

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 402

WHEREAS, Application No. 1955 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will....~~not~~....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ada F. Lathrop to erect or move in a second living unit at 946 - 43rd Street on the Sly 60 ft. of Lot 54, Broadway Acres and any buildings erected on the Nly 26 ft. be for future consideration of the Zoning Committee.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 16, 1943

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 9/11/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/15/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/15/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Date 9/16/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/16/43 Building Inspector 9/16/43

Planning Commission 9/16/43 Petitioner 9/16/43 Health Department 9/16/43 & assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 403

WHEREAS, Application No. 1921 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Irene Hunt to remodel an existing single family residence at 3402 Park Boulevard on Lots 10, 11 and the South 20.64 ft. of Lot 12, Block 245, University Heights into four (4) apartments with a 10 ft. rear yard and 5 feet from the adjoining building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 16, 1943

By H. K. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 8/3/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/4/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/15/43 Hearing date 9/15/43  
Decision approved Date 9/15/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/16/43 Building Inspector 9/16/43  
Planning Commission 9/16/43 Petitioner 9/16/43 Health Department 9/16/43  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 9/16/43 Council Hearing, date 9/16/43  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0.15

404

RESOLUTION NO. ....

By letter dated

WHEREAS, Application No. ~~Sept. 9, 1943~~ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lula V. McDermant, 2414 Island Avenue to continue operation of a beauty shop in the residence at that address, on Lots 27 and 28, Block 9, L. W. Kimball's Subdivision, provided that no signs are erected on the premises and no change made in the exterior appearance of the residence. This permit is limited to a period of two years from October 14, 1943.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 16, 1943

By.....

*H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 9/10/43 By Letter dated 9/9/43  
City Planning Department

Investigation made \_\_\_\_\_ By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/15/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cond'd Appl for 2 years Date 9/15/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/16/43 Building Inspector 9/16/43  
Planning Commission 9/16/43 Petitioner 9/16/43 Health Department 9/16/43 + Answer  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 405

WHEREAS, Application No. 1943 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will..not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will..not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to California Butane and Appliance Company to install an additional 5000 gallon Butane tank at 6987 El Cajon Boulevard on Lots 10 to 12 inclusive, Block 9, La Mesa Colony, subject to the following conditions:

1. That six months after hostilities in the present war between the United States of America and any country cease the additional Butane tank will then be removed.
2. That an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By.....  
Secretary.

#246 Agreement filed  
Sept. 29, 1943  
Dated September 23, 1943



Application Received 9/13/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 9/15/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/15/43 Hearing date 9/22/43  
Decision Condil Approval Date 9/22/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/23/43 Building Inspector 9/23/43  
Planning Commission 9/23/43 Petitioner 9/23/43 Health Department 9/23/43 + answer  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 406

WHEREAS, Application No. 1915 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will....not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. C. White to occupy and operate a retail notion and dry goods store at 2976 L Street on Lots 43 and 44, Block 79, Powers Subdivision of NE $\frac{1}{4}$  of SW $\frac{1}{4}$  of P.L. 1153.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 23, 1943

By.....

Secretary.



Application Received 9/7/43 By Burton

City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/15/43 By Zoning Committee

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/15/43 Hearing date 9/22/43

Decision Approved Date 9/22/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/23/43 Building Inspector 9/23/43

Planning Commission 9/23/43 Petitioner 9/23/43 Health Department 9/23/43 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



8. K

RESOLUTION NO. 407

WHEREAS, Application No. 1970 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Riva and Elya Bresler to remodel an existing single-family dwelling at 2519 - First Avenue on Lot D, Block 287, Horton's Addition into a four (4) apartment building with a 2 ft. 4 in. sideyard for a portion of the building, subject to approval by the Building Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 23, 43

By.....

*H. K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 9/21/43 By Neff  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/22/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/22/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 9/22/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/23/43 Building Inspector 9/23/43  
Planning Commission 9/23/43 Petitioner 9/23/43 Health Department 9/23/43  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 408

WHEREAS, Application No. 1963 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edna P. Maxwell to convert an existing garage at 4786 Ocean View Boulevard on the ~~fly one-~~ half of Lots 25 and 26, Block J, Alta Vista Suburb, into an apartment with a 3 ft. rear yard, subject to the following conditions:

1. That six months after hostilities in the present war between the United States of America and any country cease the garage will then be vacated and no longer used as living quarters.
2. That an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated... September 23, 1963

By... *H. W. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 9/15/43 By Case  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/22/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/22/43 Hearing date

Decision Cond'l - approved Date 9/22/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/23/43 Building Inspector 9/23/43

Planning Commission 9/23/43 Petitioner 9/23/43 Health Department 9/23/43 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 409

TENTATIVE APPROVAL OF TRAILER PARK

WHEREAS, Application No. 1911 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: That tentative and conditional approval be given by the Zoning Committee to the petition of August Erickson and Joseph R. Hall, and accompanying plan subject to the following conditions:

1. That when and if the following improvements are installed and the following conditions fully complied with the Zoning Committee will grant a zone variance for the period of time not to exceed five years, to operate an 8-unit Trailer Park at 2341 La Jolla Avenue on the portion of Lot 2, lying westerly of La Jolla Avenue, Block 517, Old San Diego.
2. The requirements of Ordinance No. 2584 to be strictly adhered to including sanitary requirements that each trailer space be provided with proper connection to the sewer system.
3. A compact evergreen hedge at least three feet high at the time of planting be installed around the property and maintained in first class condition at all times.
4. A five foot fence or wall be constructed around the property.
5. All driveways be surfaced with dust resisting material.
6. Proper water outlets, electrical outlets and lighting be provided.
7. The exterior design of all structures be approved by the Planning Commission.
8. A revocable permit with the stipulation that the work be started within fifteen days and completed within 90 days.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 23 1911

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 8/14/43 By Case  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/18/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/18/43 Hearing date 8/25/43

Decision Tentative Approval Date 9/22/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/23/43 Building Inspector 9/23/43

Planning Commission 9/23/43 Petitioner 9/23/43 Health Department 9/23/43 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



# RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE TENTATIVE APPROVAL OF TRAILER PARK

WHEREAS, Application No. 1912 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ----- necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will not ----- be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will not ----- adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot Two (2) the portion Block 517,  
lying westerly of La Jolla Avenue

Subdivision Old San Diego

August Erickson and Joseph R. Hall - 2341 La Jolla Avenue

may be used for the erection and operation of an 8-unit Trailer Park

subject to the following conditions (1) That when and if the following improvements are installed and the following conditions fully complied with the Zoning Committee will grant a Resolution of Property Use for a period of time not to exceed five years; (2) that the requirements of Ordinance No. 2584 be strictly adhered to including sanitary requirements that each trailer space be provided with proper connection to the sewer system; (3) a compact evergreen hedge at least three feet high at the time of planting be installed around the property and maintained in first class condition at all times; (4) a five foot fence or wall be constructed around the property; (5) all driveways be surfaced with dust resisting material; (6) proper water outlets, electrical outlets and lighting be provided; (7) the exterior design of all structures be approved by the Planning Commission; (8) a revocable permit with the stipulation that the work be started within fifteen days and completed within 90 days.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated September 23, 194 3

By

*H. K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 8/14/43 By Case  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/18/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/18/43 Hearing date 8/25/43

Decision Preliminary approval Date 9/22/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/23/43 Building Inspector 9/23/43

Planning Commission 9/23/43 Petitioner 9/23/43 Health Department 9/23/43 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 411

WHEREAS, Application No. 1976 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The City of San Diego to use all of Blocks 7 and 12, Culverwell and Taggart's Addition as a truck and material storage yard and to construct a 30' x 150' warehouse on Lots 5 and 11, Block 7, Culverwell and Taggart's Addition at 21st and A Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated: September 30, 1963

By

H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 9/29/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made \_\_\_\_\_ By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/29/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Date 9/29/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/30/43 Building Inspector 10/1/43

Planning Commission 10/1/43 Petitioner 10/1/43 Health Department 10/1/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 412

WHEREAS, Application No. 1977 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will..not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will..not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The City of San Diego to construct a 30' x 150' warehouse at 21st and A Streets on Lots 5 and 11, Block 7, Culverwell and Taggart's Addition with no setback along 21st Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 30, 1977

By *H. K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 9/29/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made \_\_\_\_\_ By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/29/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Date 9/29/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/30/43 Building Inspector 10/1/43

Planning Commission 10/1/43 Petitioner 10/1/43 Health Department 10/1/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 413

WHEREAS, Application No. 1975 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Russell C. and Hazel Woods to re-build a portion of the existing stables at 2800 - 54th Street on the North 1/6 of the West 1/2 of Section 34 T16S R2W to expire con-currently with a previous permit granted by Resolution No. 75389, dated October 21, 1941.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 30, 1943

By

H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary



Application Received 9/29/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/29/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/29/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 9/29/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/30/43 Building Inspector 10/1/43  
Planning Commission 10/1/43 Petitioner 10/1/43 Health Department 10/1/43 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 414, extended by Res. 2385

WHEREAS, Application No. 1947 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. A. Floore to convert an existing store building at 4576 - 30th Street on Lots 43 and 44, Block 58, University Heights into an apartment with no side yard and only 2 feet between buildings subject to the following conditions:

1. That alterations be made according to plans submitted and requirements of the Building Department.
2. That six months after hostilities in the present war between the United States of America and any country cease the store building will then be vacated and no longer used as living quarters.
3. That an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.

#248 Agreement filed 9/30/43  
Dated September 30, 1943



Application Received 9/24/43 By Neff  
City Planning Department  
*on previous app. denied*  
Investigation made 8/18/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/29/43 Hearing date 9/29/43  
Decision Condit Approval Date 9/29/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/30/43 Building Inspector 10/1/43  
Planning Commission 10/1/43 Petitioner 10/1/43 Health Department 10/1/43 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 415

WHEREAS, Application No. 1950 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold J. and Charlotte J. Muir to erect and operate a Real Estate Tract Office at Muirlands Drive and El Camino del Teatro on Lot 1, Block 21, Muirlands, subject to the following conditions:

1. That two (2) years from the date of this Resolution the Real Estate Office will be removed.
2. That an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.

Dated September 30, 1943

#249 Agreement filed  
10-5-43



Application Received 9/20/43 By Case  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/22/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/22/43 Hearing date 9/29/43

Decision Cond. approved Date 9/29/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/30/43 Building Inspector 10/1/43

Planning Commission 10/1/43 Petitioner 10/1/43 Health Department 10/1/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



Plan. O.K.

78716

# RESOLUTION NO.-----

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The appeal of Mrs. Jennie S. Turner, 1814 Main Street, from Zoning Committee Resolution of Property Use No. 416, in connection with her Application No. 1941, granting tentative approval of trailer park, be, and it is hereby sustained, and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said Mrs. Turner be, and she is hereby granted permission to operate an 18-unit Trailer Park on Lots 1 to 6 Block 94 Mannasse & Schiller's during the continuance of actual hostilities in the war between the United States of America and any country, and for the period of six months thereafter, on the following conditions:

1. The trailer spaces shall be arranged in accordance with the plan submitted with the application;
2. The driveways shall be surfaced with dust resisting material;
3. A five-foot fence or wall shall be constructed around the property;
4. A compact evergreen hedge at least three feet high at the time of planting be installed around the property and maintained in first class condition at all times;
5. Proper water outlets, electrical outlets and lighting shall be provided;
6. The requirements of the Health Department as to sanitary facilities shall be complied with;
7. The exterior design of all structures be approved by the Planning Commission.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 78716 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council. OCT 13 1943

FRED W. SICK

AUGUST M. WADSTROM City Clerk

By \_\_\_\_\_ Deputy



## RESOLUTION NO.

78716

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The appeal of Mrs. Jennie S. Turner, 1814 Main Street, from the Committee Resolution of Property Use No. 416, in connection with her Application No. 1941, granting tentative approval of trailer park, be, and it is hereby sustained, and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said Mrs. Turner be, and she is hereby granted permission to operate an 18-unit Trailer Park on Lots 1 to 6 Block 94 Mannans & Schiller's during the continuance of actual hostilities in the war between the United States of America and any country, and for the period of six months thereafter, on the following conditions:

1. The trailer spaces shall be arranged in accordance with the plan submitted with the application;
  2. The driveways shall be surfaced with dust resisting material;
  3. A five-foot fence or wall shall be constructed around the property;
  4. A compact evergreen hedge at least three feet high at the time of planting be installed around the property and maintained in that class condition at all times;
  5. Proper water outlets, electrical outlets and lighting shall be provided;
  6. The requirements of the Health Department as to sanitary facilities shall be complied with;
  7. The exterior finish of all structures be approved by the Planning Commission.
- The above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 78716 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council.

FRED W. SICK

AUGUST M. WADSTROM

City Clerk

By

Deputy



## RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

## TENTATIVE APPROVAL OF TRAILER PARK

WHEREAS, Application No. 1941 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot 1 to 6, inclusive Block 94

Subdivision Mannassee & Schiller's

1814 Main Street - Mrs. Jennie S. Turner

may be used for the erection and operation of a 14-unit Trailer Park

subject to the following conditions: (1) That when and if the following improvements are installed and the following conditions fully complied with the Zoning Committee will grant a Resolution of Property Use for the duration and six months thereafter; (2) Seven trailer spaces along Beardsley St. 20'x30'; two in front of the existing house and five on Lots 5 and 6. This will eliminate three now located in the drive along the house and also one in front of the existing house; (3) that the requirements of Ordinance No. 2584 be strictly adhered to including sanitary requirements that each trailer space be provided with proper connection to the sewer system; (4) a compact evergreen hedge at least three feet high at the time of planting be installed around the property and maintained in first class condition at all times; (5) a five foot fence or wall be constructed around the property; (6) all driveways be surfaced with dust resisting material; (7) proper water outlets, electrical outlets and lighting be provided; (8) the exterior design of all structures be approved by the Planning Commission; (9) a revocable permit with the stipulation that the work be started within fifteen days and completed within 90 days.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated September 30, 1943

By

*H. H. Langensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 8/30/43 By Neff  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/1/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/1/43 Hearing date 9/8/43

Decision Tentative Approval Date 9/29/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/30/43 Building Inspector 10/1/43

Planning Commission 10/1/43 Petitioner 10/1/43 Health Department 10/1/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 10/2/43 Council Hearing date 10/13/43

Decision of Council Appeal sustained Date 10/13/43

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to duration of hostility Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



# RESOLUTION NO. 417

VOID RESOLUTION NO. 302

WHEREAS, Application No. 1798 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 302, dated June 10, 1943 is hereby declared null and void and; Permission is hereby granted to Alva S. and Violet Davis to continue the use of a building at 2877 El Cajon Boulevard on Lots 5 and 6, Block 120, University Heights, in connection with the non-conforming laundry adjoining and to repair recent fire damage, subject to the following conditions:

1. The plant shall not operate before 7:00 A.M. or after 7:00 P.M.
2. Loading and unloading shall be within the building;
3. Six months after the termination of the present war between the United States of America and any country this building, on the above described property shall be converted into a permitted use in a C zone.
4. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 7, 1943

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.

251 Agreement Filed  
10/13/43



See Resolution 302

Application Received ..... By .....

City Planning Department

Investigation made ..... By .....

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ..... Hearing date .....

Decision ..... Date .....

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/13/43 Building Inspector 10/13/43

Planning Commission 10/13/43 Petitioner 10/13/43 Health Department 10/13/43 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ..... Council Hearing, date .....

Decision of Council ..... Date .....

Resolution becomes effective .....

Application withdrawn ..... Continued to .....

Time limit extended to ..... Date of action .....

RESOLUTION NO.



OK

RESOLUTION NO. 418

WHEREAS, Application No. 1933 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. L. and Effie M. Yelverton to divide the East 1/2 of Lot 8, Case and McHatton's Subdivision into three (3) building sites and erect a residence on each parcel described as follows, except the streets:

- (1) parcel - N 1/2 acre of the E 1/2 of Lot 8
- (2) parcel - S 1-1/2 acres of the N 2 acres of the E 1/2 of Lot 8
- (3) parcel - All of the E 1/2 of Lot 8 except the N 2 acres

subject to the following conditions:

1. That the owners deed a 10 ft. strip of land to the City for the widening of 61st Street;
2. And that not more than one single family dwelling be erected on the large parcel of land.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 1, 1943

By *H. K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 9/4/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/8/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/8/43 Hearing date 9/15/43  
Decision Conditional Approval Date 10/6/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/1/43 Building Inspector 11/2/43  
Planning Commission 11/2/43 Petitioner 11/2/43 Health Department 11/2/43 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 419

WHEREAS, Application No. 1891 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William H. Evans and Edward N. Main to erect a single family residence on the Easterly 1/2, (except the northerly 100 feet) of Lot 10, Cave & McHatton's Subdivision on the west side of 61st Street, south of Detroit Street, subject to the approval of the Building Department and the Health Department.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

*H. H. Jorgensen*

Dated October 7, 1943

By Secretary.



Application Received 10/2/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/6/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/6/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 10/6/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/7/43 Building Inspector 10/7/43

Planning Commission 10/7/43 Petitioner 10/7/43 Health Department 10/7/43 assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 420

WHEREAS, Application No. 1978 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. George Buckley to build an addition (7'x 8') to an existing residence at 1029 Essex Street on the ~~East~~ West 1/2 of Lot 31 and all of Lot 32, Block 214, University Heights, with no side yard. Addition will be constructed on the opposite side of the residence.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated. October 7., 1943

By *H. K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 10/4/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/6/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/6/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 10/6/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/7/43 Building Inspector 10/7/43  
Planning Commission 10/7/43 Petitioner 10/7/43 Health Department 10/7/43 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 421

WHEREAS, Application No. 1968 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. C. Wilde to convert a portion of a storage building at 4031 Centre Street on the Southerly 1/2 of Lot 2, Block 178, University Heights into one apartment with no side yard and only 4 feet between the existing residence and the proposed living quarters, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the building be approved by the Building Department;
2. That six months after hostilities in the present war between the United States of America and any country cease the storage building will then be vacated and no longer used as living quarters;
3. That an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 7, 1943

By *H. K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.

250  
Agreement filed  
10/13/43



Application Received 10/1/43 By Burton

City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/6/43 By Zoning Committee

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/6/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved - amended pet Date 10/6/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/13/43 Building Inspector 10/13/43

Planning Commission 10/13/43 Petitioner 10/13/43 Health Department 10/13/43 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

NEGOTIATION NO



0.4  
RESOLUTION NO. 422

WHEREAS, Application No. 1981 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy Ledford to make two apartments within the existing building at 1751 University Avenue on Lots 5 to 8 inclusive, Block 239, University Heights with coverage of 66.3%.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 7, 1963

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 10/6/43 By Stahn  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/6/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/6/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision approved Date 10/6/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/7/43 Building Inspector 10/7/43  
Planning Commission 10/7/43 Petitioner 10/7/43 Health Department 10/7/43 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

10/10/43



RESOLUTION NO. 423

WHEREAS, Application No. 1989 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred M. Cox to construct two living units on Adams Avenue; Ely 21 ft. of Lot 6 and all of Lot 7, Mission Cliff Gardens, with an 8 ft. rear yard, provided a minimum of 8 ft. side yard is observed on the east side of the lot.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 14, 1943

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 10/11/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/13/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/13/43 Hearing date

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 10/13/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/14/43 Building Inspector 10/14/43

Planning Commission 10/14/43 Petitioner 10/14/43 Health Department 10/14/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 424

WHEREAS, Application No. 1984 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stanley W. and Thelma T. Weir to make an addition of 4 ft. on the side and 2 ft. on the rear of a garage located on the property line at 3579 Arizona Street on Lots 27 and 46, Block 80, Park Villas (Resubdivision of Block 80.)

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 14, 1943

By *H. W. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 10/11/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/13/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/13/43 Hearing date 10/13/43  
Decision Approved Date 10/13/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/14/43 Building Inspector 10/14/43

Planning Commission 10/14/43 Petitioner 10/14/43 Health Department 10/14/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



AMENDS RESOLUTION NO. 399  
RESOLUTION NO. 425

O.K

By letter

WHEREAS, Application No. dated Oct. 27, 1943 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank J. and Matilda A. Henschel to convert an auxiliary building at 115 Dickinson Street on the E-50 ft of the W 200 ft of the N 120 ft of Block 3 and Lots 7 to 12, inclusive, Block 44, First Street Addition into living quarters with no side yard and to make an addition thereto, addition to maintain the required 3 ft. side yard, subject to the following conditions:

1. That six months after hostilities in the present war between the United States of America and any country cease the existing auxiliary building will then be vacated and no longer used as living quarters or that the building be remodeled to comply with ordinances of the city.
2. That an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. above.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 28, 43 19

By.....

*H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.

*Agreement  
52 filed 11-2-43*



Application Received Request for Amendment By mail  
by letter dated 10/27/43 City Planning Department

Investigation made None By None  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/27/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Cond'l Date 10/27/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/28/43 Building Inspector 10/29/43

Planning Commission 10/29/43 Petitioner 10/29/43 Health Department 10/29/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 426

WHEREAS, Application No. 1992 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. L. Blair to construct a garage at 1535 - 31st Street on Lots 2, 3 and 4, Block 17, M. Santee's Subdivision with no setback providing it is the same construction as the existing house, with a gable roof and overhead doors.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 28, 1939

By.....  
Secretary.



Application Received 10/18/43 By 7  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/27/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/27/43 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 10/28/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/28/43 Building Inspector 10/28/43  
Planning Commission 10/29/43 Petitioner 10/29/43 Health Department 10/29/43 Assessors  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 427

WHEREAS, Application No. 2002 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Emma C. Dorland to construct a 7 ft by 13 ft addition to an existing apartment building at 1609 - 8th Avenue on Lots 5 and 6, Block 16, Bayview Homestead, making a total coverage of 68.5% coverage, addition to match the other portion of the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 28, 1943

By *H. H. Langmaier*  
Secretary.



Application Received 10/23/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/27/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/27/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 10/28/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/28/43 Building Inspector 10/28/43

Planning Commission 10/28/43 Petitioner 10/28/43 Health Department 10/28/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date 10/28/43 Assessor

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 428

WHEREAS, Application No. 1928 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to N. D. and E. L. Motsinger to erect a residence on an existing foundation on Hornblend Street, Lots 16 and 17, Block 237, Pacific Beach with a 10 ft. rear yard, providing plans are designed which will be satisfactory with the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 28, 1943

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 10/19/43 By Neff  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/27/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/27/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Date 10/27/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/28/43 Building Inspector 10/29/43

Planning Commission 10/29/43 Petitioner 10/29/43 Health Department 10/29/43 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 429

WHEREAS, Application No. 2001 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leon R. and Ruth M. Hubbard to convert the rear portion of an existing garage and store room (apartment above), at 2220 - 30th Street on Lots 15 to 22, inclusive, Block 63, Seaman & Choates Addition into a sleeping room and bath with no rear yard, 3 ft. to adjacent dwelling on the south and no side yard on the north, subject to the following conditions:

1. That all requirements of the Building Department shall be complied with;
2. All future buildings will be constructed at least 6 feet from the existing garage and store room;
3. That six months after hostilities in the present war between the United States of America and any country cease the rear portion of the existing garage and store room will then be vacated and no longer used as living quarters;
4. That an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated October 28, 1943

By *H.K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.

#258 agreement filed  
12/1/43



Application Received 10/23/43 By Stahn  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/26/43 — Burton  
10/27/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/27/43 Hearing date

Decision Condil Approval Date 10/27/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/28/43 Building Inspector 10/29/43

Planning Commission 10/29/43 Petitioner 10/29/43 Health Department 10/29/43 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 430

WHEREAS, Application No. 2011 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Ralph S. Roberts to construct an additional story (6 apartments) to an existing apartment building at 345 West Juniper Street, Lots A and B, Block 253, Horton's Addition with 64% coverage, 3 feet between living quarters and no feet between garage and living quarters, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 4, 1943

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/1/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/3/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/3/43 Hearing date  
Decision denied Date 11/3/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/4/43 Building Inspector 11/4/43

Planning Commission 11/4/43 Petitioner 11/4/43 Health Department 11/4/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 431

WHEREAS, Application No. 1998 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Charles E. and Beaulah Howard to alter the second floor of an existing garage at 3332 Hill Street on Lots 35 to 40, inclusive, Block 7, Roseville Heights, into two bedrooms and bath with an 8 foot rear yard, be, and it is hereby denied. 6 lots

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 4, 1943

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 10/25/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/27/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/27/43 Hearing date 11/3/43

Decision Denied Date 11/3/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/4/43 Building Inspector 11/4/43

Planning Commission 11/4/43 Petitioner 11/4/43 Health Department 11/4/43 assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 432

WHEREAS, Application No. 1997 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Parke M. Ewing to erect a 12' x 20' stable for four (4) horses and cows at 2500 on 54th Street on the N 1/3 of SW 1/4 of SW 1/4 of Sec. 34 T16S R2W, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the stable will not be used commercially;
2. That six months after hostilities in the present war between the United States of America and any country cease the stable will then be removed;
3. That an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

#253  
Agreement filed  
11/10/43  
Dated November 4, 1943

By *A. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 10/27/43 By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10/27/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10/27/43 + 11/3/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Cond'l approve Date 11/3/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/4/43 Building Inspector 11/4/43

Planning Commission 11/4/43 Petitioner 11/4/43 Health Department 11/4/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 433

WHEREAS, Application No. 2018 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. W. Dyer, Inc. to build five (5) single-family residences on Alleghany Street, Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 3, Paradise Hills, according to the accompanying plat.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2720, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 4, 1943

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/3/43 By H. H. H. H.  
City Planning Department

Investigation made \_\_\_\_\_ By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/3/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision approved Date 11/3/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/4/43 Building Inspector 11/4/43  
Planning Commission 11/4/43 Petitioner 11/4/43 Health Department 11/4/43 + assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 434

WHEREAS, Application No. 2019 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. W. Dyer, Inc. to build a duplex, two (2) single-family residences and a portion of a third residence on Alleghany Street, Lots 4, 5 and 6, Block 3, Paradise Hills, according to the accompanying plat.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2720, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated. November 4, 1943

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/3/43 By Haelzig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made \_\_\_\_\_ By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/3/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/3/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/4/43 Building Inspector 11/4/43  
Planning Commission 11/4/43 Petitioner 11/4/43 Health Department 11/4/43 & Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 435

WHEREAS, Application No. 2020 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. W. Dyer, Inc. to build two (2) single-family residences and one duplex on Alleghany Street, Lots 7, 8 and 9, Block 3, Paradise Hills, according to the accompanying plat.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2720, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated. November 4, 1943

By *H. K. Jorgensen*

Secretary.



Application Received 11/3/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made \_\_\_\_\_ By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/3/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Date 11/3/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/4/43 Building Inspector 11/4/43

Planning Commission 11/4/43 Petitioner 11/4/43 Health Department 11/4/43 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 436

WHEREAS, Application No. 1971 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louise M. Kickham to construct a duplex on Lot D, Block 98, Mission Beach, corner of Island Court and Bayside Walk, with a setback of five (5) feet on Bayside Walk.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1186, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 5, 1943

By *H. M. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/2/43 By Hallsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/3/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/3/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 11/3/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/4/43 Building Inspector 11/4/43

Planning Commission 11/4/43 Petitioner 11/4/43 Health Department 11/4/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



AMENDS RESOLUTION NO. 272  
RESOLUTION NO. 437, amended by 2398

By letter dated November 5,

WHEREAS, Application No. 1943 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...~~not~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Amending Resolution No. 272:

Permission is hereby granted to Rose W. Bruchmann and Sadie E. and Gail H. Marine to build a 5 ft. by 10 ft. washroom addition to an existing dwelling, a portion of which is only one foot from the side lot line, at 4069 Marlborough Avenue on Lot 7 and the north one-half of Lot 8, Block 43, City Heights; addition to be built 4 ft. from the property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 12, 43

By *H. K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received By letter dated By Mail  
11-5-43 City Planning Department

Investigation made \_\_\_\_\_ By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/10/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Date 11/10/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/12/43 Building Inspector 11/13/43

Planning Commission 11/13/43 Petitioner 11/13/43 Health Department 11/13/43 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 438

WHEREAS, Application No. 2017 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Alfred Riechers to build a bay window on the front of an existing residence at 4714 Van Dyke Street, Lot 26, Talmadge Park Unit #1 with a setback of 31 feet, the average setback is 34 ft. 3 in.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 12, 43, 19.....

By.....  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/9/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/10/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/10/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision approved Date 11/10/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/12/43 Building Inspector 11/13/43

Planning Commission 11/13/43 Petitioner 11/13/43 Health Department 11/13/43 assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



Plan. 0.1

RESOLUTION NO.-----78859---

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of A. H. and Bertha DeGraw, 3350 El Cajon Boulevard, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying their application No. 2005 by Resolution No. 439 for variance to Ordinance No. 12989, be, and it is hereby sustained; and said Zoning Committee decision be and it is hereby overruled, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted to A.H. and Bertha G. DeGraw to convert the existing auto repair shop at 3350 El Cajon Boulevard on Lot 11 Block B Sterlingworth into a laundry on the following conditions: Limited to a maximum of twenty employees, 35 horsepower boiler, hours of operation from 8:00 A.M. to 8:00 P.M., all loading to be done on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and it is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 78859 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

NOV 30 1943

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

By AUGUST M. WADSTROM

Deputy



RESOLUTION NO. 439

WHEREAS, Application No. 2005 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows :

The petition of A. H. and Bertha G. De Graw to convert the existing auto repair shop at 3350 El Cajon Boulevard on Lot 11, Block B, Sterlingworth, into a laundry with a maximum of 20 employees, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 12, 1943

By H. K. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 10/30/43 By Heelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/3/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/3/43 Hearing date 11/10/43  
Decision denied Date 11/10/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/12/43 Building Inspector 11/12/43  
Planning Commission 11/12/43 Petitioner 11/12/43 Health Department 11/12/43 + assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 11/17/43 Council Hearing, date 11/30/43  
Decision of Council granted Date 11/30/43  
Resolution becomes effective 11/30/43  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 440

WHEREAS, Application No. 2000 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not...materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Dennstedt Company to make a 16 ft. by 22 ft. addition to an existing screen and cabinet manufacturing building (for storage purposes) at 4353 - 51st Street on Lots 6 and 7, Block 41, Fairmount Addition, Tract 1368.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 12, 1943

By

H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/1/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/3/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/3/43 Hearing date 11/10/43  
Decision Approved Date 11/10/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/12/43 Building Inspector 11/12/43  
Planning Commission 11/12/43 Petitioner 11/12/43 Health Department 11/12/43 Assess  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



Plan 6.

78858

RESOLUTION NO.-----

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Orville L. and Susan Smith, 5137 Benton Place, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying their application No. 2006, by Resolution No. 441, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 19 N.S. to conduct a commercial stable (11 horses) at 4775 Lamont Street on the North 125 feet of Acre Lot 50 Pacific Beach, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision be and it is hereby sustained, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that sixty (60) days shall be given for discontinuance of operation of the stables at said location.

**I hereby certify** the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No.-----  
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council -----

78858

NOV 30 1943

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

AUGUST M. WADSTROM

By -----

Deputy



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 441

WHEREAS, Application No. 2006 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**The petition of Orville L. and Susan Smith to conduct a commercial stable (11 horses) at 4775 Lamont Street on the North 125 feet of Acre Lot 50, Pacific Beach, be, and it is hereby denied.**

**Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 19 New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 12, 4319

By H. K. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 10/29/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/3/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/3/43 Hearing date 11/10/43  
Decision Denied Date 11/10/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/12/43 Building Inspector 11/12/43

Planning Commission 11/13/43 Petitioner 11/13/43 Health Department 11/13/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 11/15/43 Council Hearing, date 11/30/43 Assessor

Decision of Council Denied Date 11/30/43

Resolution becomes effective 11/30/43

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 442

WHEREAS, Application No. 1974 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows :

Permission is hereby granted to First National Trust and Savings Bank to split off the easterly 145 feet (approximately) of the south half of Pueblo Lot 149, and to divide this parcel into three lots, each to be approximately 78 feet in width and 145 feet in depth and each lot to front on San Geronimo Street. Property is located on the west side of San Geronimo Street at Perry Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 12, 1943

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 10/21/43 By Hallsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 10/27/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 10/27/43 Hearing date 11/10/43  
Decision approved Date 11/10/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/12/43 Building Inspector 11/13/43  
Planning Commission 11/13/43 Petitioner 11/13/43 Health Department 11/13/43  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 11/13/43 Council Hearing, date 11/13/43  
Decision of Council 11/13/43 Date 11/13/43  
Resolution becomes effective 11/13/43  
Application withdrawn 11/13/43 Continued to 11/13/43  
Time limit extended to 11/13/43 Date of action 11/13/43



J.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 443

WHEREAS, Application No. 1949 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. W. Tyrrell, for the La Jolla Baptist Church, to make an addition, 16½ ft. by 12 ft. porch to an existing residence at 554 Sea Lane on a portion of Lot 5, Block 2, F. T. Scripps Addition; existing residence has only a 2 foot side yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 12, 1949

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/9/43 By Stahn  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/10/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/10/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 11/10/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/12/43 Building Inspector 11/12/43

Planning Commission 11/12/43 Petitioner 11/12/43 Health Department 11/12/43 + Assessors

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1444

WHEREAS, Application No. 2024 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows :

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Emeli Kanlund to build an additional residence on the NW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 1199 at the end of Birmingham Drive, making a second residence on this property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13456, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 12, 1949

By *A. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/8/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/10/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/10/43 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 11/10/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/12/43 Building Inspector 11/13/43  
Planning Commission 11/13/43 Petitioner 11/13/43 Health Department 11/13/43 + assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



S.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 445

WHEREAS, Application No. 1996 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edna G. Bristol to alter a garage at 2483-85 "B" Street on the Nly 49.7 ft. of Lot 4 and the Nly 49.7 ft. of the westerly 21 ft. 4 in. of Lot 3, Block 3, H. M. Higgins Addition into a sleeping room and bath, with a 4 in. side yard on the east and only 4 ft. to the adjoining residence, subject to the following conditions:

1. That six months after hostilities in the present war between the United States of America and any country cease the garage will be vacated and no longer used as living quarters or moved to comply with the yard requirements;
2. That an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 12, 1939

By *H.K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.

# 260 Agreement filed  
12-2-43



Application Received 11/5/43 By ?  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/10/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/10/43 Hearing date

Decision Conditional approval Date 11/10/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/12/43 Building Inspector 11/13/43

Planning Commission 11/13/43 Petitioner 11/13/43 Health Department 11/13/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date 11/13/43 + assessor

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



OK.

RESOLUTION NO. 446

WHEREAS, Application No. 1995 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph R. Jones to build an 8 ft. addition to an existing residence at 3781 - 47th Street on Lot 4, Block 5, Mountain View, addition to be 4 feet from the side lot line and the existing residence two feet from the side lot lines on both sides of the building, subject to the approval of the Building Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 12, 1959

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/2/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/3/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/3/43 Hearing date 11/10/43  
Decision Approved Date 11/10/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/12/43 Building Inspector 11/12/43

Planning Commission 11/13/43 Petitioner 11/13/43 Health Department 11/13/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_



AMENDS RESOLUTION NO. 427  
RESOLUTION NO. 447

By letter dated

WHEREAS, Application No. ~~Nov. 10, 1943~~ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: Resolution No. 427, dated October 28, 1943 be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Emma C. Dorland to construct a 6 ft. by 20 ft. addition to an existing apartment building at 1609 - 8th Avenue on Lots 5 and 6, Block 16, Bayview Homestead, increasing the 68.5% coverage by 21 square feet, the addition to be stuccoed on the exterior.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 12, 43, 19.....

By.....  
Secretary.



Application Received By letter dated 11/10/1943 By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made in October By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/10/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision approved Date 11/10/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/12/43 Building Inspector 11/13/43  
Planning Commission 11/13/43 Petitioner 11/13/43 Health Department 11/13/43 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO.



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 448

extended by Res. # 2362  
amended by # 2756

WHEREAS, Application No. 2032 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Agnes M. Allen and The Griffith Company to move in and alter an office building to a single-family residence, Murray Canyon Road, Lot 4 in Pueblo Lot 1174, subject to the following conditions:

1. Approval by the Building Department;
2. That six months after hostilities in the present war between the United States of America and any country cease, the building will be removed;
3. That an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and The Griffith Company and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

app'd 11/19/43

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 12, 1943

By *H.H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.

#256 agreement filed  
11/29/43



Application Received 11/4/43 By ?  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/10/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/10/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Conditional Approval Date 11/10/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/12/43 Building Inspector 11/13/43  
Planning Commission 11/13/43 Petitioner 11/13/43 Health Department 11/13/43 + answer  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO.



RESOLUTION NO. 449

WHEREAS, Application No. 1952 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows :

Permission is hereby granted to T. C. and Laura Devereaux and Delmar H. Parker to divide the west one-half of Lot 11 and all of Lots 12 and 13, Block 1, Loma Terrace at Warrington and Narragansett Streets, into two building sites:

- (1) parcel - SW 65 ft. and
- (2) parcel - NE 60 ft.

subject to architectural approval by the Planning Department for the protection of the owner on the east.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 26, 1952

By *H. H. Langens*  
Secretary.

Recorded 12-43



Application Received 11/16/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/24/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/24/43 Hearing date 11/24/43

Decision Approved Date 11/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/26/43 Building Inspector 11/26/43

Planning Commission 11/26/43 Petitioner 11/26/43 Health Department 11/26/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 11/26/43 Council Hearing, date 11/26/43 + assessor

Decision of Council 11/26/43 Date 11/26/43

Resolution becomes effective 11/26/43

Application withdrawn 11/26/43 Continued to 11/26/43

Time limit extended to 11/26/43 Date of action 11/26/43



0. 15.

RESOLUTION NO. 450

WHEREAS, Application No. 2014 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Dennstedt Company to divide all of Lots A, B, C and Nly 40 ft. of Lot D, Block 346, Horton's Addition, 3000 Block on Dove Street, into three (3) parcels, described as follows:

- (1) parcel - All of Lot A and Nly 40 ft. of B;
- (2) parcel - Sly 10 ft. of Lot B and Nly 40 ft. of C;
- (3) parcel - Sly 10 ft. of C and Nly 40 ft. of D;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 26, 1949

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/12/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/24/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ..... Hearing date 11/24/43  
Decision Approved Date 11/24/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/26/43 Building Inspector 11/26/43  
Planning Commission 11/26/43 Petitioner 11/26/43 Health Department 11/26/43  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ..... Council Hearing, date .....  
Decision of Council ..... Date .....  
Resolution becomes effective .....  
Application withdrawn ..... Continued to .....  
Time limit extended to ..... Date of action .....



o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 451

WHEREAS, Application No. 2013 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will....not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows :

Permission is hereby granted to The Donnstedt Company to divide the Sly 10 ft. of Lot D and all of Lots E and F, Block 346, Horton's Addition, 3000 Block on Dove Street, into three (3) parcels, described as follows:

- (1) parcel - Sly 10 ft. of Lot D and Nly 40 ft of E;
- (2) parcel - Sly 10 ft. of Lot E and Nly 40 ft of F;
- (3) parcel - Sly 50 ft. of Lot F;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 26, 1943

*A. H. Jorgensen*  
By.....  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/12/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/24/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ..... Hearing date 11/24/43

Decision Approved Date 11/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/26/43 Building Inspector 11/26/43

Planning Commission 11/26/43 Petitioner 11/26/43 Health Department 11/26/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ..... Council Hearing, date 11/26/43 x Assessor

Decision of Council ..... Date .....

Resolution becomes effective .....

Application withdrawn ..... Continued to .....

Time limit extended to ..... Date of action .....



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 452

WHEREAS, Application No. 2031 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows :

The petition of Anna B. Clapp to alter an existing garage under an apartment at 2558 Wightman Street on the Sly 15 ft. of Lot 23, and all of Lot 24, Block 72, Park Villas, into a small apartment; portion of the building to be used as living quarters 3 ft. or more from the property line and remaining portion of the building adjacent to the property line, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 26, 1943

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/12/43 By Stahm  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/24/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/24/43 Hearing date  
Decision Denied Date 11/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/26/43 Building Inspector 11/26/43

Planning Commission 11/26/43 Petitioner 11/26/43 Health Department 11/26/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 453

WHEREAS, Application No. 2036 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack C. and Ruth F. Shirley to alter one room over an existing garage at 4602 West Talmadge Drive on Lot 99, Talmadge Park, into Maid's Quarters (bedroom and bath) with 4 1/2 ft. rear yard and 5 1/2 ft. to the main residence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 26, 1949

By *H. N. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/22/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/24/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/24/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 11/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/26/43 Building Inspector 11/26/43

Planning Commission 11/26/43 Petitioner 11/26/43 Health Department 11/26/43 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 454

WHEREAS, Application No. 2046 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George H. and Gertrude E. Curtis to erect a single family residence on a two (2) acre parcel of land, a portion of the NW Quarter of NW Quarter of Quarter Section 104, of Rancho de la Nacion, on Division Street, providing an easement is granted to make an 80 ft. width Street along Division Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 26, 1943

By *H. W. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/24/43 By Presented by Curtis at meeting  
City Planning Department

Investigation made none By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/24/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision approved Date 11/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/26/43 Building Inspector 11/26/43

Planning Commission 11/26/43 Petitioner 11/26/43 Health Department 11/26/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date 11/26/43

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 455

WHEREAS, Application No. <sup>By letter dated</sup> Nov. 14, 1943 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The request of Stanley W. and Thelma T. Weir to amend a previous Resolution No. 424, to permit the erection of a garage at 3579 Arizona Street on Lots 27 and 46, Block 80, Park Villas (Resub-division of Block 80,) with a one foot side yard, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 26, 1943

By

*H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received Letter dated Nov. 14/43 By mail  
City Planning Department

Investigation made Oct. 43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/24/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision denied Date 11/24/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/26/43 Building Inspector 11/26/43  
Planning Commission 11/26/43 Petitioner 11/26/43 Health Department 11/26/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



Plan 101

RESOLUTION NO. 78920

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The appeal of Mrs. C. E. Radlbeck, 4343 Ocean Boulevard, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 456 permission to continue operation of the "We Like It Trailer Court" on Lots 1 to 8 and 11 to 16 and 21, 22 Block 260 Pacific Beach and a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said decision be, and it is hereby overruled, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Mrs. C. E. Radlbeck is hereby given permission to continue operation of the trailer park in accordance with the original permit, with the exception of making the adjustments in accordance with the report and recommendation of the Acting City Planning Engineer bearing Document No. 346109. The permit shall run for the duration of the present war between the United States of America and any country, and for the period of six months thereafter.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 78920 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

DEC 21 1943

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

By AUGUST M. WADSTROM  
Deputy



## RESOLUTION NO.

78920

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The appeal of Mrs. C. E. Radbeck, 4343 Queen Boulevard, from the decision of the Acting Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 456 permission to continue operation of the "We Like It Trailer Court" on lots 1 to 5 and 11 to 16 and 21, 22 Block 260 Pacific Beach and a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above, be, and it is hereby ordained, and said decision be, and it is hereby overruled, and

it is further ordered, that Mrs. C. E. Radbeck is hereby given permission to continue operation of the trailer park in accordance with the original permit, with the exception of making the adjustments in accordance with the report and recommendation of the Acting City Planning Engineer bearing Document No. 346109. The permit shall run for the duration of the present war between the United States of America and any country, and for the period of six months thereafter.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 78920 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

THOMAS M. SICK

City Clerk

AUGUST M. WADSWORTH

Deputy

By



D.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 456

WHEREAS, Application No. ~~Nov. 8, 1943~~ <sup>By letter dated</sup> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The request of Mrs. C. E. Radlbeck to continue operation of the "We Like It Trailer Court", at 4343 Ocean Boulevard on Lots 1 to 8 and 11 to 22, Block 260, Pacific Beach, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated November 26, 1943

By

*H. Langens*  
Secretary.



Application Received Letter dated 11/8/43 By Mail  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 11/18/43 By Burton + Carvini  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 11/24/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Denial Date 11/24/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11/26/43 Building Inspector 11/26/43  
Planning Commission 11/26/43 Petitioner 11/26/43 Health Department 11/26/43  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 11/30/43 Council Hearing, date 12/21/43  
Decision of Council Cond'l approval Date 12/21/43  
Resolution becomes effective 12/21/43  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 456a

WHEREAS, Application No. 1958 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~work unnecessary hardship~~, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Mrs. Edith M. Hunter to remodel a single family dwelling at 3514 Albatross Street on the North one-half of Lot 14 and all of Lots 15 and 16, Block 15, Cleveland Heights into four (4) apartments, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 2, 1958

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/17/43 By Mail  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/25/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/25/43 Hearing date 12/1/43  
Decision denied Date 12/1/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/2/43 Building Inspector 12/3/43

Planning Commission 12/3/43 Petitioner 12/3/43 Health Department 12/3/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date 12/3/43 + Assessor

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 457

WHEREAS, Application No. 1982 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert Peterson, owner, to continue the operation of a boarding home, by Mrs. Edna Orland, only, for eight (8) able-bodied persons at 3614 - 28th Street on Lots 45 and 46, Block 64, Park Villas, for a period of four (4) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 2, 1943

By *A. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/18/43 By Mail  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/24/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/24/43 Hearing date 12/2/43

Decision Approved Date 12/2/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/2/43 Building Inspector 12/3/43

Planning Commission 12/3/43 Petitioner 12/3/43 Health Department 12/3/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_



0. K

RESOLUTION NO. 458

WHEREAS, Application No. 2048 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. E. Parter to make an addition to an existing residence at 4515 Long Branch Avenue on Lot 22, Block 2, Ocean View; existing residence with a 2½ ft. side yard and addition to maintain the required 4 ft. side yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 2, 1943

By *H. K. Langemann*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/29/43 By ?  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 12/1/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/1/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 12/1/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/2/43 Building Inspector 12/3/43  
Planning Commission 12/3/43 Petitioner 12/3/43 Health Department 12/3/43  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action 12/6/43

*Copy to Miss Wiley Welfar*



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 459

WHEREAS, Application No. 2029 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Arvid B. and Chloe Landin to alter an existing garage at 4473 - 41st Street on Lots 5 and 6, Block 7, Wilshire Place into a living unit with no side yard on the north and only three feet between this proposed dwelling and an existing dwelling on the south, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 2, 1959

By H. H. Jorgensen

Secretary.



Application Received 11/10/43 By ?  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/24/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/24/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Denied Date 12/1/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/2/43 Building Inspector 12/3/43  
Planning Commission 12/3/43 Petitioner 12/3/43 Health Department 12/3/43 *Assessor*  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



## RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 2059 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property ~~###~~ N 100' of W 100' of Block 296

Subdivision Middletown

Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company

1200 Pacific Highway

may be used for the erection and operation of a six (6) unit auto court

subject to the following conditions that the plans be approved by the Zoning Committee for appearance; that six months after hostilities in the present war between the United States of America and any country cease the six (6) auto court units will then be removed; and that an agreement to comply with the above conditions be signed by the owners and filed of record.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

By

*H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary

*See Res. 477*  
Dated December 2, 1943



Application Received 12/1/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 12/1/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/1/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 12/1/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/2/43 Building Inspector 12/3/43  
Planning Commission 12/3/43 Petitioner 12/3/43 Health Department 12/3/43  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date 12/3/43 Assessor  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



D.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 461

WHEREAS, Application No. 2058 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hulda Murray to remodel an existing apartment building at 1641 - 10th Avenue on the South 5 ft. of Lot 1 and the North 35 ft. of Lot 2, Block 24, Bayview Homestead, into an eleven (11) unit apartment building with a 2 ft. side yard for a stairway for one flight, a rear yard of .7 of one foot and a coverage of 67%.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 2, 1943

By A. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/30/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 12/1/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/1/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 12/1/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/2/43 Building Inspector 12/3/43  
Planning Commission 12/3/43 Petitioner 12/3/43 Health Department 12/3/43 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 462

WHEREAS, Application No. 2037 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Homer W. Brown to erect a residence on Albemarle Street, Lot 19, Block 14, Paradise Hills, with a 5 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 2, 1939

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/24/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/1/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/1/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 12/1/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/2/43 Building Inspector 12/3/43

Planning Commission 12/3/43 Petitioner 12/3/43 Health Department 12/3/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



o.k

RESOLUTION NO. 463

WHEREAS, Application No. 2038 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Had - Mar Company to erect a residence on Potomac Street one-half of Lot 11 and all of Lot 12, Block 4, Paradise Hills, with a 5 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 2, 1943

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/24/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/1/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/1/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 12/1/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/2/43 Building Inspector 12/3/43

Planning Commission 12/3/43 Petitioner 12/3/43 Health Department 12/3/43

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date 12/3/43 Assessor

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



r.k

RESOLUTION NO. 464

WHEREAS, Application No. 2010 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Homer W. Brown to erect a residence on Albemarle Street on Lot 18, Block 14, Paradise Hills, with a 5 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 2, 1943

By A. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/24/43 By Haelzig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/1/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/1/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 12/1/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/2/43 Building Inspector 12/3/43

Planning Commission 12/3/43 Petitioner 12/3/43 Health Department 12/3/43 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 465

WHEREAS, Application No. 2041 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Homer W. Brown to erect a residence on Potomac Street on Lot 9, Block 4, Paradise Hills, with a 5 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 2, 1943

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received, 11/24/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/1/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/1/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 12/1/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/2/43 Building Inspector 12/3/43

Planning Commission 12/3/43 Petitioner 12/3/43 Health Department 12/3/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



a.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 466

WHEREAS, Application No. 2042 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows :

Permission is hereby granted to Homer W. Brown to erect a residence on Potomac Street on Lot 10 and one-half of Lot 11, Block 4, Paradise Hills, with a 5 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 2, 1949

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/24/43 By Haclair  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/1/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/1/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision approved Date 12/1/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/2/43 Building Inspector 12/3/43

Planning Commission 12/3/43 Petitioner 12/3/43 Health Department 12/3/43 Assem

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 467

WHEREAS, Application No. 2043 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will....not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Homer W. Brown to erect a residence on Potomac Street on Lot 13, Block 4, Paradise Hills, with a 5 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 2, 1943

By

H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/24/43 By Haclaj  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/1/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/1/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision approved Date 12/1/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/2/43 Building Inspector 12/3/43

Planning Commission 12/3/43 Petitioner 12/3/43 Health Department 12/3/43 & assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 468

WHEREAS, Application No. 2044 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Had - Mar Company to erect a residence on Albemarle Street on Lot 21, Block 14, Paradise Hills, with a 5 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 2, 1949

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/24/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/1/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/1/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 12/1/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/2/43 Building Inspector 12/3/43

Planning Commission 12/3/43 Petitioner 12/3/43 Health Department 12/3/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 469

WHEREAS, Application No. 2015 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows :

Permission is hereby granted to Had - Mar Company to erect a residence on Albemarle Street on Lot 20, Block 14, Paradise Hills, with a 5 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 2, 1949

By *A. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/24/43 By Haclair  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/1/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/1/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision approved Date 12/1/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/2/43 Building Inspector 12/3/43

Planning Commission 12/3/43 Petitioner 12/3/43 Health Department 12/3/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 470

WHEREAS, Application No. 2007 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard & Clara C. Mallison to construct two (2) single-family residences, each to be on a parcel of land with a minimum frontage of 50 feet on Mt. View Drive, on Villa Lot 156 of Normal Heights, subject to architectural approval by the Planning Commission of all structures constructed on this property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 16 439

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 11/29/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/1/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/15/43 Hearing date 12/15/43

Decision denied Approved Date 12/15/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/16/43 Building Inspector 12/17/43

Planning Commission 12/17/43 Petitioner 12/17/43 Health Department 12/17/43 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 471

WHEREAS, Application No. 2067 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elmer A. Johnson to build an addition to a residence at 3554 Dwight Street on the Ely one-half of Lots 23 and 24, Block 85, City Heights; with a three feet six inches (3'6") rear yard as granted by Resolution No. 400, the addition to be constructed to maintain not less than a three (3) foot sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 16 479

By *H. W. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/6/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/8/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/15/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 12/15/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/16/43 Building Inspector 12/17/43

Planning Commission 12/17/43 Petitioner 12/17/43 Health Department 12/17/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 472

WHEREAS, Application No. 1913 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. C. Comstock to construct a 6 ft by 11 ft addition on the front of the existing residence at 943 - 17th Street on Lot 10, Block 2, Culverwell's Addition provided a three (3') foot sideyard is maintained for the addition. The existing building being non-conforming with only a one (1') foot sideyard for a portion of the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated...December 16.....459.....

By.....*A. H. Jorgensen*.....  
Secretary.....



Application Received 12/1/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/8/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/15/43 Hearing date 12/15/43  
Decision Approved Date 12/15/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/16/43 Building Inspector 12/17/43

Planning Commission 12/17/43 Petitioner 12/17/43 Health Department 12/17/43 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 12/17/43 Council Hearing, date 12/17/43

Decision of Council 12/17/43 Date 12/17/43

Resolution becomes effective 12/17/43

Application withdrawn 12/17/43 Continued to 12/17/43

Time limit extended to 12/17/43 Date of action 12/17/43



D.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 473

WHEREAS, Application No. 2065 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Emil H. Schweikhard to alter the existing garage at 2431 Monroe on Lots 47 and 48 (except the east 100 ft), Block 71, University Heights into two (2) sleeping rooms with a 0' rearyard and 2'6" between the garage and the residence and coverage of 52.6%, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 16 1949

By

*H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/6/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/8/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/15/43 Hearing date

Decision denied Date 12/15/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/16/43 Building Inspector 12/17/43

Planning Commission 12/17/43 Petitioner 12/17/43 Health Department 12/17/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 474

WHEREAS, Application No. 2030 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl E. and Grace I. Lyons to move an existing garage and remodel for living purposes and constructing an addition to the residence at 418 Dewey Street on the South 70 feet of Lot 25 and the East 10 feet of Lot 26, Block 277, S. D. Land and Town Company's Addition, all with a three (3) foot rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 16 1949

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/6/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/8/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/15/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 12/15/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/16/43 Building Inspector 12/17/43

Planning Commission 12/17/43 Petitioner 12/17/43 Health Department 12/17/43 + Assessment

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.



RESOLUTION NO. 475

WHEREAS, Application No. 2064 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Florence A. Barlow to remodel an existing duplex at 2242 Front Street on Lot J, Block 254, Horton's Addition into four (4) unit apartment with sideyard of 2 ft 6 inches (2'6") for a portion of the building and 0' sideyard for porch on rear of building, all on the north side; and 5 ft 8 inches (5'8") between building for a portion of the building on the south in accordance with the plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 16 1949

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/7/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/8/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/15/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 12/15/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/16/43 Building Inspector 12/17/43

Planning Commission 12/17/43 Petitioner 12/17/43 Health Department 12/17/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 476

WHEREAS, Application No. 2039 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. G. Wilbur to remodel the existing garage in the rear of 3737 Promontory Street on Lots 13 and 14 and North 1 foot of Lot 15, Block 33, Second Fortuna Park Addition, into living quarters with a three (3') foot sideyard provided an agreement is signed that the one (1') foot parcel of Lot 15 will always be in the ownership of the developments on Lots 13 and 14 of said Block 33, Second Fortuna Park Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.

Dated December 16, 1943

#262 Agreement filed  
12/21/43



Application Received 11/23/43 By ?  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11/24/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11/24 and 12/15/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 12/15/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/16/43 Building Inspector 12/17/43  
Planning Commission 12/17/43 Petitioner 12/17/43 Health Department 12/17/43 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



## RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 2059 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot 30 (right of way) Block -

Subdivision Middletown

Southwest corner of California and Grape Streets

Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Co

may be used for the erection and operation of a six (6) unit auto court (3 groups

of 2 buildings each) provided all buildings are removed six months  
after the termination of the present war between the United States  
America and any country, and an agreement signed to that effect.  
Subject to the following conditions

Subject to the following conditions: That this resolution shall  
expire six months after the termination of the present war between  
the United States of America and any country.

*See Res.  
#460.*

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

By

Secretary

Dated December 16 1943

*#263  
Agreement filed  
12/28/43*

*H. H. Jorgensen*



*Approved previously by Res. 460 at a different location.*

Application Received 12/7/43 By Halsig  
City Planning Department  
*See Resolution No. 460 - Fee Bd. on it.*  
Investigation made 12/8/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/15/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 12/15/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/16/43 Building Inspector 12/17/43  
Planning Commission 12/17/43 Petitioner 12/17/43 Health Department 12/17/43 *assessor*  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

Document No. \_\_\_\_\_

Filed \_\_\_\_\_

By \_\_\_\_\_

City Clerk.

Deputy

Resolution of Property Use

TO

FOR



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 478

WHEREAS, Application No. 2066 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nino Y. Perez to construct a 9 ft by 12 ft addition with a six (6) foot sideyard to the residence at 633 Dewey Street, on Lots 1 and 2, Block 225, San Diego Land & Town Co's Addition which is only two (2) feet from the side property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 82, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 16 1949

By *H. W. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 12/9/43 By Stahm  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/15/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/15/43 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 12/15/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/16/43 Building Inspector 12/17/43

Planning Commission 12/17/43 Petitioner 12/17/43 Health Department 12/17/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 479

WHEREAS, Application No. 2074 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Grossmont Park Company, by Ed Fletcher, Jr., President, to divide Lots 21 to 24 inclusive, Block 7, Ocean Beach into three parcels to face on Guizot Street, each parcel for a single-family dwelling, subject to the following conditions:

- (1) that two parcels be at least 50 x 100 feet in size, the third parcel to be 40 x 100 feet;
- (2) that a setback line of at least 15 feet be maintained on both Del Monte Avenue and Guizot Street;
- (3) that no buildings be permitted on Lot 21 closer than 10 feet to the northwesterly line thereof, except in the rear 50 feet;
- (4) that the plans for the proposed buildings be approved by the Zoning Committee, and that the rear door of the residence to be built on the corner lot shall be placed on the northeasterly side of the house.

A variance to the restrictions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and it is hereby granted insofar as it relates to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 16, 1949

By *A. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/15/43 By ?  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/15/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/15/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Cond'l Date 12/15/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/16/43 Building Inspector 12/17/43

Planning Commission 12/17/43 Petitioner 12/17/43 Health Department 12/17/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 480

WHEREAS, Application No. 2050 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe Sefton to divide into four (4) parcels of land and to construct four (4) single-family residences on Lot 23 (except the NEly 40 ft of the NWly 32 ft and except the NEly 20 ft of SEly 105 ft) and all of Lots 24, 25 and 26, Sefton Estates provided an easement is granted for the widening of Narragansett Street as shown on Engineer's Office Drawing No. 5900-L; and provided 15 ft setbacks are maintained on Redondo Street, Narragansett Street and Catalina Boulevard as shown on attached plat.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

*A. H. Jorgensen*

Dated December 17 1943

By Secretary.



Application Received 12/6/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 12/8/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 1 Hearing date 12/15/43  
Decision Approved Date 12/15/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/43 Building Inspector 12/20/43  
Planning Commission 12/20/43 Petitioner 12/20/43 Health Department 12/20/43 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 481

WHEREAS, Application No. 2051 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe Sefton to divide into four (4) parcels of land and to construct four (4) single-family residences on Lot 28 (exc. NELY 40 ft) All of Lots 29, 30 and 31, Sefton Estates provided an easement is granted for the widening of Narragansett Street as shown on Engineer's Office Drawing No. 5900-L; and provided 15 ft setbacks are maintained on Redondo Street, Narragansett Street and Catalina Boulevard as shown on attached plat.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 17 1943

By A. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/6/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/8/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1 Hearing date 12/15/43

Decision approved Date 12/15/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/43 Building Inspector 12/20/43

Planning Commission 12/20/43 Petitioner 12/20/43 Health Department 12/20/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 482

WHEREAS, Application No. 2053 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe Sefton to divide into two (2) parcels of land and to construct two (2) single-family residences on Lot 27 (exc. SEly 18 ft of said lot); and NEly 40 ft of Lot 28 (exc. SEly 18 ft of said lot), Sefton Estates, provided an easement is granted for the widening of Narragansett Avenue as shown on Engineer's Office Drawing No. 5900-L; and provided 15 ft setbacks are maintained on Redondo Street, Narragansett Avenue and Catalina Boulevard as shown on attached plat.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 17, 1943

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/6/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 12/8/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 12/ Hearing date 12/15/43  
Decision Approved Date 12/15/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/43 Building Inspector 12/20/43  
Planning Commission 12/20/43 Petitioner 12/20/43 Health Department 12/20/43 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0.1

RESOLUTION NO. 483

WHEREAS, Application No. 2052 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe Sefton to divide into three (3) parcels of land and to construct three (3) single-family residences on Nely 40 ft of Nwly 32 ft and Nely 20 ft of Sely 105 ft of Lot 23, All of Lot 22; the Sely 18 ft of Lot 27 and the Sely 18 ft of Nely 40 ft of Lot 28, Sefton Estates, provided an easement is granted for the widening of Narragansett Avenue as shown on Engineer's Office Drawing No. 5900-L; and provided 15 ft setbacks are maintained on Redondo Street, Narragansett Avenue and Catalina Boulevard as shown on attached plat.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....December 17.....483.....

By.....*H. H. Jorgensen*.....  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/6/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/8/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee.....Hearing date 12/15/43

Decision Approved Date 12/15/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/20/43 Building Inspector 12/20/43

Planning Commission 12/20/43 Petitioner 12/20/43 Health Department 12/20/43 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date.....Council Hearing, date.....

Decision of Council.....Date.....

Resolution becomes effective.....

Application withdrawn.....Continued to.....

Time limit extended to.....Date of action.....



RESOLUTION NO. 484

WHEREAS, Application No. 2059 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution of Property Use No. 460, dated December 2, 1943,  
is hereby declared null and void.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 17, 1949

By *H. J. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received ..... By .....  
City Planning Department

Investigation made ..... By .....  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ..... Hearing date .....

Decision ..... Date .....

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/17/43 Building Inspector 12/17/43

Planning Commission 12/17/43 Petitioner 12/17/43 Health Department 12/17/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ..... Council Hearing, date .....

Decision of Council ..... Date .....

Resolution becomes effective .....

Application withdrawn ..... Continued to .....

Time limit extended to ..... Date of action .....



o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 485

WHEREAS, Application No. 2084 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of D. C. Byllesby to convert an existing garage at 422 West University Avenue on Lots 10 and 11, Block 2, Palm Heights into living quarters with one (1) ft. seven (7) inch side yard, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 23, 1949

By *H. K. Jorgensen* Secretary.



Application Received 12/21/43 By Case  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/22/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/22/43 Hearing date

Decision denied Date 12/22/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/23/43 Building Inspector 12/23/43

Planning Commission 12/23/43 Petitioner 12/23/43 Health Department 12/23/43 Ames

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 486

WHEREAS, Application No. 2072 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert H. and Lawrie M. Woolman to make a second-story addition to an existing residence at 1104 W. Redwood Street on the Easterly 50 ft. of Lots 7 and 8, Block 123, Middletown which has a 6 inch setback, from Union St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 23, 1943

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/18/43 By Stahn  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/22/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/22/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 12/22/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/23/43 Building Inspector 12/23/43

Planning Commission 12/23/43 Petitioner 12/23/43 Health Department 12/23/43 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 487

WHEREAS, Application No. 2083 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leona M. Eudy to move in two (2) dwellings at 616-622 Olivewood Terrace on Lot 9, Block F, Southlook, and maintain an 8 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 23, 1943

By *H. K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/20/43 By Neff  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/22/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/22/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Date 12/22/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/23/43 Building Inspector 12/23/43

Planning Commission 12/23/43 Petitioner 12/23/43 Health Department 12/23/43 Assessor \_\_\_\_\_

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 488

WHEREAS, Application No. 2078 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of D. G. and Murtel Cowles to convert the existing residence at 4259 Maryland Street on Lots 8, 9 and 10, Block 133, University Heights, into a duplex, other buildings to remain as at present, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 30, 1949

By *H. K. Jaramila*  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/17/43 By ?  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/22/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/22/43 Hearing date 12/29/43  
Decision Denial Date 12/29/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/30/43 Building Inspector 12/30/43  
Planning Commission 12/30/43 Petitioner 12/30/43 Health Department 12/30/43 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 489

WHEREAS, Application No. 2060 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. D. Wier to divide Lot 3, Block 152, La Playa into two lots, each parcel to be 75 feet by 150 feet and permit erection of a residence on the Northerly one-half, southwest corner of Rosecrans and Owens Streets; providing no structures are built until after the duration of the war.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 (New Series), be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 30, 1943

By

*H. K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 12/6/43 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/8/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee..... Hearing date 12/15/43 & 12/29/43 - Amended request  
Decision Conditional Date 12/29/43  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/30/43 Building Inspector 12/30/43  
Planning Commission 12/30/43 Petitioner 12/30/43 Health Department 12/30/43 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date..... Council Hearing, date.....  
Decision of Council..... Date.....  
Resolution becomes effective.....  
Application withdrawn..... Continued to.....  
Time limit extended to..... Date of action.....

RESOLUTION NO.



o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 490

WHEREAS, Application No. 2089 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. R. Merchant to make a porch addition to an existing dwelling at 4170 - 41st Street; existing dwelling with 2 ft. side yard on the north; a 1 foot side yard on the south; and the porch addition with a 2 foot 11½ inch side yard on the south.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

*Lot. 41 Subd. of Lots 20-50  
Block. 15 Terralla Bk. N.*

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 30, 1949

By

*H. K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/27/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/29/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/29/43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Date 12/29/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/30/43 Building Inspector 12/30/43

Planning Commission 12/30/43 Petitioner 12/30/43 Health Department 12/30/43 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

No action to be taken on this

14-2 ) sealed - handling  
14-3 ) anyone



16'x32' 0.

RESOLUTION NO. 491

WHEREAS, Application No. 2090 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Pietro Colombo to erect a store addition to an existing residence at 1567 State Street on Lot 1, Block 24, Middletown, with no side yard for the new construction.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 30, 49

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 12/27/43 By Stahn  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/29/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12/29/43 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 12/29/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/30/43 Building Inspector 12/30/43

Planning Commission 12/30/43 Petitioner 12/30/43 Health Department 12/30/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 492

WHEREAS, Application No. 1860 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance and Trust Company to divide into three (3) parcels of land to permit a residence on each parcel described as follows: (measured along street frontage)

- (1) parcel - S 20 ft of Lot 2 and all of Lot 3 and Nly 5 ft of Lot 4
- (2) parcel - Sly 35 ft of Lot 4 and N 20 ft of Lot 5
- (3) parcel - S 20 ft of Lot 5 and N 35 ft of Lot 6

Block 13, Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea - on Electric Avenue

Each parcel of land a minimum of 5000 sq. ft. in area and a minimum of 50 feet frontage on street.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 30, 1939

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/29/43 By Winberg  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Blanket Approval Date 6-30-43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/30/43 Building Inspector 12/30/43

Planning Commission 12/30/43 Petitioner 12/30/43 Health Department 12/30/43 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 493

WHEREAS, Application No. 1861 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance and Trust Company to divide into three (3) parcels of land to permit a residence on each parcel described as follows: (measured along street frontage)

- (1) parcel - S 5 ft of Lot 6, all of Lot 7 and N 10 ft of Lot 8
- (2) parcel - S 30 ft of Lot 8 and N 25 ft of Lot 9
- (3) parcel - S 15 ft of Lot 9 and all of Lot 10

Block 13, Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea - on Electric Avenue

Each parcel of land a minimum of 5000 sq. ft. in area and a minimum of 50 feet frontage on street.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 30, 1949

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 12/29/43 By Winberg  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-43 Hearing date 30

Decision Blanket Approval Date 6-29-43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/30/43 Building Inspector 12/30/43

Planning Commission 12/30/43 Petitioner 12/30/43 Health Department 12/30/43 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 494

WHEREAS, Application No. 1838 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank C. Mortimer, Building and Loan Commissioner of the State of California and Union Title Insurance and Trust Company to divide into three (3) parcels of land to permit a residence on each parcel described as follows: (measured along street frontage)

- (1) parcel - S 16 ft of Lot 6 and all of Lot 7
- (2) parcel - S one-half of Lot 9 and N 30 ft of Lot 10
- (3) parcel - S 10 ft of Lot 10 and all of Lot 11

Block 8, Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea - on Waverly Avenue

Each parcel of land a minimum of 5000 sq. ft. in area and a minimum of 50 feet frontage on street.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated December 30, 1943

By.....

*H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/29/43 By Winberg  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-43 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Blanket approval Date 6-30-43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12/30/43 Building Inspector 12/30/43

Planning Commission 12/30/43 Petitioner 12/30/43 Health Department 12/30/43 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 495

WHEREAS, Application No. 2070 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Homer W. Brown and Union Title Insurance and Trust Company to divide into four (4) parcels of land to permit a residence on each parcel described as follows: (measured along street frontage)

- (1) parcel - Lot 25 and Sly one-half of Lot 26
- (2) parcel - Nly one-half of Lot 26 and all of Lot 27
- (3) parcel - Ely 65 feet of Lot 28, 29 and 30 ✓
- (4) parcel - All except the Ely 65 feet of Lots 28, 29 and 30 ✓

Block 26, Bird Rock Addition - on Taft Avenue

Each parcel of land a minimum of 5000 sq. ft. in area and a minimum of 50 feet frontage on street; setbacks maintained on both streets.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated January 13, 1949

By, *A. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received, 12/29/43 By Weinberg  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/30/43 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee Approved Hearing date 1/12/44

Decision Approved Date 1/12/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/13/44 Building Inspector 1/14/44

Planning Commission 1/14/44 Petitioner 1/14/44 Health Department 1/14/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 1/14/44 Council Hearing, date 1/14/44

Decision of Council Approved Date 1/14/44

Resolution becomes effective 1/14/44

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



8.1K

RESOLUTION NO. 496

WHEREAS, Application No. 1985 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leonard M. and Ilah Lyons to remodel a portion of the single-family residence at 2828 Maple Street on a portion of Lots 31, 32 and 33, Block 2, Park Addition, into a second kitchen, subject to the following conditions:

1. Revocable if servants quarters are used as a separate rental unit;
2. Second kitchen approved for use of servants only;
3. That an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated January 13, 1949

*A. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/29/43 By Case  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12/29 1/12/44 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee  
Decision Conditional Approval Hearing date 1/12/44  
Date 1/12/44  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/13/44 Building Inspector 1/14/44  
Planning Commission 1/14/44 Petitioner 1/14/44 Health Department 1/14/44 & Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



Plan. O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 79084

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Tom Hester, 319 Spruce Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by Resolution No. 497 his application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 for erection of a structure on the northwest corner of 4th Avenue and Redwood Street, Lot G Block 358 Horton's , to contain lithographing studio and living quarters, be, and it is overruled, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the decision of said Zoning Committee be, and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 79084 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

FEB 8 1944

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

AUGUST M. WADSTROM

By

Deputy



OK

RESOLUTION NO. 497

WHEREAS, Application No. 1964 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Thomas Hester to erect a 30 ft. by 40 ft. structure on the Northwest corner of 4th and Redwood, Lot G, Block 358, Horton's Addition, to contain a lithographing studio on the ground floor and living quarters below, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

*See Res. 498  
Setback*

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated January 13, 44 1944

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/31/43 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 1/12/44 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee # Hearing date 1/12/44  
Decision denied Date 1/12/44  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/13/44 Building Inspector 1/14/44  
Planning Commission 1/14/44 Petitioner 1/14/44 Health Department 1/14/44  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 1-18-44 Council Hearing, date 2-8-44  
Decision of Council denied Date 2/8/44  
Resolution becomes effective  
Application withdrawn Continued to  
Time limit extended to Date of action



Plan O.K.

79085

RESOLUTION NO.-----

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Tom Hester, 319 Spruce Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by Resolution 498 his application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 and Ordinance No. 395 New Series for erection of a structure on the northwest corner of 4th Avenue and Redwood Street, Lot G Block 358 Horton's Addition, to contain lithographing studio and living quarters, be, and it is hereby overruled, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the decision of said Zoning Committee be, and it is hereby sustained.

79085

**I hereby certify** the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No.-----  
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council -----**FEB 8 1944**-----

-----  
City Clerk  
By -----**AUGUST M. WAUSTROM**-----  
Deputy



J.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 498

WHEREAS, Application No. 1965 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Thomas Hester to erect a 30 ft. by 40 ft. structure on the Northwest corner of 4th and Redwood, Lot G, Block 358, Horton's Addition, to contain a lithographing studio on the ground floor and living quarters below with no setback on 4th Avenue, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 and Ordinance 395, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

*See Res. 497  
Zone Variance*

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated January 13, 1944

By *A.H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/31/44 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/12/44 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee..... Hearing date 1/12/44  
Decision denied Date 1/12/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/13/44 Building Inspector 1/14/44

Planning Commission 1/14/44 Petitioner 1/14/44 Health Department 1/14/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 1-18-44 Council Hearing, date 2-8-44

Decision of Council denied Date 2/8/44

Resolution becomes effective.....

Application withdrawn..... Continued to.....

Time limit extended to..... Date of action.....



RESOLUTION NO. 499

WHEREAS, Application No. 2094 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Dennstedt Company to erect a four unit bungalow court, Cape May and Bacon Streets, on Lots 4 and 5, Block 57, Ocean Beach and maintain only a 5 foot single court yard for the rear unit.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated January 13, 1949

By *H. K. Longenecker*  
Secretary.



Application Received 1/3/44 By ?  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/12/44 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/12/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 1/12/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/13/44 Building Inspector 1/14/44

Planning Commission 1/14/44 Petitioner 1/14/44 Health Department 1/14/44 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



0.1  
RESOLUTION NO. 500

WHEREAS, Application No. 2088 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Tom P. Neely to construct a dwelling at 4229 35th Street on Lots 17 and 18, Block 36, Subdivision of Lot 11, Block I, Teralta, with 6 ft. between living quarters but only 3 ft. between dwelling and stairway, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated January 13, 1949

By *H. K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary.



Application Received 12/30/43 By ?  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1/12/44 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1/12/44 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Denied Date \_\_\_\_\_

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/13/44 Building Inspector 1/14/44

Planning Commission 1/14/44 Petitioner 1/14/44 Health Department 1/14/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_