OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3001, amending Res. No. 2914 - amended by Res 3007

Letter dated March 4, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: That Res. No. 2914 be amended to read as follows: Permission is hereby granted to the Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to construct a convent building with a 12 ft. setback from Missouri Street on Lots 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9, Block 151, Pacific Beach, and to construct a church and academy building with a 7 ft. 6 in. setback from Diamond Street on Lots 33, 34, 35 and 36, Block 151, Pacific Beach, subject to the following conditions:

1. The playground fence on Lot 29 shall be located not less than 10 ft. from the east lot line and shall observe a minimum of 7 ft. 6 in. setback from Diamond Street;
2. The fence on Lots 33 to 36, inclusive, may be built to the property line on Diamond Street to a height of 6 ft.;
3. The easterly 10 ft. of Lot 29 and the easterly 10 ft. of Lot 9 shall be landscaped, and the area between the fence and Diamond Street in front of the playground area shall be landscaped;
4. Lots 29, 30, 31 and 32 shall be maintained in the same ownership as Lots 33 to 40, inclusive, at all times.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 and No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 24, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3001

Letter 3-5-48
~~Application~~ Received _____ By Mr. Rick
City Planning Department

3-10-48
Investigation made 3-24-48 By Mr. Rick
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-10-48 3-24-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved, modified Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-48 Building Inspector 3-26-48
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner 3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3002

WHEREAS, Application No. 5882 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lloyd Rodefer to construct a single family residence on a portion of the Southwest 1/4 of Lot 16, Horton's Purchase, Ex-Mission Lands (description on file in Planning Department Office), a parcel of land without street frontage, but served by an easement 50 ft. in width to "A" Street, east of 49th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 24, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3002

Application Received 3-17-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-24-48 By Lancaster, Irving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-48 Building Inspector 3-26-48
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner 3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

CK

RESOLUTION NO. 3003, Amending Res. No. 2517

WHEREAS, Application No. 5855 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2517, dated September 24, 1947, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Owen A. and Gertrude E. Murray and Loren C. and Arden M. Wilson to divide Lot 10 (except the North 65 ft.) C. M. Doty's Addition, into three parcels and permit a single family residence on each, Northwest corner of Beryl and Emeline Streets, parcels to be as follows: 1. 55 ft. by 120 ft., facing Beryl Street, 2. 59 ft. by 120 ft., facing Beryl Street, and 3. 50 ft. by 114 ft., facing Emeline Street; parcel 1 to observe the required setback from Beryl Street, parcel 2 to observe the required setback from Beryl Street and a 10 ft. setback from Emeline Street, and parcel 3 to observe the average setback from Emeline Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 24, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3003

Application Received 3-16-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-24-48 By Lancaster, Lang + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-48 Building Inspector 3-26-48
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner 3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

No. 3004

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 5845 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot s 13 to 18, inclusive Block 370

Subdivision E. O. Rogers' Addition

Westerly corner of Gaines and Moore Streets

(Walter Anderson)

may be used for the erection and operation of a 23-unit auto court and a manager's apartment,

subject to the following conditions 1. Architectural changes by the Planning Department.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated March 24, 1948

By Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 3004

RM 2144

Application Received 3-19-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-24-48 By Lancaster Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-48 Building Inspector 3-26-48
Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner 3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application Withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

No. 3005, amending Res. 549

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. Letter dated February 27, 1948 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.
not

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot That Resolution No. 549, dated March 16, 1944, be amended to read as follows: Block

Subdivision 1 and a por. of 2 432

Old San Diego

4432 Pacific Boulevard (Pacific Highway Motel)

(Edward A. Cherney)

may be used for the erection and operation of

a 31-unit auto court and a manager's apartment and office, and to construct a 14 ft. by 28 ft. real estate office on the southerly 20 ft. of the above-described property,

subject to the following conditions

1. The existing office space in the auto court shall not at any time be used as a rental unit.

2. The proposed building to conform in appearance with the existing auto court.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated March 24, 194 8

By Zoning Engineer xxxxxx
Secretary

FORM 2144

Res. No. 3005

Application Received Letter 3-8-48 By City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-10-48 3-24-48 By Lanc. Diffenderfer, Zoning Bureau City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-10-48 3-24-48 Hearing date

Decision approved Date 3-24-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-25-48 Building Inspector 3-26-48

Planning Commission 3-26-48 Petitioner 3-26-48 Health Department 3-26-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application Withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3006, amending Res. No. 2921

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Letter from City Engineer, dated March 22, 1948 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2921, dated March 3, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert W. Sanders, Jr. and A. C. Burkhart to divide portions of Lots 59 and 60, Las Alturas Villas (description on file in Planning Department Office) into three parcels and construct a single family residence on each, according to plat on file in Planning Department office, southerly corner of Olvera and Santa Isabel Streets, subject to the following conditions:

1. That a 15 ft. easement be granted along Olvera Street, and a portion of Santa Isabel Street to be dedicated to provide a 50 ft. right-of-way for that street, along with a corner cut-off at the intersection of the above-named two streets;

2. That the curb return at the southwest corner of Santa Isabel Street and Olvera Street be removed and a temporary curb return constructed at the proper location as required by the City Public Works Department;

3. That a small triangular piece of ~~pavement~~, approximately 15 ft. on Olvera Street and 50 ft. along Santa Isabel Street adjacent to these lots be graded, all to be done in accordance with the requirements of the City Public Works Department.

(over)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 31, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Application ~~Received~~ letter from City Eng. 3-22-48 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-24-48 By Lancaster, Lundy, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48 Hearing date _____
Decision modified amendment by Date 3-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-1-48 Building Inspector 4-1-48
Planning Commission 4-1-48 Petitioner 4-1-48 Health Department 4-1-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3600, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

RESOLUTION NO. 3007, amending Res. No. 3001, which amended Res. No. 2914

Letter dated March 30, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: That Resolution No. 3001, dated March 24, 1948, which amended Resolution No. 2914, dated February 25, 1948, be amended to read as follo

ermission is hereby granted to the Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to construct a convent building with a 12 ft. setback from Missouri Street on Lots 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9, Block 151, Pacific Beach, and to construct a church and academy building with a 7 ft. 6 in. setback from Diamond Street on Lots 33, 34, 35 and 36 Block 151, Pacific Beach, subject to the following conditions:

1. The playground fence shall observe a minimum of 7 ft. 6 in. setback from Diamond Street;
2. The fence on Lots 33 to 36, inclusive, may be built to the property line on Diamond Street to a height of 6 ft.;
3. The easterly 10 ft. of Lot 29 and the easterly 10 ft. of Lot 9 shall be landscaped, and the area between the fence and Diamond Street in front of the playground area shall be landscaped;
4. Lots 29, 30, 31 and 32 shall be maintained in the same ownership as Lots 33 to 40, inclusive, at all times.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 and No. 2931 be, and is hereb granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the propert mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 31, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3007

Application Received _____ By See previous resolution
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee _____ Hearing date _____
Decision Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-1-48 Building Inspector 4-1-48
Planning Commission 4-1-48 Petitioner 4-1-48 Health Department 4-1-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3008

WHEREAS, Application No. 5898 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Olga S. Casey to erect a residence with a setback of 19 ft. 6 in. from 52nd Street on Lots 25, 26, 27, Block 3, Alhambra Park, 4434 - 52nd Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 1, 19 48

By Glenn A. Rick
Secretary

Glenn A. Rick,
City Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3008

Application Received 3-22-48 By S
City Planning Department

Investigation made Approved via telephone 4-1-48 By Offenderfer & Lancaster
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee Ratified 4-7-48 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 4-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-2-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48

Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-2-48 Health Department 4-9-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3009

WHEREAS, Application No. 5726 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of William P. Kesling to construct a residence with a 15 ft. setback from Paseo Del Ocaso on Lot 1, Block 21, La Jolla Shores Unit No. 3 at the Southwest corner of Paseo Del Ocaso and Vallecitos, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3009

Application Received 3-10-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Sunday, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3010

WHEREAS, Application No. 5727 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of William P. Kesling to construct a residence with a 15 ft. setback from Paseo Del Ocaso on Lot 2, Block 21, La Jolla Shores Unit No. 3, West side of Paseo Del Ocaso, 80 ft. south of Vallecitos, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3010

Application Received 3-10-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-24-48 4-7-48 By Lundy - Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision denied Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OLC

RESOLUTION NO. 3011

WHEREAS, Application No. 5953 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. Arkin to construct and maintain a temporary construction shop to be used also for storage of material for the proposed subdivision of Arkin Manor, East side of Euclid Avenue at the intersection with Home Avenue, SW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Sec. 33, T 16 S. R2 W, for a period of six months from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3011

Application Received 4-5-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Sundy, Irving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3012

WHEREAS, Application No. 5925 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James and Cynthia Urquhart to erect a residence with a 13 ft. rear yard on the Easterly 113.32 ft. of the Southerly 50 ft. of the Northerly 200 ft. of Unnumbered block, La Playa, between Blocks 165 and 166, lying East of Rosecrans Street (except that portion below the Mean High Tide Line of the Bay of San Diego), which parcel is served by a 15 ft. easement to Rosecrans Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3012

Application Received 4-5-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Lenz & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3013

WHEREAS, Application No. 5883 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Chris A. and Celia C. Cosgrove to erect two single family residences on the West 60 ft. of Lots 1 to 4, inclusive, Block 107, City Heights, at the Southeast corner of of 41st and Myrtle Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3013

Application Received 3-22-48 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

6

RESOLUTION NO. 3014

WHEREAS, Application No. 5901 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. E. King to construct a residence with an 8 ft. setback from Yonge Street on the Southerly 50 ft. of Lot 7, Block 35, Western Addition, Northeasterly side of Yonge Street, Northwesterly of Palermo Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3014

Application Received 3-24-48 By R. W. Tarrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

0c

RESOLUTION NO. 3015, extending Res 2599
extended by Res 3490

Letter dated March 23, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2599, dated October 22, 1947, be granted to Dan E. and Marguerite Enright to construct a four-unit court on Lots 33 and 34, Block 7, Ocean Beach Park, buildings to cross lot lines, South side of Muir Street, about 350 ft. East of Ebers Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3015

Application Received by mail By 3-24-48
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Sundy, Ewing, & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 48-49 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3016

WHEREAS, Application No. 5916 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Captain F. A. and Lula E. Richison to construct a 12 ft. by 12 ft. addition to second story over existing garage which has a 2 ft. rear yard, and convert said second story to living quarters, Lot A, Block 383, Horton's Addition, 3265 Second Avenue, providing the addition is stuccoed to match the existing structure.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3016

Application Received 3-31-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-49 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3017

WHEREAS, Application No. 5911 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John L. and Betty M. Brooks to construct a single family residence on a portion of Lot 21, Eureka Lemon Tract (description on file in Planning Department office), North side of Baker Street, approximately 500 ft. East of Morena Boulevard, providing an agreement is signed by the owners and filed of record to the effect that when the City requests it, an easement 20 ft. in width across the front of this property will be granted for the widening of Baker Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement # 531
Filed 1-16-48

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By ~~Zoning Engineer~~ ~~xxxxxxx~~ Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3017

Application Received 3-29-48 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Burton, Ewing
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision approved, consid Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3018

WHEREAS, Application No. 5896 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John O. Knight, owner, and John and Mary Lo Bay, purchasers, to divide Lot 2, Block 4, F. T. Scripps' Addition, into two parcels, each 50 ft. by 140 ft., and to construct two living units on each parcel, South side of Genter Street, 100 ft. East of La Jolla Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3018

Application Received 4-1-48 By R M Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing & Burlos
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3019

WHEREAS, Application No. 5914 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry L. Wheeler to redivide Lots 2, 3, 8 and 9 and fractional lots 1 and 10, Block 102, Roseville, into four building sites and permit a single family residence on each, two parcels fronting on Keats Street and two fronting on Lowell Street, Northerly of Plum Street, parcels to be as follows:

1. Swly 100 ft. of Lots 1 and 2;
2. All of Lots 9 and 10 and the NEly 20 ft. of Lots 1 and 2;
3. SWly 100 ft. of Lot 3;
4. NEly 20 ft. of Lot 3 and all of Lot 8.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3019

Application Received 3-31-48 By Kdm
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3020

WHEREAS, Application No. 5803 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Faust, owner, and George E. Jennings and E. Walter Anderson, lessees, to construct and operate retail lumber storage yard on Lots 1 to 4, inclusive, Block 13, Loma Alta No. 1, Voltaire Street, 150 ft. West of Catalina Blvd., providing all materials stored on the premises are kept within buildings or within a solid fence and providing no materials are piled higher than the height of the fence, and providing the mechanical equipment is limited to one cross-cut saw.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3020

Application Received 3-8-48 By D. E. Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-24-48 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48 4-7-48 Hearing date 4-7-48
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3021

WHEREAS, Application No. 5848 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. H. Knoepp, M. D., to construct a four-unit apartment house at the rear of an existing medical clinic at 7757 Herschel Avenue, and maintain existing 4 ft. access courts to the new units, Lots 5, 6 and 7, Block 28, La Jolla Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3021

Application Received 3-24-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lenny Lundy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3022

WHEREAS, Application No. 5918 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Christine Campbell Overton, William Walter Campbell, Jr., and William Albert Campbell and/or Christine W. Campbell-Briggs, Attorney-in-fact, to maintain three existing living units in one building at 3603 State Street on Lot 6, Block 163, Middletown.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1020, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3022

Application Received 3-26-48 By P. G. Burlo
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Irving + Burlo
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3023

WHEREAS, Application No. 5917 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Christine Campbell Overton, William Walter Campbell, Jr., William Albert Campbell and/or Christine W. Campbell-Briggs, Attorney-in-fact, to maintain four existing living units at 3613-19-21-23 State Street, with a 3 ft. sideyard and no rear yard for the rear unit, Lot 4, Block 163, Middletown.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1020, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3023

Application Received 3-26-48 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lenly Eiving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date ,
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-49 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

No. 3024 OK

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 5907 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot ..... Block .....

Subdivision .....

Portion of Block 366, E. O. Rogers' Addition

4650 Pacific Highway

(Frank and Katherine Roberts)

may be used for the erection and operation of

six additional auto court units and an office to a now existing 22-unit auto court.

subject to the following conditions

1. New units to conform in appearance with the existing units.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

2144

Res. No. 3024

Application Received 3-26-48 By C. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application Withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

0<

RESOLUTION NO. 3025

WHEREAS, Application No. 5649 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. E. Buchner and Emily E. Buchner to construct a single family residence on the Easterly 12½ ft., except the Southerly 55 ft. of Lot 2, and all of Lots 3 and 4, except the Southerly 55 ft., Block 1, Ocean Spray Addition, South side of Opal Street, 50 ft. Easterly of Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3025

Application Received 3-29-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-49 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3026

WHEREAS, Application No. 5927 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Desiderio and Mary Baldelli to divide the Southeasterly portion of Lot 11, Encanto, lying South of Skyline Drive and East of 66th Street (except the South 75 ft. thereof), into three parcels, as shown on the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, and permit a single family residence on each.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3026

Application Received 3-31-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Lang & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3027

WHEREAS, Application No. 5903 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Milton P. Sessions, Inc., to move in a 29 ft. by 61 ft. garage and tool shed to be used in connection with an existing nursery, said building to observe no rear yard and no side yard, North side of Missouri Street, East of Lamont Street on the Southerly 153 ft. of that portion lying Easterly of the Westerly 475 ft. of Acre Lot 50, Pacific Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xSecretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3027

Application Received 3-29-48 By G. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Burton, Ewing
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3028

WHEREAS, Application No. 5854 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Winifred H. Morehouse, Carrie E. Haines, and Alberta E. Widen, owners, and A. R. Snipes (Baptist Church), purchaser, to construct a church building on a portion of the Southeast 1/4 of Lot 18, Horton's Purchase of Ex-Mission Lands (description on file in Planning Department Office), West side of 47th Street, South of Federal Boulevard, subject to architectural approval of the plans by the Planning Department, and providing an agreement is signed by the owners and filed of record to the effect that when the City requests it, an easement 10 ft. in width across the front of this property will be granted for the widening of 47th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3028

Application Received 3-29-48 By G. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By R M Parrish
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date Lundy, Ewing & Burton
Decision approved, Coun'l Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3029

WHEREAS, Application No. 5894 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Raymond Bass to construct 29 additional units to an existing 15-unit auto court at 6496 El Cajon Boulevard on a portion of Lot 5, Alvarado Heights, subject to architectural approval of the plans by the Planning Department Architect, and providing an agreement is signed by the owners and filed of record to the effect that when the city requests it, an easement 20 ft. in width across the front of this property will be granted for the widening of El Cajon Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Need for accurate legal description

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3029

Application Received 4-2-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-8-48 Hearing date
Decision approved, council Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

No. 3030

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 5895 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot ..... Block .....

Subdivision Portion of Lot 5, Alvarado Heights, 6496 El Cajon Boulevard (Raymond Bass)

may be used for the erection and operation of 29 additional units to existing 15-unit auto court,

subject to the following conditions

1. Architectural approval of the plans by the Planning Department Architect;

2. Providing an agreement is signed by the owners and filed of record to the effect that when the city requests it, an easement 20 ft. in width across the front of this property will be granted for the widening of El Cajon Boulevard.

Hold for accurate legal description

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated April 7, 8 194

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3030

M 2144

Application Received 4-2-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved, Cond'l Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application Withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OC

RESOLUTION NO. 3031

WHEREAS, Application No. 5713 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nellie M. Tubbs to operate a beauty shop in an existing building at 2140 Howard Street on the Easterly 61 ft. of Lots 20, 21 and 22 and the Easterly 61 ft. of the Southerly 5 ft. of Lot 23, Block 128, University Heights, subject to the following conditions:

1. No signs to be posted on the premises;
2. No employees;
3. Shop to be operated not later than 8:00 P.M.
4. This permit to be for a period of one year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3031

Application Received 3-12-48 By RM Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-24-48 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing & Berlin
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48 4-7-48 Hearing date

Decision Appr., conditional Date 4-7-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48

Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3032

WHEREAS, Application No. 5936 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gloria Compton to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1286, known as Arbitrary Lot No. 16 of Assessor's Map 33-A, which parcel has no street frontage, but is served by a private easement to Roseland Drive, and to observe a 6 ft. rear yard for the residence and no sideyard for a roofed porch.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Sections 12 and 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3032

Application Received 4-2-48 By P. J. Burlon
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Lewis & Burlon
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-49 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3033

WHEREAS, Application No. 5937 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gloria Compton to construct a residence with the over-hanging roof 25 ft. from the center line of a private road 30 ft. in width, and to construct a wall 5 ft. in height with a setback of 25 ft. from the center line of said road, on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1286, known as Arbitrary Lot 16 of Assessor's Map 33-A, South of Roseland Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~x Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3033

Application Received 4-2-48 By D. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Sundy, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3034

WHEREAS, Application No. 5946 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. F. Wiemeyer to construct a garage with a 15 in. sideyard, 59 ft. back from the front property line at 730-47th Street on the Southerly 70 ft. of Lot 14, Wiemeyer's Subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 48, 19__

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3034

Application Received 4-2-48 By B. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3035

WHEREAS, Application No. 5720 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cecil W. Drake to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 142 (description on file in Planning Department office) into two parcels, one 85 ft. by 180 ft., facing Silvergate Place, and the other 85 ft. by 140 ft., facing Tavara Place, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, providing there is 50 ft. between any dwellings constructed on the two parcels.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

OK per P.Q.B
Nov 20, 1950

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3035

Application Received 3-24-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lesley Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3036

WHEREAS, Application No. 5928 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James and Johannes Wolfswinkle to divide the South 282.5 ft. of the West 120 ft. of the Southwest 1/4 of the Southwest 1/4 of Lot 16, Horton's Purchase of Ex-Mission Lands, into three building sites and construct a single family residence on each, two parcels to be 60 ft. in width each and fronting on "A" Street, and the other parcel to be 60 ft. in width fronting on a private easement 50 ft. in width to "A" Street, providing a setback of 35 ft. from the center line of the easement is observed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 48, 19__

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3036

Application Received 3-31-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Sundy, Irving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OIC

RESOLUTION NO. 3037

WHEREAS, Application No. 5857 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Union Title Insurance and Trust Company, owners, and the General Petroleum Corporation, lessee, to construct an S. V. sign pole with a 2 ft. setback from the front property line at 4149 Pacific Highway, Lots 1 and 2, Block 228, Middletown, providing an agreement is signed by the owner and lessee to the effect that if and when Pacific Highway is widened, this sign will be moved at no expense to the city.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement # 541-
Filed with City Clerk
7/7/48

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xSecretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3037

Application Received 3-25-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Sandy, Burton, Irving
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved, Council Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3038

WHEREAS, Application No. 5874 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Frances Friederich to erect a duplex on the North 60 ft. of the South 100 ft. of Lot 4, J. P. Christensen's Addition, to make a total of 3 living units on the property, 1808-31st Street, building to conform in appearance with the sketches made by the Planning Department architect.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXXXXX~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3038

Application Received 3-19-48 By E. C. Van Hee
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-24-48 4-7-48 By Sundy, Ewing & Burlon
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48 4-7-48 Hearing date 4-7-48
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3039

WHEREAS, Application No. 5858 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of the City of San Diego to move a garage from Lot 5 to a portion of Lot 6, Block 34, Point Loma Heights and observe a setback of either 3 ft. or 10 ft. from Tennyson Street and no setback from the new property line on Wabaska Drive, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~xxxxxx~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3039

Application Received 3-12-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 - 4-21-48 5-5-48 By Irving, Oppenderfer & Haeny
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 4-21-48 5-5-48 Hearing date 4-7-48 5-5-48
Decision Denied Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3040

WHEREAS, Application No. 5939 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles F. and Elizabeth W. Hartel to enclose the rear porch on a residence with an 8 ft. 6 in. rear yard, 246 Prospect Street on a portion of Lot 30, Block 16, La Jolla Park, said parcel served by a 3 ft. easement to Prospect Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xSecretaryx~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3040

Application Received 4-1-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Leedy, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3041, amending Resolution No. 2987

Letter dated April 1, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2987, dated March 24, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wilbur R. Coahran to construct a residence and a garage with a 5 ft. rear yard, a 20 ft. sideyard to be maintained on the East side, Easterly 25 ft. of Lot 1, all of Lots 8 and 9, Block 164, La Playa, Perry Street, East of San Antonio Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning ~~Engineer~~ ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3041

Application Received Letter 4-2-48 By G. A. Rice
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing & Burlow
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3042

WHEREAS, Application No. 5932 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the F. W. Kellogg Estate to construct an addition to the Marine Room of the La Jolla Beach and Tennis Club at 2000 Spindrift Drive with outside public access to the cocktail lounge and dining room, portion of Pueblo Lot 1285.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3042

Application Received 4-2-48 By G. A. Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3043

WHEREAS, Application No. 5935 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles Mase to erect a 6 ft. by 10 ft. storage room addition to a garage which has no sideyard, 753 Upas Street, Lot 5, Block 4, Osborn Hill.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3043

Application Received 4-1-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-7-48 By Sundy, Irving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-7-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3044

WHEREAS, Application No. 5881 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Hugh and Ina M. Smith to construct a single family residence on the West 59 ft. of the East 320.1 ft. of the North 135.76 ft of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 34, except the North 30 ft. for street, Horton's Purchase of Ex-Mission Lands, Hilltop Drive, approximately 260 ft. West of 43rd Street, providing a 15 ft. setback is maintained from the property line as shown on the tentative map of Hilltop Villas, and that this parcel of land will be included and made a part of said Hilltop Villas Subdivision, and providing an agreement is signed by the owners that when requested so to do by the City of San Diego, they will dedicate for street purposes the Northerly 30 ft. of all of that portion of the owners' property shown on said tentative subdivision map and that said 30 ft. strip will be improved in its entirely according to specifications required for the final map of said subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 7, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3044

Application Received 3-17-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-24-48 4-7-48 By Lundy, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48 4-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Council approve Date 4-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-9-48 Building Inspector 4-9-48
Planning Commission 4-9-48 Petitioner 4-9-48 Health Department 4-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

01

RESOLUTION NO. 3045

WHEREAS, Application No. 5959 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ________ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jewell T. Anderson to construct a four-duplex court on Lots 2 to 9, inclusive, Block 13, Fifth Street Addition, which parcel has only 50 ft. of street frontage at the East end of Dickinson Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer XXXXXXXy

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3045

Application Received 4-13-48 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Emery & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3046

WHEREAS, Application No. 5976 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Abraham A. Abramson to construct a 20 ft. by 20 ft. garage on existing concrete slab, with no sideyard for the garage, approximately 60 ft. back from front property line at 4747 - 51st Street on Lot 99, Talmadge Park Manor.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3046

Application Received 4-13-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3047

WHEREAS, Application No. 5974 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John W. and L. Gertrude Bagby to erect a concrete wall 9 ft. in height and 10 ft. in length at 2050 West California Street on Lots 5 and 6 and por. of Wright Street closed adjacent, Block 215, Middletown.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3047

Application Received 4-9-48 By D. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3048

WHEREAS, Application No. 5965 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dorothy F. Barber to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1286, known as Arbitrary Lot 15 of Assessor's Map 33-A, which parcel is served by an easement 30 ft. in width to Roseland Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3048

Application Received 4-9-48 By J.C. Boughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3049

WHEREAS, Application No. 5971 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dorothy F. Barber to construct a residence with a setback of 25 ft. from the center line of a private road 30 ft. in width, on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1286, known as Arbitrary Lot 15 of Assessor's Map 33-A, said parcel served by this easement to Roseland Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3049

Application Received 4-9-48 By D. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster Ewing & Burlin
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3050

WHEREAS, Application No. 5966 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. H. and Evelyn J. Sanders to construct two residences on Lots 30, 31 and 32, Block 109, Pacific Beach, buildings to cross lot lines, North side of Chalcedony Street, between Gresham and Haines Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3050

Application Received 4-16-48 By E. C. Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing + Burlow
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3051 / extended by Res. 3454

WHEREAS, Application No. 5884 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O'Shea and Ethel Hammons to construct a two-family residence on Lot 8, Block 226, Middletown, on California Street, 50 ft. Northwesterly of Couts Street, according to plans submitted.
A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

1 Story Brick & gar. on Street frontage

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

res. No. 3051

Application Received 4-9-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burlow
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3052

WHEREAS, Application No. 5956 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas I. and Florence M. Stephens to construct a single family residence on the Northerly 75 ft. of Lot 4, Block 152, La Playa, Rosecrans Street, between Owens and Nichols Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and it is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3052

Application Received 4-9-48 By H. C. Haeling
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3053

WHEREAS, Application No. 5955 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gordon T. and Adeline J. L. Frost to construct a single family residence on the South 100 ft. of the North 399.57 ft. of the East 232.14 ft. of Pueblo Lot 169, which parcel has 84 ft. of street frontage, West side of San Gorgonio Street at the end of Qualtrough Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3053

Application Received 4-14-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3054

WHEREAS, Application No. 5987 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Andrew L. Johnson to construct a single family residence on the Westerly 85 ft. of Lot 10 and the Westerly 85 ft. of the Northerly 15 ft. of Lot 11, Block B, Resubdivision of Bird Rock City by the Sea, Southeasterly corner of Bird Rock Avenue and Abalone Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3054

Application Received 4-14-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3055

WHEREAS, Application No. 5913 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cassius Peck to construct a single family residence with a 7 ft. rear yard on Lot 4, Block 33, La Jolla Hermosa Unit No. 2, Northwesterly corner of Via Del Norte and Waverly Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXXX~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3055

Application Received 4-13-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3056

WHEREAS, Application No. 5798 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rose Dolora and Earl L. R. Bailey to construct a garage with no setback on Lookout Drive, portions of Lots 21 and 22, La Jolla Hills.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3056

Application Received 4-13-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3057

WHEREAS, Application No. 5992 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul and Vinnie DeBach to redivide Lots 1 to 4, inclusive, Block 10, Point Loma Heights and Lots 1 and 10, Block 118, Roseville, into three parcels, each facing Clove Street, according to the survey map on file in the Planning Department Office, and construct a single family residence on each parcel, providing a 10 ft. setback is observed on Clove Street and the setbacks as required by the Setback Ordinance are observed on Newell and Macaulay Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3057

Application Received 4-14-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3058

WHEREAS, Application No. 5977 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Anthony Lishka to construct a four-unit apartment building with a 17 ft. setback from Bonair Street on Lots 38, 39 and 40, Block C, South La Jolla, North side of Bonair Street, West of La Jolla Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3058

Application Received 4-15-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burlow
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3059

WHEREAS, Application No. 5924 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Hollis and Katherine Davenport to construct an enclosed entrance way, 5 ft. by 5 ft. 6 in., with a 10 ft. setback from the front property line at 3533 Chamoune Avenue on Lots 15 and 16, Block 1, Bungalow Park Addition, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3059

Application Received 4-15-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Denied Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3060

WHEREAS, Application No. 5999 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George H. and Edna Upton, Jr., to construct a residence with a 15 ft. setback from the front property line at 3570 Promontory on Lot 229, Block 10, Crown Point.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3060

Application Received 4-19-48 By E. C. Van Nice
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3061, extended by 3486

WHEREAS, Application No. 5734 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. A. Wayman to construct five apartment units, buildings crossing lot lines, on Lots 27, 28, 29 and 30, Block 3, Ocean Spray Addition, Northwest corner of Opal Street and Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~xxxxxx~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3061

Application Received 4-12-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3062, extended by Res 3487

WHEREAS, Application No. 5733-A has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. A. Wayman to construct five apartment units with an 8½ ft. rear yard, Lots 27, 28, 29 and 30, Block 3, Ocean Spray Addition, Northwest corner of Opal Street and Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3062

Application Received 4-21-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3063

WHEREAS, Application No. 5157 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ira R. and Margaret Robbins, owners, and Foster & Kleiser, lessee, to erect a sign on an existing building with no setback from Pacific Highway, Lot 1, Block 287, Middletown, Southeast corner of Pacific Highway and Elm Street, providing an agreement is signed by the owners and the lessee to the effect that when the street is widened, this sign will be moved at no expense to the city.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement # 534
filed 5-4-48

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3063

Application Received 4-19-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Irving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved, cond'l Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3064

WHEREAS, Application No. 6004 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Schiefer Brothers, et al, to erect four neon signs in connection with existing motel at 6345 Pacific Highway on Lots 31 to 40, inclusive, Block 5, Stephen's Addition, dimensions of the signs to be as follows:

1. 6 ft. 5 in. by 2 ft.
2. 19 ft. 2 in. by 4 ft. 10 in.
3. 3 ft. 10 in. by 12 ft.
4. 4 ft. by 11 in.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3064

Application Received 4-19-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3065

WHEREAS, Application No. 5822 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marjorie Conklin Kumler to construct a pergola with no setback, and a fence, a portion of it to be 6 ft. in height, with no setback at 419 Arenas Street on a portion of Lots 17 and 18, Block 9, First Addition to South La Jolla.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 and No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3065

Application Received 4-6-48 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3066

WHEREAS, Application No. 5825 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marjorie Conklin Kumler to construct a pergola with no sideyard on the front portion of the property at 419 Arenas Street, being portions of Lots 17 and 18, Block 9, First Addition to South La Jolla.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3066

Application Received 4-6-48 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Barton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3067

WHEREAS, Application No. 5950 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Ethel Keeney to construct a concrete block wall in front of the setback line at 6206 Avenida Cresta, said wall to be 90 ft. in length and varying from 4 ft. to 6 ft. in height, Lot 10, Block 3, La Jolla Hermosa.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXX~~ Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3067

Application Received 4-8-44 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3068

WHEREAS, Application No. 5967 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Guy R. and Vivian M. Showley to erect a work room addition to an existing garage, making building 620 sq. ft. in area, and maintain existing 10 ft. rear yard, 3631 Plumosa Drive on Lot 39, Block M, Plumosa Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3068

Application Received 4-9-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made APR 21 1948 By Lancaster Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee APR 21 1948 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date APR 21 1948
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 194 Building Inspector APR 23 1948
Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3069

WHEREAS, Application No. 5784 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dorothy Miklovic and Otto Hirr to divide a portion of Lots 6 and 11, Redland Tract and portion of Gilmore Avenue closed, into two building sites, according to the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, and construct a single family residence on each parcel, West side of 55th Street, South of Madison Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12794 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3069

Application Received 4-12-48 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burlon
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee APR 21 1948 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date APR 21 1948
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 1948 Building Inspector APR 23 1948
Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3070

WHEREAS, Application No. 5980 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. W. Thompson to erect a concrete retaining wall, varying in height from 6 ft. to 8 ft., on Lot 70, Ludington Heights, 1845 Castellana Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3070

Application Received 4-12-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee APR 21 1948 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date APR 21 1948
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 1948 Building Inspector APR 23 1948
Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3071

WHEREAS, Application No. 5973 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nadia S. Moore to erect two signs on Lots 10 and 11, Highland Garden, each with a 4 ft. setback from the front property line, 5454 El Cajon Boulevard, providing an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that when the city requests it, these signs will be moved back and at no expense to the city.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13056 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3071

Application Received 4-13-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made APR 21 1948 By Lancaster, Emery + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee APR 21 1948 Hearing date _____
Decision approved, council Date APR 21 1948
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 1948 Building Inspector APR 23 1948
Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3072, extending Res. # 2546

Letter dated April 12, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2546 be granted to Thomas C. and Pauline M. Hughes to construct a residence on the South 50 ft. of Lot 31, Kensington Heights Unit No. 2, and to observe a 13 ft. 2 in. rear yard, Marlborough Avenue near Bedford Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3072

Application Received Letter 4-12-48 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made APR 21 1948 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee APR 21 1948 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date APR 21 1948
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 1948 Building Inspector APR 23 1948
Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3073

WHEREAS, Application No. 5989 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Myrtle Dahn to convert an existing garage to living unit to make a total of four units on the property, and maintain the existing 8 ft. access court to the street at 4271 Cherokee Street on Lots 7 and 8, Block 33, Resubdivision of Blocks H and I, Teralta.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~XXSecretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3073

Application Received 4-15-48 By D.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made APR 21 1948 By Lancaster Ewing, + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee APR 21 1948 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date APR 21 1948
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 1948 Building Inspector APR 23 1948
Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3074

WHEREAS, Application No. 6006 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Margaret Campbell to operate a child care registry (baby sitting business) in her residence at 3681 Ray Street, Lots 4 and 5, Block H, McFadden and Buxton's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

1. No signs to be posted on the premises;
2. No advertising of the address;
3. This permit to be for a period of one year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3074

Application Received 4-19-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made APR 21 1948 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee APR 21 1948 Hearing date
Decision approved, cond Date APR 21 1948
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 1948 Building Inspector APR 23 1948
Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3075 See Res 89375 + 89601 following

WHEREAS, Application No. 5900 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of James E. Welborn to construct an apartment over an existing garage and to construct an addition to the garage and maintain the existing 1 ft. sideyard at 3672 Kalmia Street on Lots 25, 26 and 27, except the Easterly 70 ft. thereof, Block T, Montclair, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3075

Application Received 4-19-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made APR 21 1948 By Lancaster, Irving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee APR 21 1948 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date APR 21 1948
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 1948 Building Inspector APR 23 1948
Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date 5-25-48 & 5-11-48
Decision of Council Partial approval Date 5-25-48
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Filed

Planning [redacted]

RESOLUTION NO. 89375 See Res 3075 preceding + Res 89601 following

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of James E. Welborn, Sr., 3672 Kalmia Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3075, application No. 5900, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 and No. 8924, Section 8a, to construct an apartment over an existing garage and to construct an addition to the garage and maintain the existing 1 ft. sideyard at 3672 Kalmia Street, on Lots 25,26 and 27, except the Easterly 70 ft. thereof, Block T, Montclair, be, and it is hereby overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 89375 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council MAY 11 1948

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk.

By F. T. PATTEN
Deputy.

Form 1270 (10M 6-47)
Acorn Press — San Diego

Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 89375 See file 3075 preceding & file 8960 following

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of James K. Walborn, Sr., 3672 Kalmia Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3075, application No. 5900, for variance to the provisions of ordinance No. 13057 and No. 8924, Section 8a, to construct an apartment over an existing garage and to construct an addition to the garage and maintain the existing 1 ft. sideyard at 3672 Kalmia Street, on Lots 25,26 and 27, except the Easterly 70 ft. thereof, Block T, Montclair, be, and it is hereby overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 89375 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council MAY 11 1948

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk.

By F. L. WATTS
Deputy.

Form 1270 (10M 6-47)
Printed in U.S.A. — San Diego

Planning

RESOLUTION NO. 89601 See Res 3075 + 89375 preceding

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That Resolution No. 89375, passed May 11, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

That the appeal of James E.Welborn, Sr. 3672 Kalmia Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee as expressed in their Resolution No. 3075, be denied insofar as it affects Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, (side yard regulation), but that the appeal be sustained insofar as it affects Ordinance No. 13057, so that the appellant may be permitted to use Lots 25-27, except the easterly 70 feet thereof, Block T, Montclair, for the construction of a second living unit on this property, provided the construction is kept back of the average setback of other buildings in this block on Kalmia Street.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 89601 MAY 25 1948 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk.

F. T. PATTEN

By Deputy.

1270 (10M 4/48) Acorn Press

RESOLUTION NO. 89601 See Res. 30754 & 89275 passed

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That Resolution No. 89275, passed May 11, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

That the appeal of James E.Welborn, Sr. 3672 Kalmia Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee as expressed in their Resolution No. 30754, be denied insofar as it affects Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, (side yard regulation), but that the appeal be sustained insofar as it affects Ordinance No. 13057, so that the appellant may be permitted to use Lots 25-27, except the easterly 70 feet thereof, Block T, Montclair, for the construction of a second living unit on this property, provided the construction is kept back of the average setback of other buildings in this block on Kalmia Street.

MAY 25 1948

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 89601 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

FRED W. SICK
CITY CLERK

City Clerk.

By

Deputy.

Form 270 (10M 4/48)
Acorn Press

RESOLUTION NO. 3076

WHEREAS, Application No. 5962 has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Milton P. Sessions, Inc., to move in a building containing three apartments to Lots 31, 32, 33 and 34, Block 3, Ocean Spray, buildings crossing lot lines, North side of Opal Street, West of Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3076

Application Received 4-14-48 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee APR 21 1948 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date APR 21 1948
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 1948 Building Inspector APR 23 1948
Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3077

WHEREAS, Application No. 5963-A has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Milton P. Sessions, Inc., to move in a three-unit apartment building and observe an 8½ ft. rear yard, Lots 31, 32, 33 and 34, Block 3, Ocean Spray Addition, North side of Opal Street, West of Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3077

Application Received 4-14-48 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made APR 21 1948 By Lancaster, Irving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee APR 21 1948 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date APR 21 1948
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 1948 Building Inspector APR 23 1948
Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3078

WHEREAS, Application No. 5981 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe Richlin to construct a 12 ft. by 20 ft. bakery shop on the West 1/2 of Lots 45 to 48, inclusive, Block 52, City Heights, 4075 University Avenue, said shop to be used as a wholesale outlet only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3078

Application Received 4-16-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Lewis & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee APR 21 1948 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date APR 21 1948
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 1948 Building Inspector APR 23 1948
Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3079

WHEREAS, Application No. 5995 has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Floyd M. and Hazel M. Murphy to construct a dental clinic with a residence above with a 12 ft. setback, but the setback to be not less than that of the adjoining structure to the west, 3523 Monroe Street on the West 55.8 ft. of the North 300.13 ft. of Lot 25, Block A, Teralta.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3079

Application Received 4-16-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made APR 21 1948 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee APR 21 1948 Hearing date
Decision Modified appr. Date APR 21 1948
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 1948 Building Inspector APR 23 1948
Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3080

WHEREAS, Application No. 5969 has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Philip Cenci to construct a residence with a garage attached with no setback from 31st Street, 50 ft. North of Beech, Lots 9 and 10, Block 17, M. Santee's Addition, providing a surveyor's map of the property is submitted prior to or at the time the plans are submitted for approval.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3080

Application Received 4-14-48 By R. W. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burlo
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved, cond'l Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3081

WHEREAS, Application No. 5942 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Q. and Elizabeth H. Nichols to erect a garage 574 sq. ft. in area with no sideyard and a 7 ft. 6 in. rear yard, Lot 2, Block 5, La Jolla Hermosa Unit No. 1, Camino dela Costa, South of Avenida Cortez.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3081

Application Received 4-6-48 By E.C. Van Hesi
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Emery & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3082

WHEREAS, Application No. 5951 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herman E. Danz to erect a duplex over existing garage and maintain existing 7 ft. 6 in. access court, providing this garage is at least 3 ft. from the side lot lines and providing the front porch on the existing wood-sided cottage is not at any time enclosed, nor the existing access disturbed, 4361 Hamilton Streets, Lots 9 and 10, Block 106, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xxxxxx~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3082

Application Received 4-8-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Council Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3083

WHEREAS, Application No. 6002 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert E. Hill and William P. Kesling to divide a parcel of land in Pueblo Lot 1286, known as Arbitrary Lots 35, 35a and 35b of Assessor's Map 33-A, into three parcels and permit a single family residence on each, Torrey Pines Road, Little Street, and Roseland Drive, subject to the final approval of the divisions by the Planning Director or his Assistant.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3083

Application Received 4-19-48 By [illegible]
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing, Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Modified approval Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-2
Planning Commission Petitioner Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3084

WHEREAS, Application No. 5979 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. Stanton and Minnie Ford Dixon to redivide Lots 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 33, Ocean Beach, into three parcels, each facing Ebers Street, and permit two living units on each parcel, providing the required setback is observed on Niagara Street and a 7 ft. setback on Ebers Street; the size of the parcels will be as follows:

1. 40 ft. by 100 ft.
2. 45 ft. by 100 ft.
3. 55 ft. by 100 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3084

Application Received 4-14-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

6K

RESOLUTION NO. 3085

WHEREAS, Application No. 5921 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Theodore G. and Alma B. Nelson to erect a duplex over a garage, making three units on the property, and maintaining existing 3 ft. access court to the street, 4573 Maryland Street on Lot 5 and the Northerly 15 ft. of Lot 6, Block 42, University Heights, providing the hedges on each side of the property are removed wherever they interfere with access around the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3085

Application received: 4-8-48 - by: J.C. Daugherty
Investigation made - 4-7-48 4-21-48 by: Lancaster, Lining & Burton
Considered by Z.C. 4-7-48 4-21-48
Decision - hgpr., consil - date: 4-21-48
Copy of R. sent to city Clerk - 4-22-48

Document No. _____

Filed _____

_____

By _____
City Clerk.

_____
Deputy

ZONE VARIANCE RESOLUTION
OF ZONING COMMITTEE

TO

_____

FOR

_____

_____

_____

_____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3086

WHEREAS, Application No. 5920 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles Knehr, owner, and Lea H. Warner, purchaser, to construct a single family residence on the Southerly 400 ft. of Lot 32 (measured on 54th Street), Lemon Villa, providing an agreement is signed by both owner and purchaser and filed of record to the effect that when the city requests it, an easement 20 ft. in width will be granted for the widening of Chollas Road and an easement 15 ft. in width will be granted for the widening of 54th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

agreement signed R.H.W.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

agreement #535
filed 5-4-48

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3086

Application Received 4-6-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision approved, cond'l Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3087

WHEREAS, Application No. 5952 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur H. and Dorothy J. McKee to divide the Easterly 1/2 of Lot 5, all of Lots 6 and 7 and a portion of Lot 8, Block 1, El Retiro, into three parcels, two 50 ft. in width facing College Avenue and one 88 ft. in width, facing College Avenue at Arosa Street, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, providing a setback of the average of the two existing dwellings is observed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1618, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, , 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3087

Application Received 4-7-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved, Cont'd Date 4-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-48 Building Inspector 4-23-48
Planning Commission 4-23-48 Petitioner 4-23-48 Health Department 4-23-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3088

WHEREAS, Application No. 5863 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Southern Title and Trust Company to split out parcels numbered 1, 2, 3 and 4 (as shown on map on file in the Planning Department Office) from Pueblo Lots 105, 106, 117 and 129, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, all of which face on an easement as shown on the tentative map approved by the Planning Commission, between Silvergate and San Gorgonio Streets, North of the Military Reservation.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3088

Application Received 3-15-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-24-48 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48 4-21-48 Hearing date

Decision approved Date APR 21 1948

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 1948 Building Inspector APR 23 1948

Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3089

WHEREAS, Application No. 5865 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Southern Title and Trust Company to split out parcels numbered 8, 9 and 10 (as shown on map on file in Planning Department Office) from Pueblo Lots 105, 106, 117 and 129, facing San Gorgonio Street, North of the Military Reservation, and construct a single family residence on each.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3089

Application Received 3-15-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-24-48 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Irving & B
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48 4-21-48 Hearing date
Decision approved Date APR 21 1948
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 1948 Building Inspector APR 23 1948
Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3090

WHEREAS, Application No. 5864 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Southern Title and Trust Company to split out parcels numbered 5, 6 and 7 (as shown on map on file in the Planning Department Office) from Pueblo Lots 105, 106, 117 and 129, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, all of which face on an easement as shown on the tentative map approved by the Planning Commission, between Silvergate and San Gorgonio Streets, North of the Military Reservation.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 21, 1948

By Zoning ~~Engineer~~ ~~Secretary~~

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3090

Application Received 3-15-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-24-48 4-21-48 By Lancaster, Irving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-24-48 4-21-48 Hearing date

Decision approved Date APR 21 1948

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk APR 22 1948 Building Inspector APR 23 1948

Planning Commission APR 23 1948 Petitioner APR 23 1948 Health Department APR 23 1948

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

a

RESOLUTION NO. 3091

WHEREAS, Application No. 5998 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph B. Hunt, Jr. to construct a garage with no setback and with a 1 ft. sideyard on Lot 13, Resubdivision of Block 10, Kensington Park, 4036 Terrace Court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By Harry C. Haelsig, ~~SECRETARY~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3091

Application Received 4-20-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Irving Oppenderfer & Hadley
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3092

WHEREAS, Application No. 6012 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles Randolph to construct two living units on the North 50 ft. of the South 200 ft. of Lot 4, J. P. Christensen's Addition, West side of 31st Street, North of Elm Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3092

Application Received 4-21-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Irving Oppenheimer + Harding
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3093

WHEREAS, Application No. 6014 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Norman H. Crisp to construct the third living unit at 306 Prospect Street, said unit to be served by approximately a 7 ft. access court to the street, Northeasterly 15 ft. of Lot 32 and all of Lot 33, Block 16, La Jolla Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3093

Application Received 4-22-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Ewing, Oppenlander & Hadley
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3094

WHEREAS, Application No. 5659 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Werner G. and Jeneva Bissmeyer to construct a single family residence on a three-acre parcel of land without street frontage, but served by an easement 20 ft. in width to Delta Street, West of 47th Street, being a portion of Lot 66, Horton's Purchase of Ex-Mission Lands (description on file in Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3094

Application Received 4-22-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Irving Dippendorfer + Harling
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3095

WHEREAS, Application No. 6031 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mattie B. Childs to install $800.00 worth of new plumbing and cabinet work in an existing residence at 1828 "J" Street which has no sideyard and no rear yard, Lot 7, Block 23, Sherman's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By H.C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3095

Application Received 4-26-48 By D. E. Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Irving, Offenderfer & Hoelzig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3096 See Res 89585 following

WHEREAS, Application No. 5827 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Blanche Youngs to construct a single apartment over an existing garage with a 5 ft. rear yard and a 20 ft. sideyard where a single family residence already exists on Lot 1, Block 17, West End Addition, 3646 Granada Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3096

Application Received 4-14-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48 5-5-48 By Ewing, Oppenlander & Harling
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48 5-5-48 Hearing date 5-5-48
Decision Denied Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date 5-25-48
Decision of Council Denied Date 5-25-48
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Planning

RESOLUTION NO. 89585 See Res 3096 preceding

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Earl and Blanche Youngs, 3646 Granada Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3096, application No. 5827, for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175 and No. 8924, Section 8a, to construct a single apartment over an existing garage with a 5 ft. rear yard and a 20 ft. sideyard where a single family residence already exists on Lot 1, Block 17, West End Addition, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 89585 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council MAY 25 1948

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk.

By F. T. PATTEN

Deputy.

Form 1270 (10M 4/48)
Acorn Press

Page is intentionally left blank.

City of San Diego

RESOLUTION NO. 3097

WHEREAS, Application No. 6023 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel S. and Mercedes Gilbert to erect an addition to a residence which has a 1 ft. 6 in. sideyard on the South side, addition to observe a 4 ft. sideyard, Lots 11 and 12, Block 54, City Heights, 3853-39th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3097

Application Received 4-21-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Irving, Dyfendefer & Harding
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3098

WHEREAS, Application No. 6008 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred E. Applegate to construct the third living unit on Lot 24, Block 13, La Mesa Colony, Northeast corner of 71st and Mohawk Streets, providing an agreement is signed by the owner to the effect that the existing garage which is being used as a residence will be converted to a legal use under the Zoning Ordinance or will be removed from the premises within one (1) year from the date of this resolution. The proposed residence may be erected only 2 ft. from the living unit to be converted or removed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Agreement # 536- rec'd 5-12-48

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3098

Application Received 4-22-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Ewing, Oppenderfer & Hedberg
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date
Decision Council approval Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3099

WHEREAS, Application No. 6001 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred E. Applegate to construct a residence on Lot 24, Block 13, La Mesa Colony, with a 16 ft. setback from Mohawk Street at the Northeast corner of Mohawk and 71st Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3099

Application Received 4-22-48 By E. C. Van Hee
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Irving Oppenderfer & Healey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

a

RESOLUTION NO. 3100

WHEREAS, Application No. 6016 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clyde and Elaine D. Davee to convert a two-story two-family residence into four living units with the existing 4 ft. 5 in. rear yard and with 5 ft. 3 in. between buildings, on the East 90 ft. of the North 1/2 of Lot 9 and the East 90 ft. of Lot 10, Block 8, Nutt's Addition, Southwest corner of 3rd Avenue and Robinson Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3100

Application Received 4-29-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Ewing, Oppenderfer & Hackney
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Planning

See Res. 2700 Preceding

RESOLUTION NO. 88024

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Zella M. Goff, 2705 First Avenue, from Zoning Committee decision to ~~permit for~~ 0 ft. setback from 1st Avenue, Northwest corner 1st and Nutmeg Streets, be and it is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted to Dr. Thomas Burger, owner, and M. Herrmann, purchaser, to erect a two-family residence and a three-car garage with one living unit above on Lot G and the South 15 ft. of Lot H, Block 311, Horton's Addition, with a 5 ft. setback from 1st Avenue ~~xxx~~ for both structures, Northwest corner of 1st Avenue and Nutmeg Street.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 88024 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council JAN 6 1948

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk.

By F. T. PATTEN
Deputy.

Form 1270 (10M 6-47)
Press — San Diego

Page is intentionally left blank.

City of San Diego

End of book.

City of San Diego