

RESOLUTION NO. 3201, amending Res. No. 2322

WHEREAS, ^{Letter dated May 21, 1948} Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2322, dated June 19, 1947, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Beatrice Barker Koch, owner, and Ernest J. Amis, purchaser, to construct a 25-unit motel on a portion of Lot 5, La Mesa Colony, 6148 El Cajon Boulevard, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the owner and purchaser will grant a 20 foot wide easement across the front of the above parcel of land to the City for the widening of El Cajon Boulevard, when the City requests such an easement;
2. That an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and purchaser and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

FORM 2145

By _____

Zoning Engineer ^{Secretary} ~~xxxxx~~

Res. No. 3201

Letter dated
Application Received 5-21-48 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date 6-

Decision Approved Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. ~~1111~~ dated May 21, 1948 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is.....necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
- 2. That the granting of the application will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
- 3. That the granting of the application will.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. not

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot..... that Resolution of Property Use No. 2323 is hereby amended, as follows:

Subdivision Por. Lot 5
La Mesa Colony (description on file in Planning Department office)

Beatrice Barker Koch, owner;
may be used for the erection, purchase, or operation of.....
a 25-unit motel

subject to the following conditions.....
that the owner and purchaser grant a 20 foot wide easement across the front of the above parcel of land to the City for the widening of El Cajon Boulevard, when the City requests such an easement and that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and purchaser and filed of record.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
City of San Diego, California

Dated June 2 194 8

By
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Letter dated

~~Application Received~~ 5-21-48

By City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48

By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48

Hearing date

Decision Approved

Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48

Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48

Petitioner 6-4-48

Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application Withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3203

WHEREAS, Application No. 6148 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Notwithstanding an agreement signed by Charles E. and Ethel E. Peterson filed on November 20, 1947, in Book 2451, Page 435, in the County Recorder's Office, affecting Lots 19, 20 and 21, Block 1 of El Cerrito Heights, permission is hereby granted to James H. and Marion D. Williams, C. E. and Ethel E. Peterson, Berthe L. Moe, and R. J. Hortie to split off the westerly 40 feet of Lots 19, 20, and 21 and to use these parcels for accessory buildings and uses in connection with the abutting Lots 7, 8 and 9 in said subdivision at the rear of Lots 19, 20 and 21, respectively, provided all of the terms and conditions of the agreement above referred to shall remain in force on Lots 19, 20 and 21, Block 1, El Cerrito Heights, excepting therefrom the westerly 40 feet of said lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13357 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer SECRETARY

Application Received 6-2-48 By H. C. Haalsey
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Cond. Approval

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-3-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6107 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William C. Davis to move a single family residence from a "C" Zone area into an R-1 portion of a lot which does not have any street frontage, but is served by a 10 foot wide easement of record to El Cajon Boulevard, at 6127 El Cajon Blvd., Portion of Lot 6, La Mesa Colony (see legal description on file in the Planning Department Office).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinances No. 13558 and 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 1948

By _____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-17-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-2-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-4-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3205

WHEREAS, Application No. 6146 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred Corey to alter an existing garage to a living unit with no sideyard on the south side and a 4 foot sideyard on the north side on Lot 6, La Jolla Knolls, located on La Jolla Knoll 100 feet east of Country Club Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 4, 19 48

FORM 2145

By _____

~~Secretary~~
City Planning Director

Res. No. 320

Application Received 6-2-48 By H.C. Haebig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date 6-16-48
Date 6-4-48

Decision Approved 6-16-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48

Planning Commission 6-4-48 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

See Res # 3208

RESOLUTION NO. 3206

WHEREAS, Application No. 6168 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the City of San Diego to move a residence onto Lots 28 and 29, Block 6, Ironton, on Rosecrans Street 250 feet Northeast of Bessemer Street, with a 21 foot 8 inch setback where the required setback is 23 feet 9 inches.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

724 Rosecrans

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 4, 19 48

By _____
Secretary
City Planning Director

Application Received 6-4-48 By J. A. Reels
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-4-48 By J. A. Reels
City Planning Department

Ratified
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-4-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-4-48 Building Inspector 6-4-48
Planning Commission 6-4-48 Petitioner 6-4-48 Health Department 6-4-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

pe
DO
BY
OU
ER
20
UN
LO

12
02

RESOLUTION NO. 3207

WHEREAS, Application No. 6173 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Estate of F. W. Kellogg to build and operate a parking lot for the exclusive use of patrons of the La Jolla Beach and Tennis Club and to erect a brick wall 10 feet high to enclose a transformer station approximately 22 ft. by 11 ft. in size on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1285 (as shown on plat on file in the Planning Department Office) located at the intersection of Paseo Dorado, Spindrift Drive, and Roseland Drive, provided the entire area be landscaped and the parking lot be paved.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated June 9, 1948

FORM 2145

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
By Glenn A. Rick
Secretary
Glenn A. Rick
City Planning Director

Res. No. 3207

Application Received 6-9-48 By Glenn Ricks
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-9-48 By Ricks
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-9-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-9-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-10-48 Building Inspector 6-11-48

Planning Commission 6-11-48 Petitioner 6-11-48 Health Department 6-11-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

bloc
Rm
V
of
at
the
city



RESOLUTION NO. 3208

WHEREAS, Application No. 6138 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lucretia Day to build and operate a two-story apartment building containing a full time beauty shop, with a maximum of 4 operators, and one apartment unit on the ground floor and two apartment units on the second floor, according to the sketches submitted, at 4267 Central Avenue, Lots 7 and 8, Block 27, Resubdivision of Blocks K and L, Teralta.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

By _____

Secretary

Application Received 5-25-48 By D.E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48
6-16-48 By Young Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date 6-16-48
Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48
Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

SPR
RLE
V
SPR
V
C
V
SPR
SPR

RESOLUTION NO. 3209

WHEREAS, Application No. 6154 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That the amended petition of Earl S. Parker is hereby approved to permit the construction of a duplex on the southerly 50 feet (approximately) and a single family dwelling on the northerly 50 feet of Lot 2 and the street closing adjacent and Lot 4, except the northerly 10 feet, Block 9, First Addition to South La Jolla, located at the northwest corner of Fern Glen and Olivetas Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

By _____

Zoning Engineer ~~xxxxxx~~ Secretary

Application Received 6-2-48 By H. C. Hadley
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date 6-16-48
Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48
Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

TURBOL...
85...
VBB...
V39...
202...
S...
LPS...

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3210, *See Res. 90467,*
following

WHEREAS, Application No. 6124 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of T. S. and Margaret W. Thompson for permission to construct a residence with a 10 foot access court to the street and an 11 foot rear yard on Lot No. 9, Assessor's Map No. 20, Playa de las Arenas, 1st Addition to South La Jolla, at 7150 Monte Vista Avenue, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Sections 8a and 12, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

By _____
Secretary
~~XXXXXX~~
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-2-48 By H. C. Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date 6-16-48
Decision Denied Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48
Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 6-18-48 Council Hearing, date 7-6-48 8-3-48
Decision of Council Approved - 30 Date 8-3-48
Overruled (See opinion of C.C.)

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Blanner
See Res 3210
preceding

RESOLUTION NO. 90467

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That permission is hereby granted to T. S. Thompson to construct a one-story dwelling with a 10 ft. access court to the street and an 11 ft. rear yard on Lot 9 according to Assessor's Map No. 20, Playa de las Arenas, First Addition to South La Jolla.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 90467
the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Aug 3, 1948

FRED W. SICK
Helen M. Willig City Clerk.

By..... Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 3211

WHEREAS, Application No. 6130 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. A. V. Kauffman to construct a garage with a 9 foot setback from Brant Street where the average is approximately 12 feet on Lot I (except the East 100 feet), Block 251, Horton's Addition, and Lot 4, Block K. Middletown, located at 2141-43 Brant Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

By _____

~~Secretary~~

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3211

Application Received 6-3-48 By Glenn A. Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date 6-16-48
Decision Approved Date 6-16-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48
Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6093 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jessie F. La Rochelle to construct a 3-unit apartment house over stores at the northeast corner of Ventura Place and Bayside Lane on Lots P and Q, Block 97, Mission Beach, with a 6 foot access court at the rear of the apartment serving two existing living units on the rear of the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____

Secretary
~~XXXXXX~~

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-28-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date 6-16-48
Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

58
3047
YBP
58
JUN
5042
JUN

WHEREAS, Application No. 4910 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Joseph S. and Theodora T. Fox for permission to redivide portions of Lots 26 and 27, Block E, Resubdivision of Point Loma Heights, into 3 parcels and to construct a single family residence on each parcel, at the corner of Chatsworth Boulevard and Poe Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

By _____

Secretary
~~XXXXXX~~
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-24-48 By R. J. Hansen
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48
6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48
6-16-48 Hearing date _____
 Decision Denied Date 6-16-48
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48
 Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 6-22-48 Council Hearing, date 7-6-48
 Decision of Council Approved Date 7-6-48
 Resolution becomes effective Zoning decision overruled
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 90073

*Blanning
See Res
3213
preceding*

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Joseph S. Fox, 2745 San Diego Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3213, application No. 4910, for permission to redivide portions of Lots 26 and 27, Block E, Resubdivision of Point Loma Heights, into 3 parcels and to construct a single family residence on each parcel, at the corner of Chatsworth Boulevard and Poe Street, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

90073

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No.
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council *JUL 6 1948*

FRED W. SICK

F. T. PATTEN

City Clerk.

By.....

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 3214

WHEREAS, Application No. 6145 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Major I. D. Offer to erect a workshop addition on the rear of a garage at 363 Westbourne Street, Lots 21 and 22, Block F, South La Jolla, making the building 45 feet in length by 12 feet in width, with a 6 inch sideyard for the existing and the proposed building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____
Secretary
~~XXXXXX~~
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-3-48 By P. A. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

SPG
ELSH
V AW

SPG
ITON
OLONG
vblld
SPG
12
SPG
LOR

WHEREAS, Application No. 6170 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Barbara Stacey (Von Dreden) to permit the existing building at 1826-36 Robinson Avenue, being located on the west 75 feet of Lots 25 to 27, Block 249, University Heights, to be used for the conduct of business and professional offices and to permit a sign approximately 2 feet by 3 feet in size to be erected on the existing ornamental iron work over the entry to the property at the front property line and a small index of the occupants of the building to be erected on the existing pillars at the front property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____
~~Secretary~~
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-3-48 By d. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-16-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48
Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3216

WHEREAS, Application No. 6157 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The application of M. C. and Leonor E. Foncerrada to erect a 20 foot by 20 foot garage attached to the existing residence with no sideyard at 3561 Texas Street, Lots 39 and 40, Block 16, Pauly's Addition, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

FORM 2145

By _____
Secretary
Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3216

Application Received 6-3-48 By CB Ross
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____
 Decision denied Date 6-16-48
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48
 Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

470
 471
 472

473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480

RESOLUTION NO. 3217

WHEREAS, Application No. 6164 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles H. Glinksscales to construct and operate outdoor moving picture facilities to be used in connection with a drive-in restaurant, the screen to be not larger than 8 feet by 11 feet in size and to be erected approximately in the center of the property and adjacent to the alley on Lots 37 to 44 and the West 6.5 feet of Lot 36, Block 36, H. P. Whitney Addition, at 3162 Main Street, for a period of two years.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____

Secretary
~~XXXXXX~~

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-4-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-16-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48
Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3218

WHEREAS, Application No. 6166 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Myrtle M. Sorgatz to erect a second unit on the North half of Lot 7, Block 3, Orangewood, at 4381 - 44th Street, with a total rear yard of 13 feet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

By _____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-7-48 By D. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RECEIVED
JUN 18 1948
CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3219

WHEREAS, Application No. 6153 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. H. E. Boettner to erect a duplex over a garage with a 7 foot 8 1/2 inch access court to the street where a single family dwelling exists on the property at 1435 Felspar, Lots 8 and 9, Block 200, Pacific Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____

Secretary
~~XXXXXX~~

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-7-48 By E. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48
Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

71801
300
ybb
807
V93
W
121
37

8556

WHEREAS, Application No. 6140 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The application of R. C. Ferguson to construct a 10 foot by 17 foot extension to the rear of an existing dwelling to be used as a dark room at 3006 First ~~Street~~ ^{Street}, ^{Exc. W/ 20 feet} Lots 11 and 12, Block 51, Seaman and Choate's Addition, and Lots 13 and 14, Block 1, J. P. Christensen's Addition, said addition to have a 3 foot rear yard, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

By _____
Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3220

Application Received 6-7-48 By P. P. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-16-48

Decision denied

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3221

WHEREAS, Application No. 6149 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lewis Shaver to construct a 10 foot covered patio extension to an existing garage 500 square feet in size, making the building 20 feet by 33 feet in size, at 3405 Hawk Street, Lots 7 and 8, Block 1, Osborn Hill, with no sideyard, and no rear yard.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

By _____
Secretary
~~XXXXXXXX~~
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-7-48 By D. C. Baughman
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48
 Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

1017
 70
 V
 1017
 70
 V
 1017
 70
 V

WHEREAS, Application No. 6172 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cecil A. Jones, purchaser, and George D. Preston, owner, to split Lot 1 and the West half of Lot 2, Block 23, Paradise Hills, located at the corner of Rancho Drive and Lauder Street, into 3 parcels and to construct a single family dwelling on each of the parcels, provided a minimum setback of 15 feet is observed on Rancho Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2720, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

By _____
Secretary
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-9-48 By R. J. Hansen
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____
 Date 6-16-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

10701
 78 1000
 V 1000
 8 1000
 1000
 1000

RESOLUTION NO. 3223

WHEREAS, Application No. 6150 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. L. Leo Levitt to make an addition to the dwelling at 2707 Dove Street, Lot 134, Reynard Hills, to be used as a doctor's study, with a 2 foot sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____

Secretary
XXXXXXXX

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-9-48 By D. E. South
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

FILED
 RECORDED
 V. AN...
 CITY CLERK
 REVISION
 POINT
 BOARD
 COMMISSION
 BOARD

RESOLUTION NO. 3224

WHEREAS, Application No. 6186 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marie Carter to erect an 8 foot high corrugated sheet iron and wire fence around the property located on the southwesterly side of Main Street between Sigsbee and Beardsley Streets, being Lots 35 to 39 and the northwesterly 10 feet of Lot 40, Block 84, Mannasse and Schiller's Addition, and portion of the closed alley adjacent, said fence to be used for the storage of scrap metal and salvage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____
Secretary
~~XXXXXX~~
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-9-48 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6181 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The application of T. L. Daley to construct two duplexes with a 6 foot 6 inch access court at 1125-27 Hornblend Street, Lots 6, 7 and 8, Block 231, Pacific Beach, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____
Secretary
~~XXXXXX~~

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-11-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Denied Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 6-21-48 Council Hearing, date 7-6-48

Decision of Council Denied - Date 7-6-48

Resolution becomes effective zc decision sustained

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. _____ 90074

Planning
See Res 3225
preceding

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of T. L. Daley, 1127 Hornblend Street, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3225, application No. 6181, for permission to construct two duplexes with a 6-foot 6-inch access court at 1125-27 Hornblend Street, Lots 6,7 and 8, Block 231, Pacific Beach, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. _____
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

90074

JUL 6 1948
FRED W. SICK

F. T. DATTEN

City Clerk.

By..... Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 3226

WHEREAS, Application No. 6063 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The application of Herbert C. Kelly and Frank Faust, (Leland Hotel), is hereby approved to permit exterior remodeling and exterior and interior fire protection facilities in a hotel at 6th and "E" Streets, Lots E and F. Block 45, Horton's Addition, said hotel having a 0 foot sideyard for a portion of the building and 80% lot coverage

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

By _____
Secretary
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXXX~~

Application Received 5-28-48 By H. C. Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date 6-16-48

Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

BLDG
ELECTR
V. ASSESS
IN RE
LITIG
1900
1900

RESOLUTION NO. 3227

WHEREAS, Application No. 5388 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. T. Scripps to divide the Easterly 90 feet of Block L, La Jolla Park Villa Tract, located at Soledad Road and Primrose Street, into 2 parcels, each approximately 90 feet by 134 feet in size, and construct a single family residence on each.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____
Secretary
~~XXXXX~~
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-2-48 By A. C. Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

bl...
cl...
v...
...
...
...

RESOLUTION NO. 3228

WHEREAS, Application No. 5813 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Casey H. and Lorna W. Hayes to construct a single family residence on that portion of Lot 24, according to Assessor's Map No. 27, of a portion of Pueblo Lot 1297, lying northerly of Camino del Collado (see legal description on file in the Planning Office).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

By _____

~~Secretary~~
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-4-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

LOTS
IN
V. AREA
BOND
LORD

RESOLUTION NO. 3229

WHEREAS, Application No. 6167 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. A. and Ethel M. Boster to construct a single family residence and garage on the Southwesterly 54 feet of the Northeasterly 470 feet, except the Southeasterly 50 feet, of Lot 100, Point Loma Villas, located on the Northeasterly corner of Chatsworth Boulevard and Zola Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-4-48 By D. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3230, *See Res. 90252-
following*

WHEREAS, Application No. 6076 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The application of H. J. Hardy to construct a duplex at 810 Island Court, Lot X, Block 99, Mission Beach, with an 11 foot setback, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____
Secretary
~~XXXXXX~~
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-3-48 By H. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Denied Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 6-21-48 Council Hearing, date 7-6-48 - 7-20-48

Decision of Council Approved Date 7-20-48

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

86
814
88 JJ
800
237

RESOLUTION NO. 90252

Planning
See Res 3230
preceding

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That permission be and it is hereby granted to H. J. Hardy, 5317 East Palisades Road, San Diego 4, California, to construct a duplex at 810 Island Court on Lot X, Block 99k Mission Beach, with an 11 foot setback.

That a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and it is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 90252
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council JUL 20 1948

FRED W. SICK

F. T. PATTEN City Clerk.

By.....
Deputy.

OK

Letter dated June 3, 1948
WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months is hereby granted from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2735, which granted an extension of time on Resolution No. 2295 to W. H. Thygeson to erect a residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1788, as shown on plat on file in the Planning Department Office, a parcel of land with only 60 feet street frontage at the east end and termination of Beryl Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

*Denied further extension
by Res. 3621*

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____
Secretary
Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3231

Letter dated 6-3-48
Application Received 6-3-48 By City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

TO
FR
BY
DATE
INITIALS

RESOLUTION NO. 3232, extending Res. No. 2712

WHEREAS, Letter of S. Morgan Barber, dated June 7, 1948,
Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months is hereby granted from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2712 to Demmy Lamson, owner, and S. Morgan Barber, purchaser, permitting construction of a duplex on Lot 15, Block 34, La Jolla Shores, with access to the street by way of the alley, near Camino del Oro and Vallecitos.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____
Secretary
Zoning Engineer

Letter dated 6-7-48
~~Application Received~~ 6-7-48 By City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

FO
POLICE
V. AGA

FO
POLICE
V. AGA

RESOLUTION NO. 3233

WHEREAS, Application No. 6184 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leonel G. da Rosa to redivide the Northerly 50 feet of Lot 1 and the Southerly 50 feet of Lot 2, Block 138, La Playa, on the East side of San Elijo between McCall and Nichols, making a parcel 100 feet by 150 feet in size, and construct a single family residence thereon.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

By _____
Secretary
~~XXXXXX~~
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-10-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48
Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Global
Elm
V
Y
A
L

RESOLUTION NO. 3234

WHEREAS, Application No. 6177 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Ernestine Guymon to erect a residence with a 6 inch setback from Soledad Avenue where the average is approximately 7 feet, on Lot 31, La Jolla Hills, located on the north side of Soledad Avenue 200 feet east of Lookout Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

By _____

Secretary
~~XXXXX~~

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-10-48 By E. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48
Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

6-10-48
6-16-48
6-17-48
6-18-48
6-18-48

RESOLUTION NO. 3235

WHEREAS, Application No. 6143 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The application of Ralph L. Luccock, Jr., to operate a wholesale and retail nursery for the sale of packaged fertilizer and nursery supplies at 1965 Hornblend, Lots 18 to 20, Block 239, Pacific Beach, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-10-48 By P. L. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3236

WHEREAS, Application No. 6110 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. D. Goodrich & Company to erect an open mesh fence 9 feet 2 inches in height with barb wire at the top sloping inward, on the alley, at 1870 Main Street, Lots 9 to 13, Block 94, Mannasse and Schiller Addition.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____

Zoning Engineer ^{Secretary} ~~XXXXX~~

Application Received 6-11-48 By H. C. Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-16-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

LETTER
NOV 17 1948
V. A. ...
J. J. ...
CO- ...
BOSTON ...
TELEPHONE ...
CITY ...
STATE ...

RESOLUTION NO. 3237 # 3736

WHEREAS, Application No. 6174 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Estate of George W. Wood to continue until December 31, 1948, the use of the Theosophical Grounds, being located on Pueblo Lots 144, 145, 146, 182, and 193, at 810 Catalina Boulevard, for temporary housing purposes, including cafeteria and co-operative buying club, as previously permitted by Resolutions No. ~~118~~, 172, 1925, 2228, and 2294.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 1948

By _____
Secretary
~~XXXXX~~
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-14-48 By P. P. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

DL08
SL08
V 20
J008
J108
P208
L08

RESOLUTION NO. 3238

WHEREAS, Application No. 6182 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lillie M. Siler to alter and convert an existing dwelling at the rear of Lots 43 and 44, Block 2, Ocean Beach, into two living units, making a total of 4 living units on the property, located at 4579-A Cape May.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, 19 48

By _____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-14-48 By E. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-16-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

JUN 19 1948
300
VLD

RESOLUTION NO. 3239

WHEREAS, Application No. 6127 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The application of Andrew and Sarah May Templeton to construct a 10 foot by 26 foot car port 50 feet from the front property line with a 1 foot sideyard at 7112 La Jolla Boulevard, located on the North 10 feet of Lot 4, all of Lot 6, and the South 10 feet of Lot 8, Block 8, First Addition to South La Jolla, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16, , 1948

By _____

Secretary
~~XXXXXX~~

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 6-14-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-16-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-16-48 Hearing date _____
Date 6-16-48

Decision denied

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-17-48 Building Inspector 6-18-48

Planning Commission 6-18-48 Petitioner 6-18-48 Health Department 6-18-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3240

WHEREAS, Application No. 6136 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard G. and Arlean M. Golem to divide a portion of Lot 26, Soledad Terrace, into two building sites and to build a single family dwelling on that portion described in the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, located at 1687 Los Altos Road

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 2, 19 48

By _____
Secretary
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 5-25-48 By H. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-2-48 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-2-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-24-48 Building Inspector 6-24-48

Planning Commission 6-24-48 Petitioner 6-24-48 Health Department 6-24-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Plan.

RESOLUTION NO. 89918 *extending*

*Res. # 2700
+ 88024
LOT G-515
JH*

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

2702 - 1st Ave

That the request of M. Herrmann for a six months extension of time on a set-back variance in Block 311, Horton's Addition, granted by Resolution No. 88024 of the Council, be, and it is hereby granted, as recommended by the Zoning Committee under Document 388413.

89918

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. _____ of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council _____

JUN 22 1948

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk.

F. T. PATTEN

By _____ Deputy.

Deputy.

Planning

89917

RESOLUTION NO. _____

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

extending

*Res. 1540
+ 83393*

*lots 35-37
3*

C-H

That the permit granted Mr. Nick Piscopo under Resolution No. 83393 adopted by the Council on June 11, 1946, to operate a nursery at 3344 Nile Street be extended for a period of two years and under the conditions set out in communication from the Zoning Engineer under Document No. 388424, filed in the office of the City Clerk June 21, 1948, as follows:

1. That only the retail sale of bedding and plant stock be permitted;
2. That any signs and buildings be approved by the Zoning Committee;
3. That this permit be for a period of two years only.

89917

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. _____
the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council _____

JUN 23 1948

FRED W. SICK _____
City Clerk.

By F. T. PATTEN _____
Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 3241

WHEREAS, Application No. 6204 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James W. and Delight W. Walden to construct a wire fence 3 ft. in height on top of a retaining wall varying in height from 1 ft. 7 in. to 4 ft., portions of Lots 5 and 6, Block 190, Middletown, 3502 Pringle Street, said fence to be located on the property line along Pringle Street and 10 ft. in from the property line on Kettner Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 3241

Application Received 6-17-48 By H.C. Tackley
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3242, extending Res. No. 2812

Letter dated June 28, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2812 be granted to Monroe G. Wright to divide a portion of the West 1/2 of the Southwest 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 1199, at the East end of Glenmore Street, into three parcels, one to be served by a private easement, and to permit a single family residence on each parcel, providing the two large parcels abutting the public street shall have street frontage for a distance of not less than 12 ft. and the easement to the third parcel shall be not less than 12 ft. in width.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer

~~SECRETARY~~

Res. No. 3242

Application Received 6-29-48 By mail City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen, Living & Burton City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3243

WHEREAS, Application No. 6216 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. F. Krumholz, owner, and W. S. Broderick, purchaser, to construct a residence and garage with no setback on Lots 591 and 592, Talmadge Park Estates No. 3, Norma Drive at the end of Natalie Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~
Res. No. 3243

Application Received 6/22/48 By A. E. Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen, Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3244

WHEREAS, Application No. 6230 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Mrs. Mary Odgers to construct a single family residence, making fourth unit on Lots 11 and 12, Block 73, City Heights, to be served by a 7 ft. access court, 3755 Van Dyke Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3244

Application Received 6-29-48 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Denied Date 6-30-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48
Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3245

WHEREAS, Application No. 6246 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. P. Winn to construct a duplex with a setback of 20 ft. from 52nd Street; on the Easterly 62½ ft. of Lots 27 and 28, Block 6, Alhambra Park, Northwest corner of 52nd Street and Monroe Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 6-28-48 By C. B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Burton, Allen & Lewis
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3246, extending Res. No. 2801

Letter dated June 18, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2801 be granted to Alvin L. Dargatz to divide Lots 7 and 8, Block 38, Roseville, Plum Street, 100 ft. Northeast of Dickens Street, into two parcels facing Plum Street, one parcel to be 65 ft. by 100 ft. and the other parcel to be 60 ft. by 100 ft., providing the setback as required by the Setback Ordinance is observed on Plum Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer

~~SECRETARY~~

Letter
Application Received 6-19-48 By mail City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allan, Kuning & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3247

WHEREAS, Application No. 6227 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Marie Sheppard to construct an addition to existing garage and observe a setback of 22 ft. 10 in. from the front property line at 4344 Adams Avenue, Lot 191, Talmadge Park, subject to architectural approval of the plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3247

Application Received 6-24-48 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen, L. Emery & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-8-48 Building Inspector 7-8-48

Planning Commission 7-8-48 Petitioner 7-8-48 Health Department 7-8-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3248

WHEREAS, Application No. 6214 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to erect a cyclone wire fence 8 ft. in height around the playground of Our Lady of Guadalupe School, Lots 20, 21, and 22, Block 186, Mannassee and Schiller's Subdivision, Kearney Street, Westerly of Beardsley Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 6-21-48 By Mail City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen, Evers & Burton City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

05

RESOLUTION NO. 3249

WHEREAS, Application No. 6187 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Marie A. Rubicam to divide Lots 1 and 2, Block 7, First Addition to South La Jolla, Northeast corner of Monte Vista Avenue and Belvedere Street, into two parcels facing Belvedere Street and erect a duplex on each parcel, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 6/23/48 By G. B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Ewing Allen + Bullock
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7-2-48 Council Hearing, date 7-20-48

Decision of Council no action - not suff. Date _____

Resolution becomes effective note to overrule the Z.C. Therefore Z.C. rev.

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to became final & in

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action effect.

0.R.

RESOLUTION NO. 3250

WHEREAS, Application No. 6217 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Joe E. da Graca to construct a concrete block wall 4 ft. in height on top of an existing retaining wall in front of the setback line on Lots 1 and 2, Block 49, Roseville, most Easterly corner of Willow and Emerson Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~X~~Secretary

Application Received 6-24-48 By E. G. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen, Luning & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3251

WHEREAS, Application No. 6231 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eleanor Gesell to construct a garage with no sideyard only 19 ft. back from the front property line at 3385 Myrtle Avenue, Lots 25 and 26, except the West 45 ft. thereof, Block 61, Park Villas.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3251

Application Received 6-24-48 By E. C. Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-30-48 By Allen, Burton + Lewis
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3252

WHEREAS, Application No. 6111 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert F. and Esther Marie Brackett to divide the South 180 ft. of the South 1/2 of the Southeast 1/4 of Lot 16, Horton's Purchase of Ex-Mission Lands, Northwest corner of Euclid Avenue and "A" Street, into four parcels facing "A" Street, and permit a single family residence on each, providing no building is placed on the West 60 ft. of this parcel; parcels will be as follows: 1. 210 ft. by 150 ft., 2. 100 ft. by 150 ft., 3. 100 ft. by 150 ft., and 4. 250 ft. by 150 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, N. S., be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 3252

Application Received 6-22-48 By C. B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen, Burton & Ewing
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3253

WHEREAS, Application No. 6079 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert F. and Esther M. Brackett to divide a portion of the Easterly 1/2 of the Westerly 1/2 of the Southwesterly 1/4 of 1/4 Section 103, Rancho de la Nacion, into two parcels, each 83 ft. by 200 ft., facing Alleghany Street, just West of Flintridge Drive, and construct a single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, N. S., be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 6/24/48 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen, Livingston & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

a

RESOLUTION NO. 3254

WHEREAS, Application No. 6221 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Irvin Payne to construct a 3 ft. by 10 ft. addition to rear of existing residence which has a 9 ft. 6 in. rear yard and 2 ft. 2 in. sideyard with eaves up to the side lot line, addition will have a 9 ft. 6 in. rear yard but will observe required sideyard, 4415 Arch Street on the Southerly portion of Villa Lot 256, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 6-25-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Burton Ewing & Allen
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-30-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48
Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

64

RESOLUTION NO. 3255

WHEREAS, Application No. 6213 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robley Veall, owner, and California Delta Alumni of Sigma Phi Epsilon, Inc., Ltd., purchaser, to construct a fraternity house on the West 100 ft. of the East 250 ft. of the North 150 ft. of Lot 9, Marcellena Tract, to be served by an easement 70 ft. in width to Hardy Avenue, providing all of Lot 9, Marcellena Tract, is included in any subdivision map filed on said Lot 9.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13599 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, _____, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 6-25-48 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen Emery & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, Council Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3256

WHEREAS, Application No. 6220 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe A. Salazar to construct a duplex on the rear portion of the lot, making three units on the property, to be served by a 9 ft. 4 in. access court, 3020 "G" Street, Lot 29 and the West 9 ft. of Lot 30, Block 97, E. W. Morse's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application Received 6-28-48 By E. C. Van Alse
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen, Burton & Luning
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3257

See Res 90351 following a

WHEREAS, Application No. 6238 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mariano Crivello to erect 27 ft. of concrete block wall to a maximum height of 8 ft. 4 in., Lot 26, Westcliffe, 3215 Whittier Street (Edith Lane).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 6/28/48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Cowley, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-7-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7-6-48 Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council Approved Date 7-20-48

Resolution becomes effective (appeal denied) by decision sustained

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 90351

Planning
See Res 3257 precedent

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of W. F. Wahrenberger, 3205 Edith Lane, protesting the decision of the Zoning Committee of the Planning Commission of the City, which by resolution No. 3257 granted Mariano Crivello permission to build twenty-seven (27) lineal feet of concrete block wall to a maximum height of eight (8) feet five (5) inches out beyond the five-foot setback on Lot 26, Westcliffe, at 3215 Whittier Street at its intersection with Edith Lane, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 90351 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

111 27 1948

90351

.....
City Clerk.

By.....
Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. 6238 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry T. and Dorothy K. Wollgast to construct a duplex with a setback of 7½ ft. from 2nd Avenue, Lot K, Block 239, Horton's Addition, West side of 2nd Avenue, South of Hawthorne Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 6-22-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Ewing Allen + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Modified Approval Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3259

WHEREAS, Application No. 6151 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John M. Sachs to alter an existing four-unit apartment building to six units, making a total of seven units on the property, to be served by an 8½ ft. access court to the street at 3818-6th Avenue, Lot 12, Block 4, Nutt's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 6-25-48 By P. G. Burtos
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Ewing + Allen + Burtos
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48
Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

α

RESOLUTION NO. 3260, *extended by Res 3607*

WHEREAS, Application No. 6085 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William T. Oakes to construct guest rooms, with no kitchen, and with no sideyard and a 10 ft. rear yard on Lots 5 and 6, Block 188, Roseville, most northerly corner of Whittier and Locust Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xxxxx~~ Secretary

Res. No. 3260

RESOLUTION NO. 3261 , See Res 338

WHEREAS, Application No. 6242 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mary Lucille Carothers to construct a residence with a 7 ft. setback from Hillside Drive on a portion of Lot B, Resubdivision of La Jolla Hills No. 2, known as Arbitrary Lot 12B of Assessor's Map No. 36, 7411 Hillside Drive, according to the plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 3261

Application Received 6/29/48 By E. C. Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Ewing Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved 7-1-48 Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-30-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

9

RESOLUTION NO. 3262

WHEREAS, Application No. 6241 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mary Lucille Carothers to construct a single family residence on a portion of Lot B, Resubdivision of La Jolla Hills No. 2, known as Arbitrary Lot No. 12B of Assessor's Map No. 36, 7411 Hillside Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 6-29-48 By E.C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Cowen, Allen + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ge
be
su
yb

RESOLUTION NO. 3263

WHEREAS, Application No. 6094 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Mrs. Philip Barney, Mrs. Harold Boynton and Mrs. Katherine Ostrander to convert an existing residence at 3563 - 7th Avenue into a duplex on a parcel of land which does not have street frontage for the full width of a lot, being portions of Blocks 9 and 12, Crittenden's Addition and a portion of 8th Avenue closed, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department office, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 19 48

FORM 2145

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3263

Application Received 6-14-48 By mail City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen, Evers & Burton City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3264

WHEREAS, Application No. 6228 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard Lawson, lessee, to erect an industrial type fence 8 ft. in height with two strands of barbed wire at the top, to make a total height of approximately 9 ft., around the lot at 3581 University Avenue, West 90 ft. of Lots 45 to 48, inclusive, Block 59, City Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 6-23-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen, Brown & Benton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3265

WHEREAS, Application No. 6189 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William Rompot to construct a 5 ft. by 7 ft. addition to a non-conforming residence which has a 3 ft. sideyard, addition to have a 3 ft. sideyard, Lots 32 and 33, Block 1, Pacific Beach Vista Tract and Lots 32 and 33, Block 1, Buena Vista Tract, 727½ Archer Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 6-23-48 By E. C. Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen - Eversing & Beulson
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

66

RESOLUTION NO. 3266

WHEREAS, Application No. 6165 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the San Diego Unified School District to construct a 60 ft. by 80 ft. addition to the Girls' Gymnasium of the Pt. Loma High School, Lots 2, 3 and 4, Block 193, Roseville and Xenophon Street Closed, rear of 2335 Chatsworth Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 6-17-48 By Ann Crickmore
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen, Luning & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-30-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48
Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

30
30
V
10
M
(1)
S
S
V
M
S
B

RESOLUTION NO. 3267

WHEREAS, Application No. 5923 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elsie K. Clagett and William B. Clagett to convert rooms over an existing garage to living quarters with an 18 in. sideyard, Lots 19 and 20, Block 4, City Heights Annex No. 1, 3819 Fairmount Avenue, providing the inside of the garage and the exterior wall of the garage and apartment above adjacent to the lot line are stuccoed and made one-hour fire resistant in accordance with the City Building Code, all work to be completed within nine (9) months from the date of this resolution, and providing the owners will grant an easement 10 ft. in width across the front of this property for the widening of Fairmount Avenue when requested so to do by the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 6-23-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen, Ewing & Buxton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-30-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48
Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3268

WHEREAS, Application No. 6225 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. S. Keyes to construct garages with no setback from Curlew Street on Lots D and E, Block 291, Horton's Addition, 2531 Curlew Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer

~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3268

Application Received 6-23-48 By E. G. Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Erving Allen & Benton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3269

WHEREAS, Application No. 6226 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. S. Keyes to construct garages with no sideyard on the front portion of the lot at 2531 Curlew Street, Lots D and E, Block 291, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 6-23-48 By E. C. [Signature]
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By [Signature] & [Signature]
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3270, amending Res. No. 1144

α

WHEREAS, Application No. 6222 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 1144, dated October 25, 1945, be amended insofar as it relates to the setback required on the northerly lot; permission being hereby granted to Agnes Maler to construct a residence on the North 70 ft. of the East 120 ft. of a portion of Lot 8, Redland Tract, with a setback of 18 ft. 6 in. on the southerly 23 ft. of said lot and the required 30 ft. setback on the remainder of the lot, West side of 55th Street at its northerly end.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 6/38/48 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Allen Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 6-30-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-48 Building Inspector 7-2-48

Planning Commission 7-2-48 Petitioner 7-2-48 Health Department 7-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3271

WHEREAS, Application No. 6297 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Asaro and the City Chevrolet Company to erect a solid aluminum fence 8 ft. in height on Lots 11 and 12, Block 18, Middletown, Southwest corner of India and Beech Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 7-14-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 7-14-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

83

840

V

70

70

70

70

70

70

RESOLUTION NO. 3272

WHEREAS, Application No. 6275 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James A. Horton, Mabel E. Horton and Mabel Hodgetts to redivide Lots 1234 and 1235, Talmadge Park Estates, into two building sites by taking 5 ft. from Lot 1234 and adding it to Lot 1235, and to construct a single family residence on each lot, Lorraine Drive and Louise Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1556, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~ Res. No. 3272

Application Received 7-14-48 By J. A. Rich
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 7-14-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
 Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

390
 290
 190
 90
 0
 90
 190
 290
 390

RESOLUTION NO. 3273

WHEREAS, Application No. 6278 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Philip Barney, Mrs. Harold Boynton and Mrs. Katherine Ostrander to convert an existing residence at 3563-7th Avenue into two living units, on a parcel of land which does not have frontage for the full width of the lot on a dedicated street, being portions of Blocks 9 and 12, Crittenden's Addition and a portion of 8th Avenue closed (legal description on file in the Planning Department office).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SENDERKX~~

Application Received 7-12-48 By G. A. Ricci
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Erving Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 7-14-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

sp
rec
V
11
PI
BOL
T3
40
B

RESOLUTION NO. 3274

6

WHEREAS, Application No. 6261 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ord and Marjorie E. Preston, Jr. to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1280, known as Arbitrary Lot 2K of Assessor's Map No. 27-A, which parcel does not have frontage on a dedicated street, but is served by an easement 60 ft. in width to La Jolla Shores Drive at Calle Frescota.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-12-48 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Allen, Ewing & Bertone
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 7-14-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

3000
2800
V 40
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

RESOLUTION NO. 3275

WHEREAS, Application No. 6255 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. Perry, Jr. to construct a single family residence and garage on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1280, known as Arbitrary Lot 2K1 of Assessor's Map No. 27-A, which parcel does not have frontage on a dedicated street, but is served by an easement 60 ft. in width to La Jolla Shores Drive, opposite Calle Frescota.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

FORM 2145

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3275

Application Received 7-12-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Ewing Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 7-14-48
Copy of Resolution approved sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6121 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of J. D. Wier, Marlin Inn, Incorporated, to erect a 6 ft. 4 in. by 18 ft. 8 in. neon sign at the Marlin Inn, Southerly side of Rosecrans Street, between Garrison and Fenelon Streets, all of Block 59, Roseville, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 7-8-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Erving Allen + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 7-14-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48

Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7-19-48 Council Hearing, date 8-3-48

Decision of Council Approved - Date 8-3-48

Resolution becomes effective no overruled

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Planning

See Res
3276
preceding

RESOLUTION NO. 90450

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Marlin Inn Inc. by J. D. Wier, President, 2930 Fenelon Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3276, application No. 6121, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, to erect a 6 ft. 4 in. by 18 ft. 8 in. neon sign at the Marlin Inn, Southerly side of Rosecrans Street, between Garrison and Fenelon Streets, all of Block 59, Roseville, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission be and it is hereby granted by certifying the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 90450 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council on the 3rd day of August, 1948.

AUG 3 1948

90450

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk.

F. T. PATTEN

By..... Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 3277

WHEREAS, Application No. 6276 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. W. Burris to construct a four-unit apartment building with a 12 ft. setback from Sapphire Street on Lots 19 and 20, Block 1, Reed's Ocean Front Addition, North side of Sapphire Street, 75 ft. West of Cass Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xxxxxx~~ Secretary

Application Received 7-9-48 By P. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By James Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 7-14-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

38
300
V
\$100
300
\$100
300
\$100
300
\$100

OC

RESOLUTION NO. 3278

WHEREAS, Application No. 6253 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. N. Thornton to divide the West 550 ft. of the East 1090 ft. of the South 1/2 of Lot 37, Horton's Purchase of Ex-Mission Lands, into three parcels, and to permit two living units on each, South side of "J" Street, West of 43rd Street, one parcel to be 50 ft. in width, one 60 ft., and the third one to be 440 ft. in width.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3278

Application Received 7-2-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Erving Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 7-14-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

spo-
ner
ybb
vo
su
ch
su

RESOLUTION NO. 3279

Page 2574

Res Res 90347 following

0c

WHEREAS, Application No. 6169 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Glenn O. Hellyer to conduct motor scooter and one-cylinder motorcycle racing and other competitive events within the existing tracks of the Polo Grounds in Mission Valley, on Lots 1 and 2, Reiner's Subdivision of Pueblo Lot 1103, all of Block E, Bayview Quarter Acres, and Blocks 9 to 12, inclusive, Bayview Addition, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 6-16-48 By R. J. Hansen
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-2-48
7-14-48 By Ewing, Allen + Burtin
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-2-48 Hearing date 7-14-48
 Decision Denied Date 7-14-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
 Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7-19-48 Council Hearing, date 7-27-48
 Decision of Council Denied Date 7-27-48

Resolution becomes effective Zo decision sustained

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 90347

Planning
See Res 3279
previously

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Glenn O. Hellyer, 1305 Elevation Road, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3279, application No. 6169, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, to conduct motor scooter and one-cylinder motorcycle racing and other competitive events within the existing tracks of the Polo Grounds in Mission Valley, on Lots 1 and 2, Reiner's Subdivision of Pueblo Lot 1103, all of Block E, Bayview Quarter Acres, and Blocks 9 to 12, inclusive, Bayview Addition, be, and it is hereby overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 90347 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council JUL 27 1948

FRED W. SICK

F. T. PATTEN

City Clerk.

By.....

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 3280

WHEREAS, Application No. 6254 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ira A. Cleveland to construct a wall on top of an existing retaining wall to a total height of 10 ft., 2839 Kalmia Place on Lot 20, except the Westerly 180 ft. thereof, L. P. Delano Tract.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 7-1-48 By E. C. Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-17-48 By Erving Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-14-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48

Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

copy
refer
y av
date
quest
conce
folo

α

RESOLUTION NO. 3281

WHEREAS, Application No. 6080 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. E. and Margaret Sundquist to construct a residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1256 (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), which parcel has 198.40 ft. of frontage on La Jolla Mesa Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the _____th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3281

RESOLUTION NO. 3282

6

WHEREAS, Application No. 6287 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. A. Wayman to construct a five-unit apartment with a 12 ft. setback from Opal Street, Lots 27, 28, 29 and 30, Block 3, Ocean Spray Addition, Northwest corner of Opal Street and Mission Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the _____th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~secretary~~

Application Received 7-13-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-14-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48

Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

52
30
V
02
07
01
01

RESOLUTION NO. 3283

WHEREAS, Application No. 6259 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. H. Garrett and T. G. Garrett to convert an existing garage and laundry room to the seventh living unit on Lots A and B, Block 36, Mission Beach, said unit to face on the alley and having a 15 ft. 6 in. rear yard, 725-29 Coronado Court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xxxxx~~ Secretary

Application Received 7-12-48 By E.C. Vawter
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Erving Allen + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-14-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-15-48

Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

7-12-48
3-10-48
7-14-48
7-15-48
7-16-48

6

RESOLUTION NO. 3284, *See Res 90451 following*

WHEREAS, Application No. 6265 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of R. W. Kerns to erect a double-face neon sign, approximately 70 sq. ft. in area and to overhang on public property approximately 4 ft., 4680 Mission Boulevard on Lots 13 to 18, inclusive, Block 155, Pacific Beach, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 7-9-48 By E. C. Van Dine
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Allen, Kuning & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Denied Date 7-14-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48

Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7-20-48 Council Hearing, date 8-3-48

Decision of Council Approved - Date 8-3-48

Resolution becomes effective ZC overruled -

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

3 70
8002
Klang

SONY
Block
7/20/48
7/20/48
7/20/48
7/20/48
7/20/48
7/20/48

RESOLUTION NO. 90451 *See Res 3284*
preceding

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of R. W. Kerns, 715 Diamond Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3284, application No. 6265, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, to erect a double-face neon sign, approximately 70 sq.ft. in area and to overhang on public property approximately 4 ft. at 4680 Mission Boulevard on Lots 13 to 18, inclusive, Block 155, Pacific Beach, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that permission be and it is hereby granted to erect a double-face neon sign 110 sq.ft. - 55 sq.ft. each side and to overhang on the public property not more than 8 in.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 90451
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council AUG 3 1948

FRED W. SICK

F. T. PATTEN

City Clerk.

By.....
Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 3285, extending Res. No. 2814

Letter dated July 7, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

2044 W. CALIF

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2814 be granted to Peter and Meta T. Potter to construct a residence with a 6 ft. 6 in. rear yard on Lots 2, 3 and 4, Block 204, Middletown, East side of California Street, 100 ft. South of Wright Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Letter
Application Received 7-7-48 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Allen Lewis & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 7-14-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Faint, illegible text and stamps, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.

2

RESOLUTION NO. 3286

WHEREAS, Application No. 6267 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. J. E. Walters to construct a concrete block wall 6 ft. in height on top of a retaining wall 2 ft. in height, Lot 20, Block 19, North Shore Highlands, Northeast corner of Fanuel and Wilbur Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xxxxxx~~

Application Received 7-7-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Livingston, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-14-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48

Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

bc

RESOLUTION NO. 3287

WHEREAS, Application No. 6258 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Franklin and Lala Ausman to move in a residence and a storage building to a portion of Lot 12, Rancho Ex-Mission, South side of Jamacha Road, approximately 580 ft. East of 69th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xxxx~~ Secretary

Res. No. 3287

Application Received 7-7-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Erving, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 7-14-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120

CE

RESOLUTION NO. 3288

WHEREAS, Application No. 6096 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. K. and Constance B. Merwin to construct a single family residence on a parcel of land approximately one acre in size, being a portion of Pueblo Lots 1286 and 1288 (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), westerly side of Hidden Valley Road, approximately 700 ft. South of Torrey Pines Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 6-30-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 7-14-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-16-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

88
877
V

876
80
87
80

00

RESOLUTION NO. 3289

WHEREAS, Application No. 6219 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Victoria Weiss Whalen to construct the third living unit on Lots 39 and 40, Block 19, Fairmount Addition to City Heights, said unit to be served by a 9 ft. access court to the street, 4060-64 - 47th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 6-24-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Living, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-14-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48

Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720

RESOLUTION NO. 3290

0c

WHEREAS, Application No. 6132 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. S. Campbell to move in a building to Lot 6, Block 44, Culverwell and Taggart's Subdivision, Northeast corner of 21st and E Streets, and observe a 12 ft. setback from 21st Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 7-2-48 By F. Mc Connell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Ewing, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 7-14-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

SPD
REC
Y
B
V
B
P
B

RESOLUTION NO. 3291

WHEREAS, Application No. 6205 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gladys Light Gasper to add two bedrooms to the second residence on Lots 11 and 12, Block 9, Ocean Beach, said addition to have a 13 ft. rear yard, 4550 Coronado Avenue, subject to architectural suggestions by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xxxx~~

Res. No. 3291

Application Received 7-8-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Evering, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 7-14-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

SB
SUC
V
TUC
SUC
ATC
OL
SUC
SUC
SUC

RESOLUTION NO. 3292

05

WHEREAS, Application No. 6271 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe S. and Fern Hann to construct a 12 ft. by 13 ft. 6 in. addition to an existing residence, addition to have a 4 ft. 2 in. rear yard, on the Westerly 35 ft. of the Easterly 70 ft. of Lots 15, 16 and 17, except the Southerly 14 ft. of Lot 17, Block 42, University Heights, 4527 Maryland Court, providing the addition is stuccoed on the exterior and providing the addition contains a door leading to the outside and one leading into the residence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-8-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Allen, Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 7-14-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-15-48
Planning Commission 7-15-48 Petitioner 7-15-48 Health Department 7-15-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

53
500
V
M
G
L
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

RESOLUTION NO. 3293

WHEREAS, Application No. 6247 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Francis A. Smith to construct a 22 in. addition to an existing garage and convert a portion of the original garage into one sleeping room and one bath, with existing 15 ft. rear yard and no sideyard, Easterly 1/2 of Lot 57, Marston Hills, and Lot 32, Block 220, University Heights, providing there is no opening in the wall between the garage and the remainder of the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-1-48 By E. C. Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Allen, Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-14-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48

Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

62

RESOLUTION NO. 3294

WHEREAS, Application No. 6197 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. H. Hamelet to remodel the interior of a house and build additions thereto where the existing house has a 2 ft. sideyard, 1326 Park Row on portions of Lots 14 and 15, Block 41, La Jolla Park, providing the old kitchen is eliminated.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Res. No. 3294

Application Received 6-30-48 By E. C. Van Nise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Erving Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 7-14-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

CPD
PLA
V. A.

ADD
JOB
obs
LIC

0c

RESOLUTION NO. 3295

WHEREAS, Application No. 6249 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edwin E. and Eva Marie Andrews to operate a part-time commercial photographic processing business at 1616 Herbert Place, on the Southerly 90 ft. of Lot 27, except the Westerly 4.35 ft. thereof, Block 242, University Heights, subject to the following conditions:

1. No signs to be posted on the premises;
2. No employees;
3. Photographic processing only; no photographs to be taken on the premises.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xxxxxx~~

Res. No. 3295

Application Received 7-7-48 By E.C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Living, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 7-14-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

FILED
FOR
Y-11
ON
FO
1948

6

RESOLUTION NO. 3296

WHEREAS, Application No. 6188 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Jack Gross Broadcasting Co. to construct and operate a radio tower and transmitter building on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1264, Mt. Soledad, according to the survey plat on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13456 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-1-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date 7-14-48
Decision Approved Date 7-14-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3297

WHEREAS, Application No. 6245 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William R. McKinley to use approximately one-third of an existing store building at 3702 Adams Avenue for the metalizing of shoes and other objects of sentimental value, Lot 10, Block 21, Normal Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 7-12-48 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Allen, Burton & Ewing
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
Date 7-14-48
Decision Approved
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-15-48 Building Inspector 7-16-48
Planning Commission 7-16-48 Petitioner 7-16-48 Health Department 7-16-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____
Decision of Council _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____
Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____
Time limit extended to _____

10
100

RESOLUTION NO. 3299

WHEREAS, Application No. 6266 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl Taylor to redivide Lots 10, 11 and 12, Block 11, Point Loma Heights, Southeast corner of Tustin and Macauley Streets, into two lots facing Macauley Streets, and permit a single family residence on each, providing a 15 ft. setback is observed on Macauley Street and the setback as required by the Setback Ordinance is observed on Tustin Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 14, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-7-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 By Erving Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-14-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-19-48 Building Inspector 7-19-48

Planning Commission 7-19-48 Petitioner 7-19-48 Health Department 7-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

[Faint, illegible text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

RESOLUTION NO. 3300

WHEREAS, Application No. 6239 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Virgil S. Kipp, owner, and Harold La Fleur and John Murphy, purchasers, to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 170 (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office) into three parcels, one fronting on Bow Avenue and two on Albion Street, according to plat on file in Planning Department Office, and permit a single family residence on each.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXXXXX~~

Application Received 6-28-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Cuning, Allen + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-30-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-19-48 Building Inspector 7-19-48
Planning Commission 7-19-48 Petitioner 7-19-48 Health Department 7-19-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____