

RESOLUTIONS

**3301
TO
3500**

WHEREAS, Application No. 6229 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Byron S. Kipp, owner, and Howard C. Smay, purchaser, to divide a parcel of land in Pueblo Lot 170 (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office) into four equal parcels, two fronting on Albion Street and two on Silvergate Avenue, according to the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, and to permit a single family residence on each, providing an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that when the City requests it, an easement 10 ft. in width across the front of this property will be granted for the widening of Silvergate Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3239 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement #544
(not filed of record)*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3301

Application Received 6-28-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48 By Erving Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6-30-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-20-48 Building Inspector 7-20-48
Planning Commission 7-20-48 Petitioner 7-20-48 Health Department 7-20-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3302

WHEREAS, Application No. 5773 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. L. Haniman to construct an apartment hotel with a 5 ft. setback from La Jolla Boulevard on Lots D to K, inclusive, Block A, Bird Rock Villas, West side of La Jolla Boulevard, between Midway and Colima Streets, providing the roadway on La Jolla Boulevard is widened, and curbs and sidewalks relocated, and other work done in conformance with requirements of the City, and subject to final approval by the City Planning Commission.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 21, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXXX~~ Secretary

Res. No. 3302

Application Received 3-4-48 By E. C. Van Nise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 3-10-48
3-24-48 By Lawrence, Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 3-10-48 Hearing date 3-24-48
3-24-48 Date 3-24-48

Decision Council approval

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-21-48 Building Inspector 7-21-48

Planning Commission 7-21-48 Petitioner 7-21-48 Health Department 7-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3303

WHEREAS, Application No. 6296 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louis H. Picard to construct a single family residence on the Southerly 100 ft. of Block 8, except the Easterly 25 ft. thereof, Larchmont, at the Northeast corner of Merlin Drive and Brooklyn Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-23-48 By J Mc Council
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lancaster, Irving, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3304

WHEREAS, Application No. 6232 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William M. and Gayle H. Wright to construct a 12 ft. by 20 ft. garage in the same location as the existing garage, with no sideyard and no rear yard, and with approximately 8 per cent excess lot coverage, 3375 Myrtle Avenue on the West 45 ft. of Lots 25 and 26, Block 61, Park Villas.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-26-48 By J.C. Daughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Leicester, Emery, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

sk

RESOLUTION NO. 3305, extending Res. 2816

Letter dated July 28, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health, or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2816 be granted to Edward V. and Eleanor Ruth Roper to divide a portion of Lot J, La Mesa Colony, 123 ft. by 209.44 ft. in size (description on file in Planning Department Office), into two parcels, facing Amherst Street, and to permit a single family residence on each, South side of Amherst Street, East of 69th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Letter
Application Received 7-28-48 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3306

WHEREAS, Application No. 6279 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry O. Sweiven and Virginia M. Gordon to alter an existing non-conforming store building into two motel units to be used in connection with an existing 13-unit auto court and a trailer camp, 2406 Camino Del Rio on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1120 (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), according to the plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 7-13-48 By E. C. Van Nise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48
7-28-48 By Lancaster, Emory Allen & Dunton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 Hearing date _____
7-28-48 Date 7-28-48

Decision Approval

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-27-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 6280 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
- 2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
- 3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. **not**

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot _____ Block _____
 Subdivision _____ **Portion of Pueblo Lot** _____
1120, according to legal description on file in Planning _____
Department Office. _____
2406 Camino Del Rio _____

may be used for the erection and operation of **Harry O. Sweiven and Virginia M. Gordon** _____

 _____ **To alter an existing non-conforming** _____
store building into two additional auto court units, to be used in _____
connection with existing 13-unit auto court and a trailer camp, _____
 subject to the following conditions: _____
 _____ **: Construction to be in conformance with the** _____
plans submitted. _____

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
City of San Diego, California

Dated July 28, 194 8

Zoning Engineer Secretary Res. No. 3307

Application Received 7-13-48 By Ed Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-14-48 - 7-28-48 By Lancaster, Loring Allen & Beulo
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-14-48 - 7-28-48 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 7-28-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48
Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application Withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3308

WHEREAS, Application No. 6336 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mary Lucille Carothers to construct a residence with a 5 ft. setback from Hillside Drive on a portion of Lot B, Resubdivision of La Jolla Hills No. 2, known as Arbitrary Lot 12-B of Assessor's Map No. 36, 7411 Hillside Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-27-48 By Lawrence Ewing & C. Van Pelt
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lawrence Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, modified Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____



ok

WHEREAS, Application No. 6321 of the City of San Diego, California, and Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special conditions involved, or to the use intended, which zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations is a hardship, and that the granting of the variance is in the best interest and enjoyment of substantial property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will be in the best interest of the persons residing or working in the neighborhood and will be in the public welfare or injurious to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will be in the best interest of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the City Council of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Massey, lessee, to operate a garage on Block 45, City Heights, 4025, under the following conditions:

- 1. Storage of cars only.
- 2. This permit to expire on the expiration of the present lease of the property.
- 3. Wire fence 6 ft. in height to be constructed on rear of property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above,

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, 1948

By Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 3309

City Planning Room 260

ARCH _____

SIDE YARD _____

REAR YARD _____

ACCESS _____

COVERAGE _____

USE OF PROPERTY _____

SPLIT LOT _____

DISCOUNT _____

see Res. 3309

PAGE # 91 ZONE R4

LOT # 17+15 BLOCK 45

SUBDIVISION City Heights

OK to issue permit for res and gar - (But NO Commercial use of property)

South

RESOLUTION NO. _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6321 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nellie B. Smith, owner, and Leroy Massey, lessee, to operate an auto storage yard on Lots 17 and 18, Block 45, City Heights, 4025-29 Van Dyke Avenue, subject to the following conditions:

1. Storage of cars only, no wrecking to be done;
2. This permit to expire concurrently with Mr. Massey's present lease of the property.
3. Wire fence 6 ft. in height to be constructed on rear of property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above,

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 1948

By Zoning Engineer xxxxx
Secretary

Res. No. 3309

Application Received 7-26-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lancaster Allen Ewing & Butler
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, Council Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3310

file

WHEREAS, Application No. 6333 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lee W. Dolan and C. H. Malone to construct a duplex with a 10 ft. rear yard on the Northwesterly 50 ft. of Lots 2, 3 and 4, Block 5, Ocean Beach Park, most Southerly corner of Froude and Long Branch Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 7-27-48 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lawrence Cuning, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3311

WHEREAS, Application No. 6315 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. C. Moody to construct the second residence on Lot 61, Reynard Hills, East side of Reynard Way, just south of the intersection with Eagle Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 7-21-48 By Ed Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lancaster Cuning, Nelson & Denton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3312

WHEREAS, Application No. 6317 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Frank Rosman to make additions to and alter an existing 3-unit apartment house with a 9 ft. rear yard and no side-yard, to a 5-unit apartment house with a 4 ft. rear yard and no sideyard, 2607 "C" Street, on the North 50 ft. of Lots 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 34, H. M. Higgin's Addition, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-23-48 By C. B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Allen, Irving, Lancaster & Beulon
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Denial Date 7-28-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48
Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

sl

RESOLUTION NO. 3313

WHEREAS, Application No. 6311 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John M. and Pearl K. Cranston, owners, and Adolph and Agnes Peterson, purchasers, to construct a single family residence on the Northeasterly 1/2 of Lots 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 51, Middletown Addition, most Westerly corner of Pringle and Puterbaugh Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3313

Application Received 7-22-48 By [Signature]
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lawrence Ewing Allen Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3314

WHEREAS, Application No. 6312 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John M. and Pearl K. Cranston, owners, and Adolph and Agnes Peterson, purchasers, to construct a single family residence with a 10 ft. setback from Puterbaugh Street on the Northeasterly 1/2 of Lots 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 51, Middletown Addition, providing a setback is observed on Pringle Street not less than that of the existing residence on the same lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 7-22-48 By R. Johnson
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lawrence Ewing Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6318 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nat R. Walker to construct two additional living units, to make a total of four units on Lots 39 and 40, Block 33, Teralta, said units to be served by a 6 ft. access court to the street, 4258 - 37th Street, providing the existing garage on the property is dismantled or moved to another location within six months from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 3315

Application Received 7-22-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lancaster, Ewing Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Council approval Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

etc

RESOLUTION NO. 3316

WHEREAS, Application No. 6307 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. L. Smithton to construct a masonry wall 5 ft. in height on the front property line and a masonry wall 6 ft. in height along the side lot line in front of the setback line, Northerly side of Hillside Drive at Torrey Pines Road on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1285, known as Arbitrary Lots 20 and 21, Assessor's Map No. 33.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 and No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 7-23-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lancaster, Ewing, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____
Date 7-28-48

Decision Approved
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____
Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3317

WHEREAS, Application No. 6292 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Rose Heath and Dorothy Heath Cole to convert an existing bedroom and storage room to living quarters with a 13 in. sideyard and 5 ft. 2 in. between dwellings, 3243 Grim Street on the Westerly 88 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block 7, Frary Heights, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-21-48 By A.C. Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lancaster, Gering, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____
Decision denied Date 7-28-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48
Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

WHEREAS, Application No. 6308 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Sisters of Mercy to construct a 20 ft. by 42 ft. addition to the existing non-conforming Mercy Hospital, said addition to be used as a maintenance shop, Lot 2, Fleischer's Addition, Hillcrest Drive and 6th Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 190, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 7-19-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lancaster, Ewing, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-28-48</u>	Hearing date _____	
Decision <u>Approved</u>	Date <u>7-28-48</u>	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-29-48</u>	Building Inspector <u>7-30-48</u>	
Planning Commission <u>7-30-48</u>	Petitioner <u>7-30-48</u>	Health Department <u>7-30-48</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____	Council Hearing, date _____	
Decision of Council _____	Date _____	
Resolution becomes effective _____		
Application withdrawn _____	Continued to _____	
Time limit extended to _____	Date of action _____	

RESOLUTION NO. 3319

sk

WHEREAS, Application No. 6302 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel H. Huggins to operate a real estate office, part-time, in the existing residence at 4330-43rd Street, Lots 31 and 32, Block 2, Wilshire Place, subject to the following conditions:

1. No employees;
2. One sign not to exceed 1 sq. ft. in area;
3. Permit to be for a period of one year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-19-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lancaster, Ewing, Allen & Dutton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Council approval Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3320

WHEREAS, Application No. 6300 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William V. and Virginia L. George to erect a solid board fence, on the rear portion of the property, 8 ft. in height with two strands of barbed wire at the top, making a total height of 10 ft.; Lots 9 and 10, Block 47, City Heights, East side of 43rd Street, approximately 200 ft. South of University Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-15-48 By J.C. Taughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Leicester, Ewing Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 7-28-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48
Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

sk

RESOLUTION NO. 3321, extending Res. No. 2385

Letter dated July 15, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of one (1) year from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2385, which extended Resolution No. 414, be granted to G. A. Floore to maintain an apartment with no sideyard and only 2 ft. between buildings on Lots 43 and 44, Block 58, University Heights, 4576-30th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Letter
Application Received 7-15-48 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lancaster, Ewing, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

pk

RESOLUTION NO. 3322, extending Res. No. 2364

Letter dated June 24, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2364 be extended until January 1, 1949 to permit Mrs. Charles Craine to operate a Rest Home, with a maximum of 16 patients, at 4043-37th Street on Lots 13 and 14, Block 37, City Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, _____, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Letter
Application Received June 28-1948 By Mail _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6-30-48
7-14-48
7-28-48 By Allen, Lancaster, Gering & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6-30-48 Hearing date _____
7-14-48 Date 7-28-48
7-28-48

Decision could approve

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-31-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3323

WHEREAS, Application No. 6305 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Fred L. Souther to construct a six-unit apartment building with an 8 ft. setback from 9th Avenue, Lot 4, Block 18, Bayview Homestead, East side of 9th Avenue, between Beech and Cedar Streets, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 7-16-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lancaster, Ewing, Allen + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

jlc

RESOLUTION NO. 3324

WHEREAS, Application No. 6185 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clarence M. Magnussen to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1262 (legal description on file in Planning Department Office) into three building sites, one with 25 ft. frontage on Cabrillo Street, one with 20 ft. frontage on High Street and one served by a private easement 20 ft. in width, and to construct a single family residence on each parcel, according to plat on file in Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

① 10-21-48

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 7-23-48 By G. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Leicester, Irving, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 7-28-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-13-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48
Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

sk

RESOLUTION NO. 3325

WHEREAS, Application No. 6104 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Graham Kearney and Mable Kearney to construct a residence with a 5 ft. setback from Massena Street on Lot 12, and street closing adjacent thereto on the North, Beverly Heights, South side of Massena Street at Pepita Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 7-22-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-25-48 By Lancaster, Ewing, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

We

WHEREAS, Application No. 6298 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John J. Frazer to construct a two-story duplex at the rear of Lot G, Block 168, Mission Beach, behind the existing residence, said duplex to be served by a 3 ft. access court to the street, South side of Ostend Court, between Mission Blvd. and Bayside Lane, providing the primary access to the two living units is from the alley, and providing the new dwelling is built approximately 6 ft. from the existing dwelling and approximately 19 ft. from the rear lot line to provide space for parking of cars.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-19-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Laucaster, Ewing, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Condit Approval Date 7-28-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48
Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6299 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. M. E. Kunzi to convert the single family dwelling on the rear of the property, which does not have the required sideyard, into a duplex, making three units on the property to be served by a 7 ft. access court to the street at 4123 Wilson Avenue, Lots 17 and 18, Block 8, Subdivision of Lots 20 to 50, Block N, Teralta.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-19-48 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lancaster Allen Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6325 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard H. and Mabel M. Knapp, owners, and Arthur J. and Kathryn W. Tracey, purchasers, to construct a duplex on the Northerly 50 ft. of the Southerly 230 ft. of the Easterly 150 ft. of Lot L, La Mesa Colony, West side of 70th Street, south of Amherst Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3681, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-23-48 By Ed Jaworski
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lancaster, Eugene Allen & Benton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approval Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3329

WHEREAS, Application No. 6179 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alan R. Essery to cut out three parcels of land from Lot 34, Lemon Villa, and construct a single family residence on each, South side of Ogden Street at Shiloh Street, providing a 50 ft. strip of land is reserved for the future extension of Shiloh Street and providing an easement 10 ft. in width across the front of this property is granted to the City for the widening of Ogden Street, all according to the plat on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 7-19-48 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lawrence, Irving Allen & Beaton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Council Approval Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3330

sk

WHEREAS, Application No. 6196 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth and Elaine Mac Lead to construct a single family residence on the westerly portion of Lot 22, Soledad Terrace, according to the survey plat on file in the Planning Department Office, 1682 Los Altos Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary ~~XXXX~~

Application Received 7-20-48 By R. J. Hanson
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lancaster, Irving, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3331

ok

WHEREAS, Application No. 6303 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William V. and Virginia L. George to erect five residences on the West 70 ft. of Lots 41, 42, 43 and 44, Block 48, City Heights, a parcel of land without street frontage, said units to be served by a 12 ft. access court to the street over Lot 40 and the North 15 ft. of Lot 39, Block 48, City Heights, 3880-43rd Street, providing the 15 ft. alleyway immediately north of this property is kept open to the public at all times, and providing the North 15 ft. of Lot 39, all of Lot 40 and the West 70 ft. of Lots 41, 42, 43 and 44, Block 48, City Heights, are maintained in one ownership at all times and not sold separately. The owner shall sign an agreement to be filed of record to comply with the above conditions.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement #545
Filed 8-7-48*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 28, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 7-20-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7-28-48 By Lawrence, Emery, Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7-28-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Council approval Date 7-28-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-48 Building Inspector 7-30-48

Planning Commission 7-30-48 Petitioner 7-30-48 Health Department 7-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION No. 3332

Planning Commission

BE IT RESOLVED, by the ~~COUNCIL~~ of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That a finding be, and it is hereby made, that the proposed use by L. M. Addington, of "Addington" Custom Ammo Loader, to be located on Southern Half of Lots 1, 2, 3 & 4, Block 89, E. W. Morse's Subdivision, 1228 - 30th Street, for the operation of loading and stocking gun powder (ammunition being limited to 10 one-pound containers, 10,000 rounds, maximum yearly loading not to exceed 20,000 rounds) and converting military rifles into sporting rifles, is hereby classified as a permissible use in Zone R-C, and that such use is no more objectionable or obnoxious than other permitted uses, providing the operation of the business is limited to one man, as recommended by the City Planning Commission.

Planning Commission

Passed and adopted by the ~~COUNCIL~~ of the City of San Diego, California, this 4th day of August, 1948, by the following vote, to wit:

YEAS - ~~COUNCILMEN~~ 6-0

NAYS - Council :

ABSENT - Council :

Mayor of the City of San Diego, California

(ATTEST):

City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California

By Harry L. Haeling Deputy Assistant Planning Director

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing resolution was passed by the Council of the said City of San Diego, at the time and by the vote, above stated.

RESOLUTION NO. 3333

WHEREAS, Application No. 6348 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marshall M. and Marguerite T. Angleton to erect a redwood fence on top of an existing retaining wall to a total height of approximately 8 ft. above the adjacent ground level, Lot 1, Block 12, Sunset Cliffs, corner of Granger and Novarro Streets, fence to be located on the alley.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 8-2-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Living Allen & Halsey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
Date 8-11-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3334

WHEREAS, Application No. 6350 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter J. and Agnes E. Cummings to maintain an existing concrete block wall 5 ft. in height with no setback from Alta Way, Lot 11, Block 15, La Jolla Hermosa, Avenida Commercial, North of Camino de la Costa.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2479, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 8-3-48 By D. E. Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen, Leung & Fahey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
Date 8-12-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-15-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

See Res 3826

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3335, extending Res. No. 2942

Letter dated July 30, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2942 be granted to Joseph and Mable Berthelet to construct a garage with no rear yard on the Easterly 1/2 of Lots 15, 16 and 17 and the Easterly 1/2 of 18, except the Southwesterly 10 ft. of the Westerly 43 ft., and the Easterly 20 ft. of Lot 19, all in Block 4, Center Addition to La Jolla Park, Northwest corner of Miramar and Pearl Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, 19 48

By _____
Ass't Planning Director

Res. No. 3335

Letter
Application Received 7-31-48 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen, Lewis & Galling
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 8-11-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48
Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3336, extending Res. No. 2943

Letter dated July 30, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2943 be granted to Joseph and Mable Berthelet to erect a residence with a 5 ft. setback and a garage with no setback from Miramar Avenue on the Easterly 1/2 of Lots 15, 16, 17 and the Easterly 1/2 of 18, except the Southwesterly 10 ft. of the Westerly 43 ft. and the Easterly 20 ft. of Lot 19, Block 4, Center Addition to La Jolla Park, Northwest corner of Miramar and Pearl Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 1948

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Letter
Application Received 7-31-48 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen Eugene Halsey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 8-11-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48
Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

α

RESOLUTION NO. 3337

WHEREAS, Application No. 6322 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Etienne and Phyllis E. Dormoy to construct a single family residence with no setback from the now existing property line on State Street, but to observe a 15 ft. setback from Thorn Street, North 35 ft. of the East 50 ft. of Lot 11 and the East 50 ft. of Lot 12, Block 135, Middletown, Southwest corner of State and Thorn Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Duplex OK (PGB)
HEH

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, 19 48

By _____
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 7-23-48 By J. E. Roy
City Planning Department

Investigation made ⁷⁻²⁸⁻⁴⁸
8-11-48 By Allen, Ewing & Salsbery
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ⁷⁻²⁸⁻⁴⁸
8-11-48 Hearing date 8-11-48
Date 8-11-48

Decision Approved
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____
Time limit extended to _____

e. r.

WHEREAS, Application No. 6323 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Mrs. Edwin E. Rhinehart to convert the existing downstairs rooms of the residence at 5402 Gilbert Drive into a separate living unit on a portion of Lot 11, Redland Tract (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office) is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3426, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Filed 8-12-48

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 1948

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Application Received 7-22-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made ⁷⁻²⁸⁻⁴⁸ 8-11-48 By Allen, Eugene & Sallee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ⁷⁻²⁸⁻⁴⁸ 8-11-48 Hearing date 8-11-48
Date 8-11-48

Decision Denied

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3339

WHEREAS, Application No. 6288 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Susan Truman and Barbara Peterson to operate a Child Day Care Center in the existing residence at 945 Archer Street, on Lots 65 to 69, inclusive, Block 5, First Addition to Pacific Beach Vista Tract, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Hours of operation from 8:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M., Monday through Friday;
- 2. Age range of children to be from 2 years to 12 years;
- 3. This permit to be for a period of one year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 19 48

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Res. No. 3339

Application Received 7-26-48 By S.A. Ricks
 City Planning Department
 Investigation made ⁷⁻²⁸⁻⁴⁸ 8-11-48 By Allen, Ewing & Saelzig
 City Planning Department
 Considered by Zoning Committee ⁷⁻²⁸⁻⁴⁸ 8-11-48 Hearing date 8-11-48
 Decision Council Approval Date 8-11-48
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48
 Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3340

WHEREAS, Application No. 6354 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John M. and Pearl K. Cranston to add to and convert an existing garage, which has no sideyard on the Southwest side, into bedrooms, bath and recreation room, 3690 Pringle Street on the Southwesterly 50 ft. of Lots 21 to 24, inclusive, Block 51, Middletown Addition, and to construct a new garage on the Northeasterly 25 ft. of Lots 9 to 12, inclusive, Block 51, Middletown Addition, providing an agreement is signed by the owners and filed of record to the effect that the two above-described properties will be retained in the same ownership at all times and not sold separately, and providing sufficient space is allowed on this property for off-street parking of cars.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 and No. 8924, section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement # 547
filed with City Clerk
8-17-48*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 19 48

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Application Received 8-6-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen, Ewing & Paulsey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, Council Date 8-11-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3341

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 6339 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles A. Westerlund and Esther M. Anderson, owners, and Damon G. Todd, lessee, to operate a part-time business of making show cards by hand in the basement of an existing residence at 3063 Grape Street, East 175 ft. of the North 90 ft. of Lot 2, J. P. Christensen's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

1. Operation not to exceed 25 hrs per week;
2. No employees;
3. To display one sign not over 1 sq. ft. in area;
4. This permit to be for a period of one year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, 19 48

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Application Received 8-2-48 By D. E. Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen, Ewing & Haelsig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Council Approval Date 8-11-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3342

WHEREAS, Application No. 6331 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David H. McKeague to construct a masonry fence 4 ft. 8 in. in height with a 5 ft. setback from Gilbert Drive on Lot 32, Highland Gardens, 4441 Dayton Street, providing this 5 ft. strip between the fence and sidewalk is landscaped.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 1948

By _____
Ass't Planning Director ^{Secretary}

Application Received 8-2-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Ewing Allen & Haeberly
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 8-11-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3343

WHEREAS, Application No. 6370 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Rosman to convert a 3-unit apartment building, which has no sideyard and a 9 ft. 6 in. rear yard, into a 5-unit apartment building with a 3 ft. 4 in. sideyard and 9 ft. 6 in. rear yard and with a 9 ft. 6 in. court to one apartment in the rear, existing stairway to be removed to provide the sideyard, and the bay window which encroaches into the rear yard to be removed, 2607 "C" Street, North 50 ft. of Lots 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 34, H. M. Higgins' Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, 19 48

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Application Received 8-6-48 By Kdm
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Living Allow & Hueling
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-11-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ab

WHEREAS, Application No. 6353 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Delmore T. Ball to erect a duplex, making four living units on the lot, to be served by a 6 ft. access court to the street at 4927 Cape May Avenue, Lot 32, Block 58, Ocean Beach, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the porch on the residence on the adjoining Lot 31, owned by Leota M. Regan, be removed to provide a 4 ft. open space on said Lot 31 from the street to the front of the duplex on the rear of said Lot 31;
2. That the 6 ft. access court on Lot 32 be maintained clear and unobstructed;
3. That the two owners, Ball and Regan, shall exchange easements which will provide egress and ingress through a court not less than 10 ft. in width, said court to be maintained as long as the City Ordinance requires a 10 ft., or wider, access court for such uses.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Easements recorded
9/27/48*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 3344

Application Received 8-6-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made ⁸⁻¹¹⁻⁴⁸8-25-48 By Leicester, Leving & Burlo
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ⁸⁻¹¹⁻⁴⁸8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Council Approval Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3345

WHEREAS, Application No. 6286 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. D. G. Cowles to construct the fourth living unit on Lots 8, 9 and 10, Block 133, University Heights, 4259 Maryland Avenue.

A Variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 1948

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Application Received 8-5-48 By B. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Livingston Allen & Halsey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
Date 8-11-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3346

WHEREAS, Application No. 6344 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Karen Fulton to convert a residence into a duplex by altering a garage into an apartment, making third unit on the property, and maintain existing 7½ ft. rear yard and no sideyard, and to use existing 3 ft. sideyard as access court for units at the rear, 5616 La Jolla Boulevard on Lot 15, Block 16, Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 19 48

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Application Received 8-5-48 By C. B. Ross
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Erving Allen & Paelsing
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
Decision denied Date 8-11-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48
Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3347

WHEREAS, Application No. 6358 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cassius Peck to construct a garage on Lot 4, Block 33, La Jolla Hermosa, with no setback for a portion of the building, Waverly Street and Via Del Norte, northwesterly corner.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, 1948

By _____
Ass't Planning Director, Secretary

Application Received 8-9-48 By R. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Living Allen & Hansen
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-11-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3348

WHEREAS, Application No. 6272 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mary S. Corey to divide the East 112 ft. of the West 212 ft. of Lot B, Braemar Extension into two parcels, one to be 92 ft. by 115 ft., facing Braemar Lane, and the other to face the bay with a 20 ft. drive to Braemar Lane, said drive, 20 ft. in width and 115 ft. in depth, to remain a part of the bay front lot at all times (according to plat on file in the Planning Department Office), and to permit a single family residence on each parcel, South side of Braemar Lane, East of Bayard Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 19 48

By _____
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 8-9-48 By R. J. Hansen
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Erving Allen & Helsing
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 8-11-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48
 Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3349 *amended by Res 3378*

WHEREAS, Application No. 6352 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Austin Dunham to construct a garage, attached to a residence, with a 10 ft. setback from Torrey Pines Road, residence to observe the required setback, portion of Pueblo Lot 1286, known as Arbitrary Lot No. 35-A of Assessor's Map 33-A, corner of Torrey Pines Road and Roseland Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 1948

By _____
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 8-9-48 By H. O. M.
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen Ewing & Salsbery
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 8-11-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48
Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3350

WHEREAS, Application No. 6369 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John N. and Eleanor Opie to construct a single family residence with no setback from Dolphin Place on Lot 6, Block G, Resubdivision of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, Dolphin Place, approximately 118 ft. Southwesterly of Chelsea Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 19 48

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Application Received 8-9-48 By F. W. Max Conner
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen, Ewing & Halling
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-11-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3351

WHEREAS, Application No. 6310 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. T. S. Geisel to construct a single family residence on a portion of Lot 3, Block F, La Jolla Country Club Heights (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office) and to construct said residence with a 6 ft. rear yard and no sideyard, East side of Encelia Drive, South of the intersection with Brodiaea Way.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, 19 48

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Application Received 8-9-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen, Euning & Hacking
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
Date 8-11-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3352, extending Res. No. 2847

Letter dated July 31, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2847 be granted to Weidler Bard Musselman, Jr. and Mary Idalaine Rogers to construct a residence with a 5 ft. setback from Windsor Road on Lot 28, Block B, Nettleship Tye Tract No. 2, West side of Windsor Road, 180 ft. NW of Loring Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, 1948

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Letter
Application Received 7-31-48 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen, Irving, & Halling
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-12-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 6364 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Franklin D. and Almeda V. Boone to construct a single family residence on a portion of Lot L, La Mesa Colony, 55 ft. by 150 ft. in size, (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), West side of 70th Street, south of Amherst Street, providing the setback of this residence is not less than that of the adjoining dwellings and providing an agreement is signed by the owners and filed of record to the effect that when and if the city requests it, an easement 20 ft. in width across the front of this property will be granted for the widening of 70th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3681, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, 1948

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Res. No. 3353

Application Received 8-5-48 By Ed Van Kise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen, Ewing & Shelling
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, local Date 8-11-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6337 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. E. Maconnell to operate a commercial photographic dark room in existing hobby dark room in the residence at the Easterly corner of Seaside and Greene Streets, Northwesterly 90 ft. of Lot 23, Block 1, DePuy's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

1. Part-time only, not to exceed 25 hours per week;
2. No signs to be displayed on the premises and no customers to be served;
3. No employees;
4. This permit to be for a period of one year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, 19 48

By Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Application Received 8-6-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee _____ Hearing date _____
Date _____

Decision _____

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _____ Building Inspector _____
Planning Commission _____ Petitioner _____ Health Department _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3355

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 6159 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of W. L. and Rhea R. Wanser to divide Lots 5 and 6, Block E, Resubdivision of a portion of La Jolla Park Villa Tract, into three parcels, two facing Soledad Road and one facing Kearsage Road, and permit a single family residence on each, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

A 11-24-48 divided into 2 parcels.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 19 48

By _____ Secretary
Ass't Planning Director _____ Res. No. 3355

Application Received 7-27-48 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen, Leving & Hoelzig
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 8-11-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3356, amending Res. No. 3194

Letter dated August 3, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3194, dated June 2, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. Holle and the Holle Glass Company to erect a galvanized corrugated iron fence 8 ft. in height on the front of the property, 7 ft. in height on the side property lines and 9 ft. in height on the rear property line with a 12 in. arm extending inward around the entire fence, 427 - 9th Avenue, Lot E, Block 109. Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 1948

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Letter
Application Received 8-4-48 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen, Ewing & Hacking
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 8-11-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48
Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

8

RESOLUTION NO. 3357

WHEREAS, Application No. 6324 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. A. Hilgeman to erect a two-story accessory building with no sideyard and no rear yard, Lot 7, Block 28, South Park Addition, West side of Granada Street, North of "A" Street (1320 Granada Avenue).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 19 48

FORM 2145

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Res. No. 3357

Application Received 8-5-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen Ewing & Halling
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-11-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3358

WHEREAS, Application No. 6355 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Theodore C. and June D. Paulson to construct a single family residence on a parcel of land approximately one acre in size, being a portion of Pueblo Lots 1286 and 1288 (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), Westerly side of Hidden Valley Road, South of Torrey Pines Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 19 48

By _____
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 8-9-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen, Ewing & Saalung
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 8-11-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48
Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3359

WHEREAS, Application No. 6345 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mabel Pascoe to make a small addition to the dining room and to replace the roof and floor in the kitchen and service porch of the residence at 2611 "A" Street, on a parcel of land which does not have street frontage, being the South 70 ft. of Lot 22 and the South 70 ft. of the East 10 ft. of Lot 23, Block 32, H. M. Higgins' Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, 19 48

By _____
Ass't Planning Director

Application Received 8-9-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen, Young & Halsey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-11-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3360

WHEREAS, Application No. 6361 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. C. Thorp to construct a 21 ft. by 20 ft. 6 in. addition to the existing non-conforming potato chip factory at 4764 Logan Avenue on the East 330 ft. of the North 1/2 of the S 1/2 of the Southwest 1/4 of Lot 55, Horton's Purchase of Ex-Mission Lands.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 78, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 19 48

FORM 2145

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Res. No. 3360

Application Received 8-10-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen Ewing & Hocking
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-11-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3361

WHEREAS, Application No. 6372 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. R. Gunderson to construct a picket fence 5 ft. in height in front of the setback line on Sycamore Street, Lot 4, Block 27, Lexington Park, 4147 Pepper Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 1948

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Application Received 8-10-48 By E. C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen, Luning & Haeberly
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
Date 8-11-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48

Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 6374 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of J. W. Percival to construct a sign and lightpost with no setback from Pacific Highway on Lots 5 and 6, Block 288, Middletown, 1505 Pacific Highway, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 1948

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Application Received 8-11-48 By J. D. [unclear]
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Langston Allen, Ewing & Burton
8-25-48 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
8-25-48 Date 8-11-48 - reapprehended 8-25-48

Decision denied

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 6367 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Max Rabinowitz to erect an iron fence 6ft. to 8 ft. in height, making height above the sidewalk grade approximately 9 ft., Lots 45, 46, 47 and 48, Block 93, Mannassee & Schiller's Subdivision, Southeasterly corner of Newton and Sigsbee Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 11, , 19 48

By _____
Ass't Planning Director Secretary

Res. No. 3363

Application Received 8-10-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Allen, Irving & Halsey
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 8-11-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-48 Building Inspector 8-13-48
Planning Commission 8-13-48 Petitioner 8-13-48 Health Department 8-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3364

WHEREAS, Application No. 6363 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard Gibson, owner, and Thomas B. Robertson, purchaser, to construct a residence with a setback varying from 5 ft. to 7½ ft., according to the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, Lots 6 and 7, Block 91, Middletown Addition, Pringle Street, Northwesterly of Andrews Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 18, , 19 48

By _____
H. C. Haelsig, Secretary
Ass't Planning Director Res. No. 3364

Application Received 8-5-48 By F. W. Mee Connell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48 By Gov. Irving Allen & Keeling
8-18-48 8-11-48 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-18-48 Hearing date _____
Date 8-18-48

Decision Approved
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-23-48 Building Inspector 8-23-48

Planning Commission 8-23-48 Petitioner 8-23-48 Health Department 8-23-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

WHEREAS, Application No. 6270 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Warren and Susanne Dailey to operate a boarding home for aged ambulatory persons, maximum of seven (7) guests, at 4385-36th Street on the West 80 ft. of Lots 2, 3, 4, except the North 15 ft. of Lot 2, Block 43, W. P. Herbert's Subdivision, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

*See C. H. 's
opinion filed
with petition*

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 7-29-48 By CB Rose
City Planning Department

Investigation made ⁸⁻¹¹⁻⁴⁸ 8-25-48 By Leicester, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ⁸⁻¹¹⁻⁴⁸ 8-25-48 Hearing date 8-25-48

Decision Appeal Denied Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 8-30-48 Council Hearing, date 9-7-48

Decision of Council Filed appeal - Date 9-21-48

Resolution becomes effective See attorney's opinion in file.

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3366

WHEREAS, Application No. 6319 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to erect a 32 ft. by 144 ft. classroom building with a 10 ft. setback from Ray Street on Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, Block 21, West End Addition, West side of Ray Street, between Dwight and Capps Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 19 48

FORM 2145

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXX~~ Secretary

Res. No. 3366

Application Received 7-30-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48
8-25-48 By Lawrence, Alan, Cunningham & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date 8-25-48

Decision Mod. approval Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 8-30-48 Council Hearing, date 9-14-48

Decision of Council Appeal withdrawn Date by RC Bishop before

Resolution becomes effective Council hearing. Above

Application withdrawn Continued to decision stands.

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ale

RESOLUTION NO. 3367

WHEREAS, Application No. 6408 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John F. Evans to construct a single family residence on the remaining portion of Lots 46 and 47, Block 180, University Heights, which parcel of land does not have street frontage but is served by an alley 20 ft. in width, Washington Street Freeway, Easterly of Vermont Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 22, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 8-20-48 By B. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48
9-8-48
9-22-48 By Walter Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48
9-8-48
9-22-48 Hearing date _____
Date 9-22-48

Decision Approved
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-23-48 Building Inspector 9-24-48

Planning Commission 9-24-48 Petitioner 9-24-48 Health Department 9-24-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6379 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jose da Silva and Wilhelmina Alves, owners, and John Allen and Mary Ann Blackstock, purchasers, to redivide Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4, Block 5, Roseville Heights, most Westerly corner of Ullman and Bangor Streets, into two parcels, each 58½ ft. in width facing Bangor Street, and permit a single family residence on each, providing a 15 ft. setback is observed on Bangor Street and the setback as required by the Setback Ordinance is observed on Ullman Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 8-20-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Lancaster, Luning & Dutton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6366 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas P. Faulconer to move a portion of a two-story frame and stucco dwelling onto the Easterly 44 ft. of Villa Lot 11 and the Westerly 40 ft. of Villa Lot 12, Allen Terrace, and convert said building to a single family dwelling, 4489 Hermosa Way.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 8-10-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Sancheater, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

See 3675 Ext.

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3370

WHEREAS, Application No. 6284 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph and Stephanie Avoyer to divide Lots 4 and 5, Block 1, Loma View, Southwest corner of Jennings Street and Silvergate Avenue, into two building sites, one to be 71 ft. in width and the other 61 ft. in width, facing Silvergate Avenue, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, providing a 15 ft. setback is observed on Silvergate Ave. and the setback as required by the Setback Ordinance is observed on Jennings Street, and providing an agreement is signed by the owners to the effect that when and if the City requests it, an easement 10 ft. in width will be granted for the widening of Silvergate Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement #549
(not filed with
city clerk)*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 8-20-48 By F. W. MacConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Lancaster, Irving & Butler
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, cond. Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 6399 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
- 2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
- 3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. **not**

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot Block

Subdivision s 19 to 24, Incl. 370

E. O. Roger's Addition

Most Westerly corner of Riley and Moore Streets

(Walter Anderson) may be used for the erection and operation of

to move in a barracks building to be converted to a 14-unit auto court and a manager's apartment, subject to the following conditions

Design of this building to be in keeping with the design of the buildings on the petitioner's abutting property.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
City of San Diego, California

Dated August 25, 1948

By Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 8-20-48 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Sanctus, Loring & Purton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application Withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

sh

RESOLUTION NO. 3372

WHEREAS, Application No. 6357 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dan Thomas to erect a neon advertising sign on the existing tower over a store building at 3503 Ingraham Street, said sign not to go beyond the dimensions of the existing tower, Lot 257, Crown Point, subject to architectural approval of the final plans for said sign by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3141, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 19 48

By Zoning Engineer xxxxy Secretary

Application Received 8-9-48 By E. Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Sancester, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision to mod. approval Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3373

WHEREAS, Application No. 6398 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of W. L. Ellis to erect a residence on the Northerly 1/2 of Lots 1 and 2, Block 6, Silver Terrace, with a 4 ft. setback from Mildred Street, at the Southeast corner of Goshen Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 8-20-48 By F. W. Mac Connell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Lancaster, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 8-26-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

oh

RESOLUTION NO. 3374

WHEREAS, Application No. 6365 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. L. and Helen Larson to construct a masonry wall 6 ft. in height on top of a concrete retaining wall with a maximum height of 2 ft., total height of fence 8 ft., said fence to be located on the side and rear property lines, Lot 10, Block 14, Sunset Cliffs, 4628 Osprey Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 8-11-48 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Lancaster, Living & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

alc

RESOLUTION NO. 3375

WHEREAS, Application No. 6390 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gerald F. and Margaret D. Banks to construct a recreation room and bath over an existing garage with a 12 ft. rear yard and existing sideyard on the South side, Lot 12, Block 5, Kensington Heights Unit No. 1, 4018 South Hempstead Circle.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 8-18-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Leicester, Livingston & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector _____

Planning Commission _____ Petitioner _____ Health Department _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

OK

RESOLUTION NO. 3376

WHEREAS, Application No. 6291 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Knudsen Realty Company to construct a mesh wire fence with three strands of barbed wire at the top, sloping inward, to a total height of 10 ft., entirely around the property, portion of Lot 3 and all of Lot 4, Block 403, and portions of Lots 1 and 2, Block 431, Old San Diego, and Wallace Street closed, (legal description on file in Planning Department Office), Kurtz Street, Northwesterly of Smith Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. ✓

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 8-18-48 By E. Ward Huse
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Lancaster, Leving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3377

ck

WHEREAS, Application No. 6400 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Victor G. and Mary L. Rosa to construct a single family residence on the Southwesterly 32½ ft. of Lots 5 and 6 and the Southwesterly 32½ ft. of the Southeasterly 25 ft. of Lot 4, Block 88, Roseville, and 35 ft. of Jarvis Street closed adjacent, Willow Street at Jarvis Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. ✓

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 8-19-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Lawrence, Living & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Letter dated Aug. 19, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~Application No. _____~~ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3349, dated August 11, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Austin Dunham to construct a garage, attached to a residence, with a 5 ft. setback from Torrey Pines Road, residence to observe the required setback, portion of Pueblo Lot 1286, known as Arbitrary Lot No. 35-A of Assessor's Map 33-A, corner of Torrey Pines Road and Roseland Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 19 48

By Zoning Engineer Secretary

Letter
Application Received 8-19-48 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Lancaster, Leving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 8-25-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48
Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3379, extending Res. No. 2891

Letter Dated
August 19, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2891 be granted to George Neumann to construct a residence and garage on Lot 11, Block B, Presidio Hills, with a 3 ft. 6 in. setback for the garage, residence to observe required setback, Northerly side of Presidio Drive, Southerly of the intersection with Marilouise Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Lester
Application Received 8-20-48 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Lester, Leving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6371 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. E. Singleton to construct an addition to the front of an existing residence and observe a 15 ft. setback, Lots 9, 11 and 12, Block N, Montclair, 2938 Vancouver Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 8-12-48 By D. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Spencer, Irving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Modified Approval Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6416 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Franklin O. and Evalyn M. Lockard to construct a residence with a 3 ft. sideyard, Lot 157, Congress Heights, 1616 Beryl Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application Received 8-27-48 By E. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Senesater, Ewing & Benton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3382

WHEREAS, Application No. 6393 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph and Hazel L. Hobbs to finish the basement of an existing residence to a workshop, bath and bedroom and maintain existing rear yard, 1604 Myrtle Avenue, Lot 1, except the North 62 ft., Block 12, T. J. Higgin's Addition, and portion of street closed adjacent.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xxxxxx~~

Application Received 8-17-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Leicester, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3383

WHEREAS, Application No. 6139 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. E. and Nella Stewart to construct an addition to a non-conforming living unit and separate sleeping room to make one complete residence, with a 20 ft. rear yard and existing sideyards, making two dwellings on Lots 31 and 32, Block 6, Ocean Beach, 4525 Niagara Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 8-10-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48
8-25-48 By Lincolnton, Irving & Burt
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Ok

WHEREAS, Application No. 6329 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sherman-Gray, Inc., to redivide Lots 22, 23 and 24, Block 5, Ocean Beach, most Northerly corner of Niagara and Guizot Streets, into two parcels, one 67 ft. in width and the other 73 ft. in width, facing Guizot Street, and permit a single family residence on each, providing a 15-ft. setback is observed on Guizot Street and the setback as required by the Set-back Ordinance is observed on Niagara Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

*Corner - over 900 ft
Inside v 800 ft*

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 3384

Application Received 8-6-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-11-48
8-25-48 By Lancaster Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-11-48 Hearing date 8-25-48
Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 8-30-48 Council Hearing, date 9-14-48

Decision of Council Appeal denied Date 9-14-48

Resolution becomes effective ZC decision sustained

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Planning

RESOLUTION NO. 90916, See Res 3384 preceding

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Thomas D. Drake, 4321 Voltaire Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 3384, application No. 6329, for permission to redivide Lots 22, 23 and 24, Block 5, Ocean Beach, most northerly corner of Niagara and Guizot Streets, into two parcels, one 67 ft. in width and the other 73 ft. in width, facing Guizot Street, and permit a single family residence on each, providing a 15 ft. setback is observed on Guizot Street and the setback as required by the Setback Ordinance is observed on Niagara Street, be, and it is hereby denied, and said

Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. _____ of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council _____

FRED W. SICK

F. T. PATTEN

City Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

90916

SEP 14 1948

Ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3385

WHEREAS, Application No. 6368 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur Shimmons, owner, and Philip Haims, D.V.M., lessee, to use an existing building at 3917 El Cajon Boulevard as a Veterinary Day Clinic, East 40 ft. of the North 63½ ft. of Lot 9, Block J, Teralta, subject to the following conditions:

1. Outpatient treatments only; no animals to be kept overnight;
2. No boarding of animals;
3. All activities to be conducted entirely within the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer

Application Received 8-7-48 By H.D. Monday
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Lawrence, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____
Decision approved, cond Date 8-25-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48
Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

WHEREAS, Application No. 6388 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lee and Emma Gaudreau to construct two single family dwellings on the rear of Lots 11 and 12, Block 95, City Heights, making three units on the property, with a 5 ft. 6 in. access court on one side of the existing residence and a 4 ft. 6 in. access court on the other side, 3551-40th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 8-17-48 By J.C. Daughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Sanoster, Leving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3387

WHEREAS, Application No. 6403 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. A. Brelin to construct a portion of a store building on Lots 7, 8 and 9, Block 129, Middletown, for the sale of drugs and sundries and in compliance with the regulations covering property in the adjoining "C" Zone, most Westerly corner of Columbia and Spruce Streets, portion of the building to be constructed on the adjoining "C" Zone lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 8-20-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Lancaster Young & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

Letter dated August 21, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2654, dated November 5, 1947, be amended insofar as it relates to Condition No. 8, and that Harry Williams and Frank C. Lambert be permitted to amend the plans mentioned in said Item 8 and to construct 20 trailer spaces to be served with community toilets, as shown on the revised plans, rather than individual toilets buildings as shown on the original plans. All other improvements of these 20 trailer spaces shall be identical with the other trailer spaces in the camp, 1579 Morena Boulevard on a portion of Pueblo Lot 256.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3388

Letter
Application Received 8-26-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Leicester, Eveng & Buelor
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-26-48 Building Inspector 8-27-48

Planning Commission 8-27-48 Petitioner 8-27-48 Health Department 8-27-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application ~~dated~~ ^{Letter dated August 21, 1948} ~~has~~ been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the ~~neighborhood~~ ^{not}; and
3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. ^{not}

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot Block
Subdivision Portion of Pueblo Lot
256

1579 Morena Boulevard

may be used for the erection and operation of (Harry Williams and Frank C. Lambert)

That Resolution No. 2655, dated November 5, 1947, be amended insofar as it relates to Condition No. 8, and that Harry Williams and Frank C. Lambert be permitted to amend the plans mentioned in said Item 8 and to construct 20 trailer spaces to be served with community toilets, as shown on the revised plans, rather than individual toilet buildings as shown on the original plans. All other improvements of these 20 trailer spaces shall be identical with the other trailer spaces in the camp.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
City of San Diego, California

Dated August 25, 1948

By
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Luter
Application Received *8-25-48* By *J.C. Baughman*
City Planning Department

Investigation made *8-25-48* By *Lancaster, Cuning & Burton*
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee *8-25-48* Hearing date
Decision *Approved* Date *8-25-48*
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk *8-26-48* Building Inspector *8-27-48*
Planning Commission *8-27-48* Petitioner *8-27-48* Health Department *8-27-48*
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application Withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 3390

WHEREAS, Application No. 6382 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jesse T. Davis and Emma R. Davis to tear down an existing garage and construct a five-car garage with two apartments over, making nine units on the property, with a 5 ft. access court to the street, Lots 1, 2 and 3, except the North 50 ft. thereof, Resubdivision of Block 68, Arnold and Choate's Addition, 3977 Falcon Street, providing there is 10 ft. between the new apartments and the existing apartment, and providing an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that the existing single family on the front of the property will be removed from the premises by January 1, 1950.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement # 550
filed 9-2-48*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~secretary~~

Application Received 8-17-48 By J C Doughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/25/48 By Lawrence Ewing + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Council approval Date 8/25/48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-30-48 Building Inspector 8-30-48

Planning Commission 8-30-48 Petitioner 8-30-48 Health Department 8-30-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3391, amended by Res. 3424

WHEREAS, Application No. 6326 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward and Marie Smith to construct a single family residence on portions of Lots 52 and 53, Las Alturas Villa Sites (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office) Southeast corner of Olvera Avenue and Bonita Drive, providing said residence is within 100 ft. of Olvera Avenue and providing an agreement is signed by the owners to the effect that when the City requests it, an easement for a corner cut-off at a radius of 17 ft. will be granted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

(agreement 581)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 25, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 8-17-48 By R.J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8-25-48 By Sancaeter, Livingston & Butler
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-25-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Council Date 8-25-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-1-48 Building Inspector 9-1-48

Planning Commission 9-1-48 Petitioner 9-1-48 Health Department 9-1-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3392

WHEREAS, Application No. 6448 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Ralph E. and Miriam L. Sturts to construct a garage with a 1 ft. sideyard and only 59 ft. 6 in. back from the front property line at 3726-36th Street on Lots 30 and 31, Block 62, City Heights, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 9-1-48 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-8-48 By Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Denied Date 9-8-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-48 Building Inspector 9-13-48

Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitioner 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6441 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Effie Fogarty to maintain a garage with a 1 ft. sideyard and only 59 ft. 6 in. back from the front property line at 3716-36th Street on Lots 28 and 29, Block 62, City Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 9-1-48 By P. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-8-48 By Allen V. Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 9-8-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-48 Building Inspector 9-13-48
Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitioner 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3394

WHEREAS, Application No. 6446 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Manual Jimenez to construct approximately 147 lineal feet of chain link fence 5 ft. in height in front of the setback line at 4630 Ocean View Blvd. on Lot 7 and the South 41 ft. of Lot 8, Block 2, Homedale Addition, providing an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that when the city requests it, an easement 10 ft. in width along the side of this property will be granted for the widening of Ocean View Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer

~~SECRETARY~~

Res. No. 3394

Application Received 9-2-48 By B. J. Hanson
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-8-48 By Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7-8-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-48 Building Inspector 9-13-48

Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitioner 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

3741 ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3395, extending Res. No. 2963

Letter dated September 2, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2963, which amended Resolution No. 2922, be granted to Kenneth and Betty Creel to erect two (2) four-unit apartment buildings, each with a 14 ft. setback from Ohio Street, on a portion of Lots 42 to 48, inclusive, Block 64, University Heights, closed street adjacent, and a portion of Pueblo Lot G, Southwest corner of Ohio and Monroe Streets, providing a setback is observed from Monroe Street and providing these buildings are located on the North 135 ft. of the above-described parcel of land.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Letter

Application Received 9-3-48 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-8-48 By Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-8-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-48 Building Inspector 9-13-48

Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitioner 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

vle

RESOLUTION NO. 3396

WHEREAS, Application No. 6436 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marion F. and Mary R. Humphrey to construct a single family residence on the North 30 ft. of Lot 34 and the South 20 ft. of Lot 35, Block 5, Point Loma Heights, 3535 Sterne Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary JCK

Application Received 9-3-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-8-48 By Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-8-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-48 Building Inspector 9-13-48

Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitioner 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 3397

WHEREAS, Application No. 6444 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louis and Alice M. Vothelin to construct a residence with a 15 ft. setback from Saratoga Avenue on Lots 25 and 26, Block 3, Ocean Beach, most Westerly corner of Saratoga Avenue and Guizot Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 9-3-48 By E.C. New Use
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-8-48 By Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-8-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-48 Building Inspector 9-13-48

Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitioner 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3398, extending Resolution No. 2981

Res 3763

Letter dated August 25, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2981 be granted to William and Jewell B. Henderson to construct nine residential units on Lots 1 to 6, inclusive, Block 26, Ocean Beach Park, with portions of five units to be on Lot 6, Easterly corner of Larkspur Street and West Point Loma Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3398

Letter
Application Received 9-7-48 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9-8-48 By Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 9-8-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-48 Building Inspector 9-13-48

Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitioner 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3400

WHEREAS, Application No. 6450 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. P. Bennett to construct a three-car garage, 22 ft. by 30 ft. in size, total of 660 sq. ft. in area, on an existing slab with a 1 ft. rear yard, 3401 Pershing Drive on the West 62½ ft. of Lots 10, 11 and 12, Block 66, Park Villas.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Application Received: 9-7-48 - By: P. J. Dunton
Investigation made: 9-8-48 By: Allen & Dunton
Reviewed by Z.C.: 9-8-48
Approved Date: 9-8-48
Copy to City Clerk: 9-10-48. City Inspector: 9-13-48
Planning Com.: 9-13-48. Petitioner: 9-13-48 - Health Dept. 9-13-48

Document No. _____

Filed _____

By _____ City Clerk.

Deputy

ZONE VARIANCE RESOLUTION
OF ZONING COMMITTEE
TO

FOR

