

RESOLUTIONS

**3101
TO
3300**

α

RESOLUTION NO. 3101

WHEREAS, Application No. 5741 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred C. Rapp to construct two single family dwellings on the North 63 ft. of the South 224.332 ft. of the East 150 ft. of Lot 9, Lemon Villa, West side of 56th Street, south of Meade Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, , 19 48

By H. C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 3101

Application Received 4-23-48 By B. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Living, Sippenderfer & Saeling
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3102

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 5557 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Grace A. and L. A. Mount to divide the West 130 ft. of the East 160 ft. of the North 270 ft. of the Southeast 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 183 into three parcels, each 90 ft. by 130 ft. in size and facing Albion Street, North of Jennings Street, and permit a single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 19 48

By H. C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3102

Application Received 4-26-48 By H.C. Halsey
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Ewing Duffendaffer Halsey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

05

RESOLUTION NO. 3103

WHEREAS, Application No. 6045 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Eleanor Lones to operate a real estate office in an existing residence at 1236-25th Street and to have a sign 15 sq. ft. in area, North 50 ft. of the South 90 ft. of Lots 1 to 4, inclusive, Block 2, H. M. Higgins' Addition, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By H. C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3103

Application Received 4-27-48 By E. C. Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Erving Rappaport & Halley
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____
Decision denied Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

α

RESOLUTION NO. 3104

WHEREAS, Application No. 5906 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen N. Brown to construct an addition to an existing garage and observe 7.4 per cent excess lot coverage on Lot 37, Block E, South La Jolla, Northerly side of Nautilus Street, West of La Jolla Boulevard, providing adequate plans for the addition are submitted and approved architecturally by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 19 48

By H. C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3104

Application Received 4-27-48 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Living. S. Henderson & Valley
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____
Date 5-5-48

Decision Approved Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

6

RESOLUTION NO. 3105

WHEREAS, Application No. 5948 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Glenn K. Smith and Roxie Scarlett to construct a 4 ft. by 8 ft. addition to a residence and bathroom to make one building, with existing 0 ft. rear yard and 1 ft. sideyard, addition to observe no rear yard, 1340 West Palm Street on the ~~W~~asterly 25 ft. of the Southwesterly 50 ft. of Lot 6, Block 105, Middletown, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, , 1948

By H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 3105

Application Received 4-27-48 By Rm Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Lucy S. Sponder & Hallie
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-5-48</u>	Hearing date _____
Decision <u>Denied</u>	Date <u>5-5-48</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-6-48</u>	Building Inspector <u>5-7-48</u>
Planning Commission <u>5-7-48</u>	Petitioner <u>5-7-48</u> Health Department <u>5-7-48</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____	Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____	Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____	
Application withdrawn _____	Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____	Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3106

WHEREAS, Application No. 6028 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vera Hawkins, owner, and Erwin S. Coy, purchaser, to construct a six-unit court, buildings crossing lot lines, on Lots 45 to 48, inclusive, Block 4, Ocean Spray Addition, and Lots 45 to 48, inclusive, Block 4, First Addition to Ocean Spray, North side of Opal Street, East of Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, , 19 48

By H. C. Haelsig, ~~SECRETARY~~
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 3106

Application Received 4-27-48 By J.C. Bughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Luening, Deppenderfer & Haesley
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

0c

RESOLUTION NO. 3107

WHEREAS, Application No. 6029 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Erwin S. and Frances A. Coy to construct a six-unit court, buildings crossing lot lines, on Lots 49 to 52, inclusive, Block 4, Ocean Spray Addition, and Lots 49 to 52, inclusive, Block 4, First Addition to Ocean Spray, Northeast corner of Opal Street and Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is here by granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, _____, 19 48

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3107

Application Received 4-27-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Living. Appenderfer & Heelsig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

a

RESOLUTION NO. 3108

WHEREAS, Application No. 5915 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard S. F. and Mary F. Randolph to construct a 3 ft. 6½ in. by 12 ft. addition to an existing residence which has a 17 ft. rear yard, addition to have a 17 ft. rear yard, 7826 Prospect Place on Lot 1 and the Northwesterly 9 ft. of Lot 17, Block 47, La Jolla Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, _____, 19 48

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3108

Application Received 4-27-48 By S. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Iving, Deppenderfer & Halling
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

extending
Re 2641

OK

Letter dated April 21, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2641 be granted to Teresa M. Hayward to construct an apartment house on Lots F and G, Block 128, Mission Beach, San Luis Obispo, near Bayside Lane, with a 7 ft. setback on Lot G and a 10 ft. setback on Lot F.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 19 48

By H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 3109

Lecter
Application Received 4-21-48 By Edward Hine
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Living Rappender & Helsing
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

04

RESOLUTION NO. 3110

WHEREAS, Application No. 6050 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to S. H. and Josephine Brauchler to erect a six-unit double court with an 8 ft. 6 in. access court to the street at 4811 Newport Street, Lots 27 and 28, Block 52, Ocean Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~SECRETARY~~
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3110

Application Received 4-28-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Living, Deppenderfer & Halling
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-6-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3111

05

WHEREAS, Application No. 6034 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. Sanders to split out two parcels of land from a portion of Lot 60, Las Alturas Villa Sites, each with frontage for the full width of the lot on Olvera Avenue (shown as Parcels 1 and 2 on the plat on file in the Planning Department Office), and permit a single family residence on each, Olvera Avenue, West of Santa Isabel Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By H. C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3111

Application Received 4-22-48 By Man
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Living, Sippenderfer & Halsey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision modified approval Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

see Council Res 92564

WHEREAS, Application No. 5712 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of J. E. Franks and Evelyn Lee Chang to operate a compressed air bottle gun concession, Export-Import Office Display and Sales Room at 4120 West Point Loma Blvd. on a portion of Pueblo Lot 211, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 213, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, , 19 48

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3112

Application Received 2-26-48 By J. C. Baughman
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-10-48 3-24-48 4-21-48
3-18-48 4-7-48 5-5-48 By Wm. Duff Gene Purdie
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____
 Decision Denied Date 5-6-48
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
 Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 6036 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
- 2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
- 3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. **not**

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot Block
Subdivision s 4 and 5 and the un- 375
numbered lot S of 5
Old San Diego

3026 Jefferson Street
(J. B. Jennings and Mildred M. Ward)
may be used for the erection and operation of
a 19-unit motel and manager's apart-
ment,

subject to the following conditions
Construction to be in compliance with
the revised plan submitted.

*Plot plan on file with original
Resolution R.M.C. 5/12/48*

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated May 5, 1948

By H.C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3113

Application Received 4-28-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Living. Oppenheimer & Haels
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date

Decision..... Approved Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector..... 5-7-48

Planning Commission..... 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department..... 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application Withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3114

WHEREAS, Application No. 6049 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Billy J. Smith to split 10 ft. off Lot 1 and add it to Lot 2, both in Block D, Riviera Villas, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, most Easterly corner of Santa Barbara Street and Moana Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, , 19 48

By H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3114

Application Received 4-28-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Erving Dippenderfer + Haeling
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

9-

RESOLUTION NO. 3115

WHEREAS, Application No. 6058 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. F. Wahl to split off the East 40 ft. of Lot C and add to Lot J, both in Block 375, Horton's Addition, said 40 ft. to be used for the erection of garages to be used in connection with apartments on Lot J, West side of Reynard Way, North of Spruce Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, , 1948

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~xxxxxx~~ Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3115

Application Received 4-28-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Ewing, Dippenderfer, & Halling
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3116

WHEREAS, Application No. 6057 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. F. Wahl to erect a four-unit apartment house with a 5 ft. setback from Reynard Way on Lot J, Block 375, Horton's Addition, West side of Reynard Way, North of Spruce Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, , 1948

By H. C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3116

Application Received 4-28-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Ewing, Duffenduffer + Hacking
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3117

WHEREAS, Application No. 6039 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eduarda Ericson to construct the second living unit on Lots 33 and 34, Block 147, Pacific Beach, 1428 Diamond Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By H. C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3117

Application Received 5-3-48 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Erving Deffenbacher & Haelsey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved. Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

α

RESOLUTION NO. 3118

WHEREAS, Application No. 6015 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William Du Moulin to construct a duplex on the West 1/2 of Lot 1, Block 3, F. T. Scripps' Addition, at the Northeast corner of Genter Street and La Jolla Boulevard, providing a 15 ft. setback is observed on La Jolla Boulevard and the required setback is observed on Genter Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, _____, 19 48

FORM 2145

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~xxxxx~~ Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3118

Application Received 4-22-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Erving Dippenderfer & Haelsey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved. Council Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

6x

RESOLUTION NO. 3119

WHEREAS, Application No. 6022 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the San Diego Gas & Electric Company to erect a cyclone fence 7 ft. in height with 1 ft. of barbed wire at the top to make a total height of 8 ft., Lots 21 to 24, inclusive, Block 96, San Diego Land and Town Company's Addition, most Northerly corner of Main and Evans Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By H. C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3119

Application Received 4-22-48 By P. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Erving Riffenburg
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

α

RESOLUTION NO. 3120

WHEREAS, Application No. 6005 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. C. Grady to construct an 18 in. addition to the rear of an existing garage on the front portion of the lot which has a 1 ft. sideyard, 4537 Altadena Street on Lots 17 and 18, Block 5, Alhambra Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 19 48

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3120

Application Received 4-26-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Leveng Sijpeendjan & Naelsig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____
Decision modified approval Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-8-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3121

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 6033 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Associates, Inc., to set up a temporary office and storage buildings on Lots 16, 17 and 18, Block Belleview Heights Unit No. 2 at the Southeast corner of Corinth and Trojan Streets, for a period of eighteen months from the date of this resolution, providing an agreement is signed by the owners to the effect that these buildings will be removed from the premises at the end of that time.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement # 538
Filed 5/14/48*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 19 48

By H. C. Haelsig, ~~xxxx~~
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 3121

Application Received 4-22-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Erving S. Saperstein & Harry
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-5-48</u>	Hearing date _____
Decision <u>Council Approval</u>	Date <u>5-5-48</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-6-48</u>	Building Inspector <u>5-7-48</u>
Planning Commission <u>5-7-48</u> Petitioner <u>5-7-48</u>	Health Department <u>5-7-48</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____	Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____	Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____	
Application withdrawn _____	Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____	Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. _____

3122

extended by Res 2589

extended by # 3460

OC

Letter dated April 20, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2589 be granted to Adolf and Goldie Beyer to construct three duplexes on a parcel of land 80 ft. by 110 ft., being the South 10 ft. of Lot 14, Block 1, and Fir Street closed adjacent thereto, and the North 10 ft. of Lot 1, Block 4, and Fir Street closed adjacent there to, Cullen's Arlington Heights, East side of 31st Street, North of Elm Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 19 48

By H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3122

Application Received Letter 4-21-48 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Living, Sufferer & Halling
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3123

WHEREAS, Application No. 6018 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred C. Brennecke to convert an existing concrete block structure and garage into living quarters and maintain the existing 2 ft. sideyard and 8 ft. rear yard on Lots 22 and 23, Block 15, Lexington Park, Southeast corner of Poplar Street and the Hollywood Park, subject to architectural approval of the final plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 19 48

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 3123

Application Received 4-26-48 By E. L. Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Iving, Appenderfer & Salsing
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved, conditional Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3124

WHEREAS, Application No. 6010 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Melvin and Nell D. Thompson to re-divide Lots A and B, Dixon's Subdivision, into two parcels, each facing Warrington Street, one 52 ft. by 100 ft. and the other 65 ft. by 100 ft. and permit a single family residence on each parcel, providing a 10 ft. setback is observed on Warrington Street and the required setback on Dixon Place, and providing no structure is placed within 5 ft. of the property line of Lot C, no rear doors to face Lot C, and the design of the building not to affect Lot C in an adverse manner.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, , 19 48

By H. C. Haelsig
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3124

Application Received 4-28-46 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-46 By Erving, Lippensper & Halsey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, cond. Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-9-48

Planning Commission 5-2-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3125, amending Res. No. 1993

see 4902 & 4929

Letter dated April 21, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1993 be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louise Butler, owner, and Iola Meaden, lessee, ^{only} to operate a beauty parlor, full-time, at 3446 Ray Street on Lot 1, Block 31, West End Addition, subject to the following conditions:

1. Business to be operated within the existing building;
2. No employees.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 1948

By H. C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3125

Letter
Application Received 4-22-48 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Leving, Duffenderfer & Halley
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, conditional Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3126

WHEREAS, Application No. 5938 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Virgil C. Moffitt to operate a plumbing shop and maintain storage space within an existing building at 4435 Ohio Street on the South 12½ ft. of Lot 15 and all of Lot 16, Block 63, University Heights, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, , 19 48

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3126

Application Received 4-22-48 By D.A. Rich
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Living, Sippenderfer & Galsing
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

α

RESOLUTION NO. 3127

WHEREAS, Application No. 5904 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Claud C. Bruner to repair household appliances and automobiles in a quonset hut which has been erected 10 ft. from the alley line, 4275-44th Street on Lots 5 and 6, Block 1, Eastgate, subject to the following conditions:

1. Hours of operation to be from 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.;
2. No work to be done on Sundays;
3. No body or fender work to be done;
4. No parking of cars in the alley at any time;
5. This permit to be for a period of one year from the date of this resolution;
6. Permit revocable on cause.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 19 48

By H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3127

Application Received 4-19-48 By A. E. Smith
 City Planning Department

Investigation made ⁴⁻²¹⁻⁴⁸5-5-48 By Erving, Appenderfer & Haelsey
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ⁴⁻²¹⁻⁴⁸5-5-48 Hearing date 5-5-48
 Decision Approved, code Date 5-5-48
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
 Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

DIC

WHEREAS, Application No. 5941 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. W. Austin to operate a part-time radio sales and repair shop in an existing residence at 1740 Robinson Avenue on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1125, subject to the following conditions:

1. No employees.
2. Business to operated not later than 9:00 P.M.
3. One single sign, 2 ft. by 4 ft. in size, to be placed against the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3453, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 19 48

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, ~~Secretary~~
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 3128

Application Received 4-27-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Erving S. Sanderfer
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, Council Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3129, amending Res. No. 2915

Letter dated April 19, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: That Resolution No. 2915 be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ray M. Woodmansee to construct and operate a 40-unit trailer park on the North 200 ft. of the West 262 ft. of the East 516.65 ft. of Lot 22, Lemon Villa, North of University Avenue and East of 54th Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. Grade and surface the roadways within the trailer camp and the connection to University Avenue;
2. Install a five-foot high fence around the property;
3. Plant and maintain in good condition at all times a compact ever-green hedge to screen the trailer park from view of the highway;
4. Plumbing fixtures to be connected to a septic tank for a period of one year from the date of this resolution, at the end of which time, they shall be connected to a public sewer;
5. Comply with the requirements of the Health Department as to sanitation;
6. All structures constructed in compliance herewith to have architectural approval of the Planning Department Office;
7. This permit to be for a period of five years from the date of Council Resolution No. 88165, which resolution is dated January 20, 1948.

(over)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, 19 48

By H.C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3129

Letter
 Application Received 4-20-48 By P. J. Gurlon
 City Planning Department
 Investigation made 4-21-48 By Leung, Sundersen & Helsing
5-5-48 City Planning Department
 Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48-5-5-48 Hearing date _____
 Decision Appeal Date 5-5-48
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
 Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 187, New Series, be
 and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar
 as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Van

RESOLUTION No. 110408

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the application of Ray M. Woodmansee, Crestview Trailer Park, 5430 University Avenue, San Diego, to continue operation of Trailer Park on portion of Lot 22, Lemon Villa, on a 2-1/2 acre parcel of land, and that permission for said operation be continued for a period of five years from the expiration of Resolution No. 88165, adopted January 20, 1948, which said Resolution had granted the previous permit - which makes an extension of said operation up to January 20, 1958, be, and it is hereby granted.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 110408 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Jan. 29, 1953

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk

By HELEN M. WILLIG
Deputy.

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY

3130,
amending Res. No. 2

WHEREAS, Application of ~~XXXXXX~~ Letter dated April 10, 1948 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California ~~XXXXXX~~ evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
- 2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the ~~neighborhood~~ not neighborhood; and
- 3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. not

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property Lot That Resolution No. 2916
 be amended to read as follows:
 Subdivision North 200 ft. of the
 West 262 ft. of the East 516.65 ft. of Lot 22,
 Lemon Villa
 North of University Avenue and East of 54th Street

may be used for the erection and operation of
 (Ray M. Woodmansee)
 a 40-unit trailer Park,

subject to the following conditions

- 1. Grade and surface the roadways within the trailer camp and the connection to University Avenue;
- 2. Install a five-foot high fence around the property;
- 3. Plant and maintain in good condition at all times a compact evergreen hedge to screen the trailer park from view of the highway;
- 4. Plumbing fixtures to be connected to a septic tank for a period of one year from the date of this resolution, at the end of which time, they shall be connected to a public sewer;
- 5. Comply with the requirements of the Health Department as to sanitation;
- 6. All structures constructed in compliance herewith to have architectural approval of the Planning Department;
- 7. This permit to be for a period of five years from the date of Council Resolution No. 88165, which resolution is dated January 20, 1948.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
 City of San Diego, California

May 5, 8
 Dated 194

By H. C. Haelzig, Secretary
 Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3130

Letter Application Received 4-20-48 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48
5-5-48 By Lucy Ruppender & Halsey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48
5-5-48 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Decision of Council

Resolution becomes effective

Application Withdrawn

Time limit extended to

Continued to

Date of action

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

WHEREAS, Application No. 5603 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. Shriebman to conduct a junk yard or business, dealing in rags, paper and batteries, at 1684 Logan Avenue on Lots 21 and 22, Block 180, Manassee & Schiller's Subdivision, said permit to be effective three months from the date of this resolution, or August 5, 1948, if a complete new building, covering the entire property described above, has been completed. If this building is not completed by that time, this variance is automatically revoked. This variance is also revocable for cause and is subject to the following conditions:

1. All materials to be kept entirely within the building;
2. All loading and unloading to be done within the building;
3. The trucks owned by the petitioner and/or trucks operating from the premises or selling to the petitioner, shall not be parked overnight on the street in front of the property in question nor on any of the adjoining streets, nor on Saturdays or Sundays, nor for a period of more than four (4) consecutive hours at any one time.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, , 1948

By _____
Glenn A. Rick, ~~Secretary~~
City Planning Director Res. No. 3131

Application Received 4-13-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 4-21-48
5-5-48 By Leving Appenbanger & Healey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21-48
5-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Council Approval Date 5-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-7-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48

Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3132

WHEREAS, Application No. 5996 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jessie M. Darling, owner, and Paul A. Carlson, purchaser, to erect a building and operate a Veterinary Clinic for cats and dogs only, Lot 25, Block 199, Pacific Beach, North side of Garnet Street, 100 ft. West of Gresham Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. Outpatient treatments only; no animals to be kept overnight;
2. No boarding of animals;
3. All operations to be conducted entirely within the building;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3132

Application Received 5996-427-48 By R J Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48
5-19-48 By Lancaster, Living & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, cond Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6024 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lois L. Hines to alter the second story of an existing duplex at 2045 Granada Street into two apartments, to make a total of three living units on Lots 1 and 2, Block 55, Seaman and Choate's Addition and a portion of Granada and Hawthorne Streets closed adjacent, providing no exterior alterations to the dwelling are made.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 5-4-48 By Kelley
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48
5-19-48 By Launcester, Evening & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date 5-19-48
Decision Approved Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3134

6007

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. S. Russell to construct a four-unit court, buildings crossing lot lines, on Lots 43 and 44, Block 39, Ocean Beach, on the Southwesterly side of Del Mar Avenue, 125 ft. Southeasterly of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, _____, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXXXXX~~

Res. No. 3134

Application Received 4-29-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lanester, Eving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-19-48</u>	Hearing date _____
Decision <u>Approved</u>	Date <u>5-19-48</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-20-48</u>	Building Inspector <u>5-21-48</u>
Planning Commission <u>5-21-48</u>	Petitioner <u>5-21-48</u> Health Department <u>5-21-48</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____	Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____	Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____	Continued to _____
Application withdrawn _____	Date of action _____
Time limit extended to _____	

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3135

WHEREAS, Application No. 6032 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William J. Brennen to construct a garage with an apartment overhead and observe a 13 ft. rear yard, Lots 3 and 4, Block 100, Pacific Beach, South side of Law Street, 50 ft. East of Pendleton Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 4-29-48 By R. M. Larrick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Leicester, Leung & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3136

WHEREAS, Application No. 5991 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred and Florence Sand to operate an attorney's office in an existing building at 1934 Cable Street, (adjacent to the alley) on Lots 25 to 31, inclusive, Block 60, Ocean Beach, for a period of one year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 4-30-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Laucaster, Ewing & Burlon
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-19-48</u>	Hearing date _____
Decision <u>Council Approval</u>	Date <u>5-19-48</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-20-48</u>	Building Inspector <u>5-21-48</u>
Planning Commission <u>5-21-48</u>	Petitioner <u>5-21-48</u> Health Department <u>5-21-48</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____	Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____	Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____	
Application withdrawn _____	Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____	Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3137

WHEREAS, Application No. 6030 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Phineas Prouty, Jr., and Julia Stenhouse Prouty to erect a four-unit court, buildings crossing lot lines, with a total of 45 per cent lot coverage, on Lots 36, 37 and 38, Block 5, La Jolla Strand, Northerly side of Gravilla Street, Westerly of La Jolla Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 5-3-48 By E. C. Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster, Living & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision modified app. Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

010

RESOLUTION NO. 3138

WHEREAS, Application No. 6061 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner; possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Martha Heskett to make an addition to an existing sleeping room which has a 10 ft. rear yard and alter it to a cottage, addition to observe a 10 ft. rear yard, Lots 10 and 11, Block 2, Palm Heights, 422 University Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 5-3-48 By P. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-19-48</u>	Hearing date _____
Decision <u>Approved</u>	Date <u>5-19-48</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-20-48</u>	Building Inspector <u>5-21-48</u>
Planning Commission <u>5-21-48</u>	Petitioner <u>5-21-48</u> Health Department <u>5-21-48</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____	Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____	Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____	
Application withdrawn _____	Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____	Date of action _____

2K

RESOLUTION NO. 3139

WHEREAS, Application No. 6077 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl E. Brorson, owner, and H. Jernfeld, purchaser, to construct two 5-unit apartment buildings, each with a 15 ft. setback from the front property line on Lots 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 4, Venice Park Addition, Northeast corner of Roosevelt and Lamont Streets,

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 5-4-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster, Emery & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3140

WHEREAS, Application No. 6066 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl E. Brorson, owner, and H. Jernfeld, purchaser, to construct two 5-unit apartment buildings, each with a 12 ft. rear yard, Lots 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 4, Venice Park Addition, Northeast corner of Roosevelt and Lamont Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 5-3-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lawrence Ewing & Benton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3141

WHEREAS, Application No. 5988 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. J. Kircher to divide Lot 1, Block 132, La Playa, Northeast corner of San Fernando and Lawrence Streets, into two equal parcels, 75 ft. by 150 ft. facing San Fernando Street, and to construct a single family residence on the Northerly parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3141

Application Received 5-4-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster, Living & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3142

WHEREAS, Application No. 6067 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul M. and Birdie M. Burrows to construct an eight-unit double court with a 10 ft. access court to the street, Lots 56 and 57, Block 70, Ocean Beach, Southwesterly side of Narragansett Street, Northwesterly of Bacon Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 5-4-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Pennerster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3143

WHEREAS, Application No. 4213 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Charlie L. and Mabel M. Hudson to divide Lot 10, Happy Valley Little Farms, into two parcels and permit a single family residence on each, 7136 Jamacha Road, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 5-5-48 By R J Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lencaster, Evemy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Appeal Denied Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

8K

RESOLUTION NO. 3144

WHEREAS, Application No. 6070 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clarence and Idamae Bach to construct a three-unit court with a 3 ft. access court to the rear unit and with 5 ft. between the front duplex and the open porch on the rear unit, Lot O, Block 99, Mission Beach, North side of Island Court, West of Bayside Lane, providing the garage at the rear of the lot observes the required sideyards.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 5-10-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Launceston, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3145

WHEREAS, Application No. 6090 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. W. Withers and E. J. Sommers to construct a four-unit court with a 16 ft. setback from Pescadero Street, Lots 22 and 23, Block 44, Ocean Beach, 1482-88 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, _____, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 5-11-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lawrence, Irving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

See Res. 89919 following

WHEREAS, Application No. 6052 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Frances B. and James B. Ellsworth to convert the residence at 4570 Mississippi Street into a duplex, to make a total of 4 units on the property, and maintain existing 2½ ft. sideyard for the converted residence and 4 ft. access court to the rear units, North 20 ft. of Lot 18 and the South 20 ft. of Lot 19, Block 49, University Heights, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 5-10-48 By E. C. Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster, Cunniff & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Denied Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 5-25-48 Council Hearing, date 6-8-48 - 6-22-48
Decision of Council Approved, Conditional Date 6-22-48
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Plan

89919

See Res. 3146
preceding

RESOLUTION NO. _____

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

N20' Lot 18
T 520' - 19
B.K. 49
U.H.

Permission is hereby granted Frances B. and James B. Ellsworth, 4568 Mississippi Street, San Diego 3, California, to convert the residence at 4570 Mississippi Street into a duplex to be served by a 4 foot access court to the street, upon compliance with the following conditions:

1. That the rearmost dwelling now located on the lot be removed from the property;
2. That the dwelling to be altered to a duplex be so modified that it has not less than a 3 foot side yard on both sides of the building.

Variance to the restrictions of Ordinance No. 8924 be, and it is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

89919

JUN 23 1948

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. _____ of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council _____

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk.

F. T. PATTEN

By _____ Deputy.

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3147

WHEREAS, Application No. 6075 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charlotte Smythe Creelman to construct a 20 ft. addition to the front of an existing residence at 2776 E Street and observe a 22 ft. setback from the front property line, Easterly 40 ft. of Lot 14, Block 1, Karrle Addition, subject to architectural approval of the plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 5-12-48 By R. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Denton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3148

WHEREAS, Application No. 6081 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Catherine B. Osborn, owner, and Edwin W. Schlehuber, purchaser, to construct a four-unit apartment building with a 15 ft. setback from Estrella Avenue, West 45 ft. of Lots 21, 22, and 23, Block 30, Fairmount Addition to City Heights, Northeast corner of Estrella Avenue and Polk Street, subject to architectural approval of the plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

10-21-48

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 4-28-48 By Rm Paruch
amended pet. 5-18-48 City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Benton
5-19-48 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3149

WHEREAS, Application No. 6062 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. A. Fechter to construct a residence with attached garage with an 11 ft. setback from Monmouth Drive for the garage, Lot 136 and the Easterly 15 ft. of Lot 137, Congress Heights Addition, providing a setback of not less than 5 ft. is observed from the property line on Jewell Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

wait for agreement see page

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 4-29-48 By R. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lucretia Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3150

WHEREAS, Application No. 6103 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jacob D. Mullis to use a portion of an existing garage as a dark room for photo finishing, part-time only, Lot 1, Block 10, North Shore Highlands, 1175 Beryl Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. No signs to be posted on the premises;
 2. No employees;
 3. No advertising of the address;
 4. For a period of one year only from date of this resolution;
- A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 5-13-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster, Lewis & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved, cond Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 5877 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Emilie J. Haugh to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1297, known as Arbitrary Lots 29 and 35, Assessor's Map No. 27, which parcel has 119.90 ft. of street frontage on La Jolla Shores Drive at Camino del Collado.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

60 ft
Sept 47

Sept. 47

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 5-12-48 By H.C. Keeling
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster, Irving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3152

WHEREAS, Application No. 6088 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Frank Castro to construct or maintain a board fence 6 ft. in height on top of a retaining wall varying in height from 18in. to 24 in, to make an overall height of approximately 8 ft., 2644 Market Street, Lots 36 and 37, Block 38, C. L. Carr's Sub-division, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xxxx~~ Secretary

Application Received 5-11-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Erving, Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3153

WHEREAS, Application No. 6055 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. A. Sweat to construct a duplex over garages and maintain existing 6 ft. 3 in. access court to the street between existing dwellings on the front portion of the lot, 4114 - 35th Street, Lot 10, Block 18, Bovyer's Subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 5-11-48 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3154

WHEREAS, Application No. 5972 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank J. and Marjorie Bornowski to construct an addition to the front of an existing building on Lots 35 and 36, Block 206, Pacific Beach, said addition to observe a 7½ ft. setback on Lot 36, 2016 Garnet Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 5-10-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Modified approval Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

DK

RESOLUTION NO. 3155

WHEREAS, Application No. 6037 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul Challett and H. E. Moore to redivide Lots 5, 6, 7 and 8, Block 50, Roseville, and portion of Emerson Street closed adjacent, into three parcels, two to be 75 ft. in width and one 85 ft. in width, all facing Willow Street, and to construct or permit a single family residence on each, providing a 15 ft. setback is observed on Willow Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 5-5-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster Living & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3156

WHEREAS, Application No. 6084 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. O. S. Harbaugh to erect a neon sign and pole, 5'x10', with a 4 ft. setback, 6069 El Cajon Boulevard, Lot 38, Gilcher Tract, providing an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that this sign will be moved at no expense to the city when and if El Cajon Boulevard is widened.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13056 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

*See Burton
5-26*

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 3156

Application Received 5-6-48 By H. G. Haessig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster, Livingston & Purdon
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-18-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved. Council Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3157

WHEREAS, Application No. 6056 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elsie W. Sullivan to construct a brick fence 6 ft. in height and 14 ft. in length with no setback, Lots 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 463, Seaman's Subdivision of Pueblo Lot 1122, 836 West Pennsylvania Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 3157

Application Received 4-29-48 By B. Johnson
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Encaster, Evings & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approval Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector _____
Planning Commission _____ Petitioner _____ Health Department _____
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3158

WHEREAS, Application No. 5970 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The First National Trust & Savings Bank of San Diego and Mr. and Mrs. John D. Mitchell to divide Lot 14, Block 79, Pt. Loma Heights and the Southeasterly 100 ft. of the Northeasterly 140 ft. of Lot 2, Loma Heights, into two parcels, each 50 ft. by 140 ft. and facing Pescadero Street at the corner of Santa Barbara Street, and permit a single family residence on each.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 5-3-48 By W. H. Hesse
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster, Ewing, & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 5993 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Myrtle C. Simonson to operate a beauty parlor, full time, in an existing residence at 3809 Richmond Street, and to have a small sign in the window, and permission is also granted to enclose the existing porch on the front of the residence and to build an addition thereto, but not larger than the outer limits of the existing building, North 30 ft. of the Sly 99.9 ft. of the South 1/2 of Block 226 1/2, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 5-6-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster, Luning & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3160

WHEREAS, Application No. 5709 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dale E. Watts, W. P. Pierce, and J. A. Blackstock to divide the Southerly 130 ft. of the Easterly 240 ft. of Pueblo Lot 170, into two approximately equal parcels facing Dupont Street and permit a single family residence on each, providing a 15 ft. setback is observed on Albion, Dupont and Bow Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 5-6-48 By D. M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Boston
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision modified approval Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3161

WHEREAS, Application No. 4562 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Selby C. and Grace N. Scott to divide Lot 5, Block 7, and the abandoned right-of-way adjacent, and the Westerly 10 ft. of Lot 10, Block 8, F. T. Scripps' Addition, into two parcels, each 50 ft. by 163 ft. facing Westbourne Street, east of La Jolla Boulevard, and permit two living units on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 5-13-48 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Sancester, Luning & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3162

WHEREAS, Application No. 6040 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Southern Title and Trust Company to divide Lot 1, Block 507, Old San Diego and portion of Arista Street closed adjacent thereto, into three parcels, each 58 1/3 ft. by 150 ft., facing Juan Street, and permit a single family residence on each, providing an easement 5 ft. in width across the front of the above-described property is granted to the City for the widening of Juan Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRET~~

Application Received 4-27-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48
5-19-48 By Lawrence Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48
5-19-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3163

WHEREAS, Application No. 6041 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leah Nelson to divide Lot 4, Block 507, Old San Diego and portion of Ampudia Street closed adjacent thereto, into two parcels, each 87½ ft. by 150 ft. facing Juan Street, and permit a single family residence on each, providing an easement 5 ft. in width across the front of the above-described property is granted to the City for the widening of Juan Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 4-27-48 By R J Hanson
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48
5-19-48 By Lancaster, Evemy & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date _____
5-19-48 Date 5-19-48

Decision Approved Building Inspector 5-21-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3164

WHEREAS, Application No. 6042 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Southern Title and Trust Company to divide Lot 1, Block 520, Old San Diego and portion of Ampudia Street closed adjacent thereto, into two parcels, each $87\frac{1}{2}$ ft. by 150 ft., facing Juan Street, and permit a single family residence on each, providing an easement 5 ft. in width across the front of the above-described property is granted to the City for the widening of Juan Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 4-27-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-5-48
5-19-48 By Sancastron, Irving & Burt
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48
5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3165

WHEREAS, Application No. 6118 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eli H. Levenson to construct a patio wall 5 ft. in height and 7 ft. beyond the setback line at 4791 Lucille Drive on Lot 1247, Talmadge Park Estates Unit No. 3.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 5-18-48 By P. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-20-48 By M. Rick
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Interim app. Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48

Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3166, amending Res. No. 2895

Letter dated May 19, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2895, dated February 25, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to LeRoy D. and Mildred Starr to construct a two-car garage with one apartment overhead and observe a 5 ft. rear yard, 4020 Ibis Street on Lots 17 and 18, Block 57, Arnold and Choate's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Letter
Application Received 5-19-48 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster Lundy Irving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-25-48 Building Inspector 5-25-48

Planning Commission 5-25-48 Petitioner 5-25-48 Health Department 5-25-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

62

RESOLUTION NO. 3167

WHEREAS, Application No. 5778 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Parish of Saint Paul, E. K. Doolittle, Secretary and Harold B. Robinson, President, to construct a church with no setback for the buttresses and a 2 foot setback for the east wall, with approximately 90% lot coverage on Lots G and H, Block 306, Horton's Addition, located at the northwest corner of Nutmeg and Sixth Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, _____, 1948

By _____

~~XXXX~~
Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 4-30-48 By R M Parrish
City Planning Department
5-19-48
Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date 6-2-48
Decision Approved Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48
Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6046 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles E. and Florence Austin to conduct auto repairing at the rear of the residence at 4278 - 37th Street, Lots 43 and 44, Block 33, Resub. of Blocks H and I, Teralta, for a period of two years, provided that "No Parking" signs be posted to prohibit parking at the rear of the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, , 19 48

By _____

Zoning Engineer

Secretary
~~xxxxxx~~

Application Received 4-30-48 By Roy J. Hansen
City Planning Department
5-19-48
Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date 6-2-48
Decision Cond. Approval Date 6-2-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48
Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 5958 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Jack E. and Jackie Louis Brown to convert a 12-room single family residence at 3127 Kalmia Street into three apartments, Lot 3, Block B, Burlingame, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____
Secretary
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-3-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48
6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date 6-2-48
6-2-48 Date 6-2-48

Decision Denied

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 6-7-48 Council Hearing, date 6-22-48

Decision of Council Denied Date 6-22-48

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 89901, *See Res. 3169 preceding*

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Jack E. Brown, 3127 Kalmia Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3169 Application No. 5958, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175 be, and it is hereby denied, being petition to convert a 12-room single family residence at 3127 Kalmia Street into three apartments, on Lot 3, Block B, Burlingame; and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 89901
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council JUN 22 1948

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk.

F. T. PATTEN

By.....

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 3170

WHEREAS, Application No. 6044 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edith Wahlberg to operate a boarding house for not more than six persons at 1203 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, Lots 17 and 18, Block 11, Sunset Cliffs, for a period of two years.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____
~~Secretary~~

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3170

Application Received 5-3-48 By Harry C. Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48
6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48
6-2-48 Hearing date 6-2-48

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, ^{information} ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That the provisions of Resolutions No. 678 and 2327 previously granted to Lindsey King permitting the operation of a gunsmith's shop within an existing building at 4890 - 33rd Street, Lot 24, Block 14, Normal Heights, are hereby amended to prohibit the sale and/or the storage for sale of gun powder or any other form of similar explosive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____

Secretary
XXXXXX

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3171

Information

Application Received _____ By P. L. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date 6-2-48

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

α

RESOLUTION NO. 3172

WHEREAS, Application No. 6089 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. Peter Hamilton-Ash, purchaser, and Leon Cazare, owner, to split out a one-acre parcel of land from a portion of $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 79, Rancho de la Nacion (see legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), said parcel to be not less than 200 feet in any dimension and to be served by an easement not less than 12 feet in width to Division Street, and to permit the erection of a single family residence thereon.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinances No. 118 N. S. and 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____
Secretary
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXX~~

Application Received 5-13-48 By E. Van Heise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3173

WHEREAS, Application No. 6087 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. J. Mathews to construct a duplex on the Northerly 16 $\frac{2}{3}$ feet of Lot 39 and all of Lot 40, Block 194, University Heights, at 3960 Georgia Street, making a total of five living units on the property, to be served by a 6 foot access court to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary
~~XXXX~~

Res. No. 3173

Application Received 5-17-48 By Ira Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Approved
Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____
Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6020 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Austen Tudor Brown, et al, to divide the Easterly 123 feet of Lot B, Braemar Extension into three parcels, as shown on the accompanying plat on file in the Planning Department Office, one parcel having frontage only on a 30 foot alley, and to permit a single family residence on each.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 1948

By _____

Zoning Engineer ^{Secretary} ~~XXXXX~~

Application Received 5-20-48 By Roy Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3175

WHEREAS, Application No. 6106 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Painters, Plasterers, and Plumbers Labor Hall Association, Inc., to erect and operate a Labor Hall on the Easterly side of Centre Street, 130 feet Northerly of University Avenue, on Lots 23 and 24, Block 193, University Heights, and 40 feet of Blaine Avenue, closed, provided there are no entrances to the hiring hall on the northerly or westerly sides of the building and that there are no entrances of any kind on the northerly wall (excepting necessary fire exits).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____

~~Secretary~~

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-20-48 By K. D. Munday
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Condl. Approval Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3176

WHEREAS, Application No. 6113 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. J. Rabello to construct a 5 foot high cement block fence to the front property line at 1577 Willow Street on the Easterly 50 feet of Lots 21 to 24, inclusive, Block 82, Roseville, provided either that the existing wood fence between the property line and the setback line of Jarvis Street be removed or a new fence be built not higher than 5 feet or the existing fence cut down to a maximum of 5 feet in height and painted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____

~~Secretary~~

Zoning Engineer.

Application Received 5-21-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Condt Approval Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3177

WHEREAS, Application No. 6129 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Adolph Brodman to erect a 20 foot by 46 foot garage and laundry building on the Northeast corner of Park Boulevard and Adams Avenue, Lot 11, Mission Cliff Gardens, with a 4 foot rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____

Secretary
XXXXX
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-24-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Partial Approval Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3178

WHEREAS, Application No. 6123 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leslie Williams to construct a 6 foot by 12 foot porch addition to a residence at 3316 Upas Street, on the Easterly 50 feet of Lots 11 and 12, Block 58, Park Villas, said addition to be located 5 feet from the rear lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____

Secretary
XXXXXX

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-24-48 By E. C. Van Heise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3179

WHEREAS, Application No. 6126 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George de Kirby to convert a single family residence into a duplex, with 4 feet between the buildings on each side, on Lot 3, Block 141, University Heights, located at 4156 Park Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 1948

By _____ Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-21-48 By E. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3180

WHEREAS, Application No. 6119 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Broadie Jones to erect a duplex over a garage at 7713 Herschel Avenue, Lots 16 and 17, Block 28, La Jolla Park, the entrances to be facing 7 foot courts.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____

Zoning Engineer

Secretary
~~xxxxxx~~

Application Received 5-24-48 By P. L. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6095 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. W. Goodwin to construct a 21 ft. 6 in. by 32 ft. single apartment over a new garage on an existing slab on the rear of Lot 25 and the Southerly 20 feet of Lot 26, making a second residence on the parcel, and maintain a 22 foot rear yard and a 5 foot average side yard, at 7430 Cabrillo Avenue, on the Northerly 15 feet of Lot 22, all of Lots 23, 24, 25, and the Southerly 20 feet of Lot 26, Block 14, Center Addition to La Jolla Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinances No. 13294 and 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____
Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-20-48 By E. Van Hese
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3182

WHEREAS, Application No. 6116 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles B. Henry to make a 16 foot by 20 foot addition on the north side of an existing residence which has a 27 inch sideyard on the south side, Lots 10 and 11, Block 5, Subdivision of Lots 7 to 17, Block N, Teralta, located at 4149 - 34th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 1948

By _____

Zoning Engineer ^{Secretary} ~~XXXXXX~~

Application Received 5-24-48 By H. C. Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3183

WHEREAS, Application No. 5870 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Viola L. O'Rear to maintain an existing residence with a $7\frac{1}{2}$ foot rear yard at 3750 Promontory, Lots 33, 34, 35 and 36, Block 32, Second Fortuna Park Addition, provided that a portion of the flat roofed illegal construction is removed and altered to the satisfaction of the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 1948

By _____

FORM 2145

Secretary
~~XXXXX~~
Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3183

Application Received 5-3-48 By R. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5-19-48
6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48
6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Cond't Approval

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3184

WHEREAS, Application No. 6133 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED; By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Thomas Gwynne to alter an existing garage to a third living on the lot at 832½ Ormond Court, Lot J, Block 168, Mission Beach, said third unit to face a 6 foot access court to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary
XXXXXX

Res. No. 3184

Application Received 5-24-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3185

WHEREAS, Application No. 6134 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. B. Alger to construct two 4-unit apartment buildings, crossing the lot lines, one building to be located on Lots 33 and 34 and the second building to be on Lots 35 and 36, in Block 13 of La Jolla Strand, located on Palomar Street 175 feet West of La Jolla Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____
Secretary
XXXXXX
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-25-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3186

WHEREAS, Application No. 6135 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. B. Alger to construct two 4-unit apartment buildings on Lots 33 to 36, inclusive, Block 13, La Jolla Strand, located on Palomar Street 175 feet West of La Jolla Boulevard, with a 13 foot setback from Palomar Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____
Secretary
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-25-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3187

WHEREAS, Application No. 6142 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred I. Stomberg to construct a 3-unit apartment building with an 8 foot access court to the street at 4250 - 43rd Street, Lots 36 and 37, Block 22, Resubdivision of Blocks K and L, Teralta, provided the 8 foot access court is cleared of any trees, shrubbery, or other obstruction which would prevent ready access through the area.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____ Secretary

Zoning Engineer XXXXX

Application Received 5-27-48 By E. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Cond'l Approval

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3188

WHEREAS, Application No. 6105 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. W. Burgener to construct two duplexes, one on Lot 16 and the other on Lot 17, Block 7, La Jolla Shores, located on the East side of Paseo del Ocaso North of Paseo Dorado, with a 15 foot rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____

Zoning Engineer Secretary
XXXXX

Application Received 5-14-48 By R.M. Parrish
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3189

ac

WHEREAS, Application No. 6091 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William and Florence Sayre-Smith to erect a residence with a 15 foot rear yard at approximately 980 Scott Street, on a portion of Lot 2, Block 1, Bayshore Addition to New Roseville (see legal description on file in the Planning Department Office).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 1948

By _____

Zoning Engineer

~~Secretary~~

Application Received 5-14-48 By D C Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3190

9

WHEREAS, Application No. 6092 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. Jacobsen to construct a concrete retaining and garden wall 12 feet in height along the side property line at 4114 Narragansett Avenue, Lot 12, Point Loma Terrace.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____

Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 5-17-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3191

WHEREAS, Application No. 6112 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, Bishop Paul Cheesman, to split out a .91 acre parcel of land from a portion of Pueblo Lot 1197 (see legal description on file in the Planning Department Office) and to permit the construction and operation of a church and school on said parcel, being located at the Northeast corner of Osler and Ulric Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 1948

FORM 2145

By _____
Secretary

Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXXX~~

Res. No. 3191

Application Received 5-18-48 By K. D. Munday
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Approved
Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3192

WHEREAS, Application No. 6108 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stanley H. Smith to construct a wood slat fence 3 feet in height beyond the setback line on the Southerly 10 feet of Lot 3 and all of Lot 4, Block G, Oak Park, at 3869 Winona Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 1948

By _____ Secretary
~~XXXXX~~
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-20-48 By K. D. Munday
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6137 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Zweck, owner, and J. P. Mulhall, purchaser, to erect two residences on the East 100 feet of the South 150 feet of the West $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 51, Ex-Mission Lands of San Diego, as it now exists, located on the northwest corner of 46th Street and Ocean View Boulevard, with a 10 foot setback on Ocean View Boulevard and on 46th Street, each house to be built on a parcel of land 50 feet in width and 150 feet in depth.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 1948

By _____

Secretary
XXXXXXXX

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-21-48 By J.A. Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6128 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. Holle and the Holle Glass Company to construct an 8 foot high galvanized corrugated iron fence with a 12 inch extension extending inward on the street front and a 7 foot high fence with the same 12 inch extension on the side and rear property lines at 427 - 9th Avenue on Lot E, Block 109, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 1948

By _____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3194

Application Received 5-21-48 By E Van Hise
City Planning Department
Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-2-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48
Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text and markings, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

RESOLUTION NO. ~~3195~~, extending Res. No. 2707

Letter dated April 21, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months is hereby granted from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2707, which granted an extension of time on Resolution No. 2278 to the First Nazarene Church to erect a church building, observing all yard requirements, but with 74% lot coverage on Lots 18 to 21, inclusive, and street closing adjacent, Block 243, University Heights. *Cypress & Park*

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____

Zoning Engineer Secretary
~~XXXXXXXX~~

Letter dated 4-21-48
Application Received 4-21-48 By City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

See Res 89902 following

WHEREAS, Application No. 6131 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Milton P. Sessions, Inc. for the suspension of the Setback Ordinance to permit a 3-unit apartment building to be altered with a 12 foot setback from Opal Street where 15 feet is required on the north side of Opal Street West of Mission Boulevard, Lots 31 to 34, Block 3, Ocean Spray Addition, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____
Secretary
Zoning Engineer XXXXX

Application Received 5-26-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 6-8-48 Council Hearing, date 6-22-48

Decision of Council approved Date 6-22-48

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

89902

*See Res 3196
preceding*

RESOLUTION NO. _____

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Milton P. Sessions, Inc., 1005 Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3196, Application No. 6131, for suspension of the Setback Ordinance to permit a 3-unit apartment building to be altered with a 12 foot setback from Opal Street, Lots 31 to 34, Block 3, Ocean Spray Addition, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 89902
the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council JUN 22 1948

FRED W. SICK City Clerk.

By F. T. PATTEN Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. 6021 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Roy Medin to alter an existing garage to a third living unit on the lot at 4685 Hamilton Street, Lot 3 and the Northerly 7 feet of Lot 4, Block 16, University Heights, with a 4 foot access court to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____
Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3197

Application Received 5-27-48 By d. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3198

WHEREAS, Application No. 6147 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. E. C. Stahlheber to construct a 16-unit 2-story apartment building on the East side of 1st Avenue 75 feet South of Thorn Street, South $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot B and all of Lot C, Block 382, Horton's Addition, with 9 feet between balconies and 17 feet between building walls.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____

~~Secretary~~

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-28-48 By C. B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6152 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That permission is hereby granted to Mark Uselton and H. G. Turner to operate a cabinet and wood working shop for the manufacture of door and window frames, special doors, kitchen cabinets and cupboards, and the retail sale of doors and windows to contractors for a period of five years on Lots 6 and 7, Block 2, Berkeley Heights, located on the South side of University Avenue 140 feet East of 52nd Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. That a maximum of three employees be hired in addition to the owners;
2. That a maximum of 10 horsepower be used;
3. That the hours of operation be limited from 8 A.M. to 6 P.M.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXXXXX~~

Application Received 5-28-48 By R. J. Hansen
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
 Decision Condl Approval Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

(Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page)

RESOLUTION NO. 3200

WHEREAS, Application No. 6155 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hattie Lambrechts to erect a 22 foot by 40 foot store building on the front of Lots 43 and 44, Block 103, Central Park Addition, at 3024 Imperial Avenue, which will provide a 4 foot access court to the street for three existing dwellings, provided that the garage on the rear of the property be removed to provide a 9 foot access court to the alley at the rear.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____
Secretary

Application Received 6-2-48 By H.C. Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Cond'l Approval

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____