

To WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5697</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stuart M. and Carolyn Fraser to construct a 23 ft. by 27 ft. garage with a 6 ft. rear yard, Lot 6, Block 13, La Jolla Shores Unit No. 1, Northwesterly corner of Avenida de la Playa and Camino del Sol.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

February 25,	19	48
--------------	----	----

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 2901

OK

Application Received2 - 19.48 E	By <u>R. M. Paurich</u> City Planning Department
	By <u>Generalter kenning</u> r Burlow City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-26-4</u> Planning Commission 2-27-48 Petitioner	Building Inspector 2-27-48 2-27-48 Health Department 2-27-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5600</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Capt. P. R. Kinney to construct a residence with a 10 ft. rear yard on Lot 7, Block 33, La Jolla Hermosa Unit No. 2, Beaumont Avenue, northerly of Via del Norte.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____February 25, , 19_48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application Received <u>2-24-48</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-25-48</u> By	Janeaster, turing & Burlos City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-25-48 Decision	Hearing date Date $2 \cdot 26 - 48$
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-26-48 Planning Commission 2-27-48 Petitioner &	Building Inspector 2-27-48 Health Department 2-27-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5775</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Capt. P. R. Kinney to erect a garage with a 2 ft. setback on Lot 7, Block 33, La Jolla Hermosa Unit No. 2, East side of Beaumont Avenue, Northerly of Via del Norte.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

-Secretary

	Fel	bruary	25.		1948
Dated		or a case of	~ *	, :	19

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2903

Application Received H	By <u>G. m. Paurick</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made 2 - 25 - 48 H	By <u>Lancaster</u> Lucio + Burlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-25-40	F Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-26-4 Planning Commission 2-27-48 Petitioner	Date 2-25-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-26-40	E Building Inspector 2-27-4P
Planning Commission 2.27.48 Petitioner	2-27-48 Health Department 2-27-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5685</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. C. J. Witkowski to construct a second story addition, 8 ft. 10 in. by 10 ft. 7 in. in size, to existing residence which has a 17 ft. 6 in. rear yard, addition to observe the same rear yard, 5182 Roxbury Road, Lot 232, Kensington Heights Unit No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 25, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application Received By	<u>P. M. Faurich</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made Z.25-48 By	<u>Sancaster</u> , kining + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $2 - 25 - 48$ Decision appraved Popy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 26 - 48$ Planning Commission $2 - 27 - 48$ Petitioner	Hearing date Date $2 - 25^{-48}$ Building Inspector $2 - 27 - 48$ 2 - 27 - 48 Health Department $2 - 27 - 48Council Hearing, dateDate$
A pplication withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5702</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Harry F. and Fern S. Perry to construct a three-unit court with a 3 ft. access court to the rear unit, and to construct a garage 572 sq. ft. in area with a 1 ft. sideyard, 53 ft. back from the front property line on Lot Q, Block 99, Mission Beach, North side of Island Court, East of Mission Boulevard, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated February 25, , 19 48

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received 2 -17-48 B	y D. C. Sout City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-25-48</u> B	y Lancaster tung & Burlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $2 - 25 - 48$ Decision Secured Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 26 - 48$ Planning Commission $2 - 27 - 48$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	2-27-48 Health Department 2-21-48
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the second s

and the share a server of the server of the

A PROPERTY AND A PROPERTY

WHEREAS, Application No.⁵⁷⁰¹ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Harry F. and Fern S. Perry to construct a three-unit court with a 3 ft. access court to rear unit, and to construct a garage 572 sq. ft. in area with a 1 ft. sideyard, 53 ft. back from the front property line on Lot P. Block 99, Mission Beach, North side of Island Court, East of Mission Boulevard, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

	February	25,		1
Dated	and a second	and the second s	, 19	9

FORM 2145

Zoning By Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 2906

Application Received By	y <u>A. E. Jourh</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-25-48</u> By	Y Lancasta, Living & Burton City Planning Department
Decision	Hearing dateDate $2 - 2 \delta^{-1} + \ell$ Building Inspector $2 - 2 - 7 - 4 \ell$ $a - 27 - 4 \ell$ Health Department $a - 27 - 4 \ell$ Health Department $a - 27 - 4 \ell$ Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5721</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. F. H. Douglas to construct a guest house with a 20 ft. 6 in. rear yard, Lot 7, Block 32, La Jolla Hermosa, 6111 Beaumont Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer secretary

Application Received 2-19-48 By	J. C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made By	<u>Janeaster</u> Luing & Burton City Planning Department
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-26-48</u> Planning Commission <u>2-27-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 2-25-48 Building Inspector <u>2-27-48</u> 2-27-48 Council Hearing, date <u>Date</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the logit of the light of the state of the state of the

in the second second

TERMONTY, THE TERMONTOR DA THE ZANIMA COMPLETE AT THE CALF OF SAM DEVICE.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5757</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James C. Clark, owner, and William G. Van Dorn, purchaser, to erect a residence and an attached garage with an 8 ft. setback from Playa del Norte, Lot 71 and the East 1/2 of Lot 72, block 1, La Jolla Strand, providing the maximum height of the garage is in accordance with the recommendations of the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated February 25, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 2908

Application Received 2-20-48	By R-Q- Hansen City Planning Department
	_ By Sancaster, hung & Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-25 Decision approved, Considered Constant	Hearing date Date $2 - 25^{-} y g$ 26 - y f Building Inspector $2 - 27 - y gioner 2 - 57 - y f Health Department 2 - 27 - y g$
Planning Commission 2-27-48 Petiti Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	oner 2-37-48 Health Department 2-27-48 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Fime limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5583</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Lois L. Hines to alter the second story of an existing dwelling into two apartments, making a total of three living units on Lots 1 and 2, Block 55, Seaman and Choate's Addition and a portion of Granada and Hawthorne Streets closed, 2045-47 Granada Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ February 25, , 19 48

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

DIC

FORM 2145

Application ReceivedB	mail
T	City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 28 - 48 - B_2$	<u>Lancasta</u> <u>diffenderfer</u> , tweng r b City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-28-48	Hearing date
Decision denied and 1 2 26-46	Puilding Inconstan 2-27-44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Petitioner	2-27-48 Health Department 2-27.48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	

break and should be forwarded by the bull rearrant bet the meretak bet the bull and the the start being being to and in the interpretation and by the start and a start a star

the second of the second of the second second second product of the

Charlend, Mr Fi Murchard, D. the Zenter Constition of the City of Sec Diede.

The second second second subscription is a reaction of the second The second se A REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTI

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5777</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Southeastern California Conference of Seventh Day Adventists to erect a Young People's Recreation Hall and maintain an 11 ft. rear yard, Lots 25 to 30, inclusive, Block 156, University Heights, Northwest corner of Polk and Illinois Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sectorery

February 25, _____ 48 Dated

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2910

Application ReceivedB	y <u>9. C - Baughman</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made	y Lancaster, ling & Benton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-25-48$ Decision $2-25-48$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-26-48$ Planning Commission $2-27-48$ Petitioner Planning Commission $2-27-48$ Petitioner	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn	Date of action

hard a state of the second second

Philippin *

of Ordinany r. So., 3824, us anterched)

CHENTLETTE ME IN THESE AND DE AVE SOUTHE CONSTRUCT OF AND CTCH DE 200 MICHAE

the same and the of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the miles of the sector of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5755</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. J. Hardy to construct a duplex on the front portion of Lot X, Block 99, Mission Beach, and maintain a 6 ft. access court for the existing residence at the rear of the lot, North side of Island Court, East of Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

XAceretary

Dated February 25, , 19 48

Zoning^{By} Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received B	y <u>P. g. Scerlow</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made	y <u>Ameritan</u> <u>Luning</u> + Burlen City Planning Department Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>2-25-48</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-26-48</u> Petitioner	Date 2-25-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-26-48 Planning Commission 2-27-48 Petitioner	Building Inspector $2 - 27 - 48$ 2-27.48 Health Department 2-27-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

diagramments and to brand date

active" piers" to be

WHEREAS, Application No. dated Feb. has been softered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California and the Avidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is _____necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. not

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

	s 17 and 18 11
••••••	La Mesa Colony
	7058 El Cajon Boulevard
^{may} be used	(Vincent Taormina) for the erection and operation of
	a 31-unit motel and a manager's apa
ment	THE THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF A SECOND AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.
- 0 4	1. Subject to the recommendations
U 10	he Planning Department.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. LEFT FLOHOL! Rewley hobus russes Repeterences creating

The second The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. cità himmini malant di 15

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

y yea

February 25.

2144

Resolution baconce officelly.

Zoffing Engineer Secretary No. 2912

real contractions and standarded a scattering has allowed pressors Applications of Lod. Fold, substance considered by the Zaming Committee of the Click of

. Do moderially dore insmeal to the public melinic ad seconds provided the state of the periloner, parameted by other property owners in the same clearity, and

. adversely affact the Master Plan of the City et

ucno?

Considered by Zoning Committee 2-25-48 Hearing date Decision Lagrande Date 2-25-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-26-48 Building Inspector 2-27-48 Planning Commission 2-27-48 Petitioner 2-27-48 Health Department 2-27-48 Resolution becomes effective Application WithdrawnContinued to Time limit extended to

Investigation made 2-25-48

eter

By Janea ster Curry City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5662</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That the fence constructed without a building permit at 1438 Mariposa Street by Mr. M. G. Ingle shall be cut down to a height of not more than 30 inches above the grade on that portion of the lot beyond the setback line and the remainder of the fence shall be cut down to a maximum height of 6 ft. above the lowest adjacent ground level, Southerly 80 ft. of the Northerly 240 ft. of the Southerly 520 ft. of the Northwest 1/4 of 1/4 Section 104, Rancho de la Nacion.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

February 25, _____ 48 Dated

Zoning Engineer

	6.6. Jan Arse City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-25-46 Decision Semed - (manified approace) D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-26-48 Planning Commission 2-27-48 Petitioner 2 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector 2-27-48 Health Department 2-27-48
	Continued to Date of action

LICENT AND A REPORT OF A REPORT OF

-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5732</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to construct a convent building with a 12 ft. setback from Missouri Street on Lots 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9, Block 151, Pacific Beach, and to construct a church and academy building with a 7 ft. 6 in. setback from Diamond Street on Lots

- 34, 35 and 36, Block 151, Pacific Beach, subject to the following condition
 1. The playground fence on Lot 29 shall be located not less than 10 ft.
 from the east lot line and shall observe a minimum of 15 ft. setback
 from Diamond Street;
 - 2. Any fence built on this entire property shall comply with the provisions of the Fence Ordinance;
 - 3. The easterly 10 ft. of Lot 29 and the easterly 10 ft. of Lot 9 shall be landscaped, and the area between the fence and Diamond Street in front of the playground area shall be landscaped;
 - 4. Lots 29, 30, 31 and 32 shall be maintained in the same ownership as Lots 33 to 40, inclusive, at all times.

variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_February 25, , 19_48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 2914

Application Received 2-20-48 By
City Planning Department
Investigation made 2-25-48 By Lancaster Lewing + Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-25-48 Hearing date
Decision land approval Date 2-25-46 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-26-48 Building Inspector 2.27-48 Planning Commission 2.27-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-26-48 Building Inspector 2.27-48
Planning Commission 2. 27-48 Petitioner 2-27-48 Health Department 2-27-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

which we have the second of the second of the second of the second of the second s

DENTROME, "PR. 17 DESCUTION, My the Service Consisters of the City of Base Dienes.

2

ALL IL

the second se

12 * CO.01 *C.

RESOLUTION NO. 2915 amended 3129

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5517</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herbert P. and Alice E. Good to construct and operate a 40-unit trailer park on the North 200 ft. of the West 262 ft. of the East 516.65 ft. of Lot 22, Lemon Villa, North of University Avenue and East of 54th Street, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Grade and surface the roadways within the trailer camp and the connection to University Avenue;
- 2. Install a five-foot fence around the property;
- 3. Plant and maintain in good condition at all times a compact evergreen hedge to screen the trailer park from view of the highway;
- 4. Connect all plumbing fixtures to a public sewer;
- 5. Comply with the requirements of the Health Department as to sanitation;
- 6. All structures constructed in compliance herewith to have architectural approval of the Planning Department;
- 7. This permit to be for a period of five years from the date of Council Resolution No. 88165, which resolution is dated January 20, 1948.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 25, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer states

Res. No. 2915

OK

Application Received 2 -9 - 48 By	- P. g. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{2-11-48}{2-25-48}$ By $2-11-48$	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-25-48	Hearing date 2-25-48
Decision Caralle Annable	Date 22-26-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-26-48	Building Inspector 2-27.48 Realth Department 2-27.48
Planning Commission 2-27-48 Petitioner	a-ar-yo Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The first product of the second se

the second of the prove the others mathematic and prove

the second second

the set of the party of the party of the set of the property as completed and

INCREASE, BE IT RESCLARD. US the Joining Committee of the City of San Dirgo.

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

amended 3130

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will _____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the merghborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will _____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of not San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

inat the	following described property, LotBlockBlock
Subdivisi	North 200 ft. of the
-	West 262 ft: of the East 516.65 ft. of Lot 22, Lemon Villa
North	of University Avenue and East of 54th Street.
(Herbe may be us	ert P. and Alice E. Good) ed for the erection and operation of
	40-unit trailer park,
	1. Grade and surface the roadways within the r camp and the connection to University Avenue; 2. Install a five-foot fence around the pro- 3. Flant and maintain in good condition at highway;
sewer.;	4. Connect all plumbing fixtures to a publi
	5. Comply with the requirements of the Heal
	the have architectural approval of the Planning Department; 7. This permit to be for anning Department;

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced WEST STOUDS before said time expires,

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

THE DRY & G THE THERE OR & C. T. T. STORE

City of San Diego, California

20

February 25,

8

1 2144

Application Received 2-9-48 By P.g. Burton
City Planning Department
Investigation made 2-11-48 - 2-25-48 By Ancastar, lung + Burton 2-11-48 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-25-48 Hearing date 2-25-48
Decision Coudil approval Date 2-25-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-26-48 Building Inspector 2-27-48
Planning Commission 2-27-48 Petitioner 2-27-48 Health Department 2-27-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of Council
Resolution becomes effective
Application Withdrawn
Time limit extended to

TITLE AND T

(Rerberry 1. and Aldre L. Broth

which and the provide the providence permeased by behave produced to the sense electricity and

TONE SOS TE: DU DAR THE TUTHES ST

pue (peoplement generation of an entropy of the second sec

3 the is such that as the speciality and

1. Does the subject of the application, the

-1500 200 Pt. 27 B

adversely affect the matter find of the circles

the secondary detrimented by the public wollings

necessary for the sulayment and preservation of

and the base said considered by the contra complete of the clear of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5521</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Scripps' Memorial Hospital to erect an addition to the existing hospital on the Easterly 15 ft. of Lot 33, all of Lots 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41 and 42, Block 17, La Jolla Park, Prospect Street, between La Jolla Boulevard and Cuvier Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 25, , 19 48

Zoning Engineer

SecteraryX

Application Received _	2-9-48	By	J. C.	Bar	ghman	/
				City Plan	ning Department	
	2-11-48		P	4	1	0
Investigation made	2-25-48	By	denca	ter,	kining	& Durton
	2-11	1-48		City Plan	ning Department	
Considered by Zoning	Committee _ 2 -2.	5-48	Hearing date	e_ 2-2	15-48	Durk
Diii	and the second sec		Jare 2	- 2 4 8		
Converse Desalation con	t to I ITV LIEIK	27-48	Building Ins	spector	2-27-48	
Planning Commission	2-21-40 Fell	ioner «	2-21-10	nealth.	Department	2-27-48
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date		Council Hea	ring, date	e	and call fight ways a subscription of the
Decision of Council			Date		are then the s	
Resolution becomes eff	ective				The second second second	and the second s
Application withdrawn			Continued to		When the south and the second s	
Time limit extended to			Date of action	on		

the second state of the se

to the property of the barren of the state of the second state of

THEIR CAR, HE TT ARSORATED THE LOW FORTH COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SME DIANE.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5531</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Scripps' Memorial Hospital to erect a nurses' home and a heating and service building on Lots 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16, Block 17, La Jolla Park, on South Coast Boulevard between La Jolla Boulevard and Cuvier Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	February	25.	1048

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 2918

FORM 2145
Application Received By	J. C. Baughman
	City Planning Department
2-11-48	I A I P .
Investigation made By	descaster, turing + Durlow
	Lancaster, kung + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-25-48	Hearing date 2-25-48
Decision and and	Date 2-25-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-27-48	Building Inspector 2-27-48
Planning Commission a - 27 - 48 Petitioner	2-27-48 Health Department 2-27-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a cite of Son Baren to later an and the relation presented has shown can sold and

DEPARTORS IN THE CARDELIES OF THE SOUTH CONSTRAINT OF THE CARD OF SHE DISKS

and the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5711</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl V. and Marie Gurman to erect an addition, to be used as a storage room, to an existing non-conforming store building at 3602 Meade Avenue, Lots 23 and 24, except the East 50 ft., Block C, Teralta Heights, providing the south and east walls of the existing building and the addition are stuccoed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_February 25, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning^{By}Engineer

Secretary

Application Received By	City Planning Department
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Leneaster living + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-25-48 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-22-48	Building Inspector 2-27-48
Planning Commission 2-27-48 Petitioner	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

TIBURYDON, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Considered of the City of Res Barge

building bin private an entries of the second property and write "static to the second is they be been and the

. .

RESOLUTION NO. 2920 SEE RES 1858

Letter dated Feb. 20, 1948

WHEREAS, Application Nor _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ___special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ mot_ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That, notwithstanding an agreement by and between Henry Travis and Saima Travis, husband and wife, and the City of San Diego, recorded in the office of the San Diego County Recorder in Book 2266, Page 355, Official Records, which agreement limits the use of the second story of a building, with a 152 ft. rear yard, at the rear of Lot 13, Block B; Sunset Crest, 1266 Moana Drive, to that of an Amateur Radio Station, and that said second floor will not be used as living quarters, permission is hereby granted to the owner or owners of said property to use the second floor of said building for guest rooms and/or maid's quarters, provided no portion of said building shall be rented or used for any purpose other than as accessory to the single family residence on the front portion of said lot. $\triangle GG \ \Delta \Delta I$ AGG. 441

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Superseding agreement # 4411 See Res. 1858

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 25, , 1948 Zoning Engineer

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 2-25-48 By By City Planning Departme	nent
Investigation made 2-25-48 By Lancaster, kung City Planning Departm	Buston r Rich
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>2-25-48</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Appende</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-27-48</u> Building Inspector <u>2-27-48</u> Planning Commission <u>2-27-48</u> Petitioner 2-27-48 Health Department Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> Decision of Council <u>Date</u>	18 1t 2-27-48
Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action	

The are a set of the set of the

The second second

THERE FOR THE PARTY CALL BUILDE COMMITTEE OF THE CASH BING OF THE

and service and provide an application and a provide start and the service start and the service service starts

to him a land a serie of the series of the series of the series and the series shall be the

The little have to be a little that .

The seal of the

《[[]]注:

05-011-010

RESOLUTION NO. 2921, amended by Res 3006

CK

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5728</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary bardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert W. Sanders, Jr. and A. C. Burkhart to divide portions of Lots 59 and 60, Las Alturas Villas (description on file in Planning Department Office) into three parcels and construct a single family residence on each, according to plat on file in Planning Department Office, southerly corner of Olvera and Santa Isabel Streets, subject to the following conditions:

1. That a 15 ft. easement be granted along Olvera Street, and a portion of Santa Isabel Street to be dedicated to provide a 50 ft. rightof-way for that street, along with a corner cut-off at the intersection of the above-named two streets.

2. That the curb return at the southwest corner of Santa Isabel St. and Olvera St. be removed and a new curb return be constructed at the proper location as required by the City Engineer.

3. That a small triangular piece of pavement, approximately 15 ft. on Olvera Street and 50 ft. along Santa Isabel Street, be constructed and that the roadway area on Santa Isabel St. adjacent to these lots be graded, all to be done in accordance with the requirements of the City Engineer.

(over) Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 3</u>, 19 48

By____

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 2921

Application Received 2 - 19 - 48 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-25-48</u> By Considered by Zoning Committee <u>2-25-48</u> Decision <i>Approved</i> , <i>Consult</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-3-48</u> Planning Commission <u>3-3-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Council Hearing, date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Internation of the second of the sould have been present the of the city of the presentation

in the second second second and and and and the second second second second second second second second second

· 1.

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

as they relate to the property mentioned above. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3600, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insolar

RESOLUTION NO. 2922, amended by Res 2963

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5668</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section .15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth and Betty Creel to erect two (2) four-unit apartment buildings, each with a 14 ft. setback from Monroe Street on a portion of Lots 42 to 48, inclusive, Block 64, University Heights, closed street adjacent, and a portion of Pueblo Lot G, southwest corner of Monroe and Ohio Streets, providing these buildings are placed on the north 126 ft. of the above-described parcel of land.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Harry C. Haelsig, Starting Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2922

FORM 2145

Dated

March 10,

OK

Application Received2-2-48	By H. C. Walling City Planning Department
Investigation made	-48 Hearing date <u>2-25-46- 3-10-48</u> Date <u>3-10-48</u> <u>11-48</u> Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

A CONTRACTOR

.

and a second second

STIRE PROFE, ME IT LLA WANTE IN THE AUTOR COMMITTEE OF THE CLIPPED SHE READED

The second of the contract of the second of the

The second was second and the

The beaution were been an internet and an even become all the contract of the the providence of the providence

and a market of a server p

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5749</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. H. and Alba Scanlin to construct a 9 ft. by 13 ft. patio porch roof addition to an existing residence, which is non-conforming in that two residences now exist on Lots 17 and 18, Block B, Wallace Heights, 2916-18 Spruce Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 10, . 19 48

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, Extrately Res. No. 2923

Application Received 2-24-48 B	y <u>R.J. Hansen</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-10-48</u> By	y <u>Lancaster lineng</u> , <u>Aufjerskeifer</u> Hae City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-48</u> Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-48</u> Planning Commission <u>3-12-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date $3 - 10 - 48$ Building Inspector $3 - 12 - 48$ 3 - 12 - 48 Health Department $3 - 12 - 48$ Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

LERELACE IN IS DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY CARE LINE OF THE CERT OF THE OFFICE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5772</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hugh A. Vaughn, owner, and Thomas M. Neese, lessee, to use a 20 ft. by 50 ft. building at 1010 South 31st Street for a poultry market and for slaughtering and processing of chickens, North 25 ft. of the South 90 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block 41, H. P. Whitney's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. All fowl to be kept within the building;
- 2. Maximum of 150 live fowl on the premises at any one time;
- 3. Permit to be revocable for cause.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____March 10, , 19 48

Harry C. Haelsig, NSERRERARY Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2924

FORM 2145

Application Received	By N.C. Washing City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>Cureaster</u> , <u>diffenderfer</u> , <u>living & Hacking</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-</u> Decision <i>loual appaulae</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-</u> Planning Commission <u>3-12-48</u> Petit Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	48 Hearing date Date 3-10-48 M-48 Building Inspector
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

in the second second

Ministration in the second of the line of the program induced reactor spectrum of group bulker

The second se

The second se

and the state of t

THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5633</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mayne G. Shaver to construct six living units on Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4, Block 9, Seaman and Choate's Addition, Southeast corner of Date and 28th Streets, buildings to cross lot lines, and to be located approximately as follows: two residences on Lot 4 and the South 15 ft. of Lot 3, and four living units on Lots 1 and 2 and the North 10 ft. of Lot 3.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

2825

OK

By_					
Harry	C.	Haels	ig, xsemenary x		
Ass't	Pla	anning	Director	Res.	No

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>3-1-48</u> B	By P.g. Burlow City Planning Department
	By Lancaster, Diffenderfer, hung & Hack
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-48</u> Decision <u>approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-48</u> Planning Commission <u>3-12-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 3-10-48 Building Inspector
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

THE PARTY IN AT TRANSPORT OF STATE COMPLETED OF THE CARD OF THE CARD OF DUA DIESO

transition of the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5771</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. B. Harkless to construct a single family residence on the South 48 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block 7, La Mesa Colony, 69th Street, south of Saranac Street, to make a total of three living units on the two original lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 10, , 1948

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, Seconsex Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2926

Application Received	_ By _ R. m. Tarrich City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Leaunter, Supenderfer, Luing + Haller City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-9</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-</u> Planning Commission <u>3-12-48</u> Petitio Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Image: Second state date date date date date date date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The subject of the second of the particular second from the second s

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

RESOLUTION NO. 2927, extended by Res 3418

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5805</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. E. and Frances Peterson to construct a four-unit court with a 9 ft. 6 in. access court to the two rear units, Lots 37 and 38, Block 7, Ocean Beach Park, on the southwest side of Muir Street, Southeast of Ebers Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above. See Res # 2892

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

27

By				
Harry	C. Haelsig. Secretary			
Ass't	C. Haelsig, Sector	Res.	No.	29

Dated____March 10, , 19_48

FORM 2145

Application ReceivedB	y City Planning Department
investigation made	y Lancaster, Supendarfer, Europ & Hacher City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-10-48 Decision approace, modified	Building Inspector 3-12-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission 3-2-48 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	3-12-48 Health Department 3-12-48
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

San Street

where the service states

PERSOLUTION NO. PLATING SALE SALE BURNE

be: heen considered by the Zoning Counsiler to be the sound of the Sound Counsiler to be a state of the system of

the state of the second st

annel and the same terminal, and the same spectra to consider the property in the same

and the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Garland F. and Mary F. Riley to construct a 20 ft. by 24 ft. private storage garage on a vacant lot which is across the alley from the owner's residence, being Lot 35, Block 4, Sun Harbor Tract No. 1, providing an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that this garage will not be used for any commercial purpose.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

AGG 527

	March	10.	1948
Dated		3	19

FORM 2145

greenant # 527

Jelad 3-16-48

Harry C. Haelsig, XXXXXXX Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2928

Application Received <u>3-11-48</u>	By <u>R. M. City Planning Department</u>
nvestigation made <u>3-10-48</u>	By <u>Lancaster Diffenderfor ling</u> + Hack
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-48</u> Decision <u>general</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-48</u> Planning Commission <u>3-12-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective <u></u> Application withdrawn <u></u> Time limit extended to	Hearing date Date 3-10-48 Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u> 3-12-48 Health Department <u>3-12-48</u> Council Hearing, date Date Continued to Date of action

The second se a set in the set of the

The second of the second of the second of the second second

STAT OF THE WORLD

REALIZED APPLICATION No. 102 102 Las been considered by the Youing Committee

and and all the states

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5782</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. G. Selby and Lydia Lane Selby to construct a residence with a 6 ft. setback from Monte Vista Avenue on Lots 21 and 23, except the westerly 50 ft., Block 3, First Addition to South La Jolla, at the Southwest corner of Belvedere and Monte Vista Avenues.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Harry	C. Haelsi	g, Secretary.	Contraction of the second second		
Ass't	Planning	Director	Res.	No.	2929

FORM 2145

Dated

March 10.

_____, 19⁴⁸

OK

Application Received	3-1-48	_ By <u>E.C. Van Hise</u>
		City Planning Department
Investigation made	3-10-48	By Lancaster, Suggenberger, living Thall
Considered by Zoning C	ommittee 3-10-4	Hearing date
Decision (1404)	1 C de	Date 3-10-48
Copy of Resolution sent f	to City Clerk 3-11	1-48 Building Inspector 3-12-48
Planning Commission 3	-12-48 Petitic	oner 3-12-48 Health Department 3-12-48
Appeal filed with City Cle		Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	that sumption with	Date
Resolution becomes effec	tive	
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

That the productive of the sectore pill high educredy affect the Mether Plan of the Lary

the second second

THE ALCORE IN MICRACIALLY BY THE ROUTER COMMERTME OF THE CERN OF BUC DISEN

the state of the second s

Bolton a lot of the second of the second state.

WHEREAS, Application No. 5754 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carlos S. Pickering (Mrs. Conkling's Bakery(to construct a 50 ft. by 130 ft. addition to the existing non-conforming bakery to be used as a shipping room, Lots 1 to 8, inclusive, Block 1, North Highland Park, at 3277 El Cajon Boulevard, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. A Eugenia hedge to be planted along the front and the west side of the parking lot;
- The above condition to be complied with prior to 2.
- the start of construction of the addition; All parking, loading and unloading to be done off the public street. 3.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 48 March 10, Dated.

Harry C. Haelsig, SEXEMPLY Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2930

FORM 2145

Application Received 3-1-48	_ By_ P.g. Burlow
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-10-48</u>	_ By Lancaster, Diffenterfer, living & Hael. City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-10-	Y8 Hearing date
Decision (manipal Podal	Date 3-10-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-11	<u>-48</u> Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-12-48 Petitio	-48 Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u> oner 3-12-48 Health Department 3-12-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second product of the second

the taking the first state of the second state of the second state of the state of the second state

" estr name artes

buy head remaids and hy the Fonick Constitue

WHEREAS, Application No. 5783 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- not 4. That the granting of the variance will _ _adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Warner Austin, lessee of the Mission Beach Amusement Center, to construct a solid board fence 8 ft. in height at the Mission Beach Amusement Center on Mission Boulevard, on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1803, providing the fence is painted the same color as the stucco on the existing building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Harry C. Haelsig xRecretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2931

FORM 2145

Dated.

March 10.

, 1948

Application Received	_ By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-10-48</u>	By <u>Lencester</u> , Supporterier, twing & Hace City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 3-10-48 <u>YF</u> Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u> her 3-12-48 Health Department 3-12-48
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

starting to be here, to be and the set the set dense presented has about the South Consister.

the T is a the set of the set of the set of the set of the property of the set

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5753</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOU**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert and Betty Jane Franklin to construct a five-unit court on Lots 36, 37 and 38, Block 81, Pacific Beach, North side of Law Street, East of Bayard Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	March	10.	10 48
Dared			10

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, Marry Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2932

N

Application Received	By R- m. Parrick City Planning Department
Investigation made 3 -10 - 48	_ By Sancaster, Diffenderfer, Lung & Hacker City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	48 Building Inspector 3-12-48
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

L. C. LINES, - C. LE. LE. LINE S. C. B. D. D. D. D. C. C. C. P. V. L. C. P. C. P. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M.

the control of the second second second was the first of the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5781</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOU**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles Mase to erect a 12 ft. by 18 ft. garage attached to residence, said garage to have no sideyard, only 38 ft. back from the front property line at 753 West Upas Street on Lot 5, Block 4, Osborn Hill.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______March 10, , 19____48

FORM 2145

Harry^{By}C. Haelsig, XSAMARAN Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2933

Application Received 3-2-48	By S. C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Lancaster, Differentarfer, kining & Hacking
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 3-10-48 Date 3-12-48 itioner 3-12-48 Health Department 3-12-48 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a second and the second of the

Der Cransenten der Seller er ander ine det beiden genoemted mas shown insen Section

served () of providence prior () and () and ()

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5780</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen Ruth Dailey to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback from Ludington Place on Lot 6, Block A, Resubdivision of Villa Tract, La Jolla Park, Ludington Place, northerly of intersection with Soledad Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Har**Py C. Haelsig** Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2934

FORM 2145

Dated.

March 10,

Application Received	By Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-10-48</u>	By <u>Lancaster, Lippenderfer, tung + Hacks</u> City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 3-10-48 M-48 Building Inspector 1000000000000000000000000000000000000
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

LIGHT ALCORE THE TRUTT AND AND THE DESIGN CLASSER OF THE CLASS BE AND DERIGN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5779</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are __________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ruth H. MacClenathan to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback from Ludington Place on Lot 5, Block A, Resubdivision of Villa Tract, La Jolla Park, Ludington Place, northerly of intersection with Soledad Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____March 10, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Harry^{By}C. Haelsig, Assertion Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2935 OK

Application Received 3-2-48	By D.C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made 3-10-48	By Lancaster, Sufferderfer Lung & Sach
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-48</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-4</u> Planning Commission <u>3-12, -48</u> Petitione Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 3-10-48 Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

- the second of th I there we are supported to the second of the second of

- ABTING THE ALL AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A CAMPACINE ALL AND CITA OF SHE TORES

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>\$812</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elmer F. and Ruth G. Herrera to construct a residence with a 15 ft. setback on the Northeast 50 ft. of the Southeast 100 ft. of Lot 3, Block 511, Old San Diego, most westerly corner of Jefferson and Ampudia Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 10, , 1948

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, xsarrawyx Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2936

OK
Willie Design and the second state of the second state of the second state of the second seco

- 2. The second of the second se
- 3. They are a by the second second with the sould meterially affect the health of safety all property of the probability detrimental to the probability of the probability detrimental to the probability of the probability detriments to the probability of the probability detriments to the probability of the probabilit
- THERE WITH , BE IT RECORDED, MY The Louising Constitute of the City of San Diego.

The or the second se

Investigation made <u>3-10-48</u> By	Tancaster, Differcherfer, Living & Hac City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-48</u> Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-48</u>	Hearing date Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

3-3-48 By G. g. Burlow City Plan

City Planning Department

Application Received

5785

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. V. Rush to divide Villa Lot 159, Normal Heights, into two lots, each with 50 ft. frontage on Copley Avenue, and construct a single family residence on each parcel, Copley Avenue, East of Mountain View Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13594 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____March 10, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, secretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2937

Application Received By	y _ J.C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made By	y Lancester, Differderfer, Europ + Hasher City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-48</u> Decision <u>approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-48</u> Planning Commission <u>3-12-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 3-10-48 Building Inspector <u>3-12.48</u> 3-12-48 Health Department <u>3-12.48</u> Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

And C. I. Market Market And Street Street

ALL SALES

Intitations, partitions produced, by his Souther Consection of the Cley of San Disso

The second of the second of the second of the second of the

A PARTY OF THE AND A PARTY OF THE ADDRESS OF THE AD

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5791</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert Pondelick to operate an upholstering shop, part-time, at 4665 Oregon Streets, Lots 7 and 8, Block 15, University Heights, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Shop to be operated not more than four (4) hours per day and not later than 8:00 P.M.
- 2. All work to be done within the building;
- 3. No signs to be posted on the premises;
- 4. This variance granted to Mr. Pondelick only, and is not transferable;
- 5. This permit revocable for cause.

1948

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Harry C. Haelsig, x Secretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2938

FORM 2145

Dated

March 10,

Application Received	By Mail City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Lancaster - Differ lever, tening & Haces City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

A STATE AND A STAT

「「「「「「「「「「」」

HEREICCE, DE IT ECSCIARD, D' THE CARINE CONFICTION OF THE CLEY OF BAR THERE.

THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP

a set from the cost from the set of the set of

and the state of the second state of the secon

RESOLUTION NO. 2939, extending Res. # 2490

Letter dated March 1, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2490 be granted to Harriet Copeland Howe to divide Lots 2, 1 and a portion of 6, Block 11, First Addition to South La Jolla (description on file in Planning Department Office), into two parcels, each fronting on Olivetas Street and containing not less than 5,000 sq. ft., and to construct two residences on each parcel, Northwest corner of Olivetas and Arenas Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Harry C. Haelsig, Secretaryx Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2939

OK

March 10, , 19 48 Dated

Letter pplication Received 3-2-48 By mail
City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>3 - 10 - 48</u> By <u>Lancaster Differederfor</u> Lung + Hack
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-48</u> Hearing date
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-48</u> Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u>
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-11-48 Building Inspector 3-12-48
lanning Commission 3-12-48 Petitioner 3-12-48 Health Department 3-12-98
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

Manuf "Monumber

of the second seco

Another the state where show a state of the and and an and an and the become bet from an an inclusions. Summariantly of eriods because

THERE FORE, BUT IT PROFILED IN THE SAME COMPANY OF THE CARE OF THE CARE OF THE

RESOLUTION NO. 2940, extending Res. # 2395

Letter dated March 1, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2395 be granted to Sam A. Claggett to construct the third living unit on Lot G, Block 47, Mission Beach, said unit to be served by a 3 ft. access court, 719 Devon Court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 10. . 19 48 Dated___

By Harry C. Haelsig. XSERVERK Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2940

OK

Application Received	By
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-10-48</u>	By Sancaster, Diffenderfer, living & Hoelen City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-10-48	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-14-4	Date 3-10-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-14-4	& Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-12-48 Petitione	r 3-12-49 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and example a spring the

autral, teristerendo. hus been emerale by the Zonine Cromittee the Curs of the Black Cultions, but the existence presented has shown there beriate

THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE CONTRACT OF THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO

RESOLUTION NO. 2941, extending Res. # 67002-

Letter dated Feb. 21, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 10 years from the expiration date of Council Resolution No. <u>67002</u>, dated December 28, 1937, be granted to B. W. Bonham to maintain a service station on the southerly fifteen (15) ft. of Lot E, Block 184, Horton's Addition, East side of 12th Street, at Russ Boulevard, providing there are no buildings or signs placed on the remainder of the lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____March 10, ____, 19_48

Harry C. Haelsig, statement Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2941

Application Received	2.25-48	By from manager's Office City Planning Department
Investigation made	3-10-48	By <u>Rancaster</u> , <u>Siggercharfer</u> , <u>living</u> + Have City Planning Department
Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Cl Decision of Council	to City Clerk <u>3-11-1</u> -12 -18 Petition erk, date	Date 3-10-48 <u>78</u> Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u> her 3-12-48 Health Department <u>3-12-48</u>
Resolution becomes effect Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	:tive	Continued to Date of action

With the state of the second second second second for the Second Section Committee

.

3

7

See Res 3826 RESOLUTION NO. 2942, extended by Res. 3335

WHEREAS, Application No. 5763 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ not__ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph and Mable Berthelet to construct a garage with no rear yard on the Easterly 1/2 of Lots 15. 16 and 17 and the Easterly 1/2 of 18, except the southwesterly 10 ft. of the Westerly 43 ft., and the Easterly 20 ft. of Lot 19, all in Block 4, Center Addition to La Jolla Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 10, , 19 48 Dated

By Harry C. Haelsigsecretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2942

Application Received <u>3-1-48</u> By	y <u>6. 6. Van</u> Huse City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y Lancaster, Diffenderfer, Curry + Thele
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-48</u> Decision <i>appraval</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-48</u> Planning Commission <u>3-12-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date 3-10-98
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

THE ALL OF THE ALL OF ALL AND A

PROPERTION NOT THE TANK STATE OF SOM SOME STATE

RESOLUTION NO. 2943, extending Res. 3336

ok

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5762</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph and Mable Berthelet to erect a residence with a 5 ft. setback and a garage with no setback from Miramar Avenue on the Easterly 1/2 of Lots 15, 16, 17 and the Easterly 1/2 of 18, except the Southwesterly 10 ft. of the Westerly 43 ft. and the Easterly 20 ft. of Lot 19, Block 4, Center Addition to La Jolla Park, Northwest corner of Miramar and Pearl Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____March 10, , 1948

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, Seckerment Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2943

Application Received	3-1-48	_ By <u>6. C. Van Acce</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made	3-10-48	By Lancaster, Suggerder, Lung , Haller City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Decision Copy of Resolution sent Planning Commission Appeal filed with City C Decision of Council	to City Clerk <u>3-11-</u> 3-12-48 Petition	-ye Building Inspector <u>32-48</u>
Resolution becomes effe Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	ctive	Continued to Date of action

and their parts

the restory of the restory of the the fourthy Composition of the Cripton-San Dieto.

The Barbard March Strates Status

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5751</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to West Coast Corporation, owner, and Charles H. Tifal, purchaser, to divide Lot 26, Catalina Villas, into two parcels, each with 50 ft. frontage on Catalina Boulevard, and construct a single family residence on each parcel, Catalina Boulevard, at the extension of Atascadero Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Harry C. Haelsig, states, Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2944

Dated _____

March 10, , 19 48

Application Received	y mail City Planning Department
Investigation madeB	y Lancaster, Myfenderfer Eweng + Halle
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Distriction of all production by the product of the cardy of the price of the price of generation

.

OK

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5723</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property,	Lot Portion of Lot 1 Block	

Subdivision Lemon Villa

East side of 54th Street, 80 ft. North of El Cajon Blvd.

(L. K. Uhlemann)

may be used for the erection and operation of five (5) additional units to an existing twelve (12) unit motel.

subject to the following conditions______

1. Compliance with Building Department and Health

Department requirements.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Planning Director Res. No. 2945

Dated March 10, 194

	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND

the state of the annual of Lt.

JEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZORENG CONTRIPTED

CARTAN (This MMGP EDGAT.

The second secon

Investigation made _________________By________________City Planning Department Considered by Zonjng Committee 3- 40-48 Hearing date Decision Date <u>3-10-48</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-48</u> Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u> Planning Commission <u>3-12-48</u> Petitioner <u>3-12-48</u> Health Department <u>3-12-48</u> Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawnContinued to Time limit extended toDate of action

A ST. IZO SHOTLERSON AS.

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5706</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Juan and Mary Chavez to construct a bedroom and sun porch addition to a residence which has an 18 in. sideyard, addition to observe the required sideyard, 2625 Newton Avenue on Lot 18, Block 13, Reed and Hubbell's Addition, subject to the requirements of the Building Department. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be,

and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 10, 1948

Harry^BU. Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2946

OK

Application ReceivedBy	City Planning Department
Q 0 7 4	Jancaster, Suffenderfer, terring - "Hack City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _3-10-48	Hearing date Date
Decision approved City Clark 3-11-48	Building Inspector 3-12-18
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-11-48 Planning Commission 3-12-48 Petitioner 3	Health Department 3-12-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council meaning, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

Interpreted on the restriction and this control through the city of Sen Dinge

and a set of the set o

Without a province the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5729</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George B. Greenough to divide the southerly 205 ft., except the Southerly 135 ft. of the Westerly 108 ft., of Lot D, F. T. Scripps' Addition to La Jolla Park, into four parcels, according to Record of Survey 1742, and construct two living units on each, North side of Genter Street, East of Draper Street, providing the setback as required by the Setback Ordinance is observed on both Genter and Draper Streets, and providing land is dedicated and accepted for the widening of the alley to a full 20 ft. width.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____March 10, , 19 48

FORM 2145

By Harry C. Haelsig, XSEATXNAXX Ass't Planning Director Re.s. No. 2947

OK

Application Received 3 - 2 - 48 H	By H.C. Haeling City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-10-48</u>	By Lancaster Sysendarfer, hving + Hacking
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-48</u> Decision <i>Grandel</i> , <i>Romain</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-48</u> Planning Commission <u>3-12-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Hearing date Date 3-10-48 Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u>
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

THESE LOUPS WE IT RESCURPT, BY THE PARTY COMPLETES OF THE CITY OF SWE DANIES.

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY AND THE

an an and the set of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5823</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William E. Backman to construct two duplexes, buildings to cross lot lines, on Lots 43 and 44, Block 15, Ocean Beach, Southwest side of Bermuda Avenue, 100 ft. Southeast of Ebers Street, subject to architectural approval of the plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 10, ____, 19_48

FORM 2145

Harry C. Haelsig, Sextrix Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2948

OK

- I. Jas lines of the set here of an end of the set of the protection of the protects in the set

Product Law Lands (Samas, Samas, Samas)

- 3. The state of the second of the second state of the second seco
- at the free of the second s

HERE AND IN THE DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMEN'S COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SHILL AND THE

Investigation made _______ By Anneaster Suffectedenter, City Planning Department 2 Y Haels Considered by Zoning Committee 3-10-48 Hearing date Date 3-10-48 Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>311-48</u> Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u> Planning Commission <u>3-12-48</u> Petitioner <u>3-12-48</u> Health Department 3-12 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to

Application Received 3-8-48 By A.E. South City Planning Department WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5815</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frances Azhocar and Virginia A. Buenrostro to convert an existing building at 2069 Julian Street into a duplex with no sideyard on one side, providing 4 ft. is cut off the other side to provide a 4 ft. sideyard, Lots 31 and 32, Block 189, San Diego Land and Town Company's Addition, subject to the requirements of the Building Department and the Health Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____March 10, , 19 48

Harry C. Haelsig, States, Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 3-4-48 B	y <u>R.J. Hansen</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-10-48</u> B	y Diffenderfer, Lancaster, living +
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-48</u> Decision <u>approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-48</u> Planning Commission <u>3-12-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 3-10-18 Building Inspector 3-12-18 3-12-18 Health Department 3-12-18 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the second second

and the second se

INCLUSE, SE II RECORDED, BI DE DESCRIPTION OF THE CIPP OF SHE DIGROUP

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee, of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Edward Mitchell to erect a residence with a 15 ft. setback from Vista de la Mesa on Lot 9, Block 8, La Jolla Hermosa, West side of Vista de la Mesa, northerly of Camino de la Costa.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 10, 48

By______ Harry C. Haelsigseverex Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2950

FORM 2145

ok

EFECTATION PD

while of the Market Market Collection and the statement personal by the Southing Constraints and the statement has shown deep section of Ordinance Me. South as manufactures

- I. That he is a supervised of the state of the state of the state of the substance of the state of the state
- A The target of the second sec
- 3. The sum proved and by the produced provide the set of a set will attack the function of periods of periods of the set of the periods of the set of the
- THERETORY, IL IN LEGENCE . By the sector Correlation of the First of Set Dispose

petrophic sector in the sector in the sector of the sector in the sector of the sector

	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-10-48</u> B	y <u>Sancaster</u> , Suffenderfer, hving & Hachie City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-48</u> Decision approach Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-48</u>	Hearing date Date 3
Planning Commission 3-12-48 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

3-9-48

Application Received _

By D. a. Rick

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry Martinez to operate a rug shampooing business at 3131 Market Street on Lot 8, Block 112, Reed and Swayne's Central Park, subject to the following conditions : 1. No inflammable or explosive liquids to be used;

- 2. All work to be done within the building:
- The front of the building to be completed; 3.
- Existing sign to be lowered below the eaves of 40 the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 10, Dated

FORM 2145

E,

Harry By. Haelsig, Secretery Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 2951

- These she are then all the performances will gut adversarie attest the matter Plan of the Cate

- DERPYCE, DE ET REDUCTE, By the fooled Condition of the City of Sun Diego.
- Carlo and a transfer nere son retrainer au sere son retrainer au sere son aller alle son aller all premionary of any of the state of the state of the state of the state of
- Time limit extended to Date of action

and a first of the second second second and the second second second second second second second second second

Application Received	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	Sancaster, Diffenderfer, Every r 7
A traine and the same make attack among both	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-10-48	Hearing date
Decision approved, cousil	Date 3-10-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-11-48	Building Inspector 3-12-48
Planning Commission 3 - 12 - 48 Petitioner	3-12-48 Health Department 3-12-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Detection

hele

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5817</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Isador Teacher to make fire damage repairs and alterations to a hotel which has no sideyard, no rear yard and has 90.2 per cent lot coverage, 421 E Street on the East 1/2 of Lots A and B, Block 62, Horton's Addition, subject to the requirements of the affected departments.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 0

Dated____March 10, , 19 48

By Harry C. Haelsig, XSCAXCAXX Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2952

Application Received By	R. m. Parrich City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-10-48</u> By	Lencaster Diffenderfer, Keneng & Haeler City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-10-48	Hearing date
Decision appraved	Date 3-10-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-11-48	Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 11 - 48$ Planning Commission $3 - 12 + 48$ Petitioner	3 -12 -48 Health Department 3 - 12 - 48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second sec

at the CISS of Son Prince, California, and the residence presented has shown (see Section

HURSTON DE L'ERECHCED, DU HIN CAPULAR CARDITURE OF THE CLEW OF SHE BINED.

The state of the last of the state of the st

Malerial carrier of the tradiction states are presented and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5793</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eldon G. and Josephine Bradshaw to erect a living room and garage addition to an existing residence at 4944 Foothill Boulevard, addition to have a 4 ft. sideyard, Lot 17, Elock 20, North Shore Highlands.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_March 10, , 19 48

Harry C. Haelsig, secretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2953

Application Received	By <u>P. J. Burlon</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Lencaster, Diffenderfer, living + Haller City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-10-48	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 3-10-48 Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u> er 3-12-48 Health Department <u>3-12-48</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-11-9	Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-12-48 Petitione	er 3-12-48 Health Department 3-12-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

R. . h

IN KINDLESS OF TA REPORTED IN AND SHE AND CONSTRUCT OF THE CITY OF BUILDING

TO AT LUN HILL THE WAR AT LOD AN APL ON LONDARD TO THE ADDING ODDING.

and the second second

and and a particular and a second a second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5579</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of R. N. Wheatcraft to divide Lot 4, Block 2, Alta Mesa Villas, into two building sites, each 75 ft. by 150 ft., and construct a single family residence on each, 5131 Manchester Road, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 10, , 19 48

Harry C. Haelsig, Merry Res. No. 2954
Application Received	
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made	DV
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	<u>3-10-48</u> Hearing date
Decision A. Donied	Date 3-10-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler	Date 3-10-48 k <u>3-11-48</u> Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-12.48	Petitioner 3-12-78 Health Department 3-12-70
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

I. That shows between the second statistic statistics of space spectrality to other property in the prove the

3. That a more than the state of the state of the second of the present the present the

The the provide the restored of the relation o

CHIEFCHURY IN INCOMENT. THEREFORE, IS IT SECTOR D. BA MAY Decide Constrained at the Caty of Sam Divisio

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5747</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles H. and Gladys Clark to divide a portion of Lot D, Resubdivision of La Jolla Hills No. 2 (known as Lot 22 of Assessor's Map No. 36) into two parcels, according to plat on file in Planning Department Office, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, Southwest side of Hillside Drive at intersection of Gravina Drive, closed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

OK

Dated_____March 10, ____, 1948

By Harry C. Haelsig, Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2955

Application ReceivedB	y <u>G. g. Buslow</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-10-48</u> B	y Lancaster, Differederfer, King y Haeling
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-48</u> Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-48</u>	Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

THERE WE ARE THE PERSON AND A PARTY OF A CAMPAGE AND A PARTY OF THE CARD WE SAME DEVELOP

RESOLUTION NO. 2956 amending Res. # 2009-

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2009, dated January 30, 1947, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. D. Manseau to erect a cottage with a 12 ft. rear yard now, and four residences later, on Lot 59, Burns' Court (Assessor's Map No. 1), which parcel has no street frontage, approximately 180 ft. North of National Avenue, East of 39th Street, providing a setback of not less than the buildings on both sides of this property is observed. This permit for Mr. Manseau only and is not transferable.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____March 10, , 19 48

By Harry C. Haelsig, Seletex Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2956

Application Received	By
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Lencester living Sufferderfer & there City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-10-48	
Decision Approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-4</u>	Date 3-10-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-11-4	8 Building Inspector <u>3-12.48</u>
Planning Commission 3-12.48 Petitione	r 3-12-48 Health Department 3-12-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE R. C.C. 12 II. DESCRIPTION B. THE ZAMINE CONCLUSED BY THE CATE OF SEC.

A. The fight provide the state of the state of the state of a state of the state of the State

second to have seen and applied and

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5769</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Annibal T. and Jeanne Borges, Claude and Josephine Noorda and Carl E. and Marie Johnson to divide Lots 25, 26, 27 and 28, into three parcels and permit a single family residence on each, Westerly corner of Cape May Avenue and Guizot Streets, providing the setback as required by the Setback Ordinance is observed on both Cape May and Guizot Streets. Parcels to be as follows:.l. 50 ft. by 100 ft. facing GuizotStreet, 2. 50 ft. by 90 ft. facing Cape May Avenue, and 3. 50 ft. by 90 ft. facing Cape May Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA OK

see 4324

Dated March 10, , 19 48

By Harry C, Haelsig, McCARANXX Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2957

FORM 2145

WINDOW WARDEN TO COLORAD

Application Received 2 - 2 5 - 48 By	<u>J.C. Baughman</u> CityPlanning Department
Investigation made <u>3-10-48</u> By	Januaster Enning Diffenberfer + Hace City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-48</u>	Hearing date Date 3-10-48 Building Inspector 3-12-48 3-12-48 Health Department 3-12-48
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a to be an an an an an an an

A Content

.

and second the second second

the second s

and the second second to be a second as a second second second second second second second second second second

Beneric and and a set of the set

WHEREAS, Application No. 5814 ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not ____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louis and Evelyn H. Kahanek, owners, and Sam J. Russo and Ford F. Bratcher, purchasers, to construct a masonry wall 8 ft. in height with no setback from 62nd Street, on the easterly boundary of a drive-in theater on a portion of Lot 6, La Mesa Colony, said fence to be constructed from a point 186 ft. south of El Cajon Blvd. to a point 860 ft. south of El Cajon Blvd., measured along the Westerly line of 62nd Street

and No. 2931

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321/be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above. fence may be relocated according to play Plan. 9-16-53

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

The second se

March 10, , 19 48 Dated

Harry C. Haelsig Xseever Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2958

Application Received <u>3-4-48</u>	By R. m. Farrich City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-10-48</u>	By Lancaster, Diffenderfer, Ewing & Hace. City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-10-</u> Decision <u>approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-</u> Planning Commission <u>3-12-48</u> Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	USEUSEUse3-12-48USE3-12-48USE3-12-48ner3-12-48Health Department3-12-48
Application withdrawn	Continued to

Time limit extended to

sorright the sub lice will be a to be been to be

Date of action

and a fine of the second state of the second state of the second state of the

a great the task is a new borner of the second and the second second second second second second second second

DERMINED IN TO DESCRIPTION HARDEN CAMPAGE CAMPAGE AT THE CITY OF SON DIGTOR

RESOLUTION NO. 29	2	9	
-------------------	---	---	--

Letter dated March 8, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2838, dated January 28, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Irene L. White to divide the South 25 ft. of the North 1/2 of the North 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 30, and the South 1/2 of the North 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 30; Horton's Purchase of Ex-Mission Lands, West side of Euclid Avenue, approximately 150 ft. South of Hilltop Drive extended, into two parcels, one 130 ft. by 200 ft., and the other approximately 2 1/2 acres in size with 60 ft. frontage on Euclid Avenue, and to permit a single family residence on each, providing an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that when the city requests it, an easement 10 ft. in width will be granted for the widening of Euclid Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 10, , 19 48

By Harry C. Haelsig, REESEXX Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2959

Application Received <u>3-9-48</u>	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Lancaster, Sufferderfer, Enung + Haelen City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-10-48	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-48</u>	Date 3-10-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-11-48	Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-12-48 Petitioner	- 3-12-48 Health Department 3-12-78
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ALL THE ALL PARTY

tpice is a second in the second is a second is the second

INCOMPOSED IN IL NEXT FOR AN INCOMPT COMPTING IN 194 CITA PL 200 DIAKA

and a manager of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5690</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Major Frank H. Page to divide Lots 92 and 93, Point Loma Villas, into three parcels, according to plat on file in Planning Department Office, and erect a single family residence on each of two parcels, with one dwelling existing on Lot 93, Alcott Street, between Poinsettia Drive and Greston Drive, providing the setbacks as required by the Setback Ordinance are observed on all three of the above-named streets. Parcels to be as follows: 1. All of Lot 93 except the northerly 71 ft. and the westerly 20 ft. thereof. 2. The northerly 71 ft. of Lots 92 and 93. 3. The westerly 20 ft. of Lot 93, except the Northerly 71 ft. thereof, and all of Lot 92, except the northerly 71 ft. thereof.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA OK

Dated____March 10, , 19_48

Harry C. Haelsig, Karanyxxx Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 2960

- prove strates and the proving all backets strategy of the second
- that any structure of the model of the state of the state

- there have at the second star by the yourset Compactnee of the City of Sun brokes
- ALT AND DURING AND ALT AND ALL AND AND AND ALL AND A
- Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to " angete station of a shall a second state the

A LOUIS CONTRACTOR AND AND A LOUIS AND A

By

			City Flamming Department
Investigation made	3-10-48	- By Lancaster	, Deffecterfer, &
		-	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee 3-10-4	16 Hearing date	CORE EL TRE CORS C.
Decision approv	ied	Date 3-1	
Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk 3-11	48 Building Ins	pector <u>3-12-48</u>

2-26-48

Planning Commission 3-12-48 Petitioner

Maria Carlo Car

Application Received _

tweng + Hackag

3-12

3-12-48 Health Department

5821

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lawrence A. Barr to construct a residence with no setback from Hillside Drive on a portion of Lot 59, La Jolla Hills (description on file in the Planning Department Office), Hillside Drive, southerly of Soledad Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 10, _____ , 19 48

FORM 2145

Glenn A. Rick, XSecretary City Planning Director Res. No. 2961

Application ReceivedB	By O. C. Daughman City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-10-48</u> B	By Lancaster, Diffenberfer, Ewing & Theele
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-10-48	_ Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 3-10-48
Decision appraced Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-12-48</u>	Building Inspector <u>3-12-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-12-48 Petitioner	3-12-48 Health Department 3-12-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

These such is if it should be showing Consister of the City of San Livers

Walness, And Transform Constitution and the Fullence properties has shown for her Leribe

a management of the second second

OK

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5767</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lots 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 & 18 lock 155	
Subdivision Pacific Beach	
Southwest corner of Diamond and Mission Boulevard	
(R. W. Kerns)	
may be used for the erection and operation of a 24-unit motel.	
subject to the following conditions	

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

Glenn A. Rick, Sto City Planning Director

City of San Diego, California

Res.

No. 2962

Dated March 12, 194 8

	3 2 1 1		1 1 1 1 A

an Diaro, California, and the excitence presented by the dama postantice, of the end

L. Then the provide, or the application is a construction to the provident provident of the provident of a second by other provident of the pr

San Diere.

LEBORE, BE TI RESOLVED BY THE SUSING COMMUNIES.

Subdivision.

may be used for the error or and specifion of the specific

ubject to the following conducting

Considered by Zoning Committee. 3-10-48 Hearing date Decision Approved - (3-10-48) Date 3-17-48 (3per 3.C. making) Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-12-48 Building Inspector 3-12-48 Planning Commission 3-12-48 Petitioner 3-12-48 Health Department 3-12-48

Investigation made 3-10-48 By Sancaster, Sufferderfer, leverg & Hack City Planning Department

City Planning Department

Application Received 3-3-48 By J.C. Baugh

RESOLUTION NO. 2963, amending Res. No. 2922 Atended by Res. 3395

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5668</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2922, dated March 10, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth and Betty Creel to erect two (2) four-unit apartment buildings, each with a 14 ft. setback from Ohio Street, on a portion of Lots 42 to 48, inclusive, Block 64, University Heights, closed street adjacent, and a portion of Pueblo Lot G, southwest corner of Ohio and Monroe Streets, providing a setback is observed from Monroe Street and providing these buildings are located on the north 135 ft. of the above-described parcel of land.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 16,

, 19_48 P. (By Burton,

Secretary

Res. No. 2963

ilo
2

A . Then the provention of the best we passed with , the west of a which the manual Place of the Cart

THERE SOLD , BY IT DOULDED BY THE FORTH COPPLETON OF THE CUT OF SHA DOULD

And its many

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5594</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward R. and Betty P. Dale, owners, and W. W. and Dorothy D. Stewart, purchasers, to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1297, known as Arbitrary Lot No. 24F of Assessor's Map No. 27 (description on file in Planning Department Office), into two parcels of one-half acre each and construct a single family residence on each, one parcel to have 90 ft. frontage on a private easement 60 ft. in width, and the other parcel to be served by a 10 ft. easement leading to the above-mentioned 60 ft. easement, East of La Jolla Shores Drive, South of Camino del Oro.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294/be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 18, , 1948

FORM 2145

P. Q. Burton, Zoning Engineer

SeteratyX

Res. No. 2964

ol<

Application ReceivedB	N.C. Haeling
	City Planning Department
Investigation made _1-28-48 By	City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-28-48	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-18.48</u>	Date 1-28-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-18.48	Building Inspector <u>3-19-48</u>
Planning Commission 3 -19 -48 Petitioner	3-19-48 Health Department 3-19-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

3. The materially affect the basish of select of materially affect the basish of select of persistent of the personal the personal terms of the select of th

THELP THE IN IT PERSIAND AT U. & FORING COUNTLES OF the City of San Dieport

A TELEVISION OF THE TATE OF THE TELEVISION PROVIDE THE PARTY OF THE PA

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5800</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. P. Manino to convert a garage to a third living unit, said living unit to maintain a 4 foot access court to the street, on Lot 31, Block 36, Resubdivision of Lots H and I, Teralto. located at 4226-4228 Wilson Street

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 18</u>, ¹⁹ 40

By_____ P. Q. Burton Stretchk Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 2965

OK

Application Received	48 By C. B. Race City Planning Department	
Investigation made	By Lancaster Deffenderfer twing	Hael
Considered by Zoning Committee	3-17-49 Hearing date	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cle Planning Commission 3-19-48	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Date} \exists -17 - 48 \\ \text{rk} \exists -18 - 48 \\ \hline \text{Rescalar Building Inspector} \exists -18 - 48 \\ \hline \text{Petitioner} \exists -18 - 48 \\ \hline \text{Health Department} \exists -18 - 48 \\ \hline Health Depar$	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date	
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to	
Application withdrawn	Date of action	

The sector was been been a state of the sector of the sect

The second secon

INTERCOME IN THE PROPERTY AND AND AND A COMPANY AND A CARACTER STOLEN.

Les inter a partie of a serie of a

the loss a set of the set of the set of the

Party is a second se

the state of the state

A the part of the

1 GR . 18.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5869</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter and Evelyn Ornstein to construct a single family residence on the Southwesterly 75 feet of Lot 2, Block 526, Old San Diego, located on the southeast side of Ampudia Street approximately 200 feet northeast of Pine Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 18 , 19 48

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

P. Q. Burton Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 2966

OK

Application Received	By <u>R. m. Carrich</u> City Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made <u>3-17-48</u>	By Sancaster Sufferderfor living, Bulo City Planing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-17-46</u>	Mearing date Date 3-17-48
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-18-2</u>	18 Building Inspector 379-48
Planning Commission 3-19-98 Petitione	er 3-19-48 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a many within the from the ball of the state of the state and the state of the state of the state of the state of the

there are an an an entropy of the second to be the train of the second the second the second beaches

per in the second of the second of the second second sources in the second seco

the state of the s

THE STREET

DEFOUNTION NO STOR

the state

RESOLUTION NO. 2967, extending Res. No. 2541 extended by Res-3444

Letter dated March 15, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. ____ __has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- __special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2541 be granted to Ira B. and Florence Riford to construct a garage with a 1 ft. setback from Dolphin Place on Lot 3, Block I, Resubdivision of a portion of Bird Rock City-bythe-Sea, 5686 Dolphin Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 1948 March 24, Dated

Zoning Engineer XSEARCHAXY

Res. No. 2967

FORM 2145

OK

Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u> By	City Planning Department
Decision <i>Approved</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-25-48</u> Planning Commission <u>3-26-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

By mail

City Planning Department 0

3-15-48

etter

Application Received _

RETOTATION VOLUMENT & ACTIVITY

1.15. 7

een de la terre de la companya de la

and provide the broad for any dealers by previously the second

and and the second s

RESOLUTION NO. 2968, extending Res. No. 2532

Letter dated March 17, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2532 be granted to Bernice Belanger to construct a residence on Lots 3, 4, 5 and 6, Block 77, Middletown Addition, said addition to observe a 3 ft. rear yard and a 4 ft. side yard, Northerly side of Neale Street, 200 ft. Westerly of Pringle Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_March 24,

, 19 48

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 2968

Application Received	18 By mail
represented	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u>	By Lancaster, Lucy & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Loning Committee 3-6	rearing date
Decision approved	Date $3 - 24 - 48$ 3 - 25 - 46 Building Inspector $3 - 26 - 48etitioner 3 - 26 - 48 Health Department 3 - 26 - 48$
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk	<u>3-25-46</u> Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-26-48 P	etitioner 3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THERE CAR, ME IT PARTINELS, D. the Zenthal Constitute of the Carty of Can branch

an plant the state of the state of and the state of an and the state of the state of the state of the state of the

the second of the second is the restriction of the second of the second

the party of the second of the second second and will all the second by the second second second second second

Above to the West

RESOLUTION NO. 2969, extending Res. No. 2533

Letter dated March 17, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>mt</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2533 be granted to Bernice Belanger to construct a residence with a 15 ft. setback on Lots 3, 4, 5 and 6, Block 77, Middletown Addition, Northerly side of Neale Street, 200 ft. Westerly of Pringle Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

	March	24.	
Dated	And an other states of		and the second

FORM 2145

19 48

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 2969

		4	

WHEN IS THE POST OF THE PART OF THE PART OF THE DURING ON THE DOUTING CONSERVICE

- house the first first sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the present of the sector of the

- INCLUDED B. II DISCLARD BE GE SHELD CAMPIELS AT The CLEVEN OF SHE DECK

Application Received 3-18-48 B	v mail
when the second se	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u> B	y Lancaster living + Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48	Hearing date
Decision	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Parton

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5789</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lee B. and Marjorie Harris to construct a 13 ft. by 40 ft. rumpus room attached to an existing garage which has no sideyard and no rear yard, addition to have no sideyard and no rear yard, 2430 Presidio Drive, Lot 4 of Block B, Presidio Hills.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

```
Dated March 24, . 19 48
```

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Mackethoyx

Res. No. 2970

OK

Application Received	By <u>D.C. Baughman</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u>	By <u>Lancester</u> hung & Burlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24	4-48 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-	25-46 Building Inspector 3-26-48
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Peti	tioner 3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THERE IS NO TO BE TO BE STATED BY SHARE STATED TO BE THE OF THE CREWING HOW DISEN

area to the state state .

L'ANDA LATA

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5832</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack E. Arnold to construct a residence and garage with an 8 ft. setback from Hilldale Road, Lots 126 and 127, Kensington Heights Unit No. 2 on the East side of Hilldale Road, South of Braeburn Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ March 24, , 1948

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer States

Application Received	By J.C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made 3-24-4	By Lancester living & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3- Decision approach	24-48 Hearing date Date 3-24-48 3-25-48 Building Inspector 3-26-48
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Pe	etitioner 3-26-98 Health Department 3-26-98 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the set of the set

The state of the state of the state to the state of the city of the back

REAL AND REAL OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL OF

and the second second

LEOFGLIOV ROTING

HETT'S A STATE ALTON NAME JAND, MAR LOOK PROPERTIES IN THE TOPICS OF A STATE OF A STATE

Out of the second of the secon

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5833</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. R. W. Doerr to construct three living units on Lots 43 and 44, Block 6, Ocean Beach, buildings to cross lot lines, Niagara Street between Froude and Guizot Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 24, , 19 48

Zoning Engineer

Sectedary.
Application Received	_ By <u>R. J. Hanse</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u>	_ By <u>Lancaster</u> , <u>lung</u> F Burlor City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3.24	- 48 Hearing date
Desision	Jate 3 - 24 - 48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20	5-48 Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitic	5-46 Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u> oner <u>3-26-48</u> Health Department <u>3-26-48</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE PARTY OF TARGETTERS IN THE PARTY COMPLETERS OF THE CITY OF THE DESCRIPTION

State of the second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4979</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry Thompson to construct a single family residence on the East 1/2 of Lot 5, and Lot 6, (except the East 20 ft.), Block 9, La Jolla Hermosa, North side of Via del Norte, East of Avenida Cortez.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated March 24, . 1948

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>3-19-48</u> B	y <u>C. C. Van Mice</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u> B	y <u>Sencester</u> <u>Enring</u> * <u>Surlon</u> City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date $3 - 2 - 48$ Building Inspector $3 - 2 - 6 - 48$ 3 - 2 - 6 - 48 Health Department $3 - 2 - 6 - 48$
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

TRUET TYPE BUT DE PRODUCTION De the Eastin Committee of the City of Son Plane

ters and the second of the second

and the second second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4978</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ mot ____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry Thompson to construct a residence with a 17 ft. setback on the East 1/2 of Lot 5 and Lot 6, (except the East 20 ft.), Block 9, La Jolla Hermosa, North side of Via del Norte, East of Avenida Cresta.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 24, , 19 48 Dated

Zoning Engineer XSCORCERX

Res. No. 2974

OK

FORM 2145

Application Received	By <u>6. C. Van Acce</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Lancaster, Energy + Burlow City Planning Department
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitic Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

*

• all Bris destruction for the been considered by the Soulog Committee

Stores in a second s

THE ADDRESS OF THE RESULTED, TO THE CALLER COMPLETER OF THE CALF OF SHE DECKN.

RESOLUTION NO. 2975

following WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5452</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- no 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ not_ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Fiske I. Brooks of the Embassy Hotel to erect a Neon roof sign, 7 ft. 8 in. by 9 ft. in size, and 15 ft. above the roof level of the hotel, 3645 Park Boulevard on Lots 1 and 2, Block 247, University Heights, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary.

March 24, Dated

, 19 48

Zoning Engineer

DK

FORM 2145

Application Received	8 By P. J. Burlow City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u>	
Considered by Zoning Committee 3- 3 Decision Deried Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk = Planning Commission 3 - 26-48 Pe	$\frac{3-25-48}{3-25-48}$ Building Inspector $\frac{3-26-48}{3-26-48}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date $3 \cdot 2$ Decision of Council <i>appeal</i> such	6.48 Council Hearing, date 4- 6-48
Resolution becomes effective 3c deci	ion operruled
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. D. P. 1. D. 1.

STREET OLION NO. -

alle Cherry and Drades Construction and the activate blockstoned pair almost band good that

1

See Res 2975 BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RESOLUTION NO.

That the appeal of Electrical Products Corporation, 1702 Kettner Boulevard, from the decision of theZoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 2975, application No. 5452 of Fiske I. Brooks, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended, to erect a Neon roof sign 7 ft. 8 in. by 9 ft. in size, and 15 ft. above the roof level of the Embassy Hotel, 3645 Park Boulevard on Lots 1 and 2, Block 247, University Heights, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

88933

		the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution	
f the Council of the C	ity of San	Diego, as adopted by said Council <u>April 6, 1948</u>	

	Fred W. Si	lck
By	F. T. Patt	City Clerk. Cen
Dy		Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 88933

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appea Boulevari, from the Resolution No. 2975, to the provisions of sign 7 ft. 8 in. by Embassy Hotel, 3645 Heights, be, and it is hereby overruled.

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

ion, 1702 Kettner n denying by its Brooks, for variance to erect a Neon roof ne roof level of the lock 247, University ing Committee decision

ce le 393.5

J hereby freing reriify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. <u>88933</u> (the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council <u>April 6, 1948</u>

1 10 10	V. Sick	DS	11	-
City Clerk.	Patten	T	শ্ব	
Deputy	1100001	e -	0	Low rest and the local sectors

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward Hendrix to construct a single family residence on Lot 24 and the Southerly 6 ft. of Lot 25, measured along the street, Block 10, Bird Rock Addition, providing this residence is not less than 9 ft. from the adjoining residence to the north, Westerly side of Linda Rosa Street, Northerly of Midway Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 24, , 1948

Zoning Engineer X.SecretaryX

Res. No. 2976

OK

FORM 2145

Application Received 3-18-48 B	y <u>R. m. Carrich</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u> B	y Lancaster twing & Burlow City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3 - 24 - 48	Hearing date
Decision approved Course	Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-48	Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u>
Planning Commission 3.26-48 Petitioner	3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A PARTY AND THE PARTY AND THE PARTY

ALL DI MARTIN

the second of the property of Caprovenduct in the netwhen bench in the service so the constructions, and will USA she enterially delligents) to

THE BUCKT, HE HE PERCENTER, By the Loning Consistent of the City of Sam Diego

and a start of the set with the Fundation of the water of

2977

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 5866 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are __ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- not 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles P. Sisson to construct a residence and garage with a 5 ft. setback from Nutmeg Street on Lots 23 and 24, and closed street adjacent, Block 1, Park Addition, Southwest corner of Nutmeg and 29th Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 24, Dated

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received	By b. C. Van Here
PP	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u>	By Lancaster king + Bulon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48	Hearing date 3
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-25-4</u>	Building Inspector 3-26-48
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitione	r 3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

of the City of Day Distance Callfornia, and the example preferred for plant face for the

This is they be it presidently by the country Countries of the City of Section Distance

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5873</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas Thomas to construct a garage, partially in a bank, with no setback from La Jolla Avenue and with an 18 in. sideyard, Lot 2, Block 214, Middletown, 2086 La Jolla Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 24, , 19 48

By ______ Zoning Engineer Sectors OK

Application Received	-48 By R. J. Nancen City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 24 - 4$	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Considered by Zoning Committee	<u>3-24-48</u> Hearing date Date <u>3-24-48</u> k <u>3-25-48</u> Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Petitioner 3 - 26 - 48 Health Department 3 - 26 - 48 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second of the second se

LIGH KOLLIGZ NO.

.

and the first of the state but there and the performed presented has shown (see part one

pend to a second of the second of the second of the second s

THE A REAL PROPERTY OF ANY LONGING COMPANY OF ANY DISK OF SHE PERKA

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5871</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOU**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James K. and Evelyn Fay Marechal to erect a sign 15 sq. ft. in area not closer than 8 ft. to the front property line at 2200 Torrey Pines Road on a portion of Pueblo Lots 1286, 1288 and 1289, known as Arbitrary Lot No. 1 of Assessor's Map No. 33-A (description on file in Planning Department Office), providing an agreement is signed by the owners and filed of record to the effect that if and when this street is widened, this sign will be moved back without cost to the city.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 24, , 19 48

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer States

Res. No. 2979

OK

Application ReceivedB	y <u>R. m. Varrich</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 24 - 48$ B	y <u>Lancaster</u> liverg & Burlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-24-48</u> Decision Cond & Approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-25-48</u>	Hearing date Date 3-24-48 Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	5-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second s

,

a second s

and a subscription on the by white provide the

and the second character by any creation of the second contracter the

5868

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Margaret Orban to construct an addition with a 10 ft. rear yard to a residence which has a $7\frac{1}{2}$ ft. rear yard, and with a total of 54 per cent lot coverage, including the addition, 4280 Hermosa Way, on a portion of Lot 7, Block 5, Mission Hills (description on file in Planning Department Office), is hereby denied.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer statisty

Res. No. 2980

FORM 2145

Dated

March 24.

oK

Application Received	By E. C. Van Here
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 3 -24 - 48	_ By <u>Lancaster</u> , <u>Lung</u> r Burlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24	-48 Hearing date
Decision deried	Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	25-48 Building Inspector 3-26-48
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petiti	25-48 Building Inspector $3-26-48ioner 3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

DENEL 19 1. 11 DODATE IN THE JOINTON CONSTITUES OF THE CITY OF CHE DISCO

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5859</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William and Jewell B. Henderson to construct nine residential units on Lots 1 to 6, inclusive, Block 26, Ocean Beach Park, with portions of five units to be on Lot 6, Easterly corner of Larkspur Street and West Point Loma Blvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated March 24, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 2981

Application Received <u>3-17-48</u> B	R. Jausen
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u> B	y Lancaster, lining + Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-48	Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-48	Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner	3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second second second in the second second second like second like second second second second second second

.

1

WHEREAS, Application No. _____5860 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William and Jewell B. Henderson to construct nine residential units on Lots 1 to 6, inclusive, Block 26, Ocean Beach Park, all buildings to be kept back of a diagonal setback line, varying from 5 ft. to 14 ft., as shown on plans on file in the Planning Department Office, on Larkspur Street, and maintaining a 5 ft. setback on West Point Loma Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 1948 March 24, Dated

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 2982

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u> By	Generater, Europ + Burlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-48	Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-48	Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner	3 - 26 - 48 Health Department $3 - 26 - 48$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

services of the substitute institute to the

A THE REAL PROPERTY AND A THE

.

THEST OF AN ALL MAILED, BY THE FORMER COMMITTEE OF THE CITY AL DED VIEWS

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5878</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Luther M. and Cassie Clapper to construct two additional units on the South 1/2 of Lot 21 and all of 22, Block G, Montecello, to make a total of four units on the property, the new units to be served by an existing 8 ft. 6 in. access court, 4518 Winona Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 24, . 19 4

Zoning Engineer statisty

FORM 2145

Application Received 3-18-48	By R-m. Carriek
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u>	_ By <u>Lancaster</u> , tung & Berlos City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 3-29	4-48 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-3	25-48 Building Inspector 3-26-48
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petit	Date $3 - 24 - 48$ 25 - 48 Building Inspector $3 - 26 - 48ioner 3 - 26 - 48 Health Department 3 - 26 - 48$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5667</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred and Gertrude Kamnetz to enclose an existing porch over a garage constructed in a bank and which encroaches into the setback, porch to observe the required sideyards, but only a 5 ft. setback, 3119 First Avenue on Lot E, Block 360, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > X Secretary

Dated _____

19 48

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 2984

2.5

FORM 2145

Application ReceivedB	v R.J. Hansen
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u> B	y <u>Lancaster</u> , <u>Lucy</u> F Bulow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 3-24-48
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-40	Building Inspector 3-26-48
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner	3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

.

the set of the set of the set of the set of the

a second share the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5850</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Zelma Beck to construct a garage with a 5 ft. sideyard on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1119, according to plat on file in Planning Department Office, Camino Del Rio.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

March 24. Dated

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received	5 By D. E. South
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u>	By Lancaster, Curing & Burlos City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-	24-48 Hearing date
D	1)ate 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk.	3-25-48 Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u> Petitioner 3-26-48 Health Department <u>3-26-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-26-48 P	Petitioner 3-26-46 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

LEVOLUTION NO. - Standard

Move as the formation for the seldence presented has shown fare farting the forthe for the forthe fo

The second secon

The second second

and a special star beauty lots h there was the bird attack the

The strend of the Kashanage, he the Zoning Conmittee of the Cate of San Diego more, a feature of the strend of the Sound of the cate of the Cate of San Diego the strend of the strend o 5852

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry and Hilda Landt to construct six residential units on Lots 4 to 7, inclusive, and the Westerly 1/2 of Lot 8, Block 21, Ocean Beach Park, with a 5 ft. setback from Lotus Street and a 5 ft. setback from West Point Loma Boulevard, Southeast corner.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZonBhg Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_____March 24, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2986

10

Application ReceivedB	y_ J.C. Baughman
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u> B	Jancaster, Ewing & Burlow
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48	Hearing date
Decision apprended Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-25-48</u>	Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-35-48	Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner	3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a to prove the second sec

and the second for and

The second s

·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5842</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wilbur R. Coahran to construct a residence with a 5 ft. rear yard, with a 20 ft. sideyard to be maintained on the east side, Lots 8 and 9, Block 164, La Playa, Perry Street, East of San Antonio Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____March 24, , 19 48

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer

Segretary

Application Received _	3-12-48	_ By By O	ity Planning Departm	ient
Investigation made	3-24-48	- By Samenste	Ecucing ity Planning Departm	Burlow
Considered by Zoning Decision Approx Copy of Resolution sen	to City Clerk 3 -25	Date 3-29	tor 3-26	- 48
Planning Commission Appeal filed with City	<i>3-26-48</i> Petition Clerk, date	ner 3-26-98 H	g, date	t 3-26-90
Decision of Council		Date	To grant the second second	
Application withdrawn	1	Continued to		
Decision Appeal filed with City of Council Planning Commission Appeal filed with City of Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	to City Clerk <u>3-25</u> 3-26-48 Petition Clerk, date fective	#8 Hearing date Date 3-24 -#8 Building Inspector ner 3-26-46 H Council Hearin Date	4 - 48 ctor <u>3 - 26</u> ealth Departmen	- 48

- -

THE DESIGN OF AT THE ALTON DA DAY FORMAT COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SAM DIREG

and the second second

The second se V short to a new process second coupling, which protect as the second second second second second second second WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5837</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED; By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gardner and Ruth Stowe to construct a residence and a garage with a 5 ft. setback from Cromwell Court on Lot 5, Indian Hill Subdivision, Westerly side of Cromwell Court, 260 ft. North of Cromwell Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sacratary

March 24, , 19 48 Dated

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2988

Application Received	_ By Daughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 \cdot 24 - 48$	By <u>Lancaster leving & Burlow</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3.24 Decision approved	Date 3-24-48
C f Decolution aget to City Clerk J'd	2.48 Building Inspector 3-26-48
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitic	oner 3-26-98 Health Department 3-26-79
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

BURN WITCH BALLYNN DANLENARD I DE PARTY MERKATA

is a first of the second of the second second through applied by the property in the second s

advaroaly stress the Markey Film of the Care

per en la seconda de la sec and the period with the second s DOUDT IN THE PROPERTY OF THE XMANG COMPLETES OF THE CITY OF Der PLACE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5826</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. W. Dushek and J. O. Tanner to construct a single family residence on the Northwesterly 50 ft. of Lots 5 and 6, Block 92, Point Loma Heights, Santa Cruz Avenue, Northerly of Guizot Street, setback from Santa Cruz Avenue to be the average of the two adjoining buildings.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_	March	24,	19 48
Dateu_	and the second se		

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

XSecretary

Res. No. 2989

6.0
Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u> B	y <u>Lancaster liver of Burlow</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-24-48</u>	Hearing date
Decision	Date $3 - 2 - 4 - 4 - 8$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-25-48</u>	Building Inspector $3 - 2 - 6 - 4 - 8$
Planning Commission 3 -26 - 48 Petitioner	3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

sare a series of the second second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5794</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. N. Edwards to construct a wire fence with 4-strand barbed wire on top to a total height of 4 ft. 6 in. on Lots 32, 33, 34, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 67, 68 and 69, Del Norte Addition to Encanto Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____March 24, ____, 19___48

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer Serveracy.

Res. No. 2990

58.

Application Received	By_R.J. Hansen
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Lancaster, leaving + Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-4	Hearing date
Decision antraned	Date $3 - 24 - 48$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2:29	Building Inspector J-20 40
Planning Commission 3.25-48 Petitione	r 3-35-F8 Health Department 3-25-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5839</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. L. McMechen to construct a single family residence on the Northerly 75 ft. of Lots 11 and 12 and the Northerly 75 ft. of the Easterly 16 2/3 ft. of Lot 13, Block 1, Park Addition, South side of Nutmeg Street, between 29th and 30th Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______ March 24, , 19__48

Zoning Engineer xxxxxxx

Res. No. 2991

OK

Application Received	By <u>66- Vand Mase</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u>	By <u>Suncaster living + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3.24-4</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3.25</u> Planning Commission <u>3.26-48</u> Petition	8 Hearing date
Decision apponet	Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25	<u>48</u> Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petition	er 3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

And a second of the second second

and the second provide the second s

the production of the state of

a service of the set of the second second

and all allowed after the water flan of the city

The second of the second in the second of the second of the second s

ALSOLUTION NO. SHORE

Maximum by the Working her and the exidence presented her shown from Souther

The second s

the state of the second s

hrs ind .

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5840</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. L. McMechen to construct a residence with no setback from Nutmeg Street on the Northerly 75 ft. of Lots 11 and 12 and the Northerly 75 ft. of the Easterly 16 2/3 ft. of Lot 13, Block 1, Park Addition, South side of Nutmeg Street between 29th and 30th Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_ March 24, , 19 48

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 2992

OK

FORM 2145

0

Application Received	By <u>C. Jaw Mase</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 24 - 48$	By Lincaster, living Durlow City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $3-24-48$ E Building Inspector $3-26-48$ 3-26-48 Health Department $3-26-48Council Hearing, date$
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

A second s

-

E III a a

1

the second se

the second of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5811</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. W. Bradford to construct an addition to a non-conforming residence which has a 3 ft. sideyard and which encroaches into the street, addition to observe all yard and setback requirements, Lots 34 and 35 and the West 5 ft. of the South 40 ft. of Lot 33, Block 12, Roseville Heights, North side of Inez Street, East of Albion Street, providing the portion of the residence which encroaches into the street is removed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_March 24, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2993

OK

Application Received 3 - 9 - 48	By S. E. South
1. L	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u>	By <u>Lancaster</u> , Lining & Burlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-24</u>	-48 Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-	Date 3-24-48 25-48 Building Inspector 3-26-48
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Peti	tioner 3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A CALL AND AND A CALL AND A CALL

and the second second

the state of the second state of the second state of the

The second second the particulation of the

×

,

. .

1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5809</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. H. Hamelet to convert an existing attached garage into a bedroom and bath and maintain existing 2 ft. sideyard, 1326 Park Row on a portion of Lots 14 and 15, Block 41, La Jolla Park (description on file in Planning Department Office).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 24,

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 2994

OK

Application Received	By <u>6. B. Doca</u> City Planning Department
	By Sancaster, Leving + Benton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-24-	48 Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25	Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3.25	<u>He Building Inspector</u> <u>3-26-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petition	er 3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a to all the set of the set of the set of the

permit in the second second

THEFT AND AN AND AND AND THE SHARE COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SHARE DIDNING

and all all and the second of the second second of the second sec

TON NOLLIGIAN

of the C. Dirgen Children and the exclusive prevented has shown (not Section

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5879</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William O. and Katherine M. Patten to construct the second living unit on the West 150 ft. of the East 300 ft. of the North 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 5, Horton's Purchase of Ex-Mission Lands, 4265 Juniper Street, providing the existing living quarters are vacated as living quarters and converted to an accessory use two years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

XSecretary

Dated March 24,

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 2995

UK

Application Received	- 48 By K. J. Hansen City Planning Department
	P - P - P -
Investigation made _ 3-24-48	By Simerater, twing & Durlo
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	3-24-48 Hearing date
Decision ansigned of	oriail Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler	orland Date 3-24-48 rk <u>3-25-48</u> Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-26-48	Petitioner 3 -26-48 Health Department 3 - 26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. 1. 1.

A design of the second second

THERE IN A THE ANALYSING DA THE DESIGN COMPLETED OF THE CALL OF SAC DIELE

satisf and the second second

the second se

See Res. 3576

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5880</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anna Jedlik to use the South 1/2 of Lots 47 and 48, Block 87, E. W. Morse's Subdivision, as a used car lot in connection with the existing used car lot on the North 1/2 of said lots, Northwest corner of 30th Street and Broadway, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. No buildings to be constructed on the South 1/2 of said lots:
- 2. Lot to be surfaced with material adequate to take care of dust and mud;
- 3. Lot to be leveled;
- 4. Ornamental chain and post fence to be constructed around lot;
- 5. Lot to be adequately landscaped;
- 6. This permit to expire concurrently with Resolution No. 2745.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3548, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____March 24,

Zoning Engineer

Secretabyx

Res. No. 2996

Application ReceivedE	By Klin
- philation received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 24 - 4P$ H	By Lancaster, lung & Bulon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48	Hearing date
Decision approved, cousil	Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-48	Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner	3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second of the second s

and the second of the second states and the second states and the second states and the second states and the s

a start all and a long of an ar anticipation of the second

A subset of some the subset of the subset of

the second second second second second

ALSOLUTION NO.

LIEVA

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5867</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alice and Frank Cimmino to wreck and salvage war surplus boats on Lots 15 to 22, inclusive, Block 290, Seaman and Choate's Addition, North side of Newton Avenue, west of 34th Street, for a period of six months from the date of this resolution; at the end of this time the premises are to be cleaned of all debris.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ March 24, , 19 48

By Zoning Engineer

SERTERBER

OK

Application Received <u>3-16-48</u> E	sy R.J. Hausen
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 24 - 48$ E	By <u>Kancaster living + Burlow</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48	Hearing date
Decision approver coursel Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-40	Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-40	Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u>
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner	3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A A TO A SHE REAL TO A DOWN TO A DOWN TO A DOWN THE A DOWN TO A

Real Property and the second sec

a new or the form that the wall "the property with a prior the provide of the state of

to be a part of the set where the set of the

and a set of the standard and the set of the set of the

CHE1SP 1

CONTRACTOR DUTY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5806</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sam Wade to construct and operate a 45-unit motel and a manager's apartment on a portion of Lot 10, La Mesa Colony (description on file in Planning Department Office), 6202 El Cajon Boulevard, subject to architectural approval of the plans by the Zoning Committee or the Planning Commission.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 24,

19

Zoning Engineer Xxxxxxxx

JK

Application Received 3-10-48 By Mail	
City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u> By <u>Lancaster</u> , <u>Lung</u> City Planning Department	Burlow
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48 Hearing date	
Decision apphaued Date 3-24-48	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-48 Building Inspector 3-26-48	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-25-48</u> Building Inspector <u>3-26-48</u> Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner <u>3-26-48</u> Health Department	3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	service (c)
Resolution becomes effective	and the second second
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	Contrast of the State State State of the Sta

in the particular and a second

2

1 1 1

2999

o.K

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

1. That the granting of the application is _____necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will _____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the meighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of not San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

Subdivision Por. of Lot 10,

La Mesa Colony (description on file in Planning Department

Office) 6202 El Cajon Boulevard

(Sam Wade) may be used for the erection and operation of..... a 45-unit motel and a manager's apartment.

subject to the following conditions

Architectural approval of the plans by 1.

DHIG OI RCITON

the Zoning Committee or the Planning Commission.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. " How I'm Debut amount " on sout to city dista him in the second the state of the second of the second states

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk,

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

March 24.

FEBTL PROPURSE IN

ORM 2144

TRADINGTON DECOMPS STATUS

Zoningy Engineer

Res. No. 2999

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

where the Second Second

The restriction of the application will be materially detrimental to the public meliare

or injustic the inprocessing or property in the monthead, and

A the reactory of the application will adversaly affect the Waster Hau of the Oily of

REFORE, DE 11 DESTRUTE EV THE RONING COMMITTEE.

Application Received 3-10-48 By Mac

Investigation made 3-24-48 By Sancaste Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48 Hearing date Decision Date 3-24-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-48 Building Inspector 3-26-48 Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner 3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48 Resolution becomes effective

City Planning Department

RESOLUTION NO. 3000, amending Res. No. 2515

Letter dated March 16, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2515, dated September 24, 1947, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mabel K. Giddings to divide portions of Lots 3 and 4, Block 162, and street closing adjacent, and a portion of Lot 2, Block 163, La Playa (description on file in Planning Department Office), into two parcels, one with approximately 122 ft. frontage on Rosecrans Street, and the other with 91.08 ft. frontage on Qualtrough Street, and served also by measement 12 ft. in width to Rosecrans according to plat parcel and permit a single family residence on each, according to plat for file in Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 24, , 1948

Zoning Engineer Seeter

Res. No. 3000

OK

-	Application ReceivedB	v
	a the second a second	City Planning Department
4	Investigation made <u>3-24-48</u> By	y <u>Lancaster</u> , <u>Lucing</u> 7 Burlow City Planning Department
	Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48	Hearing date
	Decision approved	Date 3-24-48
	Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-48	Building Inspector 3-26-48
20	Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-25-48</u> Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner	3-26-48 Health Department. 3-26-48
	Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Decision of Council	Date
	Resolution becomes effective	
1	Application withdrawn	Continued to
100	Time limit extended to	Date of action
102	ODA	

and the second second with the second s

AD LINEAR THE DAY DELETER AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

and the second second

DERIVATION OF THE RECEIPTED IN THE POINT COMPLETE OF THE CITY OF SALE DIGES.

SUBCIALION NOT THE CONTRACT OF THE SUBCLE

the second second second second side and the second by description of the second second

section for the sector of the sector of the sector beaution of the sector of the secto

BOILDING (

printac

AST

920

tue br

00 TW

TTUG 6

A smo

LOW

2D3