ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2601

WHEREAS, Application No. 5337 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Unified School District to construct a school building with a 5 ft. setback from Monroe Avenue on Lots 1, 2 and 3, C. H. Tingey's Subdivision, south side of Monroe Avenue, 100 ft. west of Van Dyke Avenue.

A variance to theprovisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Application Received 10-17-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2602

WHEREAS, Application No. 5158 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Helen T. Young to divide Lots 1 and 2, Block 6, La Jolla Shores Unit No. 1, into two parcels and permit a single family residence on each, Northeast corner of Calle de la Plata and Paseo Dorado, according to plat submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2602

Application Received 10-7-47 By H. C. Haeling
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2603

WHEREAS, Application No. 5277 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Sisters of St. Joseph of Orange to erect a private girls' school with an 8 ft. setback from 42nd Street, Lots 24 to 32, inclusive, Block 17, Teralta, Northwest corner of 42nd and Polk Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, , 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2603

Application Received 10-14-47 By P. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2604

WHEREAS, Application No. 5278 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Sisters of St. Joseph of Orange to erect a private girls' school, observing a 10 ft. rear yard, Lots 24 to 32, inclusive, Block 17, Temlta, Northwest corner of 42nd and Polk Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2604

Application Received 10-14-47 By R. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2605

WHEREAS, Application No. 5309 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John F. and Margaret C. Curley and Paul M. and Louise M. Swenson to divide Lots 2 and 4, Block 22, Sunset Cliffs, into two parcels as follows: 1. Lot 2 and the Northeasterly 7 ft. of Lot 4; 2. the Southwesterly 53 ft. of Lot 4; and to permit a single family residence on each parcel, southwesterly corner of Guizot and Leon Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 1947

By _____
Secretary
Harry C. Haelsig,
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2605

Application Received 10-16-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Ewing
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2606

WHEREAS, Application No. 5343 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the City of San Diego, owner, and the Boys' Club of San Diego, lessee, to construct a fence 6 ft. in height in front of the setback line in Block 12, La Binda Park, said fence to surround a playground, 30th and Marcy Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2606

Application Received 10-20-47 By H. C. Hacking
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10-23-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

No. 2607

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

See Res. 87633 following

WHEREAS, Application No. 5209 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot Por. of Pueblo Lot 1793 Block _____

Subdivision (description on file in Planning Department Office)

East side of Mission Blvd., between Pacific Beach Drive and Reed Ave. (A. F. Hughes)

may be used for the erection and operation of a 28-unit auto court and a manager's apartment,

subject to the following conditions 1. No portion of the building or buildings shall extend north of the south line of Reed Avenue, nor south of the north line of Oliver Street.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated October 22, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2607

Application Received 9-22-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-8-47 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
10-8-47 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date 10-22-47
Decision approved - Council Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 10-24-47 Council Hearing, date 11-12-47
Decision of Council Cons'l Approval Date 11-25-47
Resolution becomes effective See Res. 87633 following
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Planning

RESOLUTION NO. 87633, see Res 2607 preceding

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Alfred F. Hughes, 4633 Haines Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee, be sustained and the decision of the Zoning Committee is hereby overruled.

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred F. Hughes to construct and operate a 28-unit Auto Court and manager's apartment on Pubblo Lot 1793 East of Mission Boulevard between Pacific Beach Drive and Reed Avenue with the following conditions:

1. That an easement be granted to the City over a portion of Pueblo Lot 1793 to widen Reed Avenue to a uniform width of 80 feet;
2. That an easement 10 feet wide be granted the City to provide for the westerly extension of the Southerly sidewalk on Oliver Street over the applicant's property to Mission Boulevard.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 87633 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council NOV 25 1947

FRED W. SICK
Helen M. Willig City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

Form 1270 (10M 6-47)
Acorn Press — San Diego

Page is intentionally left blank.

City of San Diego

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2608

WHEREAS, Application No. 5052 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Marcos Miranda to maintain and complete the construction of living quarters above a garage at 911 Burns Court on a parcel of land with no street frontage, known as Arbitrary Lot No. 58, Avenue Courts, in conformance with plan submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2608

Application Received 8-2-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster & Burton
at several previous meetings City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee on 10-22-47 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 10-22-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47

Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

No. 2609 ✓ OK

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 5266 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot Por. of Lot 23, Block .....

Subdivision La Mesa Colony (150 ft. by 130 ft.) (description on file in Planning Department Office)
South side of El Cajon Boulevard, opposite Art Street.

Narel W. Thornburgh, owner, and Edwin J. Brach, purchaser

may be used for the erection and operation of 20-unit motel

subject to the following conditions 1. That an easement 20 ft. in width across the front of this property be granted to the city for the widening of El Cajon Boulevard.

Easement not received 5/1/47
Check with Mr. Lambert
of City Property Dept
RMR

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
City of San Diego, California

Dated October 22, 1947

By .....
Harry C. Haelsi, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2601

Application Received 10-1-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burlé
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date
Decision Cons'l Approval Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-23-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

77 ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2610

WHEREAS, Application No. 4263 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Violet Davis and Dolores Davis to use a building on Lots 5 and 6, Block 120, University Heights, as an addition to the non-conforming laundry on Lots 1 to 4, Block 120, University Heights, located at the southwest corner of El Cajon Boulevard and Kansas Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. Plant to operate only between 7:00 A.M. and 7:00 P.M., except for janitors, maintenance workers and markers. Windows on the alley to be kept closed, and door on alley to be kept closed during the night - noise reduced to a minimum.

2. No loading or unloading on El Cajon Boulevard or in alley; all loading instead to be within buildings or on Kansas Street in loading zone already provided for that purpose.

3. Fire hazards shall be reduced to a minimum in compliance with every request of the City and/or State Fire Marshal.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2610

4. Water lint traps shall be installed and maintained in good order over all openings which discharge waste material into the air.

5. The elimination of smoke shall be attempted, and shall include new combustion equipment or burners if advised so to do by the Fire Marshal and/or the City Boiler Inspector.

6. Steam shall not be exhausted into the atmosphere, but shall be condensed in suitable containers (except safety valves).

7. Lots 7 and 8 shall be thoroughly cleaned of all trash, piles of dirt, machinery, junk, etc., and if used by the laundry shall be so used only in a manner that is consistent with those uses permitted in a C Zone and shall at no time be used for outside storage save and except for the parking of cars and trucks, and if so used for the parking of cars and trucks, said lots shall be surfaced and maintained and kept in a clean condition.

8. Noise caused by equipment containing or actuated by steam shall be reduced to a minimum by repairing or replacing such equipment. Mufflers shall be installed if suggested by the Boiler Inspector.

9. The rear of the buildings adjacent to the alley shall be painted a suitable color.

10. The "Employee Entrance" and all entrances for the public to the premises shall open directly upon a street.

11. Conditions 1 to 10, inclusive, listed above shall apply to the entire premises of Balboa Laundry and Dry Cleaners and described as Lots 1 to 6, inclusive, Block 120, University Heights, at the southwest corner of El Cajon Boulevard and Kansas Street.

12. This variance may be revoked by either the City Zoning Committee or the City Council for failure of the laundry operators to comply with the conditions stated herein.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Application Received 8-27-47 By R. W. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47, 9-24-47, 10-8-47, 10-22-47 By Kerrigan Lancaster, Emery
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date 9-24-47 - 10-8-47 - 10-22-47
Decision Cond'l approval Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-24-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

59 olc

RESOLUTION NO. 2611, extending Res. No. 2190

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated October 20, 1947 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of ninety (90) days from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2190 be granted to Harry Haigh to build a 9-unit apartment building at Illinois and Boundary Streets on Lot 1, Block 61, University Heights, with a 2 ft. 6 in. setback for stairs on Illinois Street and a 3 ft. setback for stairs on Boundary Street, according to sketches submitted, provided the curb return includes the pole at the northerly point of this property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 19 47

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2611

~~Application~~ Letter Received 10-20-47 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-24-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

2456 O/K.

RESOLUTION NO. 2612, amending Res. No. 2509

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated October 17, 1947 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2509, dated September 10, 1947, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roscoe E. Hazard and E. T. Hale to construct a fence of plaster over wire 16 ft. in height for 135 ft. on West Point Loma Blvd. and 475 ft. on Midway Drive and 60 ft. on Ollie Street, remainder of the fence on Ollie Street will be 6 ft. in height, and the balance of the fence on West Point Loma Blvd. will be 9 ft. in height, on a portion of Lots 1 and 2, Partition of Pueblo Lot 219, subject to the following conditions:

1. Fence to be painted;
2. No commercial advertising other than one sign on each side of the property advertising the business of the theater;
3. Landscaping to be commenced within 90 days after completion of the fence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 19 47

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2612

~~Application~~ Letter Received 10-20-47 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-24-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2613, amending Res. No. 1794

Letter dated October 15, 1947

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1794 (which amended Resolution No. 1639) be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. W. Himes to divide Lots 1 to 4, inclusive, Block 89, Subdivision of Acre Lots 17, 18 and 35, Pacific Beach, at Beryl and Jewell Streets, into two lots facing on Jewell Street, the corner lot to have 65 ft. frontage and the inside lot to have 60 ft. frontage, and to permit one single family residence on each parcel, providing the required setback is maintained on Beryl Street and a 10 ft. setback maintained on Jewell Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 19 47

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2613

Letter dated
~~Application~~ Received 10-15-47 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-24-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2614

WHEREAS, Application No. 5339 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles H. Rose to construct a residence with a 17 ft. rear yard for one corner of the building, on Lot 21, Block 20, North Shore Highlands, North side of Beryl Street, approximately 100 ft. west of Foothill Blvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2614

Application Received 10-21-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-24-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

317 o/c

RESOLUTION NO. 2615

WHEREAS, Application No. 5276 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. J. and Helen E. Walker, owners, and G. A. and June Yoshonis, purchasers, to erect a residence on the North 65 ft. of Lots 17 to 20, inclusive, Block 90, Pacific Beach, Southwest corner of Lamont and Beryl Streets, providing a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Lamont Street, and the setback as required under the Setback Ordinance is maintained on Beryl Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2615

Application Received 10-21-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-24-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

317 o/c

RESOLUTION NO. 2615

WHEREAS, Application No. 5276 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. J. and Helen E. Walker, owners, and G. A. and June Yoshonis, purchasers, to erect a residence on the North 65 ft. of Lots 17 to 20, inclusive, Block 90, Pacific Beach, Southwest corner of Lamont and Beryl Streets, providing a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Lamont Street, and the setback as required under the Setback Ordinance is maintained on Beryl Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 19 47

By Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2615

Application Received 10-3-47 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved, Cont'd Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-24-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission Petitioner Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 2616

WHEREAS, Application No. 5237 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ada L. Murphy to divide Lots 5, 6, 7 and 8, Block 131, Roseville, into four 50 ft. parcels, each fronting on Locust Street and containing 5000 sq. ft., and to permit a single family residence on each parcel, Northwesterly side of Locust Street between Poe and Oliphant Streets, providing a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Locust Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2616

Application Received 10-17-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-24-47 Building Inspector
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 2617

WHEREAS, Application No. 5235 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ada L. Murphy to construct a residence on a portion of Lots 5 and 6, Block 131, Roseville, and to maintain a 4 ft. setback from Oliphant Street and a 15 ft. setback from Locust Street,

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 19 47

By ~~Harry C. Haelsig, xxxxx~~ Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2617

Application Received 10-17-47 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-24-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

6/C

58

216

RESOLUTION NO. 2618

WHEREAS, Application No. 5236 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ada L. Murphy to construct a residence on a portion of Lots 7 and 8, Block 131, Roseville, with a 4 ft. setback from Poe Street, and maintaining a 15 ft. setback on Locust Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2618

Application Received 10-17-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-24-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OK

D47

RESOLUTION NO. 2619

WHEREAS, Application No. 5079 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helene Richards to divide a parcel of land consisting of Lot 10, except the Northwest 60 ft., portion of the right-of-way and all of Lot 11, Block 81, Point Loma Heights, into three parcels and to permit a single family residence on parcels 1 and 2, said parcels to be as follows: 1. Southeast 90 ft. of the Southwest 75 ft. of Lots 10 and 11 and right-of-way; 2. Southeast 90 ft. of the Northeast 65 ft. of Lots 10 and 11 and right-of-way; 3. the remaining portion of this parcel to become a parcel described as the Northwesterly 60 ft. of Lots 10 and 11 and the right-of-way, providing a 15 ft. setback is observed from Santa Barbara Street, and that the setback as required by the average of the block is observed on Orchard Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 21793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 19 47

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2619

Application Received 10-20-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan Lancaster, Burlo
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l approval Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-29-47 Building Inspector
Planning Commission 10-30-47 Petitioner 10-30-47 Health Department 10-30-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

2ld ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2620

WHEREAS, Application No. 5264 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James and Evelyn N. Purpus, et al, to divide a portion of Lot 4, all of Lots 5, 6, 7 and 8, Block 4, Wildwood, into four parcels, each 60 ft. in width, fronting on Wildwood Road, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, providing an easement 4 ft. in width across the rear of the above-described property is reserved for public utilities.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2620

Application Received 10-7-47 By H. C. Haeberg
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-24-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

8/L

RESOLUTION NO. 2621

WHEREAS, Application No. 5302 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Hugh Smith to construct a single family residence on the West 59 ft. of the East 379.10 ft. of North 135.76 ft. of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 34, Ex-Mission Lands (Horton's Purchase), except the North 30 ft. for street, providing a 15 ft. setback from the property line as shown on the tentative map of Hilltop Villas is maintained on Hilltop Drive, and providing an agreement is signed by the owner that when requested so to do by the City of San Diego, he will dedicate for street purposes the northerly 30 ft. of all of that portion of the owner's property shown on said tentative subdivision map and that said 30 ft. strip will be improved in its entirety according to specifications required for the final map of said subdivision, and that this parcel of land will be included and made a part of Hilltop Villas Subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

agreement # 496
Filed 10-28-47

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 22, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2621

Application Received 10-14-47 By C. B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 By Kerrigan, Lancaster, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved, Conditional Date 10-22-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-24-47 Building Inspector 10-24-47
Planning Commission 10-24-47 Petitioner 10-27-47 Health Department 10-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2622

WHEREAS, Application No. 5373 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Federal Government, owner, and the San Diego Unified School District, lessee, to erect a classroom for an existing elementary school on a portion of the Southeast 1/4 Section 103, Rancho de la Nacion (legal description on file in the Planning Department office), located at Alleghany and Rancho Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118 N.S., be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 31, 1947

By Glenn Rick
Secretary
Glenn A. Rick XXXXX
City Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res.No.2622

Application Received - 10-29-47
Investigation Made - 10-29-47
Considered by Zoning Committee - 10-29-47
Decision - Approved
By sent to City Clerk - 10-31-47
Petitioner - 11-3-47

By - P. O. Kinter
By - Kernan, Lundy
Date - 10-29-47
Bldg. Inspector 11-3-47
Health Dept. - 11-3-47

Filed with City Clerk - 10-31-47

Document No. _____

Filed _____

_____

By _____
City Clerk.

_____
Deputy

ZONE VARIANCE RESOLUTION
OF ZONING COMMITTEE

TO

_____

FOR

_____

_____

_____

_____

RESOLUTION NO. 2623

WHEREAS, Application No. 5374 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Federal Government, owner, and the San Diego Unified School District, lessee, to erect two classrooms for an existing elementary school on Lot 16, Block M, Azure Vista, located at Monaco and Sunset Cliffs Blvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 31, 19 47

By Glenn Rick
~~XXXXXX~~ Secretary

Glenn A. Rick
City Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res.No.2623

Application Received 10-29-47 By P. R. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-29-47 By Kerrigan, Lundy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-29-47 Hearing date ~~10-29-47~~
Decision Approved Date 10-29-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-31-47 Building Inspector 11-3-47
Planning Commission 11-3-47 Petitioner 11-3-47 Health Department 11-3-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Planning

RESOLUTION NO. 87393

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of C. L. Ronnow, 6144 Beaumont Avenue, La Jolla, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting to Demmy Lamson permission to construct a residence and a 2-room guest house on the East 1/2 acre of a parcel of land in Pueblo Lot 1280 (description on file in Planning Department Office) and to divide and permit a single family residence on the West 1/2 acre of said parcel, located on Avenida de la Playa, approximately 330 feet East of La Jolla Shores Drive, be, and it is hereby denied.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that permission be granted to Demmy Lamson to construct a single family residence, but without any guest house, on either the East 1/2 acre or the West 1/2 acre of land in Pueblo Lot 1280.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 87393 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council OCT 28 1947

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk.

See Res #. 24924 #87516 (following)

By F. T. PATTEN
Deputy.

Form 1270 (10M 6-47)
Acorn Press — San Diego

Page is intentionally left blank.

City of San Diego

Plan

RESOLUTION NO. 87516

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That Resolution No. 87393, adopted on the 28th day of October, 1947, be, and it is hereby repealed, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the appeal of C. L. Ronnow, 6144 Beaumont Avenue, La Jolla, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting to Demmy Lamson permission to construct a residence and a 2-room guest house on the East 1/2 acre of a parcel of land in Pueblo Lot 1280 (description on file in Planning Department Office) and to divide and permit a single family residence on the West 1/2 acre of said parcel, located on Avenida de la Playa, approximately 330 feet East of La Jolla Shores Drive, be, and it is hereby denied.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that permission be and it is hereby granted to Demmy Lamson to divide land in Pueblo Lot 1280 into the East 1/2 acre and the West 1/2 acre, and he is permitted to construct a single-family residence on each of the 1/2 acre parcels, but with no guest house on either parcel.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 87516 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Nov 4, 1947

See Res # 2492 + # 87393 (preceding)

FRED W. SICK
Helen M. Willig City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

Form 1270 (10M 6-47)
Acorn Press — San Diego

Page is intentionally left blank.

City of San Diego

32

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2624

WHEREAS, Application No. 5287 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jesus and Rupert Leyba to repair fire damage to a duplex with an existing 1 ft. sideyard and to a single family residence with no sideyard, 34 inches between dwellings and with existing 2 ft. 3 in. access court to the street, 1746-48 National Avenue on Lot 11 and the Westerly 2 ft. of Lot 12, Block 139, Mannassee and Schiller's Subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 6, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~xxxx~~ Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2624

Application Received 10-6-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 11-5-47 By Kerrigan, Ewing, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 11-5-47 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 10-5-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47

Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 2625

WHEREAS, Application No. 5330 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert J. Boland to construct a residence on the Northwest 50 ft. of the Southwest 100 ft. of Lot 1, Block 462, Old San Diego, with an 11 ft. setback from Twiggs Street, but to observe the required setback on Congress Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2625

Application Received 10-15-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

127 o/c

RESOLUTION NO. 2626

WHEREAS, Application No. 5233 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. W. Hansel to construct a single family residence on a portion of Lots 37 and 38, La Mesa Colony, (description on file in the Planning Department Office), located as the Southeast corner of 69th Street and Mandalay Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2626

Application Received 10-15-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

78 ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2627

WHEREAS, Application No. 5281 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. P. and Ella M. Bailes to construct a duplex with 2 garages on Lots 41 and 42, Block 20, University Heights to be served by a 4 ft. access court, 4670 Louisiana Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2627

Application Received 10-16-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

127

o/c

RESOLUTION NO. 2628

WHEREAS, Application No. 5188 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gunnard Erik and Evelyn D. Peterson to divide a parcel of land, consisting of portions of Lots 37 and 38, La Mesa Colony (description on file in Planning Department Office), into two building sites and permit a single family residence on each, East side of 69th Street, North of Saranac Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received 9-24-47 By H. C. Haeley
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

127

RESOLUTION NO. 2629

WHEREAS, Application No. 5223 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Royal C. and Dorothy J. Ek to divide a parcel of land, consisting of portions of Lots 37 and 38 and Lot D, La Mesa Colony, into three building sites and permit a single family residence on each, according to plat on file in Planning Department Office, East side of 69th Street, 225 ft. North of Saranac Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2629

Application Received 10-28-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

245 8C

RESOLUTION NO. 2630

WHEREAS, Application No. 5531 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. M. Gay to construct a duplex residence on Lot 12, Block 9, Drucker's Subdivision, northerly side of Liberty Street, between Camulos and Ollie Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 213, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____ ~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2630

Application Received 11-20-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

93-103

RESOLUTION NO. 2631

WHEREAS, Application No. 5295 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul W. and Virginia Dixon to erect a residence on Lot 39, Talmadge Park Unit No. 1 and observe a 4 ft. sideyard on each side, North Talmadge Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____ ~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2631

Application Received 10-20-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan + Berlin
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-8-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 2632

WHEREAS, Application No. 5249 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry T. & Glendora M. Rollins to construct a single family residence and a garage on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1285, known as Arbitrary Lot No. 11 on Assessor's Map No. 33, said parcel having no frontage on a dedicated street, Sierra Mar Drive, near Hillside Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2632

Application Received 10-20-47 By C. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

67 a/c

RESOLUTION NO. 2633

WHEREAS, Application No. 5344 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. M. Daniell to add two apartments to an existing residence at 3969 - 8th Avenue, with an 8 ft. 6 in. access court serving the first new unit and a 3 ft. sideyard serving the second unit, Lot 11 and the South 1/2 of Lot 12, Block 9, Estudillo and Capron's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2633

Application Received 10-23-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2634

WHEREAS, Application No. 5359 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Betty Mae Taylor to alter an existing 3-unit apartment to a 6-unit apartment, and to maintain a 4 ft. rear yard, Blocks 6 and 10, and closed street adjacent, Asher's Clover Leaf Terrace, 1777 Morena Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____ ~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2634

Application Received 10-27-47 By P. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 2635

WHEREAS, Application No. 5348 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paula and Anthony Lishka to construct 12 living units, buildings to cross lot lines, on Lots 37, 38, 39, 40 and 41, Block C, South La Jolla, north side of Bonair Street, 75 ft. west of La Jolla Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received 10-27-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2636

WHEREAS, Application No. 5367 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clinton C. and Mae B. Monroe to construct a single family residence on the North 50 ft. of Lot 1, Block D, Redland Gardens, located at the Southwest corner of Adams Avenue and El Cerrito Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12794 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____ ~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received 10-28-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burlow
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

38

RESOLUTION NO. 2637

WHEREAS, Application No. 5332 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. I. Harris, owner, and James Lignosky, lessee, to operate a retail store in an existing non-conforming store building on 31st Street, 1/2 block south of Ocean View Boulevard, Lots 47 and 48, Block 327, Choate's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 19 47

By _____ ~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2637

Application Received 10-16-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan, & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2638

WHEREAS, Application No. 5320 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur J. and Roseafton Cesario to raise and slaughter rabbits, retail and wholesale, on a portion of Lot 28, Lemon Villa (description on file in Planning Department Office) at the rear of 5437 University Avenue, for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2268

Application Received 10-21-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved, cons'l Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-7-47 Building Inspector
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

102 94

RESOLUTION NO. 2639

WHEREAS, Application No. 5379 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted T. J. Lords to construct a residence on Lot 1251, Talmadge Park Estates Unit No. 3, seven (7) ft. from the northwesterly line of said lot, located on Lucille Drive, at the north end of Lucille Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted insofar as it affects the setback line shown on the official map of Talmadge Park Estates Unit No. 3.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2639

Application Received 10-30-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

217 BK

RESOLUTION NO. 2640

WHEREAS, Application No. 5301 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. C. Coon and Norma L. Hall to divide Lot 1, Block 62, Point Loma Heights, and Cape May Avenue closing adjacent, into two building sites and construct a single family residence on each, easterly side of Venice Street at the end of Cape May Avenue, said parcels to be as follows: Parcel 1 - Northerly 10 ft. of Lot 1, measured parallel to southerly line of lot, and adjacent portion of Cape May Street closed; Parcel 2 - Lot 1, except the Northerly 10 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning ~~Committee~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2640

Application Received 10-14-47 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

GK

RESOLUTION NO. 2641, extended by Res. # 3109

WHEREAS, Application No. 5316 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Teresa M. Hayward to construct an apartment house on Lots F and G, Block 128, Mission Beach, on San Luis Obispo near Bayside Lane, with a 7 ft. setback on Lot G and a 10 ft. setback on Lot F.

A variance to theprovisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____ ~~Secretary~~ Zoning Committee

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2641

Application Received 10-14-47 By G. A. Rich
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

347 ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2642

WHEREAS, Application No. 5203 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ord Preston and Robert C. Watts to construct two 4-unit apartment buildings, each on 1½ lots, with eaves extending 2 ft. 3 in. into the required access court area, on the East side of Olivetas Street, 400 ft. south of Pearl Street, Lot 14 and the North 1/2 of 15 and Lot 16 and South 1/2 of 15, Block 2, La Jolla Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 264

Application Received 10-15-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 2643

WHEREAS, Application No. 5340 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Felix C. and Elizabeth Gutshall and V. R. and Beatrice L. Knight to divide a portion of Lots 13 and 14, La Mesa Colony, into two parcels and permit a single family residence on each, according to plat submitted, North side of 63rd Street at the termination of the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2643

Application Received 10-21-47 By H.C. Hueling
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

346 o/c

RESOLUTION NO. 2644

WHEREAS, Application No. 5304 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. Pearson to erect a second residence on the North 50 ft. of Lot 1, Block 14, F. T. Scripp's Addition, where the existing residence has only a 3 ft. rear yard, 703 Genter Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2644

Application Received 10-22-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2645

WHEREAS, Application No. 5350 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Luxmore Gatrell to erect an ornamental iron fence 8 ft. in height from the residence to the side property line on the setback line, 3664-4th Avenue on Lot 20, Block 9, Brookes' Addition.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 19 47

By _____ ~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2645

Application Received 10-23-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

27 ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2646

WHEREAS, Application No. 5296 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herman Amrein to make repairs and alterations to a dwelling at 360 - 20th Street, with 55 per cent coverage, South 40 ft. of the East 62 ft. of Lot 11, Block 31, Sherman's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
Secretary Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2646

Application Received 10-23-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

247

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2647

WHEREAS, Application No. 5351 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack B. and Madeline A. Taylor to construct a single family residence on westerly 50 ft. of Lot 18, Block 25, Montemar Ridge Unit No. 2, Meadow Grove Drive, 70 ft. west of Michaelmas Terrace.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2647

Application Received 10-28-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

331 ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2648

WHEREAS, Application No. 4977 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. E. Durham to erect a residence on Lot 7 and the easterly 20 ft. of Lot 6, Block 9, La Jolla Hermosa, with a 13 ft. rear yard, Via Del Norte, west of La Jolla Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2648

Application Received 10-30-47 By G. A. Rich
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

335

d/c

RESOLUTION NO. 2649

WHEREAS, Application No. 4976 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. E. Durham to construct a residence on the Easterly 20 ft. of Lot 6 and all of Lot 7, Block 9, La Jolla Hermosa, with a 15 ft. setback from Via Del Norte.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2649

Application Received 10-30-47 By G. A. Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-6-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

68 EIC

RESOLUTION NO. 2650

WHEREAS, Application No. 5345 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herman and Blossom Stellar to construct a single family residence on Villa Lot 85, except the northern 75 ft., University Heights, and New Hampshire Street closing adjacent thereto, southwest corner of Madison and New Hampshire Streets, providing the setback is not less than the average of the existing adjoining structures.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 190, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2650

Application Received 10-23-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-7-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

91

RESOLUTION NO. 2651

WHEREAS, Application No. 5334 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. E. and Alice C. Roberts to use Lots 43 and 44, Block 24, Resubdivision of Blocks K and L, Teralta, as a used car lot in conjunction with the East 85 ft. of Lots 45 to 48, Block 24, Resubdivision of Blocks K and L, Teralta, Southwest corner of El Cajon Boulevard and Copeland Street, providing a picket fence approximately 4 ft. in height is constructed on the south property line of said Lots 43 and 44, out to the front property line, and that said fence be painted and maintained in good condition at all times.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2651

Application Received 10-31-47 By Kerrigan & J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-6-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 2652

WHEREAS, Application No. 5227 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. B. Price to teach piano lessons in his home at 638 Fern Glen, Lot 44, La Jolla Crest, subject to the following conditions:

1. No lessons to be given before 9:00 A.M. or after 6:00 P.M.;
2. No signs to be posted on the premises.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 19 47

By _____
~~XXXXXX~~ Secretary Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2652

Application Received 10-8-47 By E. B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 11-5-47 By Kerrigan, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 11-5-47 Hearing date 11-5-47
Decision Cond'l approval Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-7-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

119 ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2653

WHEREAS, Application No. 5317 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cash Lewis to construct a 42 ft. by 42 ft. shop building at the rear of an existing building at 6460 El Cajon Boulevard on the Easterly 92 ft. of Lot 3, Alvarado Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2653

Application Received 10-16-47 By R. J. Hansen
10-23-47 H C Haeling
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 By Kerrigan + Burton
10-22-47
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 11-5-47

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-7-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47

Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

273 olk

Res. # by 3388

RESOLUTION NO. 2654, amended

WHEREAS, Application No. 5260 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William P. Odom, owner, and Harry Williams, purchaser, to erect and operate an 80-unit trailer village on a portion of Pueblo Lot 256, Morena Boulevard and Paul Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. A compact evergreen hedge at least three ft. high at the time of planting be installed around the property;
2. A five ft. high fence or wall be constructed around the property;
3. Adequate sanitary facilities and water outlets be installed;
4. All driveways be hard surfaced;
5. Proper electrical outlets and lighting be provided;
6. The exterior design of all structures to be approved by the Planning Commission;
7. That a complete sewerage system connected to a public sewer shall be provided;
8. That this trailer camp be built complete and in conformity with the plans submitted; that a complete set of plans be filed with the Planning Department, and another such set with the Health Department before any permit or license is issued, which plans shall show all details necessary to comply in all respects with Ordinance No. 2584 (with exceptions as noted in this resolution) and all conditions in this resolution;
9. That Section 10 of Ordinance No. 2584, New Series, be suspended insofar as it relates to distance between any trailer and any building; that Section 12-F of said ordinance be suspended insofar as it relates to slop sinks that Section 14 of said ordinance be suspended insofar as it relates to requirements of separate toilets for men and women;
10. That this resolution shall be revocable at any time for failure to comply with the terms of this resolution and/or the plans submitted, and/or for failure to comply with the ordinance governing trailer camps.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

FORM 2145

273 ok Res. # by 3388

RESOLUTION NO. 2654, amended

WHEREAS, Application No. 5260 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William P. Odom, owner, and Harry Williams, purchaser, to erect and operate an 80-unit trailer village on a portion of Pueblo Lot 256, Morena Boulevard and Paul Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. A compact evergreen hedge at least three ft. high at the time of planting be installed around the property;
2. A five ft. high fence or wall be constructed around the property;
3. Adequate sanitary facilities and water outlets be installed;
4. All driveways be hard surfaced;
5. Proper electrical outlets and lighting be provided;
6. The exterior design of all structures to be approved by the Planning Commission;
7. That a complete sewerage system connected to a public sewer shall be provided;
8. That this trailer camp be built complete and in conformity with the plans submitted; that a complete set of plans be filed with the Planning Department, and another such set with the Health Department before any permit or license is issued, which plans shall show all details necessary to comply in all respects with Ordinance No. 2584 (with exceptions as noted in this resolution) and all conditions in this resolution;

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 5, 1947

By _____
Secretary Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

9. That Section 10 of Ordinance No. 2584, New Series, be suspended
sofar as it relates to distance between any trailer and any building; that
ction 12-F of said ordinance be suspended insofar as it relates to slop sinks
at Section 14 of said ordinance be suspended insofar as it relates to require
nts of separate toilets for men and women;

10. That this resolution shall be revocable at any time for failure to
mply with the terms of this resolution and/or the plans submitted, and/or for
ilure to comply with the ordinance governing trailer camps.

ariance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby
nted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the
perty mentioned above.

Application Received 10-7-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 11-5-47 By Kerrigan + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 11-5-47 Hearing date 11-5-47
Decision Local approval Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-7-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

2655, amended by Res. 3389

No. ---

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

5261

WHEREAS, Application No. ---------- has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ---------- necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will ---------- be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will ---------- adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot Por. of Pueblo Lot 256 Block ----------

(description on file in Planning Department Office)

Subdivision Morena Boulevard and Paul Street

William P. Odom, owner, and Harry Williams, purchaser

an 80-unit trailer village

may be used for the erection and operation of ----------

subject to the following conditions:

1. A compact evergreen hedge at least three f high at time of planting to be installed around the property;
2. A five ft. high fence or wall to be constructed around the property
3. Adequate sanitary facilities and water outlets to be installed;
4. All driveways to be hard surfaced;
5. Proper electrical outlets and lighting to be provided;
6. The exterior design of all structures to be approved by the Planning Commission;
7. That a complete sewerage system connected to a public sewer shall be provided;
8. That this trailer camp be built complete and in conformity with the plans submitted; that a complete set of plans be filed with the Planning Department, and another such set with the Health Department before any permi or license is issued, which plans shall show all details necessary to comply in all respects with Ordinance No. 2584 (with exceptions as noted in this resolution) and all conditions of this resolution;
9. That Section 10 of Ordinance No. 2584, New Series, be suspended insofar as it relates to distance between any trailer and any building; that Section 12-F of said ordinance be suspended insofar as it relates to slop sinks; that Section 14 of said ordinance be suspended insofar as it relates to requirements of separate toilets for men and women;
10. That this resolution shall be revocable at any time for failure to comply with the terms of this resolution and/or the plans submitted, and/or for failure to comply with the ordinance governing trailer camps.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated November 5, 1947

By ----------

Secretary Zoning Enginee

Res. No. 2655

Application Received 10-7-47 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-22-47 11-5-47 By Kerrigan, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-22-47 11-5-47 Hearing date 11-5-47
Decision Coun'l Approval Date 11-5-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-7-47 Building Inspector 11-7-47
Planning Commission 11-7-47 Petitioner 11-7-47 Health Department 11-7-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2656

WHEREAS, Application No. 5417 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. F. and Virginia Krumholz to construct a residence and garage on Lots 591 and 592, Talmadge Park Unit No. 3, with no setback from Norma Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2656

Application Received 11-17-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster Bruing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-26-47 Building Inspector 11-26-47
Planning Commission 11-26-47 Petitioner 11-26-47 Health Department 11-26-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2657

WHEREAS, Application No. 5415 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Dr. R. V. Jones to construction an addition to a dwelling to be used as a clinic with a 12 ft. setback from 4th Avenue, 3579 - 4th Avenue, is hereby denied. Lot 3, Block 13, Brooks' Add.

Application for a variance to Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ ~~Zoning Engineer~~

FORM 2145

Application Received 11-17-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Denied Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2658

WHEREAS, Application No. 5092 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dean H. Cramer to construct two houses on a portion of Lot 4½, Rosemont Addition (legal description on file in Planning Department Office), 6305 Scimitar Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, NewSeries, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2658

Application Received 11-10-47 By H. Koester
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burlo
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2659

WHEREAS, Application No. 4220 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Florence R. McKinney, owner, and Mrs. R. M. Glendon, purchaser, to divide and to construct a single family residence on Lot 8 and the Northerly 10 ft. of Lot 9, Block 3, Center Addition, 7539 High Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, , 19 47

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received 11-10-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2660

WHEREAS, Application No. 5357 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John J. and Joyce R. Sedlak to divide Lots 45, 46, 47 and 48, Block 9, Ocean Beach, southeasterly corner of Froude and Santa Cruz Streets, into two building sites, each 70 ft. by 100 ft. facing Froude Street, and construct a single family residence on each, providing the regular City Setback Ordinance is observed on Santa Cruz and a minimum setback of 15 ft. is observed on Froude Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received 11-6-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2661

WHEREAS, Application No. 5219 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hugh B. Greer to operate Real Estate, Insurance and Building Contractor's Office in two front rooms of existing living quarters on Lots 43 and 44, Block 20, Ocean Beach Park, and to maintain existing signs providing the blackboard is removed, 4977 West Point Loma Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2661

Application Received 11-6-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date
Decision approved, cons'l Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 2662

WHEREAS, Application No. 5400 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wallace R. and Madeline P. Johnson to construct a concrete wall to a height of 7 ft., 3 ft. below and 4 ft. above the sidewalk grade, in front of the setback line at 6012 Waverly Street and to construct a 12 ft. section of board and lattice fence 7 ft. in height along the side property line at the rear of the residence, Lot 7, Block 31, La Jolla Hermosa.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2662

Application Received 11-7-47 By K. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2663

WHEREAS, Application No. 5403 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles D. and Mary A. MacFarlane to convert a bungalow court at 6235 El Cajon Boulevard into a motel and to convert existing garages into four additional units, on Portion of Lot 9, La Mesa Colony (description on file in Planning Department Office).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By _____ ~~Secretary~~ ~~Zoning Engineer~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2663

Application Received 11-7-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

2664

No. _____

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

5402

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is not necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot Por. of Lot 9, La Mesa Colony (description on file in Planning Dept. Office Block _____

Subdivision 6235 El Cajon Blvd.

Charles D. and Mary A. MacFarlane

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx to convert existing bungalow court

may be used for the erection and operation of into a motel and to convert existing garages into four additional units.

subject to the following conditions _____

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated November 19, 7 194___

By ~~Zoning Engineer xxxxxx~~ Secretary

2664

Res. No.

Application Received 11-7-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Lewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

347 ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2665

WHEREAS, Application No. 5414 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marian Greene Eschenbach to construct a duplex on Lot 1, Block 2, First Addition to South La Jolla, at Fern Glen and Neptune Place, and to observe a 7 ft. setback from Neptune Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 19 47

By _____ ~~Secretary~~ ~~Zoning Engineer~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2665

Application Received 11-10-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

See Home ass.

RESOLUTION NO. 2666

WHEREAS, Application No. 5409 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald Grovenburg, owner, and Robert E. and Angeline Snelgrove, purchasers, to construct four living units on Lots 33 and 34, Block 7, La Jolla Strand, buildings to cross lot lines, West side of Electric Avenue between Rosemont and Gravilla Streets, according to sketches submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Application Received 11-12-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster Ewing & Burto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2667

WHEREAS, Application No. 5430 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of C. G. and Alice Henderson to construct a residence with a 16½ ft. rear yard on Lot 150, Collwood Unit No. 1, 4861 Austin Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2667

Application Received 11-14-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Denied Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2668 See Res. 88025 - following

WHEREAS, Application No. 4720 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Dean W. Flesner to erect and maintain a plumbing shop with approximately 10 employees, on Lots 12 and 13, Block 192, City Heights, at Wabash and University Avenues, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2668

Application Received 10-27-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-47 11-19-47 Hearing date 11-19-47
Decision Denied Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 11-24-47 Council Hearing, date 12-9-47 - ZC hearing - 12-
Decision of Council Denied. Date 1-6-48
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Planning

See Res. 2668 Preceding

RESOLUTION NO. 88025

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Dean W. Flesner, 4024 Illinois Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 2668 the application of Dean W. Flesner to erect and maintain a plumbing shop with approximately 10 employees, on Lots 12 and 13, Block 192, City Heights, at Wabash and University Avenues, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 88025 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council JAN 6 1948

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk.

By F. T. PATTEN
Deputy.

Form 1270 (10M 6-47)
Loom Press — San Diego

Page is intentionally left blank.

City of San Diego

335

RESOLUTION NO. 2669

WHEREAS, Application No. 5321 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to August and Barbara Corneliuson to move a garage out of public property and to observe a 2½ ft. setback from Alta Way and to place the garage not closer than 3 ft. to the side property line, Lot 12, Block 15, La Jolla Hermosa, 5845 Avenida Commercial.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2479 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2669

Application Received 10-17-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing + Burlo
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date 11-5-47
Decision approved, as modified Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2670, extending Res. # 1206

Letter dated November 5, 1947

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of two (2) years from the expiration date of Resolution No. 1206 be granted to Lula V. McDermand to continue operation of a beauty shop in the residence at 2414 Island Avenue, on Lots 27 and 28, Block 9, L. W. Kimball's Subdivision, providing no signs are posted and no change is made in the exterior appearance of the residence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By _____ ~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2670

Leclar
~~Application~~ Received 11-6-47 By Marie
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

116 OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2671

WHEREAS, Application No. 5381 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. Victor and Audrey A. Bernardini to construct additions to an existing store building which has a 4 ft. setback, additions to observe a 4 ft. setback, on a portion of Lot 26, East Redlands (description on file in Planning Department Office), 5833-37 El Cajon Boulevard, providing an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that when and if the street is widened the building will be moved by the owner and at no expense to the city.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13056 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement # 506
Filed 11-26-47

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By ~~Zoning Engineer xxxxxx~~ Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2671

Application Received 11-3-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved, council Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 2672

WHEREAS, Application No. 5371 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Winifred Smith to divide Lots 25, 26, 27 and 28, Block 37, Ocean Beach, most westerly corner of Ebers and Santa Cruz Streets, into two lots 50 ft. by 100 ft. each, and one lot 40 ft. by 100 ft., all facing Ebers Street, providing the regular City setback ordinance is observed on Santa Cruz Street and a minimum setback of 15 ft. is observed on Ebers Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2672

Application Received 11-3-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2673

WHEREAS, Application No. 5116 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles E. Walker to divide a parcel of land in Pueblo Lot 174 into two building sites, according to plat submitted, and construct a single family residence on each, said parcel having no frontage on a dedicated street, Westerly side of extension of Armada Terrace, approximately 100 ft. north of Rogers Street, providing legal yard and setback requirements are observed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2673

Application Received 11-5-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2674

WHEREAS, Application No. 5351 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alice A. Gunsaulus and Elizabeth S. Ferguson to divide a parcel of land in Pueblo Lots 1280 and 1281 (description on file in Planning Department Office) into four parcels 100 ft. by 200 ft. each, facing La Jolla Shores Drive, and construct a single family residence on each, East side of La Jolla Shores Drive, between Vallecitos and Avenida de la Playa.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2674

Application Received 11-6-47 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster Loving & Burlon
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2675

WHEREAS, Application No. 5406 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John C. and Harriet A. Davis to construct a residence with an 11½ ft. rear yard, on Lot 2, Block 4, Golden Park, 3352 Lucinda Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2675

Application Received 11-6-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2676

WHEREAS, Application No. 5398 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles C. and Leith O. Ramsey to construct a single family residence on an acre parcel of land in Pueblo Lot 1288 (description on file in Planning Department Office), Northerly side of Ardath Road, about 700 ft. Easterly of Torrey Pines Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 47, 19__

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2676

Application Received 11-10-47 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2677, amending Res. No. 1536

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter dated November 13, 1947 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1536, dated May 9, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Tom Hollins to erect and maintain a store building on Lots 20 and 21, Block 6, Haffenden's Sunnydale, 3384 Sunrise Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2677

~~Application~~ Letter Received 11-14-47 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2678

WHEREAS, Application No. 5412 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Coronado Investment Company to divide a portion of Lot 20, all of Lots 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 94, City Heights, Northeast corner of 39th and Myrtle Streets, into three parcels facing on Myrtle Street, and erect a single family residence on each, providing a minimum setback of 15 ft. is observed on Myrtle Street, and the regular city setback ordinance is observed on 39th Street, as shown on sketch filed with Application No. 5413.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2678

Application Received 11-12-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Benton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2679

WHEREAS, Application No. 5413 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Coronado Investment Company to divide Lots 17, 18, 19 and portion of Lot 20, Block 94, City Heights, on 39th Street, 108 ft. north of Myrtle Street, into two building sites and construct a single family residence on each, as shown on sketch filed with this application.
A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, , 19 47

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2679

Application Received 11-12-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2680

WHEREAS, Application No. 5364 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Basil E. and Margaret E. Knight to construct a 14 ft. by 20 ft. garage with a 1 ft. sideyard, 29 ft. back from the front property line at 2530 Meade Avenue, west 50 ft. of Lots 25 and 26, Block 70, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By _____
~~Secretary~~ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2680

Application Received 11-4-47 By D. E. Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2681

WHEREAS, Application No. 5291 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert C. Borer to construct a duplex and two-car garage on each of two lots with 56.1% coverage on each lot, being Lots F and G, Block 27, Mission Beach, on Capistrano Place, east of Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2681

Application received 11-3-47. by J.C. Baugh
Advertised 11-19-47 by Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
Received by Z.C. 11-19-47
Decision Approved Date 11-19-47
47 of Res. sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 - Bldg. Dept. 11-21-47
Z.C. 11-21-47 - Petition - 11-21-47 - Neared Dept. 11-21-47

Document No. _____

Filed _____

_____

By _____
City Clerk.

_____
Deputy

ZONE VARIANCE RESOLUTION
OF ZONING COMMITTEE

TO

_____

FOR

_____

_____

_____

_____

27

RESOLUTION NO. 2682

WHEREAS, Application No. 5299 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Randa Verthein and Bernice Alta Dasch to convert an existing garage into a gift shop on Lot 1, Block 30, Culverwell and Taggart's Addition, southeast corner of 20th and C Streets, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 21942 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 47, 19__

By ~~Zoning Engineer~~ ~~XXXXXX~~ Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2682

Application Received 11-5-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Burton, Ewing
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

157

RESOLUTION NO. 2683

WHEREAS, Application No. 5037 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas J. McGrath, Jr. to divide the South one-half of the North one-half of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 29, Horton's Purchase, into two parcels as follows: 1. the South 75 ft. of the East 150 ft.; 2. The South 1/2 of the North 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 29, except the South 75 ft. of the East 150 ft.; and to erect a single family residence on Parcel 1, 1206 Euclid Avenue, providing the plans are approved architecturally by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2683

Application Received 10-29-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

202-6

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2684

WHEREAS, Application No. 5306 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Morris and Mary Opal Hoffman to divide a parcel of land in Pueblo Lot 104 (description on file in Planning Department Office) into four parcels, each 166-3/4 ft. by 241 ft., facing on a private easement 40 ft. in width, and construct a single family residence on each parcel, Silvergate, approximately 450 ft. south of Rosecroft Lane, providing an agreement is signed by the owners and filed of record that when the city requests it, the owners will offer this 40 ft. strip of land covered by this easement to the city for street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement # 507
Filed 12-2-47

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2684

Application Received 10-15-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-5-47 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved, Council Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20-47 Building Inspector 11-21-47
Planning Commission 11-21-47 Petitioner 11-21-47 Health Department 11-21-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 2685

WHEREAS, Application No. 5349 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cecil A. and Maude M. Jones to split Lots 11 and 12, Block 10, Paradise Hills, Northwest corner of Albermarle and Rancho Drive, into three parcels, as shown on sketch filed in Planning Department Office, and construct a single family residence on each parcel, providing a minimum of 15 ft. setback is maintained on Albermarle, and the regular city setback ordinance is observed on Rancho Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By ~~Zoning Engineer~~ Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2685

Application Received 11-5-47 By D. E. Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-23-47 Building Inspector 12-24-47
Planning Commission 12-24-47 Petitioner 12-24-47 Health Department 12-24-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 2686

WHEREAS, Application No. 5265 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas W. and Helen F. Downey, Thomas C. and Elda D. Downey, and James C. and Evelyn N. Purpus to divide Lots 1, 2, 3 and the southerly 10 ft. of Lot 4, Block 4, Wildwood Addition, Northerly corner of Wildwood Road and Warrington Street, into three parcels, one 60 ft. by 117 ft. facing Wildwood Road, and two 58.5 ft. by 100 ft. facing Warrington Street, and permit a single family residence on each, providing a minimum setback of 15 ft. is observed on Warrington Street and the regular city setback ordinance is observed on Wildwood Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By Harry C. Haelsig ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No.

Application Received 11-13-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Ferrigan, Ewing & Burlo
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24-47 Building Inspector 12-26-47
Planning Commission 12-26-47 Petitioner 12-26-47 Health Department 12-26-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2687

WHEREAS, Application No. 5262 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James C. and Evelyn N. Purpus, et al, to divide the Northerly 118 ft. of Lots 3, 4, 5 and the Northerly 118 f of the southwesterly 30 ft. of Lot 6, Block 42, Point Loma Heights, into three parcels each 50 ft. in width, facing Redondo Street, and to permit a single family residence on each, Redondo Street, between Narragansett Avenue and Wildwood Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, , 19 47

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Application Received 11-13-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Lerrigan, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-19-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24-47 Building Inspector 12-26-47
Planning Commission 12-26-47 Petitioner 12-26-47 Health Department 12-26-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 2688

WHEREAS, Application No. 5263 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James C. and Evelyn N. Purpus, et al, to divide the Northerly 118 ft. of the Northeasterly 10 ft. of Lot 6, and the Northerly 118 ft. of Lots 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11, Block 42, Point Loma Heights, into three parcels, each 50 ft. in width and one parcel 60 ft. in width, facing Redondo Street, and to permit a single family residence on each. Parcels will be as follows: 1. Northeasterly 10 ft of Lot 6 and all of Lot 7; 2. All of Lot 8 and the Southwesterly 20 ft. of Lot 9; 3. Northeasterly 20 ft. of Lot 9 and the Southwesterly 30 ft. of Lot 10; and 4. Northeasterly 10 ft. of Lot 10 and all of Lot 11.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 19, 1947

By _____
Harry C. Haelsig, ~~Asst~~ Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2688

Application Received 11-13-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 By Ferrigan, Ewing & Burke
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 11-19-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24-47 Building Inspector 12-26-47
Planning Commission 12-26-47 Petitioner 12-26-47 Health Department 12-26-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

312 ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2689

WHEREAS, Application No. 5390 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Karl and Irene Embrey to construct and operate a cabinet shop, 15 h.p. maximum, 4 employees besides owner, no signs, on Lots 17 to 20, inclusive, Block 253, Pacific Beach at 1465 Grand Avenue, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ecember 3, , 1947

By P. Q. Burton, ~~Secretary~~
Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2689

Application Received _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 12-3-47 By Lancaster Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-3-47 Hearing date 12-3-47
Decision Denied Date 12-3-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-4-47 Building Inspector 12-5-47
Planning Commission 12-5-47 Petitioner 12-5-47 Health Department 12-5-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

313 ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2690

WHEREAS, Application No. 4630 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Western Lumber Company of San Diego to use Lots 35 to 40, inclusive, Block 222, Pacific Beach, Northeast corner of Hornblend and Dawes Streets, for the storage of lumber and for the erection of a 24 ft. by 75 ft. storage building to be used in connection with the existing Pacific Beach Lumber Company at 1121 Garnet Avenue, providing the construction, improvements and landscaping are done in accordance with the letter submitted by the Western Lumber Company, dated December 1, 1947, the original of which is on file in the office of the Planning Department, and a copy of which is on file in the City Clerk's Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 3, 1947

By Zoning Engineer, Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2690

Application Received 9-4-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-10-47 By
9-10-47 12-3-47 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-47 Hearing date 9-24-47 - 12-3-47
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 12-3-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-4-47 Building Inspector 12-5-47
Planning Commission 12-5-47 Petitioner 12-5-47 Health Department 12-5-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2691

WHEREAS, Application No. 5453 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. L. McMechen to construct a single family residence on the Northerly 75 ft. of the West 8 ft. 4 in. of Lot 13, the Northerly 75 ft. of Lots 14 and 15, and the Northerly 75 ft. of the East 8 ft. 4 in. of Lot 16, Block 1, Park Addition, on Nutmeg Street, east of 29th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars statted above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 3, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2691

Application Received 11-20-47 By P. J. Burlo
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-3-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burlo
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-3-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12-3-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-4-47 Building Inspector 12-5-47
Planning Commission 12-5-47 Petitioner 12-5-47 Health Department 12-5-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2692

WHEREAS, Application No. 5404 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. L. McMechen to construct a single family residence with a 5 ft. setback from Nutmeg Street and a garage with an 0 ft. setback, on the Northerly 75 ft. of the West 8 ft. 4 in. of Lot 13, the northerly 75 ft. of Lots 14 and 15, and the Northerly 75 ft. of the East 8 ft. 4 in. of Lot 16, Block 1, Park Addition, on Nutmeg Street, east of 29th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 3, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2692

Application Received 11-10-47 By Ray Murchay
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-19-47 12-3-47 By Lancaster, Emery & Bush
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-19-47 12-3-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 12-3-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-4-47 Building Inspector 12-5-47
Planning Commission 12-5-47 Petitioner 12-5-47 Health Department 12-5-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 2693

WHEREAS, Application No. 5454 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. L. McMechen to construct a single family residence on the Northerly 75 ft. of the West 16 ft. 8 in. of Lot 16, the Northerly 75 ft. of Lots 17 and 18, Block 1, Park Addition, on Nutmeg Street, east of 29th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 3, 19 47

By ~~Zoning Engineer~~ Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2693

Application Received 11-20-47 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-3-47 By Lancaster, Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-3-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 12-3-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-4-47 Building Inspector 12-5-47
Planning Commission 12-5-47 Petitioner 12-5-47 Health Department 12-5-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2694

WHEREAS, Application No. 5459 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. L. McMechen to construct a single family residence with a 5 ft. setback from Nutmeg Street and a garage with an 0 ft. setback, on the Northerly 75 ft. of the West 16 ft. 8 in. of Lot 16, and the Northerly 75 ft. of Lots 17 and 18, Block 1, Park Addition, on Nutmeg Street, east of 29th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 3, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Application Received 11-20-47 By P. G. Burlon
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-3-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burlon
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-3-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 12-3-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-4-47 Building Inspector 12-5-47
Planning Commission 12-5-47 Petitioner 12-5-47 Health Department 12-5-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 2695

WHEREAS, Application No. 5491 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Western Lumber Company of San Diego to construct a masonry wall 8 ft. in height on Lots 35 to 40, Block 222, Pacific Beach, Northeast Corner of Dawes and Hornblend Streets, said wall to enclose the east and south ends of the North 75 ft. of said lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 3, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2695

Application Received _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-3-47 By Lancaster, Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-3-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 12-3-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-4-47 Building Inspector 12-5-47
Planning Commission 12-5-47 Petitioner 12-5-47 Health Department 12-5-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

119 OK

RESOLUTION NO. 2696

WHEREAS, Application No. 5393 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Milton Haas to construct a wall 6 ft. in height 6 ft. in front of the setback line on the West 25 ft. of Lot 76 and all of Lot 77, Collwood Park, Pontiac Street and Rockford Drive, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 3, , 1947

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2696

Application Received 11-17-47 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-3-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-3-47 Hearing date _____
Decision Denied Date 12-3-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-4-47 Building Inspector 12-5-47
Planning Commission 12-5-47 Petitioner 12-5-47 Health Department 12-5-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

102 ck

RESOLUTION NO. 2697

WHEREAS, Application No. 5432 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David E. and Opal R. Sundstron to construct a garage and a storage building 64 ft. back from the front property line at 4777-51st Street, with a 3 ft. sideyard and a 2 ft. rear yard, building to be 620 sq. ft. in area, Lot 103, Talmadge Park Manor No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 3, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2697

Application Received 11-25-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-3-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-3-47 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 12-3-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-4-47 Building Inspector 12-5-47
Planning Commission 12-5-47 Petitioner 12-5-47 Health Department 12-5-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

72 CL

RESOLUTION NO. 2698

WHEREAS, Application No. 5466 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Adele V. Leonard and Saunders and Knowlta Schneider to erect a rumpus room 12 ft. by 18 ft. with no side yard and no rear yard on Lot 110, Talmadge Park, 4668 West Talmadge Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 3, 1947

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2698

Application Received 11-25-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-3-47 By Lancaster, Cuming & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-3-47 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 12-3-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-4-47 Building Inspector 12-5-47
Planning Commission 12-5-47 Petitioner 12-5-47 Health Department 12-5-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

302 ok

RESOLUTION NO. 2699

WHEREAS, Application No. 4980 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John P. Scott to erect the fifth living unit on Lots B and C, Block 61, Mission Beach, two units being served by a 9 ft. access court, 2975-81 Ocean Front Walk.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 3, 1947

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2699

Application Received 11-25-47 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-3-47 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-3-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12-9-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-4-47 Building Inspector 12-5-47
Planning Commission 12-5-47 Petitioner 12-5-47 Health Department 12-5-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

See Res. 89918 extending - filed after Res. 3240

RESOLUTION NO. 2700

See Res. 88024 - following

WHEREAS, Application No. 5401 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (*see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended*):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

2702 - First Ave

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Thomas Burger, owner, and M. Herrmann, purchaser, to erect a two-family residence and a three-car garage with one living unit above on Lot G and the South 15 ft. of Lot H, Block 311, Horton's Addition, with an 0 ft. setback from 1st Avenue for both structures, Northwest corner of 1st Avenue and Nutmeg Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 3, 1947

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Application Received 11-17-47 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-3-47 By Lancaster Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-3-47 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 12-3-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-4-47 Building Inspector 12-5-47
Planning Commission 12-5-47 Petitioner 12-5-47 Health Department 12-5-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 12-9-47 Council Hearing, date 12-23-47 - ZC hearing 12-31-47
Decision of Council 5' setback granted - Appeal overruled Date 1-6-48
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

See Res 2700 preceding.

RESOLUTION NO. 88024, extended by Res 89918. (filed after Res 3240)

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

2702

That the appeal of Zella M. Goff, 2705 First Avenue, from Zoning Committee decision to permit 0 ft. setback from 1st Avenue, Northwest corner of 1st and Nutmeg Streets, be and it is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted to Dr. Thomas Burger, owner, and M. Herrmann, purchaser, to erect a two-family residence and a three-car garage with one living unit above on Lot G and the South 15 ft. of Lot H, Block 311, Horton's Addition, with a 5 ft. setback from 1st Avenue for both structures, Northwest corner of 1st Avenue and Nutmeg Street.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 88024 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council January 6, 1948

Fred W. Sick
City Clerk.

By F. T. Patten
Deputy.

End of book.

City of San Diego