on Santa Cruz Avenue, 4500 block, and to permit a single family residence on each site.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2520

Application Received 9-22-47 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerigan, Sellers, Burt
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2521

WHEREAS, Application No. 5208 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. J. Conner to ^{construct two} residences on Lots 1 and 2 and the Northwesterly 30 ft. of Lot 3, Block 92, Point Loma Heights, each to observe a 10 ft. setback from Santa Cruz Avenue, 4500 block between Froude and Guizot Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

FORM 2145

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2521

Application Received 9-22-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerrigan, Seelen, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 5206 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. J. Getz to construct a duplex and two single family residences on Lots 30 and 31, Block D, South La Jolla, south side of Nautilus, west of La Jolla Boulevard, said buildings to cross the lot lines.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-16-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerregan, Seelow, Boston
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2523

WHEREAS, Application No. 5211 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. J. Pettid to alter basement of apartment into a living unit with a 4 ft. access court to the street, subject to the approval of the Building Department, on the South 15 ft. of Lot 17 and all of Lot 18, Block 99, University Heights, 4325 Georgia Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 19 47

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2523

Application Received 9-18-47 By B. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Herrigan, Seelow, Burt
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision approval Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2524

WHEREAS, Application No. 5111 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clifford V. Pool, owner, and the San Diego Machinists' Building Association to erect a sign on an existing building which has a 12.5 ft. setback, sign to extend within 6 inches of the front property line, 3911 Pacific Highway, Lots 5 and 6, Block 240, Middletown.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 19 47

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2524

Application Received 9-18-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerregan, Sellers, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2525

WHEREAS, Application No. 5132 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Grant Alba Young and Lester C. Young to split out a parcel of land approximately 100 ft. by 100 ft. from Pueblo Lot 177 (legal description on file in Planning Department Office) and construct a single family residence over a garage, Rosecrans Street, approximately 350 ft. south of Armada Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

See Res. # 87323 following

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 19 47

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-8-47 By R. M. Parrish
Amended petition - 9-24-47 City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-8-47-9-24-47 By Ferrigan, Seelow, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 9-30-47 Council Hearing, date 10-7-47

Decision of Council Appeal Denied - Decision sustained Date 10-21-47

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

Blenny

RESOLUTION NO. 87323 (See Res. # 2523)

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of C. Earl Gustafson, 910 Rosecrans Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting to Grant Alba Young and Lester C. Young permission to split out a parcel of land approximately 100 ft. by 100 ft. from Pueblo Lot 177 (legal description on file in Planning Department Office) and construct a single family residence over a garage, Rosecrans Street, approximately 350 ft. south of Armada Place, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 87323 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council OCT 21 1947

FRED W. SICK

F. T. PATTEN City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

Deputy.

By

F. T. PATTEN

City Clerk.

FRED W. SICK

of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No.

87323
Oct 21 1947

87323

decision is hereby

of Armas Place, be

residence over a

on the in planning

approximately 100

Albe Young and East

from the decision

That the above

City of San Diego



Page is intentionally left blank.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RESOLUTION NO.

87323

Armas Street,

to Grant

out a parcel of land

(legal description

single family

ately 350 ft. south

and Zoning Committee

Oliver

87323 (Armas St)

RESOLUTION NO. 2526

WHEREAS, Application No. 5221 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to erect a fence 6 ft. in height on an 8 ft. high wall at the rear of the property at 4551-56th Street and to erect a fence 10 ft. in height on the north line and on the front setback line, Lot 17, Block A, Redland Gardens, said fence to be constructed around a playground.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, 1947

By Harry C. Haelst Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2526

Application Received 9-22-47 By H.d.m.
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerrigan, Sellow, Burtou
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2527

WHEREAS, Application No. 5151 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. Arnet Speer to use portions of Lots 2, 3 and 4, Block 1, Bay View Tract, and portion of Pueblo Lot 238 (description on file in Planning Department Office) for the operation of a used car lot and a parking lot, Easterly corner of Buell and Rosecrans Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2527

Application Received 9-13-47 By H.C. Halling
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Ferrigan, Jellou, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 9-24-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47
Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2528

WHEREAS, Application No. 5150 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Newell B. and Irene Phelps to erect a concrete block wall 2 ft. in height on a 6 ft. high retaining wall, total height of fence to be 8 ft. above the adjacent ground level, 4519 Brighton Avenue on Lots 29 and 30, Block 1, Ocean Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2528

Application Received 9-11-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerrigan, Seelen, Burto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2529

WHEREAS, Application No. 5175 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. L. Simkins to erect a steel chain link fence 48 inches in height in front of the setback line at 4546 Redwood Street on Lots 25 and 26, Block 4, Clifton Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

FORM 2145

By _____
Secretary
Harry C. Haelsig,
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2529

Application Received _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerrigan Seelan
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 5189 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cecil B. Grove and James W. Tetley to divide Lot 454, Talmadge Park Unit No. 3 and to construct a garage on the North 10 ft. and a residence on the South 50 ft. south side of Highland Avenue, north of Monroe Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2530

Application Received 9-16-47 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerrigan, Seelow, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____
Date 9-24-47

Decision Approved Building Inspector 9-26-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2531

WHEREAS, Application No. 5093 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William and Bertha Kucher to construct a single family residence on a parcel of land 100 ft. by 200 ft., with 100 ft. of street frontage on Euclid Ave., being the East 230 ft. (except the East 30 foot street) of the North 100 ft. of the South 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 29 in Rho Ex-Mission (Horton's Purchase), located on the west side of Euclid Ave. 1300 ft. south of Federal Blvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

FORM 2145

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 253

Application Received 9-12-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Jerryon, Seelow, Burt
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2532, *extended by Res. 2968*

WHEREAS, Application No. 5198 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bernice Belanger to construct a residence on Lots 3, 4, 5 and 6, Block 77, Middletown Addition, said residence to observe a 3 ft. rear yard and a 4 ft. side yard, Northerly side of Neale Street, 200 ft. westerly of Pringle Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2532

Application Received 9-16-47 By J. O. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerigan Seelen, Bert
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 9-24-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47
Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2533, *extended by Res. 2969*

WHEREAS, Application No. 5197 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bernice Belanger to construct a residence with a 15 ft. setback on Lots 3, 4, 5, and 6, Block 77, Middletown Addition, Northerly side of Neale Street, 200 ft. Westerly of Pringle Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, 19 47

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2533

Application Received 9-16-47 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerrigan, Seelow, Busto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2534

WHEREAS, Application No. 3912 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Fred H. and Anna M. Augustus to construct two apartments over a garage and to observe a 22 inch sideyard, Lot A, Block 30, Mission Beach, 709 Cohasset Court, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-2-46 By D. E. Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-31-46 By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-31-46 Hearing date _____
9-24-47 Date 9-24-47

Decision denied Building Inspector 9-26-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2535

WHEREAS, Application No. 5201 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. A. Buffat to construct one single-family dwelling on Lot 4 and the Southeast 10 ft. of Lot 3, Block 92, Point Loma Heights, located on the Northeasterly side of Santa Cruz Ave., between Froude and Guizot Sts.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, 1947

FORM 2145

By _____ Secretary

Harry C. Haelsig
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 253

Application Received 9-16-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerrigan, Seelow, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2536

WHEREAS, Application No. 5202 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. A. Buffat to construct a single family residence on the Southeasterly 10 ft. of Lot 3 and all of Lot 4, Block 92, Point Loma Heights, and to observe a 10 ft. set-back from Santa Cruz Avenue, said property being located on the northeasterly side of Santa Cruz Avenue between Froude and Guizot Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2536

Application Received 9-16-47 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Kerrigan Seelow, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approval Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2537

WHEREAS, Application No. 5215 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. F. Hinojosa to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback from Narragansett Ave. on Lot 11, Point Loma Terrace No. 1, located at the corner of Narragansett Ave. and Redondo Court.

A variance to the provisions of Sections 3 and 4 of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 24, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By _____ Secretary
Harry C. Haelsig
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 21

Application Received 9-19-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47 By Ferrigan, Seelen, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-26-47 Building Inspector 9-26-47

Planning Commission 9-26-47 Petitioner 9-26-47 Health Department 9-26-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Planner

RESOLUTION NO. 87154

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Margaret Ernspiker, 316 Prospect Street, La Jolla, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 2466 the application of William and Margaret Ernspiker, numbered 5108, for permission to divide Lots 16 and 17, Block 12, Bird Rock Addition, into two building sites, each with 62.5 feet frontage on Midway Street, Northwesterly corner of Midway Street and Bellevue Avenue, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

See Res. # 2466

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 87154

of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council SEP 20 1947 SEP 30 1947

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk.

By F. T. PATTEN

Deputy.

87154

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

That the appeal
is to be taken from the
said Resolution No.
numbered
17, Block 12, Street
with 62.5 feet front
Midway Street and
and said zoning C

subject Street,
be in denying by
and Margaret
e Lots 16 and
ng after, each
erly corner of
herely denied,
ained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 87154
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council
SEP 30 1947 SEP 30 1947

By _____
F. T. PATTEN
City Clerk.
Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 2538

WHEREAS, Application No. 5213 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kingsley and Cornelia Sullivan to construct an eighteen (18) unit court, buildings to cross lot lines, on Lots 7 to 14, inclusive, Block 4, Ocean Villa Tract, and Lots 7 to 14, inclusive, Block 4, First Addition to Ocean Villa Tract, located on the North side of Tourmaline Street, approximately 50 ft. West of Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2538

Application Received 9-18-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

9-24-47
Investigation made _____ By Kerrigan, Leedy, Butler
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 10-8-47 Hearing date 10-8-47

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2539

WHEREAS, Application No. 5165 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Chris L. Forster to erect an apartment-garage not closer to the property line on Union Street than 4 ft., southeast corner of Union and West Maple Streets on the West one-half of Lots 1 and 2, Block 82, Middletown, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2539

Application Received 9-8-47 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47 - 9-24-47 - 10-8-47 By Kerrigan, Living, Burston
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-10-47 Hearing date _____
9-24-47 Date 10-8-47

Decision Denied

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 5050 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Janet Mattoon to operate a beauty shop in an existing residence, part-time only, at 3885 Birch Street on Lot F, Block 295, Arlington, for a period of one year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2540

Application Received 8-20-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-27-47 By Byrton Kerreyer Seelan
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-27-47 ~~8-28-47~~ Hearing date _____

Decision Cond' Approval Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2541, extended by Res 2967
extended by Res-3444

WHEREAS, Application No. 5117 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ira B. and Florence Riford to construct a garage with a 1 ft. setback from Dolphin Place, on Lot 3, Block I, Resubdivision of portion of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, 5686 Dolphin Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ^{Secretary}
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2541

Application Received 9-11-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47
10-8-47 By Burton, Kerigan, Sellen
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2542

WHEREAS, Application No. 5179 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. L. Smithton to erect a residence and a garage on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1285 (description on file in Planning Department Office) and to observe a 10 ft. rear yard, corner of Torrey Pines Road and Hillside Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13295 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-19-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Burton Kerrey, Esq.
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2543

WHEREAS, Application No. 5162 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. E. W. Graves to construct a residence and observe a 4 ft. rear yard on Lot 17, Block 47, La Jolla Park, located at the Westerly corner of Prospect Place and Torrey Pines Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, 1947

By Harry C. Haelst Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2543

Application Received 9-19-47 By B. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Burton Keenan, Emory
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2544

WHEREAS, Application No. 5228 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John D. and Helen Stine to construct an addition (bedroom) to an existing residence which has a 3 ft. sideyard, addition to maintain a 3 ft. sideyard, 553-61st Street on Lot 9, Block 2, Encanto Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2544

Application Received 9-25-47 By C. B. Rosa
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Burton Kerrigan Loney
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 5088 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the El Cerrito Lumber Company, owners, and Sam J. Russo and Ford F. Bratcher, purchasers, to use a portion of Lot 6, La Mesa Colony (as shown on "Map of Lot 6, La Mesa Colony, Map 346, showing Proposed Zone Variance" which is on file in the Planning Department Office), located at the rear of 6135 El Cajon Boulevard, in connection with the drive-in theater for which permission was granted by Resolution No. 2340, dated July 2, 1947, and to construct a ticket office, caretaker's residence and a building for the vending of soft drinks, candy, wrapped sandwiches, coffee, and similar confections, but excluding liquor, beer and wine (proposed structures shown on the plan accompanying this petition), providing all merchandise sold on the theater premises in the R-1 Zone shall be to patrons of this theater only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-24-47 By C. B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Burton, Kerrigan, Leung
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision approved. could Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-10-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 5220 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas C. and Pauline M. Hughes to construct a residence on the south 50 ft. of Lot 31, Kensington Heights Unit No. 2 and to observe a 13 ft. 2 in. rear yard, on Marlborough Avenue near Bedford Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ^{Secretary}
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2546

Application Received 9-24-47 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Keegan Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 10-8-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2547

WHEREAS, Application No. 5230 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. W. Jones to divide a portion of Lot 59, Subdivision No. 5 of Lot 12, Ex-Mission Rancho (description on file in Planning Department Office), into two parcels, 50 ft. by 232.5 ft. each, fronting on Pidgeon Street, and to permit a single family residence on each parcel, Pidgeon Street between Lisbon and Foster Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 37, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Handwritten initials: M... 4/8

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsing, ^{Secretary}
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2547

Application Received 9-25-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerrigan, Burton, Eversig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 5077 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. F. and Mardell Weight to construct a 5 ft. high wall on top of a retaining wall 42 inches in height for 55 ft. along the west property line at 4436 Santa Cruz Avenue on Lot 6, Block 84, Point Loma Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ^{Secretary}
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2548

Application Received 9-26-47 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Terrence Evers Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 10-8-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2549

WHEREAS, Application No. 5238 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clarence S. and Nettie N. Tompkins to erect an addition, 12 ft. by 16 ft., to an existing residence which has a 4 ft. rear yard, addition to observe a 4 ft. rear yard, 1639 Monroe Avenue on the Westerly 45 ft. of Lots 47 and 48, Block 79, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2549

Application Received 9-27-47 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerrigan, Ewing, Burtis
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 5250 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ruth Hess to construct an addition to an existing residence which has a 3 ft. sideyard, addition to observe all yard requirements, on the Northeasterly one-half of Lots 45, 46, 47 and 48, Block 22, Ocean Beach, Southwesterly corner of Narragansett Avenue and Ebers Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2550

Application Received 9-27-47 By C-B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerrigan, Luning, Busto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2551

WHEREAS, Application No. 5226 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hazel Camp to erect a duplex on the rear of Lots 22 and 23, Block D, South La Jolla, making three units on this property, 363 Nautilus Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-25-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerrigan, Luening, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 10-8-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 5241 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Fox Deluxe Theaters, Inc. to alter an existing building at 1666-1st Avenue to a candy and popcorn warehouse and to permit processing and bagging of popcorn in said building, Lot L, except the Southerly 2 ft., Block 209, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2552

*Application Received. 9-29-47 By: N.C. Helling.
Investigation made - 10-8-47 By: Burton, Karygi, Luning
Reviewed by J.C. 10-8-47
Person: Approved - 10-8-47
Paper sent to: City Clerk - 10-9-47. By: Dept. 10-10-47
Chroner - 10-10-47. Sealch Dept. 10-10-47. P.C. 10-10-47*

Document No. _____

Filed _____

By _____
City Clerk.

Deputy

ZONE VARIANCE RESOLUTION
OF ZONING COMMITTEE

TO

FOR

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

5274

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed ~~by~~ ^{not} other property owners in the same vicinity; and
- 2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
- 3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, 31

That the following ~~described~~ ^{Mission Bay Park} property, Lot Block

Subdivision West side of Pacific Highway, south of Balboa Avenue

(John W. Allen)

a 15-unit motel and manager's

may be used for ~~the creation~~ ^{apartment} and operation of

subject to the following conditions

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
City of San Diego, California

Dated October 8, 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig
 Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
 Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 255

Application Received 10-6-47 By D. E. Search
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerrigan, Luning & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2554, amended by # 2712 OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 4481 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Blanche E. Dulany to construct a residence on Lot 15, Block 34, La Jolla Shores, with access to the street by way of an alley, near Camino del Oro and Vallecitos.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, 19 47

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2554

Application Received 9-23-47 By mail - KOM
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerrigan, Luning, Butler
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OIC

WHEREAS, Application No. 5200 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Yde to construct a fence 7 ft. in height with pilasters 7 ft. 6 in. high at 4805 Monroe Avenue, Lots 810-811, Talmadge Park Estates.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsi Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-23-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerrigan Luning, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2556

WHEREAS, Application No. 5166 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edith S. Hull to construct a fence 4 ft. in height in front of the setback line at 2810 L Street on Lots 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26, Block 1, Reed's Central Subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, 19 47

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsing Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2556

Application Received _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Keeney, Irving, Burt
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-4-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 5240 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. N. and Violet C. Maker to construct a 21 ft. by 23 ft. addition to an existing residence which has a 4 ft. sideyard, addition to observe all yard requirements, at 4474 Berting Street on the South 100 ft. of the North 225 ft. of Lot 12, Redland Tract.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2557

Application Received 9-25-47 By P. G. Burlo
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerrigan, Luning, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2558

WHEREAS, Application No. 5176 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edith L. Chevalier to construct a 17 ft. 2 in. by 14 ft. 6 in. addition to an existing residence which has a 1½ ft. sideyard, addition to comply with all yard requirements, 4073 Orange Avenue on the West 40 ft. of the North 10 ft. of Lot 43 and the West 40 ft. of Lots 44, 45 and 46, Block 15, Subdivision of Lots 20 to 50, Teralta.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2558

Application Received 9-25-47 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerigan, Ewing, Burlo
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 5256 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sherman-Gray, Inc. to divide the South 105.52 ft. of the North 217.62 ft. of Lot 41, Encanto, on the East side of 60th Street, south of Wunderlin, into two parcels, fronting on 60th Street, as shown on Record of Survey Map No. 1284, and permit a single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 1947

FORM 2145

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ^{Secretary}
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2559

Application Received 9-29-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerrigan Luning, Beuto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-20-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2560

WHEREAS, Application No. 5254 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sherman-Gray, Inc. to divide a portion of Lot 41, Encanto, at the Northeast corner of 60th and Wunderlin Streets, into four parcels, three parcels fronting on 60th Street and one parcel fronting on Wunderlin Street, as shown on Record of Survey Map No. 1284, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, providing the Setback Ordinance, as applied to interior lots, is observed on all parcels, on all street frontages.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig, xx
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-29-47 By R. M. Paruch
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Keegan, King, Buelo
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2561

WHEREAS, Application No. 5255 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sherman-Gray, Inc. to divide the North 112.10 ft. of the West 190 ft. of Lot 41, Encanto, at the Southeast corner of Wunderlin and 60th Streets, into three parcels, two fronting on 60th Street and one facing on Wunderlin Street, and to permit a single family residence on each parcel, providing the Setback Ordinance, as applied to interior lots, is observed on all parcels, on all street frontages.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-29-47 By R. M. Parvish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerrigan, Irving. Berl
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2562

WHEREAS, Application No. 5253 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sherman-Gray, Inc. to divide a portion of Lot 1, Block 12, Encanto Heights, at the Southeast corner of 60th Street and Broadway, into three parcels, each fronting on Broadway, as shown on Record of Survey Map No. 1284, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, providing the Setback Ordinance, as applied to interior lots, is observed on all parcels, on all street frontages.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 19 47

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Chair~~ Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-29-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Lerregan, Luning, Buelor
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

2563

RESOLUTION NO. _____

5222

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carlos S. Pickering (Mrs. Conkling's Bakery) to construct a fence and retaining wall around a parking lot to a maximum of 9 ft. in height, Lots 7 and 8, Block 1, North Highland Park, 3277 El Cajon Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 10-1-47 By G. B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerrigan Seelye Burt
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 5269 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the County of San Diego to erect a fence 9 ft. in height around the Anthony Detention Home on Mission Valley Road on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1118.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Keruegan, Irving Berlo
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 10-8-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2565

WHEREAS, Application No. 5187 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry E. A. Schroeder to erect a residence on a parcel of land 50 ft. by 300 ft., being the West 50 ft. of the Easterly 150 ft. of Lot 29, Lemon Villa, on the North side of University Avenue, west of 55th Street, provided an easement 20 ft. in width across the front of this property is granted to the city for the widening of University Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement # 495
(not filed with City Clerk)*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 19 47

By _____ Secretary

Harry C. Haelsig,
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2565

Application Received 9-15-47 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Herrigan, Irving, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

0A

RESOLUTION NO. 2566

WHEREAS, Application No. 5174 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That a temporary permit for a period of six months from the date of this resolution is granted to E. C. Moore to operate a 40-unit trailer camp at the Northwest corner of 47th and Federal Boulevard, on the North one-half of the Northeast one-quarter of Lot 18, Ex-Mission Lands of San Diego (Horton's Purchase), and said camp will be eliminated and all trailers removed from the premises at the expiration of this six months period.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 19 47

FORM 2145

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ^{Secretary} ~~xx~~
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2566

Application Received 7-11-47 By H. G. Haalberg
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By James Kerrigan, Buster
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-9-47 Hearing date _____

Decision local temp approval Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

5174

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed ^{not} by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
- 2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
- 3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot North 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 18, Ex-Mission Lands Block
 Subdivision of San Diego (Horton's Purchase)
Northwest corner of 47th Street and Federal Blvd.
(E. C. Moore) a 40-unit trailer camp

may be used for the erection and operation of.....

- 1. Permit for a period of six months

subject to the following conditions only, from the date of this resolution;

- 2. Said camp will be eliminated and all trailers removed from the premises at the expiration of this six-months period.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated October 8, 1947

By.....
 Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
 Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 256

Application Received 9-11-47 By H. C. Haelsing
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 By Kerrigan, Ewing, Burns
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-9-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Council Temp. Approval Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-9-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2568, *extending Res. # 848*

Letter dated Sept. 8, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of three (3) years from the expiration date of Resolution No. 848, dated February 15, 1945, be granted to Helen McGlinchy for the operation of a rest home at 3025 Reynard Way on Lot 63, Reynard Hills, subject to the following conditions:

1. Provide an extra wide door in the partition between the second floor northerly bedrooms; not less than 3'6" wide.
2. Remove all first story partitions which are not plastered;
3. Provide one-hour fire resisting lath and plaster over all exterior exposed (unprotected) wooden walls;
4. Provide one-hour fire resisting lath and plaster on entire ceiling and stud walls forming the basement (cellar) storage space;
5. Place no patients, ambulatory or not, in the central front second-story bedroom;
6. Conditions 1 to 5, inclusive, must be complied with to the satisfaction of the Building Department by the expiration date of Resolution No. 848, February 15, 1948. If they are not complied with by that time, this resolution will be of no effect and will be null and void.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

above conditions have been complied with - see letter from Bldg. Dept. dated 2-19-48 -

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Letter
Application Received 9-16-47 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47
10-8-47 By Luening, Kerrigan, Busto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47
10-8-47 Hearing date 10-8-47
Decision Approved, Council Date 10-8-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-10-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47
Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2569

WHEREAS, Application No. 5195 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur H. McKee to construct a residence on Lot 50, Collwood Park, with a rear yard varying from 5 ft. to 20 ft., providing an agreement is signed by the owner to the effect that no building will be placed on Lots 17 and 18, abutting this property in the rear, closer than 30 ft. from the rear lot lines of Lots 17 and 18, Collwood Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement # 491 -
Filed 10-16-47*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 8, , 19 47

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ^{Secretary} ~~xxx~~
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-13-47 By mail City Planning Department

Investigation made ⁹⁻²⁴⁻⁴⁷10-8-47 By Herrington, Ewing, Burt City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ⁹⁻²⁴⁻⁴⁷10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Board Approval Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-10-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 5191 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur H. McKee to construct a residence on Lot 81, Collwood Park, with a rear yard varying from 5 ft. to 20 ft., providing an agreement is signed by the owner to the effect that no building will be placed on Lot 52, abutting this property in the rear, closer than 30 ft. from the rear lot line of said Lot 52, Collwood Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

*Agreement H 492-
Filed 10-16-47*
October 8, 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2570

Application Received 9-13-47 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-24-47
10-8-47 By Kernigan, Ewing, Busto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Can't Approve Date 10-8-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-10-47 Building Inspector 10-10-47

Planning Commission 10-10-47 Petitioner 10-10-47 Health Department 10-10-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2571

WHEREAS, Application No. 5314 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That permission is hereby granted to M. O. Medina for the United Portugese S.E.S. Hall to construct a private club with a 6 foot setback from the front property line on Lots 3, 4 and 5, Block 11, New Roseville, located at 2820 Addison St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 15, 1947

By Harry C. Haelsing
Secretary

Harry C. Haelsing
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2571

Application Received 10-14-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-15-47 By Ewing, Lancaster, Birkel & Hoelscher
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-15-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-15-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-16-47 Building Inspector 10-17-47

Planning Commission 10-17-47 Petitioner 10-17-47 Health Department 10-17-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

28.

RESOLUTION NO. 2572

WHEREAS, Application No. 5170 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George D. and Marie M. Fleet to construct a single family residence on a parcel of land in Pueblo Lot 1280 (description on file in Planning Department Office), said parcel having no street frontage, but served by a 10 ft. easement to Avenida de la Playa.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2572

Application Received 9-9-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department
~~9-24-47~~
~~10-8-47~~
Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kearney Ewing, Burt
City Planning Department
9-24-47
10-8-47
10-22-47
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date 10-22-47
Decision Approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2573

WHEREAS, Application No. 5210 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frederick E. and Alice M. Parker to construct a single family residence on Lots 21 and 22, except the Northeasterly 45 ft., Block 1, Ocean Beach, on the Northeasterly side of Cape May Avenue, 75 ft. Northwesterly of Guizot Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsing Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2573

Application Received 10-1-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Ferguson, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____
Date _____

Decision Approved Date _____

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-24-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK
RM

RESOLUTION NO. 2574

WHEREAS, Application No. 5284 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. A. and Mary C. Blasingame to divide Lot 12, Cresta Real, into two parcels and permit a single family residence on each, 846 Bangor Street, according to plat submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 47, 19____

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2574

Application Received 10-2-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Leveson, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved - Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2575

WHEREAS, Application No. 5267 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph E. Peterson to construct a residence on Lots 21 and 22, Block 22, San Diego Property Union, with a 15 ft. setback from 33rd Street, 1912 33rd Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received Oct. 3-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Lerrigan, Lancaster, Burtin
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2576

WHEREAS, Application No. 5248 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hazel N. Masica to maintain a 15 ft. by 17 ft. pergola with no sideyard and only 52 ft. from the front property line at 3639 Ingraham Street on Lot 71, Block 3, Crown Point, providing the pergola is kept open at all times and is never roofed or enclosed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2576

Application Received 10-6-47 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burlov
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Council Approval Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2577

WHEREAS, Application No. 5280 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George L. Flagg to maintain an 11 ft. 6 in. setback from Redland Drive for a residence under construction on Lot 5, Block E, Redlands Gardens, 5550 Redland Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

FORM 2145

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ^{Secretary}
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2577

Application Received 10-6-47 By D. E. Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2578

WHEREAS, Application No. 5315 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wilbert V. Carter to construct a residence with garage attached on Lot 4, Block 22, North Shore Highlands, with a 2 ft. sideyard for the garage, Loring Avenue, 1 block East of Foothill Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2578

Application Received 10-14-47 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2579

WHEREAS, Application No. 5285 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Velters V. Logan to remodel and enlarge service porch on the residence at 4516 Arizona Street on a parcel of land without street frontage, being the North 16 ft. 8 in. of Lot 28 and the South 18 ft. of Lot 29, except the East 60 ft. thereof, Block 52, University Heights, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ^{Secretary} ~~xxx~~
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 10-7-47 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Ferrigno, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Denied Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2580

WHEREAS, Application No. 5242 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Clark M. Cavanaugh to add a kitchen to an existing cottage at the rear of 2270 West Camino del Rio on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1120 (description on file in Planning Department Office), providing an agreement is signed by the owner to the effect that this cottage will be used as servant's quarters only and will not be rented as a separate unit or used in any way in violation of the zoning.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement # 498
Filed 10-31-47*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 19 47

By _____ Secretary
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~xxx~~
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 10-7-47 By C. B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Council Approval Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-29-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

6/K

RESOLUTION NO. 2581

5288

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ ^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ ^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ ^{not} adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Susie M. Woods to construct a garage with 0 ft. sideyard at rear corner, on a portion of Villa Lot 103, Mission Hills, at 3873 Pringle Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig, XXXX
Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 10-7-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2582, extending Res. No. 2136

Letter dated October 6, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2136 be granted to Fred H. and Lola I. Novack to construct a storage and garage building on Lot 10, Block B, Sterlingworth, 4319 Felton Street, with an 0 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

FORM 2145

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2582

Letter
Application Received 10-7-47 By mail City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Ferrigan, Lancaster, Burtos City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK
11/21/47

RESOLUTION NO. 2583, extending Resolution No. 2182

Letter dated October 6, 1947

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2182 be granted to Fred H. and Lola I. Novack to construct and maintain a building for storage only of supplies, trucks and equipment for a laundry on adjacent lot, 4319 Felton Street on Lot 10, Block B, Sterlingworth, with no sideyard on the south side of the lot, 575 square feet over 50% coverage and a 10 ft. rear yard, subject to the following conditions:

1. Limited to a maximum of twenty employees;
2. 35 horsepower boiler;
3. Hours of operation from 8:00 A.M. to 8:00 P.M.;
4. All loading to be done on the property and off of public property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 19 47

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Letter
Application Received 10-7-47 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lencaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

0

RESOLUTION NO. 2584

WHEREAS, Application No. 5293 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Carl E. Burger to construct a porte cochere as an addition to an existing residence at 5323 Canterbury Drive, with no side yard for the porte cochere, approximately 38 ft. back from front property line on Lot 340, Kensington Heights Unit No. 3, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

See Res. 87536 following

Dated October 22, 1947

FORM 2145

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2584

Application Received 10-8-47 By C. B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Herrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Denied Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 10-28-47 Council Hearing, date 11-4-47

Decision of Council Council approval Date 11-12-47

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

*Planning
Entered
RMR*

87536

RESOLUTION NO. _____

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Carl E. Burger, 5323 Canterbury Drive, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 2584, his application No. 5293 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, to construct a porte cochere as an addition to an existing residence at 5323 Canterbury Drive, with no side yard for the porte cochere, approximately 38 feet back from front property line on Lot 340, Kensington Heights Unit No. 3, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the porte cochere construction be limited to 16 feet in length.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. _____
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council _____

87536

NOV 12 1947

FRED W. SICK
Helen W. Willig City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

*See Res 2584
preceding*

87536

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

That the appeal
the decision of the No
2584, his application
ance No. 8924, Section
to an existing resident
the porte cochere, app
on Lot 340, Kensington
and said zoning commit
BE IT FURTHER R

limited to 10 feet in length.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

NOV 12 1944

F. D. W. B. K.

City Clerk

Deputy

By

Handwritten signature and date: 2584

87536

Handwritten notes in top left corner.

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2585

WHEREAS, Application No. 5087 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl and Martelle Kramer to construct a residence with eaves projecting 2 ft. into the side yard at 4852 49th Street on Lot 1154, Talmadge Park Estates Unit No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 10-9-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Ferrigan, Lumsden, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2586

WHEREAS, Application No. 5305 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald J. and Alice A. Ryan to construct a single family residence on a portion of Lot L, Mountain View Manor (description on file in Planning Department Office) and to permit the eaves on said residence to project 2½ ft. into the side yard, Wilshire Drive, north of Mountain View Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13594 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2586

Application Received 10-9-47 By C. B. Rose
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2587

WHEREAS, Application No. 5310 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nasin Fares to construct an addition to an existing residence at 5919 El Cajon Boulevard on a parcel of land without full street frontage, being a portion of Lot 26, East Redlands.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsing Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 10-10-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Ferrigno, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2588

WHEREAS, Application No. 5303 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to N. P. and Evelyn Steveson to convert an existing garage at 4739 Saratoga Street into living quarters, making third living unit on Lots 34 and 35, Block 31, Ocean Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig ~~XXXX~~
Ass't Planning Director

RESOLUTION NO. 2589

extended by Res. # 3122 extended by Res. 3460 OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 5311 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Adolf and Goldie Beyer to construct three duplexes on a parcel of land 80 ft. by 110 ft., being the South 10 ft. of Lot 14, Block 1, and Fir Street closed adjacent thereto, and the North 10 ft. of Lot 1, Block 4, and Fir Street closed adjacent thereto, Cullen's Arlington Heights, East side of 31st Street, 300 ft. North of Elm Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 10-10-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Loucasten, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2590

WHEREAS, Application No. 5273 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ^{not} _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ^{not} _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Raymond F. Leber to construct a three-unit court with a 3 ft. access court on Lot C, Block 137, Mission Beach, on Bayside Walk, 54 ft. North of Liverpool Court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 47, 19

By Harry C. Haelsig, xxx
Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 10-14-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Keruegan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

346 86

RESOLUTION NO. 2591

WHEREAS, Application No. 5268 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wayne M. Harris to excavate 150 cubic yards of rock and adobe material from Lots 8 and 9, Miramar Terrace, 7143 Fay Street, and haul said material off the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsing, xxx
Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2591

Application Received 10-1-47 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47
10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burlin
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-47 Hearing date _____
10-22-47 Date 10-22-47

Decision Approved Building Inspector 10-24-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2592

WHEREAS, Application No. 5286 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Douglas B. Clark to construct a single family residence on the East 70 ft. of the West 212 ft. of the South 110 ft. of Lot 37, La Mesa Colony, North side of Saranac Street, West of 69th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Application Received 10-6-47 By S. E. Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2593

WHEREAS, Application No. 4324 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Cheney to construct a residence on Lot 4, Block 1, La Jolla Shores Unit No. 1, said residence to observe a 10 ft. rear yard, Westerly side of Calle de la Plata, opposite the end of Paseo Del Ocaso.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, xxx
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2593

Application Received 10-15-47 By C. B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2594 see 5017

WHEREAS, Application No. 5247 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Calixto Malta to re-open a grocery store in a two-story residence at 2458 K Street on Lots 39 and 40, Block 11, L. W. Kimball's Addition, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 9-30-47 By C.B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47
10-22-47 By Ferrigno, Loveston, Denton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision top denied Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-21-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

261
12-02-61

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 5239 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot s 3,4,5 Block 41

Subdivision Tract 1368 (Fairmount Addition)

South side of El Cajon Boulevard, 100 ft. East of 51st Street.

(Frank Morgan)

may be used for the erection and operation of 14-unit auto court and a manager's apartment.

subject to the following conditions

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated October 22, 1947

By Harry C. Haelsing Secretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 259

Application Received 10-3-47 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 5245 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James L. and Elizabeth N. O'Connor to construct an addition to an existing residence at 4245 Randolph Street, which has a 3 ft. sideyard, addition to observe the required sideyard, but only a 5 ft. rear yard, Lots 1, 2, and 3, Block 20, North Florence Heights and Lots 1, 2, and 3, Block 20, Arnold and Choate's Addition, except the East 45 ft., and a portion of Hunter Street closed and Randolph Street closed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerregan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

SB
BK

WHEREAS, Application No. 4710 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James L. and Elizabeth N. O'Connor to construct an addition to an existing residence at 4245 Randolph Street, addition to observe a 10 ft. setback from Randolph Street, Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 20, North Florence Heights, and the West 28 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 20, Arnold & Choate's Addition and portions of Hunter Street and Randolph Street closed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsi Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 7-17-47 By P. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 5229 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Willie D. Russell to construct an addition to an existing residence at 3984 Ohio Street, addition to observe a 10 ft. setback from Ohio Street, on the East 100 ft. of Lots 45 and 46, Block 207, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 10-2-47 By A. B. Reed
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Ferrigan, Lancaster, Boston
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

see 3823
ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2599, extended by Res 3015
" " " Res 3490

WHEREAS, Application No. 5212 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. E. Oversmith to construct a four-unit court on Lots 33 and 34, Block 7, Ocean Beach Park, buildings to cross lot lines, South side of Muir Street, about 350 ft. East of Ebers Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 10-3-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerigan, Leicester, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2600

WHEREAS, Application No. 5291 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. E. Beardslee to construct a residence with eaves projecting 1 ft. 7 in. into the sideyard, Lot 287, Kensington Heights, on Ridgeway Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 10-7-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Ferrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____