WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6424</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOU**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George M. Veatch, Jr. to erect/two units on Lots 5 and 6, Block 272, Pacific Beach, making a total of four units on the property, with an 8 ft. access court to the street at 1819-1823 Thomas Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Sexeexex

Res. No. 3401

Que

Application Received 8-30-48 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-8-48</u> By	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-8-4</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-10-48</u> Planning Commission <u>8-13-48</u> Petitioner	Hearing date Date $\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}$ Building Inspector $\mathcal{P} - 1 \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}$ $\mathcal{P} - 1 \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}$ Health Department $\mathcal{F} - 1 \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}$ Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second of the second s

and the second second

Come Contractor

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6427</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel A. and Marjorie S. Nix to construct two single family dwellings, crossing lot lines, on the Westerly 1/2 of Lot 34 and all of Lot 35, Block 42, Ocean Beach, 4731 Bermuda Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

XSegletary

September 8, . 1948 Dated

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3402

Application Received <u>8.31-48</u> By <u>Jw</u> mae Connecco City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-8-98</u> By <u>Aelew & Derrow</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee SF: VK Hearing date
Decision approved Date 9-8-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-44 Building Inspector 9-13-70
Decision Date 9-8-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-0-44</u> Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitioner 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

A set of the set of the set of the set of the

and the second second and the second and the second s

A second s

. . .

.

Prost Parts

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6404</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ray F. and Jonnie C. Greggs to convert an existing garage into a den with a 7½ ft. rear yard, 5082 East Mountain View Drive on the Northerly 75 ft. of Lot 18, Block 5. Normal Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated September 8, . 19 48

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3403

sk

Application Received	9-1-48	By_	Alle.	J.C.	Baughman g Department
		-		City Plannin	g Department
Investigation made	9-8-48	By_	aller	1 + 13	g Department
					g Department
Considered by Zoning C	ommittee 9 -8 - 4 S	ł	Hearing day	te	and the second
Decision an	sauch	Γ	Date 9.	8-48	
Copy of Resolution sent	o City Clerk 9-10-9	18 E	Building In	spector	7-13-48
Considered by Zoning C Decision Copy of Resolution sent Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clo	7-13-48 Petitione	r 9	-13-48	Health De	partment 5-13-48
Appeal filed with City Cle	erk, date	_ (Council Hea	aring, date	
Decision of Council	A DE REPUERT DE MERCIER	Ι	Date	an all the second	
Resolution becomes effect	tive		0.000		
Application withdrawn	and the second s	(Continued t	0	
Time limit extended to		I	Date of acti	ion	

BUCKEY? VONTA-STICK V-1

the PLOTE DE LOUIT CONTRACT AND THE TREATING COMPLETED TO PRODUCE TO A SHOT THE TREAT

and an and a second second second second second second and second s

1 1 1 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6405</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Ray F. and Jonnie C. Greggs to construct a garage with a 1 ft. 6 in. setback from East Mountain View Drive on the Northerly 75 ft. of Lot 18, Block 5, Normal Heights, 5082 East Mountain View Drive, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3404

Secretery

ok

Dated September 8, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Application Received By	J.C. Baccylina City Planning Department
	<u>Filen</u> & Burlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-48	Date 9-8-48 Building Inspector 9-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Health Department
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

an and the second second of the second se

ALCONE IS A CONTRACT OF

in the second second states and share the second

have a man

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6224</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOT**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Elizabeth Flynn to operate a nursing home for a maximum of eleven (11) bed patients at 253 Southlook Avenue on Lots 13, 14 and 15, Block 2, Crystal Spring, for a period of five (5) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated September 8, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3405

Dhe

Application Received 7-27-48 By	C. g. Burlow City Planning Department
Investigation made	<u>allen living dencaster</u> r la. City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date <u>8-25-48 × 9-8-48</u> Date 9-8-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-46	Building Inspector 9-13-48 7-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6414</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ernest and Myra Frederick to construct a masonry wall in front of the setback line to a maximum height of 11 ft. above the estimated floor level of the residence, 4301 Hilldale Road, Lot 136, Kensington Heights Unit No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as it relates to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, . 19 48

By Zoning Engineer Skyrstery

Res. No. 3406

FORM 2145

Application Received By	J.C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made By	<u>Itles</u> + Burlos City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $9-8-48$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9-10-48$ Planning Commission $9-13-48$ Petitioner 9	Date 9-8-48 Building Inspector <u>9-13-48</u> G-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council meaning, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second of the second is the second of the factor of the second of the second second second the second s

in the second of the second of

,

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert K. and Elizabeth S. Cleator to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 181, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, which is approximately the East 174 ft. of the South 130 ft. of the West 329 ft. of the Westerly 1/2 of Pueblo Lot 181, into three parcels, each 58 ft. in width, facing Charles Street, and to permit a single family residence on each, Northerly side of Charles Street, Easterly of Catalina Boulevard, providing a surveyor's map of this property is submitted to the Planning Department before any plans are approved.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 24, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. SPLITS OK3-9-49

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_September 8, , 19 48

FORM 2145

)

Res. No. 3407

Ale

Investigation made <u>9-8-48</u> By <u>Uller & Berlow</u> City Planning Department	pplication Received	<u>3-48</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48 Hearing date	vestigation made <u>9-8</u>	<u>-48</u> By	
Decision Date 9-8-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-10-48</u> Building Inspector <u>9-13-48</u> Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitioner 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48	opy of Resolution sent to Cit	y Clerk <u>5-10-48</u> 48 Petitioner	Date 9-8-48 Building Inspector <u>9-13-48</u> 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date	ppeal filed with City Clerk, da	ate	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action	esolution becomes effective _		

Should a stand at the set of the stand where constrained we the the stand of the stand

1000 A CT 11411

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6443</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vern and Jewell Page to construct a single family residence on the North 92 ft. of Lots 37 and 38, Oakmere, and a single family residence on the North 92 ft. of Lot 39 and all of 40, Oakmere, South side of Hobart Street, between Millar and 63rd Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 3408

Application Received <u>9-3-48</u> By	- El Van Here
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-8-48</u> By	allen & Burlow
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 9-8-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-48	Building Inspector 9-13-48
Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitioner 9	Date 9-8-78 Building Inspector 9-13-18 F-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

al or out the first first at the time to burnet a meaning of the bar of the bar of the bar of the bar of the

EREUPINIUS FOR THE

and the second sec

A CONTRACTOR

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

not

- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ida Sibley, owner, and Louis Feldman, purchaser and operator, to construct a building on Lots 23 and 24, Block 211, University Heights, to be used as a retail and wholesale doughnut bakery, substantially in conformity with the plans submitted, with approximately 50% of the building to be used for manufacturing and storage and approximately 50% for retail sales, display, etc., not more than 10 employees, and total equipment not to exceed 10 horsepower, Northeast corner of 32nd Street and University Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2440, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

September 8, , 19 48 Dated_

Zoning Engineer statistic Res. No. 3409

ok

FORM 2145

1

Application Received	8-6-48	By	7w.	Mar Connece City Planning Department	
	8-11-48				
	9-8-48			J & Bullon City Planning Department	-
Considered by Zoning	Committee	- 48 H	earing date		-
Decision approved Decision approved Decision approved Decision Sector Decision	to City Clerk 9-10	2-48 B	uilding Insp	pector 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-4	Te
Planning Commission a Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	oner 9	ouncil Hear	Health Department	8
Decision of Council			ate	0.	
Resolution becomes effe			ontinued to		-
Time limit extended to		D	ate of actio	n	

1.1029.

WHEREAS, Application No. 6391 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

not

- materially affect the health or safety of 3. That the granting of the application will persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to construct a single car garage with no setback from Falcon Street on Lots 16 to 22 and the South 10 ft. of the East 80 ft. of Lot 23, Block 34, Arnold and Choate's Addition, 4136 Falcon Street, providing said garage is suitable from an architectural standpoint.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

September 8, . 1948 Dated

Zoning Engineer skatters

Res. No. 3410

ale

FORM 2145

Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{8-25-48}{9-8-48}$ By $8-25-48$	
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48 Decision approach	Hearing date Date 9-8-48
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-10-48</u> Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitioner	9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second s

and the second of the second o

Standard States of the second states of the second

the states and a

......

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6445</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred W. Cole to construct a furniture warehouse with one apartment above, with a total of 68% lot coverage on the 2nd floor and no sideyard for the warehouse on the 2nd floor, Lots 7 and 8, Block 40, La Jolla Park, Easterly side of Herschel Street, between Wall Street and Silverade.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, , 1948

FORM 2145

1

Zoning Engineer Searchark

Res. No. 3411

6k

Application Received <u>9-7-48</u> By	G. G. Burlow City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-8-48</u> By	helen & Burlow
	City Flanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48	Hearing date
Decision annuel	Date 9-8-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-48	Building Inspector 9-13-48
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-10-48</u> Planning Commission <u>9-13-48</u> Petitioner	9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second states of the second second states and states

1 1 1 1 1 1 P

115 1 1

No 12 Carl and Selection in State of

a server of the server of the server of the server of the server of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6429</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph E. Beck to operate a knife sharpening and repair shop in existing storage building at 2831 Alpha Street on Lots 19 and 20, Block 419, Duncan's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Part-time, not to exceed 25 hours per week;
- 2. No signs to be erected on the premises;
- 3. No employees;
- 4. This permit to be for a period of one year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, , 1948

Zoning Engineer xecretaw

Res. No. 3412

De

FORM 2145

1

Application ReceivedB	by J. C. Baughanan City Planzing Department
Investigation made $\underline{\mathcal{P}} - \underline{\mathcal{F}} - \underline{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F$	By <u>Allen r Burlow</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-8-48</u> Decision <u>approximate for the sent to City Clerk <u>9-10-48</u> Planning Commission <u>9-13-48</u> Petitioner</u>	Hearing date Date 9-8-48 Building Inspector <u>9-13-48</u> 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second s

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6425</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Myra G. and Robert L. Price to construct a single family residence on the West 125 ft. of the East 150 ft. of the South 140 ft. of the Southeast 1/4 of 1/4 Section 103, Rancho de la Nacion, Northwest corner of Alleghany Street and the extension of Sea Breeze Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, , 19 48

FORM 2145

)

Zoning Engineer Arran

Res. No. 3413

Application Received B	y R.J. Naucen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-8-44</u> B	y <u>Alles & Buslow</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $9 - 8 - 78$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9 - 10 - 78$ Planning Commission $9 - 13 - 78$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date 9-8-78 Building Inspector <u>9-13-78</u> 9-13-14 Health Department 9-15-18
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

. . .

. .

.

6415

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vincent D. and Bernice Lucille Kempsey to construct a single family residence on a portion of 1/4 Section 103, Rancho de la Nacion (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), North side of Alleghany Street, between Westport Street and Sea Breeze Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_ Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3414

Secretery

Rle

Dated_September 8, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Application ReceivedBy	G.J. Hansen City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-8-48</u> By	Burton & Allen City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $9-8-48$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9-10-48$ Planning Commission $9-13-48$ Petitioner	Hearing date Date 9-8-48 Building Inspector <u>9-13-48</u> 9-13-48 Health Department <u>9-15-48</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6431</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe Edward Moreno to maintain an existing foundation and erect thereon approximately 30 lineal feet of concrete-block wall to a height of 5 ft. above the sidewalk grade along the property line on Littlefield Street, Lot 181, Bay Park Village, Northeasterly corner of Chicago and Littlefield Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 8, , 19 48

By Zoning Engineser Maxx

FORM 2145

0

Application Received	<u>Y. W. mas loureee</u> City Planning Department
	<u>Aller & Builton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-8-48</u>	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-10-45</u> Planning Commission <u>9-13-48</u> Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>9-13-48</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A CALL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6418</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. R. Jones to operate a sales office and a chemical warehouse for the storage of packaged chemicals at 3709 Imperial Avenue on Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 1, Cunningham's Addition, providing the petitioner complies with the items listed in a letter dated September 8, 1948 (on file in Planning Department Office) and any other recommendations of the Fire Marshal, within 30 days from the date of this resolution, and subject to the following conditions:

- 1. All loading and unloading to be done from Clinton Street;
- 2. Subject to the final approval of the Building Department and Fire Marshal;
- 3. This permit to be for a period of three (3) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer Settere

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, , 1948

FORM 2145

)

ok

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-8-49</u> By	Allew + Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-8-48</u> Decision <u>Constant</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-10-48</u> Planning Commission <u>9-19-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date $\varphi - \xi - \varphi = \varphi$ Building Inspector $\varphi - 1 - \varphi = \varphi = \varphi$ $\varphi - 1 - \varphi = \varphi = \varphi$ Health Department $\varphi - 1 - \varphi = \varphi$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

1.1

the second second second and second in the second second second second second second second second second second

-77

CAN'S TON SA SAM

RESOLUTION NO. 3417, extending Res. 2892

de

Letter dated August 30, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity:
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2892 be, and is hereby granted to James E. and Frances L. Peterson to construct two duplexes on Lots 37 and 38, Block 7, Ocean Beach Park, buildings to cross lot lines, Southwesterly side of Muir Street, Southeasterly of Ebers Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_Zoning

Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated September 8, . 19 48

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3417

Application Received 8-31-48 By	mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-8-48</u> By	allen & Bulon
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-8-48	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-10-48 Planning Commission 7-13-48 Petitioner	Date 9-8-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-10-48	Building Inspector 9-13-48
Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitioner 9	9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1

The second of the second second second second

the same of the president of processing the state of the second

ok

Letter dated August 30, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2927 be granted to J. E. and Frances Peterson to construct a four-unit court with a 9 ft. 6 in. access court to the rear units, Lots 37 and 38, Block 7, Ocean Beach Park, Southwesterly side of Muir Street, Southeasterly of Ebers Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>8-31-48</u>	By mail	
	City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>9-8-48</u> H	By aller & Burlow	
	City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 98-48 Hearing date		
Decision approved	Date 9-8-48	
Decision Date 9-8-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-10-46</u> Building Inspector <u>9-13-48</u> Planning Commission 9-13-46 Petitioner 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-46		
Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitioner	9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-45	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

att is a

y-e-

11.52

×
RESOLUTION NO. 3419, extending Res. 2889

Letter dated August 27, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2889, which extended Resolution No. 2457, be granted to Minnie E. Hoenes to construct a duplex and three garages and a store room with one apartment above on Lots 39 and 40, Block 13, La Jolla Strand Addition, Palomar Street, West of La Jolla Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer seattons

Res. No. 3419

Dated September 8, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Letter	
Application Received 8-28-48 By	mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-8-48</u> By	allen & Buston
	City rianning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48	Hearing date
Decision (inproved I	Date 9-8-48
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to Rity Clerk <u>9-10-48</u> Planning Commission <u>9-13-48</u> Petitioner	Building Inspector 9-13-48
Planning Commission 9 - 13 - 48 Petitioner 9	1-13-4F Health Department 9-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

STO B. A.

.

In the the Routing Committee of the Circle of the Draws

the answer of sufficient of and here are here and here and the format and the for

RESOLUTION NO. 3420, extending Res. No. 2540 - 4677 4126 - 5526

Letter dated August 24, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of one year from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2540 be granted to Janet Mattoon to operate a beauty shop in an existing residence, part-time only, at 3885 Birch Street on Lot F, Block 295, Arlington,

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

September 8, 19 48 Dated_

FORM 2145

Application Received 8-25-48	By mail
Phroation	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-8-48</u>	By <u>allen</u> + Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-6-4	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 9-8-48 Building Inspector 9-13-48 Gr 9-13-48 Gr 9-13-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-10-4	& Building Inspector 9-13-48
Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitione	r 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

2. 1. 5. 5. 8.

.

ALCOLLED NO CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR

7.

· ·

BRIE SP. COMP.

RESOLUTION NO. 3421, extending Res. No. 2880

Letter dated August 30, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2880 be granted to the Scripps Metabolic Clinic to construct and operate an addition to hospital and clinic on Lots 8, 9 and 10, Block 17, La Jolla Park, and to observe a 10 ft. rear yard and with no sideyard adjacent to the common lot line of Lots 10 and 11, and with 27 per cent excess lot coverage, South Coast Boulevard, between La Jolla Boulevard and Cuvier Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 8, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Scretchk

Res. No. 3421

of

See Res 3472

Lecter Application Received <u>8-31-48</u> By <u>Mail</u>	
City Flamming Department	(Labers of C)
Investigation made <u>9-8-48</u> By allen & Burto	a
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48 Hearing date	
Decision annould Date 9-8-48	
Decision Date 9-8-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-10-48</u> Building Inspector <u>9-13-48</u>	
Planning Commission 9-13 -48 Petitioner 9-13 - 48 Health Department 9	-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

10415.4

1-14

to the second state of the beaution of the second state of the second state of the second state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6420</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry Williams and Frank C. Lambert to construct and operate ten additional trailer units to an existing trailer court at 1579 Morena Boulevard on a portion of Pueblo Lot 256, (description on file in the Planning Department Office); these units to be developed in the same manner as the remainder of the park, and subject to final approval of the plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated September 8, , 19 48

FORM 2145

0

Res. No. 3422

ple

Application Received <u>8-26-48</u> By J.C. Baufine City Plansing Department
nvestigation made <u>7-8-48</u> By <u>Allew & Burlow</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48 Hearing date
Decision appreciate Date 9-8-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-48 Building Inspector 9-13-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-48 Building Inspector 9-13-48
Planning Commission 9-5-78 Petitioner 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-45
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

•

.

.

6421

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is _____necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

not

2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

not

3. That the granting of the application will______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

Portion of Pueblo That the following described property, Lot ______ Lot ______ Block _______ Block (description on file in Planning Dept. Office) Subdivision

1579 Morena Boulevard

(Harry Williams and Frank C. Lambert)

10 additional trailer court units may be used for the erection and operation of to an existing trailer court:

1. Said additional units to be developed subject to the following conditions

in the same manner as the remainder of the park;

2. Final approval of the plans by

the Planning Department.

Phil 1 0 12200 - 22 Phil American Statement Statement

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. NAROTHEFOD BY STER CIVIE

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. Thy Planathy Merariza seriferion end

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

September 8,

I HATE ARE BUGAG EN

Resolution Seconds softer the quere of seconds

M 2144

Zoning Engineer xxxxxxxx

Secretary Res. No. 3423

Qh

3423

Application Received	8-26-48	Ву	Beughman	
rprice incorred		<i>n</i> (City Planning Department	
			2	
	0 8-10	- 6.00.	s & Buch	
Investigation made	9-0-90	By	City Planning Department	o de la companya de l
and a state of the set of Set				
Considered by Zoning Com	mittee 9-8-48	Hearing date		
Dest in (1A	proced	Data 9-8	-48	
Decision		Je	Q - 1 5 11	с
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 9-10 -	Building Ins	pector	8
Planning Commission	9-13 - 48 Petitio	ner 913-48	Health Department	9-13-48
	lerk, date			
and the second se				
	tive			
Application Withdrawn		Continued to		
Time limit extended to			on	

Strate and the second

Laborar of the second second

the second second and the solution consistence of spectrum at

interest interesting in the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6326</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: That Res. # 3391 be Furences to reas as Forlows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward and Marie Smith to construct a single family residence on portions of Lots 52 and 53, Las Alturas Villa Sites (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), Southeast corner of Olvera Avenue and Bonita Drive, providing said residence is within 125 ft. of Olvera Avenue and providing an agreement is signed by the owners to the effect that when the City requests it, an easement for a corner cut-off at a radius of 17 ft. will be granted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

all

Zoning Engineer Skoracekytx Res. No. 3424

Agreement 581

Dated____September 8, 19 48

FORM 2145

Application Received B	V
	City Planning Department
9-8-48	1.1.2.1.
Investigation made <u>9-10-48</u> B	y H.C. Hacking City Planning Department
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-8-48	Hearing date
Decision approved amendme	Date - 9-10-48 Building Inspector <u>9-13-48</u> 9-13-48 Health Department 9-13-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-10-48	Building Inspector 9-13-48
Planning Commission 9-13-48 Petitioner	9-13 -48 Health Department 9-13-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A Ye

305-

Charle

1.4

V" ...

374

the second s

nances and is a second of the second of the second s

S.

THE PACES IN THE POWER IN THE SOUTH

a man the strength and she was a strength

A. S. ...

REAL OF THE

3

CE

101 33 States Car A

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6377</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: That tentative approval is hereby granted to Claude Chandler, owner, and Harry Epsten, purchaser, to construct and operate a 305-unit trailer camp on Lots 274 to 335, inclusive, Lots 338 to 356, inclu-sive, and Lots 365 to 397, inclusive, all in Sunshine Gardens, between 47th and 49th Streets and on Fresa, Naranja, Pera and Almendra Streets, subject to the following conditions:

- This permit to be for a period of five years: 1.
- Subject to final approval of the plans by the City Planning 2. Department:
- providing an easement 15 ft. in width is granted for the widening 3. of 47th Street;
- 4.
- This permit for the above-named purchaser, Harry Epsten, only; No spaces to be occupied until the Health Department has 5. approved the occupancy in writing.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 78, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Byoning Engineers

Res. No. 3425

FORM 2145

September 8, . 19 48

1

	By Kdm City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-8-48</u>	By allen kining & Sculon 48 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-8-9</u> Decision <u>Approved</u> testation Copy of Resolution Sent to City Clerk <u>9-15-9</u> Planning Commission <u>9-15-48</u> Petition	Hearing date $4-8-48$ Date $98-48$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

CHARTER CONTRACTOR

11211235# 15

and the second second second second and the second second second second and the second second second second second

Journe Committee St. Des

Ler A

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

6378

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

not

not

3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

Theothe fall owing described clusive, to and Lots 365 to 397, inclusive, all in Sunshine Gardens Subdivision 47th and 49th Streets and on Fresa, Naranja, Pera and Almendra Streets. Claude Chandler, owner, and Harry Epsten, purchaser. Tentative Approval a 305-unit trailer camp, may be used for the erection and operation of .. This permit to be for a period of five 1. years, to the following conditions plans Subject to final approval of the 2. by the City Planning Department; Providing an easement 15 ft. in width 3. is granted for the widening of 47th Street; This permit for the above-named purchaser, 4. Harry Epsten, only; No spaces to be occupied until the 5. Health Department has approved the occupancy in writing.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

white a construction of the second

M 2144

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received	8-16-48	By Kam	
ipiterion necesived		" City Planning Department	nt
	8-25-48	find of	. R.
Investigation made	9-8-48	By allen Ewing	
and the second second second	8-25-48	City Planning Depertmen	
Considered by Zoning Co	ommittee	Hearing date 9-8-48	
Decision Spectal	ine appoul	Data 9-8-48	
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 9-15-48	Building Inspector 9-15	-48
Planning Commission	7-15.48 Petitioner	Building Inspector 9-15 9-15-48 Health Department.	9-15-48
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	
		Date	
		f	
Application Withdrawn		Continued to	
Time limit extended to.		Date of action	

1-56 . CAG

menterinen anderen eine bei einere einen in einen eine einen menter in der eine bei der einer bei der einer bei einer einer einer bei einer b

and a set a local president of the contract speeds the set of the

a literation of the second s

the spin line territy of the spin structure and as and

in 12 Louise when

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6376</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Theodore R. and Edith A. Folsom to construct a single family residence on the West 450 ft. of the North 250 ft. of the East 1/2 of Pueblo Lot 1296, a parcel of land without street frontage, lying between Torrey Pines Road and Highway 101 and South of City Farms, but served by an undedicated road, providing an agreement is signed by the owners and filed of record to the effect that when the city requests it, an easement 15 ft. in width along the West side of the above-described property will be granted for street purposes, said easement to be not more than 15 ft. in width.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Subject To signed Statement on Toreause of delay in Bidy, due To statement OP.C.

Easement required by city as indicated by above agreement GAR letter det " organized by city 10-13-53. City Ergr drawing 5092-B:

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer Secretoryx

Dated September 8, , 1948

ancement # 557-

Faled 10-13-48

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3427

ok

Application Received _	8-23-48	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	9-8-48 8-25-48 9-22-48	By Samerater aller & Burton
		City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee 9-22	-48 Hearing date
D · · ·		11ate (1-22-48
Copy of Resolution ser	it to City Clerk 22	348 Building Inspector 9-24-48
Planning Commission	9-24-48 Petiti	346 Building Inspector $9-24-48ioner 9-24-48 Health Department 9-24-48$
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes eff	fective	
Application withdrawn	1	Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

31124

track of the second state and the second provided a provide state of the second state

a construction of the second second

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6464</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. C. Gould to construct four living units on Lots 20 and 21, Block 39, Ocean Beach, and a garage 940 sq. ft. in area at the rear of the property, crossing lot lines, two of the said units to be served by a 10 ft. access court to the street, Northerly side of Orchard Street, between Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and Ebers Street, providing said garage observes required sideyard on each side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 22, 19 48

By Zoning Engineer MEXICAN

Res. No. 3428

oar

FORM 2145

Application Received9-13-48	By <u>E.C. Vand Nice</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{q-a \cdot a \cdot 4F}{2}$	By allen, Lineaster & Bustor City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-22-4	E Hearing date
Decision approved, modifie	Date 9-22-48 E Building Inspector 9-24-48 er 9-24-48 Health Department 9-24-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-23-4	Building Inspector 9-24-48
Planning Commission 9-24-48 Petitione	er 9-24-48 Health Department 9-24-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and that has a superior of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second second second

Weather start the California

,

the stand of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6454</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ethel G. Brown, owner, and Becker and Hamilton, lessees, to use a portion (approximately 10 ft. by 20 ft.) of an existing garage at the rear of 3712 Adams Avenue for the storage of Outdoor Advertising material and equipment, providing all storage is within said building, Lot 11, Block 21, Normal Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 22, , 1948

h

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Statt

Res. No. 3429

sk

Application Received	By C. J. Burlow City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Sencester, Allew & Beulon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-22-48	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 9-22-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-23-	YF Building Inspector 9-24-45 r 9-24-48 Health Department 9-24-48
Planning Commission 9-24-48 Petitione	r 9-24-48 Health Department 9-24-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

IT CONTRACTOR DURIDAN.

a first of the state of the state of the

share it is to the senter of a really in

They althe bound in the one of them spinsters manine

,

And the point of the second seco

PARENCESS HE IN SECONDER BY THE ENDIVINE MUSIC ON THE TELL OF THE THE OF THE

manipulate start and the superior and an in the start of the start of the second s

RESOLUTION NO. 3430

See forlowing at WHEREAS, Application No. 6460 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ __ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of A. H. and Ruby Personius to convert a portion of a garage attached to the residence into a sleeping room and half bath with no sideyard, 4246 Chamoune Avenue on the North 5 ft. of Lot 43 and all of Lots 44 and 45, Block 3, Eastgate, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

nated September 22, , 1948

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3430

Application Received	_ By _ J. C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-22-48</u>	By <u>Lencester</u> allew & Bulion City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-224 Decision Denied	B Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-23</u>	$\frac{-\mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}}{\operatorname{point}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Building Inspector} & \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{Z}\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{V}\mathcal{F} \\ \text{ner} & \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{Z}\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F} \\ \text{Health Department} & \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{Z}\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F} \end{array}$
Append filed with City Clerk date	Council Hearing, date 10-2-48
Decision of Council appeal ductain Resolution becomes effective <u>3C.</u>	ad Date 10-5-48
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A CEPA AT 200 THE AL CATRICLUM AND THE ADDITION PRODUCT IN A DIM CONTRACT ON

TO PREFERRE" DE LE CREDINELLES DE TIS REPORT COMPARISE

A LEROPICIÓN MO

38 Stangers the state of the all property

English pro Stephen

2750

RESOLUTION NO. 91157 See Res 3420

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows: preceding

That the appeal of A. H. Personius, 4246 Chamoune Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3430, application No. 6460, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, and amendments thereto, to convert a portion of a garage attached to the residence into a sleeping room and half bath with no sideward, 4246 Chamoune Avenue on the North 5 ft. of Lot 43 and all of Lots 44 and 45, Block 3, Eastgate, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 91157 FRED W. SICK City Clerk. F. T. PATTEN By.... Deputy.

6395

WHEREAS, Application No._ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marion C. Speer to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1773 (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), Southerly side of Inspiration Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the *th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Pated -September 22, , 1948

ORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Sectorery oli

Application ReceivedF	By A.J. Janson City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{7-2 \pm -48}{2}$	By <u>Lancaster</u> , aller & Builor. City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $7-22-9$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7-23-9$ Planning Commission $3-24-78$ Petition	Image: Figure 1 Hearing date Date Image: Provide 1 Image: Provide 1 Image: P
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

an aire inhaire ann ann ann an a'

END ATTACK AT SIN THE SHARE THE PARTY MADE AND STREET AND ADDRESS THE PARTY AND ADDRESS THAT AND ADDRESS THAT AND

1. A. C. A.

Whit is the many more of the internation while t

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6440</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOL**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert S. and Rose K. Gordon to construct a ranch type fence 6 ft. in height with no setback on the East 120 ft. of the North 60 ft. of Pueblo Lot 1122, West end of University Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 22, , 19 48

Zoning Engineer

By_

Secretery

Res. No. 3432

die

Application Received	by <u>E.C. Van Kied</u> City Planning Department
8	by Lancaster, allen + Burlon City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 9-22-48 Building Inspector 9-24-48 9-24-48 Health Department 9-24-48 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	_ Date Continued to Date of action

strate the set of a state of the state of the set of

Ger"

1

NORM STATE A LOCAL PROPERTY.

not an englished of the

Charger Speaker search and managements and the second second second

Determine and the second second bear produced and order the second second second second second second second se

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6451</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of J. R. Stofer to construct living quarters over an existing garage which has no sideyard, living quarters to have no sideyard, 431 University Place on Lot 8 and the West 15 ft. of Lot 7, Block 4, Palm Heights, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer States

Dated September 22, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Application Received	By D.E. Sources City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{9-2a-48}{2}$	By <u>Allen</u> , <u>Renceater</u> r <u>Buslos</u> City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date 9-22-48 E Building Inspector <u>9-24-48</u> 9-24-48 Health Department 9-24-48
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Contraction of the second of t

abarte provi Del PARTER

1

Then it for and the state of the second state of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6417</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hazel O. McCoy to construct the second dwelling on the Easterly 73 1/4 ft. of Lot B, F. T. Scripps Addition, Northerly side of Marine Street, between Cuvier And Draper Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 22, 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Kritty

ah

Application Received	By Q. g. Burlos City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>Hancaster</u> , Buston & Allen City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-22-4 Decision approved	late Q-22-VE
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23	$-\frac{\gamma}{2}$ Building Inspector $-\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{2\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2}F$ her $\gamma - \frac{2\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2}F$ Health Department $\gamma - \frac{2\gamma}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2}F$
Planning Commission 9-24-48 Petition	her 7-24-48 Health Department 9-24-46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The state of the second s

TATED TRADE INTERNATION AND A STATE

「「「の時でにはない」」といったす」と言いまし、 Merentelling of an Langeout Minuel was advery letonst inter

TRUE PERIOD AND

1

Voltagent, March 2117 1 Set of

Where the list same some will have by the

The state of the second st

hard har and there are standed at the approximation in the strands of the president of the president and MELLY FULLESSING

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6468</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy R. and Dorothy M. Brockbank to construct two multiple dwellings, containing a total of eleven (11) living units, to be served by a roofed passage 13 ft. in width, Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 2, Kensington Heights Unit No. 1, Southwest commer of Hilldale Road and Marlborough Drive, providing said roofed passage is fire-proofed as required by the Building Department and providing doors are placed in those garages which abut the court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer Secreter

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

nated September 22, , 1948.

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3435

ak

Application Received9-16-48	By G. & Burlon
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-22-48</u>	By Lancaster, aller + Buston
in the with of its as draw draw more	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-22	- 48 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 9-22-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-2	3.48 Building Inspector 9-24-48
Planning Commission 9-24-48 Petit	Date $\overline{9-22-4}$ $\overline{9-24-48}$ ioner $\overline{9-24-48}$ Health Department $\overline{9-24-48}$ Council Hearing data
Fred med with only oren, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ST AS BAL DUTE

LHESER ART IT SEPTIEMED' AN OPE SOUTH COMMERCIAL OF A DE SOU

The mouth which do not opping a constant PERCENT OF LOT VILLAR DU.C.

and one with such son water par

A LITT COMMANY DO

2

,

the strey of San Brepar, coller puncher and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6462</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William A. and Lucille E. Lane to redivide Lots 11 and 12, Block 38, Roseville, and 10 ft. of Emerson Street closed adjacent, into two parcels facing Clove Street, one to be 60 ft. in width and the other 50 ft. in width, and permit a single family residence on each, providing setbacks as required by the Setback Ordinance are observed on Clove Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Recarded 10-7-48 including 1/2 of Emmerson ST unin Title Co Closed with an easening of the hely 25 1-21-53 9 the obove struct closed by ingreast 14 Equens to later 7010 block 38.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 22, , 19 48

Zoning Engineer Serverer

ole
Application Received	By 7-W. Mac Connece City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>Lancaster</u> , <u>Allens</u> Burlon City Planning Department
Particle Window (1994 - 1994 ME 194 BUCH 4	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-22-4	8 Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-23-	Date 9-22-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-	48 Building Inspector 9-24-48
Planning Commission 9-24-48 Petition	er 9-24-4F Health Department 9-24-4F
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the interest the second of the second second the second second second second second second second second second

- superior - regimented autor the server to concern the for the

1

.....

580 C

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6471</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. W. Pribnow to construct a garage, 13 ft. by 37 ft. 8 in., attached to the residence, said garage to have no sideyard and no rear yard, 3328 Curtis Street, Lot 3, Block 250, Roseville.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

nated September 22, . 1948

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3437

FORM 2145

QL

Application Received9-17	-48 By O. G. Buston City Planning Department
Investigation made	l l l l l l
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and a second second

the second second second second second

ANALY: A ADAM : MAR AND ANTICO

Carlo I

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6465</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Wylie M. Johnson to add to and convert an existing garage to living quarters with existing 2 ft. sideyard, 844 Beryl Street, Lots 29 and 30, Block 77, Pacific Beach, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 22, 1948

FORM 2145

By_____ Zoning Engineer

Sector ary

Ole

Application Received	2-45 By P. J. Burlow City Planning Department
	-48 By Allen, Lancaster & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Cle Planning Commission 7 - 24-48	$\frac{9-32-48}{\text{Date } 9-32-48}$ Hearing date Date $9-32-48$ erk $\frac{9-32-48}{9-24-48}$ Building Inspector $9-24-48$ Petitioner $9-24-48$ Health Department $9-24-48$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

services in the astanticate and will the the struct attantic services in the

contraction of the provide the second s

. .

141

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6428</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert and Victoria Miller, owners, and Dorothy B. Mills, purchaser, to construct a single family residence on Lot 83, excepting therefrom a small portion, and including a small portion of Lot 82, Mission Hills Villa Lots, according to plat on file in the Planning Department Office, Southerly side of Lyndon Road, Easterly of intersection with St. James Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 22, , 1948

Zoning Engineer Ascessor

Ol

Application Received	9-15-48	By	O.g.B.	City Planning Department	nt
Investigation made	9-22-48	By	helen,	Kancaster City Planning Department	F Burlo
Considered by Zoning Co Decision Approved Copy of Resolution sent to Planning Commission & Appeal filed with City Cle Decision of Council	to City Clerk 2^{-23} -24-48 Petitic erk, date	$\frac{1}{3 - 48} B$ oner 9	uilding Insp -24-48	ector <u>9-24-9</u> Health Department	18 9-24-48
Resolution becomes effect Application withdrawn Time limit extended to			Continued to Date of action		

and the process of the process of the

ant.

,

The second state of the second

CT DATE OF

The second s

TELEDING * LINE TH

10 0 790.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6455</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. W. and Barbara Sanderson to construct a single apartment over a three-car garage with an 8 ft. setback from the front property line at 7542 Olivetas Street on portions of Lots 6, 7 and 8, all of Lots 21 and 22, and portions of Lots 23, 24 and 25, Block 2, Nicholson's Addition, per Record of Survey Map No. 952.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> By Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3440

Secretary

ak

Dated September 22, . 1948

Application Received <u>9-17-48</u> B	y <u>G. G. Buslon</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-22-48</u> B	y <u>Ancester</u> , allen & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-22-48</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-23-48</u> Plane	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

There is a subscription of the department of the second states of the second states of the second states of the

And the second s

LANSTRICH MOLLER

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6447</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. L. E. Durham to construct approximately 50 ft. of concrete block wall 8 ft. in height along the side property line, Easterly 20 ft. of Lot 6 and all of Lot 7, Block 9, La Jolla Hermosa Unit No. 1, Northerly side of Via Del Norte, Westerly of La Jolla Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 22, 1948

Zoning Engineer Sexnexerx

Ali

Application Received	By R.J. Warnen City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Burton, alles & Lancaster City Planning Department
C	
Planning Commission 9-24-48 Petition	er 9-24-48 Health Department 9-24-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THAN ROLLINGTOGES

montable des la states de la completion segnice metapted déservoires lass factors de la contra la se factors de la contra de la contra

terible the set of the received abien to be apply or conditions while all it projects and the set of the received abients abients are set of the received abients abients are set of the received abients are set

Branched Structure and the analysise will be an experience the fleres and the free of a period of a period of

bacanyle a service and a territical and part populations must appreciate population of anti-arts of appreciations of

1. Thet arrives any to provide the remaining sound in the second structure of the sound structure of the sound

信旨

WHEREAS, Application No. 6401 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe and Arline Marques, and Manuel A. and Rosaline F. Fernandes to redivide Lots 7 and 8, Block 66, Roseville, and 35 ft. of Hugo Street closed adjacent, into two parcels facing Willow Street, and permit a single family residence on each, providing setbacks as required by the Setback Ordinance are observed on Willow Street; parcels to be as follows: 1. Northeasterly 32½ ft. of Lots 7 and 8 and the 35 ft. of Hugo Street closed; 2. Southwesterly 67½ ft. of Lots 7 and 8.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer Spercence

Dated_September 22, . 19 48

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3442

sh

Application Received9-21-48	By G.J. Hansen
-pprication received	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-22-48</u>	_ By <u>Amerister Aller & Beuton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-22	-48 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 9-22-48
Copy of Resolution Sent to City Clerk 9-2	3-48 Building Inspector 9-24-48
Planning Commission 9-24-48 Petiti	Date $q - 22 - 46$ -3 - 46 Building Inspector $q - 24 - 48$ ioner $q - 24 - 46$ Health Department $q - 24 - 46$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

, ,

PT DE KARDEARD' DECKIM SOUTH COMPACTER OF STA

the service of the service of the particular is the desired and the date of the service of the s

the second second attributes attributes the second second the second second second by some second se

supervises and the provide straining appeer op this watch her easing the hand between the similar and

in the a special broad who a state that where any a state of a state of the state o

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6488</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Adrian E. Cooper to construct a oneroom addition to a residence with a 4 ft. 6 in. sideyard, existing residence has 4 ft. 6 in. sideyard and a 3 ft. 8 in. sideyard, 4655 Vista Street on Lot 6, Block D, Kensington Park Annex. Extension

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 22, , 1948

Zoning Engineer Sxxxxxx

Application Received9-21-9	By S. City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-22-48</u>	By <u>Dencaster</u> aller & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-	a a - 48 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 9-22-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date 9-22-48 9-23-48 Building Inspector 9-24-48
Planning Commission 9-24-48 P	etitioner 9 - 24-45 Health Department 9 - 24-45
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

·

peria in sector and a sector strategical

18. The second states of the second states and the

in the he also and will share with .

1. 3.

, wor ingerer rade same. est.

The second second

* 10

the second s

White is the second second second second to the second sec

2,000

Winds 2 . .

RESOLUTION NO. 3444, extending Res. No. 2541, as extended by Res. 2967

ph

Letter dated September 21, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2967, which extended Resolution No. 2541, be granted to Ira B. and Florence Riford, owners, and Marguerite N. Corzine and John R. Corzine, purchasers, to construct a garage with a 1 ft. setback from Dolphin Place on Lot 3, Block I, Resubdivision of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, 5686 Dolphin Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated September 22, , 148

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received	21-48 Br
-PP-rod tron a cool of a manufacture of the	City Planning Department
Investigation made	48 By Sancuster allew & Burlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	
Decision approved	Date $q - 22 - 48$ rk $\frac{9 - 33 - 48}{-33 - 48}$ Building Inspector $\frac{9 - 24 - 48}{-24 - 48}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cle	rk 9-23-48 Building Inspector 9-24-48
Planning Commission 9-24-48	Petitioner 9-24-48 Health Department 9-24-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

158 132 10

The state was

1 10-02

,

You we conclude the property of a se

24

Sector States and the sector whether

WHEREAS, Application No. 6423 __ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are __ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED. By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Florence E. Croy to divide a parcel of land in Lot L, La Mesa Colony (according to surveyor's map on file in Planning Department Office, Southwest corner of 70th and Amherst Streets, into three lots facing 70th Street, one to be 61 ft. in width and two to be 50 ft. each in width, and permit two living units on each parcel, subject to the following conditions: 1. Granting of an easement for rounding off the corner of 70th and Amherst Streets at a radius of 40 ft.

- and Amherst Streets at a radius of 40 ft.;
- Granting of an easement 10 ft. in width across the front of 2. this property for the widening of 70th Street;
- A 15 ft. setback to be observed on Amherst Street and a 25 ft. 3. setback from the present property line on 70th Street, giving a net setback of 15 ft. after the granting of the easement for widening 70th Street.

By Zoning Engineer Meretery

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3681, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 22, , 19 48

FORM 2145

1

Res. No. 3445

Ole

y S.D. M. City Planning Department
y <u>Allen Lancaster & Burlow</u> City Planning Department
Hearing date Date $9 - 22 - 4P$ Building Inspector $9 - 24 - 4F$ 9 - 24 - 4F Health Department $9 - 24 - 4F$
Council Hearing, date Date
Continued to Date of action

a service and the state of the service of the state of the state of the

15-

The second strends and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6457</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank C. Harmon to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1288 (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office) into three parcels, two to be approximately 1/2 acre in size, facing Ardath Road, and one to be approximately 1 acre in size, served by an easement 25 ft. in width to Ardath Road, and permit a single family residence on each, Southerly side of Ardath Road, Easterly of Hidden Valley Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 22, , 19 48

Zoning Engineer Stitle

Application Received G-16-48 By By City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-22-48 By Kancaster aller twing
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-22-48 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 9-22-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-48 Building Inspector 9-24-48
Decision approved Date 9-22-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-33-48</u> Planning Commission 9-24-48 Petitioner 9-24-48 Health Department 9-24-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action



11-11

1 ...

.

and a second processing and plant with an exact in period of shares of

a part a ser arrest and a poor . Substrain to represent the set of an

which we have a start of the second start of t

1 KT OT 01 1 2 7 93 360 5 5 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6438</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Western Lumber Company, owners, and Karl E. Embrey, purchaser, to construct a storage building and sawdust bin on the Northerly 70 ft. of Lots 29, 30, 31 and 32, Block 222, Pacific Beach, and to use said property for open lumber storage and as an auto parking lot in connection with cabinet shop across the alley, North side of Hornblend Street, between Dawes and Everts Streets, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Lot to be paved with asphalt;
- 2. A concrete block or masonry wall 8 ft. in height to be constructed to enclose the east and south ends of the Northerly 70 ft. of said lots:
- 3. Entire property to be landscaped;
- 4. A duplex or two single family dwellings to be constructed on the Southerly 55 ft. of said lots, said construction to be commenced within one year of the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

See Donsinfill

Dated September 22, , 1948

FORM 2145

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

By

Res. No. 3447

ole

Application Received	By Ste Source City Planning Department
The state of the s	Bu Series two Allers F Francis
Investigation made <u>9-22-48</u>	By Sancaster Aller & Ewig City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-22-	YE Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 9-22-48
Planning Commission 9-24-48 Petitic	Date $9 - 22 - 48$ 7 - 48 Building Inspector $9 - 24 - 48oner 9 - 24 - 48 Health Department 9 - 24 - 48$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a stranger of the second second

the start of the second starts and the second start and the second start

and a second of a space of the party care incompany the other presents

55.57

er.

5

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 6494 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Western Lumber Company, owners, and Karl E. Embrey, purchaser, to construct a concrete block wall 8 ft. in height to enclose the South and East sides of the Northerly 70 ft. of Lots 29, 30, 31 and 32, Block 222, Pacific Beach, North side of Hornblend Street, between Dawes and Everts Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated September 22, 19 48

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3448

Ole

Application Received	By
ppication Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-22-48	By <u>Allen, Sancaster + Burlon</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-22	2.48 Hearing date
Decision and	Date 9-22-48
Copy of Reservition sent to City Clerk 7-	23-48 Building Inspector 9-24-48
Planning Commission 9-24-48 Petit	Date $9 - 22 - 48$ 23 - 48 Building Inspector $9 - 24 - 48tioner 9 - 24 - 48 Health Department 9 - 24 - 48$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

AN A THE ON ANY DEDITION OF THE THE STATE OF STATE TURNER & TOTAL OF MA PERSIST AND THE TOTAL OF THE

" I share that the start

hun hour considered by the forma formation

and a summary of the second of the second of the

environmentes de suit presentes estates estates estates estates de secondarian de suit presentes de secondaria

the set of your of the set of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6467</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. E. Traylor to maintain a Painting Contractor's business and storage building at 824 North 41st Street on Lots 27 to 33, inclusive, Block 5, Sun Harbor Tract No. 1, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. This business to be moved as soon as it is possible to do so, and in any event this permit shall not exceed a period of one year from the date of this resolution;
- 2. At the end of said year, this existing storage building to be removed from the property;
- 3. Subject to the approval of the Fire Marshal;
- 4. All materials to be stored inside the building except those materials which the Fire Marshal prohibits being stored within this building;
- 5. No signs on the premises and no employees.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

nated September 22, . 19 48

Zoning Engineer Semeters

Res. No. 3449

oh

Application Received	9-16-48	By Ju me Connect
-ppileation received _	and an and the second	City Planning Department
Investigation made	9-22-48	_ By <u>allen Sancastero Bulo</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee 9-22	-48 Hearing date
Decision (mayo	med. Cour	4 Date 9-22-48
Copy of Resolution sent	t to City Clerk 2-2.	3-48 Building Inspector 9-24-48
Planning Commission	9-24-48 Petitic	$\begin{array}{c} \hline \mathcal{P} \\ \mathcal{P} \\ \mathcal{P} \\ \hline \mathcal{P} \\ $
Appeal filed with City (lerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	fue of produce	Date
Resolution becomes effe	ective	
Application withdrawn	ALCONTRACTOR COLORS	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	The second second	Date of action

a the the the

CALERENCET BE IT A SCORPED, PASTME I Ship Compared he indifferences San Die au

where and the set of the state and the state and set is the set of the set of

partente, sub-road for the state of the partent of the , this partent for in an optimized for

and the second s

THE SHARE WE AND THE PRESENCE OF THE SHARE CONTRACT OF THE CASE

611-

A VU

RUS TU EU 1.

1.10,01 1 1011 Mail

RESOLUTION NO. 3450, amending Res. No. 3353

Letter dated Sept. 10, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3353, dated August 11, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Franklin D. and Almeda V. Boone to construct a single family residence on a portion of Lot L, La Mesa Colony, 55 ft. by 150 ft. in size (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), West side of 70th Street, South of Amherst Street, providing the setback of this residence is not less than that of the adjoining dwellings and providing an easement 10 ft. in width across the front of this property is immediately granted to the City for the widening of 70th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3681, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 22, , 1948

Zoning Engineer xeekerx

Res. No. 3450

Ole

Application Received	By mail
	City Planning Department
	P A A A A
Investigation made <u>9-22-48</u>	By Lancaster, allew & Burlo
THE REPORT OF THE AND A THE PARTY OF THE PARTY	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-22-	-48 Hearing date
Decision associed modelies	Date 8-22-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-2	3-48 Building Inspector 7-24-48
Decision Approved modification Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-2</u> Planning Commission 9-24-48 Petition	oner 9-24-48 Health Department 9-24-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

Sector State Process

IG CONTRACTORIAN ALL AND ALL AND

THE REAL PROPERTY AND A POST OF A POST OF A DECK OF A DE

The second second second in the second se

Property of the second of the second s

The second second

Towned and the second

that is a second of the contract of the regular good wonted

DITO CE

1

HALF BUNNING TO TRANSF

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6484</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. T. Pendleton to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1286 (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), which parcel does not have street frontage, but is served by a private easement 50 ft. in width (known as Lowery Terrace) to Roseland Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated September 22, , 1949

Zoning Engineer

By

Res. No. 3451

Application Received <u>9-20-48</u> B	y <u>C.</u> Hansen City Planning Department
	Bancester, Ewery + Burton
Investigation made <u>9-22-48</u> B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-22-48	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{9-22-48}{2-24-48}$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{9-24-48}{2-24-48}$ Planning Commission $9-24-48$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk date	Date 9-22-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-24-48	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 9-24-48 Petitioner	9-24-48 Health Department 9-24-48
- Ppcal med with City Cicik, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

· DA IL LISTER PLATE

the state of the second state of the state o

,

A PERSONAL OF

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6518</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mabel M.Colby, owner, and Arthur C. McBride, Jr. and Julia G. McBride, purchasers, to construct a single family residence on the North 72 ft. of Lots 17 and 18 and the West 20 ft. of the North 72 ft. of Lot 19, Block 32, Middletown Addition, Southwesterly side of Guy Street, near Couts Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 6, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX Res. No. 3452

Application ReceivedE	By <u>City Planning Department</u>
Investigation made E	By <u>Allen, Sancaster & Bulon</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-5-18</u> Decision <u>Approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-7-48</u> Planning Commission <u>10-7-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Hearing date Date 10-6-48 Building Inspector 10-7-48 10-7-48 Health Department 10-7-48 Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

THE ALL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

MERICIA DE LA CONTRATA DE CASA SUB COMPLETER DE CASA DE SER DESTRA

the second se

Key Superior and a superior "Estarting and when a sound " manual tents

The second of the second s

TWIND STATISTIC A CONTRACT

The second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6520</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. L. Wier and C.H. Paxton to construct a duplex with the eaves projecting 18 inches into the required 4 ft. sideyard, Lot 3, Block 4, First Addition to South La Jolla, 305-07 Fern Glen Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 6, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Statest

Res. No. 3453

Oli

Application Received	48_ By C. B. Road
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>Ullen</u> , Jancaster & Burton City Planning Department
BAR LOC PLAN DE LA DES LA CET AS	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10.	- 6 - 48 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 10-6-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date 10-6-48 Date 10-6-48 10-7-48 Building Inspector 10-7-48
Planning Commission 10-7-48 Pe	titioner Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

State of the second second

Level Conservation !

「「なっていた」でしたという Wet to a real solar prior and

the state of the second

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF

the second of th

States Callentry and the state of the state of the state of the second of the second of

,

RESOLUTION NO. 3454, extending Res 3051

see 3852

ak

Letter dated October 3, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3051 be granted to O'Shea and Ethel Hammons to construct a two-family residence on Lot 8, Block 226, Middletown, California Street, 50 ft. Northwesterly of Couts Street, according to the plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 6, , 1948

Zoning Engineer

By

Res. No. 3454
Application Received _	mail	Bv	10-	4-48	
I produced it to be a set of the	NE SAN AN A		C	ity Planning Departmen	t
Investigation made	10-6-48	By	allen,	Lancaster	+ Buton
					t
Considered by Zoning	Committee <u>10.6</u>	-48 Hea	ring date	- 0	-
Decision appro	the Cit Challes	Date	10-6-	48	18
Decision appro- Copy of Resolution sem Planning Commission	LO City Clerk 10-	-ge Buil	ang inspec	ctor	10.7-48
Appeal filed with City (lerly data	oner Con	noil Hearin	a date	
Decision of Council		Cou Date		g, uate	and the last
Resolution becomes eff	ective			an a	
Application withdrawn		Con	tinued to		
Time limit extended to		Date	e of action		

Contraction of the

the second s

0

Test &

1

· · · · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6525</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Granville H. Walton to construct a wire fence 4 ft. in height in front of the setback line, Northerly 50 ft. of Lots 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 43, Charles Hensley's Addition, 143-26th Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated ____ October 6, , 19 48

By_____ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3455

De

Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	<u>Allen</u> , <u>Lancaster</u> & Durtor City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-2-44</u> Planning Commission <u>10-7-44</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date $10-6-48$ Building Inspector $10-7-48$ 10-7-46 Health Department $10-7-48$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

1. California

Det

,

RESOLUTION NO.____

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6481</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John A. and Geraldine Duncan to redivide Lots 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 20, Ocean Beach, into two parcels, each 75 ft. in width, facing Froude Street, and construct a single family residence on each, Most Northerly corner of Coronado and Froude Streets, providing a 10 ft. setback is observed on Froude Street and the setback as required by the Setback Ordinance is observed on Coronado.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____October 6, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary

ak

3456 amended by Ree 8759

low
+8
+ 8

parates and the fight of the parates as all the marked and will all the structure and then a state to

The second second second and the stand of the anti- second is a second second to be a second to be a second s

chinatel for a second real including approv on the minute Knarestine of drivel brand of the spectrum

3

the set of the set of

STRAINSTICK NO

The second second

annue an an annue ante a station ??

The second second of a second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. 6535 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack Fleming to construct an apart-ment building with a 15 ft. setback from Grand Avenue on Lots 39 and 40, Block 232, Pacific Beach, Northeast corner of Grand Avenue and Everts Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 6, . 148 Dated

Zoning Engineer Secretaryy

Application ReceivedB	y Rodannan
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y <u>alles</u> + Burlon City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-20 40	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 10 6-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-21-48	Building Inspector 10 22-48
Planning Commission -0-28-48 Petitioner	Date 10 6-48 Building Inspector 10 22-48 10-22-48 Health Department 10-22-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

in his new as the many of the second of the second as a sub- the second to the second second second and terrene and the second of the second se

and and a train any the second produced by type in the berry house and the shear the second the sec

The state of the s

and the standard of the second reason of the second

the mean or an and a second of the destable and the second of the

,

THEMAL I THE END LINE FOR BILLING

Life is a sublet of the of the control of and a sublet

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6412</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vernon E. and Agnes H. Drane to construct a bathroom and kitchen addition to an existing cabin and convert into a residence with an 8 ft. rear yard, 3920 Hawk Street, Lots 21 and 22, Block 76, Arnold and Choate's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > XSecretary

Dated_October 6, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By

Ok

Application Received B	y_CBRow
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{9-8-48}{10-6-48}$ B	y <u>allen Lancaster & Berton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-6-44	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 10-6-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2-48	Building Inspector 10 . 7 - 48
Planning Commission 10-7-78 Petitioner	10-7-48 Health Department 10-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THER PULL P. IT REPORTED, BY the Loging Constant of the City of the Disco

Last spectrum and the address for the most of the sector of the spectrum the property of the part of

pergantic and and the training of the start of the destriction bearing of the second start and the second start

The first of the state of the section of the state of the section of the section for Section

appending the second of the residence and the Residence and alless the market she was a second of the

\$900 100 WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6524</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. Franklin and Bernice T. Schneider to erect a brick wall 9 ft. in height on the south property line of Lot 3, Block 1, Hermosa Terrace, Westerly side of Camino de la Costa, Southerly of Palomar Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

Zoning Engineer Secretary

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 6, , 1948

FORM 2145

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-6-48</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 6-48 Decision	Hearing date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

V

RESOLUTION NO. 3460, extending Res 3122, which extended Res. 2589

AR

Letter dated 10/3/48

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3122, which extended Resolution No. 2589, be granted to Adolf and Goldie Beyer to construct three duplexes on a parcel of land 80 ft. by 110 ft., being the South 10 ft. of Lot 14, Block 1, and Fir Street closed adjacent thereto, and the North 10 ft. of Lot 1, Block 4, and Fir Street closed adjacent thereto, Cullen's Arlington Heights, East side of 31st Street, 300 ft. North of Elm Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 6, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Ascesser

Application ReceivedB	v mail
	City Planning Department
	y Allen Lancaster & Berlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-6-48	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 10-6-48
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-48	Building Inspector 10.7.48
Planning Commission 10 7-48 Petitioner	10-7-98 Health Department 10-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ANTER THE TELEVISION OF THE SULPHER COMPTERS OF THE STATE OF BUN STATE

the surface of a set of the relation of the set of the set of the set of

and here a set of the set of the set of the bar and and and the set of the set of the set of the set of the set

SUM

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6340</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Joseph E. Cairncross to erect a guest cottage, 16 ft. by 28 ft. in size, containing a kitchen, on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1119 (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), 1235 Camino del Rio, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 6, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer frame

Res. No.3461

Application Received <u>9-30-48</u>	By <u>Fu</u> mc Connect City Planning Department
Investigation made 6 - 4 8	By allen Loncaster & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-	 Hearing date Date -0-6-48 8 Building Inspector -7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	r 10-7-75 Health Department 10-7-86 Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

repeated and the second and the other was "

the contract of the second second

when the state of survey, it was a state attack on the same in the later of the

SIL.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6517</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. E. Arganbright to construct an addition, approximately 20 ft. by 24 ft. in size, to an existing garage, and remodel existing garage, making it over 500 sq. ft. in area, with an 18 in. sideyard and 2 ft. rear yard, 2361 Hickory Street, Lot 2, Winthrop Highlands, subject to architectural approval of the plans in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

XXSeccenery

October 6, . 19 48 Dated_

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3462

ale

Application Received B	<u>J.C. Daughman</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-6-48</u> By	<u>Allen</u> , <u>Lancaster</u> & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-6-48	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-48	Date $10-6-48$ Building Inspector $10-7-48$ 10-7-48 Health Department $10-7-48$
Planning Commission 10-7-48 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE WAY AND A DOWN

the this arise our friend as determined approach to all toberas

By The Solital Countless of the City

To be and the man of all the second in many of 1999 - and the the provide the police of the of the

has been not the second of the provest of the second of the

presented and the rear of the second of the second of the second the second the second the second second the second second

Ist ' attain your faiter by the Langerteer where

X.OT.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6470</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Y.N. and Mary E. Adams to divide a portion of Villa Lot 128, Normal Heights (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office) into three building sites, according to the survey map on file in the Planning Department Office, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, providing the setbacks as required by the Setback Ordinance are observed on East Mountain View Drive and on Sidney Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 6, , 1948

Zoning Engineer Serveres

Res. No. 3463

Que

FORM 2145

Application Received 9-29-48 By	CB Roca
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-6-48</u> By	<u>Allen Lencester + Burlos</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-6-48</u> Decision <u>Approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-7-48</u> Planning Commission <u>10-7-46</u> Petitioner	Hearing date
Planning Commission 10 - 7 - 48 Petitioner	0-7-48 Health Department 10-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Start At Start

£13.9

1001

THE STORE OF TI REPORTO IN THE CONTRACT COMPLETION OF THE STATES OF THE STATES

and a second of the second of the second of the Viewers and the second of a second second of the

6472

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOU**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles N. and Lucy O. Stahl to construct a single family residence on a portion of Block 13, Muirlands (legal description on file in the office of the Planning Department, Westerly side of La Jolla Mesa Drive, Southerly of Muirlands Drive, providing a 15 ft. setback is observed from La Jolla Mesa Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Recentery

October 6, . 1948

Dated____

Res. No. 3464

Application Received <u>9-30-48</u> By	mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-6-98</u> By	Aller Jancaster - Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-6-48	
Planning Commission 10.7-95 Petitioner	Health Department 10-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

TIGHTERS' BE TO SECTION EN THE SHOULD COMMON COMPLETE CRANKE END

THE CAP IS TO THE ME THE THE PART OF WERE ADDIDED IN THE RELEASED IN THE REPORT OF THE PART OF THE PAR

there is a sum of the angle of the subscription of the second state of the subscription of the subscription of periods of a subscription of the full periods and subscription of the subscription of the

SOT DATON NOT THE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6515</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E.O. Hahn to construct a 9 ft. by 18 ft. addition to an existing garage which has no setback, addition to have no setback, 1002 Hayes Street, West 60 ft. of Lots 11 and 12, Block 1, Fleischer's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 6, , 1948

By_____ Zoning Engineer

Secretery

ale.

FORM 2145

Application Received	By &C Van trac
I Produción recented	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By allen, Lancister & Benton City Planning Department
	Sector 6 States
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-6-48	_ Hearing date
Decision and	Date 10-6-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-4	& Building Inspector 10-7-48
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-7-4</u> Planning Commission <u>10-7-46</u> Petitioner	10-7-48 Health Department 10-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

203 GL .

.

a construction where an end of the second of the second second second second second second second second second

取出合

RESOLUTION NO. 3466 Ok See Resg1372 Ok Joelowij WHEREAS, Application No. 6513 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ no _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED. By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of J. R.Fickas to construct a 14 ft. 9 in. by 17 ft. 4 in. two-story garage and storage building with 8 in. rear yard and 6 in. sideyard at 3527 Park Villa Drive, Lot 16, Block 80, Park Villas, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 6, , 1948 FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer XSectorary

Application Received 7-30-48 By By City Planning Department
nvestigation made 6-48 By allew, Lon caster & Burlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-6-48 Hearing date
Decision demed Date 10-6-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-48 Building Inspector 10-7-48
additing Commission / / / / I cuttolici / / / I cuttolici
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 10-11-18 Council Hearing, date 10.26-48
Decision of Council Decision of Council Decision Date 10-26-48
Resolution becomes effective Zc. Securion suctained
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

. 1-1

Sul V. Cas

TO Y Y

BROLM

shbri.

and the second

9001

Aprest, Street

Blanning

RESOLUTION NO. 91372

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of J. R. Fickas, 3527 Park Villa Drive, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3466, application No. 6513, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended, to construct a 14 ft. 9 in. by 17 ft. 4 in. twostory garage and storage building with 8 in. rear yard and 6 in. sideyard at 3527 Park Villa Drive, Lot 16, Block 80, Park Villas, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 001 25 1948

FRED W SICK City Clerk. F. T. PATTEN Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6493</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John K. and Billie L. Laursen to construct a single family residence on the Southwesterly 50 ft. of Lots 8, 9, 10 and 11, Block D, Boulevard Heights, Dorcas Street, Southwesterly of Lillian Street, providing a setback is observed from Dorcas Street as great as that required on the lots to the Southwest.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 6, , 1948

By Zoning Engineer Servetary

Res. No. 3467

ale

FORM 2145

Application Received 9-29-48 By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
	2
Investigation made <u>10-6-48</u> By	<u>Allen Lancaster + Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-6-48	Hearing date
Decision appraved	Date 10-6-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-48	Building Inspector 10-7-48
Planning Commission -2-7-4 & Petitioner	10-7-48 Health Department 10-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a stand to set and a set of the

SALE OF THE AND A PARTY AND A PARTY OF THE

Markey 12 Markey

1

REOFALION MOL - Swetter

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6501</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jerome and Beda N. Moore to construct approximately 80 lineal feet of wall in front of the setback line on Malden Street, said wall not to exceed a height of 3 ft. above the existing grade of Malden Street, Lot 127, Congress Heights, at Malden and Kendall Streets and Collingwood Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insefar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 6, , 1948

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 3468

Application Received <u>9-30-48</u> By	R.J. Hancen
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	allen, Loncater & Burton
The first of the second s	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 6-48	Hearing date
Decision approved -	Date 10-6-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-48	Building Inspector 10-7-48
Decision approved - Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-7-48</u> Planning Commission 10-7-48 Petitioner	10-7-48 Health Department 10-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Sullition becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

a the mail robes mere " the to " mere water of out of

the second second of the multiplicity of the product of the second second by other second second by the second sec

The pupping of the post of the source the

A martine

UTITE V

12 CT11102 20 19

RESOLUTION NO. 3469 SEL RES 3796

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6495</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. D. G. Cowles to construct a duplex, making 3rd and 4th living units on Lots 8, 9 and 10, Block 133, University Heights, said units to be served by a 10 ft. access court to the street, 4259 Maryland Street, providing one existing living unit on the property is removed before a building permit is issued on said duplex, and providing there is set aside suitable and sufficient space for off-street parking for four automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 6, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Serversex

Res. No. 3469

ook

Application ReceivedB	y City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>10-6-46</u> B	y Allen, Son caster & Builos City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 6 - 48 Hearing date Decision Date 10 - 6 - 48 Dopy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Date 10 - 7 - 48 Planning Commission 10 - 7 - 48 Petitioner 10 - 7 - 48 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council Date Date Resolution becomes effective Date Date		
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action	

the state of the state of the second

Spectra and the second s

PARTIE DOLLA I ATTIGT DE CALS.

Market States

asylicate no and the hard

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6486</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elizabeth C. Piper to redivide Lots 29, 30, 31 and 32, Block 14, Center Addition to La Jolla Park, into two building sites, one 81 ft. in width and one 45 ft. in width, and permit a single family residence on each, Southeast corner of Pearl Street and Cabrillo Avenue, providing setbacks are observed on Pearl Street as required by the Setback Ordinance.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the tixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_October 6, , 1948

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3470

per

Application Received By	mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	allen, Lancaster & Buslon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-6-FP	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-4.8	Date 10-6-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-48	Building Inspector 10-7-48
Tianning Commission 18-7-48 Petitioner	o 11.48 Health Department 10-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Accolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second second second

to the second second of the second second

S.11

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6477</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cudahy Packing Company to construct 36 ft. by 65 ft. and 36 ft. by 20 ft. additions to the non-conforming slaughter house and meat processing plant and make alterations thereto, All of Pueblo Lot 263 lying Easterly of A.T. & S.F. Ry. and the Southwesterly 1/2 of Pueblo Lot 263 and all of Pueblo Lot 271, at the termination of Buenos Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 213, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> By ZoningEngineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated October 6, 1948

Re

Application Received <u>9-29-48</u> By	P. G. Buslon City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-6-48</u> By	<u>Allen, Lancaster & Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-6-48</u> Decision <u>Upperved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-7-48</u> Planning Commission <u>10-7-48</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 10-6-78 Building Inspector 16-7-78 10-7-78 Health Department 10-7-78
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

What the structure of the an inter still the characteristic state and the structure state the second state of

THERE'S CRATTER AND THE TONING COMPLETE OF THE CASE DAMA

that would apply on the states of the section would ment the states of the pression of the states of the section of the sectio

platered to as the real participation of the anter Bandler produce there are an are the

. .

a has the property bed by the Zimitin Constant

SDOL
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6435</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Scripps Metabolic Clinic to build and operate an addition to hospital and clinic on Lots 8, 9, 10 and 11, Block 17, La Jolla Park, with 27% excess lot coverage, 10 ft. rear yard, and no sideyard on the Southwesterly side adjacent to the common lot line of Lots 11 and 12, South Coast Boulevard, between La Jolla Boulevard and Cuvier Street, providing a 10 ft. sideyard is observed on the Northeasterly side adjacent to Lot 7.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 6, . 19 48 Dated_

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Seeter

Res. No. 3472

ale

Application Received	1-20-48	By	City Planning Department	
Investigation made	9-22-48 10-6-48	_ By allen	Someoster &	Burton
Considered by Zoning	Committee 10 6-	Hearing day Date 10	City Planning Department	A LEAST
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent Planning Commission	to Lity Clerk	8-48 Building In	spector 10-7-4	8-10-7-48
Appeal filed with City C Decision of Council	lerk, date	Council Hea	aring, date	10-7-76
Application becomes effe				
Time limit extended to		Continued t Date of acti		

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6430</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of John and Irene A. Bretz to erect two single family dwellings on each of Lots 13, 14 and 15, Block 12, La Jolla Strand, with a 20 ft. setback from Electric Avenue, West side, between Palomar and Rosemont Streets, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer Segretar

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 6, . 19 48

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3473

Ah

Application Received	48 By Ful me Connect City Planning Department
Investigation made 7-22-4	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10</u> Decision <u>Convert</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission <u>10-7-48</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date 10-6-48 <u>10-7-46</u> Building Inspector <u>10-7-48</u> Petitioner 10-7-46 Health Department 10-7-46
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and a fail she and a the fail of the second and a second

SETUPALION NO.

es 2. -

The state of the state will be a state of the creation of the

That a second second of the problem of the problem of the second se

is the second second

2

1

The set of the set of the set of the set of the set dence provided for the former densities to the set of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6505</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs Marjorie H. Jackson to construct a 12 ft. by 15 ft. bedroom addition to a residence which has a 2 ft. sideyard, addition to have required side yard and rear yard, 3667 Central Avenue, Lots 7 and 8, Block 80, City Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

nated_October 6, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3474

14

Destroya	L ,
Application Received 9-28-48	By J. C. Jaughnan
Application Received	City Planning Department
	By <u>Allen, Lancester & Berlon</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-6-42	F Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-7</u>	Date 10-6-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-Z	FFBuilding Inspector 10-7-48
Planning Commission 10 7 48 Petitione	er 10-7-48 Health Department 10-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Y THUR .

,

· 13

and the second of the second of the second second second and the second s

is a great a contra and energy because a gradinicader and in manufactures.

the second second

RESOLUTION NO. 3475, amending Res. 3406

Letter dated Sept. 27, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3406, dated September 8, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ernest and Myra Frederick to replace an existing wall with a masonry wall to a maximum height of 2 ft. 10 in. above the estimated first floor level of the residence, in no event to be higher than the existing wall, 4301 Hilldale Road, Lot 136, Kensington Heights Unit No. 2, providing the existing arch over the gate is eliminated.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 6, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer XSecrexex

Res. No. 3475

12h

Application Received 9-27-4	° By
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 10-6-40	_ By allen, Loncester & Buston City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	5-48 Hearing date
Decision aground	Date 10-6-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-	7-48 Building Inspector 10-7-48
Planning Commission 10 -7-48 Petit	Date 10-6-48 <u>Z-48</u> Building Inspector <u>10-7-48</u> ioner 10-7-48 Health Department 10-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Ley point

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6243</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the La Jolla Presbyterian Church to construct a Sunday School with an 11 ft. setback from Draper Street, Lots 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20, Block 32, La Jolla Park, Northeast corner of Kline and Draper Streets.

The request to construct an addition to the church with a 4 ft. Act setback from Draper Street on the above-described property, is hereby denied.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning.

Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res 3 483

pated October 6, , 1948

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3476

Application Descined	Br. J. C. Bauchman
Application Received	By J. C. Daughman City Planning Department
9-22-4	s - lin P + + P 1-
	By Allen, Kancaster + Burlon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	6-48 Hearing date
Decision por app. Por denie	Date 10-6-48 2-7-46 Building Inspector 10-7-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10	-7-46 Building Inspector 10-7-48
Planning Commission 16 - 7 - 48 Peti	tioner 10-7-48 Health Department 10-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the particular of the second of the second s

ų.

. . . .

THE ALL STREET AND A

A State of the second

where we is the first of the second on the first state of the

the second and the second is an end of the approximation of the second of the second of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6244</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the La Jolla Presbyterian Church to construct a Sunday School with a 10 ft. rear yard and 61% lot coverage, Northeast corner of Kline and Draper Streets, Lots 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20, Block 32, La Jolla Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar. as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

October 6, . 1948 Dated

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3477

De

Application Received	By _ Chaughman City Planing Department
Investigation made 9- 22-48	By allen, Lancaster & Bulo City Planning Department
9-22-5	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-6-48	P Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-7-</u> Planning Commission (1) 72555 Potition	Date 10-6-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-	48 Building Inspector 10-7-48
- anning Commission	El 10 1 15 licalin Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

. .

The second second

at the second second

and the first

which there are any second the appropriate the many second and the second the second the second the

WHEREAS, Application No. 6459 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. E. and Della O. Shattuck to use a portion of the West 84 ft. of the North 63½ ft. and the North 80 ft. of the South 240 ft. of Lot 9, Block J, Teralta, as an auto parking lot in connection with adjoining businesses, East side of 39th Street, just South of El Cajon Blvd., subject to the following conditions:

- That a four-foot planting strip be maintained adjacent to 1. the South property line and that a solid fence 6 ft. in height be erected or a tight Eugenia hedge 6 ft. in height be maintained along said South property line; Fencing to be erected adjacent to all residential properties;
- 2.
- Entire parking area to be surfaced to keep it dust free; 3.
- Lighting to be away from residential property: 40
- No charge to be made for parking. 5.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3478

X Sephebary

Ak

, 19 48 Oct. 6, Dated_

FORM 2145

Application Received	9-17-48	By C. J. Buston City Planning Department
	9-22-48	By Allen, Sancaster & Burlor
Investigation made		City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning C	ommittee '10 5-1	F Hearing date
Decision annou	el covail	Date 10-6-48
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 10-8-	Date 10-6-48 48 Building Inspector 10-7-48
Planning Commission	0-7-48 Petition	er 10.7-48 Health Department 10-7-48
Appeal filed with City Cl	erk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	STRATE TOTAL ENTRY X	Date
Resolution becomes effect	tive	
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

in which the factors that the million reduced is the choice fees secure

a mid and "inclusion" agree her and office buildings of preparate the set and the

and a substitute of the approximation the second for the providents

the part for but the matching of the matching and the second s

the second of an atomicant memory is the second the transference represented by a price of the second

CI ST'S I PK

ARGOINE A MAN PHENE TOTAL COMPTEND

a supe The second

ad mail to: Neighborhood code compliance NameProvestigation DEC 30, 1997 10:59 F NameProvestigation NameProvestigation NameProvestigation NameProvestigation San Diego, CA 92101 NameProvestigation DEC 30, 1997 10:59 F San Diego, CA 92101 San Diego, State of California: NameProvestigation NameProvestigation San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California: That Dortion of the west 40' of Lots 32 and 33 of Block 25, Park Villas, Assessor (legal description) Parcel #453-441-13, and the westerly 61' of Lots 34, 35 and 36, Block 25, Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership	and and col Mediphorhood code compliance If DEC 30, 1997 10:59 for the construction of the construction o		12.00
ad adies: Neighborhood code compliance Mk DEC 30., 1997 10:59 6 Samdy Ti200 Third Avenue, MS 51N BTDEE 30., 1997 10:59 6 Samdy Ti200 Third Avenue, MS 51N BTDEE 30., 1997 10:59 6 Vidress): Snn Diego, CA 92101 ISA Sam Diego, CA 92101 SPACE At BTDEE 30., 1997 10:59 6 Sam Diego, CA 92101 SPACE At ISA Sam Diego, CA 92101 SPACE At ISA Sam Diego, CA 92101 SPACE At ISA Sam Diego, Caury of Sam Diego, Same of California: Instrument Network of Sam Diego, Same of California: Instrument Network of Sam Diego, Same of California: That Dortion of the west of 0' of Lots 32 and 33 of Block 25, Park Villas, Assessor Parcel #453-441-13, and the westerly 61' of Lots 34,35 and 36, Block 25, Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership // seconded in Book	and and col Mediphorhood code compliance If DEC 30, 1997 10:59 for the construction of the construction o	- EV	
Neighborhood code compliance Mail DEC 30, 1997 10:59 4 Staff ''200 third Avenue, NS 51M DFICUR REDUBER' OF DEC San Diego, CA 92101 SPACE AL SPACE AL SPACE AL <	Neidyborhood code compliance Market 1200 third Avenue, NS 51N Deficit Avenue, NS 51N DEC 30, 1997 10:59 for DEFICE LEDGES Number 1200 third Avenue, NS 51N DEFICIAL EDGES Address) San Diego, CA 92101 SPACE At SPACE At DEFICIAL EDGES COVENANT AND AGREEMENT TO HOLD PROPERTY AS ONE PARCEL RES: 11.00 Fas Diego, County of San Diego, Sate of Cultomia: Use That Dortion of the west 40' of Lots 32 and 33 of Block 25, Park Villas; 3040. Upas Street Shall be held in one ownership 9arcel #453-441-13, and the west fully 61', of Lots 24, 35 and 36, Block 25, Park Villas; 3040. Upas Street shall be held in one ownership // // // seconded in Book	cording requested by 17 DOC # 1997-06653	78 -
Samd/F 1200 Third Avenue, NS 51N If J48 BY DECO CUMP REDERFY OF DEC. (ddress) San Diego, CA 92101 1548 BY DECO CUMP REDERFY OF DEC. (ddress) San Diego, CA 92101 FES: 11.00 FES: 11.00 (ddress) SAN DECO CUMP REDERFY OF DEC. GEREAR M. SCHLER CUMP REDERFY OF DEC. GEREAR M. SCHLER CUMP REDERFY OF DEC. (ddress) SAN Diego, CA 92101 SAN DECO CUMP REDERFY AS ONE PARCEL FES: 11.00 be undersigned hereby certify that we are the owners of the hereinater legally described that we are the owners of the foreinater legally described that we are the owners of the foreinater legally described that we are the owners of the foreinater legally described that here and the lot one owners of the stored at this location. Parcel #453-441-13, and the wester() 51° of Lots 34, 35 and 36, Block 25, Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street	Standby: 1200 third Avenue, MS 51N If Main and		7 A
San Diego, CA 92101 INTO CERNIT J. SMIN. CENTRY RECERPT: TESS: 11.00 SPACE A: USE COVENANT AND AGREEMENT TO HOLD PROPERTY AS ONE PARCEL he undersigned hereby cerify that we are the operate of the hereinafter legally described real property located in the City is an Diego. Sance of California: Into Dort the west 40° of Lots 32 and 33 of Block 25. Park Villas, Assessor Parcel #453-441-13, and the westerpl's 61° of Lots 34, 35 and 36. Block 25. Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership // recorded in Book —, Records of San Diego County. BADDRESS: Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street 441-13 and the westerpl's 61° of Lots 1000 Upas Street 4 // recorded in Book -, Records of San Diego County. BADDRESS: Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street 0	Address) San Diego, CA 92101 ID40 GEEDRY J. SHITH, DURY REDERRY FES: 11.00 SPACE AL USE COVENANT AND AGREEMENT TO HOLD PROPERTY AS ONE PARCEL he undersigned hereby certify har we are the orners of the hereinafter legally described real property located in the City is on biego, Source of California: That Dortion of the west 40' of Lots 32 and 33 of Block 25, Park Villas, Assessor (Regul description) Parcel #453-441-13, and the west fully of Lots 32, 35 and 36, Block 25, Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership /	ande) 1200 Third Avenue, MS 51N	1
SPACE AI USE COVENANT AND AGREEMENT TO HOLD PROPERTY AS ONE PARCEL be indervisioned bareby certify that we are the ownears of the hereinafter legally described real property located in the City Stan Diego, Country of San Diego, State of California: Intal Dortion of the west 40' of Lots 32 and 33 of Block 25, Park Villas, Assessor Parcel #453-441-13, and the wester() of of Lots 34, 35 and 35, Block 25, Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership / // recorded in Book	SPACE AE COVENANT AND AGREEMENT TO HOLD PROPERTY AS ONE PARCEL COVENANT AND AGREEMENT TO HOLD PROPERTY AS ONE PARCEL The undersigned hereby certify that we are the owners of the hereinafter legally described real property located in the City fram Diego, Sante of California: That Dortion of the west 40' of Lots 32 and 33 of Block 25, Park Villas, Assessor Parcel #453-441-13, and the wester 10 for 50 s24, 35 and 36, Block 25, Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership / a recorded in Book	Idress) San Diego CA 92101 1548 GREGORY J. SHITH, COUNTY RECORDER	w.
COVENANT AND AGREEMENT TO HOLD PROPERTY AS ONE PARCEL be undersigned hereby certify that we are the owners of the hereinster legally described real property located in the City ison Diego, County of San Diego, State of California: That Dortion of the west 40' of Lots 32 and 33 of Block 25. Park Villas, Assessor Parcel #453-441-13, and the westerly '01' of Lots 34, 35 and 36, Block 25. Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership // recorded in Book, page, Records of San Diego County. BB ADDRESS: Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street d, for the purpose of	COVENANT AND AGREEMENT TO HOLD PROPERTY AS ONE PARCEL be undersigned hereby certify that we are the owners of the hereinster legally described real propenty located in the City San Diego, State of California: That Dortion of the west 40' of Lots 32 and 33 of Block 25, Park Villas, Assessor Parcel #453-441-13, and the westerly '01' of Lots 34, 35 and 36, Block 25, Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership // recorded in Book, page, Records of San Diego County. BB ADDRESS: Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street // recorded in Book, page, Records of San Diego County. BB ADDRESS: Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street // d, for the purpose of _Droviding_a_parking_lot_for customer_ and employee vehicles associated (state purpose) with business at 3040 Upas Street. Vehicles may not be stored at this location. Vehicles may be parked for a maximum of 5 calendar days. All vehicles parked on the j must be operable and shall be held and on parking loc doe parcel and operain shall be sold separately. is covenant and agreement shall run with the land and shall be biding upon ourselves, any future owners, including in greater by the subscore and shall continue in effect until such the shat the San Diego Multipal Code uncoally is covenant and agreement shall run with the land and shall be biding upon ourselves, any future owners, including in greater by Signature		
he undersigned hereby certify that we are the owners of the hereinatter legally described real property located in the City San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California: That Dortlon of the west 40' of Lots 32 and 33 of Block 25, Park Villas, Assessor Parcel #453-441-13 and the westerly 61' of Lots 34, 35 and 36, Block 25, Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership / recorded in Book, page, Records of San Diego County. B& ADDRESS: Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street d, for the purpose of Droviding a parking lot for customer and employee vehicles associated with business at 3040 Upas Street. Vehicles may not be stored at this location. Vehicles may be parked for a maximum of 5 calendar days. All vehicles parked on the jumits' be opperable and hall be hidd as one parcel and on parking all be sold appraintly. It is aveced as a methan as and hall be hidd as one parcel and all be sold appraintly. It is aveced by Section	he undersigned hereby certify that we are the owners of the hereinafter legally described real property located in the City San Diego, Soury of San Diego, State of California: That Dortion of the west 640' of Lots 32 and 33 of Block 25, Park Villas, Assessor Parcel #453-441-13, and the westerly 61' of Lots 34, 35 and 36, Block 25, Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership / recorded in Book, page, Records of San Diego County. BADDRESS:Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street / with business at 3040 Upas Street. Vehicles may not be stored at this location. Vehicles may be parked for a maximum of 5 calendar days. All vehicles parked on the j must be parked by service the san of park and point and park of the San Diego Monitorial be sold sparket, is covenant and agreement shall the hid and shall be hid and point achies and point of the San Diego Monitorial Code, we do hereby covenant and agree with said City at he above legalty described land shall be hid and and point achies and point achies and point achies and point achies and point of the Director of t	37-6665378	
San Diego, County of San Diego, Sate of California: That Dortion of the west 40' of Lots 32 and 33 of Block 25, Park Villas, Assessor (legal description) Parcel #453-441-13 and the westerly 51' of Lots 34,35 and 36, Block 25, Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership / recorded in Book, page, Records of San Diego County. B ADDRESS: Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street d, for the purpose of _Droviding a parking lot for customer, and employee vehicles associated(state purpose) with business at 3040 Upas Street. Vehicles may not be stored at this location. Vehicles may be parked for a maximum of 5 calendar days. All vehicles parked on the jumst be operable and have current registration. regulated by Section for the San Diego Municipal Code, we do hereby covenant and agree with said City it the above legally described and ball be binding upon outselves, any future ownes, incumbanced, may be operable and hall be hidd as one parcial and a point mail be soid exparket). If an outper able and hall be hidd as one parcial and a point mail be soid exparket). The Above legally described to a maximum of 5 calendar days. All vehicles parked or the jumst be operable and hall be hidd as one parcial and hall be hidd as one parcial and and ball be hidding upon outselves, any future ownes, incumbanced, is covenant and agreement shall us with the land and shall be binding upon outselves, any future ownes, incumbanced, mally permits the use on purpose bereinabove referred or unless otherwise released by authority of the Director of the diding Inspection Department of the City of San Diego. Dated: This T32h day of _November19 97. 	Stan Diego, County of San Diego, State of California: That Dortion of the west 40' of Lots 32 and 33 of Block 25, Park Villas, Assessor (legal description) Parcel #453-441-13, and the westerly 61' of Lots 32 and 36, Block 25, Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership // </td <td></td> <td></td>		
Parcel #453-441-13 and the wester19 61. of Lots 34, 35 and 36, Block 25, Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership / recorded in Book, page, Records of San Diego County. BB ADDRESS; Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street d, for the purpose of _DTOVIDING _ parking lot for customer and employee vehicles associated (state purpose) with business at 3040 Upas Street. Vehicles may not be stored at this location. Vehicles may be parked for a maximum of 5 calendar days. All vehicles parked on the jums the operable and have current registration. regulated by Section for the San Diego Municipal Code, we do hereby covenant and agree with aid City is covenant and agreement shall run with the land and shall be bidding upon outselves, any future owners, enumbaced ally permits the use or purpose bereinabove referred to or unless otherwise released by authority of the Director of the Biding Inspection Department of the City of San Diego. Darted: This 102h day of _November 19 97. 	Parcel #453-441-13 and the westerly 61' of Lots 34, 35 and 36, Block 25, Park Villas; 3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership / recorded in Book, page, Records of San Diego County. DB ADDRESS: Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street d, for the purpose of _DTOVIDING a parking lot for customer and employee vehicles associated	: undersigned hereby certify that we are the owners of the hereinafter legally described real property located in the San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California:	City
3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership / //	2040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership / //	That portion of the west 40' of Lots 32 and 33 of Block 25, Park Villas, Assessor	
<pre>// recorded in Book, page, Records of San Diego County. BADDRESS:Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street d, for the purpose ofDroviding a parking lot for customer and employee vehicles associated</pre>	<pre>//</pre>	Parcel #453-441-13 and the westerly 61' of Lots 34,35 and 36, Block 25, Park Villa	s;
BADDRESS: Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street d, for the purpose of _providing a parking lot for customer and employee vehicles associated	DB ADDRESS: Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street nd, for the purpose of _providing a parking lot for customer and employee vehicles associated	3040 Upas Street shall be held in one ownership	
BADDRESS: Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street d, for the purpose of _providing a parking lot for customer and employee vehicles associated	OB ADDRESS: Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street and, for the purpose ofDroviding a parking lot for customer and employee vehicles associated	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
BADDRESS: Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street d, for the purpose of _providing a parking lot for customer and employee vehicles associated	OB ADDRESS: Parcel #453-441-13, 16 3040 Upas Street and, for the purpose ofDroviding a parking lot for customer and employee vehicles associated	· /	<u> </u>
d, for the purpose of <u>Droviding a parking lot for customer and employee vehicles associated</u> (state purpose) with business at 3040 Upas Street. Vehicles may not be stored at this location. Vehicles may be parked for a maximum of 5 calendar days. All vehicles parked on the jums's be operable and have current registration. regulated by Section of the San Diego Municipal Code, we do hereby covenant and agree with said City at the above legally described land shall be bidding upon ourselves, any future owners, encumbancers, is covenant, hers, assignees and shall continue in effect until auch time that the said Separately. Is covenant, hers, assignees and shall continue in effect until auch time that the said Code uncodi- nally permits the use or purpose bereinabove referred to or unless otherwise released by authority of the Director of the Iding Inspection Department of the City of San Diego. Dated: This 121 day of <u>November</u> 19 97 <u>Stature</u> (Sign) <u>Auune</u> <u>Maxim</u> (Sign) <u>Auune</u> <u>Maxim</u> (Sign) <u>Auune</u> <u>Sign</u>) states <u>Stature</u> <u>Cole uncodiate</u> (Sign) <u>Auune</u> <u>Stature</u> <u>San Diego</u> <u>S</u>	nd, for the purpose ofDroviding a parking lot for customer_and employee vehicles associated		
(state purpose) with business at 3040 Upas Street. Vehicles may not be stored at this location. Vehicles may be parked for a maximu of 5 calendar days. All vehicles parked on the in- must be operable and have current registration. regulated by Section	(state purpose) with business at 3040 Upas Street. Vehicles may not be stored at this location. Vehicles may be parked for a maximum of 5 calendar days. All vehicles parked on the imust be operable and have current registration. regulated by Section of the San Diego Municipal Code, we do hereby covenant and agree with said City at the above legally described land shall be held as one parcel and no portion shall be sold separately. bis covenant and agreement shall run with the land and shall be binding upon ourselves, any future owners, escumbanacers, the successors, heirs, assignees and shall continue in effect until such time that the San Diego Municipal Code uncondi- mally certifies the use or purpose bereinabove referred to or unless otherwise released by authority of the Director of the Udding impection Department of the City of San Diego. Dated: This day of . November 19 97 		
with business at 3040 Upas Street. Vehicles may not be stored at this location. Vehicles may be parked for a maximum of 5 calendar days. All vehicles parked on the in- must be operable and have current registration. regulated by Section	with business at 3040 Upas Street. Vehicles may not be stored at this location. Vehicles may be parked for a maximum of 5 calendar days. All vehicles parked on the i must be operable and have current registration: segulated by Section	(state purpose)	ed
most be operable and have current registration: regulated by Section	must be operable and have current registration. or regulated by Sectionof the San Diego Municipal Code, we do hereby covenant and agree with said City at he above legally described land shall be held as one parcel and no portion shall be sold separately. bis covenant and agreement shall run with the land and shall be binding upon ourselves, any forure owners, encumbrancers, bis is successors, heirs, assignees and shall continue in effect until such time that the San Diego Municipal Code uncodi- mally permits the use or purpose bereinabove referred to or unless otherwise released by authority of the Director of the diding Inspection Department of the City of San Diego. Dated: This 120 day of November 19 97. Status	with business at 3040 Upas Street. Vehicles may not be stored at this location.	
regulated by Section	regulated by Sectionof the San Diego Municipal Code, we do hereby covenant and agree with said City at the above legally described land shall be hield as one parcel and no portion shall be sold separately. is covenant and agreement shall run with the land and shall be binding upon ourselves, any future owners, encumbancers, here, assignees and shall continue in effect until such time that the San Diego Municipal Code uncondi- nally permits the use or purpose hereinabove referred to or unless otherwise released by authority of the Director of the Iding Inspection Department of the City of San Diego. Dated: This AID day of November(Sign) Dated: This AID day of November(Sign) Dated: This AID day of(Sign) Dated: This AID day of(Sign) proved for Recording: By:	Vehicles may be parked for a maximum of 5 calendar days. All vehicles parked on the must be overable and have current remistration	he lo
STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF <u>San Divego</u> on <u>November 26, 1997</u> before me, <u>E. O'Loughlin</u> personally appeared <u>Richard J. Morris</u> and <u>Lawr</u> <u>G. Morris</u> personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is (art) subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/(he) executed the same in his/her/(hei) signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the/instrument. WITNESS my hand and official seat Signature <u>Kard Mark</u>	STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF <u>San Diveg o</u> on <u>November 26,1997</u> before me, <u>E. O'Loughlin</u> personally appeared <u>Richard J. Morris</u> and <u>Laura</u> <u>G. Morris</u> personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/he/be executed the same in his/hor/fheil authorized capacity(ics), and that by his/hor/theil signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the firstrument. WITNESS my hand and official seat Signature <u>Mark 27, 2000</u>	r successors, heirs, assignees and shall continue in effect until such time that the San Diego Municipal Code unc ally permits the use or purpose bereinabove referred to or unless otherwise released by authority of the Director of ding Inspection Department of the City of San Diego. Dated: This <u>142th</u> day of <u>November</u> 19 <u>97</u> <u>Science</u> (Sign)	ondi-
COUNTY OF <u>San Diego</u> On <u>November 26,1997</u> before me, <u>E. O'Loughlin</u> personally appeared <u>Richard J. Morris</u> <u>and Lawn</u> <u>G. Morris</u> personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is and subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that <u>he/shc/(her)</u> executed the same in <u>bic/her/(hei)</u> authorized capacity(ies), and that by <u>his/her/(hei)</u> signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. WITNESS my hand and otheral scalf Signature <u>My Comm. Expires Mar. 27, 2000</u>	COUNTY OF <u>San Diego</u> on <u>November 26,1997</u> before me, <u>E. O'Loughlin</u> personally appeared <u>Richard J. Morris</u> and <u>Lawa</u> <u>G. Morris</u> personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is and subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that <u>he/she/(her)</u> executed the same in <u>his/her/(hei)</u> authorized capacity(ies), and that by <u>his/her/(hei)</u> signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument WITNESS my hand and official scal Signature <u>Mathematical</u> Signature	coved for Recording: By: <u>Mauren Man</u> Date: <u>12/17/97</u> Sharren L. Carr, Program Manager	_
COUNTY OF <u>San Diego</u> on <u>November 26,1997</u> before me, <u>E. O'Loughlin</u> personally appeared <u>Richard J. Morris</u> and <u>Lawn</u> <u>G. Morris</u> personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is(are) subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/(he) executed the same in his/her/(hei) authorized capacity (ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument WITNESS my hand and official scal Signature <u>My Comm. Expires Mar. 27, 2000</u>	COUNTY OF <u>San Diego</u> On <u>November 26, 1997</u> before me, <u>E. O'Loughlin</u> personally appeared <u>Richard J. Morris</u> and <u>Lawa</u> <u>G. Morris</u> personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/(he) executed the same in his/her/(hei) authorized capacity(ics), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the/mstrument. WITNESS my hand and official seat Signature <u>G. Morris</u> Signature <u>Mathematical seat</u> Signature <u>Mathematical seat</u> Signature <u>Mathematical seat</u> Signature <u>Mathematical seat</u> Signature <u>Mathematical seat</u> Signature <u>Mathematical seat</u> Signature <u>San Diego county</u> San Diego County <u>San Diego county</u> San Diego County <u>San Diego county</u> San Diego County <u>San Diego county</u> Signature <u>San Diego county</u> San Diego County <u>San Diego county</u> San Diego County <u>San Diego county</u> San Diego County <u>San Diego county</u> Signature <u>San Diego county</u> San Diego County <u>San Diego county</u> San Diego Cou		
COUNTY OF <u>San Diego</u> on <u>November 26,1997</u> before me, <u>E. O'Loughlin</u> personally appeared <u>Richard J. Morris</u> and <u>Lawn</u> <u>G. Morris</u> personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is(are) subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/(he) executed the same in his/her/(hei) authorized capacity (ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument WITNESS my hand and official scal Signature <u>My Comm. Expires Mar. 27, 2000</u>	COUNTY OF <u>San Diego</u> On <u>November 26, 1997</u> before me, <u>E. O'Loughlin</u> personally appeared <u>Pichaved J. Morris</u> and <u>Lawa</u> <u>G. Morris</u> personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/(he) executed the same in his/her/(hei) authorized capacity(ics), and that by <u>his/her/(hei)</u> signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the/mstrument. WITNESS my hand and official seat Signature <u>Mathematical Action</u> State Mar. 27, 2000 B		
On <u>November 26,1997</u> before me, <u>E. O'Loughlin</u> personally appeared <u>Richard J. Morris</u> and <u>Laura G. Morris</u> personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/(her) executed the same in hig/her/(hei) authorized capacity(ics), and that by hig/her/(hei) signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument WITNESS my hand and official scal Signature <u>Muthan</u>	On <u>November 26, 1997</u> before me, <u>E. O'Loughlin</u> personally appeared <u>Richard J. Morris</u> and <u>Laura</u> <u>G. Morris</u> personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/(her) executed the same in his/her/(heil) authorized capacity(ics), and that by his/her/(heil) signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. WITNESS my hand and official scal Signature	SS. 255.	
personally appeared <u>Richard J. Morris</u> and <u>Laura G. Morris</u> personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/(hey) executed the same in his/her/(hei) authorized capacity (ics), and that by his/her/(hei) signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument WITNESS my hand and official scal Signature	personally appeared <u>Richard J. Morris</u> and <u>Lawn</u> <u>G. Morris</u> personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that <u>he/she/(he)</u> executed the same in <u>his/her/(hei)</u> authorized capacity(ics), and that by <u>his/her/(hei)</u> signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. WITNESS my hand and official scat Signature		
personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is(are) subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/(her) executed the same in his/her/(hei) authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/(hei) signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument WITNESS my hand and official scal Signature	personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/shc/(he) executed the same in his/hor/(hei) authorized capacity(ics), and that by his/hor/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument WITNESS my hand and official scal Signature	Did IT Mining and I and Maan	15
is are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity (ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. WITNESS my hand and official scal. Signature	is are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/shc/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity (ics), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. WITNESS my hand and official scal. Signature		
n na stand a substant at stand at a		is are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/shc/the) executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ics), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. WITNESS my hand and official seat Signature	Son LSOI
		a set and a set and a set a	29



This is a true certified copy of the second if it bears the seal, imprinted in purple ink C FEB 0 2 2007 GREGORY J. SMITH Assessor/Recorder/Clerk San Diego County, California

WHEREAS, Application No. 6521 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas Skelley to construct a repair shop, 40 ft. by 46 ft. in size, with no sideyard and no rear yard, making a total of 66% lot coverage, to be used in connection with the non-conforming service station on the Westerly 61 ft. of Lots 34, 35 and 36, Block 25, Park Villas, 3040 Upas Street, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Lubricating rack to be moved inside the building;
- 2. The existing wooden fence to be replaced with a masonry wall 6 ft. in height and tapering to 4 ft. in height at the front:
- 3. The existing wooden structure at the rear of the property to be torn down within 18 months of the date of this resolution;
- As soon as said building is torn down, a masonry wall 6 ft. in height shall be constructed on the north property line. 40 5.
- All mechanical work to be conducted within the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above shall be Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 6, . 1948 Dated.

By_ Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 3479

. Ah

FORM 2145

	1111	10	

and all adversaries property rights of the priticates, powersed by other property

Add the state is the the state of the construct a result of the four state of the the state is and no result for descent of the state of the state is construction with addition with the state is a state of the state is the state of the state of the state is and state is a state of the state of the

"TROADING LOUS IN TO DOARD DESTING ON DESTINATION

r Safe To 2 Contractions will expected to this is the relation with

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-6-48 Hearing date_ Decision Upproved Cores Date 10-6-48 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-48 Building Inspector 10-7-48 Planning Commission 10-7-48 Petitioner 10-7-48 Health Department 10 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

					0			
)	Investigation made	10- 6	-48	Bv	allen	Lancaster	P	-
		1.000	6		C	ity Planning Department		

Bv

Application Received _______ 10-4-48

1.0%

City Planning Department Sustan

N CEF

THEFT IS AN ENGINE SHARE SHE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6452</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anna K. Clark and Louise M. Brown, owners, and AnnabelleGilbert, lessee, to operate a poultry market, including the slaughtering and cleaning of chickens for retail sale, at 3003 Adams Avenue, Westerly 50 ft. of Lots 42, 43 and 44, Block 11, University Heights, for a period of five years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3463

3480

Zoning Engineer Semerer

sh

Dated____October 6, , 19.48

FORM 2145

Application Received	- By El Van Nice
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 10 -6 - 48	- By allen, Loncaster & Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-6-5	F Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7	Date 10-6-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7	48 Building Inspector 10-7-48
Planning Commission 10-7-48 Petition	ner 10.7.48 Health Department 10-7-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6540</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jerome J. Hope to construct a single family residence on the Southeasterly 30 ft. of Lot 13 and the Northwesterly 20 ft. of Lot 14, Longview Manor, 2230 La Callecita.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 13, , 1948

FORM 2145

By______ Glenn A. Rick, Sycretexx City Planning Director Res. No. 3481

Application Received E	By <u>Elenn a Rick</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made Investigation made E	By Dr. Rick
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-13-48	Hearing date
Decision and Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-14-48	Date 10-13-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-14-48	Building Inspector 10-14-48
Planning Commission 10 - 14 - 48 Petitioner	10-14-48 Health Department 10-14-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 6500 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David Schreibman to collect and bale newspapers and magazines within the building located on the front of the property at 1684 Logan Avenue, being Lots 21 and 22, Block 180, Manassee and Schiller's Subdivision, subject to the following conditions: 1. Said front building to be used for the sole purpose of baling

- paper; there is to be no storage of said paper on the premises;
- If the shed at the rear of the lot is used for the storage of scrap metal, it must first have the approval of the Building, 2.
- Health and Fire Departments; Existing wooden platform in the rear building to be replaced 3. with cement:
- Trucks to be loaded and unloaded within the yard; 4.
- Said trucks to be stored in the yard during other than business 5. hours:
- 6. This permit for a period of six months only from the date of this resolution, and said permit to be revocable for failure to comply with the above conditions. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particular

granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 13, 1948 Dated.

FORM 2145

Glenn A. Rick, XSecretary City Planning Director Res. No. 3482

Application Received /0 - 6 - 48	- By & & South
	City Planning Department
10-6-48	1 D . P I-
Investigation made	- By allen, Lundy, Seneaster
The second	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-6-2	Hearing date
Decision lang and all a land it	Date 10-13-98
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-14	-ys Building Inspector 10-14-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-14 Planning Commission 10-14-48 Petition	ner 10-14-48 Health Department 10-14-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Constant of State of the State of the State of the

the section in the maintained and and shall the below of the sector of the sector of

Tententel estrumptones as an an include applie and could a market to

N Trate to Di

PRIDE CALLARD

2110

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6572</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>NOT</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the La Jolla Presbyterian Church to erect an addition to the front of an existing church, said addition to have a 4 ft. setback from Draper Street, Lots 13 to 20, inclusive, Block 32, La Jolla Park, East side of Draper Street, between Kline and Silverado Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

XSearekary.

Dated October 20, . 1948

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3483

sk

Application Received	By be von the
pprication received	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Allew & Burlow City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 -	20-48 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 10-20-48 0-21-49 Building Inspector 10-22-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	1-21-48 Building Inspector 10-22-48
Planning Commission 10 22-48 Pe	titioner 10 22-91 Health Department 10 22-46
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The side 'Zonskip' Contraction of Sign Co China!

the first of the second second second the shows the fact of

9001 --- 1001 --- 1001 B

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5743</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Charles and Lora Jensen to construct three residences on a parcel of land which has no street frontage, but served by a 4 ft. easement to Suncrest Drive, being a portion of Villa Lot 73, University Heights (description on file in the Planning Department Office), rear of 2932 Suncrest Drive, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated October 20, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3484

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	allen + Burlon
2-25-48	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-20-48	Hearing date
Decision Dennied	Date 10 -20-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 21-48	Building Inspector 10-22-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10 21-48</u> Planning Commission 10 22-48 Petitioner	10 - 2 - 4 & Health Department 10 - 2 - 48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second of second second

a main and areas and there are applied to the property of the banders are the deal

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6564</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. B. Hightower to construct a garage, 24 ft. by 24 ft. in size, with a 6 in. sideyard, Lot 13, Highdale Addition to Encanto, 6720 Springfield Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____0ctober 20, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Committee xxxxxxxx Res. No. 3485 oh

Application ReceivedB	y 20Baughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	V allen & Burlos
A HITE AND AND A REAL PROPERTY OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 -20-++	Hearing date
Decision	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

a fault (a) of the time constraining of the magnetic strate and by a for the second of the fort

DEFUCIT LORDEO

511

RESOLUTION NO. 3486, extending Res. No. 3061

see 3811

Letter dated Oct. 16, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3061 be granted to W. A. Wayman to construct five apartment units, buildings crossing lot lines, on Lots 27, 28, 29 and 30, Block 3, Ocean Spray Addition, Northwest corner of Opal Street and Mission Blvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____October 20, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Servery

Res. No. 3486

Application Received 10 - 18 - 48	By mail
- spincation received	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By allen & Builos City Planning Department
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-20-48	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 10-20-48
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 21-9	Building Inspector 10-22-48
Planning Commission 10 - 28-48 Petitioner	10-22-48 Health Department 10-22-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

CHERT THAT IT IL REBORARD ON THE SOUTH COMPLETER OF THE STRUCT PROPERTY

THE ALL OF ALL ST. OALS.

substantial product of a transfer of the methods of the second of the second of the second of the second of the

Constant (Satisfield Statisfield Statisfield Statisfield

NALLY POOR SOUND PROTINGS

1000

SECONDITON NO. I REAL BY SOUD LOD AND

Grit 13 min

and marked and the second

OUC

,

RESOLUTION NO. 3487, extending Res. 3062 See Res 3812

Letter dated Oct. 16, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOU**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3062 be extended for six (6) months to permit W. A. Wayman to construct five apartment units with an 8½ ft. rear yard, Lots 27, 28, 29 and 30, Block 3, Ocean Spray Addition, Northwest corner of Opal Street and Mission Blvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particularsstated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated_ October 20, , 1948

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer Ascertary

Res. No. 3487

ok

Application Received	n the it
Application Received	By Mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 10-30-4	8 By aclew & Builon
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee -	Date 10 -20-48 Date 10 -20-48 <u>10-21-48</u> Building Inspector <u>10-22-48</u> Petitioner 10-22-48 Health Department 10-22-48
Decision approved	Date 10 - 20 - 48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	10-21-48 Building Inspector 10-22-48
Planning Commission 20 - 22 - 48	Petitioner 10-22-48 Health Department 10-28-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a ser l'anne parte d'a tel atende de la la l'a l'a l'a de la companya de ser ante parte de la company

The second second and the second s

at Standart

" (apprairy se "be "

a set of a set of the set of the

there is an includence and working of the

MAN DOAR

3

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6561</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elmer E. and Leona E. Laun to erect a woven wire fence 4 ft. in height in front of the setback line at 423 Cuyamaca Avenue on Lot 73 of Sierra Vista.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated October 20, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX

Res. No. 3488

ou

Application Received B	yCity Planning Department
Investigation made <u>-0-20-48</u> B	Gelen & Burlos City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-21-48	10 - 22 - 48 Health Department 10 - 22 - 48
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

,

EXTERTS DE LL BEE DEARDY LE TREISCHIGHT COLLEGE OF L'UNITED AL L'U

and the second of the providence of the second of the second seco

WHAT IS IN THE OWNER
See 3525 Oh

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6547</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of M. A. Johnson to construct a concrete retaining wall 10 ft. in height and 30 ft. in length at 305 Olivewood Terrace on Lot 1, Block C, Southlook, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 20, , 1948

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer Recetery Res. No. 3489

Application Received	By QQ Hansen
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By allen & Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-20-9	48 Hearing date
Decision deried	Date 10-20-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 -2	1-48 Building Inspector 10 -22 - 48
Planning Commission 22-48 Petition	ner 10-22-48 Health Department 10-22-45
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Characterized in all RESULTED DA che Sharah Construction attained at 2 10 11

KLSOFALLOW BO'

LOTO

Ł

see 3.823

Ale

RESOLUTION NO. 3490, extending Res. No. 3015

Letter dated Oct. 14, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Res. No. 3015, which extended Resolution No. 2599, be granted to Ernest H. Griffin to construct four-unit court on Lots 33 and 34, Block 7, Ocean Beach Park, buildings to cross lot lines, South side of Muir Street. East of Ebers Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____October 20, , 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer XXXXXXXXX

Res. No. 3490

Lecten Application Received	By mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 20-48	By <u>aller + Burlon</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-20	-48 Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 10-20-48 21-48 Building Inspector 10-22-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10	21-48 Building Inspector 10 22-48
Planning Commission 10 - 22 - 48 Petiti	oner 10 - 7 2 - 48 Health Department 10 - 2 2 - 48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

NUMBER OF STREET, STREE

where nearly a contracted and the first and and provide a contract

and conserver

SI

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6568</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the West Coast Corporation, owners, John Murphy and Harold La Fleur, purchasers, to redivide Lot 27, Catalina Villas, into two equal parcels, each 50 ft. in width, and permit a single family residence on each, Catalina Boulevard near Bernice Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 20, . 1948

FORM 2145

Zoning Committee Service

Res. No. 3491

0

Application ReceivedB	FcBaughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	City Planning Department
A CONTRACTOR PROVIDENT OF A CONTRACT REPORT OF A CONTRACT OF	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-20-46	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution Sent to City Clerk 10-21-48	Date -0-20-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-21-48	Building Inspector 10-22-48
Planning Commission 10 -22-48 Petitioner	10-22-45 Health Department 10-22-45
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

REPICT DE LE MEZOPARD DE CRU, SAMER LE MET LE DE LE CEL CO ZEU DICTO

a state a contraction of the active provides a contraction of a second products . It is the dealer of the and additional of the transcription and the set of the set of the set of the

STO X

THE REAL PARTY PROVIDED IS

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6316</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George D. and Corrine M. Murray to construct a residence and garage on a parcel of land with only 41.27 ft. of street frontage at the East end of Amalfi Street, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1285, known as Arbitrary Lot No. 5 of Assessor's Map No. 33 (legal description on file in the Plan-ning Department Office).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secondary

October 20, . 1948 Dated_

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Res. No. 3492

Application ReceivedBy	9. C. Baughman
Investigation made By	City Planing Dopostment
	City Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-20-48	Hearing date
Decision apprende Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-21-40	Date 10-20-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-21-48	Building Inspector 10-22-48
Planning Commission 10-22.45 Petitioner	10-22-46 Health Department 10-22-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second the adversion of the fight beaution of the solar second second second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6556</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald C. Foulds to construct a 15 ft. by 18 ft. addition to an existing residence which has only an 8 ft. access court to the street at 4148 Mississippi Street on the North 9 ft. of Lot 35 and all of Lot 36, Block 145, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated October 20, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3493

al

Application Received By	<u>6.C. Van Alexa</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-20-48</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10 20 - 4P</u> Decision <u>Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk</u> Planning Commission <u>10 - 22 - 4F</u> Planning Commission <u>10 - 22 - 4F</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date -20-48 Building Inspector
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The set of the second of the s

an should be it althorized by the police anterest of the create a standard and

the state of the second of the second the second the provide is a second from the second second

and the second state of th

A STATE AND A STAT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6555</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. B. Johnston to construct a 12 ft. by 16 ft. bedroom and bath addition to a garage which has only a 3 ft. sideyard, said addition to observe all yard requirements, 6011 La Jolla Boulevard, Lot 7, Block 17, La Jolla Hermosa Unit No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 20, , 1948

FORM 2145

By _____ Zoning Engineer

XSecretary

ale

Application Received 10-15-48	By 6. C. Van Nice
P.P. Month and a substantial state of the subs	City Planning Department
Investigation made 10-20-48	By allen + Burlon
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 20 - 48	·Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-21-9</u> Planning Commission 10 -22-98 Petitioner	Date 10-20-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-21-4	Building Inspector 10-22-40
Planning Commission 10 -22-58 Petitioner	10 22-48 Health Department 10 22-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Lineary and the 11 supportant. The spectrum of connects in the Cristical Sharpers

manager, and manager in the second ab it available the second as

RESOLUTION NO.

1. 1.

of the property of the

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

No.3495

6458 San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown: 1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and not 2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and not 3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, That the following described property, Lot 213 Block Middletown Subdivision Southeast corner of Bandini St. and La Jolla Avenue (Chester H. and Mabel H. Webber, owners) (Grottlieb and Lily Sexauer, purchasers) a 12-unit motel may be used for the erection and operation of subject to the following conditions To be in accordance with the revised plans submitted.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated October 20, 194

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	By Apurtas
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-48-10-20-78	By allen & Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	P Hearing date
	Date 10-20-4F
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Insector 10-22-48
Petitioner	10-22-48 Health Department 10-22-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing data
Decision of Council	Dete
Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application Withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. C. A. C.

an and the second of the secon

a second the restriction of the second of the second se

the second second

a na administration of the contraction of the second second second second second second second second second se

the source of a state from the set of the set

LOTO. Ta

50 -

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6519</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert L. Arthur, owner, and John C. Morris and Milton Hollen, purchasers, to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 143 (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office) into two building sites, one 105 ft. in width and the other 107 ft. in width, facing Rosecroft Lane, and permit a single family residence on each providing they comply with the terms of Council Resolution No. 88200, dated January 27, 1948, in the same manner and of the same specifications as if this were a regular subdivision of the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

See Res 88200 on file with pelition.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated October 20, , 1948

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3496

rle

Application Received 8-48	_ By _ &. C. Van Africe City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>Allen +</u> Burlos City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee -20- Decision Approved Conce'l Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk -2-	Y 8 Hearing date Date 10-20-78 Y - F Building Inspector 10-22-78
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	fici ficator Department
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

T' Mary Parts

AND THE BE TALKED AND THAT IN A DECOMPTOTION OF THE DECOMPTOTION OF THE DECOMPTOTION

Date the second the second of the statics weath to the second of the research the research the

116

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6491</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Chester H. Denzin to construct one (1) single family residence on a parcel of land without street frontage, but served by an easement 4 ft. in width to Suncrest Drive, being a portion of Villa Lot 73, University Heights (legal description on file in Planning Department Office), rear of 2932 Suncrest Drive; no other dwellings will be approved on this parcel until such time as it fronts on a dedicated street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 20, , 19 48

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 3497

a h

Application Received By	Few ma Connece
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
Considered to the constant of the	
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-20-48 I	learing date
Decision annould I	Date 10-20-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 21-48 I	Building Inspector 10 - 22 - 4 F
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10 -21-48</u> Planning Commission <u>10 -22 -48</u> Petitioner <u>10</u>	- 22-48 Health Department 10 -22-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council I	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn (Continued to
Time limit extended to I	Date of action

LAND BY THE ALL BREDITARD " HAVE " SOUTHER COMMITTEE THE TOTAL SHE CARE

御谷 たい うちょう うちょう

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6553</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Blanche and Earl Youngs to construct an apartment over an existing garage which has a 5 ft. rear yard and a 10 ft. sideyard, apartment to have a 5 ft. rear yard and 10 ft. sideyard, Lot 1, Block 17, West End Addition, Southwest corner of Granada and Landis Streets, providing said apartment is no larger than the existing garage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 20, , 1948

FORM 2145

By_____ Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application Received H	3v
-pprication interest -	City Planning Department
Investigation made H	By
The strength of the second second second second second second	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The set of the second and the

LO	
I ET 4	
	und be introduced the second se
	BETCHE, M. XT PRECENTED (By the Zoding Committee ar the City of Same Dear
	Desire an anti- an interesting and the second strates are write the state of the said
	The state of the second state of the state o
*	
	the state to be a second of the second of

reaction brane to a starting and the colle we prepared herebow, the Second fits

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6409</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hubert and Dorothy Kenyon, owners, and H. A. Boney, lessee, to construct a solid fence 8 ft. in height to enclose an area approximately 20 ft. by 25 ft. in size to be used for the storage of empty boxes, and permission is also granted to eliminate that portion of the required 4 ft. planting strip and 6 ft. high hedge or fence between the front property line and the setback line, and to maintain a hedge or fence 3 ft. in height at the setback line, increasing to 6 ft. in height 10 ft. back of the setback line, Lots 1 to 4, inclusive Block 87, E. W. Morse's Subdivision, 2985 C Street, providing said fence is painted the same color as the store building and providing the boxes and crates are kept below the level of said fence enclosure.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3548, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Zoning Engineer sectors

Res. No. 3499

FORM 2145

Dated

October 20, . 1948

Application Received	By A & South City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>alles</u> Pourlos City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-20-48	Hearing date
Decision Approval modified Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-21-4	Date 10-20-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-21-4	E Building Inspector 10 22-48
Planning Commission 10-22-48 Petitione	r 10-22-48 Health Department 10-22-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

And the second a second s

The DELEVENT AND IN REPORTANDS THE LAND SOUTHER COMPANY A PARTY CARD OF THE CARD OF THE DELEVENT AND AND AND A

The second s

set of the set of the set of a subject as free of the destruction of the set of the set

STR STATES OF THE SHORE OF CONTRACT OR STRUCTURE OF

the second strain and the second strain the

STHE .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6536</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to P. H. Kellar to make an addition of roof and walls to an existing open-air theater, which addition will extend approximately 11 ft. into the R-4 zone, 3225 Midway Drive, portion of Pueblo Lot 240, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 20, , 1948

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer

neer Secretary

Application Received 10-7-48 By R& Hancen	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-20-48</u> By <u>a</u>	City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-20-48 Hea	ring date
Decision approved Date	10-20-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-21-48 Build	ling Inspector 10-22-18
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-20-48</u> Heat Decision <u>Approved</u> Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-21-48</u> Build Planning Commission <u>10-22-46</u> Petitioner <u>10-2</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk date	2-48 Health Department 10-22-48
	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	State of the second
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Cont	inued to
Time limit extended to Date	of action

and and a subject of the Lagrages and e-pine that the second state of the second state of the second state of the

and frank and the light species

· COMPLETE ALL DATE DODR MADE CALLED

1399

P

D

1 See Stores