

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6834</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Jack Gross Broadcasting Co. to construct and operate a radio tower and transmitter building on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1264, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department office (Mt. Soledad), provided that petitioner grant reasonable slope rights along the existing street right-of-way, if and as requested by the City Engineer.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13456, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 9

Zoning Engineer

, 19 49

ohi ok

FORM 2145

Robinsky D .	ARI
Application Received	Byess
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 9 - 49$	By Carring, Allent a herto
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	- <u><u>q</u>-<u>y</u><u>q</u> Hearing date Date <u><u>v</u>-<u>io</u> - <u>u</u><u>q</u> Building Inspector <u><u>q</u>-<u>y</u><u>q</u> etitioner <u>v</u>-<u>u</u>-<u>y</u><u>q</u> Health Department <u>v</u>-<u>u</u>-<u>y</u><u>q</u> Council Hearing, date</u></u></u>
Decision Cond. Chproused	Date 2-9-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	$\frac{\gamma-10}{2} - \frac{49}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{11-99}{2}$
Planning Commission ~ - 17 - 49 P	etitioner - 11-49 Health Department - 11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

va hande e von pov storn of thet and he. Base, by and the

reference of the network fracted to when dock Groups (remaining 60, 50 connersate of the network fracted to when dock Groups (remaining of a perference of the network is set for the fraction of the perfect of the perfection of the fraction of the set of the fraction of the perfect of the perfection of the fraction of the set of the fraction of the perfect of the perfection of the perfection of the provided of the perfect of the perfection of the perfection of the perfection of the perfect of the perfection of the perfection of the perfection of the perfect.

the second of the second state of the property of the second state of the second state of the second

. The first farmer and have not the second first because the second second second second second second second s

and the set of the brand and the state of condition of the set of the set

- MARINES OF

C Steller

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6837</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter and Sophia K. Lamb to construct a single family residence on Lot 13 and the West 55 ft. of Lot 14, Block 13, Encante Heights, 6448 Wunderlin Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 9. , 1949

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 3702

ok

	D.6. / /
Application ReceivedB	yCity Planning Department
	6. 611 19
Investigation made $2 - 9 - 49$ B	gity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-9-49	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date $1 - 9 - 49$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-10-19	Building Inspector <u>2-11-49</u>
Planning Commission y - 11 - 49 Petitioner	v-11-49 Health Department v-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

· . C

the of not here the real

The Processing of the second s

· T. T. . (PARA PERANTIAL AND AND . .

a provide a the state of the second s

to I will be here a second to taken and the line by which

The source stands and the subject of the stand of the stands of the stand of the stand of the stand of the stand

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold Major and Walter J. Willoughby to construct a single family residence on Lot 1, except the Easterly 19.9 ft., and the Northerly 20 ft. of Lot 2, except the Easterly 19.9 ft., Block A, Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, Abalone Place and Bird Rock Avenue, provided that the owner of Lot 4 and the Easterly 19-9/10 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3 sign an Agreement to the effect that these portions of Lots 1, 2 and 3 will be incorporated as the same building site as Lot 4, and that the entire parcel then will be retained in the same ownership and will not be sold separately.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 9 , 1949

FORM 2145

Zoning By Engineer

Sectedary



	4/1/1/1
Application Received By	VI. Juck
1-26-49	City Planning Department
The strange of the start Bul	wing. Allew + Juston
1-76-71	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{\gamma - q - 4 \ q}{1}$ Decision <i>Compensation</i> , Conditional I	Hearing date
Decision appended, Conditional 1	Date $v - 9 - 49$
C D / Station cont to 11ty Ptr 7 - / 0 - 9 91	Similaing inchector to a line us
Di commission 7 -11 - 7 7 Petitioner 7	- / / Health Department - // - //
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Louncil Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
	Date of action
Time limit extended to	Jac of action

in the second second developed in the second second second second second the second seco

That the Provide states of the set of the state of the states of the state of the state of the states

destrongen an entry and the second and the second second and the second second

and the start with the subject of the second of the second start of the second start of the second second

1919 A. C. S.

et intos : ' until until ets' -bi -bi - aut ' until tour?

Anterior parenta inter a province in the

ANTONING CHI ATT DUP OF MITS DESERVE A.

and the stand of the stand of the stand of the

The second se

AN CONTRACTOR

We have shall be

1

anvocantra Derra

RESOLUTION NO. 3704, extending Res. No. 3439

Letter dated February 3, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3439 be granted to Dorothy B. Mills to construct a single family residence on Lot 83, excepting therefrom a small portion, and including a small portion of Lot 82, Mission Hills Villa Lots, according to the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, Southerly side of Lyndon Road, Easterly of the intersection with St. James Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Split OK per Assessor's Tax Record per P.Q.B. 7-20-1950

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 9, , 19 49

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer

Secret ary

she

1 . 1	the top of 1
Letter feccing 2 - 8 - 48 Application Received By_	
Application Received By	and
	Co City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 9 - 49$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2 - 9 - 49 H	learing date
Decision (16hand) D	Date / 9 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	uilding Inspectory
Planning Commission ~ Petitioner v	- 11-19 Health Department 1/2 - 11-19
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	ouncil/Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	Date /
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	ontinued to
	Date of action

and the production of the production of the production of the product of the prod

The second state of the state o

· This are care an interest in antipped the starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the

y zaugene saine say of the set of the set of the

ATTACK PLANTING

MINAL PR

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6846</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. G. C. Barber to construct a single family residence with a 15 ft. setback on Lot 10, except the Easterly 72 ft. thereof, Block 50, La Jolla Park, corner of Silverado and Park Row.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted asto the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated February 9, , 1949

The state state of the state of	DAR
Application Received By	P.L. Jurton
	City Planning Department
	G. CILL Q.
Investigation made <u></u>	aneng allent Sentar
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\gamma - q - \gamma q$ H	earing date
Decision (14) D	ate $\gamma - \overline{\gamma} - \sqrt{9}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-10-49 Bi	uilding Inspector <u>- 11-49</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	- 11-49 Health Department 11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil/Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ontinued to
	ate of action

· Friday - all a state of all states and

Loo the a settled at one and the particular hereigned

separation des light de la seconde de la complete de la constante de la seconde de

A MARKETTAL BE TE MERLEY DE DE LES SOLLE COMPLETES AL TIN CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTORIA

the first section of the provide the section of the

113

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6847</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. G. C. Barber to construct a single family residence on Lot 10, except the Easterly 72 ft. thereof, Block 50, La Jolla Park, and to construct said residence with a 16 ft. 6 in. rear yard, cerner of Silverado and Park Row.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, and No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19 49

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer

Secretary

OK

ok

the state of the state of the	DAP
Application Received 2-1-19	By Auton
-ppmounon necented	City Planning Department
	P. MAR B.
Investigation made <u>2-9-49</u>	By Curry, allert Sustan
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2.9-	49 Hearing date
Decision (16berry)	Date $1 - 9 - 49$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	0-49 Building Inspector 2 - 11-49
Planning Commission 2-11-49 Petiti	Date $7 - 9 - 49$ 9 - 49 Building Inspector $2 - 11 - 49oner 2 - 11 - 49 Health Department 2 - 11 - 49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second participant the property of the second s

The state of the second second second

A C. CONTRACT PRIME PROVED TO THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF TH

TT BREDER' BENT BRECKLED BA (Person in south test of the Link being and

The million and the pullet and to the beaution patients and the participants and the set the set the

the standard of the abelenetics all the second of the second of the best second of the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. 6857 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to</u> the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Andrew W. and Ida M. Woods to construct a single family residence on the North 121.11 ft. of Lot 1 and the North 121.11 ft. of the East 43.55 ft. of Lot 2, Block 6, Marine View, Southwest corner of Puterbaugh and Lark Streets, provided the existing shed at the rear of the property and located partly in Crowell Street be removed entirely or made to conform with existing City Ordinances. before the new residence is completed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

-filits 2-10-49

Dated_ February 9.

_ , 19 49

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer Secretary Res. No. 3707

fullowing oh

FORM 2145

the second s	
Application Received $2 - 7 - 49$ B	y City Planning Department
	Cold 119
Investigation made $ 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 $	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{q}{2} - \frac{q}{49}$	
Decision approved	Date 2 - 9 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-10-19 Planning Commission 2-11-19 Petitioner	Building Inspector $r - u - 4g$
Planning Commission ~ - "- " 7 Petitioner	- 11 - 49 Health Department - 11 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date 3-1-49
Decision of Council Remended for dition of press	Date $3-1-49$
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

State and the second

STREET STREET STORE TO AND A STREET STREET STREET STREET STREET

the the barrent of the sharperson and the second will be a second of the second of the second of the second of the

RESOLUTION NO. 92880

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Andrew W.Woods, 1436 Sutter Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee on the provisions imposed by Zoning Committee Resolution No. 3707, application No. 6857, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that permission is hereby granted to Andrew W. and Ida M. Woods to construct a single family residence on the North 121.11 ft. of Lot 1 and the North 121.11 ft. of the East 43.55 ft. of Lot 2, Block 6, Marine View, Southwest corner of Puterbaugh and Lark Streets,

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and it is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

I hereby certify	the above to be a full, true, and corr	ect copy of Resolution	on No92880
	an Diego, as adopted by said Counci	lonah	1949

	FRED	W.	SICK	
				City Clerk.
3y	HELEN	Μ.	WILLIG	
oy			••••••	Deputy.

Jee Res. No. 3 907 preceding WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6858</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Andrew M. and Ida M. Woods to construct a single family residence with a 6 ft. setback, North 121.11 ft. of Lot 1 and the North 121.11 ft. of the East 43.55 ft. of Lot 2, Block 6, Marine View, Southwest corner of Puterbaugh and Lark Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning

Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated February 9, , 1949

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3708

	th's
Present of the sector of the s	γ / γ
Application Received $\gamma - 7 - 49$ E	By
Investigation made $\underline{-\gamma - 9 - 49}$ H	By Cunic Collent Senton
C 11 11 Zaning Committee 7 = 49	City Planning Department
Decision Capitante Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u></u>	Date $\gamma - 9 - 49$ 29 Building Inspector $2 - 11 - 49$
Planning Commission	Duilding Inspector 9 Building Inspector - 11- 49 Health Department ~-11-49 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Charlen Martin and a state

n strange with a mar delighter that the ball.

we will the site when some point another by

state and a set of the state of a second the second to be a regard

particular a particular a her a surger of the standard and

en and a fine of a secondary secondary a secondary a best of a secondary of a secondary of a second a secondary

TRUTT THE AT IL BELOTAED' D' . W. TOUTHE EMPIRICES STREET BY BELOW BY BAD DISE

The state and state of the maximum of the sugar property asteria and the sector of the sector of the state of the

and all parts on the failers and so the state of the the the the these the second parts of the break too

The second state of the se

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Al Rossi to construct six (6) units in addition to the one (1) existing on Lots 41 and 42, Block 54, University Heights, 4568 Oregon Street, provided that they are served by a 12 ft. access court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning

Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated February 9 , 1949

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3709

ok

ok

The state with the state of the state of the	41 / 1
Application Received $- \frac{\gamma - 4 - 49}{2}$	By leun lich
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $x - q - 4q$	By Curing Allent Juston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _~	-9-49 Hearing date
Decision Cons (Coprouch	Date $7 - 7 - 49$ -10 - 49 Building Inspector $7 - 11 - 49$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	-10-49 Building Inspector/ - 11-49
Planning Commission 2-11-99 Pe	Health Department ~ - 11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council' Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A variance do the provisions of moleculars we black, Costion of , be, and it is receiver and as volume providing the structure doove, theorem to ay follow to be oroparty, described above.

in onion is fer by or don't be all boosts to concurate size (6) while in the lot of the one (1) existing on tope (1) and 42, block sk, university of the press don't.

and the second state of th

ter in classical and the second states of the states of th

A state of the mean of the test and the test house of the test of the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6860</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William B. Grofton to construct a single family residence with a 6 ft. setback, North 121.11 ft. of the West 6.45 ft. of Lot 2, North 121.11 ft. of Lot 3 and the North 121.11 ft. of the East 18.55 ft. of Lot 4, Block 6, Marine View, South side of Puterbaugh Street, West of Lark Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 9, , 1949

By Zoning Engineer Secretary Bos

Res. No. 3710

ok ok

FORM 2145

Application Received _ 2 - 7 - 49 By Junday
City Planning Department
nvestigation made 2-9-49 By Curico, and Suston
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-9-49 Hearing date
Decision appendix Date 9-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk
lanning Commission 2-11-49 Petitioner 2-11-49 Health Department 2-11-49
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council/Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

. . . .

the set of a manual set of a set of a subject of the set of the set of the states as the set of the

the state and the second s

Free particular and the second second second and the second second second in the second of the second second

and the second of the second of the spectrum of the providence president because a second of the providence of the second of the

the end of another in

THERE DATES THE POINTS

the second second second and the second s

pi de contra montente montente contrato entrato de possibilita de contra

SECONDICAL MORE SERVICE

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William B. Crofton to construct a single family residence on the North 121.11 ft. of the West 6.45 ft. of Lot 2, North 121.11 ft. of Lot 3 and the North 121.11 ft. of the East 18.55 ft. of Lot 4, Block 6, Marine View, South side of Puterbaugh Street, West of Lark Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12955 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_49

By____

Zoning Engineer Sectedation

Res. No. 3711

and the start of a start	4 MI
Application Received $$	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-9-49</u>	By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\gamma - q - 4q$ Decision <i>Ckpcourd</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\rightarrow -40$ Planning Commission $\gamma -40$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date $2 - q - 49$ g Building Inspector $2 - 11 - 49$ 2 - 41 - 49 Health Department $2 - 11 - 49$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The second of the second second and the second s

a many provident of the second particular

The second state of the

a provide the analysis of Automatic Charles and an analysis and a particular and a start of the

The second second

1 3 T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

the set of the set of

STATE OF THE PARTY IS THE

The president of the time from Anthrops

The second is an ine chigh a bit solver per spon in course, but

A ALLON ALLON AND ALL AND

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

3712

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **NOT** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to W. H. Harrington to construct a single family dwelling on a 35-acre portion of Pueblo Lot 1174, according to legal description on file in the Planning Department office. (Divided after zoning.)

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated before, insofar as they relate to the property before described.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Nechekary

Dated____February 11____, 199

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3712

Application Received _ 2-11-49 By J.g. Burlon
City Planning Department
Investigation made by telephone -2-11-49 By Sancaster Lundy + Burla- Patilied City Planning Department
P. T. L. i d City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>2-23-49</u> Hearing date
Decision Manarch Dale 2-11-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-14-49 Building Inspector 2-14-49
Planning Commission 2-14-49 Petitioner 2-14-49 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

· · · · ·

the second of the second opposite and the second second second second second second second second second second

A THE PARTY OF THE TANK INTO I

The states

stot emotion

A TALE AND AND AND A TALE AND A TALE AND A

and a second participation

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6879</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Josephine Anderson to make alterations as required by the Fire, Health and Building Inspection Departments to the rooming and apartment buildings on Lots E and F of Horton's Addition, 1219 First Avenue and 104 B Street with a coverage of 90.8%, $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet \mathcal{BLN} between buildings, 2.9 feet side yard and a 9-foot rear yard to the 13 building on Lot 80.

These variances to the yard requirements are not to condone any violation of the Fire, Health or Building Department regulations or the State Housing Act.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, in so far as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 16 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer Res.No. 3713

JUL Nick
City Planning Department
allen Juston + Cencing
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date 2-16-49
Building Inspector <u>2-71-19</u>
v-71-49 Health Department _ ~ ~ / - 49
Council Hearing, date
Council Hearing, date/ Date
Continued to
Date of action

- The strate outlines . Letter the home of

The second state of the se

THE MARTINE AND ALLER A COMPANY & FRANCE OF STR.

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Evelyn A. Goset to build an addition to a residence on the Easterly 66 ft. of Lot 7, and the Easterly 60 ft. of Lot 8, Block 6, Normal Heights, at 3548 Eugene Pl.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3714

Zoning Engineer Katat

Dated February 23 , 19 49

FORM 2145

and the second of the second sec	1 6. 1
Application Received	9 By A.C. Vout h
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 7 3 - 49$	By Clien, Sustant dauce ster
and the set of the set	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	2 - 9 - 49 Hearing date $2 - 23 - 49$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cle	rk <u></u>
Planning Commission ~ - ~ 5-49	Petitioner ~ - > 5 - 49 Health Department ~ - > 5 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

21 A.

1 1 ·

197 3 445 * the state of the state of

TTO OF CONTRACT OF T

the second of the second se

with your and the first and an and the second state fight of also day

a set to a set a start be a set of a long and the part of the set of a set

and it becoments as less of a second bedge the to the top

sted pot many

WHEREAS, Application No. _____6839 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are_ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will_ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

not

4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anna Roberta Fish, by Carl W. Williams. her guardian, to continue the use of three non-conforming residences and to make additions, alterations and repairs thereto, indicated on the Record of Survey Map No. 1974 and marked Exhibit "A", on file in the Office of the Planning Department, and indicated thereon as Residence No. 1 (main residence); Residence No. 2 (main cottage); and Residence No. 3 (rented cottage; Building No. 4 shown on said Survey Map not to be used as living quarters, and the former trailer or structure occupied by Mr. Shean to be vacated or removed from the property immediately; on portion of Pueblo Lot 265, as shown on Record of Survey Map No. 1974; without street frontage for the full width of the lot.

This permit to be for a period of five (5) years or until the death of Miss Fish, whichever event occurs first, and on the condition that Dr. John W. Wilhoit, purchaser under contract shall, at the end of the five-year period or upon the death of Miss Fish, whichever event occurs first, vacate all the buildings as living quarters and remove

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

19 49

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Zonang Engineer

FORM 2145

Dated

February 23

Knoxville & Gardeng Address?

5

Ü

Res. No.3715

(over)

	and the start between
CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR	
Application Received <u>-v - 1 - 49</u>	By A Muthy
-pphoton received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $$	By allen, Serten + daucaster
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-49</u> Decision <i>Copposed</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u></u>	Hearing date
Decision of Coproceed	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk4	49 Building Inspector <u>y-y5-49</u>
Planning Commission 2 - 25 - 49 Petitione	er v - v s · 47 Health Department v - v s - 47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the state of a second in second second such as the state of the second second second second second second s

LAND WE THE LAST WAR IN THE ACTION OF ACTION OF THE PROPERTY OF

in a then at off there has

the second second second second

and a strange of the first of the second sec

1. S. 6. 8 1. 2

Health Departments are complied with immediately. providing that all the requirements of the Building Inspection and bus lette from the premises within one year from said date, and WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6784</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glarence E. and Edna O. Gorski, to construct a single family residence on the West 1/2 of the West 1/4 of th t 1/2 of the South 230 ft. of the Southwest 1/4 of Quarter Section 103, Rancho de la Nacion, excepting therefrom the South 30 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ZonPhg Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 3716

0k

OK

FORM 2145

Dated_

February 23

badamar. 53 1945	6010
Application Received 2-1-19	By A Couth
	City Planning Department
2-9-49	(100 (2))
Investigation made	. By Allen Certon-10 aucaster
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee - 9-49	- 49 - 49 Hearing date Date
Decision (Lepenne)	Date $\gamma - \gamma 3 - 49$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	-17 building inspector
Planning Commission > - x - 49 Petition	ner 2 - 25 - 49 Health Department 2 - 25 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A VIEL MED US LINE TOTAL LUME OF IN ARMEN DO, JLD. SURF DEPART, OU.

The providence of the second o

the spectrum of a state of a state of a state of a state of the state

and and the second party of our still demonstry is come to be the second of the second of the second of the second second of the second s

V the state of the second state of the second

ast had been a series to

NC 10 3476

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6870</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles W. and Anna L. Lee, to construct a single family residence on the East 1/2 of the West 1/4 of the East 1/2 of the South 230 ft. of the Southwest 1/4 of Quarter Section 103, Rancho de la Nacion, excepting therefrom the South 30 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 1949

ZonPhg Engineer Secretary

FORM 2145

the second se	A B P
Application Received $2 - 11 - \sqrt{9}$	By City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{\gamma - \gamma - 3 - \sqrt{9}}{1 - \gamma - \frac{1}{2}}$	By Clean Surtan + dance ster City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee > 3-4	Hearing date
C - F Dobdistion cont to LITV LICIK 7 - 77-7	S - VS / IICalli DCDai lincin
Decision of Council	_ Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

and high war go high contractions of the property of the sector of the s

100

the second of the second s

the but the but the many of the Strengthered for

I FLOUT I TO DAY THE THE REAL
3718

OK

WHEREAS, Application No. 6658 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ __special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

materially affect the health or safety of 3. That the granting of the application will persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

not

adversely affect the Master Plan of the City 4. That the granting of the variance will _ of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles E. Ayers to construct a sixfoot fence on the Littlefield St. property line of Lot 249, in Bay Park Village, being the Northwest corner of Littlefield and Chicago Sts., provided that it be painted a color approximately the same as the home thereon.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. CALIFORNIA

> > Res. No. 3718

Secretary

, 19 49 February 23 Dated

Zon Byg Engineer

FORM 2145

7/1/1/0 1
pplication Received <u></u>
Faco 18 1'
nvestigation made <u></u>
onsidered by Zoning Committee $\gamma - \gamma \partial - 42$ Hearing date
ecision Cong. abbroug Date - 23-49
bate
lanning Commission - 75-49 Petitioner - 75-49 Health Department - 75-49
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

not approved to the second

a second a second of the contract of the second s

A BATTLE ROOM PROPERTY AND

20.

an arrive Th Report Sections.

AND THE SEAL OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6851</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. B. Bettles and John I. Wilhelm to erect a wall 10 ft. in height, 35 ft. long, with a 4 ft. by 5 ft. by 3 ft. deep display window in the center (concrete block with reinforced steel construction), on Lots 11 and 12, Block 14, Bird Rock City-bythe Sea, 5721 La Jolla Blvd., subject to architectural approval by the Planning Dept. of any signs to be painted or installed on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sectedary

Dated February 23 , 19 49

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

DK

1713	
Contraction of the second s	111011.
Application Received $2 - 1 - \sqrt{9}$ By	
	City Planning Department
	110 19,01
Investigation made <u></u>	
a fe de worken fewerdene ertes verme sit	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Cong approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-24-49	Date ~ - ~ 3 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector <u>x - x - 49</u>
Planning Commission 2-25-49 Petitioner -	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

RIVER NUMBER OF SUPERSONNER OF TRUTH BOUL OF LASS FOR THE SECTION OF

The second second the second the astrony wells the start the the territery and the second second the fact as

The second second

per l'actionne une sample d'action de la second de la second

the state of the second

1

The for the Arth Breed of Talk the second

and the second second

and the second second

a second a start for a plant a literal for the second at the second at the second at the second at the second a

Transferra tor start 1.1 5 - - - -

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6863</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Frona Allen, Owner, and James R. Schwerma, Lessee, to maintain a rug cleaning business, using a shampeoing process only, no solvents, drying by hanging indoors, no inflammables, and with a total of 1-1/2 horsepower, on Lot 1, Block 34, Normal Heights, 3129 Adams Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer Xachtax

Dated February 23 , 19 49

FORM 2145

ok

	DIITANC N
Application Received $\frac{7-\sqrt{9}}{By}$	
Investigation made By By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $2 - 23 - 49$ H Decision and approximation to City Clerk $2 - 24 - 49$ B Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 24 - 49$ B Planning Commission $2 - 25 - 49$ Petitioner $2 - 25$	earing date
Decision and ab bround De	ate ~ - ~3 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk - 24-49 Bi	uilding Inspector <u>v - v 5 - 49</u>
Planning Commission	rs-49 Health Department ~ - >5-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

The second state of the Tent's a second burn by the second s

of the road to a supply the provide the state of the second state of the second state of the second state

the summer property of the strength which which

100

A state po the providence of a provide the greense pre-

A COMPANY AND A COM

This gas constraints of the

,

Bar.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6854</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

3721 \$ 3739

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. D. & Crystal K. Rudd, to divide Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 31, University Heights and Portion of Golden Gate Drive, closed, Rhode Island St. and Golden Gate Drive, into two building sites, and permit a single family dwelling on each, according to the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, provided that a 15 ft. setback be maintained on Golden Gate Drive, and the regular setback of 15 ft. be maintained on Rhode Island St., subject also to architectural approval by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning

Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secrepent

Dated February 23 , 19 49

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3721

y
City Planning Department
allen Sustan + daucaster
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date ~ - 73 - 49
Building Inspector - rs - 49
2-25-49 Health Department 2-25-49
Council/Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

and the second s

The Brobert Chaos () and

A. 7. 164

1.1.1

6862 WHEREAS, Application No._ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby given to Rodney E. Maurer to construct a resi-dence and garage, with no setback from Pringle St., on Lots 20 and 21 in Block 88 and the closed portion of Pringle St., Middletown Addition, being on the Southwesterly side of Pringle St., the 3900 block, provided that no part of the house project beyond the property line, according to the sketches submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

, 19 49 February 23 Dated_

Zoning Engineer

nk

DAME TO ANT	11
	11
Application Received <u>x-9-49</u> By <u>-11/C Gyr</u>	nell
City Planning Departm	ient /
- 1000 194	the states
Investigation made <u>- 23-49</u> By <u>City Planning Departm</u>	+ daugester
	lent
Considered by Zoning Committee	
Decision Coul approved Date - 23-49	and the second second
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk >- 74-19 Building Inspector - 75-9	19
Di Commission : - (7 Petitioner - 10 - 47 Health Denartment	t 2-25-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Proplution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	
Decision (out) adproved Date - v 3 - 49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	19 t ~ - ~ 5' - 49

A set of a set of the set of the

interventer in the second of the second

the second state and a second a second state passes with the case

and which they down with proof who when we the strength of the state of a strength when and a strength of the

and the second burger is the speak of the state of the

severe as edu indicter constanted a dist

- - - R

THE STORE AND THE CONCERNENCE OF THE STORE

6886 WHEREAS, Application No. ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are_ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Oscar and Alice Dubowsky to construct a residence and garage, with no setback from Pringle St., on Lots 18 and 19 in Block 88 and the closed portion of Fringle St., Middletown Addition, in the 3900 block on Pringle St., provided that no part of the house projects beyond the property line, according to the sketches submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-Struction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

February 23 , 1949 Dated_

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secrebery

Res. No. 3723

8 / / T. T. T. 19	00V 11
Application Received $2 - 17 - 49$	By C Lew Hise
nvestigation made $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$	By Clean City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-73-	19_Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-24	-19 Building Inspector <u></u>
Planning Commission 1-49 Petition	ner
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council/Hearing, date/
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

clustic sector control of the sector of

A service of the transformation with the service of the service of the service of the property of the service o

the second starts are spiceting in the start of the second quarters of the bay was a the figure by the second s

the second second second and the second s

and the second second

6865 WHEREAS, Application No.___ ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Otto A. Koehler to split out that parcel of land known as Portion of Pueblo Lot 1285, on Assessor's Map No. 33 (Arbitrary No. 62A) according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, being on the West side of Spindrift Drive, approximately 135 ft. South of St. Louis Terrace, and construct thereon a single family residence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated February 23 , 19 49

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3724

OK

DK

Application ReceivedB	v
pp	City Planning Department
Investigation made x = x = x = x = y	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee > 2- /9	Hearing date
Decision (CII A	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector <u>7-75-49</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the production of company production

and the second of the second o

The second se

the meridian and the vision prevents by the Tarley was shown (us, series

6835

WHEREAS, Application No. _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are_ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry A. and Essie C. Jordan to construct a garage on now-vacant Lot 12, Block 7, to be used in con-nection with the residence on Lot 7, Block 7, Drucker's Subdivision, street address of Lot 12 being Nashville St. between Jupiter and LaSalle Sts., provided that there be no plumbing nor wiring installed, and that said garage be set more than 70 ft. back from the front property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 213 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning By Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated February 23 , 1949

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3725

DI

TO A.
CONTS IN
C. d. loss
City Planning Department
Allen Custon & accouter
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date y = z = y g
Date $7 - 23 - 49$ Building Inspector $7 - 25 - 49$ Health Department $2 - 25 - 49$
Health Department 2 - 25 - 49
Council Hearing, date
Date /
Continued to
Date of action

from god 3. 11 / 1

the second second with the second second

with strike and it will address of address the probability as additional

The second proc

SCOL 2011

and the manage speed with any

nanden har menne verse somernanden det model Still nanden verse somernanden og somernanden verse somernanden som

March 19 1 and a state of the state of the state of the

Terris in the strength

6816

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry L. and Janice H. Wheeler to erect a work shop and hobby room with a 1 ft. sideyard and a 13 ft. rear yard on Lot 43, Block M, Plumosa Park, 3615 Plumosa Drive. Section 8a

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, /be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated February 23 . 19 49

Zoning^{By}Engineer

Res. No. 3726

44

FORM 2145

and the the second second	1670V / /
Application Received 15-49 I	By C. C. Jaen tice
PP	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u></u>	3 Clem Justin + Acuccista
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2 - 23 - 49	Hearing date
Decision	Date $\gamma - \gamma = -49$
C C Deadlistion cont to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Di Commission Petitioner	2-25-49 Health Department 2-25-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second s

the second second second second second second second second

the full and place of a f

,

3727

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Woods A. and Martha A. Capterton, Jr. to erect a single family residence, a car port for two cars, and a single car garage on a portion of the original lot, Pueblo Lot 174, according to the description on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____February 23 , 1949

Zoning^{By}Engineer

Secretacy Res. No. 3

FORM 2145

	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
61 / Carlon Carlon	
Application Received By	TU Connul
ripplication received	City Planning Department
_	100. 19 + 1 1
Investigation made <u></u>	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Date $\gamma - \gamma 3 - 49$
Decision Chpraud	Building Inspector
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-24-49 Planning Commission 2-25-49 Petitioner ~	Health Department - 25-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action



6

Z

0

V

AC?

Gar. Ciss

11213

.

and a second of the second of the

and the second provide and all a conception described and the second second and the second second second and the

and a second second contraction of the second second

OK

6891

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are_ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Karl B. Hill to construct a residence with a 15 ft. setback, on Lot 290, Except the Easterly 10 ft., according to Assessor's Map 33, Pueblo Lot 1285, on Hypatia Drive, but not less than that of the adjoining neighbor on the North.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res.

No. 3728

Secretary

, 1949 Dated February 23

FORM 2145

50 15 45	-60/01/1
Application Received $\frac{\gamma - 18 - \sqrt{9}}{B}$	y City Planning, Department
Investigation made $ \gamma \beta - \sqrt{g}$ B	y Cleur City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u></u>	Hearing date Date $\gamma = \gamma = - \gamma = \gamma =$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a to be survey of the cost of poast.

A set man po chi i tribare te po nel rice del monte de moltre de moltre de moltre de moltre de la set

the rest of the rest of the the long to the set of the the set She was placed

the set of a second second

and the second of the second o

he realized in the set of the being a set of the set of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6814</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. E. Shaver, Solon Kipp, W. E. Starke and K. L. Mark, owners, and Maynard McNeill, purchaser, to divide Lots 1 and 2, Block 2, Wildwood Addition, into three building sites and permit a single family residence on each, according to the plat submitted and attached to the petition, on file in the Planning Department Office, and provided that the regular city set-back ordinance is observed on the Northwesterly 100 ft. of Lot 2, and a 10 ft. set-back on the remainder of the lot on Wildwood Road, and that the set-back line on Chatsworth Blvd. be established at right angles to Wildwood Road and beginning at a point on the Southwesterly line of Lot 2, 15 ft. distant from Chatsworth Blvd. and extending out to intersect the setback line on Wildwood Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, N.S., be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > RECEIVEN

Dated February 23 , 19 49

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No.3729

P 1 9
By City Planning Department
_ By
City Planning Department
-19 Hearing date
$= \frac{1}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$
her $3 - \gamma - 4 \gamma$ fiealth Department $3 - \gamma - 4 \gamma$
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

and the second sec

In contra

the training to it at appendix more print to the party

to got because of the court from pilits where a promotion of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6887</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Iaco, operator, and Paul Iaco, owner, to store painters' equipment in the existing garage on Lots 5 and 6, Block 18, Fairmount Addition to City Heights, at 4075-46th Ave., provided that the property is not used by a licensed contractor.

This permit to terminate as of June 30, 1950.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 9 , 1949

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

pplication Received <u></u>
nvestigation made 3-9-49 By <u>Auton & Ulley</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-9-49 Hearing date
Decision Condl (lfpmace) Decision Condl (lfpmace) Decision Sent to City Clerk <u>3-10-49</u> Building Inspector <u>3-11-49</u> Date <u>3-9-49</u> Building Inspector <u>3-11-49</u> Health Department <u>3-11-49</u>
ony of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-10-49 Building Inspector 3-11-49
Planning Commission 3-11-49 Petitioner 3-11-49 Health Department 3-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action

and had the set of the second coppedies of the set

is the set of the set

The state was to a fight decisioner

10.00 (H.D.C

TTOMINIAN GOVETL. CONT.

and material the star and by the said

and the second second

1.1. 1. 1. 1.

and a sur dependence of all still the main and all shows and

a de la comptencia de la compte comptencia de la comptenc

File of LLI De Post

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6872</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Isabel Schwartz to convert an existing garage-storage room to a garage-rumpus room, with a 1 ft. 6 inch sideyard, on Lots 3 and 4, Block 15, City Heights, at 3237 Nile St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

, 19	49
	, 19

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3731

DI

sontrue manuer 1 bord 2 1
_ By_ 7. W/ comel
City Planning Department
By Juston, + aller
City Planning Department
9 Hearing date
Date $3 - 9 - 49$ -49 Building Inspector $3 - 11 - 49oner 3 - 11 - 49 Health Department 3 - 11 - 49$
e - 19. Building Inspector <u>3 - 11 - 19</u>
oner 3-11-49 Health Department 3-11-49
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

and totale to the toper total stilled skoke.

A VALLENCE DE LAR PROVIEL DE EL CLUB PLETENDE DE CETER PROVE, INDATER P

- roisel a le u reby traued of actual southres of environment en extension and souther contraction of a three robing aroa, when a rea 6 inch inclusion, the back is as a, it clock is, cherter, we say have sho inclusion.

the first of the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6820</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is <u>hereby denied</u> to San Diego Associates, by B. F. Jenkins, to construct a residence with a 5 ft. setback on Lot 19, Block 2, Belleview Heights Unit No. 3, on the West side of 60th Street, South of Meade Avenue.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Ser Tes. 10.93177. following

Dated ______ March 9 _____, 1949

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Chi Carcar a	10 1 1 P 9 2 2
Application Received 49	_ By Luston
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-9-49</u>	- By Deciston + allen
the second of the second structure and second	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3 - 9 - 4 9	Hearing date
Decision Aria	Date $3 - 9 - 49$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3- 10	<u>- 19</u> Building Inspector <u>3 - 11 - 19</u>
Planning Commission 3-11-49 Petition	ner 3-11-99 Health Department 3-11-99
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	-49. Council Hearing, date 3-x9-49
Decision of Council Akkeal sustances	Date 3-29-49
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 - FROM 11 198

al rende rendered of the set of the render the recent of the set of the render of the render of the rendered o

FORMELLE T TO PETER PROPERTY OF THE PART O

a serie and a series and a series where a series and a series and a series and a series of the serie

and the second second proceeding to a second s

RESOLUTION NO. 93177

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of San Diego Associates, Inc., by B. F. Jenkins, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 3732, application No. 6820, in denying permission to construct a residence with a 5 ft. setback on Lot 19, Block 2, Belleview Heights Unit No. 3, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that permission is hereby granted to San Diego Associates, Inc., by B. F. Jenkins, to construct a residence with a 5 ft. setback on Lot 19, Block 2, Belleview Heights Unit No. 3, on the West side of 60th Street, South of Meade Avenue.

Variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and it is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 93177 March 29, 1949 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council March 29, 1949 FRED W. SICK City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG By.... WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6821</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is <u>hereby denied</u> to San Diego Associates, by B. F. Jenkins, to construct a residence with a 5 ft. setback on Lot 20, Block 2, Belleview Heights Unit No. 3, on the West side of 60th Street, South of Meade Avenue.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secterary

Dated_ March 9

Zoning By

. 1949

See Res. 10.93178 following

FORM 2145

1.2.1	PIR
Application Received / 9	By City Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made 3-9-49	By Curtor + Allen
and the state of the second	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-9-49	Hearing date
Decision Quital	Date 3 - 9 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-10-9	2. Building Inspector 3-11-49
Planning Commission / 2-11-49 Petitione	Image: A construction of the sector of th
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	9 Council Hearing, date 3-29-49
Decision of Council _ (plead oustained	Date 3- 19-49
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

traling of the property described works.

The right for a many successful to war were were to open grister successful to the second sec

a service and the service state of the service stat

a control of the second s

the second of th

the second of the second second second size of the second s

and the contract of and and an and and the property in the second and the second is the second in the second is th

A DECKEON MONTH STATES

RESOLUTION NO. 93178

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

See les. 10.3733 preceding

That the appeal of San Diego Associates, Inc., by B. F. Jenkins, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolue tion No. 3733, application No. 6821, in denying permission to construct a residence with a **5** ft. setback on Lot 20, Block 2, Belleview Heights Unit No. 3, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that permission is hereby granted to San Diego Associates, Inc., by B. F. Jenkins, to construct a residence with a 5 ft. setback on Lot 20, Block 2, Belleview Heights Unit No. 3, on the West side of 60th Street, South of Meade Avenue.

Variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and it is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Acom Press

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6875</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph Bollinger to construct a single family residence on the North 15 ft. of Lot 29 and all of Lot 30, Block 37, Fairmount Addition, at 4222-50th Street, where a duplex already exists, to have a 3 ft. 6 inch access to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3734

Secretary

Dated____March 9 , 1949

FORM 2145

6.01/1/
By City Planning Department
9
By Juston + allen
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date 2 · 9 - 77
9 Building Inspector <u>3-11-49</u> r 3-11-49 Health Department 3-11-49
r 3-11-49 Health Department 3-11-49
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

a factor of the state of the st
RESOLUTION NO. 3735 Amending Res. No. 3688

Letter dated February 23, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3688, dated February 9, 1949, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Lightfoot Lumber Company to erect a warehouse 24 ft. by 160 ft. on Lots 19 and 20 of Block 11, and to use Lots 19, 20 and 24, Block 11, as a lumber yard, La Mesa Colony, 7010 El Gajon Blvd., provided that an eight ft. picket fence be erected around the property where no building is to be built under this Variance on Lots 19, 20 and 21; that the area immediately in front of the fence on El Gajon Blvd. be landscaped; that there be no parking of the owner's trucks on the street at any time; that all buildings on the land be kept off the Westerly 10 ft. of corner Lot 24 for a period of twenty years from the date of this Resolution; and that this permit be for a period of ten years from the date of this Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be

Zoning Engineer

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Res. No. 3735

Dated March 9 , 1949

R/j
By Cjty Planning Department
By Question + Collect
Hearing date Date 3-9-49
Building Inspector 3-11-49 er 3-11-49 Health Department 3-11-49
Council Hearing, date Date
Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6902</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Estate of George W. Wood to continue until December 31, 1949; the use of the Theosophical Grounds, being located on Pueblo Lots 144, 145, 146, 182, and 193, at 810 Catalina Blvd. for temporary housing purposes only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res.

No.

Secretary

Dated____March 9 , 19 49

By Application Received <u>*-24-49</u> City Planning Department By eu Investigation made 3-9-19 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 3- 7-49 Hearing date Decision Cong. Clepranal Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 - 16 - 49 Building Inspector 3 - 11 - 49Planning Commission 3 - 11 - 49 Petitioner 3 - 11 - 49 Health Department Health Department 3 - " 49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to Hick ANDRA RLES

WHEREAS, Application No.___ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. C. and Jody C. Slaton to construct a single family residence with an 18 ft. rear yard on Lot 2, Block 23, Valencia Park Unit No. 2, on the South side of Olvera Ave. at the point where Las Flores Terrace intersects Olvera Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Res.

NO.

Dated	March 9	. 19 49
Dated	the second second second	

a failed on the sub-sector and the

	7/1/1/1/1/1
Application Received $\frac{\gamma - \gamma - \sqrt{9}}{2}$ By	T.W. Connell
Investigation made $3 - 9 - 49$ By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{3 - 9 - 49}{2}$ By	City Planning Department
	Hearing date
Decision (planal [Date 3-9-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-10-19 Planning Commission 3-11-19 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>2-11-49</u>
Planning Commission 3-11-49 Petitioner	B-11-49 Health Department 3 - 11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the state of the s

the to state a constraint of the second second and the second of the second of the

The second structure of the contraction of the second structure to

and the state of a second the property of the property former for the second

The second state of the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6904</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. AL Crane to erect a chain link wire fence, 5 ft. in height, in the front sethack line of Lots 20 thru 22, inclusive, Block 56, Morena Addition, at 2228 Erie Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secrerary

Dated_March 9 , 19 49

FORM 2145

Res. No.3738

Mary and a second a second and	601/1/
Application Received $2 - 28 - 49$	ByCity Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-9-49</u>	_ By <u>Custon +</u> <u>College</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{3-q-4}{2}$ Decision <i>Chronical</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{3-q}$	e-47 Building Inspector 3-11-49
Planning Commission 3-11-49 / Petiti	ioner 2-11-97 Health Department 3-11-17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

RE HIT OF ALL ALL DESCRIPTION OF CONDARY

The state of the s

the superpresentation of a second second

110 3

C* 0011111

and the start of the

RESOLUTION NO. 3739, Amending Res. No. 3721

Letter dated February 28, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution. No. 3721, dated February 23, 1949, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. D. & Crystal K. Rudd, to divide Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 31, University Heights and Portion of Golden Gate Drive, closed, at Rhode Island St. and Golden Gate Drive, into two building sites, and permit a single family dwelling on each, according to the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, provided that the setback line on Rhode Island St. be observed as already established in that block, that a setback of 15 ft. be observed on the Westerly 60 ft. of said lots, from Golden Gate Drive, and a setback Arts for on the Easterly 90 ft. of the parcel be back of a line drawn from a point 15 ft. South of the Northwest corner of said parcel to a point on the South line of Golden Gate Drive which intersects the center of the 90 ft. parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zonin

Dated March 9 , 1949

Secretery. Res. No. 3739

ZONING COMMITTEE ITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

	1 1
permission and a second second second	Arth
Application Received By	al ait
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-9-49	
Decision Could (lop yourd	Date 3-9-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-10-49</u> Planning Commission <u>3-11-49</u> Petitioner =	Building Inspector <u>3-11-19</u>
Planning Commission 3-11-49 Petitioner -	B-11-49 Health Department 3-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date /
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and an prairie of a sustained size they we want the sustained

and the same stable is a star of the second of the second star and the second star star and the second of a second star and the se

CAPTUREY OF WALL

s in mane pile a private

THE REPORT OF CAR INCOME

Little The second of the second s

store and the address of the bar of the state of the state

MP & PALADARCS LACE

al and the state of the

Contrat Charling

The part of the party

and a local of a second to the to

11.1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6916</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. T. and Margaret G. Clemesha to divide the Southerly 80 ft. of Lot B, La Mesa Colony into 2 parcels and erect a single family residence on the Westerly portion thereof, with no street frontage, but to be served by a 20 ft. easement for the entire length of the lot; 2 existing residences on the Easterly portion to be maintained; 5040-67th St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 6916, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary.

Dated____March 9 ____, 1949

By Zoning Engineer

7/1/1/0/1/
By T.W. Connell
City Planning Department
By Durtart allen
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date 3-9-49
Building Inspector 3-11-49
3-11-49 Health Department 3-11-49
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

na compte è divis fonde santiger conset sur never de l'arte presente se l'arte de la second la second de la secon

The sea burn burnet

a second s

,

RESOLUTION NO. 3741, Extending Res. No. 3395

Letter dated March 3, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3395, which extended Resolution No. 2963, and which amended Resolution No. 2922, be granted to Kenneth and Betty Creel to erect two four-unit apartment buildings, each with a 14 ft. setback from Ohio Street, on a portion of Lots 42 to 48, inclusive, Block 64, University Heights, closed street adjacent, and a portion of Pueblo Lot G, Southwest corner of Ohio and Monroe Streets, providing a setback is observed from Monroe Street and providing these huildings are located on the North 135 ft. of the above-described parcel of land.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 9 Dated

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

149

Letter	
	y lait
rippication Received	City Planning Department
	9 1 6
Investigation made <u>3-9-49</u> B	Varton + allen
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-9-49	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-9-49</u> Decision <i>Coppraval</i>	Date 3-9-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-10-49	Building Inspector 3-11-49
Planning Commission 3-11-49 Petitioner	3 - 11 - 49 Health Department 3 - 11 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

where we that is not a comparison reason in the second state of

1 30 30

1. 2. 3. 7 . 3

ALTER SHOT STATES

the second of the second s

TO CREATE A CLOSE A DURING CAR.

"Il and a start of the start of the same "trade of the second of the second of the second of the second of the

and the second of the second o

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6770</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gladys E. Shaw Smith to construct a single family dwelling on a portion of the original Pueblo Lot 1288 according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on Hidden Valley Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zonfing Engineer Secretary

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____March 9 , 19 49

FORM 2145

Res. No. 3742

POPP	
Application Received <u>3-4-49</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>	
Investigation made <u>3-9-19</u> By <u>Clour Durton</u>	
City I failing Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-9-49</u> Hearing date Decision approval	
Decisional Date 3-9-49	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-10-19 Building Inspector 3-11-19 Planning Commission 3-11-49 Petitioner 3-11-49 Health Department 3-11-49	
Planning Commission 3-11-49 Petitioner 3-11-49 Health Department 3-11-49	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date/	State State
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action	

P	
HIDDEN VALLEY NO	
	a vie a la ser a ser and loss and a ser a ser a ser a
- aper a series of the series of	The second
Pala and a lange of the	

the second second

the state of the second of the second s

a service of

and the second second of the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6882</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Gas and Electric Co., to construct 109 lineal feet of galvanized wire fencing 8 ft. in height, on Lots J, K, L, in Block 132, Horton's Addition, at 13th and K Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_

FORM 2145

March 9 , 19 49

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3743

	1) 07
Press to a set of the set of the set of the	
Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{3 \cdot 9 - 49}{2 \cdot 9 - 49}$	By <u>Clear Auton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-9-49	Hearing date
Decision <i>Approval</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-10-49</u> Planning Commission <u>3-11-49</u> Petitioner	Date 3 - 9 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-10-49	_ Building Inspector <u>3-11-49</u>
Planning Commission 3-11-49 Petitioner	3-11-47 Health Department 3-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Daté
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	_ Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ser is providently the port of the service

1. Sec. 27 1. 22 1. 10

the second second second in a structure as the second second second second second second second second second s

THE PART OF THE FROM LABOR DALLARD TO THE PART CONDICTOR FOR THE FUEL

Contraction of the state of the past contraction of the provident of the state of t

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Gas and Electric Co. to erect 500 lineal feet of galvanized wire fencing 8 ft. in height, in Blocks 156 and 157, Horton's Addition, at the foot of 9th and llth Streets at the railroad tracks.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 9 , 19 49

Zoning Engineer Secretary Res. No. 3744

TIA
Jurton
City Planning Department
Aleut Purton
City Planning Department
aring date
te 3-9-19
ilding Inspector <u>J-11-49</u>
1-49 Health Department 3-11-49
uncil Hearing, date/
te
ntinued to
te of action

the second second state of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. _____6884 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Gas and Electric Co. to erect approximately 500 lineal feet of galvanized wire fencing 8 ft. in height on Lots A, B, C and the West 1/2 of K and L, in Block 134, Horton's Addition, at 10th and K Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 9 , 1949 Dated_

FORM 2145

By Engineer

Secretary Res. NO.

	have the first of the state of the state
and the second second second second	PIQ
	" I I I I I
Application Received	ByCity Planning Department
	City i familing Department
Investigation made $3 - 9 - 49^{-1}$	By ((leut)uston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-9-49	Hearing date
Decision Approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-10</u>	Date 3 - 9 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-10	<u>- 49</u> Building Inspector <u>3-4-49</u>
Planning Commission 2-11-49 Petitic	ner 3 - 11 - 49 / Health Department 3. 11 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council/	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to /	Date of action

. . . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6582</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kathleen E. Kelly to split Lots 36, 37 and 38, Block 1, in both Pacific Beach Vista and Buena Vista, on the West end of Archer St. on the South side, and West of La Jolla Mesa Drive, into two parcels of 37-1/2 ft. and 42-1/2 ft. respectively, with permission to build a single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 9</u>, 1949

Zoning Engineer

and internet of the second second	(c. c) //
Application Received 3-4-49	By law tise
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 9 - 49$	By Allent Sector
////	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning/Committee 3-9-49	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date 3.9-19
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-10-	YqBuilding Inspector3-11-Yqer3-11-YqHealth Department3-11-Yq1
Planning Commission 3-11-49 /Petition	er 3-11-49 Health Department 3-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action /

the second of th

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6925</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Glenn Pearson, to construct a family residence and servants' quarters on a portion of Pueblo Lot 129, according to legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on Silver Gate Place, with 45 ft. street frontage facing Silvergate Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 9 , 19 49

Zoning Engineer Secretack

and inter to be Application Received 3-8-49 By City Planning Department Investigation made ____ 3-9-49 Plats By m City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _ 13-9-49 Hearing date DecisionCleption Date 3-9-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-10-19 Building Inspector 3-11-19 Planning Commission 3-11-49 Petitioner 3-11-49 Health Department 3-11-19 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Council Hearing, date __ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action VERGAT 1 is a set a set and the cost of -10 105 we have a sub- in the second for the second in the the process in a support about a provide the brought

WHEREAS, Application No. 6911 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sidney B. Cowan to construct a 20 ft. by 20 ft. garage fronting on Curlew St., Lot 142, Reynard Hills, at the rear of 2671 Arroyo Dr., with 0 ft. sethack for one corner of the garage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_March 9 , 1949

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

and the second	· DA A
AND REAL AND A DECEMBER OF A	7/1/1/0 //
Application Received B	y City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-9-49</u> B	y <u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-9-19	Hearing date
Decision (loburgal)	Date 3 - 9-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-10-19 Planning Commission 3-11-49 / Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>3-11-49</u>
Planning Commission 3-11-49 / Petitioner	3-11-19 Health Department 3-11-49 Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second second second produce and the second s

BLODEL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6962</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No: 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego to erect a classroom building and convent with a 10 ft. setback on Lots 3, 4, 5 and 6, Block 21, West End Addition, on Ray St. between Capps and Dwight Sts.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

STOLEDER

Dated March 16 , 19 49

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By By Certon	
City Planning Department	
Investigation made 3-16-49 By Durton + alles	
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date Decision Uppeaual Date 3-16-19	
Decision Upperant Date 3-16-19	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-49</u> Building Inspector <u>3-18-49</u> Planning Commission <u>3-18-49</u> Petitioner <u>3-18-49</u> Health Department <u>3-18-49</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Planning Commission 3-18-49 Petitioner 2-18-49 Health Department 2-18-49	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action	
Time limit extended to Date of action	-

1.12

1

8

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Elsa Sanguinet to build a duplex residence in addition to the existing single family residence on Lot 24, Block 9, La Mesa Colony, at 69th and Amherst Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Dated March 23 , 19 FORM 2145 ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Second

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3750

	i por a
Sector Sector	
Application Received By	1 herton
Approximation received	City Planning Department
	Queston allen + Cuino
Investigation made $3 - \gamma 3 - 49$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning/Committee 2 9 49	Hearing date $3 \sim 3 \sqrt{9}$
Considered by Zoning/Committee 3_ 9 -49 Decision Approved	Date $3 - \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{9}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 = \frac{1}{24} = \frac{1}{24}$ Planning Commission $3 = \frac{1}{25} = \frac{1}{29}$ Petitioner a	Building Inspector 3 - 23 - 49
Planning Commission 3- 75-49 Petitioner	3-25-49 Health Department 3- 75-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a second a se

and the second of a second second

in totalori.

The second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6916</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Goldie B. Jones to erect and operate a 105 unit trailer camp on the South 1/2 of Lot 4, Eureka Lemon Tract, at Pacific Highway and Bunker Hill, Zone R-4, subject to the approval of the final plans by the Planning Department, the Fire Marshal and the Building Inspector.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 23 , ¹⁹_49

Zoning Engineer

XXXXXX

Res. No. 3751

Application Received By fau tise
City Planning Department
Investigation made 3-9-49 By Juston + allen
Investigation made <u>3-9-49</u> By <u>kirton +</u> <u>City Planning Department</u>
3-9-49 City Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Compartment, City Planning Department Decision Conditional Date 3-73-49 Date 3-73-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-49 Building Inspector 3-25-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 24 - 49$ Building Inspector $3 - 24 - 49$ Planning Commission $3 - 25 - 49$ Petitioner $3 - 25 - 49$ Health Department $3 - 25 - 49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

- Defense and a setting to preset have a set for

and the second second second second second

INTER PROVIDENCES PROVIDENCES P

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6908</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dudley H. Hosea to build a stucco wall 8 ft. high and approximately 100 ft. long on Lots 20 thru 24, inclusive, in Block 128, Choates Addition and E. W. Morse Subdivision, at 32nd and "G" Sts., Zone "C", subject to the submission of acceptable plans to the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA By Zoning Engineer XXXXXX Res. No. 3752

Dated <u>March 23</u>, ¹⁹49

	1) n q
	PSK).
Application Received By By	Auston
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	allen Custor + Cuices
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3- × 3- 49</u> H Decision Conductional II Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3- × 4-49</u> H Planning Commission <u>3 - × 5 - 49</u> Petitioner 3	Hearing date
Decision approval, Conditional I	Date 3
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-49 H	Building Inspector <u>3- 25-49</u>
Planning Commission 3 - 23 - 49 Petitioner 3	- 75 - 49 Health Department 3 - 75 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council 1	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

- and the second second shall be a final a specific energy second s

CALL PRODUCT DA AL ALTO AND THE REPORT OF ANY CALL AND AND AND A REPORT AND A REPORT OF A REPORT OF A REPORT OF

A spectro where the second second second
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6919</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward W. Schaub to conduct a parttime business as a locksmith and to repair gas stoves and lanterns on Lots 55 and 56 in the Encanto Park Addition to Encanto Heights, at 403 Ritchey St., on the following conditions:

- 1. A maximum of 10 hours per week;
- 2. No employees;
- 3. A maximum of 2 horsepower motor;
- 4. No signs, excepting a listing in the telephone book business section, but with no display advertising therein.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretery

Dated <u>March 23</u>, 1949 FORM 2145

Res. No. 3753

and the state of the second state of the secon	
Application Received <u>3-7-49</u> By	Van tie
Pp	City Planning Department
	19. 1111 10.
Investigation made <u>3. 73-49</u> By	hitor allert uniced
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3- >3-49	Hearing date
Decision Conditional appealed	Date 3 - 28 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-49 Planning Commission 3-25-49 Petitioner	Building Inspector 3. 25-19
Planning Commission 3- >5-49 Petitioner	3->5-49 Health Department 3- x5-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

per monte del serie de la complete de la construcción de la del Après de la construcción de la del de la del d La construcción de la del de la del La construcción de la construcción d

The second se

A REAL PROPERTY OF A REAP

the second second second second second second

en state and share and share and a state of the strength of the

POTE ON PD STOR

WHEREAS, Application No. log 22 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Maynard McNeil to build a residence with a 3 ft. setback on the West 50 ft. of Lot 6, Royal Heights, being on the South side of Torrance St., 215 ft. East of Reynard Way, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 23 , 1949

Zoning Engineer xXXXXX Res. No. 3754

Application Received By	-1671 Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning/Committee 3. 23 - 49	Hearing date
Decisionalproval	Date 3 · + 2 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3- 74-49	Building Inspector 3. 55-49
Considered by Zoning Committee $3 \cdot 23 - 49$ Decision <i>Cpproue</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 \cdot 24 - 49$ Planning Commission $3 - 25 - 49$ Petitioner	3- 25-49 Health Department 3 - 25-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second s

RESOLUTION NO. 3755

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl and Ruth Behrends to operate an auditing and accounting office in the garage on Lot 30 in Hector Square, at 3828 Grange Ave, Zone R-4, provided that the sign, not to exceed 2 square ft. in size, be placed on the garage building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 23</u>, 19 45 FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer xittick Res. No. 3755

	G/ A,
	Application Received 3-1-19 By Ol Link
)	City Planning Department
	Investigation made <u>3. 75-49</u> By <u>Alexan to curring</u>
	Considered by Zoning Committee 2 - > 3 - 49 Hearing date
	Decision $\int bdy$ zonning committee $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ Treating date Date $3 - \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{9}$
	Decision (Cherran Date 3-y3-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-y4-49 Planning Commission 3-y5-49 Petitioner 3-y5-49 Health Department 3-y5-49
	Planning Commission 3. 25-49 Petitioner 2. 25-49 Health Department 3-25-49
	Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date
	Resolution becomes effective
	Application withdrawn Continued to
	Time limit extended to Date of action

the second second second second of the second se

A set of a serie life for the set of the set of the provident best strengther in the set of the set of the set

LETTO MEL. LAST TOTALS , IST ALL OF THE STATE OF THE

RESOLUTION NO. 3756

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6926</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Frank Koudelka to add a kitchen and bath to an existing single family residence with 0 ft. rear yard on the Westerly 40 ft. of Lots 25 and 26, Block 2, City Heights Subdivision, at 3428 Myrtle St., Zone R-2, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Dated <u>March 23</u>, 1949 FORM 2145 ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX Res. No

Res. No. 3756

Application Received <u>3-8-49</u> By <u>autice</u>
City Planning Department
nvestigation made 3. y 3. 49 By allen Juston + Curring
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoping Committee 2 -> 2 - 49 Hearing date
Decision Date 3 - 49
Topy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 - 29-99 Building Inspector 3 - 25-99
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3 - 24-49</u> Building Inspector <u>3 - 25-49</u> Planning Commission <u>3 - 25 - 49</u> Petitioner <u>3 - 25 - 49</u> Health Department <u>3 - 25 - 49</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Fime limit extended to Date of action

the second se

ATTER, LONG LAND AN ATTEMPT OF

The second second state of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. (293) has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. J. Wilson to construct an 8 ft. by 19 ft. addition to an existing residence having a 2-1/2 ft. sideyard on Lot 20, Block 63, Seaman and Choates Addition, being located at 2228 - 30th St., Zone "C".

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 23</u>, 1949 FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Assessed

Res. No. 3757

Application ReceivedB	y City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3. 3.49</u> B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3 - \gamma 3 - 49$ Decision <i>Optional</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - \gamma 4 - 49$ Planning Commission $3 - \gamma 5 - 49$ Petitioner	Hearing date Date 3 - y3 - 49 Building Inspector 3 - 2 (- 49
Planning Commission = ->5 -49 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	3-25-49 Health Department 3-25-49 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A DATE CALL THE ARE ARREADED AND A DATE OF A DATE

the set of the second in the second of the second second second second second second second second second second

the last and the second of the second s

merely appreciation the lag as we have been considered by part sound of the

PRESERVED TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

state of the set of the set

the surface of the second of the second seco

(1) M 10 11

RESOLUTION NO. 3758, Amending Res. No. 3646

Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, Application No. _ of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ ___special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3646, dated December 29, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. Spinali and D. Strazzulla to continue the operation of an existing laundry (diaper service only) on Lots 25 and 26, Block 50, Ocean Beach, 4805 Narragansett St., Zone "C", on the following conditions:

- Loading and unloading to take place on the property; 1.
- Steam or overflow pipes to connect with a public sewer; 2.
- Equipment, trash, compressor building with its equipment 3. to be removed from the open yard:
- Lint trap to be removed from the side of the building and 40 placed on the roof;
- Hours of operation to be from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M., to 5. include the time of firing the boiler;
- A total of 40 horsepower; 6.

(over)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 23 FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

- A 25 lineal ft. fence to be erected along Sunset Cliffs Blvd., 7. . 6 ft. in height, to connect with the building and to screen inter. the loading platform:
 - Landscaping around the rest of the building: 8.
 - All conditions to be complied with immediately, except the 9. reduction in horsepower, for which the time limit is six months from the date of this Resolution;
 - All noise and vibration connected with the operation of the 10. laundry to be reduced to a satisfactory level, irrespective of the fact that this might involve an additional reduction in horsepower.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

, and a solution of a

: 11 11 2 2 2 1

a fair and the state of the second and the

Date of action	Time limit extended to
Continued to	Application withdrawn
	Resolution becomes effective
Date	Decision of Council
Council Hearing, date	Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
2-25-49 Health Department 2-25-49	
Building Inspector 3 - 71 - 49	Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 - 24-49
Date 3-78-49	Decision endicional appressed
Hearing date	Considered by Zoning Committee 3- v3-y
City Planning Department	
	Investigation made 2 - 2 - 4/8 By
City Planning Department	
A long	Application Received ~ ~ VI-49 + 3-11-49 By
1.1.1	Xe elter
the second of the second secon	

and the second second

RESOLUTION NO. 3759, Extending Res. No. 3456

WHEREAS, Application No. March 11, 1949 of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
 - 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
 - 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
 - 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3456, be granted to John A. and Geraldine Duncan, to re-divide Lots 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 20, Ocean Beach, into two parcels, each 75 ft. in width, facing Froude St., and construct a single family residence on each, Most Northerly corner of Coronado and Froude Sts., providing a 10 ft. setback is observed on Froude St. and the setback as required by the Setback Ordinance is observed on Coronado.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 23</u>, 19 FORM 2145 By____

Zoning Engineer Statt Res. No. 3759

By act
City Planning Department
3v
City Planning Department
Hearing date Date 3 - 73 - 49 Building Inspector 3 - 75 - 49 5 - 75 - 49 Health Department 3 - 75 - 49
Date 3 - +3 -49
Building Inspector 3->5-49
3 - 25.49 Health Department 3 - 25-49
_ Council Hearing, date
Council Hearing, date
Continued to
Date of action

THE PARTY OF TICKER PARTY DA LOUISDANCE CONNECTED AT THE ATTACK OF SHE AT A LOUIS

a provide the second of the second of the second second the second of the second of the second of the second of

and a second of the second The second sec The second sec

and the second of the second o

The superior of the state of the second second

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6942</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edith L., Curtis L., Otto J. and/or O. Franklin Zahn, owners, and Nate Rosenberg, lessee, to plaster and re-build the facade, with the existing O ft. sideyard and overcoverage, of the building on Lot C, except the North 3-1/12 ft. thereof, in Block 43, Horton's Addition, being 939, 943 and 945 - 4th Ave., Zone C, and to add a marquee 5 ft. by 5 ft. in size, having no signs thereon.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 23 FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

19

Application Received <u>3-15-49</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>
Investigation made <u>3- 73-49</u> By <u>Cleve</u> <u>Distor</u> + <u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 3 - 73 - 49 Hearing date
Decision () ate 3 - 23 - 46
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3- 79-99 Building Inspector 3- 75-99
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - \gamma \sqrt{-49}$ Building Inspector $3 - \gamma \sqrt{-49}$ Planning Commission $3 - \gamma \sqrt{-49}$ Petitioner $3 - \gamma \sqrt{-49}$ Health Department $3 - \gamma \sqrt{-49}$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and the second state of the second second

THERE WE AN IT MARTINE TO THE SOUTH SOUTH TOWARD IN STREET STREET

the second second and the second of the second of the second of the second second second second second second s

and the second of the second of the second second

the second s

ALL AND THE REAL PROPERTY AND ALL AND A

me press

· I PATTON I

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. F. Thayer to maintain a lathing and plastering contractor's storage yard on Lot 4, Block 9, Gardner's Subdivision, at 1230 - 18th St., Zone C, provided that a six ft. metal lath and plaster wall is erected on the South and West sides of the property, and a similar wall on the East side, with a gate installed in the East opening thereof, said walls to have an acceptable color coat on the exterior; also no material to be stored to a greater height than the wall; and a curb and driveway to be constructed as required by the City Ordinance.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 23 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By_____ Zoning Engineer

	•
T = 1 - 2	
Application Received 3-16-49 By Auston	
Application Received by City Planning Department	-
() () (⁶ ·	
Investigation made 3. 13.49 By Aller, Custon + Cure	ig
City Planning Department	1
Considered by Zoning Committee 3 . y 3 - 49 Hearing date	L
Decision Conditional ak brand Date 3. 73-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-49 Building Inspector 3-25-49	
Copy of Resolution sent to/City Clerk 3-21-19 Building Inspector 3-25-49	
Diaming Commission / 3 - 649 Patitioner 3 - 26 - 49 Health Department 3 - 25-49	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date	
Decision of Council Date	-
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	-

A ALETTICE THE THE TREET AND ALE THE A

White some and and last there is not the set of the

MOTO CALL MENT TO ALL LES NOV THE CASE THE AMAR COMPANY CONSTRANT OF A REAL AND CONSTRANT OF A

A A DO DE COMPLETENCIÓN LA LATINA A LA DESTRUCTURA CONSIGNATION DE LA DE

RESOLUTION NO. 3762, Extending Res. No. 3483

Letter dated March 11, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3483, be granted to the La Jolla Presbyterian Church to erect an addition to the front of an existing church, said addition to have a 4 ft. setback from Draper St., Lots 13 to 20, inclusive, Block 32, La Jolla Park, East side of Draper St., between Kline and Silverado Stw.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 23</u>, 19 49

Notae .	
Application Received <u>3-17-19</u> By <u>automatical and automatical and automatical automatical</u>	
City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>3-73-49</u> By <u>Ulleus</u> <u>furten</u> + <u>curring</u>	Z
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>a - > 3 - 49</u> Hearing date	
Decision Christian Date 3. v349 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 4-49 Building Inspector 3 5-49	
Planning Commission 3->5-49 Petitioner 3->5-49 Health Department 3->5-49	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	-
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	-

About a stand of the stream of a participation of a participation of the stand of the stand of the stand of the

allow the second sec

RESOLUTION NO. 3763, Extending Res. No. 3398, which Extended Res. No. 2981

WHEREAS, Letter dated March 15, 1949 has _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED. By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2981, be granted to William and Jewell B. Henderson to construct nine residential units on Lots 1 to 6, inclusive, Block 26, Ocean Beach Park, with portions of five units to be on Lot 6, Easterly corner of Larkspur St. and West Point Loma Blvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Res. No. 3763

Dated March 23 FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Actter Application Received	By
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	. By
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _3- v3	Hearing date
Decision (bbywal	Date 3 3. 19
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 - y	-19 Building Inspector 3 3 - 49
Planning Commission 3- 75-49 Petition	er 3 - 75 - 47 Health Department 3 - 75.49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

10

,

RESOLUTION NO. <u>3764</u>, Extending Res. No. 3399, which Extended Res. No. 2982

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 2982, be granted to William and Jewell B. Henderson, to construct nine residential units on Lots 1 to 6, inclusive, Block 26, Ocean Beach Park, all buildings to be kept back of a diagonal setback line, varying from 5 ft. to 14 ft., as shown on plans on file in the Planning Department Office, on Larkspur St., and maintaining a 5 ft. setback on West Point Loma Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 23</u>, ¹⁹49

By Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 3764

Letter Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3 - \gamma 3 - 49$ H Decision <i>Cppicula</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - \gamma 4 - 49$ H Planning Commission $3 - \gamma 5 - 49$ Petitioner 3 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date I Decision of Council I	Iearing date
Decision Ckping I	Date 3- 78-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3. 24-49 H	Building Inspector 3 - 25 - 49
Planning Commission 3- 25-49 Petitioner 3	- >5 - 49 Health Department 3 - >5-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council I	Date
Reconnellon becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

The first of the second state of the second st

A TANK THE TALK THE REPORT OF THE TANK THE TANK THE PROPERTY AND THE TANK T

and the second of the second second is the property and the second second second second second second second se

and the second standing was and a second standing was a second standing to the second standing stand

all , out here hered and

and the state of the second of the second state of the second state of the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6931</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Scott Memorial Baptist Church, to construct an addition to the existing church, with 68% coverage, on Lots 19 through 24, Block 15, University Heights, on the Northeast corner of Madison and Oregon Sts., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 23 FORM 2145 By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary XXXXXX

41 1
Application Received By City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3 - x 3 - 49 Hearing date
Decision af Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-49 Building Inspector
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-49 Building Inspector 3-24-49 Planning Commission 3-35-49 Petitioner 3-35-49 Health Department 3-35-49
appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Application withdrawn Continued to Cime limit extended to Date of action

to a la serie a serie a

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6948</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rodrigo M. Gonzales to construct an approximately 484 ft. addition to an existing residence, having an approximately 1-1/2 ft. sideyard, on Lots 16 and 17, Block 457, in R.K.Porter's Addition, street address being 4039 Gamma St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	The marks	23	,	19 10
	marun	63		44.9
FORM 2145				

Application Received By	J.W. Consult
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 3 . 3 . 49 By	
Considered by Zoning Committee 3- x3-49 Decision Appendix	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3 33 44	Data 3- 42 (19
Decision appendiate City Clark # - X - 4	Duilding Inspector
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - y - 4$ Planning Commission $3 - y - 49$ Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>3- V3 - 49</u>
Planning Commission 3 - 13 - 1/ Petitioner	Council Hearing data
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the plate of the second of the second s

the standard of the second of the sole of

BOTH THE PERSON DECEMBER OF THE DOW PACE CONFITTEERS DA SUC SOUTH & CONTRACTOR

to the second of the second second second

and the second second

A PARTY AND A P

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>motion</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F.G. Skinner to construct a garden wall on the South side lot line of Lots 8, 9 and 10 (portions thereof) Block 35, La Jolla Park, according to legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, being located at Prospect St., 150 ft. from Cuvier St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 23</u>, 19 4 FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary Res.

Res. No. 3767

Application Received By City Planning Department
Application Received City Planning Department
1011 1 10.
Investigation made <u>3- +3-49</u> By <u>Club Cirtors Cuccug</u>
City I failing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-42-49</u> Hearing date Decision (Jekung) Date <u>3-42-49</u> Hearing date
Decision $(lpfi)$ Date $3 - \gamma_2 - 49$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - \gamma_4 - 49$ Building Inspector $3 - \gamma_5 - 49$ Planning Commission $3 - \gamma_5 - 49$ Petitioner $3 - \gamma_5 - 49$ Health Department $3 - \gamma_5 - 49$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-49 Building Inspector 3-25-49
Planning Commission 2 ->>-49 Petitioner 2 ->5-49 Health Department 2-75-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Planning Commission 2 -> - 49 Petitioner 2 - > - 49 Health Department 2 - > - 49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

A A REPORT REPORT OF A REPORT OF A

The second state of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6960</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Else Kretchmer, to construct a garage and residence with a 5 ft. setback on Lot 69 in Ludington Heights Subdivision, being located at Puente Dr. at the East end of the road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 23</u>, 19_4

Zoning Engineer inthink Res. No. 3768

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3 · y 3 · 49</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{3}{3-3-19}$	Hearing date
Decision Copprend Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-74-79 Planning Commission 3-75-49 Petitioner	Date 3 - 42 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-49	Building Inspector <u>3- x5-49</u>
Planning Commission 3 - 25. 49 Petitioner	2-x5-49 Health Department 3-x5-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

statistics and the first feeling a solution to the state of the solution

THE THE PARTY AND THE PARTY AND THE TON THE TOWN OF THE PARTY AND THE TRANSPORT

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ ___special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the 1st Ave. Co., Inc. to build a doctors' office building with a 5 ft. rear yard for the 100 ft. of the building, on Lots G, H & I of Block 266, Horton's Addition, being on the Northwest corner of 1st and Juniper Sts., Zone R-4, provided that a 5 ft. open space is maintained on the adjoining property to the West, as evidenced by an easement of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be. and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

Dated March 23 FORM 2145

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA Bv Secretary

Res. No. 3769

Application Received By fauthe	ec ty Planning Department
1111	ty Flamming Department
	ity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3 - >2 - 49 Hearing date_	
Decision onditional approval Date 3-13-4	1 = 2 ×5-40
Decision <i>andictional approach</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-4/19</u> Building Inspec Planning Commission <u>3-45-49</u> Petitioner <u>3-45-49</u> H	ealth Department 3 - 25 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing	g, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective Continued to	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action	

no fin a state of a state of the state of th

and have been and a first seen the set of the best set of the set

the Chick of web Distance of a contract of and the particular anteraction and more he will be the contract of the second of the

A CONTRACT SET OF CONTRACT OF CONTRACT OF CONTRACT OF

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6940</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Olive G. Watts to construct a 12 ft. by 12 ft. addition to an existing residence which has a 2 ft. 6 inch sideyard, on the Northwest 1/2 of Lot 38 and all of Lot 39 in Block 254, Hoel's Addition and Guion's Additon, 3641 Dalbergia St., Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 23 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By_____ Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>3-11-19</u> By	
-11	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 73 - 79$ By	<u>Collens</u> <u>cirton + curing</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-73-49	Hearing date
Decision Abraugh	Date 3- x3 - 19
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3- 45-19	Building Inspector 3. 76-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-55-49$ Planning Commission $3-55-49$ Petitioner	3-25-49 Health Department 3-25-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

I CLICKE THE IL INCOLNED BY ILLIGARTER COMPLETERIES FILMENTER FOR THE STREET

and a second provide a second of the second provide a second provide and the second provide a second provide a
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6961</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. and Mrs. F. A. Richison to construct a garage with a single family apartment above, with a 4 ft. setback on Lot L, Block 321, Horton's Addition and a portion of Palm and Albatross Sts. closed adjacent, on the West side of Albatross St., immediately South of the intersection of Palm St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary.

Res. no. 3771

Dated	Manah	23	,	19_49
	1.1617 017	69		~ ~ 2
FORM 2145				

The state of the state of the state of the	P1/9
Application Received	By Gity Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3 · 7 3 - 49</u>	By alla, Derton + Cuing
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3 - v3 - 1</u> Decision Ukproug	Date 3- 73-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 . >	5-49 Building Inspector 35-49
Planning Commission 3->5-49 Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second second

The state of the state

and the state of the second and second a second the second

RESOLUTION NO. 3772, Extending Res. No. 3428

WHEREAS, Application No. March 21, 1949 of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3428 be granted to A. C. Gould, owner, and Neva A. Martin and D. Ada Fiske, to construct four living units on Lots 20 and 21, Block 39, Ocean Beach, and a garage 940 sq. ft. in area, at the rear of the property, crossing lot lines, two of the said units to be served by a 10 ft. access court to the street, Northerly side of Orchard St., between Sunset Cliffs Blvd. and Ebers St., providing said garage observes required sideyard on each side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19 49

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX

Res. No. 3772

Application Received 3-77-47 By Gity Planning Department Investigation made By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 3-72-49 Hearing date Decision on sition of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-75-49 Building Inspector 3-75-49 Planning Commission 3-7549 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Date Decision of Council Date Date
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3- y3-49</u> Hearing date Decision onditional approach Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3- y5-49</u> Building Inspector <u>3- y5-49</u> Planning Commission <u>3- y5-49</u> Petitioner <u>3- y5-49</u> Health Department <u>3- y5-49</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3- y3-49</u> Hearing date Decision onditional approach Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3- y5-49</u> Building Inspector <u>3- y5-49</u> Planning Commission <u>3- y5-49</u> Petitioner <u>3- y5-49</u> Health Department <u>3- y5-49</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 3- va-49 Hearing date Decision onditional approach Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-vi-49 Planning Commission 3-vi-49 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Learning, date Date 3-v3-49 Building Inspector 3-vi-49 S-vi-49 Health Department 3-vi-49 Council Hearing, date Date
Decision onditional approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - \sqrt{5} - \sqrt{9}$ Planning Commission $3 - \sqrt{5} + \sqrt{9}$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3- 25-49 Planning Commission 3- 25-49 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date
Planning Commission / 3->549 Petitioner 3->5-49 Health Department 3->5-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

a set and a set of the set of the

and the second of the second s

17 (F)

1. n.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6963</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. L. Shephard to construct a 30 ft. concrete block wall 9 ft. high, along the rear property line of Lot 2, Block 7, La Jolla Hermosa, 311 Via Del Norte, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	Mana la	-00	,	19-49
	March	62		47
FORM 2145				

Secretary

Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made 3 - 73 - 49 By autor tor the ing
Considered by Zoning/Committee 3- 73-49 Hearing date
Decision approved Date 3 - y 3.49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-45-49 Building Inspector 3-25-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3- 15-19 Building Inspector 3- 25-49 Planning Commission 3- 25-49 Petitioner 3- 25-49 Health Department 3- 25-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date
Decision of Council Date
Decision of Coulien
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and a second second

Inchesticate the state and state and she was the work to have been and the second the se

513

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6955</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John M. and Elizabeth M. Hitner, to maintain the existing chimney on the residence which has a 13 ft. setback on Lot 8, Block 24, La Jolla Shores, Unit #3, 8341 Paseo Del Ocaso, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 23</u>, ¹⁹ 49 FORM 2145

By _____ Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 3774

City Planning Department
<u>City Planning Department</u>
ng date
ing Inspector $3 - \gamma 5 - 49$ $5 - 49$ Health Department $3 - \gamma 5 - 49$
cil Hearing, date
nued to

the second second second second be as a second s

and the second

and the second second

11-0

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William Von Mueller to split Lot 2 in the Gardena Home Tract, 4814 Gardena Ave., Zone R-1, into two lots and build a single family residence on each parcel, as follows: (1) The Northwesterly 50 ft.; (2) All except the Northwesterly 50 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 23</u>, ¹⁹49

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 3775

Application Received By By	au t-leise
Application received	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3- ya -49</u> By C	Man Durton + Curring
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 3 - y = -49 He	aring date
Decision Clephranal Da	te 3- v3-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$ Bu Planning Commission $3 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$ Petitioner $3 - \frac{1}{2}$	Iding Inspector <u>3-75-49</u>
Planning Commission 3-55-49 Petitioner 3-3	5-49 Health Department 3-55-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Con	incil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	te
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co.	ntinued to
Time limit extended to Da	te of action

the second se

in the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6921</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Lena S. Clark to construct an apartment house of approximately 30 units on the property line along 6th Ave. on Lots G thru L, Block 283, Horton's Addition, on the West side of 6th Ave., at the corner of Laurel and Maple Sts.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretery

Dated March 23

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

19_49

Application Received <u>3-18-41</u> By <u>Contract</u>	
City Planning Department	
Investigation made 3-73-49 By Cliffer Desertant	
City Flamming Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-y3-49 Hearing date	
Decision Oppround Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-25-49</u> Planning Commission <u>3-25-49</u> Petitioner <u>3-25-49</u> Health Department <u>3-25-49</u>	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 - 23 - 49 Building Inspector 3 - 25 - 49	
Planning Commission 3->5-49 Petitioner 3->5-49 Health Department 3->5-49	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date/	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

Charles and the second s

there is a second of the first start with the second start of the second start of the second start and the se

and the state of the state of the state of the

The second s

3777 see 3/12 courses. 92564 WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ ___special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ___ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to K. N. Rader and Evelyn Lee Chang to establish a Pony Ride on the Easterly 400 ft. of Lot C, Loma Alta #2, being at West Point Loma Blvd. at Prince St., Zone R-1, with a maximum of 15 ponies, and to construct an addition across the front of the existing fruit and vegetable market building, which will measure 11 ft. by 50 ft., according to the plan submitted, and to be located 40 ft. from the front property line; said Pony Ride to be established in the location shown on the sketch on file in the Planning Department Office.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1950.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 213, New Series, be. and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	37	00	,	19 49
and the second second	March	23		47
FORM 2145				

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application ReceivedBy	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3 · y z - 49</u> By	
a thurd by Zaning Committee a	
Considered by Zoning Committee 3 - v 3 - 49	Hearing date
Decision Conditional appround	Date 3 - 53 - 49
Considered by Zoning Committee 3	Building Inspector 3-73-49
Planning Commission // 8- 49 Petitioner	3. x5.49 Health Department 3. x5.44
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

PERSONAL SET 15. REPORTSULAR THE SUBJECT CONTRACTOR OF AND CONT

Brown and the state of the state of the

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

3778

WHEREAS, Application No. 6909 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot_______Block ______Block

Subdivision The South half of Lot 4, Eureka Lemon Tract;

Pacific Highway and Bunker Hill;

(Goldie B. Jones)

may be used for the erection and operation of a 105-unit trailer camp;

subject to the following conditions Subject to the approval of the final plans by the Planning Department, the Fire Marshal, the Building Inspector and the Health Department.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

Zoningy Engineer Secretary Res. No. 3778

City of San Diego, California

Dated March 23 194 9 FORM 2144

A STRUCTURE PORTABLE

Application Received 3-9-	49	By By City Planning Department	
Investigation made	3-9-49	By Burton + alleus	
	3-9-49	City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committ	ee	Hearing date 3-23-19	•
Decision Aprous		Date 3 7.3 - 4.9	•
Copy of Resolution sent to C	ity Clerk 3- XS-49	Building Inspector	•
Planning Commission	Petitioner.	3-YJ-Y9. Health Department. 3-Y1-Y9	
Appeal filed with City Clerk	, date	Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council		Date	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Application Withdrawn		Continued to	
Time limit extended to		Date of action	

A Louis in the part of

PAR AT WELL IT DEVELOPMENT HE'S BUT THE WAY

and the second states as the state when a chart the

The party of the particle of the second

A set that the state of the last an early down to set of the set of the second set of the set of the set of the

a service and an and a service of the service of th

contraction the time sectors to be and the sub-

/ THE HE AN AN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6890</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>materially</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Isaac and Helen White, owners, and the San Diego Gas and Electric Go. to build and operate an electrical sub-station on Lots 20-25, inclusive, in Block 32, Lexington Park, at 39th and Juniper Streets (Wabash Canyon), provided that the sub-station structures are located 100 ft. from the front property line, a fence erected 75 ft. from the front property line, and further provided that the property is adequately landscaped and effectively screened by hedges, shrubs or trees.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3779

Dated _______ , 1949

FORM 2145

By_____ Zoning Engineer

	PIZ
Application Received By	1. X. 1. Juston
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	City Planning Department
	(11, ()
Investigation made _ 3-23-49 By	Man, Curry + Surton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-6-49 Decision Cond. approval	Hearing date <u>4-6-49</u>
Decision Cong. approval	Date 4-6-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-8-49$ Planning Commission $4-11-49$ Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>4-11-49</u>
Planning Commission 4-11-49 Petitioner	Health Department 4-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Carland
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second s

11 2

,

140

RESOLUTION NO. 3780

Letter dated March 17, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission to Mrs. Bess G. Wicks to continue the operation of the Aberfeldy Dog Kennels at 6453 Pacific Highway, on Lots 10 to 13, inclusive, Block 3, Stephan's Addition, Zones R-4 and C, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 138, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sec Tes. 1

Dated April 6 , 1949

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 3780

Application Received 3 - 18 -	<u>79</u> B	City Planning Department
Investigation made	B	/
	3-73-49	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Contra -	Hearing date 4-6-49
Decision Dencal	Contraction of the	Date 4-6-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	x 4-11-49	Building Inspector $4 - 11 - 49$ 4 - 11 - 49 Health Department $4 - 11 - 49Council Hearing, date 4 - 26 - 49$
Planning Commission 4-11-49	Petitioner	4-11-49 Health Department 4-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	4-11-49	Council Hearing, date <u>4-26-49</u>
Decision of Council Alerical	14 - 12 71	Date <u>4-~6-49</u>
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

s a first politic the second second politic states

DEPARTURE OF THE POINT OF ATT ON THE WORK COM. THE ATT A PROPERTY OF THE POINT OF T

variance expires 7-1-49

And.

RESOLUTION NO. 93494

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Mrs. Bess G. Wicks, 3825 Eagle Street, from the Zoning Committee decision in denying her application for a 3-year extension of Zone Variance to operate Aberfeldy Dog Kennels at 6453 Pacific Highway, on Lots 10 to 13, Block 3 Stephan's Addition, and sustaining said Committee in denying said extension as well as denying the Committee's recommended from an extension to December 31, 1949; which will therefor make the zone variance expire July 1, 1949, be, and it is hereby overruled and denied.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 93494 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council <u>April 26, 1949</u>

and the state	FRED W. SICK
	City Clerk.
Ву	HELEN M. WILLIG
Dy	Deputy.

Lee Res. No. 3780 preceding WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6896</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Merlin Glark Wager and Mrs. Frances O. Wager to split a portion of Lot 100 in Point Loma Villas, into 2 parcels, and build a single family residence on each, (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office) and being located on Chatsworth Boulevard, the Southerly side, between Zola and Browning Streets, Zone R-1, each parcel to be not less than 50 ft.by 100 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning

Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3781

Secretary

April 6 , 1949 Dated_

FORM 2145

	1/ //
Application Received 3-18-49	By an His
	City Planning Department
	610 6 19
Investigation made	By Allen, Curing + Surton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-6-49</u> Decision Condl. Appendia	Hearing date
Decision Condl. approval	Datast
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-49	Building Inspector <u>4-11-49</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-8-49</u> Planning Commission <u>4-11-49</u> Petitioner	Health Department 4 - 11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date/	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Turner and a second as an an interview with the second from a provide the second second

and the second second second part and second proved by the second proved and the second s

,

and for the money

······

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6956</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>MOC</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert E. Hill and W. P. Kesling, owners, and Elizabeth N. Crane, purchaser, to construct a single family residence on a portion of Arbitrary Lot 35, Assessor's Map 33A, in Pueblo Lot 1286, legal description of which is on file in the Planning Department Office, being located at the corner of Little, Roseland and Torrey Pines Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3782

Secretary

Dated April 6 , 1949

FORM 2145

By Application Received 3-18-City Planning Department By Investigation made 4-49 cue ug City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>Y-6-49</u> Hearing date Decision (Approxim) Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>Y-8-49</u> Building Inspector <u>Y-11-49</u> Planning Commission 4-11-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Petitioner 4-11-49 Health Depart Council Hearing, date Health Department 4-11-49 Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action 2100 SELA 3:8 DUNHAM 35 A 0 30 105 PLIY86 33 AD

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6957</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert E. Hill, W. P. Kesling, owners, and Elizabeth N. Crane, purchaser, to construct a single family residence and garage on a portion of Arbitrary Lot 35, Assessor's Map 33a, in Pueblo Lot 1286, legal description of which is on file in the Planning Department Office, with a 5 ft. setback from Torrey Pines Road, being at the corner of Little, Roseland and Torrey Pines Road, Zone R-L.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3783

Zoning Engineer SxXXXXXXX

Dated ______, 19_49

FORM 2145

PT SHARE SHARE

Application Received <u>3-18-49</u> By A	x tise
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-6-49</u> By	Alley acing + Juston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-6-49 Hea	ring date
Decision Oppround Date	4-6-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-19 Buil	ding Inspector <u>4-11-49</u>
Planning Commission 4-11-49 Petitioner 4-11	- 49 Health Department 4- 11- 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou Decision of Council Date	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective/	
Application withdrawn Con	tinued to
Time limit extended to Dat	e of action

FA

GTING COONE!

3

Contractor ad a mar

a second of the second and

- 70 m

The start start

Catholic States of the second states

UNB+IND+

Anna P & AVARCES

Late be

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6945</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. L. Madruga to operate a retail bar for the sale of beer in the existing private club building on Lots 3, 4 and 5 in Block 11 of Roseville, 2818 Addison Street, Zone R-4, to members and their guests.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3784

Secretary

DatedA	pril	0	,]	.9	43	ĝ
--------	------	---	-----	----	----	---

FORM 2145

Application Received $3 - 17 - 49$ By	- City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3. 73-49 I	Hearing date
	Date 4-6-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-8-49</u> H Planning Commission <u>4-11-49</u> Petitioner <u>4</u>	Building Inspector $4 - 11 - 49$
Planning Commission 4411-49 Petitioner/ 9	-11-49 Health Department 4-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council/Hearing, date/
Decision of Council I	Date /
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second secon

the contract of the second of the second

,

. .

JIMO.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6934</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James E. Reading, owner, and Walter F. Lutes, purchaser, to erect a single family residence with a 6 ft. setback on Lots 58 and 59, Block 2, Resubdivision of Blocks 1-12, Fairmount Addition, being on the North side of Auburn Drive, approximately 950 ft. East of Euclid St. Zone R-4, according to the sketch on file in the Planning Department Office. Jess A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3785

Secretary

Dated April 6 , 19 49

FORM 2145

P / q
Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-6-49</u> By <u>Allen Curing + Curton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-6-49</u> Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-6-49</u> Hearing date Decision Chernand Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-9-49</u> Building Inspector <u>4-11-49</u> Planning Commission 4-11-49 Petitioner 4-11-49 Health Department 4-11-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u>
Planning Commission 4-11-19 Petitioner 4-11-49 Health Department 4-11-19
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Jecision of Council
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the participant and the the

on file in ble Planning Department Office. 1000 and 10 the sketch

to fail the second s

In the rest of the second of the

,

A LITE THE ALL DESCRIPTION OF ATTRACT STRUCTURE STATES AND A

the second second to have been presented and the second a big the termination and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6947</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sim Bruce and Janet H. Richards to divide Lots 1 and 2 in Block 5, Golden Park into the following three parcels: (1) The Southerly 75 ft; (2) The Northerly 60 ft. of the South 135 ft; (3) All except the Southerly 135 ft; and build a single family residence on each, being located on the Northeasterly corner of Bangor and Harbor View Drive, Zone R-1, provided that the regular Set-back Ordinance is observed on Harbor View Drive, and a 15 ft. setback on Bangor St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer Secretary

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3786

Dated _____ April 6 , 19 49

FORM 2145

A R P
Application Received <u>3-18-49</u> By
City Planning Department
(1000)
Investigation made 4-6-49 By and By and City Planing Devetore
City Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-6-49 Hearing date
Decision and approved : Date 4-6-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-8-49</u> Building Inspector <u>4-11-49</u> Planning Commission 4-11-49 Petitioner 4-11-49 Health Department 4-11-49
Planning Commission 4-11-49 Petitioner 4-11-49 /Health Department 4-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date/
Decision of Council Date/
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the lot was the second at the second second second with the face of the second second second second second second

any mention and the terry building a second of the present the second of the second building the

The second second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6924</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. L. Bodwell to build a garage, 11 ft. by 24 ft., with 0 ft. sideyard and 3 ft. to the dwelling, on the North 72 ft. of the West 49 ft. of Lot 1, in Block 14, La Jolla Park, being on the Southeast corner of Ravina and Olivetas Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 6 _____, 1949

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer Sectors

Res. No. 3787

Application Received 3-71-49 By August
City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-6-49</u> By <u>Uller</u> <u>uning A teston</u>
City Flanning pepartment
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-6-49 Hearing date
Decision (lbbrang) Date 4-6-49
Decision (lepiana) Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-9-49</u> Building Inspector <u>4-11-49</u>
Planning Commission 9-11-49 Petitioner 9-11-49 Health Department 4-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council/Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date /
Resolution becomes effective/
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to / Date of action

. .

the true of a set of the next of the set of the

.....

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6970</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph W. Martinez to construct approximately 68 ft. of woven wire fence 4 ft. in height, within the front setback line, on Lot 6 (except the Easterly 4') of Block 24 in Lexington Park, being located at 2460 Sumac Drive, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 6 , 1949

FORM 2145

By______
Application Received <u>3- v/- 49</u> By faw tise
City Planning Department
1106
Investigation made By By Clean uning to custon
(/ City Flaming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-6-49 Hearing date
Decision (Changed) Date 4 / 6- 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-8-49</u> Building Inspector <u>4-11-49</u>
Diaming Commission 4-11-49 Petitioner 4-11-49 Health Department 4-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

,

and finder of conserve and the second

a construction of the Cons

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6971</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George and Julia Neumann to construct a residence and garage with a 3 ft. 6 inch setback from Presidio Drive for the garage, on Lot 11, Block B, Presidio Hills, street address being 2484 Presidio Drive, Zone R-1, the house to maintain an 18 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 6 , 1949

Zoning Engineer XXXXXXX Res. No. 3789

and the state of the	
Application Received 3-22-49 B	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-6-49</u> B	
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-6-49	City Planning Department
Decision (lppravol	Date (/ 4-6-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-8-44</u> Planning Commission 4-11-49 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>4-11-49</u> 4-11-49 Health Department 4-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action
Time mane checklore to	

and the second state of the second state

have a set of the second and the second second with the second se

a second and a constant of the second

,

n. DA

The second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6974</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission to Dr. C. E. Dewey to add approximately 450 sq. ft. of office building to an existing garage which has 0 ft. sideyard and 6 ft. rear yard, and to permit excessive coverage of approximately 40 sq. ft. on the West 62 ft. of Lots 25 and 26 of Block 162, University Heights, being located at 3130 Lincoln Ave, Zone R-4, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 6 , 19 49

ng Engineer Sesreversk Res. No. 3790

Application Received $3 - \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{9}$ By	
	City Planning Department
, / / /	
Investigation made 4-10-49 By	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 4-6-49	Hearing date
Decision Cleptround	Date 4-6-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-49	Building Inspector 4-1-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-8-49$ Planning Commission $4-11-49$ Petitioner	4-11-99 Health Department / 4-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council/	Date
Resolution becomes effective /	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The PARTY OF AL RESILTED. By the Party Construction of the party of the product of the party of the product of the

the second second of the second se

of Land and the second of the state of the s

and the second second

2.5

,

The for the second second

ton the star

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6811</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard M. and Mary G. Edinger to re-divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1286, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, being on the North side of Torrey Pines Road, approximately 100 ft. East of Roseland Drive in Zone R-1, into two parcels, and to construct a single family residence on each; one parcel to have 63.31 ft. frontage on Torrey Pines Road, the other to have 39.64 ft. frontage on a private easement 50 ft. in width; and provided that a 15 ft. setback is maintained on said private easement, or the average setback of the houses on said private easement.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 6 , 1949

FORM 2145

Loning Engineer Secretary Res. No. 3791



ASSESSOR'S MAP 33 AT.L.	Asse	Essor's /	YAP	334	1. L.	1 28
-------------------------	------	-----------	-----	-----	-------	------

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6972</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

". 1 ...

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. A. Young, owner, and C. H. Miller, lessee, to slaughter poultry on order for retail trade in connection with the poultry shop on Lots 1 and 2, Block 5, La Jolla Park, 723 Pearl St., Zone C, approximately 50 birds per day, all to be kept within the building, kept alive not over 24 hours, and the building to be subject to the approval of the Health Department.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1952.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 6 _____, 19_49

FORM 2145

Zoning Committee XXXXXX

Res. No. 3792

Application Received <u>3- v8-49</u> By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-6-49</u> By <u>Cllen</u> , <u>Curing+</u> <u>lerton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-6-49</u> Hearing date Decision my Cleptional Date 4-6-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-8-49</u> Building Inspector <u>4-11-49</u> Planning Commission 4.11-49 Petitioner <u>4-11-49</u> Health Department <u>4-11-49</u>
Decision and Date 4-6-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-8-49</u> Building Inspector <u>9-11-49</u>
Planning Commission 4. 11-49 Petitioner 4-11-49 Health Department 4-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and the same approved to the series of the

De CI - Constante l'alle de la contra serie de la tratación de la contractione de la constante de la constante store and the second to be a second of the second second second second second second second second second second

The second and and a second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6994</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>necessary</u> for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission to E. W. and Dorothy Norman to close in the service porch on the existing residence, with a 1 ft. sideyard, on a portion of Lot 39, Ex-Mission Lands of San Diego, according to legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at 440 North 47th St., Zone R-2, is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 6 , 1949

Zoning Engineer Mestatexix Res. No. 3793

Application Received $3 - \gamma 9 - 49$ By	City Planning Department
Investigation made Here By	aller Creing + Vienten City Planning Department
Considered by Zopfing Committee Hea	ring date
Decision A pured Date	4-6-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-49 Buil	ding Inspector 4-11-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-9-49$ Buil Planning Commission $4-11-49$ Petitioner $4-$	11-49 Health Department 4-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cour	ncil Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cour Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Cont	inued to
Time limit extended to Date	e of action

for the formation

1044 me 1103

The set of the function are and set below of Orth spise In.

1010101

and a straight of the state of the straight and the

interfaller in the second for the start for a second a second a second a second a second a second for a

and the second second second second reaction of the second s

Protection and the set of the set

and and the set of a sector of a sector of a sector of the sector of a sector

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6978</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Carrie J. Fruer, owner, and John G. St. Laurent, renter, to move the existing business of metalizing shoes and other small objects of sentimental value, from 3702 Adams Avenue to the Easterly 11.67' of Lot 9 and the Southerly 25 ft. of the Northerly 79.71 ft. of Lot 20 in University Heights, 4136 Park Boulevard, Zone C. Bik 141

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

30,11

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated	April 6	, 1949
-------	---------	--------

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3794

7/ // //
Application Received 3-vg-49 By 7. W Connell
City Planning Department
- COL CO. ()+
Investigation made 4-6-49 By alley Currenton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-6-49 Hearing date
Decision approval Date 4-6-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-8-49</u> Building Inspector <u>9-11-49</u> Planning Commission 9-11-49 Petitioner 9-11-49 Health Department 9-11-49
Planning Commission 4-11-19 Petitioner 4-11-49 Health Department 4-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council/Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date /
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

s y r to por lan set names of runases

a stand and the second second of the second s

1

mant or being and

These is a subscription of the second second in the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6964</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. George L. Kilgore, owner, and C. Melvin Shrum and R. E. Cassell, lessee, to alter an existing building on Lot F, Block 388, Horton's Addition, being on the Northeast corner of 4th and Thorn Streets, Zone R-4, into an office for the assembling, fitting and adjusting of glasses, and for the retail sales thereof, in conjunction with an eye doctor on the same property, with a sign approximately 2 sq. ft. in size, and with no grinding of lens.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3795

Secretary

Dated _______ , 1949

and the second se	P / T
Application Received $3 - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{9}}{2} = 49$ By	v A. derta
	City Planning Department
, /	C. C. R
Investigation made B	V alley Conieg the hestor
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-6-49	Hearing date
Decision approved	Date Y-6-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-49	Building Inspector <u>4-11-49</u>
Decision and appraum Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-8-49</u> Planning Commission <u>4-11-49</u> Petitioner	4-11-49 Health Department 4-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

and sign of photostal that the second and the second states manual provide the pulses of the state of the second and the second second second second second second second s

is the and the second interpretation of the second of the second of the second of the second of the

fer in a second of a province of an analysis and a province of the second second

the Construction of the first of of more the second such as the first the second s and the second second second to prove the second second second second second second second second second second

tor. I a prove the test of the second of the

A A SEPTERMENT OF SERVICE OF SERVICE

She I The I The Charles Later at 19 1 34 1 1 1 1 1

1

DAT

A CONTRACT OF AN AND A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT.

RESOLUTION NO. 3796 , extending Res. No. 3469

Letter dated March 28, 1949

WHEREAS, Application Not ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3469, be granted to D. G. Cowles, to construct a duplex, making the third and fourth living units on Lots 8, 9 and 10 in Block 133, University Heights, said units to be served by a 10 ft. access court to the street, at 4259 Maryland St., providing that one existing living unit on the property is removed before a building permit is issued on said duplex, and providing there is set aside suitable and sufficient space for off-street parking for four automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated ______ , 19_49

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 3796

Lotto.	
Application Received <u>3-31-49</u> B	v air
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-6-49</u> B	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-6-49	Hearing date
Decision onal approval	Date 4-6-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-8-49</u>	Building Inspector <u>4-11-19</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $-\frac{y-g-4}{9}$ Planning Commission $\frac{y-g-4}{9}$ Petitioner	4-11-49 Health Department 4-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council/Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date /
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a part of site for the state of the

and the country of an arrange and an analyzing the second second and the second second second second and

Support Lion has a start when the set of the start

,

1.1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6954</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Ross Investment Co. to split Lots 5 thru 8 in Block 3, La Jolla Beach, being on the Northeast corner of Marine and Vista Del Mar Ave, Zone R-1, into 2 parcels of 50 ft. by 115 ft. each, facing Vista Del Mar Avenue, and erect a single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3858, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Committee

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated April 6 , 1949

Res. No. 379

Application ReceivedB	y City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{4-6-49}{4}$ B	y <u>Chen, Curice +</u> City Planning Department
Conv of Resolution cent to City Clerk 4-8/49	Date 4-6-49 Building Inspector 4-11-49
Planning Commission 9-11-49 Petitioner	- Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action /

the second second in the second in the second of ages and the second sec

,

and the surface of the providence was being and the more as

the state of the state of the

the second of the second hard

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6959</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Ross Investment Co. to split Lots 5 thru 8 in Block 3, La Jolla Beach, being on the Northeast corner of Marine and Vista Del Mar Ave., Zone R-1, into 2 parcels of 50 ft. by 115 ft. each, facing Vista Del Mar Avenue, and establish a 20 ft. setback on Vista Del Mar, and 0 ft. setback on Marine Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 6 _____, 1949

FORM 2145

The second second second the

By______ Zoning Engineer Ascetara Res. No. 3798

Application Received $3 - \gamma s - 4 q$ By	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	allen Curinge Juston
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-6-49	Hearing date
	Data / / / /a
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>Y-9-49</u> Planning Commission <u>Y-11-49</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector <u>4-0-49</u>
Planning Commission 9-11-49 Petitioner	Goungil Hearing date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A CALLER DO REATE TO PRACE

and a second second second second second and a second second second second second second second second second s

to be a service of the service of the

.

and the option

. . .

VITTE OUT MICH. " CONSIGNED WELL "VISK CAN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6997</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Lloyd C. Harbin to construct a one-unit dwelling on Lots J and K in Block 104, Mission Beach, being at 809 Jamaica Court, Zone R-4, making 7 units on the parcel, with 5 ft. access to the street and 7-1/2ft. access to a paved alley.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning

Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 3799

Secretary

Dated April 6 , 1949

Application Received <u>3-29-49</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u> Investigation made <u>4-6-49</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-6-49</u> Hearing date Decision Approximate Date 4-6-49
Decision Apprount Date 4-6-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-8-19 Building Inspector 4-11-19
Planning Commission 4-11-49 Petitioner/4-11-49 Health Department 4-11-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council/Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the rest of the target of the

,

1/0

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6995</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David E. Woods, owner, and George H. Donnelly, purchaser, to construct a duplex with a 10 ft. setback from Electric Avenue and a 10 ft. setback from Dowling Drive, on Lot 77, La Jolla Gables, being on the North side of the intersection at Electric Avenue and Dowling Drive, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 6 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By Engineer

Mecretary Res. No. 3800

Application Received <u>3- 29-49</u> By AN Line City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>4-6-49</u> By <u>Allen ung t</u> <u>Certon</u> City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-6-49 Hearing date	
Decision Cleptround Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-8-49</u> Building Inspector <u>4-11-49</u>	
Planning Commission 4-11-49 Petitioner 4-11-49 Health Department 4-11-49	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date	
Resolution becomes effective	_
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action	

the bound of the second of the

1

and states and the states

Volume and the providence