

RESOLUTIONS

3501
TO
3700

olu

RESOLUTION NO. 3501

WHEREAS, Application No. 6502 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the West Coast Corporation, owners, Roy J. and Margaret Ek, purchasers, to divide Lots 24 and 25, Catalina Villas, into four building sites, each 50 ft. in width, and permit a single family residence on each, Catalina Boulevard at Atascadero Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 20, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 10-6-48 By J. D. M.
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-20-48 By Allen & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-20-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 10-20-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-20-48 Building Inspector 10-22-48

Planning Commission 10-21-48 Petitioner 10-21-48 Health Department 10-21-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

sk

WHEREAS, Application No. 6198 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the North Park Lions Club to construct a one-story auditorium and caretaker's quarters with a total of 72% lot coverage, Lots 17 and 18, Block 205, University Heights, East side of Utah Street, North of University Avenue, providing said living quarters are used by a caretaker only and not as a rental unit.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 20, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 10-5-48 By F. D. ...
 City Planning Department
 Investigation made 10-8-48 10-20-48 By Allen & Burton
 City Planning Department
 Considered by Zoning Committee 10-8-48 10-20-48 Hearing date 10-20-48
 Decision Approved Date 10-20-48
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-21-48 Building Inspector 10-22-48
 Planning Commission 10-22-48 Petitioner 10-22-48 Health Department 10-22-48
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____
 Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
 HAS CONSIDERED THE APPLICATION OF _____
 FOR A _____
 AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE SAME IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.
 WHEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
 THAT THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DO HEREBY GRANT THE SAME.
 THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DOES HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE SAME IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.
 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO HAS CAUSED THESE RESOLUTIONS TO BE SIGNED AND SEALED THIS _____ DAY OF _____ 1948.

 BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Brighter Bindings	Decorated Covers	Assorted Colors
Full Bound	Fabrikoid	Bright Red
Half Bound	Buckram	Maroon (Wine)
Re-case	Select Leather (Acid Free)	Brown
Repair	Morocco (Acid Free)	Tan
	Duck	Orange
		Green
		Light Green
		Blue
		Light Blue
		Black
		Gray
		White

Unless otherwise instructed, binder is to buy missing periodicals and replace badly mutilated ones so as to complete this volume.

Special Instructions, indicating what is to be included in or omitted from this volume; otherwise binder will follow usual practice:

947 I

INDICATE LETTERING ON BACK OF THIS SHEET

City Planning Com. Library
INDICATE EXACT WORDING DESIRED.

minutes
of the
Zoning Committee
on front and

Resolutions

1901

to

2100

Please DO NOT PASTE this slip in your books. Place it inside front cover and we will paste it in.

THIS BINDING SLIP FURNISHED BY BECKER BOOK BINDERY, 4470 EUCLID AVENUE, SAN DIEGO

ok

WHEREAS, Application No. 6492 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter V. and Elizabeth Shay to construct a single family residence on a portion of Acre Lot 11, Pacific Beach (description on file in the Planning Department Office), said parcel having no street frontage, but served by an easement 20 ft. in width to Loring Street, providing an agreement is signed and filed of record to the effect that when and if the city requests it, the Easterly 20 ft. of all that property owned by Mr. and Mrs. Shay and Mr. and Mrs. Clement H. Stewart will be granted to the city for street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 12; be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 20, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 9-29-48 By NCH
City Planning Department
10-8-48
Investigation made 10-20-48 By Nalley
City Planning Department
10-8-48
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-20-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Council Approval Date 10-20-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-21-48 Building Inspector 10-22-48
Planning Commission 10-22-48 Petitioner 10-22-48 Health Department 10-22-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3504

WHEREAS, Application No. 5890 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leona Agnes Baker to divide a parcel of land in Pueblo Lot 1288 (description on file in Planning Department Office) into three building sites, two approximately 1/2 acre in size facing Ardath Road, and one approximately 1 acre in size, served by an easement 25 ft. in width to Ardath Road, and permit a single family residence on each, Southerly side of Ardath Road, Southeasterly of Torrey Pines Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 10-21-48 By [Signature]
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Lane, Living & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3505

WHEREAS, Application No. 6552 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. E. Moore to use Lots 3 and 4, Block 50, Roseville, and the Southerly 20 ft. of the Northerly 1/2 of Emerson Street closed adjacent to Lots 1 and 2 of said Block 50, as a building site for a single family residence, said parcel of land having only 20 ft. of street frontage on Plum Street at Emerson Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 10-20-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Coving, Law & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____
Date 11-3-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3506

WHEREAS, Application No. 6567 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. and Mrs. Martin P. Koke to construct a single family residence on the Northerly 46.07 ft. of the Easterly 233 ft. of the Northerly 1/2 of Pueblo Lot 149 and the Southerly 49.93 ft. of the Easterly 233 ft. of the Southerly 1/3 of Pueblo Lot 169, Westerly side of San Gorgonio Street at Qualtrough Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 10-21-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Living, Lane, & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

sk

RESOLUTION NO. 3507

WHEREAS, Application No. 6591 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Julia Molina and Fidelia Chapman to construct a 4 ft. by 10 ft. addition to a garage which has no sideyard and is not within the rear 30% of the property at 3208 Meade Avenue, South 10 ft. of the East 40 ft. of the West 90 ft. of Lot 22 and the East 40 ft. of the West 90 ft. of Lots 23 and 24, Block 3, West Teralta.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 10-26-48 By P. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Ewing, Lane, & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Glc

RESOLUTION NO. 3508

WHEREAS, Application No. 6577 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. V. Neilsen to construct a three-car garage with one apartment above it, said unit to be served by a 6 ft. access court to the street, Lot 24, Grand View Addition, 2737-39-41 "G" Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 10-26-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Luning, Lane & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3509

WHEREAS, Application No. 6583 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nat R. Walker to convert a single family residence to a duplex with a 1 ft. sideyard, 4354-33rd Street on the North 30 ft. of the South 60 ft. of Lot 10, Block A, Teralta.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 10-28-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Ewing, Lane & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____
Date 11-3-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

sk

RESOLUTION NO. 3510

WHEREAS, Application No. 6606 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Succetti to construct a duplex on the rear of Lot 8, Block 1, Lynhurst Addition, making three units on the property, said duplex to be served by an 8 ft. 3 in. access court to the street, 3335 Dale Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 10-28-48 By JW Mc Connell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Ewing, Lane & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ak

RESOLUTION NO. 3511

WHEREAS, Application No. 6523 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Chris A. Cosgrove to erect a residence on Lot 26, Point Loma Manor, with a 15 ft. setback, Easterly side of Manor Way, Northerly of Talbot Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 10-1-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Ewing, Lane & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

66

RESOLUTION NO. 3512

WHEREAS, Application No. 6527 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Chris A. Cosgrove to construct a single family residence on Lot 27, Point Loma Manor, with a 15 ft. setback, Easterly side of Manor Way, Northerly of Talbot Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 10-21-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen Ewing, Lane & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-5-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

62

RESOLUTION NO. 3513

WHEREAS, Application No. 6528 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Chris A. Cosgrove to construct a single family residence on Lot 28, Point Loma Manor, with a 15 ft. setback, Easterly side of Manor Way, Northerly of Talbot Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 10-21-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Ewing, Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3514

oh

WHEREAS, Application No. 6529 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Chris A. Cosgrove to construct a single family residence on Lot 29, Point Loma Manor, with a 15 ft. setback, Easterly side of Manor Way, Northerly of Talbot Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 10-21-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Ewing, Lane, & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____
Decision denied Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48
Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3515

WHEREAS, Application No. 6530 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Chris A. Cosgrove to construct a single family residence with a 15 ft. setback on Lot 30, Point Loma Manor, Easterly side of Manor Way, Northerly of Talbot Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 10-21-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Lane, Luning & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____
Decision denied Date 11-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48
Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

pk

RESOLUTION NO. 3516

WHEREAS, Application No. 6531 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Chris A. Cosgrove to construct a single family residence with a 15 ft. setback on Lot 31, Point Loma Manor, Manor Way, Northerly of Talbot Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XSecretary~~

Application Received 10-21-48 By Allen, J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Gene, Ewing & Burdon
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____
Date 11-3-48

Decision Denied

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3517

WHEREAS, Application No. 6532 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Chris A. Cosgrove to erect a single family residence with a 15 ft. setback on Lot 32, Point Loma Manor, Manor Way, Northerly of Talbot Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 10-21-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Lane, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-3-48 Hearing date _____
Date 11-3-48

Decision Denied

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3518

WHEREAS, Application No. 6533 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Chris A. Cosgrove to construct a single family residence with a 15 ft. setback on Lot 33, Point Loma Manor, at the corner of Manor Way and Talbot Street, is hereby denied.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3518

Application Received 0-21-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Ewing, Lane & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

pk

RESOLUTION NO. 3519

WHEREAS, Application No. 6576 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Paul Vance to erect a concrete retaining wall to a maximum height of 9 ft. along the East property line in the rear of the residence at 4340 Ridgeway Drive, Lots 291 and 292, Kensington Heights Unit No. 3.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 10-29-48 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Burton, Irving & Lancaster
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

sk

RESOLUTION NO. 3520

WHEREAS, Application No. 6617 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Florence Gustafson to construct a playroom with a 17 ft. setback from the center line of an easement 30 ft. in width, Lot 8, Yacht Club Terrace, 851 San Antonio Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-3-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Ewing, Lane & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____
Date 11-3-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

WHEREAS, Application No. 6618 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of S. Denton and Grace B. Showley to construct an addition to an existing garage, said addition to have a 7 ft. setback from Chatsworth Blvd., Lot 11, Block 1, Glenartney, 1725 Chatsworth Blvd., is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated November 3, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3521

Application Received 11-1-48 By P. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Ewing, Lane, & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

pk

RESOLUTION NO. 3522

WHEREAS, Application No. 6557 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to West Coast Corp., owner, and John Murphy and Harold La Fleur, purchasers, to redivide Lot 38, Catalina Villas, into two parcels, one to be the Northeasterly 50 ft. of Lot 38, and the other to be Lot 38, except the Northeasterly 50 ft., and permit a single family residence on each, subject to the following conditions:

1. A 15 ft. setback to be observed on Catalina Blvd., and the setback as required by the Setback Ordinance to be observed on Bernice Drive;
2. Any house placed on the corner lot shall face Bernice Drive, with the rear door of said house to face the North lot line, which is the common lot line between these two parcels.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3522

Application Received 11-1-48 By E. C. Vawter
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen Bentor, Lovell & Leving
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Council Appl. Date 11-3-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48
Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

jlc

RESOLUTION NO. 3523

WHEREAS, Application No. 6595 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to West Coast Corporation, owner, and John Murphy and Harold LaFleur, purchasers, to divide Lot 22, Catalina Villas, into two parcels, each 50 ft. in width, and permit a single family residence on each, Catalina Blvd. , near Alicia Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xxxxx~~ Secretary

Application Received 11-1-48 By E. C. Van Arsd
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen Leung Lancaster Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3524

WHEREAS, Application No. 6575 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J.J. Howarth to construct approximately 90 lineal feet of concrete block wall 3 ft. in height in front of the setback line at 4319 Del Mar Street, Lot 15, Block 74, Point Loma Heights, said fence to be painted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XSecretary~~

Res. No. 3524

Application Received 10-29-48 By E C Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allan Lancaster Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision mod. approval Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

3489

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3525

WHEREAS, Application No. 6598 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M.A. Johnson to construct approximately 30 lineal feet of concrete retaining wall 10 ft. in height on Lot 1, Block C, Southlook, 305 Olivewood Terrace, said wall to be constructed entirely in conformance with the requirements of the Building Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 3525

Application Received 10-28-48 By E.C. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen Evening Lane, + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____
Date 11-3-48

Decision Approved
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____
Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3526

WHEREAS, Application No. 6601 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. Bussman to construct two living units over an existing garage at the rear of Lots 21 and 22, Block 63, Ocean Beach, said units to be served by a 7 ft. 9 in. access court to the street, 4910-16 Del Monte Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3526

Application Received 10-28-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Ewing, Lane, & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approval Date 11-3-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48
Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3527

WHEREAS, Application No. 6593 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Thomas A. and Mary S. Wilson to construct the third living unit on Lot C, Block 147, Mission Beach, said unit to be served by two 5 ft. access courts to the street, 807 Nahant Court, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer

~~XSecretary~~

Res. No. 3527

Application Received 10-27-48 By EC Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Ewing, Leucaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

sk

RESOLUTION NO. 3528

WHEREAS, Application No. 6584 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. A. E. Andrews to move in a residence and observe a 14 ft. 6 in. setback from L Street on Lots 9 and 10, except the North 73 ft., Block 45, Sherman's Addition, Northwest corner of L and 24th Streets, providing the average setbacks of the houses on 24th Street is observed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer XSERGEXATX

Application Received 10-25-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Ewing, Lane & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

pk

RESOLUTION NO. 3529

WHEREAS, Application No. 6571 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Dr. and Mrs. Harold C. Torbert to construct a garage with no setback on Lot I of Plumosa Terrace, on Plumosa Way, is here by denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 10-25-48 By D. E. Souza
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Boring, Lancaster, & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____
Date 11-3-48

Decision denied
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

WHEREAS, Application No. 6566 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Elizabeth Hugus and Louise Clark, owners, and Thelma Zinn, lessee, to manufacture and retail ceramics, part-time, at 329 Bon Air Street, Lots 20 and 21, Block 1, La Jolla Strand, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 10-21-48 By D. E. Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Living, Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Denied Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

sk

RESOLUTION NO. 3531

WHEREAS, Application No. 6059 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Jean A. Bovet to operate a nursery, retail sales only, including sale of insecticides, packaged fertilizer, etc., at 4777 Orange Avenue, Westerly 40 ft. of Lots 43 to 46, inclusive, Block 32, Fairmount Addition to City Heights, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xxxxx~~

Application Received 10-3-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-20-48
11-3-48 By Allen, Lane, Cwenz & Burt
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Denied Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6534 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ella Graham to redivide Lots 22 and 23, Avalon Heights, into two new parcels, and permit a single family residence on each, 4319 Avalon Drive; parcels to be as follows: 1. All of Lot 22 and Lot 23, except the Westerly 60 ft. thereof;
2. Westerly 60 ft. of Lot 23.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 10-14-48 By Mail
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-20-48
11-3-48 By Allen, Lane, Ewing + Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-20-48 Hearing date _____
11-3-48 Date 11-3-48

Decision: approved Building Inspector 11-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok
See Res 91622 following

WHEREAS, Application No. 6614 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alice Lane, owner, and Norma Roeder, lessee, to operate a dressmaking shop in an existing apartment house at 244 Grape Street on the East 50 ft. of Lots G and H, Block 240, Horton's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

1. No employees;
2. Part-time business only, not to exceed 5 hours per day;
3. To display one sign only, not over 2 sq. ft. in area, advertising only dressmaking.

The request to operate a gift shop in conjunction with the above-mentioned business, is hereby denied.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-1-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Lancaster, Eving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Modified approval Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 11-5-48 Council Hearing, date 11-16-48

Decision of Council Appeal sustained Date 11-16-48

Resolution becomes effective no decision overruled as for as app

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to shop to be considered

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 91622

See Res 3533 preceding ok

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Alice Lane and Norma Roeder, 244 Grape Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3533, application No. 6614, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, to operate a gift shop in conjunction with the operation of a dressmaking shop, granted by the Zoning Committee, in an existing apartment house at 244 Grape Street on the East 50 ft. of Lots G and H, Block 240, Horton's Addition, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision in denying the variance for a gift shop is hereby overruled. Authorization is hereby given to sell articles made by hand on these premises on the following conditions:

1. No employees;
2. Part-time business only, not to exceed 5 hours per day;
3. To display one sign only, not over 2 sq.ft. in area, advertising only dressmaking.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 91622

of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Nov. 16, 1948

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk.

HELEN M. WILLIG

By.....

Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. 6543 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. F. G. Hollander to construct a closet storage room addition to an existing residence which has a 2 ft. 4 in. sideyard, said addition to have a 2 ft. 4 in. sideyard and a 14 ft. rear yard, North 1/2 of Lot K and all of Lot L, Block 378, Horton's Addition, Southwest corner of Brant and Thorn Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3534

Application Received 10-13-48 By P. J. Burton
 City Planning Department

Investigation made ¹¹⁻³⁻⁴⁸ 10-20-48 By Allen, Lane, Ewing & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ¹⁰⁻²⁰⁻⁴⁸ 11-3-48 Hearing date _____
 Decision Approved Date 11-3-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48
 Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
 Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

file

WHEREAS, Application No. 6592 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. J.M.F. LaRochella to construct a three-unit apartment over a store, with one unit to be served by a 4 ft. access court, Lots P and Q, Block 97, Mission Beach, 838 Ventura Place, providing the two existing garages at the rear of this property, which are being used as living quarters, are vacated and converted and maintained as garages within sixty days after a notice of completion of the above-mentioned three units is filed in the office of the County Recorder, and that an agreement to comply with this condition be signed by the owner.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 10-27-48 By E. C. Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Irving, Lane, & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Council Appn. Date 11-5-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48

Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3536

WHEREAS, Application No. 6616 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Olive Elizabeth Rodeback to construct a 9 ft. by 20 ft. addition with no sideyard to an existing beauty shop, and a 9 ft. by 8 ft. addition with a 4 ft. side yard to a residence which has the required side yard, but only an 8 ft. 8 in. rear yard, 4321 Louisiana Street on the North 40 ft. of Lots 19 and 20, Block 103, University Heights, providing the existing garage on the property is removed, and the existing fence adjacent to the alley is removed or a gate provided in it to allow access for off-street parking of one automobile.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 3, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~X~~ Secretary

Application Received 11-1-48 By J.C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-3-48 By Allen, Ewing, Lancaster & Boston
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-3-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Cond's Approval Date 11-3-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-4-48 Building Inspector 11-5-48
Planning Commission 11-5-48 Petitioner 11-5-48 Health Department 11-5-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3537

WHEREAS, Application No. 6622 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William H. and Ruth F. Black to construct a polo field, 1/2 mile track, and operate a general commercial training stable, Lots A to F, inclusive, Partition of Pueblo Lot 1313, lying between Camp Callan on the East, Ocean on the West and the Glider Field on the North, all to be in connection with a residence to be built thereon.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13455 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 10, , 19 48

By Glenn A. Rick, Secretary
City Planning Director Res. 3537

Application Received 11-10-48 By Mall
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-10-48 By Lundy Living Lancaster
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 10-10-48 Hearing date _____
Date 11-10-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-12-48 Building Inspector 11-12-48
Planning Commission 11-12-48 Petitioner 11-12-48 Health Department 11-12-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3538

WHEREAS, Application No. 6659 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Willie O. Phillips to convert a single family residence into a duplex, making three units on the property, with an 8½ ft. access court to the street at 4417 Texas Street, Lot 20 and the North 10 ft. of Lot 21, Block 71, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 11-16-48 By Ed Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Irving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

alc

RESOLUTION NO. 3539

WHEREAS, Application No. 6611 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Unified School District to construct an addition, 110 ft. by 70 ft. in size, to an existing school building on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1784 on the North side of Tourmaline Street, between Everts and Fanuel Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 11-15-48 By P. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Henry & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-17-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48
Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3540

WHEREAS, Application No. 6612 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the San Diego Unified School District to construct an addition, 110 ft. by 70 ft. in size, to an existing school building, said addition to have a 15 ft. setback, North side of Tourmaline Street, between Everts and Fanuel Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 11-15-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-18-48 Hearing date 11-19-48

Decision 11-19-48 Apprv. Date 11-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3541

WHEREAS, Application No. 6650 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. E. Thurston to construct a room addition to a residence which has a 4 ft. sideyard, addition to observe all yard requirements, 5168 Bristol Road, Lot 221, Kensington Heights Unit No. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer

~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-15-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Burton & Ewing
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3542

WHEREAS, Application No. 6647 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul R. Yewell to construct a triplex, making four units on Lots 19 and 20, Block F, South La Jolla, 357 Westbourne Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-12-48 By F W Mc Connell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Alex. Ewing & Boulton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____
Date 11-17-48

Decision Approved
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

See Res ^{ok} 92038 following

WHEREAS, Application No. 6649 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of the Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to construct an auditorium with a 5 ft. setback from Ray Street, Lots 1 and 2, Block 21, West End Addition, Southwest corner of Ray and Dwight Streets, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

*agreement
583
see 92038*

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 11-12-48 By E. C. Van Hise
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____
 Decision Denied Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48
 Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 11-19-48 Council Hearing, date 11-30-48 - 12-17-48
 Decision of Council Appeal Sustained Date 12-7-48 - Res. adopted 12-14-48

Resolution becomes effective Could permit granted
 Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
 Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

See Res 3543 preceding

Planning

RESOLUTION No. 92038

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

That permission be and it is hereby granted to the ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF SAN DIEGO to construct an auditorium with a five-foot setback from Ray Street, on Lots 1 and 2, Block 21 West End Addition, being the southwest corner of Ray and Dwight Streets, upon the following conditions:

1. That the owners of the property agree in writing that they will grant to THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, at its request, an easement for street purposes of all that property indicated on the map contained in Document No. 395319, filed in the office of the City Clerk December 3, 1948;
2. That no entrances to either the classrooms or the auditorium be permitted on Ray Street;
3. That only emergency exits as required by law be provided on Ray Street.
4. That adequate landscaping around the new auditorium be provided.

Argument 583

ORDINANCE No. _____ RESOLUTION No. 92038
 ADOPTED DEC 14 1948
 FRED W. SICK, CITY CLERK
 By Betty J. Schriener
 Deputy

Presented by _____

APPROVED as to form by J. F. DuPAUL, City Attorney,

By _____ Deputy City Attorney.

ek

WHEREAS, Application No. 6394 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George D. and Ruby C. Connor to maintain three living units on Lot 1, Block 140, Middletown, 3467 Columbia Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1026, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 11-12-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-17-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48
Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3545, amending Res. No. 3498

Letter dated Nov. 11, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3498, dated October 20, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Blanche and Earl Youngs to construct an apartment over an existing garage which has a 4 ft. rear yard and 10 ft. sideyard; apartment to have a 4 ft. rear yard and 10 ft. sideyard, Lot 1, Block 17, West End Addition, Southwest corner of Granada and Landis Streets, providing said apartment is no larger than the existing garage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-12-48 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3546, *See Res 91792 following*

ok

WHEREAS, Application No. 6539 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of John A. Milan to operate a shoe repair shop, part-time, in an existing garage at 4982-70th Streets, Lots 11 and 12, except the North 65 ft. there of, Block 8, La Mesa Colony, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3546

Application Received 11-10-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Beerton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Denied Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 11-22-48 Council Hearing, date 11-30-48

Decision of Council appeal sustained Date 11-30-48

Resolution becomes effective zc overruled

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

See Res 35-46 preceding Planning

91792

RESOLUTION NO. _____

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of John A. Milan, 4982 - 70th Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3546, application No. 6539, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, to operate a shoe repair shop, part-time, in an existing garage at 4982 - 70th Street, Lots 11 and 12, except the North 65 feet thereof, Block 8, La Mesa Colony, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted to John A. Milan, 4982 - 70th Street to operate a shoe repair shop, part-time, in an existing garage at 4982 - 70th Street, for one year and only one operator and a sign, not to exceed 8 square feet on the garage itself.

91792

NOV 30 1948

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. _____ of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council _____

.....FRED W. SICK.....
City Clerk.

By.....F. T. PATTEN.....
Deputy.

*See Res 3240
Zoning*

91792

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of John A. Milan, 4982 - 70th Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3240, application No. 6539, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, to operate a shoe repair shop, part-time, in an existing garage at 4982 - 70th Street, Lots 11 and 12, except the North 65 feet thereof, Block 8, La Mesa Colony, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted to John A. Milan, 4982 - 70th Street to operate a shoe repair shop, part-time, in an existing garage at 4982 - 70th Street, for one year and only one operator and a sign, not to exceed 8 square feet on the garage itself.

91792

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 91792 adopted by said Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council on NOV 30 1948

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

F. T. PATTEN

By

Deputy

1320 (FORM 1948)
A-1000 Form

sh

RESOLUTION NO. 3547

WHEREAS, Application No. 6626 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy Workman to construct a 5 ft. by 14 ft. addition to an existing residence, said addition to have a 10 ft. rear yard, 3275 North Mountain View Drive, Lot 21, except the Southerly 48.85 ft. thereof, Block 12, Normal Heights.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 11-8-48 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Beaton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6621 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dewey V. Spencer and Grace Florence Spencer, owners, G. C. Ewing and Jess A. McMillen, purchasers, to construct and operate a commercial horse training stable, maximum of 20 horses, on the North 170 ft. of the West 173 ft. of the Southeast 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 1296, South of the City Dumps, on the undedicated extension of Ardath Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13456 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement # 564
Filed 12-21-48
re: easement*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-17-48 By Glenn G. Rieck
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Earing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____
Date 11-17-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 6657 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
- 2. That the granting of the application will, not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
- 3. That the granting of the application will, not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot s 11, 12 and 13 Block 32

Subdivision Mission Bay Park

6520 Pacific Highway

(Jesse D. Sickler and Inez Sickler)

may be used for the erection and operation of a 20-unit auto court and a manager's apartment.

subject to the following conditions

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated November 17, 1948

Zoning Engineer

~~XXXXXXXX~~
Secretary

Res. No. 3549

Application Received 11-16-48 By *mail*
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By *Allen, Ewing & Beutson*
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date

Decision..... *Approved* Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector..... 11-19-48

Planning Commission..... 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department..... 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application Withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

jk

WHEREAS, Application No. 6644 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. E. Morrison to construct a single family residence on a parcel of land 330 ft. by 660 ft. in size, known as the South 1/2 of the Gillmore Tract which is a portion of the Subdivision of a portion of Lot 13, within the Partition of Ex-Mission Rancho, Northeast corner of 61st Street and Pittsburgh Street, providing an easement 10 ft. in width is granted to the City for the widening of 61st Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 11-12-48 By R. J. Hanson
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Irving & Buxton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Cond Approval Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

sh

RESOLUTION NO. 3551

WHEREAS, Application No. 6651 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. M. Giacalone to construct a duplex, making a total of six units on the property, one unit to be served by a 4 ft. access court to the street, Lot E, Block 232, Horton's Addition, 1917 2nd Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-15-48 By F. W. Mc Connell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 11-17-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48
Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3552

WHEREAS, Application No. 6609 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. M. Giacalone to construct a duplex with a 15 ft. setback from 2nd Avenue on Lot E. Block 232, Horton's Addition, 1917 - 2nd Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 17, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-15-48 By FW McConnee
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____
Date 11-17-48

Decision Mod. Appr. Building Inspector 11-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Date 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 6641 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to K. P. Billings to construct a solid board fence, 5 ft. in height, with a 10 ft. setback from Zola Street on Lots 18 and 19, Block 35, Western Addition, Zola Street, between Palermo and Warrington Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-10-48 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burlin
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3554

WHEREAS, Application No. 6636 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bennie J. Zlateff to split out a parcel of land, 125 ft. by 295 ft. in size, from Lot 21, Eureka Lemon Tract (description on file in Planning Department Office), and redivide said parcel into two building sites, each 62½ ft. in width, and permit a single family residence on each, South side of Ticonderoga Street, East of Princeton Street, providing an easement 30 ft. in width is granted to the City for the widening of Ticonderoga Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

*Agreement # 563
(not filed with City Clerk)*

Dated November 17, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-9-48 By P. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____
Decision Council Approval Date 11-17-48
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48
Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

see Res 3875

RESOLUTION NO. 3555, extending Res. No. 3167

Letter dated Nov. 9, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3167 be granted to the Parish of St. Paul, Harold B. Robinson, President, E.K. Doolittle, Secretary, to construct a church with no setback for the buttresses and a 2 ft. setback for the east wall of the building, with approximately 90% lot coverage, Lots G and H, Block 306, Horton's Addition, Northwest corner of Nutmeg Street and 6th Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Letter
Application Received 11-9-48 By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3556, extending Res. No. 3148

Letter dated November 5, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3148 be granted to Edwin W. Schlehuder to construct a four-unit apartment building with a 15 ft. setback from Estrella Avenue, West 45 ft. of Lots 21, 22 and 23, Block 30, Fairmount Addition to City Heights, Northeast corner of Estrella Avenue and Polk Street, subject to architectural approval of the plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer X Secretary

Letter
Application Received 11-5-48 By RJ Hanson
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Alan, Irving & Denton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____
Date 11-17-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3557

WHEREAS, Application No. 6537 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Hugh Banning to split out the Southerly 250 ft. of the Northerly 684.44 ft. of Pueblo Lot 1290 and construct thereon a single family residence, said parcel having no frontage on a dedicated street, lying between Pacific Highway and Torrey Pines Road, providing an agreement is signed by the owner to the effect that when and if the City requests it, an easement 80 ft. in width along the general alignment of the existing dirt road through said property will be granted for street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13456 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-3-48 By G. G. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, Conditional Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

62

RESOLUTION NO. 3558

WHEREAS, Application No. 6627 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Myron and Agnes Tobin, owners, B. J. and Bernice Elander, lessees, to operate a Veterinary Hospital in connection with an existing dog kennels at 7007 Pacific Highway on the Northerly four acres of Pueblo Lot 1788, except the Westerly 100 ft. thereof, subject to the following conditions:

1. This permit to be for a period of one year from the date of this resolution;
2. Permit to be revocable for cause.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3061, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 11-12-48 By K. D. M.
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Luning & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Conditional Approval Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3559

WHEREAS, Application No. 6604 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lee M. Randall to construct a three-unit apartment building at the rear of the property, making a total of four units, with a 5 ft. 8 in. access court on one side of the existing residence and 4 ft. on the other side, 4115 Kansas Street, Southerly 1/2 of Lot 20 and all of Lot 21, Block 154, University Heights, providing a gate is provided in the fence on the North side of the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-12-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burdon
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Cont'd Approval Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3560, amending Res. No. 2882

WHEREAS, Application No. 6638 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2882 be amended insofar as it relates to the requirement of a 15 ft. setback on Silvergate Avenue, and permission is hereby granted to H. K. Swenerton to construct a residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 170 (description on file in Planning Department Office) with a 10 ft. setback from Silvergate Avenue, Northeastly corner of Silvergate and Dupont Streets. All other provisions of Resolution No. 2882 shall remain the same.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 11-10-48 By Kdm
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3561

WHEREAS, Application No. 6652 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lucille Douglas to construct a concrete block wall 5 ft. in height in front of the setback line on Winona Street, Easterly 38 $\frac{2}{3}$ ft. of Lots 24 to 26, Block 29, Fairmount Addition to City Heights, 4948 Polk Avenue, providing said wall is painted a suitable color to match or blend in with the residence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~SECRETARY~~

Application Received 11-15-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Eving & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

3562

RESOLUTION NO. 3562

WHEREAS, Application No. 6627 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert D. Wilcox, Jr., owner, and the San Diego Gas & Electric Company, purchaser, to erect an electrical sub-station on a portion of Lot 9, La Mesa Colony (description on file in the Planning Department Office), East side of 62nd Street, approximately 300 ft. South of El Cajon Blvd., providing said structure is landscaped in an attractive manner, and subject to conditions as set forth by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-9-48 By P. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Emsley & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

e-12

WHEREAS, Application No. 6623 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ella B. Olson to convert three existing garages into two apartments, making three units on the property, with an 8½ ft. access court to the street, 4335-41st Street, South 13.5 ft. of Lot 14, all of Lot 15 and the North 1½ ft. of Lot 16, Block 6, Wilshire Place and the South 40 ft. of the North 80 ft. of Lot 16, Block E, Teralta, providing three new garages are constructed or other equal facilities for off-street parking of automobiles are provided.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 11-12-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Burton & Evening
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____
Date 11-17-48

Decision Local Approval Building Inspector 11-19-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3564

ole

WHEREAS, Application No. 6633 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Starr Lumber Company, B. P. Menard, Manager, to construct approximately 91 lineal feet of solid board fence 6 ft. in height with a 3 ft. setback from Pacific Highway, portion of Municipal Tidelands at the Northeast corner of Nutmeg *Maple* Street and Pacific Highway, providing an agreement is signed by the lessees and filed of record to the effect that at such time as the City of San Diego directs, or if and when the street is widened, said fence will be removed at no expense to the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement # 561
Filed 11-23-48*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 11-12-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Cond. Approval Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3565

WHEREAS, Application No. 6654 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur W. Kempf to construct a fence 4 ft. in height on the front property line at 1652 Pennsylvania Avenue on portions of Lots 13 and 14, Block 241, University Heights (description on file in Planning Department Office), providing said fence is not less than 50% open.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, N.S., be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

*Approx. 50% open
okay.*

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-15-48 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing, & Beulton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approval Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3566, amended by Res 3594

WHEREAS, Application No. 6508 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ray H. Crimmel, Jr., to divide the East 1/2 of the Northwest 1/4 of the Northeast 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 1774 into four building sites, two facing on La Jolla Rancho Road and two without street frontage, but served by a private right-of-way 30 ft. in width, and permit a single family residence on each, providing an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that when and if the city requests it, an easement 30 ft. in width along the north line of said property will be granted for street purposes and an easement 50 ft. in width approximately in the center of said parcel will be granted for street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Agreement 576 signed 1-28-49

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xSecretary~~

Application Received 10-4-48 By F.W. Mc Connell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 10-6-48
10-20-48
11-17-48 By Allen, Irving, Lancaster & Gusto
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee same as above Hearing date _____

Decision Cond't Approval Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3567 # 3887

WHEREAS, Application No. 6629 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James W. Ravenscroft, et al, owners, First Avenue Corporation, purchaser, to build and operate a pharmacy within a doctors' office building, Northwest corner of First Avenue and Juniper Street, Lots G, H and I, Block 266, Horton's Addition, providing there is no entry to this pharmacy directly from the street, but only from inside the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 11-12-48 By P. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____
Date 11-17-48

Decision Prod. Approval

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

sh

RESOLUTION NO. 3568 & 3888

WHEREAS, Application No. 6628 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James W. Ravenscroft, et al, owners, and First Avenue Corporation, purchasers, to construct a building containing doctors' offices, clinic, and pharmacy, with a 10 ft. setback from First Avenue on Lots G, H and I, Block 266, Horton's Addition, Northwest corner of First Avenue and Juniper Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, 19 48

FORM 2145

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXX~~ Secretary

Res. No. 3568

Application Received 11-12-48 By P. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Mod. approval Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3569

WHEREAS, Application No. 6630 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack M. Heimburge to construct approximately 47 lineal feet of concrete retaining wall 8 ft. in height on the front property line at 4172-49th Street, Lots 41 and 42, Block 30, Fairmount Addition, providing the steps adjacent are modified to comply with the Building Inspector's requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 17, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-12-48 By Ed Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48 By Allen, Ewing & Burlos
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Appr. Council Date 11-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-48 Building Inspector 11-19-48

Planning Commission 11-19-48 Petitioner 11-19-48 Health Department 11-19-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3570

WHEREAS, Application No. 6698 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Tui Beerle to construct an addition to a residence which has a 21 in. sideyard, addition to have required sideyard, 2459 "L" Street on Lots 9 and 10, Block 13, Lincoln Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-30-48 By JC Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Erving Lancaster + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 12-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

als

RESOLUTION NO. 3571

WHEREAS, Application No. 6694 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph Hosenpud to construct a four-unit apartment building with a 14 ft. setback from 1st Avenue on Lot D and the North 40 ft. of Lot E, Block 301, Horton's Addition, 2641 - 1st Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-30-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Erving Lancaster + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Mod Approval Date 12-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3572

WHEREAS, Application No. 5595 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Fred Helm to redivide a portion of Lot 37, La Mesa Colony, into two parcels, each 62½ ft. in width, and permit a single family residence on each, West side of 69th Street, North of Saranac Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-29-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Kenny Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____
Date 12-1-48

Decision Denied Building Inspector 12-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Council Hearing, date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date _____

Decision of Council _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3573

WHEREAS, Application No. 6690 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marie Hildreth Steelquist to convert an existing garage into maid's quarters and maintain existing 3½ ft. sideyard and 7 ft. rear yard, 3880 Henry Street, Northeasterly 56 ft. of Lots 21 to 24, Block 35, Middletown Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-29-48 By E. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Living Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____
Date 12-1-48

Decision Approved
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____
Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3574

WHEREAS, Application No. 6642 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert Lowry to redivide Lot 1, Block 72, La Jolla Park Villa Tract, into two parcels, each 52½ ft. in width by 140 ft. in depth, facing on Amalfi Street at Torrey Pines Road, and permit a single family residence on each.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-29-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Ernest Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____
Date 12-1-48

Decision Approved
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____
Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3575

WHEREAS, Application No. 6679 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard M. Clancy to alter an existing three-unit apartment building to four units, with a 5 ft. access court to the street, Lot D, Block 333, Horton's Addition, 2931-33 First Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 11-26-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Living Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____
Date 12-1-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3576

Letter dated Nov. 18, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The communication from Mrs. Anna Jedlik requesting an extension of time on Resolutions No. 2745 and No. 2996 which granted her permission to operate a used car lot on Lots 47 and 48, Block 87, E. W. Morse's Subdivision, Northwest corner of 30th and Broadway, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3548, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XSecretary~~

Letter
Application Received 11-19-48 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Living, Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 12-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3577 . extending Res. No. 3175

Letter dated Nov. 22, 1948

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3175 be granted to the Painters, Plasterers and Plumbers Labor Hall Association, Inc., to erect and operate a Labor Hall on the Easterly side of Centre Street, 130 ft. Northerly of University Avenue on Lots 23 and 24, Block 193, University Heights and 40 ft. of Blaine Avenue closed, providing there are no entrances to the hiring hall on the Northerly or Westerly sides of the building and that there are no entrances of any kind on the Northerly wall (except the necessary fire exits).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

FORM 2145

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Res. No. 3577

Letter
Application Received 11-23-48 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Erving Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____
Date 12-1-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3578

WHEREAS, Application No. 6610 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles E. Salik, owner and manager of KSDJ, to erect a neon sign 3 ft. by 5 ft. in size, on the roof of the radio broadcasting building, or the letters KSDJ erected vertically on one mast, Lot 8, La Mesa Colony, termination of 62nd Street, South of El Cajon Boulevard, subject to Planning Department approval as to the size of the letters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 11-3-48 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48
12-1-48 By Living, Lancaster + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____
Date 12-1-48

Decision Approved
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

vle

RESOLUTION NO. 3579

WHEREAS, Application No. 6600 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. and Allie Catton to construct three living units at the rear of 4053 Louisiana Street, making a total of four units on the property, three to be served by a 6 ft. access court on one side of the existing residence and 4 ft. 6 in. access court on the other side, Lot 11 and the North 1/2 of Lot 12, Block 172, University Heights, according to the plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXXXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 11-5-48 By R. J. Hanson
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-17-48
12-1-48 By Allen, Ewing, Loucastis + Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-17-48 Hearing date _____
12-1-48 Date 12-1-48

Decision Approved Building Inspector 12-2-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

oh

RESOLUTION NO. 3580

WHEREAS, Application No. 6480 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stephen Farrell to construct a solid redwood board fence in front of the setback line on Dowling Drive, Lot 76, La Jolla Gables, said fence to be the same height as the existing fence on the 2nd lot to the north, in no case higher than 6 ft., and to be no closer to the property line on Dowling Drive than said existing fence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-16-48 By Ed Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Living, Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 12-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3581

WHEREAS, Application No. 6648 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. J. and Oma M. Finjord to construct six units on Lots 26, 27 and 28, Block C, South La Jolla, buildings crossing lot lines, 380 Bon Air Street, subject to architectural approval of the plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-18-48 By Ed Van Ness
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Lucretia Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 12-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

file

WHEREAS, Application No. 6512 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Arthur H. McKee to maintain two construction sheds to be used in conjunction with the construction of buildings in Collwood Park, Lot 3, Collwood Park, Mesita and Pembroke Drives, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 11-19-48 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Luning Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____
Date 12-1-48

Decision denied
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

file

RESOLUTION NO. 3583

WHEREAS, Application No. 6511 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur H. McKee to maintain a 12 ft. by 14 ft. tract office on Lot 1, Collwood Park, for a period of six months, Mesita Drive at College Avenue; no extension of time to be granted at the expiration of said six months.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 11-19-48 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Lancaster, Irving & Boston
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved. Council Date 12-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3584

WHEREAS, Application No. 6685 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Everett L. and Georgia E. McCan and J. M. and Virginia A. Drollinger to redivide Lots 5 to 9 and the Westerly 15 ft. of Lot 10, Block 105, Pacific Beach, into two parcels, as follows:

1. Lots 5 and 6 and the West 10 ft. of Lot 7, and construct thereon a single family residence;
2. East 15 ft. of Lot 7, all of Lots 8 and 9 and the West 15 ft. of Lot 10, and maintain thereon a single family residence.

The above-described property is located on the South side of Law Street, approximately 150 ft. East of Kendall Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, N. S., be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 11-26-48 By J W Mc Connell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Living, Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____
Date _____

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ek

RESOLUTION NO. 3585

WHEREAS, Application No. 6670 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frances Emmons to divide a four-acre parcel in Pueblo Lot 1288 (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office) into two parcels with approximately equal street frontage and according to one of two alternate plans on file in the Planning Department Office, and permit a single family residence on each, Hidden Valley Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-26-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Living, Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 12-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

file

RESOLUTION NO. 3586

WHEREAS, Application No. 6678 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Turnbull to split out a parcel of land from Pueblo Lot 1290 (legal description on file in Planning Department Office) and construct thereon a single family residence, said parcel having no frontage on a dedicated street, but served by a private easement 30 ft. in width, lying between Pacific Highway and Torrey Pines Road, providing all structures erected on this property are kept at least 100 ft. away from the existing dirt road and providing an agreement is signed by the owner to the effect that when and if the city requests it, an easement will be granted on the Easterly portion of the above-described property to provide for an 80 ft. right-of-way along the approximate alignment of the existing road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13456 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement #562
filed 12-7-48
12-14-48*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-24-48 By R J Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Erving Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 12-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

jle

RESOLUTION NO. 3587

WHEREAS, Application No. 6667 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. I. and Tempy H. Rhoades, owners, and Louis Schwartz, lessee, to operate a poultry market, including the slaughtering of poultry, in an existing building now under construction at 3233 Midway Drive on a portion of Pueblo Lot 240, legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, subject to the following conditions:

1. All poultry to be kept within the building;
2. Live poultry to be kept on the premises a maximum of 48 hours;
3. No feeding of poultry on the premises.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-24-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Living Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____
Date 12-1-48

Decision Approved, could
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3588

WHEREAS, Application No. 6668 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. A. and Ruby Kirkendall to alter an existing duplex to a triplex, making a total of 5 units on the property, 4 units to be served by a 5 ft. 6 in. access court, 3958 Park Boulevard, Lot 38 and the South 1/2 of Lot 39, Block 193, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer

Secretary
~~XXXXXXXX~~

Application Received 11-22-48 By E. G. Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Living, Remerton & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 12-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ole

WHEREAS, Application No. 6655 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Neal A. and Edith M. Walters to alter an existing duplex to a triplex, making four units on the property, three to be served by a 3 ft. access court on one side of existing residence and 3 ft. on the other side, 4073 Front Street, Lot 4, Block 1, Florence Heights Addition, providing the existing frame garage on the rear of the property is removed before construction of the new unit is started, and subject to approval of the plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 198

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~xxxxxx~~ Secretary

Application Received 11-19-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Evans, Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Could approve Date 12-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

jk

RESOLUTION NO. 3590

WHEREAS, Application No. 5307 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Robert D. and Melba Forrest to construct a residence on a parcel of land without street frontage, being the West 95 ft. of the South 14 ft. of Lot 7 and the West 95 ft. of North 11 ft. of Lot 8, Block 294, Pacific Beach, West of Strandway, between Oliver and Thomas Streets, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-22-48 By J. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Luening, Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Denial Date 12-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3591

WHEREAS, Application No. 6293 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth F. Kirkwood to construct a garden wall 6 ft. in height on top of a retaining wall 2 ft. in height at 4070 Riviera Drive on the Northerly 1/2 of the Easterly 1/2 of Lot 95, Southern Title Guaranty Company's Subdivision of Pueblo Lot 1801.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 11-23-48 By JW McConnee
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Ewing, Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____
Date 12-1-48

Decision Approved

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3592

ole

WHEREAS, Application No. 6674 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of G. L. Anderson to construct two living units, to make a total of five on the property, with 64% lot coverage, Lots 31 and 32, Block 154, University Heights, 4126 - 30th Street, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 11-23-48 By RJ Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Living, Lancaster & Living
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 12-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

file

RESOLUTION NO. 3593, amended by Res. 3623

WHEREAS, Application No. 6634 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Julia and Frank Maio to construct a duplex at the rear of Lots 37 and 38, Block 143, San Diego Land and Town Co.'s Addition, 2141-43 $\frac{1}{2}$ Logan Avenue, making a total of 4 living units and 2 stores on the property, providing the existing store building, bays and other portions of the dwelling and lath house are cut back or removed to provide a clear court width of approximately 8 ft., measured from the lot line to the main wall of the existing residence and providing the oil drum, heater and stack are removed. If a fence is maintained across this 8 ft. court, a gate not less than 3 ft. in width shall be provided in the fence, said gate to be capable of being easily opened from the inside, all to be subject to final approval of the plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 11-17-48 By Ed Van Nise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Lucretia Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Can't Approve Date 12-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2-48 Building Inspector 12-2-48

Planning Commission 12-2-48 Petitioner 12-2-48 Health Department 12-2-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Letter dated November 29, 1948

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:
That Resolution No. 3566, dated November 17, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ray H. Crimmel, Jr., to divide the East 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 1774 into four building sites, two facing on La Jolla Rancho Road and two without street frontage, but served by a private right-of-way 30 ft. in width, and permit a single family residence on each, providing an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that when and if the city requests it, an easement 30 ft. in width along the North line of said property will be granted for street purposes and an easement 50 ft. in width approximately in the center of said parcel will be granted for street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Agreement 576 signed 1-28-49

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 1, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Letter
Application Received 11-30-48 By Harry Loring
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-1-48 By Luving Lancaster Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-1-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 12-1-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-3-48 Building Inspector 12-3-48

Planning Commission 12-3-48 Petitioner 12-3-48 Health Department 12-3-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Jc.

RESOLUTION NO. 3595

WHEREAS, Application No. 6715 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph R. and Elizabeth J. Essary to construct a single family residence and garage on the Southerly 1/2 of Lots 17, 18, 19 and 20, Block 178, Pacific Beach, West side of Olney Street, just South of Emerald Street, providing a 15 ft. setback is observed from Olney Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, N. S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 8, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 12-6-48 By G. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-8-48 By G. J. Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-8-48 Hearing date _____
Date _____

Decision Approved Building Inspector 12-10-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10-48 Health Department 12-10-48

Planning Commission 12-10-48 Petitioner 12-10-48 Council Hearing, date _____
Date _____

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____
Date _____

Decision of Council _____
Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____
Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____
Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

sk

RESOLUTION NO. 3596

WHEREAS, Application No. 6721 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herbert & Virginia Sinnhoffer to construct two residences, each with a 12 ft. setback from Wabaska Drive, on portions of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, Block 20, Western Addition (Legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), Wabaska Drive at Wells Street, providing an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that no garages will be constructed on Wabaska Drive and that there will be no driveways from Wabaska Drive. OK
PFB

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement # 566
Filed 12-23-48*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 15, 1945

By _____
Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received 12-14-48 By R. J. Hansen
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-15-48 By Allen & Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-15-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, Council Date 12-15-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-16-48 Building Inspector 12-17-48

Planning Commission 12-17-48 Petitioner 12-17-48 Health Department 12-17-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3597

WHEREAS, Application No. 6737 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Dennstedt Building Company to maintain a model home and two signs, each 5 ft. by 8 ft. in size, on Lot 1, Block 1, Furlow Heights Unit No. 1, West side of 54th Street at Redwood Street, this permit to be for a period of one year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 15, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 12-14-48 By D. E. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-15-48 By Allen Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-15-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 12-15-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-16-48 Building Inspector 12-17-48

Planning Commission 12-17-48 Petitioner 12-17-48 Health Department 12-17-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ok

RESOLUTION NO. 3598

WHEREAS, Application No. 6740 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph E. Sorkness to construct a wire fence 7 ft. in height with 1 ft. of barbed wire at the top sloping inward, total height of 8 ft., Lots 25 to 30, inclusive, Block 13, Reed and Hubbell's Addition, Northeast corner of Boston and 26th Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 15, , 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 12-13-48 By J. W. Mc Connell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-15-48 By Allen, Leresster & Burton
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-15-48 Hearing date _____
Date 12-15-48

Decision Approved Building Inspector 12-17-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-16-48 Health Department 12-17-48

Planning Commission 12-17-48 Petitioner 12-17-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

ole

RESOLUTION NO. 3599

WHEREAS, Application No. 6735 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James J. and Frances L. Dowling to construct a 4 ft. extension to a garage which has a 6 inch sideyard, only 42 ft. from the front property line, 3406 Polk Street, West 50 ft. of the South 14 ft. of Lot 21 and the West 50 ft. of Lots 22 and 23, Block 5, Teralta.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 15, 1948

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~Secretary~~

Application Received 12-13-48 By A. E. Smith
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-15-48 By W. L. ... & ...
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-15-48 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 12-15-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-16-48 Building Inspector 12-17-48

Planning Commission 12-17-48 Petitioner 12-17-48 Health Department 12-17-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 3600

See Res. 92373 following

WHEREAS, Application No. 6596 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Victor Schulman to construct a garage 23 ft. by 35 ft. in size with no sideyard, Lot 297, Kensington Heights Unit No. 3, Ridgeway Drive, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 15, 19 48

By _____
Zoning Engineer ~~XXXXX~~ Secretary

Application Received 12-13-48 By Mail City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-15-48 By Allen, Lancaster & Burton City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-15-48 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 12-15-48

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-16-48 Building Inspector 12-17-48

Planning Commission 12-17-48 Petitioner 12-17-48 Health Department 12-17-48

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 12-21-48 Council Hearing, date 1-4-49 - 1-18-49

Decision of Council denied Date 1-18-49

Resolution becomes effective (zc. decision sustained) _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 92373

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Victor J. Schulman, 3415 Cooper Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3600, application No. 6596, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, to construct a garage 23 ft. by 35 ft. in size with no sideyard, Lot 297, Kensington Heights Unit No. 3, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 92373
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Jan. 18, 1949

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk.

By HELEN M. WILLIG
Deputy.