

TO WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5741</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred C. Rapp to construct two single family dwellings on the North 63 ft. of the South 224.332 ft. of the East 150 ft. of Lot 9, Lemon Villa, West side of 56th Street, south of Meade Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3101

H. C. Haelsig, xererery Ass't Planning Director OX

FORM 2145

Dated May 5,

, 19_48

Application Received <u>4-23-48</u> B	y B.J. Hausan City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-5-48</u> B	y living, Suppenderfer & Valer City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5 - 5 - 46$ Decision Commission Sent to City Clerk $5 - 6 - 48$ Planning Commission $5 - 7 - 46$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the second of th

the second s

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5557</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Grace A. and L. A. Mount to divide the West 130 ft. of the East 160 ft. of the North 270 ft. of the Southeast 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 183 into three parcels, each 90 ft. by 130 ft. in size and facing Albion Street, North of Jennings Street, and permit a single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

C. Haelsig,

Ass't Planning Director

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3102

Secretary.

DX

FORM 2145

Dated

May 5.

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-6-48</u> Resolution Sent to City Clerk <u>5-6-48</u>	Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 8-98	Building Inspector
Dia and Commission 2 78 relitioner	J 7 Health Department
A	Council Hearing, date
	Date
D 1 Hon becomes effective	,
A 1: action Williawii	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

. . . .

and and a pinks

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6045</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Eleanor Lones to operate a real estate office in an existing residence at 1236-25th Street and to have a sign 15 sq. ft. in area, North 50 ft. of the South 90 ft. of Lots 1 to 4, inclusive, Block 2, H. M. Higgins' Addition, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3103

C. Haelsig, Segreterx

Dated May 5,

FORM 2145

Or

Application Received B	y_ C. Van Here
hhum	City Planning Department
	y Levery, Deffectenfor & Halling City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-5-46	Hearing date
Desision desced	Date 5-5-48
Con of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 5-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-6-48</u> Planning Commission <u>5-7-48</u> Petitioner	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	. Council Hearing, date
Desition of (01111C11	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawin	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1

.

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5906</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen N. Brown to construct an addition to an existing garage and observe 7.4 per cent excess lot coverage on Lot 37, Block E, South La Jolla, Northerly side of Nautilus Street, West of La Jolla Boulevard, providing adequate plans for the addition are submitted and approved architecturally by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3104

H. C. Haelsig, Secretary

Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Dated

May 5,

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Leving, Sufferdenfew & Villey City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-6-48</u> Planning Commission <u>5-7-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 5-5-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a second a second and the second and the second second second second second second second second second second

and prove the same approaches of the second postment of the booth, the second post of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5948</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Glenn K. Smith and Roxie Scarlett to construct a 4 ft. by 8 ft. addition to a residence and bathroom to make one building, with existing 0 ft. rear yard and 1 ft. sideyard, addition to observe no rear yard, 1340 West Palm Street on the Zasterly 25 ft. of the Southwesterly 50 ft. of Lot 6, Block 105, Middletown, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

H. C. Haelsig, XXXXXX Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Dated

May 5,

ØC

Res. No. 3105

Application Received <u>4-27-48</u> By Rm Parrich
City Planning Department
Investigation made _ 5-5-48 By Europ Dypendenter Hall
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-46 Hearing date
Decision demed Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Desision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and the second of the second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second

The second se

1000

1.1.1 I LID .

A LECE VIA - - - - -

1.7× 110 100 2.

Same and States

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6028</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vera Hawkins, owner, and Erwin S. Coy, purchaser, to construct a six-unit court, buildings crossing lot lines, on Lots 45 to 48, inclusive, Block 4, Ocean Spray Addition, and Lots 45 to 48, inclusive, Block 4, First Addition to Ocean Spray, North side of Opal Street, East of Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

_ , 19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

H. C. Haelsig, SEXCEXAX Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3106

FORM 2145

Dated

May 5.

OC

plication ReceivedBy By City Planning Department
estigation made 5-5-48 By Lung, Differderfor & Hach
nsidered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date
cision Date 5-5-48 by of Resolution sent to City Clerk And Petitioner 5-7-48 nning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
by of Resolution sent to City Clerk and To Building Inspector 5-7-48
nning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Date Date
olution becomes effective
plication withdrawn Continued to
ne limit extended to Date of action

Call a March 1

The strange

inter and a surger of the provident of the second

the second state of the second a prove of the second second the second se

The states and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6029</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Erwin S. and Frances A. Coy to construct a six-unit court, buildings crossing lot lines, on Lots 49 to 52, inclusive, Block 4, Ocean Spray Addition, and Lots 49 to 52, inclusive, Block 4, First Addition to Ocean Spray, Northeast corner of Opal Street and Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is here by granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By H. C. Haelsig, Summer Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3107

Dated May 5, , 19 48

FORM 2145

OC

Application Received4-27-48 ByBy City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Investigation made _ 5-5-48 By Living Suggesterfer + Welling City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 5-5-48
Decision approved Date 5-5-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 3 7-48 Petitioner 3-7-48 Health Department 3-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and shine with the second state the second state the second state of the second state of the second state of the

we proved the first provide de public mane of the

,

Printed the group to your

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5915</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard S. F. and Mary F. Randolph to construct a 3 ft. 62 in. by 12 ft. addition to an existing residence which has a 17 ft. rear yard, addition to have a 17 ft. rear yard, 7826 Prospect Place on Lot 1 and the Northwesterly 9 ft. of Lot 17, Block 47, La Jolla Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

a

H. C. Haelsig, Smerry Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3108

FORM 2145

Dated_ May 5,

Application Received By By	b. C. Van Here
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-5-4P</u> By_	Kunig, Deffenberfer & Kaller City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 H	learing date
Decision approved D	ate 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 B	uilding Inspector <u>3-7-48</u>
Decision approved D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-6-48</u> B Planning Commission <u>5-7-48</u> Petitioner <u>5</u>	-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Pate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn C	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	Date of action

. .

and the second and the second second

and me



Letter dated April 21, 1948

WHEREAS, ASSIGNATION NO. _ ___ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2641 be granted to Teresa M. Hayward to construct an apartment house on Lots F and G, Block 128, Mission Beach, San Luis Obispo, near Bayside Lane, with a 7 ft. setback on Lot G and a 10 ft. setback on Lot F.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 48 May 5, Dated

FORM 2145

H. C. Haelsig, Marriery Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3109

Application Received By_	lec dans there
-ppinetrion received	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-5-48</u> By	City Planning Department & Helsey
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 He	earing date
Decision approved Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-6-48</u> Bu Planning Commission <u>5-7-48</u> Petitioner 5-	te 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Bu	ilding Inspector <u>5-7-48</u>
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-	7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	ite
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ntinued to
Application withdrawn Co Time limit extended to Da	te of action

ereq is in the second

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6050</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to S. H. and Josephine Brauchler to erect a six-unit double court with an 8 ft. 6 in. access court to the street at 4811 Newport Street, Lots 27 and 28, Block 52, Ocean Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

48

19

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 04

By C. Haelsig, STOCKOCKYK H. Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3110

FORM 2145

Dated.

May 5,

Application Received By	S. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made5-5-48 By	Levery, Suffenderfer & Haeling City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-6-48	Hearing date
Decision <i>Approved</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-6-48</u> Planning Commission 5-7-48 'Petitioner a	Building Inspector <u>5-7-48</u> Health Department <u>5-7-48</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The second a second second state state and second a second a second second second second second second second s

Laborater in the design of the second and the second second stand strategy and

second has go I will be a fer

.

uside synamical films

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6034</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. Sanders to split out two parcels of land from a portion of Lot 60, Las Alturas Villa Sites, each with frontage for the full width of the lot on Olvera Avenue (shown as Parcels 1 and 2 on the plat on file in the Planning Department Office), and permit a single family residence on each, Olvera Avenue, West of Santa Isabel Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

_ , 19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated

May 5,

H. C. Haelsig, SERVERNX Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3111

Application Received	2-48 By Man
rippication received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $5-5-4$	By Lung, Suppenderfes & Hacking City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	5-5-48 Hearing date
Decision modified app	coval Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City C	lerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5 - 7-48	Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 2-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second se

1 YACLANCE BALVED PROVISIONS OF ORTHINGS NO. 3000, Now Corkey, Day

HERE DON'T HE TO SALVED, H. The Zoning Complises of the City of San Pleye

Crushing Manager

the second second of the periodomy, possessed by other property

. .

See Council Res 92564

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5712</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of J. E. Franks and Evelyn Lee Chang to operate a compressed air bottle gun concession, Export-Import Office Display and Sales Room at 4120 West Point Loma Blvd. on a portion of Pueblo Lot 211, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 213, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By H. C. Haelsig, XXXXXX Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3112

Dated______May 5, _____, 19_48

FORM 2145

Ox

Application Received			9. C. Baughman City Planning Department 4-21-48	
Investigation made	3-10-48 3-13-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-	7- 48 By	4-21-48 5-5-48 Lucing, du City Planning Department	H. Lune Bents
Considered by Loning Cor	nmittee 2-5-48	Hear	ing date	
Decision Der	ned	Date	5-6-48	
Conv of Resolution sent to	City Clerk 5-6-9	E Build	5 - 6 - 48 ing Inspector $5 - 7 - 48$	
Planning (Ommission	Petitioner	: 5-7-	Health Department	5.7.45
Appeal filed with City Clerl	k, date	_ Coun	cil Hearing, date	
Degision of COUNCIL		Date		
Repolution becomes effective	/e	and the second		A CARDING AND
Application withdrawn			nued to	
Application withdrawn Fime limit extended to		Date	of action	

the second second second second second second second

the second s

,

No

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the orighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of not San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot
That the following described property, Documentations
Subdivision numbered lot S of 5
Old San Diego
3026 Jefferson Street
may be used for the erection and operation Mildred M. Ward)
a 19-unit motel and manager's apart-
ment,
ment; subject to the following conditions
Construction to be in compliance with
the revised plan submitted.
Plat plan on file with original
Resolution & M.P. Stielig
a the shall be null and word and shall be revoked automatic-

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall b ically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. BUILDES TOULSAIDEL

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

ei c Secretary

City of San Diego, California

H.C. Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No.311

May 5, 8 Dated

M 2144

Application Received	By
Investigation made	By King Differing Lac City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission filed with City Clerk.date	Hearing date
Decision of Council	Date
Application Withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to

Charles and the state of the state of the

the second second and the most second in product or the are a second to the second second second second second

1. 1. P. C. 4

TOBLAR COMPLETED OF THE CASE

the the states with bid the for

la

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6049</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Billy J. Smith to split 10 ft. off Lot 1 and add it to Lot 2, both in Block D, Riviera Villas, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, most Easterly corner of Santa Barbara Street and Moana Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3114

H. C. Haelsig, Seknekark

OC

FORM 2145

Dated

May 5,

Application Received	5-48 By E. C. Van Acse City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made5-5-5	City Plaining Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	5-5-48 Hearing date
Decision approve	ed Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cle	rk 5-6-48. Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48	Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Recolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a shine have been and the set of the set of the set of the set of the set

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6058</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. F. Wahl to split off the East 40 ft. of Lot C and add to Lot J, both in Block 375, Horton's Addition, said 40 ft. to be used for the erection of garages to be used in connection with apartments on Lot J, West side of Reynard Way, North of Spruce Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

(0)

By H. C. Haelsig, x Strett X Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3115

Dated May 5,

, 1948

FORM 2145

Application Received H-28-F8 By	S.C. Baughman
rp.neutral g	City Planning Department
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 He	aring date
Decision Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Bu	te 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-48 Bu	ilding Inspector 3-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-	7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	te
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ntinued to
Application withdrawn Co Time limit extended to Da	te of action

•

.

1

,

and a promotion of the

WHEREAS, Application No. ______6057 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. F. Wahl to erect a four-unit apartment house with a 5 ft. setback from Reynard Way on Lot J, Block 375, Horton's Addition, West side of Reynard Way, North of Spruce Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 1948

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3116

C. Haelsig, Secnemary

(95

FORM 2145

Dated May 5.

Application Received B	y J. C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-5-48</u> B	y Lung, Deffecterfer + Hacking City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-5-48$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-6-48$ Planning Commission $5-7-48$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date $5^{-}3^{-}98^{-}$ Building Inspector $5^{-}7^{-}98^{-}$
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

,

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6039</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **<u>not</u>** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eduarda Ericson to construct the second living unit on Lots 33 and 34, Block 147, Pacific Beach, 1428 Diamond Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19⁴⁸

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Ass't Planning Director Res. No.3117

Ry C. Haelsig, Saratay

FORM 2145

Dated

May 5,

Application ReceivedB	y <u>P. g. Succton</u> City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>5-5-45</u> B	y Levery Deffection for & Hacksey City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5 - 5 - 48$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5 - 6 - 48$ Decision	Hearing date
Inning Commission of the function of the function of the second s	
Decision of Council	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

,

ĩ.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6015</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William Du Moulin to construct a duplex on the West 1/2 of Lot 1, Block 3, F. T. Scripps' Addition, at the Northeast corner of Genter Street and La Jolla Boulevard, providing a 15 ft. setback is observed on La Jolla Boulevard and the required setback is observed on Genter Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

_ , 19_48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By______ H. C. Haelsig, States Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3118

Dated May 5.

FORM 2145

oc
Application Received	By J.E. South
-ppileation received	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By thereng differenter theling City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48	Hearing date
Decision approved course Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-40	1 Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-44	Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitione	r 5^{-7-46} Health Department 5^{-7-46}
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

W

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6022</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u><u>not</u></u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the San Diego Gas & Electric Company to erect a cyclone fence 7 ft. in height with 1 ft. of barbed wire at the top to make a total height of 8 ft., Lots 21 to 24, inclusive, Block 96, San Diego Land and Town Company's Addition, most Northerly corner of Main and Evans Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

H. C. Haelsig, secretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3119

FORM 2145

Dated

May 5,

_____, 19⁴⁸

ØK

Application Received By	P. g. Burlon City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-5-48</u> By	Ling Differelenger Halling City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48	Building Inspector <u>5.7-48</u>
Planning Commission 5 7 ° Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>5-7-48</u> 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7.48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desision of CollnCl	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

and the strength of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6005</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. C. Grady to construct an 18 in. addition to the rear of an existing garage on the front portion of the lot which has a 1 ft. sideyard, 4537 Altadena Street on Lots 17 and 18, Block 5, Alhambra Park,

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By H. C. Haelsig, Survey Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3120

Dated May 5,

, 19 48

FORM 2145

a

Application Received <u>4-26-98</u> By	J. C. Base ghreen City Clanning Department
Investigation made <u>35-48</u> By	<u>Civerny Siffendenfer & Haeling</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48	Hearing date
Decision modified approval.	Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48	Building Inspector <u>5-8-48</u>
Planning (Ommission 2 7-48 Peritioner	5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Population becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Fime limit extended to	Date of action

2.2. 19 2.2.2.

at the property

. .

.

The second second

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6033</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Associates, Inc., to set up a temporary office and storage buildings on Lots 16, 17 and 18, Block Belleview Heights Unit No. 2 at the Southeast corner of Corinth and Trojan Streets, for a period of eighteen months from the date of this resolution, providing an agreement is signed by the owners to the effect that these buildings will be removed from the premises at the end of that time.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Apernent # 538 Jule 5/14/48 CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

3121

By					
H.	C.	Haelsig.	SARATACX		
			Director	Res.	No.

FORM 2145

Dated May 5, , 19 48

Application Received By	5. C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing	ng date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Build	ng Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7	-48 Health Department 3-7-46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cound	il Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Conti	nued to
fime limit extended to Date	of action

,

the state of the state

the stands

and the survey in the second second

RESOLUTION NO. 3122, where 2589 extended by # 3460

100

WHEREAS, Appril 20, 1948 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2589 be granted to Adolf and Goldie Beyer to construct three duplexes on a parcel of land 80 ft. by 110 ft., being the South 10 ft. of Lot 14, Block 1, and Fir Street closed adjacent thereto, and the North 10 ft. of Lot 1, Block 4, and Fir Street closed adjacent there to, Cullen's Arlington Heights, East side of 31st Street, North of Elm Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3122

H. C. Haelsig, XMORYCONX

Ass't Planning Director

FORM 2145

Dated_

May 5,

pplication Received <u>4-21-48</u> B	v mail
-ppifeation received	City Planning Department
	y Living, Suffenderfert Hacking City Plagming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-5-48</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-6-48</u>	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 5-5-48
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-48	Building Inspector 5-7-48
anning Commission 3-7-48 Petitioner	a 7-48 Health Department a 7-7-98
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Ime limit extended to	Date of action

1958

and the second of the second second second

6018 WHEREAS, Application No. _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation 2. That strict application of the regulations would and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred C. Brennecke to convert an existing concrete block structure and garage into living quarters and maintain the existing 2 ft. sideyard and 8 ft. rear yard on Lots 22 and 23, Block 15, Lexington Park, Southeast corner of Poplar Street and the Hollywood Park, subject to architectural approval of the final plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By____

H. C

, 19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3123

Searctury

H. C. Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director

CX

FORM 2145

Dated

May 5.

Application ReceivedB	y <u>be Van Neze</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-5-48$ Decision approved concal Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-6-48$	Hearing date Date 5-5-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner	3-7-48 Health Department 3-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

a the second second

HALL T

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6010</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Melvin and Nell D. Thompson to redivide Lots A and B, Dixon's Subdivision, into two parcels, each facing Warrington Street, one 52 ft. by 100 ft. and the other 65 ft. by 100 ft. and permit a single family residence on each parcel, providing a 10 ft. setback is observed on Warrington Street and the required setback on Dixon Place, and providing no structure is placed within 5 ft. of the property line of Lot C, no rear doors to face Lot C, and the design of the building not to affect Lot C in an adverse manner.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

E

FORM 2145

Dated_

May 5, , 19 48

By H. C. Haelsisser Merky Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3124

Application Received	By J. C. Baughinon City Planning Department
nvestigation made	By <u>living</u> Difference + Hacese
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-5.48	Hearing date
Decision approved. Conde opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-4	Date 5-5-48
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-4	E Building Inspector <u>5-9-46</u>
Planning Commission 2-2-48 Petitioner	S-7-48 Health Department S-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

.

,

· ·

. . .

ree 4902 \$ 4929

Letter dated April 21, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1993 be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louise Butler, owner, and Iola Meaden, lessee, by operate a beauty parlor, full-time, at 3446 Ray Street on Lot 1, Block 31, West End Addition, subject to the following conditions:

1. Business to be operated within the existing building;

2. No employees.

, 19 48

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By H. C. Haelsig, serverate Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3125

Application Received By By
City Planning Department
Investigation made 5-5-48 By Leveng Dependenter & Valle City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48 Hearing date
Decision approved, Conail Date 5-5-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-6-48</u> Building Inspector <u>5-7-48</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 3-7-48
01- ing Commission 3 1-48 Perifioner 5-7-98 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Desision of Council Date
Perclution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
lime limit extended to Date of action

· · · · ·

.

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5938</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Virgil C. Moffitt to operate a plumbing shop and maintain storage space within an existing building at 4435 Ohio Street on the South 121 ft. of Lot 15 and all of Lot 16, Block 63, University Heights, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 48

Dated May 5,

H. C. Haelsig, MEXEXX Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3126

Application ReceivedBy	19.4. Rick City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>57-5-48</u> By	Leving, Siggenderfer & Valley City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48	Hearing date
Decision Denied I	Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48	Building Inspector <u>5-7-48</u>
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner S	-7-48 Health Department 5-748
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

o sam o al criste e color 16

1

,

1. 1. 1. 1. J. E. T.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______5904 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- __special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Claud C. Bruner to repair household appliances and automobiles in a quonset hut which has been erected 10 ft. from the alley line, 4275-44th Street on Lots 5 and 6, Block 1, Eastgate, subject to the following conditions:

- Hours of operation to be from 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.; 1.
- No work to be done on Sundays; 2:
- No body or fender work to be done; 3.
- No parking of cars in the alley at any time; 4.
- This permit to be for a period of one year from the date of 5.
- this resolution;
- Permit revocable on cause. 6.

, 19 48

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Ass't Planning Directort Res. No. 3127

C. Haelsig, Sensenska

a

FORM 2145

Dated May 5,

Application Received4-19-48	By D. E. South
	City Planning Department
4-21-48	£
Investigation made	By living, aufferdenter r Atalica
4-21-48	By <u>times</u> , differedenter r Haelsey City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-FB	Hearing date 5-5-48
Decision approved course Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-6-7</u>	Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6- 4	P Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner	r 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
lime limit extended to	Date of action

Bien 1

S. AUT

,

.

A set of the set of the set

2. . . .

1 .

WHEREAS, Application No. 5941 ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. W. Austin to operate a part-time radio sales and repair shop in an existing residence at 1740 Robinson Avenue on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1125, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. No employees.
- Business to operated not later than 9:00 P.M. 2.
- One single sign, 2 ft. by 4 ft. in size, to be placed 3.
 - against the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3453, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

H. C. Haelsig, Smarr Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3128

May 5. . 19 48 Dated_

FORM 2145

Application Received By	City Planning Department
	City I failing Department
Investigation made <u>5-5-48</u> By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-3-46	
Decision approved . could	Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-46	Building Inspector <u>3-7-48</u>
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner	5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

,

a the state

and all the strengtheres

.

W.C. B.

OK

Letter dated April 19, 1948

WHEREAS, ADDICATION NO. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: That Resolution No. 2915 be amended to read as follows: Permission is hereby granted to Ray M. Woodmansee to construct and operate a 40-unit trailer park on the North 200 ft. of the West 262 ft. of the East 516.65 ft. of Lot 22, Lemon Villa, North of University Avenue and East of 54th Street, subject to the following conditions:

Grade and surface the roadways within the trailer camp and the 1. connection to University Avenue; 2. Install a five-foot high fence around the property;

Plant and maintain in good condition at all times a compact ever-3. green hedge to screen the trailer park from view of the highway;

4. Plumbing fixtures to be connected to a spetic tank for a period of one year from the date of this resolution, at the end of which time, they shall be connected to a public sewer;

5. Comply with the requirements of the Health Department as to sanitation;

All structures constructed in compliance herewith to have architectural approval of the Planning Department Office; 7. This permit to be for a period of five years from the date of 6.

Council Resolution No. 88165, which resolution is dated January 20, 1948.

(over)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5,

H.C. Haelsig, Secretary Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3129

Application Received <u>4-20-48</u> By	P. 1. TSurlon City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{4-21-48}{5-5-48}$ By	Living, Argandenfer & Haeer G-5-5-46 Hearing date Date 5-5-46
Considered by Zoning Committee approx.	Hearing date
Decision apper Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-6-48</u>	Date 5-5-48
lopy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48	Building Inspector <u>5-7-48</u>
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner	5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
lime limit extended to	Date of action

1.2

1 S. Styrange States

and all an another allowance

.4

as they relate to the property mentioned above. and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be,

RESOLUTION No. 110408

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows: That the application of Ray M. Woodmansee, Crestview Trailer Park, 5430 University Avenue, Sen Diego, to continue operation of Trailer Park on portion of Lot 22, Lemon Villa, on a 2-1/2 acre percel of land, and that permission for said operation be continued for a period of five years from the expiration of Resolution No. 58165, adopted January 20, 1948, which said Resolution hed granted the previous permit - which makes an extension of said operation up to January 20, 1958, be, and it is hereby granted.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. <u>110408</u> of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council <u>Jan. 29, 1953</u>

	FRED	110	City Clerk
By	HEL.EN	M.	WILLIG
			Deputy.

	and the second second second	No	614
an and a stand of the y	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT	The second second second second second	3130,
The Part of the Party of the	RESOLUTION OF	PROPERTY	amending Res. No. 2
WHEREAS, Applich	ther dated Apriles 16 Marides 16	en Considered by the Zoning	Committee of the City of
			is ment and preservation of
substantial property	y fights of the petitioner, pos	necessary for the en sessed by other property owners	
2. That the gr	ranting of the application will	be materially detrim	ental to the public welfare
or injurious to the	improvements or property in th	ne motchborhood; and	
3. That the g	ranting of the application will	adversely affect the	Master Plan of the City of
San Diego.		not	
TERFORE BE IT RESOL	VED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,		
		That R	esolution No. 2916
Thet the foldewin	to read as follows:		
	Nort	h 200 ft. of the	
West 262 ft	. of the East 516.6	h 200 ft. of the 5 ft. of Lot 22,	
Len	non Villa		
Nor	th of University Av	enue and East of 54t	Address of the second
(89	Woodmanaca)		
may be used for the	he erection and operation of		
	а	40-unit trailer Park	·····
subject to the fo	11owing conditions		
	1. Grede	and aurface the roa	dways
trailer camp	and the connection	to University Avenu	e;
	2. Insta	to University Avenu 11 a five-foot high	rencearound
property;			d condition_at
****	3. Plant	and maintain in goo to screen the trail	er park from view of
the highway			
ene magney		ing fixtures to be co	nnected to a septic
tank for a p			
end of which	time, they shall b	e connected to a pub	nts of the Hooles
	5° A0057	y.with the legan	and the second
Dober outerto 9	is to sametaoron;		d.in.compliance
HOLGHTON CO	nave architecourar	approval of one	eriod of five
from the dat	of Council Resolu	tion No SSIDD. WILL	
dated Januar	W 20 1948 Resolution sh	all be null and void, and sh	all be revoked automatic-
cally, Six months of	the its offective date, unle	iss the use and/or construction	ton promienced
efore said time expi	res,	and the second	Aller and and a stranger and a straight and a straight and a straight and a straight a s
the second second states and the second seco		ffactive and 11	nal on the sixth day after
The permission g	ranted by this Resolution sf	less a written appeal is fi	led within five days after
such filing in the of	fice of the City Clerk.		A THERE ENDINE
Pearling and some manager	Construction of the second	ZONING COMMIT	TEE

City of San Diego, California

8 May 5, Dated 194

44

H^{By} C. Haelsig, Stately Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3130

Dication		/	20-48
ti setion	Pagainad	1 4 -	20. 70
DIICALIUN	received		

P

By. P. g. y Planning Department

H-21-48 Investigation made 5-5-48

nabartemone daved sentesta

be need tob the starting shareston of

an Diego, Califordia a was such as done fragental basishisan

2.51

end of Whiteh clus,

OUG WYUUMGA!

brobbrchi

By living differedenfer City Planning Department

old Date Press Strate Strate

TARACTOR UT IT LINCE ADDRESS

Last period by school one fragier, bills they are The out water bit in more completed at

> TO ANT ADDITION UNDERS! HAR DELIVER FOR EDUCATION

TARNING CO DO COMPORET DO E RENTO

even spert po cours cours to a Mustre seast.

AD-QUID DESLIGE

That the greating of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welface

obstantial property rights of the politioner, perserved by other property owners in the same visinity; and

A nation of all of the distance of the distance of the Zoning Countries of the dist of

for a portod of our year from the district this readingion, as

4-21e Hearing date Considered by Zoning Committee Decision approved Date 5-5-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6-48 Building Inspector 5-7-48 Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petitioner 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48 Resolution becomes effectiveContinued to Application Withdrawn Time limit extended to

NOLPH OL ANTROLEYS. ALAMINE STOR MAKE WY

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. Shriebman to conduct a junk yard or business, dealing in rags, paper and batteries, at 1684 Logan Avenue on Lots 21 and 22, Block 180, Manassee & Schiller's Subdivision, said permit to be effective three months from the date of this resolution, or August 5, 1948, if a complete new building, covering the entire property described above, has been completed. If this building is not completed by that time, this variance is automatically revoked. This variance is also revocable for cause and is subject to the following conditions:

- 1. All materials to be kept entirely within the building;
- 2. All loading and unloading to be done within the building;
- 3. The trucks owned by the petitioner and/or trucks operating from the premises or selling to the petitioner, shall not be parked overnight on the street in front of the property in question nor on any of the adjoining streets, nor on Saturdays or Sundays, nor for a period of more than four (4) consecutive hours at any one time.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5, , 1948

FORM 2145

Glenn A. Rick, Serrerer City Planning Director Res. No. 3131

Application Received	By By City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{4-21-48}{5-5-48}$	By twing Arginhauger & Hacer City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-5-48	Hearing date
Decision Conail approval	Date 5-5-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-7-4	Building Inspector 5-7-48
Planning Commission 5-7-48 Petition	er 5-7-48 Health Department 5-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	out la succession l'able could avail seader avail satisfiel de
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE REPORT OF THE RESULTED IN THE SOLUCE COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SEM DIESE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5996</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jessie M. Darling, owner, and Paul A. Carlson, purchaser, to erect a building and operate a Veterinary Clinic for cats and dogs only, Lot 25, Block 199, Pacific Beach, North side of Garnet Street, 100 ft. West of Gresham Street, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Outpatient treatments only; no animals to be kept overnight:
- 2. No boarding of animals;

May 19, , 1948

. 3. All operations to be conducted entirely within the building;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Dated_

 OF

Application Received	ZeBy R & Alance
	City Planning Department
5-5-48 Investigation made 5-19-48	By Lancaster, Europ & Durton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-9	48 Hearing date
Decision approved conage Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20	2-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petition	ner 5-21-48 Health Department 3-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second s

and the second of the second second

FULRADATE OF IT PROCEARD, By And Routing Consisting the City of San Diego.

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lois L. Hines to alter the second story of an existing duplex at 2045 Granada Street into two apartments, to make a total of three living units on Lots 1 and 2, Block 55, Seaman and Choate's Addition and a portion of Granada and Hawthorne Streets closed adjacent, providing no exterior alterations to the dwelling are made.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

, 1948

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sechetary

May 19. Dated

Res. No. 3133

FORM 2145

Application Received _	5-4-48	Bv	Kilm	
			City Planning	Department
Investigation made	5-5-48		N +	g · h +
Investigation made	5-19-48	By	Lancaster,	Ewing & Durlos
8	5-5-	48	City Planning	Department /
Considered by Zoning	Committee 5-19-	48 H	earing date 5-1.	9-48
Decision Copy of Resolution sent	apparance	d D	ate 5-19-48	
Copy of Resolution sent	t to City Clerk 5-20	2-48 B	uilding Inspector	-21-48
Planning Commission	5-21-40 Petitic	oner 3 -	21-98 Health Dep	artment 5-21-45
Appeal filed with City C	Clerk, date	C	ouncil Hearing, date _	
Decision of Council		D	ate	usua Che use medicari sura
Resolution becomes effe	ective	1:4010	tion shall be only	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY A REAL PROPERTY A
Application withdrawn		С	ontinued to	
Time limit extended to		D	ate of action	

The second second

LIFERLUGE DU				

Post ballanter's a base postery stands.

148 Mar."

er sarlende to fastyrevis of fightinged distantion, be, dae to be and

6007

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. S. Russell to construct a four-unit court, buildings crossing lot lines, on Lots 43 and 44, Block 39, Ocean Beach, on the Southwesterly side of Del Mar Avenue, 125 ft. Southeasterly of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 1948

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3134

DI

Dated_____May 19,

FORM 2145

Application Received 4-29-48 By R. M. Cauch
City Planning Department
Investigation made J-19-48 By Lancaster, living & Buton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date
Decision Date 5-19-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-20-48</u> Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department <u>5-21-48</u>
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner -21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
production becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to Date of action

the second second

THERE I THE TO ME TO ME TO THE SOUTHER OF THE SOUTHER OF THE OTEN OF THE DISK OF THE PARTY

The state of the state of the sector will be a sector and the sector of the period of the sector of

AND DESCRIPTION OF A DE

a marge attacks portering.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6032</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is herein granted to William J. Brennen to construct a garage with an apartment overhead and observe a 13 ft. rear yard, Lots 3 and 4, Block 100, Pacific Beach, South side of Law Street, 50 ft. East of Pendleton Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Zoning Engineerservery

Res. No. 3135

FORM 2145

Dated_

May 19, , 1948

OK

Application Received <u>4-29-48</u> By <u>R. m. Tarrick</u>
City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-19-48</u> By Lancaster lineng & Birlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date
Decision Upproved Date 5-19-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48 Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-45 Health Department 5-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner J-21-48 Health Department J-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

The second second

the state of the s

the second by all statements will all a provide by affect the implet First first affect of the state state

the public protocol of the top property of the property of the protocol a to the best protocol

MERAPORE, NE IT ELECTVED, " the Penner Committee of the Caty of the Distant

WE CLAN LEASTIN TO A M MADINED MADE WITH THE MADE
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5991</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred and Florence Sand to operate an attorney's office in an existing building at 1934 Cable Street, (adjacent to the alley) on Lots 25 to 31, inclusive, Block 60, Ocean Beach, for a period of one year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

May 19, . 1948

FORM 2145

Dated___

Res. No. 3136

OK

Application Received	By J. C. Baughman
application received	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Jancaster ling & Burlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19- 5	48 Hearing date
Decision Course happone Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 22	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 22	0-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitio	oner 2-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

. . .

,

and the property of the state of the state.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6030</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Phineas Prouty, Jr., and Julia Stenhouse Prouty to erect a four-unit court, buildings crossing lot lines, with a total of 45 per cent lot coverage, on Lots 36, 37 and 38, Block 5, La Jolla Strand, Northerly side of Gravilla Street, Westerly of La Jolla Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer Startery

Res. No. 3137

FORM 2145

Dated

May 19.

Application Received By	EC Vare where
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-19-48</u> By	Lancaster City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48	Hearing date
Decision modified app. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-20-48	Building Inspector <u>5-21-48</u>
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner	5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ENERGY OF AN ANALY TED, By the Souther Counting of the City of San Diego

and more the second the second is a state of the protocourse, manually of reput designation

.

1. 2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6061</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Martha Heskett to make an addition to an existing sleeping room which has a 10 ft. rear yard and alter it to a cottage, addition to observe a 10 ft. rear yard, Lots 10 and 11, Block 2, Palm Heights, 422 University Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

May 19,. 10 48

Dated _____

By Zoning Engineer XSevenexy Res. No. 3138

Application ReceivedB	y P. g. Durlins City Planning Deportment
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-19-48</u> By	Generator, Luing & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-19-45	Hearing date
Decision appraved	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48	Building Inspector <u>3 21-48</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-30-48 Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner	5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THAT ALLIN IN THE RECEASED, BUT IS & BOALINE COMPALING OF the Cash of Son Dicks

WUTCHES DATACE THE ON ISLANDED THEY THER ADAMS.

a she we were the state of the state of the second of the second of the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl E. Brorson, owner, and H. Jernfeld, purchaser, to construct two 5-unit apartment buildings, each with a 15 ft. setback from the front property line on Lots 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 4, Venice Park Addition, Northeast corner of Roosevelt and Lamont Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Zoning Engineersearchary

Res. No. 3139

DK

FORM 2145

Dated

May 19,

, 19 48

Application Received 5-4-48	By <u>Ry Hansen</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made 3^{-19-48}	By <u>Janeaster</u> , <u>lineng</u> <u>Burlo</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-40	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 204	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-4	& Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitione	r 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. 1. A. 11. 11

TERMINE NO VI NO VII NO VIII NO VIII COMPLETES OF THE CALY OF SHD DICKO.

Proz tojada na nije filojavski nemajaka incerti

I can't the second of a second of the second in orall strangers, so - The second and the second strength of the second states the second strength and the WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6066</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
 - 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
 - 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
 - 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl E. Brorson, owner, and H. Jernfeld, purchaser, to construct two 5-unit apartment buildings, each with a 12 ft. rear yard, Lots 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 4, Venice Park Addition, Northeast corner of Roosevelt and Lamont Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

May 19, 19 48

FORM 2145

Dated

Res. No. 3140

OK

Application Received	48 By Rochansen
- ppnoon	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>Loncenter Europ</u> Beulo City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	
Decision annould	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler	k5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48	Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Y ASH PHUS IN THE LOCAL & PRIME ST. THE STREET OF SAMP' BODE OF ST.

THEY TELL IN THE STREET OF THE CONTREPONDENT OF THE CELL OF SED DIERO

an entry permit of the second and a second provide a second

1.000

*

RECOUNTION NO. JAND

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5988</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. J. Kircher to divide Lot 1, Block 132, La Playa, Northeast corner of San Fernando and Lawrence Streets, into two equal parcels, 75 ft. by 150 ft. facing San Fernando Street, and to construct a single family residence on the Northerly parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer severate

Res. No. 3141

FORM 2145

Dated

May 19,

OK

Application Received _	5-4-48	By <u><i>R-Q Mancen</i></u> City Planning Department	_
Investigation made	5-19-48	By Jancaster, leving & Ben City Planning Department	Lo-
Considered by Zoning	Committee 5-19	-48 Hearing date	
Decision appr	aned	Date $5 - 19 - 48$ Do-48 Building Inspector $5 - 21 - 48$ ioner $5 - 21 - 48$ Health Department $3 - 21 - 48$	
Copy of Resolution sent	t to City Clerk 5-2	0-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48	_
Planning Commission	5-21-48 Petiti	ioner 5-21-48 Health Department 3-21-48	
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	Council Hearing, date	_
Decision of Council	C. ALM DICKNESSE AL	Date	11.0.4
Resolution becomes effe	ective	resolution shift in one are word, and	11,246
Application withdrawn		Continued to	
Time limit extended to		Date of action	

A TATI THE PARTY AND IS STORE OF OCHIMAGE ME. DE. HAR HAR PARTY DE.

The first of the second of the

THERE WE IT ELSOLVED, BY the Soning Committee of the City of San Diego.

all - we getter

adul sovere en ses bucheres whitrowed, masse

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6067</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul M. and Birdie M. Burrows to construct an eight-unit double court with a 10 ft. access court to the street, Lots 56 and 57, Block 70, Ocean Beach, Southwesterly side of Narragansett Street, Northwesterly of Bacon Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning

Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

SecretaryX

Dated May 19, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3142

Application ReceivedE	By J. C. Bareghman
- physican	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 19 - 48$ E	By Sencaster, Every & Burlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-4 Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-4	Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5- 21-48 Petitioner	5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

LAL THE TAR TO DESCRIPT THE SO LEAD TO LEAD THE DESCRIPTION OF THE DESCRIPTION OF THE THERE TOTAL ME IT PERCEVED, BY THE ZOMINE COMMITTEE OF the City of Sun Diego.

productor property of the burnet of contraction of every And the first of the provide the of the rest state of the second of the second of the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4213</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Charlie L. and Mabel M. Hudson to divide Lot 10, Happy Valley Little Farms, into two parcels and permit a single family residence on each, 7136 Jamacha Road, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineefecking Res. No. 3143 DK

Application ReceivedBy	Ry Hansen
FT	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-19-48</u> By	Lancasler, Europy + Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48	Hearing date
Decision Deniel Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-20-48</u> Planning Commission <u>5-21-48</u> Petitioner 5	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48	Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5	-21-48 Health Department 5-31-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Population becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THEREFORE, DO. IT RESOLVED, By the Noning Committee of sche City of San Blegor

BUAKA' TIMATUL DE SUUL CATTON 200 DUB 508 DUE21 WATTON SUUL .

THE TARGET PRIME OF THE STATE STATES AND A THE STATES AND A STATES AND A STATES AND A STATES AND A STATES AND A

inter service and the service of the

Provident de la la prima de la companya de companya de la companya

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6070</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clarence and Idamae Bach to construct a three-unit court with a 3 ft. access court to the rear unit and with 5 ft. between the front duplex and the open porch on the rear unit, Lot O, Block 99, Mission Beach, North side of Island Court, West of Bayside Lane, providing the garage at the rear of the lot observes the required sideyards.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

By_ Zoning Engineer Serversex

Res. No. 3144

816

FORM 2145

Application Received By G. Hance
City Planning Department
Investigation made _ 5-19-18 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burto. City Planning Department
Investigation made _ J-19-18 By Sancaster, Eweng + Burto
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 5-19-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48 Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the second to the provisions of the partition of the second of the

The second for contraction of the second of the second of the second second second second second second second LATERIAN AND THE POLICE SUMPORT OF STREAM OF THE PRESENCE AND AND THE PRESENCE AND ADDRESS OF

Incorrection and if RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of Sen Diego.

an iter relates to the property manifester works.

and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. W. Withers and E. J. Sommers to construct a four-unit court with a 16 ft. setback from Pescadero Street, Lots 22 and 23, Block 44, Ocean Beach, 1482-88 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer Serverer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 1948

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3145

OK

Application ReceivedBy R. J. Vancen
City Planning Department
Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancaster, hung & Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 5-19-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-20-48</u> Building Inspector <u>5-21-48</u> Planning Commission <u>5-21-48</u> Petitioner <u>5-21-48</u> Health Department <u>5-21-48</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Fime limit extended to Date of action

*

A. That have not the state of the sate of the solution of the section of the sect

2. There are a black of the state of the state and the state of the state of the state of the state of the state

THEREFE, ME IF RESOLVED, By the Contrik Consisters of the Clin at Man Diego

Pilling and and

DOUT AND LO .

ended of the property of the second second of the second second second second second second second second second and a surge & for an elever develop of the state of the second second second second second second second second selected for reacon a trace and a service a service and the service of a service and

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6052</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Frances B. and James B. Ellsworth to convert the residence at 4570 Mississippi Street into a duplex, to make a total of 4 units on the property, and maintain existing 2½ ft. sideyard for the converted residence and 4 ft. access court to the rear units, North 20 ft. of Lot 18 and the South 20 ft. of Lot 19, Block 49, University Heights, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Lee Res. 89919 elouve

By_____ Zoning Engineer Severery

Res. No. 3146

OK

FORM 2145

Dated

May 19.

, 19 48

Application Received	By E. C. Van Hice
rippincation received	City Planning Department
Investigation made	- By Lancaster, Europ & Burlos
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-	48 Hearing date
Decision Revied	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk J-2	0-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitic	oner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 5-25-4	48 Council Hearing, date <u>6-8-48-6-22-48</u>
Decision of Council approved condile	il Date 6-22-48
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ware and the second and the second the second

HE TELEVISION TO A CONTRACTOR OF THE SECOND CONTRACTOR STRATEGY OF THE SECOND STRATEGY

THE STREAM AND IT REACHARD HIS INS YOUTHE COMMETTER OF THE CITY OF BUD DATES

Law here the fail of the second of the second se

RESOLUTION NO.

Form 1270 (IOM 4/48) com Press

89919 See Res. 3146 proceedings BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Plan

89919

City Clerk.

FRED W. SICK

F. T. PATTEN

By.....Deputy.

N20' Lob 18 N20' 19 T 520' 19 DIVE 110 Permission is hereby granted Frances B. and James B. Ellsworth, 4568 Mississippi Street, San Diego 3, California, to convert the residence at 4570 Mississippi Street into a duplex to be served by a 4 foot access court to the street, upon compliance with the following conditions:

- That the rearmost dwelling now located on the lot 1. be removed from the property;
- 20 That the dwelling to be altered to a duplex be so modified that it has not less then a 3 foot side yard on both sides of the building.

Variance to the restrictions of Ordinance No. 8924 be, and it is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

6075

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charlotte Smythe Creelman to construct a 20 ft. addition to the front of an existing residence at 2776 E Street and observe a 22 ft. setback from the front property line, Easterly 40 ft. of Lot 14, Block 1, Karrle Addition, subject to architectural approval of the plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer xxxxxxxx

Res. No. 3147

FORM 2145

Dated_

May 19,

OF

Application Received <u>5-12-48</u> B	y S. Marcan City Planning Department
Investigation madeB	y <u>Lancaster</u> , Europertment Benlon City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-19-44 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-20-48</u> Planning Commission 5-21-48 Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48	Building Inspector <u>5-21-48</u>
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner	5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THERE THE TE RESOLVED, By the Follow Committee of the CLEY of San Diedo

HERE AND DESCRIPTION OF A Chathan by the Crase of a state of the state of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6081</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Catherine B. Osborn, owner, and Edwin W. Schlehuber, purchaser, to construct a four-unit apartment building with a 15 ft. setback from Estrella Avenue, West 45 ft. of Lots 21, 22, and 23, Block 30, Fairmount Addition to City Heights: Northeast corner of Estrella Avenue and Polk Street, subject

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No.12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Seeter

Res. No. 3148

OK

Application Received H-28-48 By By Received
amended pet . J-18-48 City Planning Department
5-5-48 0 4 1 8 1-
Investigation made 5-19-48 By Januater, Ewing & Bento
5-5-48 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 5-19-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to Kity Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

THEREY ORE, BE IT RESOLVED. By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Dirgo.

and a start in the second to perform a provide the second of the second of the second s

process invalid as an internet and the second second and a second the T. LELTERED DA THE DECASTICATION AL HEALTHORN METTERS ST. SET ON THE SHE

eather the address of the second s

bush Larring ro mes hidres Lex meneration money

WHEREAS, Appalantion No. 1984, hos been nonsupered by the Zmirg Committee of the City of Fun litero, Cultionan, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6062</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. A. Fechter to construct a residence with attached garage with an 11 ft. setback from Monmouth Drive for the garage, Lot 136 and the Easterly 15 ft. of Lot 137, Congress Heights Addition, providing a setback of not less than 5 ft. is observed from the property line on Jewell Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer servery

Res. No. 3149

OK

FORM 2145

Dated

May 19.

, 19_48

Application Received B	y City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-19-48</u> B	y <u>Lucy, Pancaster & Burlo</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48	Hearing date
Dian his a supp	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48	Building Inspector 5-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-20-48</u> Planning Commission <u>5-21-48</u> Petitioner	5-21-48 Health Department 3-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

INCRATORY OF A RESOLVED. He the Sould Completed of the City of Sam Dange.

RESOFLIDA NO. STAN

What And ship is a train but the set of the residence presented has about the Generation

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6103</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jacob D. Mullis to use a portion of an existing garage as a dark room for photo finishing, part-time only, Lot 1, Block 10, North Shore Highlands, 1175 Beryl Street, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. No signs to be posted on the premises;
- 2. No employees:
- 3. No advertising of the address;

4. For a period of one year only from date of this resolution; A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary.

, 19 48 May 19, Dated_

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3150

DK

Application Received	By <u>b. C. Van Acce</u> City Planning Department
	By <u>Lancasten</u> , Europ & Durens City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-20-4</u>	Date 5-79-40
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-4	& Building Inspector
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner	5-21-4° Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Paralution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

..

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5877</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Emilie J. Haugh to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1297, known as Arbitrary Lots 29 and 35, Assessor's Map No. 27, which parcel has 119.90 ft. of street frontage on La Jolla Shores Drive at Camino del Collado.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Sofet. 47

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 48 May 19, Dated

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX Res. No. 3151 DK

Application ReceivedBy	City Planning Department
Investigation made By By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee J-19-48 Hea	ring date
Decision approved Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-20-48</u> Buil	5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Buil	ding Inspector <u>5-21-48</u>
Planning Commission 2-21-98 Petitioner 5-2	1-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cour	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Con	tinued to
Time limit extended to Date	e of action

,

and the second s

and a series and the person of the property of the series of the series of the series of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6088</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Frank Castro to construct or maintain a board fence 6 ft. in height on top of a retaining wall varying in height from 18in. to 24 in, to make an overall height of approximately 8 ft., 2644 Market Street, Lots 36 and 37, Block 38, C. L. Carr's Subdivision, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, 1948

Zoning Engineer Statt

FORM 2145

OK

Application ReceivedB	By <u>R. Julausen</u> City Planning Department	
Investigation made B	y Lung Lancaster - Builon City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-79-48</u> Hearing date Decision Derviced Date <u>5-19-48</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-20-48</u> Building Inspector <u>5-21-48</u> Planning Commission <u>5-21-46</u> Petitioner <u>5-21-48</u> Health Department <u>5-21-48</u>		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	_ Date	
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to	
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

Sand Stranger and Stranger

2 and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6055</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. A. Sweat to construct a duplex over garages and maintain existing 6 ft. 3 in. access court to the street between existing dwellings on the front portion of the lot, 4114 - 35th Street, Lot 10, Block 18, Bovyer's Subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

May 19, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Dated.

Res. No. 3153

OK
Application ReceivedB	. R. J. Hansen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-19-48</u> By	<u>Lancastes</u> lung + Burlos City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-20-48</u> Planning Commission <u>5-21-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date 3^{-19-48} Building Inspector 5^{-21-48} 5^{-21-48} Health Department 5^{-21-48}
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5972</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank J. and Marjorie Bornowski to construct an addition to the front of an existing building on Lots 35 and 36, Block 206, Pacific Beach, said addition to observe a 7¹/₂ ft. setback on Lot 36, 2016 Garnet Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretery

Dated May 19, , 1948

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3154

Application ReceivedBy	J. C. Bareghanan City Blanning Department
Investigation made <u>5-19-48</u> By 2	Lancastar Euring + Busto City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Her	aring date
Decision modified approval Dat Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Bui	e 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Bui	Iding Inspector <u>3-21-48</u>
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-6	1-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Con	incil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Dat	e
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Con	ntinued to
Time limit extended to Da	te of action

.

1- ----

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul Challett and H. E. Moore to redivide Lots 5, 6, 7 and 8, Block 50, Roseville, and portion of Emerson Street closed adjacent, into three parcels, two to be 75 ft. in width and one 85 ft. in width, all facing Willow Street, and to construct or permit a single family residence on each, providing a 15 ft. setback is observed on Willow Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

, 19 48 May 19. Dated

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3155

DR

Application Received5-5-48	By Rg Hausen
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made <u>5-19-48</u>	By Janeaster twing & Buston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date $5 - 9 - 48$ <u>#</u> Building Inspector <u>$5 - 21 - 48$</u> 7 - 21 - 46 Health Department $5 - 21 - 48$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-	#8 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner	r 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Annual filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1.

and the second second second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6084</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. O. S. Harbaugh to erect a neon sign and pole, 5'xlO', with a 4 ft. setback, 6069 El Cajon Boulevard, Lot 38, Gilcher Tract, providing an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that this sign will be moved at no expense to the city when and if El Cajon Boulevard is widened.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13056 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

May 19, , 1948

Dated _____

Res. No. 3156

Application Received	5-6-48	By . H. G. Hacking
		City Planning Department
Investigation made	5-19-48	By Jancaster, ling & Burlos
		City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning C	ommittee JA-48	Hearing date
Decision apprave	ed, cours	Date 5- 19-48 48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 5-20-	18 Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission =	5-21-48 Petitione	er 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-40
Appeal filed with City Cle	erk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes effect	tive	
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

And the second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6056</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elsie W. Sullivan to construct a brick fence 6 ft. in height and 14 ft. in length with no setback, Lots 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 463, Seaman's Subdivision of Pueblo Lot 1122, 836 West Pennsylvania Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3157

Zoning Engineer skornersk

0

May 19. _ , 19 48 Dated

FORM 2145

Application Received By	- Gr Annsen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-19-48</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-19-48$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-20-48$	Hearing date Date $5 - 19 - 48$
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second secon

.

10.81

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5970</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The First National Trust & Savings Bank of San Diego and Mr. and Mrs. John D. Mitchell to divide Lot 14, Block 79, Pt. Loma Heights and the Southeasterly 100 ft. of the Northeasterly 140 ft. of Lot 2, Loma Heights, into two parcels, each 50 ft. by 140 ft. and facing Pescadero Street at the corner of Santa Barbara Street, and permit a single family residence on each.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated May 19, , 1948

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3158

ok

	tel
Application Received 5-3-48 By	· Van Nese
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By By	Lancaster Ewing + Buston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 H	Iearing date
Decision approved I Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-20-48	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-20-48 H	Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 3 21-48 Petitioner 5	-21-48 Health Department 3 21-78
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a set of the set of the

See.

.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Myrtle C. Simonson to operate a beauty parlor, full time, in an existing residence at 3809 Richmond Street, and to have a small sign in the window, and permission is also granted to enclose the existing porch on the front of the residence and to build an addition thereto, but not larger than the outer limits of the existing building, North 30 ft. of the Sly 99.9 ft. of the South 1/2 of Block 2262, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Byning Engineer storage

Res. No. 3159

FORM 2145

Dated_

May 19,

. 1948

Application Received 5-6-48 By 6	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-19-48</u> By <u>Le</u>	<u>neaster</u> , Europer Bulon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Heari	ng date
Decision approved Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-20-48</u> Build Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-2	5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Build	ing Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner 5-2.	1-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Counc	til Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Conti	nued to
Time limit extended to Date	of action

. . .

1

• •

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5709</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dale E. Watts, W. P. Pierce, and J. A. Blackstock to divide the Southerly 130 ft. of the Easterly 240 ft. of Pueblo Lot 170, into two approximately equal parcels facing Dupont Street and permit a single family residence on each, providing a 15 ft. setback is observed on Albion, Dupont and Bow Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 48

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Zoning Engineer Sectorate

Res. No. 3160

01

FORM 2145

Dated_

May 19,

Application Received5-6-48 I	By R.M. Paurich City Planning Department
Investigation made $5 - 19 - 48$ H	By <u>Lancaster</u> , <u>Ewing</u> F Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48	Hearing date
Decision <i>Modified</i> approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-30-40</u> Planning Commission <u>5-31-48</u> Petitioner	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-30-40	Building Inspector 5-31-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner	5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second of the

SE

and the state of the state

The .

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4562</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Selby C. and Grace N. Scott to divide Lot 5, Block 7, and the abandoned right-of-way adjacent, and the Westerly 10 ft. of Lot 10, Block 8, F. T. Scripps' Addition, into two parcels, each 50 ft. by 163 ft. facing Westbourne Street, east of La Jolla Boulevard, and permit two living units on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3161

Secretary

61

May 19, , 19 48 Dated

FORM 2145

Application ReceivedBy	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made _ 5-19-48 By Lance	aster, tung & Barlos
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48 Hearing of	date
Decision Date 5 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-46 Building	-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building	Inspector 5-31-48
Planning Commission 3 31-48 Petitioner 5 31-4	Health Department 5 31-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council H	Iearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued	
Time limit extended to Date of a	ction

+-----

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6040</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Southern Title and Trust Company to divide Lot 1, Block 507, Old San Diego and portion of Arista Street closed adjacent thereto, into three parcels, each 58 1/3 ft. by 150 ft., facing Juan Street, and permit a single family residence on each, providing an easement 5 ft. in width across the front of the above-described property is granted to the City for the widening of Juan Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

FORM 2145

1

By Zoning Engineer Sciences

Dr

Application Received By	R. Jansen
producer and a second s	City Planning Department
5-5-48	
Investigation made <u>5-19-48</u> By	City Planning Department
5-5-48	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-46	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48	Building Inspector 5-21-48
Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner	5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the start of the second contract of the

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6041</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leah Nelson to divide Lot 4, Block 507, Old San Diego and portion of Ampudia Street closed adjacent thereto, into two parcels, each 87½ ft. by 150 ft. facing Juan Street, and permit a single family residence on each, providing an easement 5 ft. in width across the front of the above-described property is granted to the City for the widening of Juan Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 1948

By Zoning Engineer Secretary 8

FORM 2145

y RJ Hansan City Planning Department
City Planning Department
y <u>Lancaster</u> Eweng & Buston City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date 5-19-48 Building Inspector 5-31-48
Building Inspector 5-31-48
5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-40
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

.

,

Martin Star Day

.

• 16 1 • 19 10 BY

a sel and

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6042</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Southern Title and Trust Company to divide Lot 1, Block 520, Old San Diego and portion of Ampudia Street closed adjacent thereto, into two parcels, each 87½ ft. by 150 ft., facing Juan Street, and permit a single family residence on each, providing an easement 5 ft. in width across the front of the above-described property is granted to the City for the widening of Juan Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, 1948

Zoning Engineer Secretaryx

Res. No. 3164

FORM 2145

plication Received <u>4-27-48</u> By <u><i>R</i>-Jahannan</u> City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>5-5-48</u> By <u>Sancaster</u> <u>hung & Burlo</u> City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>3-19-48</u> Hearing date
cision Date 5-19-48 py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-48 Building Inspector 5-24-48
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5 20-48 Building Inspector 5-21-48
anning Commission 3-31-48 Petitioner 5-21-48 Health Department 5-21-48
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Date Date
solution becomes effective
continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6118</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eli H. Levenson to construct a patio wall 5 ft. in height and 7 ft. beyond the setback line at 4791 Lucille Drive on Lot 1247, Talmadge Park Estates Unit No. 3.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 19, , 19 48

Zoning Engineer States

Res. No. 3165

FORM 2145

ØK

Application Received By	City Planning Department
	Mr. Rick City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-19-48	Hearing date
Decision Justature of the Copy of Resolution sent to Dity Clerk <u>5-20-48</u> Planning Commission 5-21-48 Petitioner	Date 5-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to Dity Clerk 3-20-48	Building Inspector <u>521-48</u>
Planning Commission J-21-48 Petitioner	5-21-48 Health Department 3-21-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE A N

. . .

Letter dated May 19, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2895, dated February 25, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to LeRoy D. and Mildred Starr to construct a two-car garage with one apartment overhead and observe a 5 ft. rear yard, 4020 Ibis Street on Lots 17 and 18, Block 57, Arnold and Choate's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance Nol 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Dated_

May 19,

_ , 19 48

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 3166

Y +
Application ReceivedBy By
Investigation made 5-19-48 By Lancester Lundy Lung & Bull
Considered by Zoning Committee I- 19-48 Hearing date
Decision approaches Date -I-19-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 3 78 Building Inspector
Planning Commission 3 23 48 Petitioner 2 -23 40 Health Department 3 23 70
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

a company of the second prove the second of the second of the second

and the second second management of the second s

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5778</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Parish of Saint Paul, E. K. Doolittle, Secretary and Harold B. Robinson, President, to construct a church with no setback for the buttresses and a 2 foot setback for the east wall, with approximately 90% lot coverage on Lots G and H, Block 306, Horton's Addition, located at the northwest corner of Nutmeg and Sixth Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Secretary

Dated June 2, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Or

Application Received 4-30-48 By KM Parish
City Planning Department
5-19-48
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By <u>Zaning</u> <u>ammittee</u> 5-19-48 <u>Fity Planning Department</u>
5-19-48 (City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-98 Hearing date 6-2-98 Decision Approved Date Date
Decision approved Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48
Decision Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-78</u> Building Inspector <u>6-7-78</u> Planning Commission <u>6-7-78</u> Petitioner <u>6-7-78</u> Health Department <u>6-7-78</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Paralution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to Date of action

TRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF A DESCRIPTION

15/21

Marz.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6016</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles E. and Florence Austin to conduct auto repairing at the rear of the residence at 4278 - 37th Street, Lots 43 and 44, Block 33, Resub. of Blocks H and I, Teralta, for a period of two years, provided that "No Parking" signs be posted to prohibit parking at the rear of the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_18

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 4-30-48	By Koy J. Hansen City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
5-19-48	
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u>	By Zoning Constitute 8 City Planning Department
5-19-4	8 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Decision Condicateroval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-</u>	Hearing date <u>6-2-48</u>
Decision Conde approval	Date 6-2-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-	Y& Building Inspector 6-9-48
Planning Commission 6 7 78 Petitione	r 6-Y-Y8 Health Department 6-Y-Y8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second state of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5958</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Jack E. and Jackie Louis Brown to convert a 12-room single family residence at 3127 Kalmia Street into three apartments, Lot 3, Block B, Burlingame, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_48

FORM 2145

By

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	d. E. South
	City Planning Department
5-19-48	T. A. H
(pvestigation made 8y By	Foring Committee Sity Planning Department
5-19-48	Sity Planning Department
considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{5-19-48}{6-2-48}$ Hea Decision Denied Date	ring date <u>6-2-48</u>
pecision denied Date	6-2-48
EPITIONE A PARTICULAR STA	
Logal filed with Lity Clerk, date 6 - 1-48 (011)	ncil Hearing, date 6-22-48
acision of Council denced Date	6-22-48
assolution becomes effective	
continuite	inued to
time limit extended to Date	e of action

.

0.0.

00

n

RESOLUTION NO. 89901, See Res. 3/69 .

nt

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Jack E. Brown, 3127 Kalmia Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3169 Application No. 5958, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175 be, and it is hereby denied, being petition to convert a 12-room single family residence at 3127 Kalmia Street into three apartments, on Lot 3, Block B, Burlingame; and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 948

	RED W SICK	City Clerk.
By	F. T. PATTEN	
Dy	······	Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6011</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edith Wahlberg to operate a boarding house for not more than six persons at 1203 Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, Lots 17 and 18, Block 11, Sunset Cliffs, for a period of two years.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_48

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Bes. No. 3170

0

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>5-3-48</u> By Harry C. Haelsig <i>for the second started by the formed of the second started by the second started</i>	
5-19-48 - Tt	
Investigation made 6-2-48 By Loning Commutee	
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-3-48 Hearing date 6-2-48	
Decision Condil approval Date 6-2-48	and the
5-19-48 By Zoning Committee 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee 5-19-48 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Decision Condit Alphanol Date Decision Condit Alphanol Date Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48 Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

,
ox

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That the provisions of Resolutions No. 678 and 2327 previously granted to Lindsey King permitting the operation of a gunsmith's shop within an existing building at 4890 - 33rd Street, Lot 24, Block 14, Normal Heights, are hereby amended to prohibit the sale and/or the storage for sale of gun powder or any other form of similar explosive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2 , 19 48

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer Res. No. 3171

Application Received	DNR+
Application Received	By P.2. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made $6 - 2 - 4$	8 By $\underline{Janing Constitute}_{Eity Planning Department}$ e <u>6-2-48</u> Hearing date <u>6-2-48</u> Date <u>6-2-48</u> Date <u>6-2-48</u> Date <u>6-2-48</u> Date <u>6-2-48</u> Date <u>6-2-48</u> Date <u>6-2-48</u> Petitioner <u>6-4-48</u> February Health Department <u>6-4-48</u> Council Hearing date
Contract her Zoning Committee	6-2-48 Hearing date 6-2-48
Decision Cond approval	Date 6-2-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City C	lerk 6-3-98 Building Inspector 6-4-48
Planning Commission 6-9-98	Petitioner 6 7 78 Health Department 6 7 78
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council iteating, date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second state of a second state

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6089</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. Peter Hamilton-Ash, purchaser, and Leon Cazare, owner, to split out a one-acre parcel of land from a portion of $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 79, Rancho de la Nacion (see legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), said parcel to be not less than 200 feet in any dimension and to be served by an easement not less than 12 feet in width to Division Street, and to permit the erection of a single family residence thereon.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinances No. 118 N. S. and 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_18

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3172

or

Application Received By	E. Van Heise City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By	Loning Committee
	ABUV Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hea Decision <u>Approved</u> Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u> Buil Planning Commission <u>6-4-48</u> Petitioner <u>6-4</u>	ring date
Decision approved Date	6-2-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-28 Buil	ding Inspector <u>6 7 78</u>
Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4	48 Health Department 6 - 4 - 48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	2
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Con	tinued to
Time limit extended to Dat	e of action

1 F 11 ! ...

.

Service Republic Services

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6087</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. J. Mathews to construct a duplex on the Northerly 16 2/3 feet of Lot 39 and all of Lot 40, Block 194, University Heights, at 3960 Georgia Street, making a total of five living units on the property, to be served by a 6 foot access court to the street.

A variance to the prosivions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

, 19 48

Dated ______2

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

br

Application Received _5-17-48 By	a Bauchman
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By <u>Gov</u>	Fity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing of	ate
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hearing of Decision <i>Auforoved</i> Date 6- Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u> Building Planning Commission <u>6-4-48</u> Petitioner <u>6-4-48</u>	2-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6 3-98 Building Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48	Inspector 6
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council H	learing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued	
Time limit extended to Date of ac	ction

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6020</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Austen Tudor Brown, et al, to divide the Easterly 123 feet of Lot B, Braemar Extension into three parcels, as shown on the accompanying plat on file in the Planning Department Office, one parcel having frontage only on a 30 foot alley, and to permit a single family residence on each.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2 , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3174

OK

Application Received 5-20-48 By	Roy Hansen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By	Joning Committee CityPlanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $6 - 7 - 48$ Decision <i>Afformed</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $6 - 3 - 48$ Planning Commission $6 - 4 - 48$ Petitioner	Hearing date Date 6 -2-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>6-9-98</u> 6-9-98 Health Department 6-9-98
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

and the second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6106</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Painters, Plasterers, and Plumbers Labor Hall Association, Inc., to erect and operate a Labor Hall on the Easterly side of Centre Street, 130 feet Northerly of University Avenue, on Lots 23 and 24, Block 193, University Heights, and 40 feet of Blaine Avenue, closed, provided there are no entrances to the hiring hall on the northerly or westerly sides of the building and that there are no entrances of any kind on the northerly wall (excepting necessary fire exits).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2 FORM 2145 By

Zoning Engineer

__ , 19<u>48</u>

Secretary

Application Received	By K. R. Munday City Planning Department
Investigation made6-2-48	By Joning Committee
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Decision Concil Affaroval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-4</u> Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner	Hearing date Date $6 - 7 - 98$ 8 Building Inspector <u>$6 - 9 - 98$</u> 6 - 9 - 98 Health Department $6 - 9 - 98$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the sould be the state of the

THE PARTY IN A PARTY OF THE

and a man and the state and the state and the state and the state of the state of the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. 6113 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. J. Rabello to construct a 5 foot high cement block fence to the front property line at 1577 Willow Street on the Easterly 50 feet of Lots 21 to 24, inclusive, Block 82, Roseville, provided either that the existing wood fence between the property line and the setback line of Jarvis Street be removed or a new fence be built not higher than 5 feet or the existing fence cut down to a maximum of 5 feet in height and painted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19____8

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer.

Application Received <u>5-21-48</u> By	R.J. Hansen City Planning Department
Investigation made $6 - 2 - 48$ By	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>$6-2-48$</u> Decision Condit Caferoval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>$6-3-48$</u> Planning Commission $6-4-48$ Petitioner	Hearing date
Decision Cende approval	Date 6-2-48
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48	Building Inspector <u>6-Y-Y8</u>
Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner	6-Y-Y8 Health Department 6-Y-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

CHER !

.

.

and a state of the second is a first the second from the second second

A STATE OF A

a new offerra of course of a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6129</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Adolph Brodman to erect a 20 foot by 46 foot garage and laundry building on the Northeast corner of Park Boulevard and Adams Avenue, Lot 11, Mission Cliff Gardens, with a 4 foot rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_June 2 , 19 48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer XXXXX

Res. No. 3177

Application Received <u>5-24-48</u> By	R. J. Hansen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By	- Joning Committee City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Decision Particil approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u>	Hearing date Date $6 \cdot 2 - \frac{78}{8}$ Building Inspector $6 - \frac{7}{4} - \frac{78}{8}$ $6 - \frac{7}{4} - \frac{78}{8}$ Health Department $6 - \frac{7}{4} - \frac{78}{8}$
Planning Commission 6 - 4 - 48 Petitioner	6-4-48 Health Department 6-9-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

S. 1. 150

- A MALE REPAIR AND A CARD AND A REAL PROVIDED AND

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6123</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leslie Williams to construct a 6 foot by 12 foot porch addition to a residence at 3316 Upas Street, on the Easterly 50 feet of Lots 11 and 12, Block 58, Park Villas, said addition to be located 5 feet from the rear lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2 , 19 48

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>5-24-48</u> By	E.C. Van Hesie City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By	<u>Joning</u> Committee CityPlanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Decision <i>Approved</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u> Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner	Hearing date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6126</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George de Kirby to convert a single family residence into a duplex, with 4 feet between the buildings on each side, on Lot 3, Block 141, University Heights, located at 4156 Park Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 1948

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3179

OL

Application Received <u>5-21-48</u> By <u>C. Van Hise</u>
City Planning Department
Investigation made 6-2-48 By Joning Committee
// City Dianning Langemant
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date
Decision alphoved Date 6-2-48
Construction cent to LIV LIETK D J 70 Binding Inspector 10 / (9
Planning Commission & Y & Petitioner 6 - Y - Y & Health Department 6 Y Y
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and the set of providence of the set of the

the start the start of the start of the start of the start of the

Andre.

100 M 100

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6119</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Broadie Jones to erect a duplex over a garage at 7713 Herschel Avenue, Lots 16 and 17, Block 28, La Jolla Park, the entrances to be facing 7 foot courts.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19 1.8

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

pplication Received <u>5-24-48</u> By <u>P.2. Burlow</u> City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By <u>Joning</u> Committee City Planning Department
City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date
ecision alphroved Date 6-2-48
ony of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hearing date ecision <i>Chapterwed</i> opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-48</u> lanning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
polication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

a static press was by the months and

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6095</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. W. Goodwin to construct a 21 ft. 6 in. by 32 ft. single apartment over a new garage on an existing slab on the rear of Lot 25 and the Southerly 20 feet of Lot 26, making a second residence on the parcel, and maintain a 22 foot rear yard and a 5 foot average side yard, at 7430 Cabrillo Avenue, on the Northerly 15 feet of Lot 22, all of Lots 23, 24, 25, and the Southerly 20 feet of Lot 26, Block 14, Center Addition to La Jolla Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinances No. 13294 and 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_48

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

OK

Application Received <u>5-20-48</u> By <u>E. Van Hesie</u> City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By <u>Ganing Committee</u> City Flanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hearing date Decision <i>Approved</i> Date 6-2-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-48</u> Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-98</u> Building Inspector <u>6-7-98</u> lanning Commission 6-7-98 Petitioner 6-9-98 Health Department 6-9-98
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to Date of action

.

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles B. Henry to make a 16 foot by 20 foot addition on the north side of an existing residence which has a 27 inch sideyard on the south side, Lots 10 and 11, Block 5, Subdivision of Lots 7 to 17, Block N, Teralta, located at 4149 - 34th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated June 2 , 1

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

D

Application Received <u>5-24-48</u> By	H.C. Haelsig
The second s	City Planning Department
- · · · 1 / - 7 - 48 P-	Joning Committee City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By	City Planning Department
	Cuy Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-98 Hea	ring date
Desision alphroved Date	6-2-48
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hea Decision <i>Approved</i> Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u> Buil	ding Inspector 6-4-48
Planning Commission 6 - Y - Y 8 Petitioner 6 - Y	(-Y& Health Department 6-4-40
Planning Commission & Tettioner	i Harring data
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	e
Production becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Con	tinued to
Time limit extended to Dat	e of action
Time mint extended to	

and the state of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5870</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Viola L. O'Rear to maintain an existing residence with a 72 foot rear yard at 3750 Promontory, Lots 33, 34, 35 and 36, Block 32, Second Fortuna Park Addition, provided that a portion of the flat roofed illegal construction is removed and altered to the satisfaction of the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2 , 19/18

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3183

F 2 1/8 -	RECT
Application Received 5-3-48 By	City Planning Department
5-19-48	City Flamming Department
	1. C. H.
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By	Cit Plansing Department
5-19-48	Chy Flanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-98 Hea	aring date
Decision Condit Upproval Dat	e 6-2-98
Investigation made <u>6-2-98</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u> Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-98</u> Hearing date Decision Condil Upproval Date 6-2-98 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-98</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-48</u> Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48	
Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-9	4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou	incil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Dat	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Con	tinued to
Time limit extended to Dat	e of action

,

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6133</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Thomas Gwynne to alter an existing garage to a third living on the lot at 8322 Ormond Court, Lot J, Block 168, Mission Beach, said third unit to face a 6 foot access court to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated______, 19_48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>5-24-48</u>	By R. J. Hansen City Planning Department
Investigation made $6 - 2 - 48$	By Janing Committee
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-4	City Planning Department 8 Hearing date Date 6-2-48 8 Building Inspector 6-4-48 r 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
Decision approved	Date 6-2-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-4	8 Building Inspector 6-4-48
Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitione	r 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second s

and the deside of the second states of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6134</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. B. Alger to construct two 4-unit apartment buildings, crossing the lot lines, one building to be located on Lots 33 and 34 and the second building to be on Lots 35 and 36, in Block 13 of La Jolla Strand, located on Palomar Street 175 feet West of La Jolla Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

XXXXXX

Dated______, 19_48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>5-25-48</u> By <u>R.J. Hansen</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By <u>Journal Committee</u> Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hearing date Decision Afference Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-48</u> Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hearing date Decision <i>Approved</i> Date 6 2-48
Planning Commission 6 - 4 - 4 8 Petitioner 6 - 4 - 48 Health Department 6 - 4 - 48
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

12 14 17 - 1 · · ·

The second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6135</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. B. Alger to construct two 4-unit apartment buildings on Lots 33 to 36, inclusive, Block 13, La Jolla Strand, located on Palomar Street 175 feet West of La Jolla Boulevard, with a 13 foot setback from Palomar Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3186

Application Received By	R.J. Hansen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By	Janing Committee City-Flanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hea	uring date
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hea Decision <i>Approved</i> Dat Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u> Bui	e 6 - 2 - 48 Iding Inspector $ 6 - 4 - 48$
Planning Commission 6 4-48 Petitioner 6	Y-Y8 Health Department 6 Y-Y8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou Decision of Council Dat	
Resolution becomes effective	
	tinued to
Time limit extended to Dat	e of action

11.11.1.1

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6112</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred I. Stomberg to construct a 3-unit apartment building with an 8 foot access court to the street at 4250 -43rd Street, Lots 36 and 37, Block 22, Resubdivision of Blocks K and L, Teralta, provided the 8 foot access court is cleared of any trees, shrubbery, or other obstruction which would prevent ready access through the area.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____, 19_48

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3187

Application Received <u>5-27-48</u> . By <u>E</u>	
Investigation made $6 - 2 - 48$ By 6 Considered by Zoning Committee $6 - 2 - 48$ Heat Decision Condil Approval Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $6 - 3 - 48$ Build Planning Commission $6 - 4 - 48$ Petitioner $6 - 4$	Janing Committee City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hear Decision Condil Approval Date	ring date $\frac{0}{6 - 2 - 48}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3 28 Build Planning Commission 6 - 9 - 98 Petitioner 6 - 9 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cour	Y& Health Department 6 - Y - Y &
Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Cont	inued to

The second states and the se

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6105</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. W. Burgener to construct two duplexes, one on Lot 16 and the other on Lot 17, Block 7, La Jolla Shores, located on the East side of Paseo del Ocaso North of Paseo Dorado, with a 15 foot rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated______, 19_48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

OK

Application Received <u>5-14-48</u> By R.M. Parish
City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By <u>Joing Commutee</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hearing date Decision <i>Alabaroved</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-48</u> Planning Commission 6-8-48 Petitioner 6-8-88 Health Department 6-8-48
Decision Alaproved Date 6-2-48
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48
Planning Commission 6 - 4 - 48 Petitioner 6 - 4 - 48 Health Department 6 - 4 - 48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

THEY I GOT MONTH AND AND AND AND AND AND A DESCRIPTION OF A

and the second second

Consider the second second
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6091</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William and Florence Sayre-Smith to erect a residence with a 15 foot rear yard at approximately 980 Scott Street, on a portion of Lot 2, Block 1, Bayshore Addition to New Roseville (see legal description on file in the Planning Department Office).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>5-14-48</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{6-2-48}{1000}$ By $\frac{6}{1000}$	Joning Committee
and the second se	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hea Decision <i>Approved</i> Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u> Buil Planning Commission <u>6-4-48</u> Petitioner <u>6-4</u>	ring date
Decision alekroved Date	6.2-48
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Buil	ding Inspector <u>6-Y-Y8</u>
Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4	- Y& Health Department 6 - 4 - 48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Court	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Cont	inued to
Time limit extended to Date	e of action

THE PARTY .

,

THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6092</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. Jacobsen to construct a concrete retaining and garden wall 12 feet in height along the side property line at 4114 Narragansett Avenue, Lot 12, Point Loma Terrace.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_18

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

of

Application Received <u>5-17-48</u> By <u>D.E. South</u> City Planning Department	
1	
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By <u>Goning</u> <u>City Planning Department</u>	
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hearing date Decision Upproved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-48</u> Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48	
Decision approved Date 6 - 2-48	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-98 Building Inspector 6-9-98	
Planning Commission 6 - 4 - 48 Petitioner 6 - 4 - 48 Health Department 6 - 4 - 48	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

State Burner State

.

1-1-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6112</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, Bishop Paul Cheesman, to split out a .91 acre parcel of land from a portion of Pueblo Lot 1197 (see legal description on file in the Planning Department Office) and to permit the construction and operation of a church and school on said parcel, being located at the Northeast corner of Osler and Ulric Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_______, 1948

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3191

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>5-18-48</u> By_	K. D. Munday City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By	A City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hearing date <u>Decision</u> <u>Upproved</u> <u>Date 6-2-48</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-48</u> Petitioner 6-4-48	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk B Planning Commission 6 - 4-48 Petitioner 6	-Y-Y & Health Department 6 - Y - Y &
	ate
	ontinued to

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6108</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stanley H. Smith to construct a wood slat fence 3 feet in height beyond the setback line on the Southerly 10 feet of Lot 3 and all of Lot 4, Block G, Oak Park, at 3869 Winona Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 1948

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

OK

Application Received <u>5-20-48</u> By <u>K. A. Munday</u> City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By <u>Goning Committee</u> City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee Q A Ya Hearing date	
Decision approved Date 6-2-88 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48	
Planning Commission 6 - 9 - 98 Petitioner 6 - 9 - 98 Health Department 6 - 9 - 98 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date Date	
Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action	
Date of action	

1.4.19.10

All Contractions and

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6137</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Zweck, owner, and J. P. Mulhall, purchaser, to erect two residences on the East 100 feet of the South 150 feet of the West 1 of Lot 51, Ex-Mission Lands of San Diego, as it now exists, located on the northwest corner of 46th Street and Ocean View Boulevard, with a 10 foot setback on Ocean View Boulevard and on 46th Street, each house to be built on a parcel of land 50 feet in width and 150 feet in depth.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated June 2 , 19 18

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5193

oplication Received <u>5-21-48</u> By J.a. Rick City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By <u>Goning Committee</u> City Planning Department
ecision approved Date 6-2-48 Hearing date Date 6-2-48 Date 6-2-48 Date 6-2-48
ecision approved Date 6-2-48
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-Y8 Building Inspector 6-Y-Y8
anning Commission 6 4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

,

•

ALL AND AND AND A

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6128</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. Holle and the Holle Glass Company to construct an 8 foot high galvanized corrugated iron fence with a 12 inch extension extending inward on the street front and a 7 foot high fence with the same 12 inch extension on the side and rear property lines at 427 - 9th Avenue on Lot E, Block 109, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______, 19_48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

.

Res. No. 3194

Application ReceivedB	y <u>EVan Hise</u> City Planning Department
	1
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> B	, Going Committee
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-7-48	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee $6 - 7 - 48$ Decision <i>Approved</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $6 - 3 - 48$ Planning Commission $6 - 8 - 88$ Petitioner	Date 6-2-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-Y8	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 6 - Y - Y 8 Petitioner	6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 month de

and the property of the second state of the se

Second and a strand house a ?

LO MAN

The public

,

RESOLUTION NO. <u>3195</u>, extending Res. No.2707

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months is hereby granted from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2707, which granted an extension of time on Resolution No. 2278 to the First Nazarene Church to erect a church building, observing all yard requirements, but with 74% lot coverage on Lots 18 to 21, inclusive, and street closing adjacent, Block 243, University Heights. Gpress & Park

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_June 2

FORM 2145

Bv

, 19 18

Secretary XXXXXX

Res. No. 3195

Letter dated 4-21-48 B	yCity Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> B	y Joning Committee City Planning Department
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Decision approved	Data (= 7-4 P
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48	Building Inspector 6-4-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-98 Planning Commission 6-9-98 Petitioner	6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

PO

117 8 St.

*

* 1 A A

•

Alighter and a state of the sta

Star an inter a fi

an official sources and a concerning hap.

-1

RESOLUTION NO. <u>3196</u> Lee Res 89902. WHEREAS, Application No. 6131 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would not hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Milton P. Sessions, Inc. for the suspension of the Setback Ordinance to permit a 3-unit apartment building to be altered with a 12 foot setback from Opal Street where 15 feet is required on the north side of Opal Street West of Mission Boulevard, Lots 31 to 34, Block 3, Ocean Spray Addition, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 2 , 19 /18 Dated____

FORM 2145

Secretary Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3196

1 :: - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Application Received <u>5-26-48</u> By <u>K.J. Wansen</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made 6-2-48 By Chaning Committee
City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-7-48 Hearing date
Decision Menied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-48</u> Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48
Planning Commission 6-9-98 Petitioner 6-4-98 Health Department 6-4-18
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 6-8-75 Council Heating date 6-22-6
Decision of Council approved Date 6-22-48
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

.....

1. The second second

4.

RESOLUTION NO.

89302 See les 3196 Precession Dies BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Milton P. Sessions, Inc., 1005 Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3196, Application No. 6131, for suspension of the Setback Ordinance to permit a 3-unit apartment building to be altered with a 12 foot setback from Opel Street, Lots 31 to 34, Block 3, Ocean Spray Addition, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

FRED W SICK City Clerk.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6021</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Roy Medin to alter an existing garage to a third living unit on the lot at 4685 Hamilton Street, Lot 3 and the Northerly 7 feet of Lot 4, Block 16, University Heights, with a 4 foot access court to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_48

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>6-27-48</u> By U.C. Baughman City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By <u>Joning</u> Committee City Planning Department	>
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-98 Hearing date	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Conference</u> Date 6-2-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-48</u> Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48	
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-28 Building Inspector 6 7-78	
Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-	48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	1000
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	Contraction of the local division of the loc
Time limit extended to Date of action	

the second second second property in the second second second second second second second second second second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6147</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. E. C. Stahlheber to construct a 16-unit 2-story apartment building on the East side of 1st Avenue 75 feet South of Thorn Street, South 2 of Lot B and all of Lot C, Block 382, Horton's Addition, with 9 feet between balconies and 17 feet between building walls.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_48

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3198

ac

Application Received _5-28-48 By	C.B. Koss City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By	- Loning Committee
	City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48	Hearing date
Decision approved	City/Planning Department Hearing date Date 6-2-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48	Building Inspector 6-7-78
Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner	6-Y-Y8 Health Department 6-Y-Y8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

· · · · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. 6152 _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That permission is hereby granted to Mark Uselton and H. G. Turner to operate a cabinet and wood working shop for the manufacture of door and window frames, special doors, kitchen cabinets and cupboards, and the retail sale of doors and windows to contractors for a period of five years on Lots 6 and 7, Block 2, Berkeley Heights, located on the South side of University Avenue 140 feet East of 52nd Street, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That a maximum of three employees be hired in addition to the owners;
- 2. That a maximum of 10 horsepower be used; 3. That the hours of operation be limited from 8 A.M. to 6 P.M.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above. insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 2 . 1948 Dated_

FORM 2145

XCKCXXX Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3199

Application Received <u>5-28-48</u> B	y R. Hansen City Planning Department
	y Joning Committee City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Hearing date Decision Concli Charmal Date 6-2-48 Conception sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-88	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

propint families is the movie of uniformal sector include an end of the

A start for the start of the st

and the second se

corado to pur structured underonen aponet :

10.00

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6155</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hattie Lambrechs to erect a 22 foot by 40 foot store building on the front of Lots 43 and 44, Block 103, Central Park Addition, at 3024 Imperial Avenue, which will provide a 4 foot access court to the street for three existing dwellings, provided that the garage on the rear of the property be removed to provide a 9 foot access court to the alley at the rear.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_48

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3200

01

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>6-2-48</u> By	H.C. Haelsig City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-2-48</u> By	Goning Committee
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-2-48</u> Decision Condil Upperoval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-3-48</u>	Hearing date Date 6-2-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48
Planning Commission $b - y - y & Petitioner$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	6 - y - y & Health Department 6 - y - y &
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

March Longian Sector Line .

a characterization a series that a second state of the second series of the second second second second second

the second second second

001

Ne dige

1.