R	F	S	0	T.	T	т	T	0	N	NO.	 40	1
EN	_ L _	0	U	1	U	1	1	U	1 N	1.0.		

Letter dated July 7, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of one year from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3321, which extended Resolution No. 2385 which, in turn, extended Resolution No. 414, be granted to G. A. Floore, to maintain an apartment with no sideyard and only 2 ft. between buildings on Lots 43 and 44, Block 58, University Heights, 4576-30th St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______, 1949

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer foreters Res. No. 4001

OK

and the second sec	
P	
Letter	
Application Received	_ By City Planning Department
. /	City Planning Department
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	D (((G &)))
Investigation made7-13-49	By City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning/Committee	19 Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Upproval	Date 7-13-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	-49 Building Inspector 7-13-49
Planning Commission 7-15-49 Petition	-49 Building Inspector 7-13-49 her 7-13-49 Health Department 7-13-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

11/1

Letter dated May 11, 1949, from Alfred Abrevaya

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2126, granting conditional permission to Blanche Booth to manufacture wooden novelties in connection with a sales room, all to be housed in the building on Lot 5, Block 43, Tract 1368, at 50192 El Cajon Blvd., is hereby revoked and is no longer of any force or effect.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Seletentex

Res. No. 4002

DK

Dated July 13	, 19 49
---------------	---------

FORM 2145

r	Nongrade entry the gr. ? Accessed toost 100 Years
Notter.	NI
Application Received5 - 11-49	By
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-4-49</u>	By By Auth
Inited by the second se	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	29-19 Hearing date <u>7-13-49</u>
Decision arcsure reusked	City Franning Department 29-99 Hearing date $9-13-49Date 9-13-4919-13-49Left for 9-13-49Left for 9-13-49ioner 7-15-49 Health Department 9-15-49Council Hearing, date Date$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2	14-49 Building Inspector 7-13-49
Planning Commission 7-15-49 Petit	ioner 7-15-49 Health Department 7-15-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Decolution becomes effective	
A solication withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE DESIGN AND TROUGHT AND THE DESIGN AND THE TRUE TO THE TANK

and contra the taken

WHEREAS, Application No. 7308 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Blanche Booth, owner, and Earl N. Barnes, lessee, to maintain and operate a small cabinet shop on Lot 5, Block 43, Tract 1368, at 5019-1/2 El Cajon Blvd., Zone C, on the following conditions:

- 1. Maximum of 10 horsepower;
- 2. Hours to be from 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M., excluding Sunday:
- 3. 2 employees besides lessee;
- 4. Inside walls and ceiling of the building to be plastered, if required to do so by the Fire Marshal;
- 5. Permit to be for a period of 3 years from the date of this Resolution;
- 6. All materials to be kept inside the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> By Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 4003

Secretary

OK

July 13 , 1949 Dated___

and the second sec	
Application Received	By South
Application Received	City Planning Department
	(in al
Investigation made7-13-49	By (Alexand Juston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date <u>7-13-49</u>
Decision Approval	Date 7-13-49
Case of Resolution sent to City Clerky	9 Building Inspector 7-14-49
Decision <u>(bornal</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-14-9</u> Planning Commission 7-13 - 49 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	7-15-49Health Department 7-15-49
Access filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Devicion of (01117C1)	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Time limit extended to	

tenter i service de la marca de la marca de la marca de la companya de la companya de la companya de la company La companya de la comp

the second second the second of the second of the second

1.4

. .

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7243</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. J. Renne to build a residence on a portion of the lot with alley frontage only, on the Southeasterly 75 ft. of Lots 7 and 8, Block 67, Ocean Beach, on Ocean Front Blvd. between Del Mar and Orchard Avenues, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_ July 13 ,

1949

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4004

1).012	
· ~ / /)	
Application Received By City Planding Department	and the second second
Application Received Dy City Planning-Department	
$\int d$	
Investigation made By By	
Investigation made Dy City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning/Committee 1-13-49 Hearing date	
Considered by Zonning Committee Thearing date	
Decision Oppeound Date 7-13-49	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-14-99</u> Building Inspector <u>7-15-99</u>	
Planning Commission 7-13.49 Petitioner 7-15-49 Health Department 7-13	-49
Anneal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Considered by Zoning Committee Itearing date Decision Clippeound Date Date J_13.49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Petitioner Health Department J_13.49 Planning Commission Petitioner Health Department J_13.49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date DateDATE	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

and the state of the second sole of the matter of the second sole of the second sole of the second sole of the

one is the set of set of the set

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7238</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the College Ave. Baptist Church of San Diego, Inc., to erect a parsonage house North of the extension of Arosa St., which will not have full street frontage, provided that an Agreement is signed to the effect that the land for the Easterly extension of Arosa St. is to be left open and unencumbered for street purposes, and that the normal setback will be maintained therefrom, with no buildings on it.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Being located on a portion of the West Agree 596 187 ft. of Lot 5, La Mesa Colony, East FA 7-13-49 of College Ave., on Arosa St.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

· ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	July	12	, 19_49
	State V		

Zoning Engineer Startiax Res. No. 4005

-49 By Application Received _ City Planning Department and By. Investigation made _ 1 - 29-49 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 7-13-49 Hearing date Decision Cond. approved Date 7-13-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-14-49</u> Planning Commission 7-15-49 Planning Commission 7-15-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action 1 6 ROSA T. the open that to the ere . 2 A THE ATTER A LINES E 5 6 6 6 6 p FORMS VE.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7222</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>**not**</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>necessary</u> for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Vivian and Charles Matthews to erect an addition approximately 7 ft. by 9 ft. on the side of an existing residence, with a 4 ft. side yard, the addition and a portion of the existing structure to be used for a commercial beauty shop, being on the East 1/2 of Let 2 and all of Lot 3, Block 133, Central Park, 3209 "L" St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of 13216, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

XSegnetary

Dated July 13 , 1949

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4006

06/1	-
Application Received By By City/Planning Department	
Investigation made By By City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date	
Considered by Equilip Decision Quilip Date $7-13-49$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7-14-49$ Building Inspector $7-15-49$ Planning Commission $7-15-49$ Petitioner $7-15-49$ Health Department $7-15-49$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date $7-19-49$ Council Hearing, date $10-2-49$ Date $10-2-49$	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-19-19 Building Inspector 7-13-49 Copy of Resolution 7-15-49 Health Department 7-15-49	
Planning Commission Clerk, date <u>1-19-19</u> Council Hearing, date <u>10-2-49</u>	
Prodution becomes effective	
A dication withdrawii	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

and the second of the second s

and particular a second and the particular and the second and and and

the sea of the there is a start of the

en en et suité leste

,

RESOLUTION NO.__

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

94618

That the appeal of Vivian Matthews, 3209 "L" Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee on the provisions imposed by Zoning Committee Resolution No. 4006, application No. 7222, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that permission is hereby granted to Vivian and Charles Matthews to erect an addition, 7-1/2' x 15' in size adjoining her residence, with a 4-foot side yard, for operating a beauty parlor, being on the East 1/2 of Lot 2 and all of Lot 3, Block 133, Central Park, 3209 L Street, Zone R-4, end that an unobtrusive wooden sign not to exceed 4 square feet in area be permitted on the lawn, to be placed not beyond the building line of the house.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No...... the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council AUG 2 1949

City Clerk.

Deputy.

94618

lee Res. No 4007 preceding 4066

Ву.....

WHEREAS, Application No. 7234 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Chester H. Denzin to construct one single family residence on a parcel of land with no street frontage, but served by an easement 4 ft. in width, to Suncrest Dr., being a portion of Villa Lot 73, University Heights (the legal description of which is on file in the Planning Department Office), at the rear of 2932 Suncrest Dr., with no other dwellings to be approved on this parcel until such time as it fronts on a dedicated street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Jee Nes. No. 94 618 6K

July 13 , 149 Zoning Engineer XXXXXXXX Res. No. 4007 Dated

	and the second	7/17/10 11
Application Received	<u>7-5-49</u> B	City Planning Department
Investigation made	<u>13-49</u> B	y <u>allen and Decerton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Considered by Zoning Considered by Zoning Considered Planning Commission 7- Appeal filed with City Cleptonic Council	ommittee $\underline{7 - 13 \cdot 49}$ o City Clerk $\underline{7 - 14 - 49}$ $2 \cdot 15 - 49$ Petitioner ork, date	Hearing date Date 7-13-49 Building Inspector 7+14-49 7-15-49 Health Department 7-15-49 Council Hearing, date Date Continued to Date of action
Hrs.		
UNIVERSITY	~	
man ar see in the		
	DUNCREST	Dr.
	Charles and the	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7185</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen G. and Charles J. Norris to construct a second residence on the West 50 ft. of Lot 7, Block 4, F. T. Scripps Addition, 520 Arenas St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer

Segnekary Res. No. 4008

OK

Application Received By By	1ª Connell
Application Received Dy City Planning Depart	rtment
11.19	
Investigation made T-13-49 By By	
Gity Planning Depart	rtment
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date	
Decision Cleptroual Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-14-49 Building Inspector 7-15 Planning Commission 7-15-49 Petitioner 7-15-49 Health Departm Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-14-49 Building Inspector 7-15	-49
Planning Commission 7-13-49 Petitioner 7-15-49 Health Departm	ient/ 7-15.49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

a mean has a set of 200 to be and a galante

,

1 nl

1 × 1 ×

an a the processing of the the state of the set

- DUPLICATE -

RESOLUTION NO. 4009

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7254</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>pol</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Annie Garcia to alter and make additions to an existing illegal duplex, altering same to a single family dwelling and removing one of the existing kitchens, having a 9 ft. rear yard, on Lot 7, Gardena Home Tract, 4924 Gardena Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, and Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ July 13 , 1949

By_

Zoning Engineer

KACKA XXXX

Res. No. 4009

1413 13 149	
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made7-13-49 By	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee He Decision Monified Expression A Da Copy of Resolution sent/to City Clerk Bu	earing date
Decision MODIFIED APPROVAL Da	te /-/J- 9/
Copy of Resolution sent/to City Clerk Bu	ilding Inspector
Planning Commission Petitioner	P Realth Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	ite
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ntinued to
Application withdrawn	ate of action
Time limit extended to Da	

Control Bend or .

MO

A variance to the provisions of Ardinance No. 6924, Section 64. and Ordinance No. 85. New Berlos, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofer as they relate to the property described above.

Permission is hereby granted to shnip Garcin to alter and moke additions to an existing illeral deplux, altering sume to sixle rually dwelling an removing one of the existing witches, having a 9 ft. rear ward, on Let 7. Gardens fone lract, 4002 Gardens ave.,

- TALTICVJE -

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7260</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. R. Santos to build a garage 21 ft. by 21 ft. with the setback to be not less than the average of the next three garages on the North on La Cresta Dr., being on Lot 35, La Cresta Terrace, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer Stating

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 4010

Dated_ July 13 , 1949

1)0/2	0.120
Application Received 7-6-49 By City Planning Department	
nvestigation made 7-13-49 By By By By By By City Planning Department	
City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-13-49</u> Hearing date Decision on set to City Clerk <u>7-14-49</u> Building Inspector <u>7-15-49</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-14-49</u> Building Inspector <u>7-15-49</u> Planning Commission <u>7-15-49</u> Petitioner <u>7-15-49</u> Health Department <u>7-15-49</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u>	
Decision our approval Date 7-13-49	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-14-49 Building Inspector 7-15-49	
Planning Commission 9-15-49 Petitioner 7-15-49 Health Department 7-15-49	1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Derision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

a serie man care a manage and a manager

and the set of the set

AT HID CHATCHES, HERRITICH CONART,

A TRUE LACT THE LA. PRESS AT THE A STATE AND ASTATE AND A STATE AN

and and the second section of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7268</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. O. and E. J. Nelson to divide the Southeast 25 ft. of the Northeast 100 ft. of Lot 3, Block 491, and the Northeast 100 ft. of the Northwest 1/2 of Arista St. closed, Old San Diego, Juan St., Zone R-1, into a building site for a single family residence, providing that a 5 ft. strip of land is dedicated to the City for the widening of Juan St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Res. No. 4011

DK

Dated July 13 , 1949

Application Received By City Planning Department
City Flamming Dypartment
Investigation made7-13 - 49 ByBy
Investigation made Dy City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-13-49</u> Hearing date Decision Cons. approval Copy of Resolution/sent to City Clerk <u>7-14-49</u> Building Inspector <u>7-13-49</u> Planning Commission 7-16-49 Petitioner <u>7-15-49</u> Health Department <u>7-15-49</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Date</u> <u>Date</u> <u>Date</u> <u>Date</u>
Decision and approximation Date 7-13-49
Copy of Resolution/sent to City Clerk 1-14- 49Building Inspector 9-15-49
Planning Commission 7-15-49 Petitioner 7-15-49 Health Department 7-15-49
Anneal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action
Time limit extended to Date of action

5 8 1 1 1 A 7 *

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7281</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Muriel McKitrick Bowlus, owner, and F. L. and Eileen Gegax, purchaser, to divide a portion of Let 20, La Mesa Colony, the legal description of which is on file in the Planning Department Office, being on Seminola Dr., South of El Cajon Elvd., Zone R-1, into a building site with 70 ft. street frontage, for a single family residence, on the following conditions:

- 1. That an easement 10 ft. in width across the front of said building site be provided for the widening of Seminole Dr.;
- 2. That the frontage of the lot between said building site and the private easement called Acorn St. is not less than 60 ft. in width.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 13 , 1949

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

XSECRET Res. No. 4012

Application Received ______7-7-49 By_ City Planning Department Investigation made _________ City Planning Department By_ 2000 Considered by Zoning Committee ______ Hearing date_____ Decision Construction appeound Date 7-13-49 Copy of Resolution/sent to City Clerk <u>7-14-49</u> Planning Commission 7-15-49 Petitioner 7-15-49 Health Department Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Date 7-13-49 7-15-49 Health Department 7-15-49 Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to The manager TOTAL DE UN TE TOTA D' TOTAL Water State of Carl State of State of State 100 act in the QT. . 11111 CORN PRIVATE 5 J ... STREET -----Street and the Property of × 1 and the second second and the second second second The second of the second s And the second of the second sec . 0 y with the second se 2 I have set a mobile second and an and he institute of the second se R A. March

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7272</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F.A. Kreutz to erect a brick fence to a maximum height of 3 ft., on a concrete retaining wall to a maximum height of 4 ft., on the South 13-1/2 ft. of Lot 9 and all of Lot 10, Block 143, University Heights, 4157 Georgia St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 13 , 1949

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

X Secretary Res. No. 4013

DK

	Γ
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-13-49</u> By	City Planning Department Jearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-13-49</u> H Decision Cuppronn	Date 7-13-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-19-99 E	Juilding Inspector <u>7-15-49</u> J-15-49 Health Department <u>7-15-49</u>
Decision <i>Uppround</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-14-49</u> H Planning Commission <u>7-15-49</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council I Resolution becomes effective I	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the state of the state of the sure of the sure as an and better the state of the state of the state of the state of the

main that say the said the most of the

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7287</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William W. Woolfolk to build a retaining wall to a maximum of 14 ft. above the sidewalk on Lot 9, Block G, Turnbull's Subdivision, 452 So. Francis St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Engineer

Zoning

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 4014

XSecretary

OK

Dated July 13 , 19.9

the second s) / 2
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Application Received By	. A Chuston
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	Man a Custon
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $7-13-49$ By Considered by Zoning Committee $7-13-49$ Hearin Decision 166 Beau Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7-14-49$ Buildin Planning Commission $7-15-49$ Petitioner $7-14$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Decision of Council Date	ig date
Decision (leperound Date	7-13-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-14-49 Building	ig Inspector $1 - 1s - 49$
Planning Commission 7-15-49 Petitioner 7-1.	- 49 Health Départment/ 7-15-49
Anneal filed with City Clerk, date Council	1 Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Application withdrawn Contin	ued to
Time limit extended to Date of	f action

The second second

and the second second the second second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7271</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Goldie Farris to convert two units into three units on the second floor of an existing building, with 0 ft. sideyard, and an access court of 4 ft. for the third unit, to the street, on Lots 29 and 30, Block 8, Reed and Hubbell's Subdivision, 2930 National Ave., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Xecondary

Dated July 13 . 149

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4015

OK

	7/1/1/0
Application Received7-7-49	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	By City Planning Department
	3 - 49 Hearing date Date $7 - 13 - 49$ $-14 - 49$ Building Inspector $7 - 15 - 49$ itioner $7 - 15 - 49$ Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

,

NOTIT

n/m

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7288</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank and Tammasa Manescalchi to divide a parcel of land in Lot L, La Mesa Colony (according to the surveyor's map and legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), being on the Southwest corner of 70th and Amherst Streets, into three lots facing 70th St., one to be 61 ft. in width, and two to be 50 ft. each in width, and permit two living units on each parcel, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Granting of an easement for the rounding off of the corner of 70th and Amherst Streets, at a radius of 40 ft.;
- Granting of an easement 10 ft. in width across the front of this property for the widening of 70th St.;
 A 15 ft. setback to be observed on Amherst St., and a 25 ft.
- 3. A 15 ft. setback to be observed on Amnerst St., and a 25 ft. setback from the present property line on 70th St., giving a net setback of 15 ft. after the granting of the easement for the widening of 70th St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3681, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

to the property described above. Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____July 13____, 1949____

Zoning Engineer Marster Res. No. 4016

1-1-1-1 By ston Application Received _ City Planning Department By Investigation made _ ston City Planning Department 7-13.49 Hearing date Considered by Zoning Committee, Decision Cond. approval Decision Cond. approval Copy of Resolution/sent to City Clerk <u>7-14-19</u> Building Inspector <u>7-15-49</u> Planning Commission 7-15-49 Petitioner 7-14-49 Health Department 7-15-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> Date 7-12-49 Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action 1-1 7º AMHERST 0 50 0 P 3 F 0 COLON

R	ES	OL	UTI	ON	NO.	4017
---	----	----	-----	----	-----	------

Letter dated July 7, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3650, be granted to Scripps Memorial Hospital to erect an addition to the existing hospital on Prospect St., between La Jolla Blvd. and Cuvier St. on the Easterly 15 ft. of Lot 33 and all of Lots 34 to 42, inclusive, Block 17, La Jolla Park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA OK

Dated_ July 13 , 1949

By Zoning Engineer Secretary Res. No. 4017

Letter Application ReceivedB	y City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\underline{7-13.49}$ Decision Cepprone Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{7-15.49}$ Planning Commission $7-15.49$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	City Planning Department Hearing date Date 7-13-49 Building Inspector 7-15-49 7-15-49 Health Department 7-15-49 Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the too the state of the last one and appropriate of the termination of the termination of the termination of

and here the second of a me second pulling and "I good with the second of a

and a second second and a second s

J

WHEREAS, Application No. 7146 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Anna Jedlick to operate a used car lot on Lots 47 and 48, Block 87, E. W. Morse's Addition, on the Northwest corner of 30th and Broadway, Zone R-C, provided that the property is improved and maintained in a condition acceptable to the Zoning Committee.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1949.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

2 1950-ok

Dated July 13 , 199

Zoning Engineer Astatia Re

Res. No. 4018
1.8	D 9/9
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>$6-29-49$</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-13-49</u> Decision Cond. approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-15-49</u> Planning Commission <u>7-15-49</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Hearing date Date $7-13-49$ Building Inspector $7-15-49$ 7-15-49 Health Department $7-15-49Council Hearing, dateDate$
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the loss of the second of the same to she block the gale and the second second second second second second second

Deriver and some the second was a for there a soul in the second state of the second state of the second state

the state of the fair accord on a state of the carrie to an harden

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7293</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hal V. Lee and Evan V. Jones to alter a former hospital and hotel into 45 to 50 apartments with 0 ft. sideyard, 2 ft. rear yard and 75-1/2% coverage, on Lots E and F. Elock 72, Horton's Addition, 701 Seventh Ave., Zone M-1, provided that the Westerly 50 ft. of Lots G and H be maintained as a parking lot for the exclusive use of the tenants of the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer XSERECRATX

Res. No. 4019

A DAR MAN
Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made
Considered by Zoning CommitteeHearing date Decision (ond) - approval Clark 7 13 - 49 Date 7-13-49
Considered by Zohning Committee Date 7-13-49 Decision Comp-akproved Date 7-13-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-15-49</u> Planning Commission 7-15-49 Petitioner 7-15-49 Planning Commission 7-15-49 Petitioner 7-15-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continue 116
Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action

TRAFT F. C. SURD LAT IN

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7253</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George B. and Dorothy Lou Willoughby to convert an existing building to an apartment unit with a 1 ft. side yard, on Lots 3 and 4, and the North 30 ft. of Lots 23 and 24, The Park, 1015 Coast Blvd., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- That all walls closer than 4 ft. to the lot lines be made onehour fire-resistant;
- 2. That there be no openings in any walls closer than 4 ft. to the lot lines:
- 3. That surfaced off-street parking space be provided for each living unit up to 3, and surfaced off-street parking space be provided for 3/4 of the living units above that number.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 4020

By Zoning Engineer Merry OK

Dated July 13 , 19 49

Application Received By	-/ C/ Council
· - pp	City Planning Department
Investigation made By By	allen ny Durton
1	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee A/-13-49 Ho	earing date
Considered by Zoning Committee A7-13-49 Ho Decision Condl. approval Da	te 7-18-49
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk -1-15-49 Bu	ilding Inspector 7-15-49
Planning Commission 7-15-49 Petitioner 7-	15-49 Health Department 7-15-49
Decision Condl. approval Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-15-49</u> Bu Planning Commission 7-15-49 Petitioner 7- Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Co</u>	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	te
Resolution becomes effective	
Resolution becomes effective	ntinued to
	ite of action
Time limit extended to Da	

A REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PR

N 8 .

,

Not a sign a solution

The state of the second second second second second

the purpose of the short of a sub- state of the sub-

 $c \mid D$

al second and a second and

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7303</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. F. and Martha B. Whitaker to erect a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1256, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, being on the Southeast side of El Camino Del Teatro, lying Easterly of Lot 1, Block 15, The Muirlands, Zone R-1, provided that the owners grant and the City accepts a 25 ft. strip of land along the Southerly boundary of the property, for the extension of La Cumbre Dr.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Assistant

Dated_____July 20 , 1949

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretaby Res. No. 4021 Planning Director

Application Received7-18-49	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	_ By
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Courd approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission 7- 2- 49 Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	<u>-49</u> Hearing date Date 7- 20-49 <u>49</u> <u>7-22-49</u> her 7-22-49 Health Department 7-22-49 Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council	Datt
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. The second second

Alter And The sea

,

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7301</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. S. and Lucy J. McKeever to make additions to and convert a single family residence into a duplex with a 2 ft. 4 in. sideyard, on Lots 39 and 40, Block 21, Teralta, at 4260 Fairmount St., Zone C, provided that the bay on the bedroom, on the Northeast corner of the existing residence is removed, in order to provide a 4 ft. clearance between said residence and the store buildings on the front of the property. Also provided that said additions and alterations are in compliance with all Building Department requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated_ July 20 , 1949

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Assistant Planning Director

Res. No. 4022

the second se
$n \rightarrow n$
Application Received By By Cit Planning Department
Investigation made By Hacking, Jouch + Curing
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-20-49</u> Hearing date Decision one appraval Date <u>7-10-49</u>
Decision Long. appraval Date 7-20-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-2-49 Building Inspector 7-2-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _7-2-49Building Inspector7-2-49 Planning Commission 7-2-49 Petitioner 7-2-49Health Department 7-2-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and the second state of th

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7146</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Res. No. 4018, dated July 13, 1949, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Anna Jedlick to operate a used car lot on Lots 47 and 48, Block 87, E. W. Morse's Addition, on the Northwest corner of 30th and Breadway, Zone R-C, provided that the property is improved and maintained in a condition acceptable to the Zoning Committee.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1950.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

No.

FORM 2145

Assistant Planning Director

By Affaring.

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $-7-13-49$ By	
	Hearing date Date $7 - 13 - 49$ Building Inspector $8 - 1 - 49$ 8 - 1 - 49 Health Department $9 - 1 - 49Council Hearing, dateDate$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

· · ·

,

- 24 - 3

2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7257</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. C. Lloyd, owner, and L. V. Haggerty, lessee, to use an existing building on Lots 38 and 39, Block 7, Pacific Beach Vista Tract, at 871 Turquoise St., Zone C, for a Dog and Cat Clinic, on the following conditions:

- That the areas in which the animals are quartered, be 1. sound-proofed;
- Animals to be kept inside the building: 2.
- No boarding nor over-night lodging of animals: 3.
- No night operation of the Clinic; ly .
- This variance to be revokable upon any violation of 5.
- these restrictions, or upon the creation of a nuisance in the opinion of the Zoning Committee.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be. and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Asst. Planning Director

	ZON	ING COMM	AITTEE
C	ITY OF SA	N DIEGO,	CALIFORNIA
/	/ //	del	2
By K	arry le	Hall	leg
	1	Secretary	1

Res. No. 4024

, 1949 Dated___ July 27

Application Received By City Planning Department	in
Investigation made How How By Carleig, Cleux Lancas	etu
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date 7->7-49	-
Decision oud , approval Decision oud , approval Copy of Resolution/sent to City Clerk $7-\gamma g-49$ Building Inspector $g-1-49$ Planning Commission 8-1-49 Planning Commission 8-1-49 Petitioner 8-1-49 Health Department 8-1-49	-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date	-
Resolution becomes effective Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

the 2 store welling on the state of the state of the second state of the second of the back of the

and a first to be start they been all the all the start and the burget and the start and the start and the start and

2 10 10 10

the set of provide a set of

all and in the

a o ta stan your the second

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Victor F. Richards to divide the following parcel: (1) Lot 1 (2) The Southwesterly 60 ft. of Lot 2 (3) The Southwesterly 60 ft. of the Northwesterly 55 ft. of Lot 3 (4) The Northwesterly 55 ft. of Lot 4, Block 529, Old San Diego, at Pine and Trias Streets, Zone R-1, into four building sites, and permit one single family residence on each, according to the map on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA Secretary

Dated_ July 27 , 199

FORM 2145

Asst. Planning Director

Res. No. 4025

Application Received B	y Jouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made7 - $-7 - 49$ B	y Clleur aucaster of AELS, City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7 1-4	
Decision (bh	Date $7 - \gamma 7 - 49$
Decision Coperation Sent to City Clerk 7 4-4	9 Building Inspector 8-1-49
Planning Commission 8-1-49 Petitioner	1-1-49 Health Department 1-1-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

G

PINE

an out fills in the flamming belandare (fille). A DEC & DITTO MARTIN PARTICICO OU OCTO the series that a series of the series the the

acception of the of the second start 'al do Catanadoriados outrial T dos (r) Trantad to Gener T. Richards bb divido

A CARGAN OF A TANGO OF T

99

Da

A

mark muscoursely

4. That the street of the war burn will not affect the Muster Plan of the Kity

and the application is _____ necessary for the preservation pieces supprised and

STATE A THE PART OF

I RESOLVED. By the Zoning Cormit

A MALTONIA

1.0 . 01

1

the public -

straind, which do not apply generally to other property in the same the initial circumitances or conditions applicable to the property

terms in the property as improvements in the maighborhood

a the antyphorhood, and will not be paterially detrimental to

sound property rights of the petationer, persenand by other property

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7315</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Victor F. Richards, owner, and Leo B. Calland, purchaser, to construct a residence with a 5 ft. rear yard on the Northwest 65 ft. of the Northeast 105 ft. of the Southwest 210 ft. of Block 529, Old San Diego, being a portion of Lots 1 and 2, Pine and Trias Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The Art Cartains

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ July 27 , 1949

FORM 2145

Asst. Plaming Director

Res. No. 4026

Amended

WHEREAS, / Application No. 7250 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Loma Lands, Inc., to divide the Northeasterly 177.99 ft. of the Northwesterly 150 ft. of Lot 18, Loma Heights, being on the Northerly corner of Newport Ave. and Venice St., Zone R-1, into four building sites and construct a single family residence on each, as follows:

- 1. 65.97 ft. by 100 ft. in depth, facing Venice St. and observing a 10 ft. setback on Newport Ave. and a 15 ft. setback on Venice
- 2. 50 ft. by 177.97 ft. in depth, facing Newport Ave., and observing a 10 ft. setback therefrom;
- 3. 56 ft. by 100 ft. in depth, facing Venice St., observing a 15 ft. setback on Venice St.;
- 4. 56 ft. by 100 ft. in depth, facing Venice St., observing a 15 ft. setback on Venice St.

Provided that curbs be installed on Venice St., with a curb return (over) at Newport Ave.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

July 27 , 1949 Dated___

farry le ta lice By N XRACKOLATX Asst. Planning Director

(Res. No. 4027

Application Received	7-1-49	By	-1. W.1	Connel	1
	7 12 112		City Planning	Department	
Investigation made	7-13-49 7-7-1-49 7-13	By	City Planning	Department ARE	cs
Considered by Zoning	Committee 7- 7	7-49 He	aring date	The second s	
Decision (Copecor	ral ' 1	' Dat	e 7- 27-49	Market Market States and States	
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk	28-19 Bui	Iding Inspector 8-1-	- 49	
Planning Commission 8	'-/-49 Petiti			artment 8-1-49	
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	Coi	incil Hearing, date		
Decision of Council		Dat	.e		
Resolution becomes effe	ctive				
Application withdrawn		A CONTRACTOR OF A CONT	ntinued to		3.1
Time limit extended to		Dat	te of action		

Interview which we share a new standard comments of the standards

the second second state of the second s

A

DA/C

as they relate to the property described above. and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

Ju Res. No. 94828 Jallowing

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Franklin Glasford to build a 24 ft. by 18 ft. addition to an existing 18 ft. by 20 ft. garage, being 18 in. from the side lot line, and 46 ft. from the front property line, on Lot 146, Kensington Heights No. 2, 5014 Mastings Road, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA le. Hae Secretary

Res. No. 4028

Dated_July 27 , 199

FORM 2145

Asst. Planning Director

Application ReceivedBy	
Investigation made 7-13-49 7-13-49 By annu By Collen, City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-7-49</u> Hearing date <u>City Planning Department</u>	
Decision Quial cont to City Clerk 7-28-49 Building Inspector 1-1-49	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk, $\frac{1}{2}$ Petitioner $\frac{1}{2}$ Dunning Inspector $\frac{1}{2}$ Health Department $\frac{1}{2}$ Petitioner $\frac{1}{2}$ Health Department $\frac{1}{2}$ Petitioner $\frac{1}{2}$ Council Hearing, date $\frac{1}{2}$	
Decision of Council <u>Append purctanced</u> Date <u>b. 73-49</u> Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action	

and an official of the set of the

where the state where the product of the second products are buy the product of the state of the product of the

The second second company of the

and sale " all it and the

,

RESOLUTION NO.____94828

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Franklin Glasford, 5014 Hastings Road, from the Zoning Committee decision in denying his application for permission to build a 24 ft. by 18 ft. addition to an existing 18 ft. by 20 ft. garage, being 18 inches from the side lot line, and 46 ft. from the front property line, on Lot 146, Kensington Heights No. 2, 5014 Hastings Road, Zone R-1, Zoning Committee Resolution No. 4028 application No. 7256, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 9482 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council He TRID

Bv.....

City Clerk.

Deputy.

Je Res. No. Yor8 preceding

Amended

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7269</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred and Florence Sand, owners, and David H. R. Pain, lessee, to operate the following offices in the existing building on Lots 25 through 31, Block 60, Ocean Beach, 1934 Cable Street, Zone R-4, provided that all business licenses and signs be subject to the approval of the Planning Department:

> Law Accounting Insurance Architecture Optometrist

Art Interior Decorator Detective Drafting

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE				
	CITY OF SAN DLEGO, CALIFORNIA			
	By Sarry le Haeling			
Asst.	Planning Director Res. No. 4	+02		

Dated July 27 , 1949

Application Received $7-7-7-9$ By $-C$ 7-13-49 By $C7-13-49$ By $CInvestigation made 7-27-9 By C7-13-49$ By $C7-13-49$ By $C1000000000000000000000000000000000000$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to

The second second second second second the second the second second second second second second second second s

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 7265 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):
1. That the structure of the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and (Ornity.
The of the other of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary to the unnecessary to the use intended.

The strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary over distantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the period.

- the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Miss Ruth Ragan to erect a 4 ft. by 10 ft. 6 in. addition, raise the parapets and repair the roof of an existing residence which has no sideyard and no rear yard, on Lots 12 and 13 (except the Southerly 66 ft.), Block 5, La Jolla Strand, 309 Playa del Sur, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, in-sofar as they relate to the property described above.

THIS IS NOT A BUILDING PERMIT

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

	ZONING COMMITTEE	
	CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	
, 19 49	By Marry le Haeling	
	Asst. Planning Director Res. No. 40	30

FORM 2145

Dated_

July 27

Application ReceivedByCity Planning Department
Investigation madeBy By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $7 - 27 - 49$ Hearing date Decision Conference Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7 - 28 - 49$ Building Inspector $8 - 1 - 49$ Planning Commission $8 - 1 - 49$ Petitioner $8 - 1 - 49$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision (ckpional Date / /-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-21-49 Building Inspector 1-1-49
Planning Commission 8-1-99 Petitioner 8-1-97 Health Department 8-1-99
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

,

CHI SOUTH COMMINS

1.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7270</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Miss Ruth Ragan to erect a 4 ft. by 10 ft. 6 in. addition, raise the parapets and repair the roof of an existing residence with a 4 ft. 6 in. setback, on Lots 12 and 13 (except the Southerly 66 ft.), Block 5, La Jolla Strand, 309 Playa del Sur, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Asst. Planning Director

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA arry

Res. No. 4031

Dated_July 27 , 1949

ing the second se) / 1 / 0
a state of the second stat	1 / 1 / //
Application Received By	City Planzing Department
, , ,	, - /· // /
Investigation made By	(Illew, Laucaster Hailing City Planning Department) Hailing
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-27-49	Hearing date
LIACICION (AP/6 / A d	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7-28-49$ Planning Commission $8-1-49$ Detitioner	Building Inspector 8-1-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

the set of the set of

and the comparison of the second second in the second second second second second second second second second s

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7290</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Chesley Jones, to build 125 ft. of masonry wall and redwood fence, to be 6 ft. to 8 ft. 8 in. above the grade level, at the rear of Lot 14, Block G, Riviera Villa, 1022 Santa Barbara St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

	2 OIN TI	NG COMM	ALLLE.	L	
CITY	OF SAN	DIEGO,	CAL	IFOR	NIA
By char			lais		
Asst. Plaming	A Stan Inch	oretary	RAA	No	1032

Dated July 27 , 199

Application Received By By City Planning Department
City Flanning Department
investigation made 7- ~ 7- 49 By Colley, Lauranter of Hackey
City Flanning Department
Considered by Zoning Conmittee <u>7 × 7 49</u> Hearing date
Decision (b to invest ' / Date /- 7 /- 9/
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7-8-49$ Building Inspector $1-1-49$ Planning Commission $8-1-49$ Petitioner $8-1-99$ Health Department $8-1-49$
Planning Commission 8-1-49 Petitioner 8-1-99 Health Department 8-1-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the second second to the second s

and a set of the set of

.

The manual second se

0

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7282</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jesse E. and Rose K. Baker to erect a laundry room addition approximately 8 ft. by 10 ft. in size, to the rear of an existing stucco garage which is 18 ft. from the front property line, and which has no side yard, the addition to have no side yard, on the Southerly 15 ft. of Lot 15, Block 1, Cullen's Arlington Heights, and the Northerly one-half of Fir Street Closed, adjacent on the South, being at 1904 Edgemont Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA By charry le. Haelveg

4033

Asst. Planning Director

Dated July 27 , 199

and the second	(10° / 1
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $7 - \gamma 7 - 49$ By	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-27-49 Decision Approval	Date $1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $-\frac{1-2}{2}$ Planning Commission $\delta - 1 - 49$ Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>8.1-49</u> 8-1-49 Health Department 8-1-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7279</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby given to Mrs. H. L. Ward to erect a lath house between residence and garage, making an over-all length of this accessory building 43 ft., with a 3 ft. sideyard, on Lots 23 and 24, Block 16, Fifth St. Addition, 4146 First Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

	CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORN	AIA
, 149	Ba Larry le Haeling	
	Assistant Planing Director Bes No.	.021

Dated July 27 , 11

VIOTALION NO. TOTA

FREKEAS, Approved the City of Saudinance Sau

That there a involved, e. aone and v

- 2. Thet attic hardship, a and enjoya penora in
- Thet the process persons record the public
- of San Disgo.

AL COLUMN A

not such r

Perio N-1.

the and the evidence presented by the Zoning Committee

special circumstances or conditions applieble to the property property of which do not apply generally to other property in the same

work unnecessary work unnecessary of the petitionar, peasessed by other preparty of the petitionar, peasessed by other preparty of the petitionar.

some will wind adversely affect the Master Plan of the City

71:11/0

While the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

and, PLITCH DU. Addie Long, ALAND WARDS AND ...

and the state of the state of the state

and the heat of a set of a set of the set

- The former of Criticises for Board, Consider the

Application Received7-12	49 By City Planning Department
Investigation made	
Considered by Zoning Committee _ Decision Coproval	$7 - \gamma f - \gamma f$ Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler Planning Commission 8-1-49	rk $7 - 49$ Petitioner $8 - 7 - 49$ Health Department $8 - 7 - 49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6874</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mercy Hospital, a corporation, to build a chapel and use the ground floor for additional laundry and linen facilities, on Lot 2, Fleischer's Addition, 6th and Hillcrest Drive, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 190, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

EITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA By Mary le Aceluig Secretary

Res. No. 4035

Asst. Planming/Director

Dated July 27 , 1949

1 . . .

THE REPORT OF A PARTY OF A PARTY

,

Sel.
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7259</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. F. Schneider to erect a fence paneled with glass, which will be 2 ft. to 4 ft. high, on the existing retaining wall, which is from 6 ft. to 8 ft. high, on Lot 3, Block 1, Hermosa Terrace, 6424 Camino de la Costa, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY/OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated July 27 , 1949

Asst. Planning Director

Herry

Res. No. 4036

FORM 2145

Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made T- y 7- y 9 By By City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7- 27-49 Hearing date
Decision (portuge) Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7 - 28 - 49$ Building Inspector $8 - 1 - 49$ Planning Commission $8 - 1 - 49$ Petitioner $8 - 1 - 49$ Health Department $8 - 1 - 49$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-28-49Building Inspector 8-1-49
Planning Commission 8-1-49 Petitioner 8-1-49 Health Department 8-1-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date/
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7286</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Corrie Hansbrough to construct a 3-car garage with no setback, on a portion of the West onehalf of Lot 2, Lemon Villa, Marcellena Road, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA arru

Dated______, 19_49

FORM 2145

Asst. Planning Director

(Res. No. 4037

7-13-By Application Received _____ City Planning Department leer, X By Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 7-Hearing date Date 7 - 27 Decision Clefe coura Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7 - 2 - 49 Building Inspector 1 - 1 - 49Planning Commission 1 - 1 - 49 Petitioner 8 - 1 - 49 Health Department -49 Petitioner 8-1-49 Health Depart Council Hearing, date Health Department 8-1-4 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to. Date of action Time limit extended to MARCELLENA TRACT 0 2 3 U 0 4 U 2 Q 0.00 BJON 5834 The second real particular of the CMON TELA

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7297</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the North Shore Lumber Co., W. L. Loizeaux, president, to construct a seven-foot fence around Lot 2, Block 6, Homeland Villas, 2946 Balboa Ave., Zones C and M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA Jany Secretary Asst. Planning Director Res. No. 4038

Dated______, 129____, 129____

FORM 2145

Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made By By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7 - 27-19</u> Hearing date Date 7 - 27-19
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{7-2\ell}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{\ell}{2}$ Health Department $\frac{\ell}{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Date
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and which will be a the formation of the horders for an interesting of the second state of the second states

requires and a construction of the second rest of the second rest of the second rest of the second rest of the

a States Constants

- -----

. . .

1

SAN STAN

1 · · · · · · · · · · ·

101 1.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7294</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

See Res. No. 94796 following

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby denied to Fred E. Winship to continue the operation of a real estate tract office on Lot 8, Block 6, Hermosa Terrace, 6374 La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-1.

> Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

			ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGØ, CALIFORNIA
27 .	19 49		By Marry le. Hacking
		Asst.	Planning Director Res. No. 4039

FORM 2145

Dated___

July

Application Received7-18-49 By	ait
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $7 - \sqrt{7 - 49}$ By (Allen, Lancaster Jack
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning CommitteeY He	aring date
Decision A here is I	P 7-2744
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-28-49 But	Iding Inspector <u>1-1-49</u>
Planning Commission 8-1-91 Petitioner' 8	-1-49 Health Department 9-1-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date49 Con	incil Hearing date 1-16-49
Desision of Council about oustanced Day	e 8-16-49
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Cor	itinued to
Time limit extended to Dat	te of action

1

The Part of the second

wines a the second side you (at any the fit

.

RESOLUTION NO. 94796

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Fred E. Winship from the decision of the Zoning Committee denying to him the right to continue the operation of a real estate tract office on Lot 8, Block 6, Hermosa Terrace, 6374 La Jolla Boulevard be sustained, and the decision of the Zoning Committee is hereby overruled, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that permission be and it is hereby granted to Fred E. Winship to continue the operation of a real estate tract office on Lot 8, Block 6, Hermosa Terrace, 6374 La Jolla Boulevard, Zone R-1, for a period of eighteen (18) months from August 16, 1949 upon the condition that he sign an agreement to remove such real estate office at the termination of said period.

I Freehy Clertify the above to be a full, true and correct copy of Resolution 4796 of the Council of the City of San Diego, California, as adopted by said AUG 16 1949

Council

FRED W. STOR By.

City Clerk

Deputy

40 39 pucedin

Jui

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. L. Jackson to construct a solid board fence (painted) on a concrete foundation, with a total height of 8 ft., on Lots 39 and 40, Block 103, Central Park Addition 3034-36 Imperial Ave., Zone C, subject to the requirements of the Building Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the is the day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Asst. Planning Director

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

(Res. No. 4040

Dated July 27 , 199

Application Received By City Planning Department
City Flanning Department
Investigation made By (Illen, Naucaster & Jack
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7 19 Hearing date
Decision () Date 7-27 /9
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1- 78-49 Building Inspector 1-1-49
Planning Commission 1-1-49 Petitioner 1-1-49 Health Department 8-1-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action
Date of action

,

Letter dated July 19, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. 2010 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4019, dated July 13, 1949, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hal V. Lee and Evan V. Jones to alter a former hospital and hotel into 45 to 50 apartments with 0 ft. sideyard, 2 ft. rear yard and 75-1/2% coverage, on Lots E and F, Block 72, Horton's Addition, 701 Seventh Ave., Zone M-1, provided that the Westerly 50 ft. of Lots G and H be maintained as a parking lot primarily for the use of the tenants of the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____July 27 , 1949

By____

XXXXXXXXXX

Asst. Planning Director

Res. No. 4041

FORM 2145

Letter	
Lettee Application Received <u>1-19-19</u> By <u>ai</u> City Planning Department	
Investigation made By	
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 7 - x 7 - 49 Hearing date	
Decision Change Date 7- 77-49	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7- 2-19 Building Inspector 1-1-19	
Considered by Zoning Committee $7 - \sqrt{7 - 49}$ Hearing date Decision $6 + 2 - \sqrt{9}$ Date $7 - \sqrt{9 - 49}$ Date $7 - \sqrt{9} + \sqrt{9}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7 - \sqrt{9 - 49}$ Building Inspector $1 - \sqrt{9}$ Planning Commission $8 - 1 - \sqrt{9}$ Petitioner $8 - 1 - \sqrt{9}$ Health Department $8 - 1 - \sqrt{9}$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	0.00
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Permission is hereby arounded to Hal V. Les and Evan V. Joues to alter a former hospital and hotel into 45 to 50 apartments with 0 ft. mileyard, 2 ft. rugryard and 75-1/2% coverage, on Lots F and F. Block 72. Horton's Addition, 701 Seventh Ava.' Zone N-1. provided that the westerly 50 ft. of lots 6 and 1 be maintained as a parking lot primarily for the use of the tenants of the building

That Resolution No. 4019, Janed July 19, 1949, be emanded to rema

DIRECTORY OF THE CLEAR THE DISCOUNT OF THE CONSECTION OF THE PARTY OF THE LOUD OF THE

the second state was seen and not not as a second and a second the second the second the second the

and all the second of the seco

Lector dered July 10, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7285</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert Bernardini and George Browning, owners, and J. C. Scott, lessee, to construct a mesh wire fence to a maximum of 20 ft. in height (except for a distance of 150 ft., which will require a 40 ft. height) according to the plan submitted, on a portion of Lot 17, La Mesa Colony, the legal description of which is on file in the Planning Department Office, and which is located at approximately 6301 El Cajon Blvd., Zone R-1.

Said fence to observe setbacks on Seminole Drive and El Cajon Boulevard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

		ZONING CO			
	CITX	OF SAN DIEC	GO, CAL	IFORNIA	
	51	. 11	0.		
B	Jair	y le Ha	eng	2	
	/	Secretar	y A		
Asst.	Planning	Director	Nde.	No LOI	1.0

Dated July 27 , 1949

and the second sec		-01
Application Received7- >	-1-49 By	- Louth
rproduced received	City Plan	ning Department
Investigation made $-7-\nu 7$	-49 By Cler, City Plan	ning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision S. approve Copy of Resolution sent to City Cl Planning Commission 8-1-44	$\frac{7 - \sqrt{7 - \sqrt{7 + 7}}}{\frac{7 - \sqrt{7 + 7}}{\frac{7 - \sqrt{7 + \sqrt{7 + 7}}{\frac{7 - \sqrt{7 + 7}}{\frac{7 - \sqrt{7 + 7}}{\frac{7 - \sqrt{7 + 7}}{\frac$	$\frac{8-1-49}{2}$ Department $8-1-49$
	3	
14	de .	
10	er .	
R.		///
and four intermediate	(St.	
ANTAL CALL POINT AN ALT	J	the Chry of Ser Diego
i are go more at the		
	in	
0		
Z	the requirement of the second se	and the second s
	enter anne av de rige statementer	
	former, and the love dered are complete	
	almitted services and the	

r

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7284</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert Bernardini and George Browning, owners, and J. G. Scott, lessee, to operate a golf driving range and a pitch and putt course (9 hole), and erect a snack bar, office and storage room, according to the plans on file in the Planning Department Office, on a portion of Lot 17, La Mesa Colony, the legal description of which is on file in the Planning Department Office, and which is located approximately at 6301 El Cajon Blvd., in the C and R-1 Zones (the C Zone to be used for the parking of cars) on the following conditions:

- 1. Subject to the granting of rights-of-way of 20 ft. for the widening of El Cajon Blvd., and 10 ft. for the widening of Seminole Dr.
- 2. Subject to the approval of the lighting system, by the Planning Department, so that it will not adversely affect the neighborhood;
- 3. The pitch and putt course to be operated only during the day-time hours;

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA les

Dated_ July 27 , 1949

FORM 2145

Asst. Planning Director

Res. No. 4043

(over)

Investigation made $-\frac{7-\sqrt{7-49}}{4}$ By	aucanter + Mailing City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-1-49H</u> Decision on a personal D. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-29-49B</u> Planning Commission <u>-1-49</u> Petitioner	earing date
in ing commission / / / I cultoner	1-49 Health Department 8-1-49 Jouncil Hearing, date
Ditt	ate
Application withdrawnC	ontinued toate of action

D

0

Application Received

Sile

60

City Planning Department

4. This permit to expire on June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is relate to the property described above.

and the state of the state of the

Letter dated July 25, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 2686, dated November 19, 1947, be amended to permit Thomas C. and Elda D. Downey to re-divide Lots 1 and 2, Block 4, Wildwood Addition, into the following two parcels:

1. 67 ft. by 100 ft. on the corner of Warrington Street and Wildwood Road; 2. 50 ft. by 100 ft. facing Warrington Street;

and build a single family residence on each, providing that a minimum setback of 15 ft. be observed on Warrington Street, and the regular City Setback Ordinance be observed on Wildwood Road; also provided that all plans be approved by the Zoning Committee.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

		ZONING COMMITTEE	
		CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	
1.9		By Aarry le Hackieg.	
		Secretary	
	Asst.	Planning Director Res. No. 404	ele

, 19 July Dated___

FORM 2145

Application Received By law City Planning Department	
Investigation made By City Planning Department	-
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2/Hearing date	Firenti Colorado
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{1-\sqrt{1-\sqrt{9}}}{1-\sqrt{9}}$ Hearing date Decision Com 8. approval Date $\frac{1-\sqrt{1-\sqrt{9}}}{1-\sqrt{9}}$ Building Inspector $\frac{8-1-\sqrt{9}}{1-\sqrt{9}}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{1-\sqrt{9-\sqrt{9}}}{2-\sqrt{9}}$ Building Inspector $\frac{8-1-\sqrt{9}}{9}$ Planning Commission $\frac{8-1-\sqrt{9}}{1-\sqrt{9}}$ Petitioner $\frac{8-1-\sqrt{9}}{9}$ Health Department $8-1-\sqrt{9}$	The second second
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1-49-49Building Inspector 8-1-49	
Planning Commission 8-1-49 Petitioner 8-1-49 Health Department 8-	1-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	a harden and
Resolution becomes effective	and the state of the state of the
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

mentant in the set of the out of the set of

1 8

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7292</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Anna M. Brown to add a bedroom to a residence which has an 18 inch sideyard, the addition to have a 4 ft. 6 in. sideyard, on Lot 13, Block 22, Ocean Beach, at 4646 Del Monte Ave., Ocean Beach, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE OF SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA Secretary Asst. Plannin Virector No. 4045

pated July 27 , 1949

FORM 2145

oplication ReceivedByCouncil
City Planning Department
vestigation made How By By City Planning Department
posidered by Zoning Committee $1 - \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{9}}$ Hearing date Date $7 - \sqrt{9 - \sqrt{9}}$ Date $7 - \sqrt{9 - \sqrt{9}}$ py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7 - \sqrt{9 - \sqrt{9}}$ Building Inspector $8 - 1 - \sqrt{9}$ anning Commission $8 - 1 - \sqrt{9}$ Petitioner $8 - 1 - \sqrt{9}$ Health Department $8 - 1 - \sqrt{9}$ ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
anning Commission 8-1-49 Petitioner 8-1-49 Health Department 8-1-49
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Date
solution becomes effective
Oplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

,

all orderer a sold, we associately a

1. DA 10

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Otto D. and Florence M. Lindsey to add a kitchen and bedroom to an existing dwelling, making four units on the lot, with a 4 ft. access court to the street, on Lots 8 and 9, Block 110, University Heights, 4365 Kansas St., Zone R-4, subject to the removal of the fences South of the stucco garage at the front of the property, and clearing area between the garage and the rear living unit, to provide better access to the alley.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

	ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
. 1949	By Jarry le. Aceling
	Asst. Planning Director Res. No. 4046

FORM 2145

Dated___

July

Application Received By By City Planning Department	
Investigation made By (lleu, aucastur g fac City Planning Department	leig
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-2/9</u> Hearing date Decision Cond. approval Date 7-27-49	1
Planning Commission 8-1-49 Petitioner 8-1-49 Health Department 8-1-49	4
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective / Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

A second s

the second s

1

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James C., Evelyn N., Helen M. and Orville C. Purpus, to divide Lots 3, 4, 5 and 6, Purpus Park, on Milan St. near Warrington St., Zone R-1, into three building sites, according to the plat on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

lats 3 + por 4 Recorded 3-9-50 union Talle Co 1. por 41 + por 5- "7-7-49 10-30-52 1. Por 5+alle "7-9-51 5. 1-12-53 (obere recycled spects of foldy Permits (5. 5) 1-12-53

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA arry le Hall Asst. Planning Director

, 1910 Dated July FORM 2145

Application ReceivedByCity Planning Department
Investigation made J-2/-49 By By Ciller, & aucaster & Aar City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $7-29-49$ Hearing date Decision 16 because Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1-29=49$ Building Inspector $8-1-49$ Planning Commission $8-1-49$ Petitioner $8-1-49$ Health Department $8-1-49$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date
Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

10. 2

. .

2 sugar - 1

and the second of the second of the second of the second second burners of the second of the second

and for the second s

1 bit of a line of

,

2 2 Mar 1 5 - - -

21.1/10

WHEREAS, Application No. 7300 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of Persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Diana W. Jacobs to erect a singlefamily residence on all of Lot 7 and the Southeasterly one-half of Lot 8, Block 180, Roseville, being on the Southwesterly corner of Whittier St. at Willow St., in Zone R-1.

This variance is granted with the understanding that the Northwesterly one-half of Lot 8 will be incorporated with Lot 9, as one building site.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be. and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITX OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA polo . 19 49 July 27 FORM 2145 Asst. Planning/Director Res No. 4048

Dated_

Application Received By By
City Planning Department
Investigation made By Cllen, Laucanter + Hacking
Considered by Zoning Committee $7 - 7 - 49$ Hearing date Decision Cleptone Date $7 - 7 - 49$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4 - 1 - 49$ Building Inspector $8 - 1 - 49$ Planning Commission $8 - 1 - 49$ Petitioner $8 - 1 - 49$ Health Department $8 - 1 - 49$
Decision (personal · / Date 7 7-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1 - 1 - 49$ Building Inspector $1 - 1 - 49$
Planning Commission 8-1-49 Petitioner 8-1-49 Health Department 8-1-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the construction of an anaple spectrum of the second is allowing the second and the second of the

ana sum a ser a Constant a ser a ser

the star

,

and a the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7273</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the San Diego Unified School District to erect a warehouse and shop for school maintenance on each of the following parcels of ground:

- Lots 8 to 11, inc., Block 238, Mannasse and Schiller's Subdivision, 200 ft. Southeast of Commercial St., on Irving St., Zone R-4;
- Lots 30-33, inc., Block 238, San Diego Land and Town Co.'s Addition, 125 ft. Northwest of Crosby St., facing on an alley, Zone R-4;
- Lots 45-48, inc., Block 227, Mannasse and Schiller's Addition, South of Commercial St., on 22nd St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ August 10 _____, 19 49

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4049

Application ReceivedB	y City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{77-49}{8-10-49}$ B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-27-49	Hearing date <u>8-10-49</u>
Decision Clepheonal Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-11-49</u> Planning Commission 8-15-49 Petitioner	Date 8 - 10 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-1-49</u>	Building Inspector <u>8-15-49</u>
Planning Commission $8-15-49$ Petitioner	8-12-49 Health Department 8-13-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the server and the first of the second large equal to the second s

,

the set is the set

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6914</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. J. Smith to make an addition of 4 rooms to an existing Home for Aged and Convalescents, to accomodate 8 additional patients, on Lots 43 and 44, 405 Ritchey St., Encanto Park Addition, Zone R-4., also toilet and sun room.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ August 10 ____, 19 49

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made 7- 27-48 By By By City Planning Department City Planning D
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-27-49 Hearing date 1-10-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1-1>-49$ Building Inspector $1-13-49$ Planning Commission $8-13-49$ Petitioner $8-1>-49$ Health Department $1-13-49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Treating, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

,

4. (4).

anne and an and an and an and an an an an and an an an and an

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7277</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eno Kaukola to make alterations and additions to an 6-unit apartment building, with a 4 ft. sideyard and 5 ft. rear yard, on the West 69 ft. of Lot 6, Block 11, Sherman's Addition, 505 - 21st St., Zone R-4, said sideyard and rear yard to be cleared and made unobstructed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 199_

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

and the difference of the second second	ORP
Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{7 7 - 49}{8 - 10 - 49}$ By $7 7 - 9$	
T- 29-49	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8=10-49	Hearing date
Decision Cong. appeonat	Date 8-10-49 Duilding Inspector 6 49
Considered by Zoning Committee $g_{=10-4.9}$ Decision Cong. appeared Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $g_{=11-4.9}$ Planning Commission $g_{=15-4.9}$ Petitioner	Building Inspector $\frac{1}{8} = 13 = 47$ 8 - 1 × - 49 Health Department 8 - 13 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

TRANSPORT - FRANCE REALINGTON OF THE PORTAL COMPAREMENT OF THE CASE OF THE CASE OF THE TRANSPORT

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 7312 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. E. Leu, owner, and Roslyn Goodwin, lessee, to operate a wholesale and retail establishment for the commercial killing of poultry and rabbits, on a portion of Lot 20, Ex-Mission Lands of San Diego, being all that portion of the Southwest One-Quarter of said Lot 20 lying Southerly of Federal Boulevard and North of "A" St., street address being 4155 Federal Boulevard, Zone C, on the following conditions:

- No poultry or rabbits to be kept alive on the premises more 1. than 24 hours;
- To be kept entirely within the buildings; 2.
- Subject to the regulations of the Health Department; Not more than 25% of the total floor area of the buildings to 3.
- 4.
- be used for wholesale storage. This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.
- 5.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar

as they relate to the property described above. Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 191.0 Dated August 10

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4052

1 19 11 11
Application ReceivedBy By
City Planning Department
7-27-491
Investigation made _ 8-10 - 49 By alleur Jerns and writer
/ /- 49 City Flanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-10-49</u> Hearing date
Copy of Resolution/sent to City Clerk <u>8-12-49</u> . Building Inspector <u>8-11-49</u>
Decision Cong. approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $l = 12 - 49$ Planning Commission $8 - 13 - 49$ Petitioner $8 - 12 - 49$ Health Department $8 - 13 - 49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the set of the set of

production of a second second of the grant second territory of the second second

the second and and an are added and and the Contraction of the second and prove the

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7296</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry Mervin Furlong and Elsie A. Stratton, owners, and Henry and Phyllis D. Williams, purchaser, to erect a single family residence on two parcels of ground, to be combined into one building site 50 ft. in width, being the Southeasterly 25 ft. of Villa Lot 134, and the Northwesterly 25 ft. of Villa Lot 135, Normal Heights, between 3628 and 3640 Eugene Pl., Zone R-1, subject to the following conditions:

That a survey plat signed by a licensed surveyor, be submitted to the Planning Department Office, showing the new lot to be created and the location of the adjoining buildings in relationship to the new lot lines. Said survey plat to be satisfactory to the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>August 10</u>, 1949 FORM 2145 By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4053
	/
Application Received By By City Planning Department	H)
Investigation made By By City Planning Department	al
Considered by Zoning Committee $1 - 10 - 49$ Hearing date Decision Construction sent to City Clerk $1 - 12 - 49$ Building Inspector $3 - 14 - 49$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1 - 12 - 49$ Building Inspector $3 - 14 - 49$ Planning Commission $3 - 15 - 49$ Petitioner $8 - 12 - 49$ Health Department $1 - 13 - 49$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $8 - 1 - 49$ Building Inspector $8 - 13 - 49$ Planning Commission $8 - 15 - 49$ Petitioner $8 - 12 - 49$ Health Department $1 - 13 - 49$	
Decision of Collificit	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

and a second second as a second second and the diperformation in the second second second second second second s

AND REPORTED

,

to set a set of the se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7295</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ not _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to A. F. Kessling to alter an existing duplex to a triplex, on the East 50 ft. of Lots 11 and 12, Block 13, Cleveland Heights, 130 West Walnut Street, Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 1949

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4054

FORM 2145

Methodal and the Low States 2. Second States and the	
	-1 1 1 1 1 1
Application Received B	
11 / /	City Planning Department
	(ant)
Investigation made B_	y allen remon furton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
D	Date 1 / 19
Decision Quical cent to City Clerk 1-11-119	Building Inspector 8-14-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{1-u-49}$ Planning Commission $8-1^{\circ}-49$ Petitioner	l = 1 x= 49 Health Department l= 13 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Dete
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1.1.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7316</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward A. Dowd to make an addition to an existing kitchen and add a porch to the residence at 242 Prospect St., with 0 ft. rear yard and 3 ft. sideyard. Permission is also granted to add a bedroom and fireplace to the residence at 246 Prospect St., with an 8 ft.6 in. rear yard. Both being on Lot 30, Block 16, La Jolla Park, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 1949

By_

Sectedary

FORM 2145

	DIMO 11
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made By By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-10-49</u> H Decision <i>Oppional</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-11-49</u> H Planning Commission 1-18-49 Petitioner 8 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Suilding Inspector <u>8-15-49</u> -15-49 Health Department 8-15-49 Souncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council L Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn C	ontinued to Date of action

in the state of the least of a sector of the sector of the

Charles and the

A CONTRACT OF AN INCLUDE THAT AND A CONTRACT OF

and the second states and the second states

and all converte by some or first in provide in these of any backet second by Datastick, because

WHEREAS, Application No. 7332 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. J. and Edna E. Harvey to build a private 7 ft. by 14 ft. hobby shop, 15 ft. from the North line of Sapphire St. and 73.5 ft. from the South line of Wrelton Dr., with 0 ft. sideyard, being on all of Lots 1 and 2, and the Westerly 2 ft. of Lot 3, Block 1, Ocean Villa Tract, 695 Wrelton Dr., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ August 10_____, 19_49

By.

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4056

FORM 2145

Application Received By /an fine
City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>l-10-49</u> By <u>Allen, Marus Jurton</u>
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-10-49</u> Hearing date
Decision (leptonal / Date 8-10-49 /
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-11-99</u> Building Inspector <u>1-13-99</u>
Decision (leptona) Date 8-10-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-11-49</u> Building Inspector <u>1-13-49</u> Planning Commission 8-13-49 Petitioner 8-13-49 Health Department 8-13-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

which are the state of the state of the state of the state of the short of the state of the stat

,

. . . /

.

WHEREAS, Application No. 7331 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robley Veall to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback, on Lot 2, Block 17, College Park Unit No. 3, 5600 block, Hardy Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 1949

By____

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received By By City Planning Department	
Investigation made P-10-49 By By City Planning Department	2
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{l-10-49}{Date 8-10-49}$ Hearing date Decision $\frac{l+13-49}{Date 8-10-49}$ Building Inspector $\frac{l+13-49}{l-13-49}$ Planning Commission $8-13-49$ Petitioner $8-13-49$ Health Department $8-13-49$	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date Date /	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

THE MELTER AND AN ANTARY DATE OF A COMPACT OF AN AND THE PROPERTY OF A COMPACT OF AN AND A COMPACT OF AN AND A

WHEREAS, Application No. 7330 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are by special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved of to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same

one land big out y. The list intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same work unnecessary work unnecessary hardship and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and thoyment of superintial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the statione and vicinity.

- That Indugranting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of 3. ans residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William Swiech to erect a chain link fence in the front setback line, 4 ft. high, on Lots 27 and 28, Block 1, Mountain View, 3812 - 46th St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ August 10 _____, 19_49

By

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received By _ By _ au Lise
City Planning-Department
D (P (To and) +
Investigation made By By City Planning Department
City Flanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{\beta - 10 - 49}{2}$ Hearing date Date $\beta - 10 - 49$
Decision Approval
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-49</u> Building Inspector <u>1-13-49</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-49</u> Building Inspector <u>8-11-49</u> Planning Commission 8-15-49 Petitioner 8-12-49 Health Department 8-15-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

2.10 5 5 5 10 105

Ciril Sound Committee

,

and and a farmer of + 12 Stands

and apprendent to the second of a part of the second second second second second second second second second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7306</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert L. and Helen E. Grant to make an addition of a fifth unit to a four-unit court, the fifth unit only to have access to a 6-foot access court, being on portions of Lots 19 through 22, and including a 10-foot closing on Georgia Street, Block 254, University Heights, 3523 Georgia Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1949

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4059

61	
Application ReceivedB	
Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 10 - 49$ B	(Ille Tren and hester
	City Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 8-10-49	Hearing date
Diidall	Late 10-47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u><i>l-11-49</i></u> Planning Commission <i>l-13-49</i> Petitioner	Building Inspector <u><i>V-13-49</i></u>
Planning Commission 8-13-47 Petitioner	Health Department 8-13-4
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date /
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second design of the second s

the second second second second second second and the second second second second second second second second s

bernenen beiden bei eine einer bei eine mit Seiner einer einer

and the second s

,

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7305</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ben H. and Beatrice E. Murphy to make an addition of a fifth unit to a four-unit court, said fifth unit only to have access to the existing 6-foot court, being on portions of Lots 22 through 25, Block 254, University Heights, 3517 Georgia Street, including a 10-foot closing on Georgia Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be; and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 1949

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4060

FORM 2145

and the state of the log the log the	
Application ReceivedB	/ City Planning Department
Investigation made g B	y Collen, Jerus 2nd certon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-10-49	Hearing date
Ditter (11 /	Date (10 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{g-11-49}{1-49}$ Planning Commission $g-13-49$ Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>y-73-49</u> 8-1x-49 Health Department 8-14-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

TA PROPERTY AND A PRO

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7341</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hannah Jessie to make interior alterations and repairs to an existing non-conforming single family residence with an 18 inch sideyard, on Lot 39, Block 14, Reed and Hubble's Addition, 2758 Boston Ave., Zone M-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 1949

Secretary

FORM 2145

and the provide the second states of the second states of the	7/1/1/0 00
Application Received76_49 By	7. City Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made By By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee He	aring date
Decision $(lepional)$ Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $l - 1/-49$ But Planning Commission $l - 13 - 49$ Petitioner $l - 1$	ilding Inspector $1 - 15 - 49$
Planning Commission / - /3 - 99 Petitioner / - Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Con	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da Resolution becomes effective	te
Application withdrawn Con	ntinued to
Time limit extended to Da	te of action

and a second of the second of the of the property of the second of the second

as we are a considered and they are all and the second of the second in the

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7342</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Bergert to construct a chain link fence 4 ft. high in front of the setback line, on the East 135 ft. of the West 165 ft. of the Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Lot 51 of a portion of Ex-Mission Lands of Horton's Purchase, except the South 100 ft. thereof, and except the North 135 ft. thereof, being at 125 South 45th St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 19,9

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Application Received $7 - \sqrt{7 - 49}$ By	Van Hiser
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	allen, Verus and Leston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-10-49</u> H Decision (lephona	Hearing date
Decision Ceppearal	Date 8-10-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $-1-49$ E Planning Commission $8-14-49$ Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>1-15-49</u>
Planning Commission 8-11-49 Petitioner	8-1-49 Health Department 8-13-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	Council H¢aring, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to I	Date of action

MURIE CARACTER STATES AND AND DANSA STATE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

,

. / . / /

WHEREAS, Application No. 7338 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to I. D. Boucher, owner, and H. M. Hancks, purchaser, to erect a residence with a 10 ft. setback (to the front of the balcony), being on the Northerly 40 ft. of Lot 6, Block 149, Middletown, on the East side of State St., 40 ft. North of Upas St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ August 10 ____, 19_49

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4063

FORM 2145

7/1/1/0 11
Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made &= 10 - 49 By By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-10-19</u> Hearing date Decision (16 hearing date Date 8-10-49
Decision Upproual Date 8-10-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-11-49</u> Building Inspector <u>1-13-49</u> Planning Commission 8-13-49 Petitioner 8-12-49 Health Department 8-13-49
Planning Commission 8-13-49 Petitioner 8-12-47 Health Department 8-12-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and any many second second and the second second second second second as an exactly as an exactly as an exactly

the second begins the second of the second second for the second for the second s

the cell

1

WHEREAS, Application No. 7310 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph B. and Loretta Sabados to construct a 5 ft. solid board fence on top of a 6 ft. retaining wall, along the side and rear of the lot, back 70 ft. from the front property line, Lot 5, Block 37, La Jolla Hermosa No. 2, 5776 Bellevue Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 49

By___

Secretary

Res. No. 4064

FORM 2145

Application ReceivedB	yCity Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{8-10-49}{1}$ B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $1-10-49$ Decision 6 formation sent to City Clerk $9-49$ Planning Commission $8-15-49$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date $\ell_{-}/\ell_{-}/q$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The second second we can also so and the second second second second second second second second second second

and an and the second second in the second second

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7339</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clarence H. and Alma S. Pray to erect a concrete block garage with a 6 inch sideyard on Lot S, except the North 40 ft. and except the West 15 ft., Block 159, University Heights, 3210 Polk St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 199

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

1 1 1 1 0 1
pplication Received By City Planning Department
nvestigation made &-10-49 By Clleer, Very Decetor
only i familing a spartiment y
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>8-10-49</u> Hearing date Date 8-10-49
Pecision approval / Date 8-10-97
ecision $(l \not k)$ for a local for the local
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Date Date
esolution becomes effective Continued to Data of action
ime limit extended to Date of action

the big it was the way to have an an an an an an and an and an and the second and the second

1

WHEREAS, Application No. 7356 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. T. Smith to erect a solid board fence with gates, 8 ft. high, on the alley line, Lot L, Block 171, Mission Beach, 826 Ostend Court, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 199

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4066

the second s	
Application Received _2-5-49 1	By and tise Q
- FF	City Planning/Department
1 10 40 -	(nn) + (1)
Investigation made $f = 10 - 49$ I	By aller ferres a herton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-10-4	9Hearing date
Decision appendia	Date [-/0-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-4	9Building Inspector B-15-49
Decision Uppenned Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-4</u> Planning Commission 8-15-49 Petitioner	8-1x-49 Health Department 8-15-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. N. Wheatcraft, to build 165 ft. of 12 ft. high wire fence for a play area in the rear yard of Lot 4, Block 2, Alta Mesa Villas, 5131 Manchester Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 4067

Dated August 10 , 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

	000/1
Application ReceivedBB	y Mautho
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{8-10-49}{10}$ B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-10-49</u> Decision <u>Approval</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-11-49</u>	Hearing date
Decision approval	Building Inspector $l = 15 - 49$
Planning Commission 8-4 -49 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	8-1V-49 Health Department (-15-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

1 6

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7192</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Pauline C. Moore to build an apartment over an existing garage and add to the garage, with 55% coverage and a 10 ft. rear yard, Lot Q, Elock 10, Mission Beach, 812 Allerton Court, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

(/ / • /
Application Received <u>7-29-49</u> By <u>fantfise</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
in it a fille of a fill
Investigation made By By By lerton
City Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-10 - 49 Hearing date
C in a lilitar cont to (ity (lerk 1-11-99 Billding Inspector 1-11-99
Planning Commission $\int -/\sqrt{2} - 4^{\circ}$ Petitioner $\int -/\sqrt{2} - 4^{\circ}$ Health Department $B - 1^{\circ} - 4^{\circ}$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7340</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry S. and Alene Marie Cooper, owners, and Warren A. Boynton, purchaser, to construct a twofamily residence, making four units in all, with a 2 ft. 3 in. access court, plus a 15 ft. alley to the rear units; on the condition that a minimum of three off-street, surfaced, parking spaces be provided for the four units, Lots 30 and 31, Block 8, La Jolla Strand, 6666 La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 1949

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4069

FORM 2145

Application Received <u><u><u></u></u><u><u><u></u></u><u><u></u><u><u></u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u></u></u></u>
nvestigation made <u>8-10-49</u> By <u>llen</u> <u>lesus</u> <u>eston</u> City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u><i>R</i>-10-49</u> Hearing date
Decision (pluma, cond.) Decision (pluma, cond.) Date $\int -10 - 49$ Dopy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-11-49</u> Building Inspector <u>8-15-49</u> Ianning Commission <u>8-15-49</u> Petitioner <u>8-18-49</u> Health Department <u>8-15-49</u>
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-11-49 Building Inspector 8-13-49
lanning Commission 8-15-49 Petitioner 8-12-49 Health Department 8-13-49
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Date Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

where the provider and the provider the build and the

and all a second of the second of the second of the boundary interest the second of th

an one country and the set of the

1

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Isabel Pomeroy to erect an addition of a single garage to an existing storage building with a 6 inch sideyard, on Lot 5, except the Northerly 5 ft., all of Lots 6 and 7, except the Southerly 20 ft. of Lot 7, Block 20, La Jolla Park, 7625 Draper St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 199

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received $8 - 1 - 49$ By	
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{f-10-49}{By}$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Desision (Approval	Date $1 - 10 - 49$
Constant Deadliftion cent to City Clerk 8-1/- 49	Building Inspector 8-15-49
Planning Commission 1-13 - 49 Petitioner	8-1x-49 Health Department 8-13-41
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7336</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation
 and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glenn O. Hellyer to construct neon tubing over an existing sign at the entrance to the polo grounds on a portion of Lot 12, Reiner's Subdivision, the sign being located on Camino del Rio at Harney St., Zone R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 1949

By____

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4071
and the second sec	
Application Received By	law fisel
, , , ,	City Planning Department
	$\left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right)$
Investigation made $\beta = 10 - 49$ By	
A	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-10-49	Hearing date
Decision (lalassis	Date $l^{-}/0 - 49$,
Decision () personal Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-1-49</u> Planning Commission 1-15-49 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector 8-15-49
Planning Commission 1-11-49 Petitioner	l-12-49 Health Department 8-10-49
Append filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	

The second second

definition of the second of the prediction of the prediction of the second second

1

. / /

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Cecil H. and Rose M. Anderson to re-model and convert a garage to living quarters (bedroom) with no sideyard, the existing building now covering 77% of the North 1/2 of the West 85 ft. of Lot 1, Elock 19, Bovyer's Addition, being on the Southeast corner of the intersection at 35th and Orange Sts., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Application Received By _au fixe City Planning Department
Investigation made By By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-10-49 Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-49 Building Inspector 1-10-49
Decision (Jenal Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>f-1/-49</u> Building Inspector <u>f-10-49</u> Planning Commission f-15-49 Petitioner f-17-49 Health Department 8-15-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the start of the start of the start of

and the second second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7347</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Josephine Carlino, et al, to maintain a now-existing covered patio and lath house connected to an existing garage with no sideyard, the size being 996 sq. ft., including the garage, on Lot 5, Block 3, Edgemont, 4538 Norwood St., Zone R-4, providing that the garage wall adjacent to the roofed patio be made one-hour fire resistant in conformity with the Building Code.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> . ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4073

	no / /
Application Received $1 - 1 - 49$ By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Clle_ Terms Leuto
	Date $f_{-10} - 49$ Building Inspector $\underline{1-1s} - 49$ = 1s - 49 Health Department $8 - 1s - 49$
	Continued to Date of action

TR TOTAL

1

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7327</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. J. and Eula L. Holden to erect approximately 40 linear feet of concrete retaining wall with a free-standing wall on top, which maximum height will be 11 ft. above the lowest adjacent ground level, being on a portion of Villa Lot 58, University Heights, 4980 Vista Place, Zone R-1, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 199

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4074

Application Received $3 - 4 - 49$ By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{g-10-49}{1}$ By $\frac{1}{2}$	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-10-49 Hearing	ng date
Decision (leptonal) Date - Dat	-10-47 Internation (1/2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>A-11-9-</u> Buildin	Inspector $1-13 - 47$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council	1 Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Contin	And a second sec
Time limit extended to Date o	f action

and and it's

STATISTICS IN CONTRACT

,

.

.....

the contract of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7353</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>NOC</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold W. and Doris L. Homes to build a 10 ft. addition to the existing garage, making a total of 600 sq. ft., a 1 ft. sideyard and a 1 ft. rear yard, also to build a patio roof with a 4 in. sideyard, being 46 ft. from the front property line, on the South 60 ft. of Lots 1 to 4, Block 89, Pacific Beach, 4877 Jewell St., Zone R-1, subject to final architectural approval by the Planning Department Office. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 19 49

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

A 100

3

By. Investigation made 8-10-49 en Considered by Zoning Committee 8-10-49 Hearing date Decision ang . approval Date 1-10-49 / Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-1x-49 Building Inspector 8-15-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn

21 200

mile, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

the second of the will store be meterially detrimented to

LINE TO REPORT AT BUT MADE TO THE

12 010 00 000 mates so easie hearing on

The state of the state of the first of the state of the s

Amas ro

The second set word that and the the time

Application Received ______ By City Planning Department City Planning Department Planning Commission 8-15-49 Petitioner 8-12-49 Health Department 8-15-Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7359</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Nelle Arnell to erect a 5 ft. chain link fence on a 4 ft. 11 in. masonry fence, with a maximum approximate height of 10 ft., on Lot 10, Block 21, West End, 3577 -30th St., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated_____August 10___, 19_49

By

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4076

- 1

second soll with a materially affort the health or estery of

the state of the evidence presented has shown (see Section

a second to br. Bally funcil to druck a 5 m.

e hus langtenyte despet wood' thought at chick

To re., on ton 10, block 51, west and, DoVY -

enterior of epitanence ne. Told, be, end in

Application Received <u>1-4-49</u> By Jan this	
City Planning Department	NO-TOPPOST
Investigation made By By By	ton
City Planning Densemant	
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{\beta - 10 - 49}{\text{Date } \beta - 10 - 49}$ Decision $\frac{\beta - 10 - 49}{\text{Date } \beta - 10 - 49}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{\beta - 12 - 49}{\beta - 12 - 49}$ Planning Commission $\frac{\beta - 13 - 49}{\beta - 12 - 49}$ Petitioner $\frac{\beta - 12 - 49}{\beta - 12 - 49}$ Health Department $\frac{\beta - 18 - 49}{\beta - 18 - 49}$	
Decision Oppend Date -10-49	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-49 Building Inspector 8-13-49	
Planning Commission 8-15-49 Petitioner 8-12-99 Health Department 8-15-49	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	6 660
Decision of Council Date	PIR P
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

A B STAND TO ROLLING SPOROA

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7350</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred D. and Ethel M. LaMotte to construct a garage with no sideyard, on the South 52 ft. of Lot 1 and 25 ft. of street closing, Block 162, La Playa, being the first lot South of 621 San Elijo St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. \$924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______ August 10___, 19_49

By__

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 407

To II show that Hy the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. 1

services and the stand of perved be withed by end percent it the open

pot to a serie of the property of the particular series toway.

LTARE TON A COMMENT, D. J. COMMENT, DO LOT & DOWN HAVE

WARE AN AND THE STOPPING OF OMITMERS IN THE STOPPING OF AND

TON THE REAL AND A TRADE GIVENESS HIS AND THE TRADE ON ON THE CITY

Application Received 3 - 4 - 49· City Planning Department allen, By erus City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee ______ Hearing date Decision Opponent to City Clerk 1 - 1 - 19 Building Inspector 1 - 15 - 49Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1 - 1 - 19 Building Inspector 1 - 15 - 49Planning Commission 1 - 15 - 49 Petitioner 1 - 15 - 49 Health Department 1 - 15Council Hearing, date _____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

RESOLUTION NO. 4078

Letter dated July 28, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3708, be granted to Andrew M. and Ida M. Woods, to construct a single family residence with a 6 ft. setback, on the North 121.11 ft. of Lot 1 and the North 121.11 ft. of the East 43.55 ft. of Lot 2, Block 6, Marine View, Southwest corner of Puterbaugh and Lark Sts.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

and the second second second the second s

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated August 10 , 1949

FORM 2145

By____

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

WHEREAS, A MEREAS, A MARKEN A

1. That there (ayof your con-

6767 'sz 41076

but has been considered by the Zening Committee alternia, and the evidence presented has shown (are Section and the evidence presented has shown (are Section

a property in the most apply generally in other property in the same

- 2. That siries the more sequenties would work under service the preservation herdening to the preservation is becaused by other property nemers is a subject of the petitioner, passened by other property used is a subject of the petitioner.
- 3. That the matter of the supportant of the materially affect the health of pafety of persons and a support of the materially detrimental to the public persons of the material to the public persons to the property of imprevenents in the materially detrimental to the property of imprevenents in the materially detriment.
- A. That the Marter Plan of the City adversely affect the Marter Plan of the City

THEREFOLD WITH AND AND BY the Zoming Committee of the City of San Diego,

Activity of the second second

Letter 1	
Application Received/- ~ y - 4 9 By	auf auf
/ / /	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	(loop, to med -
	Citý Plánning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\underbrace{\$ -10 - 49}_{D}$ Decision $\underbrace{leptons}_{D}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underbrace{\$ -1 - 49}_{P}$ B Planning Commission $\$ -1^{-1} - 49$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	learing date
Decision appeared D	Pate 8 - 10 - 49,
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-1-49 B	uilding Inspector <u>1-12-49</u>
Planning Commission 8-15-49 Petitioner	8-12-49Health Department 8-15-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Pate
Resolution becomes effective	
	ontinued to
	Date of action

(m) () ()

RESOLUTION NO. 4079

sel 4802

Letter dated July 27, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 3339 be granted to Susan Truman and Barbara Peterson to operate a Child Day Care Center in the existing residence at 945 Archer St., on Lots 65 to 69, inclusive, Block 5, First Addition to Pacific Beach Vista Tract, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Hours of operation from 8:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M., Monday through Friday;
- 2. Age range of children to be from 2 years to 12 years;
- 3. This permit to expire on June 30, 1950.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sparalogal

Dated August 10 , 19 49

By___

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4079

WE WAR THE STORE STATES

and see the set that some a subgest the

MURLAS. Apple Control and the evidence presented bas shows (see Section of Ordinance

- 1. That the coupling applied to the property in the property in the same involved of the property in the same involved of the same set.
- 2. That are not all constantions would work universary
- hardalish mar here and the application is _____ herealsary for the preservation and an and an and the preservation property community for the property community of the petitionar, peakeneed by other property community.
- 3. That the project of the projec
- The public a source of the property of improvements in the metgeborhood. 4. That the provide the meters and 100 advancely afters the Mister Fien of the City
- of Ear, D. ...
- THEREFORD A CONTRACTION OF the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego
- There as encounted to hear here as 3358 by Mantend to Material
- A very solar of the state of the solar broken solar and state of the solar s

7--9-49 By_

Application Received

hans to be a state

City Planning Department

RESOLUTION NO. 4080

Letter dated August 2, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3950 be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William Rudd to observe a 15 ft. setback on Rhode Island St., and a 5 ft. setback on Golden Gate Dr., on Lots 21 through 24, Block 32, University Heights, being on the corner of Rhode Island St. and Golden Gate Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

RELCO					
		190	edvores ly		
pe brusses					

NATEL TO THE TO A CONTRACT OF A DESCRIPTION OF THE OF A DESCRIPTION OF

a return br' and delight bigs of ' house wer' the state of a state seater of the seater seater

Investigation made $\beta = 10 - 49$ By	City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-10-4</u> Decision Character	Hearing date
Decision (labroual	Date $8 - 10 - 49$,
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-1-19.	Building Inspector <u>f -13 - 49</u>
Decision Checoman Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-1-19</u> Planning Commission 8-15-49 Petitioner	8-12-49 Health Department 8-15-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
,	

By

anne in the first the second and the reading of the second builds in the

pl. . .

Application Received _

City Planning Department 0000

The .

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>_____</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>_____</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Hugh Banning to split out the Southerly 250 ft. of the Northerly 684.44 ft. of Pueblo Lot 1290 and construct thereon a single family residence, said parcel having no frontage on a dedicated street, lying between Pacific Highway and Torrey Pines Road, on the Ardath Road Extension, Zone R-I, providing that an Agreement is signed by the owner to the effect that when and if the City requests it, an easement 80 ft. in width along the general alignment of the existing dirt road through said property will be granted for street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 4081

Secretary

August

Dated

FORM 2145

10

, 19 49

Charles and statements	$()^{\circ}$
Application Received	By Ul Jouth
- Pprincetion recorded	City Planning Department
	- CAR T - D
Investigation made / /	9 By Clen, Leurs Juston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Rearing date
Decision Comes, approved	$\begin{array}{c} \underline{\$}_{-10} \underline{}_{-49} & \text{Hearing date} \\ & \text{Date } \underline{\$}_{-10} \underline{}_{-49} \\ \text{rk} \underline{\$}_{-12} \underline{}_{-49} & \text{Building Inspector } \underline{\$}_{-12} \underline{}_{-49} \\ & \text{Petitioner } \underline{\$}_{-12} \underline{}_{-49} & \text{Health Department } \underline{\$}_{-12} \underline{}_{-49} \\ & \text{Council Hearing, date} \\ & \text{Determine } \underline{\$}_{-12} \underline{}_{-49} \end{array}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler	rkg_1-49 Building Inspector 8-15-49
Planning Commission 8-15.49	Petitioner 8-1~ -49 Health Department 8-1-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Some .	
and a second	toolog. In out the set of an and in the set of the
No. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	D
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	- and Park Course up and the and the
	loakey hoies a
ATTACK	
and the first of the second	
PPA1	a weather the total and the second a
	A THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF
	Plantice parallel and and an and all option of the
and the second sec	
THEEELOKE	By the Zoning Countries of the Cliv of San Diego
4 . That the	and a manual of the second of the city
	the set of
	the Frighborlined, for president to be residently detrivental to
	cardina will will claiming flee the health or antery of
	deberith arthurs of the barteroute be persed ph other busiess
	a of the application is a manual new for the presention
	Provideriena would wark unincensary
	out which do not apply there is a to share property in the same
	special conversions, or edition applicable to the property of which do not apply special to the property in the same
	nin, and the run tence presented my shown (see Section
ALCONTO -	222 March March 19 Constant Constant
	1.791

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7351</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. Wetherbee to construct a glass panel wall on an existing retaining wall, making a maximum overall height of 8 ft., on Lot 249 and a portion of Lot 250, as shown on the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, Kensington Heights No. 3, 5250 Canterbury Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 19 49

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-10-49</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-10-49	Hearing date
Decision (164 and 1	Date $1 - 15 - 49$ 1
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-12-19	Building Inspector <u><i>f</i>-11-49</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $l = 1 \times -49$ Planning Commission $l = 15 - 49$ Petitioner	-12-49 Health Department 8+12-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to

Bv

.

Application Received ____

"to employarise will have superiodly alder the heating or waters of

for what, but h correct apply providely to other property in the same

,

total for an analysis it in the source of the server set of the state of the set

 State of the state Circler the Thursday but are the officer, the sublice

Low and the sole is a grant per spear A WITH A THE TAY AND A SUBJECT OF AN AND A SUBJECT OF A S

C D C P A R A Date of action Time limit extended to

8-4-49

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7346</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sylvester A. and Cora L. Creel to divide a portion of Lot 6, La Mesa Colony, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at 6105 El Cajon Boulevard, also known as 6105 Peck Place, Zone R-1, maintaining an existing residence on one parcel and constructing a new residence on the second parcel, on the following conditions:

- 1. That the extension of Peck Place, up to a point within 1 ft. of the Easterly property line of the above property, be dedicated to the City, and that the City accept such extension.
- 2. That the owner provide a suitable turn-around for automobiles at the end of the extension.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ August 10 _____, 19 49

-

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

- ,

require the state of the first of the following content to the La nem addition addition addition addition of the Land Land addition of the Land Land addition of the Land Land addition and the Land Land addition and the Land Land addition and the Land addition ad which is done of sylvanian is and draw at Crow an

on their we and offy, and share and with models that of figuriest store and to preve through the most in

an and the standard synamics out

.

.

Application ReceivedBy and the fine
City Planning Department
(((((((((((((((((((
Investigation made <u>1-10-49</u> By <u>Allew</u> , <u>lenes</u> by
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-10-49 Hearing date
Decision and approved $Date f-/O-49$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $f-/v-49$ Building Inspector $f-/J-49$ Planning Commission $f-/J-49$ Petitioner $f-/V-49$ Health Department $f-/J-49$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{1-1-49}{1-1-49}$ Building Inspector $\frac{1-1-49}{1-1-49}$
Planning Commission 8 - 13 - 49 Petitioner 8 - 1 - 49 Health Department 8 - 15 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action
A VORDERAL AND ARCHITER OF ARAMINADA WALLAND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND

DALL S AND A MANTTA A ANTRICT & CLIPHITODIA (MARCHICE) TO

The part of adding of read three, up by a point violity & got

Letter dated August 1, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3586, dated December 1, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Turnbull to split out a parcel of land from Pueblo Lot 1290 (legal description on file in Planning Department Office) and construct thereon a single family residence, said parcel having no frontage on a dedicated street, but served by a private easement 30 ft. in width, lying between Pacific Highway and Torrey Pines Road, providing that all structures erected on this property are kept at least 45 ft. away from the existing roadway, and providing that an Agreement is signed by the owner to the effect that when and if the City requests it, an easement will be granted on the Easterly portion of the above-described property to provide for an 80 ft. right-of-way along the approximate alignment of the existing road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ August 10 _____, 1949

Secretary

FORM 2145

Soning Engineer

By

- 1

A PERSONAL PROPERTY AND A PROPERTY AND A

Application Received ____ By_ City Planning Department Investigation made ______ By_____ By_____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 8-10-49 Hearing date Decision Date $g_{-1} = 0$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{1-1-49}{1-1-49}$ Building Inspector $\frac{1-15-49}{1-1-49}$ Planning Commission $\frac{1-15-49}{1-1-49}$ Petitioner $\frac{1-15-49}{1-1-49}$ Health Department Date 8-10-49 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

eres of the block of the block of the street of the street

torial and the second of the stream officer and the to the the stream of the second of

personances of an our pure lasher of the sche per poeter of the

a sympletic meters are outreat and an optime encounter provide.

To' an accordant will goo thermony of end according tore you of

RESOLUTION NO. 4085

Letter dated July 29, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3746, dated March 9, 1949, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kathleen E. Kelly to build two living units, consisting of a single family dwelling and a single apartment over the garage, on Lots 36, 37 and 38, Block 1, in both Pacific Beach Vista and Buena Vista, on the West end of Archer St., on the South side, and West of La Jolla Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 10 , 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

and retrieved to a second of property rights of the petitions; possessed by other property

Land The Anter Stores 2, 1949, by conversion to the

The same contract, on tobe 30, 37 and 30, block L, the boar several control of a play is the state of the plant of the state and have a service of the rest of the service of the service of the

and you wanted the go the but erentated and contact stands to the second of the broad broad of the second second with the content of

Application Received <u>1-/-47</u> By City Planning Department 60 8-10-49 By une Investigation made _____ era City/Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _ 9-10-49 Hearing date Decision (leprona) Date 8 - 10 - 49Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk g - 18 - 49 Building Inspector g - 19 - 49Planning Commission 8 - 18 - 49 Petitioner 8 - 18 - 49 Health Department 8 - 18Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7373</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Mrs. Florence A. Boziger to convert an upstairs single apartment into a duplex with no sideyard, on Lot 18, Block 35, Normal Heights, 4610 Iowa St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby depied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 1949

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4086

Zoning Engineer

and the part of the character pres a pression and the state of the state of the state of the state of

why along the formul leights, state local branches show

and you have been been to the property described above. aberry a miner for a weather man to open lands are on the period by the

8-12-4 Application Received By City Planning Department 8-24-49 By Investigation made City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 8-74-49 Hearing date Decision Quiat / Date / - 24-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-49</u>Building Inspector Planning Commission 8-49 Petitioner 8-26-49 Health 8 - 26 - 49 Health Department 8-Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7323</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>**not**</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>______necessary</u> for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Blanche Booth, owner, and Earl Barnes, lessee, to add a kitchen to the living quarters with no sideyard, 59% coverage, and with alley access to the living quarters, on the South 79 ft. of Lot 5, Block 43, Tract 1368, at 5019-1/2 El Cajon Blvd., Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ August 24 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

See Res. No. 951602 following

of the Cu

L. Thur.

3. The contract

berrar -

4 . The . .

1

LHERLI

So tr ·

State in the line for the Committee of the City of San Diego

The lot of the state state of the state of t

" ABULLING "STOP PILL" ATUMNO " UN PILL PILL CO. TT. C.

substantion will _______ mulerially affect the health at address of

post of the state of the state of the backingers of party of the state of the state

Application Received B H	By A. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 = 10 - 49$	By <u>Cleaning Department</u>
8-10-49	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-4	9 Hearing date
Decision () and a l	' Date & 24-49
Copy of Recolution'sent to (ity (lerk 1 - 7) -7	9 Building Inspector 1-26-49
Planning Commission 8 - 76 - 49 Petitioner	8- 26-49 Health Department 8-26-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ 8-30-49	Council Hearing, date <u>9-13-49</u>
Decision of Council 75 Uphild	Date 9-20-49
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 95160

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Earl M. Barnes, 5019-1/2 El Cajon Boulevard, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying request of Blanche Booth, owner and Earl Barnes, lessee, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3924, Section Sa to permit addition of a kitchen to living quasters with no sideyard, 59% coverage and with alley access to the living quarters, on the south 79 ft. of Lot 5 Block 43 Tract 1365, at 5019-1/2 El Cajon Boulevard, in Zone C, be, and it is hereby overbuled and denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

	FRED W. SICK
	City Clerk.
By	HELEN M. WILLIG
	Deputy.

See Res. # 4087 pueceding



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7375</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the City of San Diego Unified School District to add an assembly, cafeteria, kindergarten and classroom to the existing non-conforming Loma Portal School building on Lots 1 through 12 in Block 228, and all of Block 213, with the exception of Lots 3 through 6, Roseville, at Browning, Willow and Clove Sts., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 24 , 1949

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

- Thus of the residence will be a selected the Wester Plan of the City

- THERE OUT IT LEGOLVED, By the Koning Committing of the City of Son Diego.
- Charles The Party The second second states and the second second states and the second second second second second second second THE REAL PROPERTY OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRI the all of the property of the set of the set of the property of the property of the set of the set

TOWER TO THE ALL STORES TO BE AND A STORES AND A STORES

By_ City Planning Department Investigation made $\int - \nu - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ 1 lee By(City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1- 24-49 Hearing date Decision Opproval Date 8 - 14-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8 - 15-49 Building Inspector_ 8 - . Planning Commission 8 - 26-49 Petitioner 8 - - 19 Health Department 8 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7352</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to E. O. and Mary R. Brook to construct an addition to an existing residence with a 2 ft. sideyard, on Lot 14, Block 56, Ocean Beach, 4844 Cape May, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. \$924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 24 , 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

The state of the second st

- Ender

And the present of the property of the property of the property of the property of the train

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7370</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property, involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl J. and Ruth A. Lee to erect an addition to an existing residence with a 10 ft. setback, on Lots 37 through 40, Block 7, Bungalow Park, at 3352 Menlor St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 1949

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Res. No. 4090

Zoning Engineer

	T-/ / / A
	LI I CIU
Application Received $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 1$	sy / Connell
Application ReceivedI	City Planning Department
1 /	$((n \uparrow ()))$
Investigation made $1 - \nu F - 49$ E	By Aller, ferris of verton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $8 - \nu - 49$	Hearing date _/
Decision / Changed	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-9	Building Inspector 1-46-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $f=2.5-9$ Planning Commission $b=26-49$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	8- 76-49 Health Department 8- 76-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a stand of a sub- subject of the set of the part of the set of the

and a subject to a single a second state of the

4091

6504 San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is _____necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

12 through 15 Block ... That the following described property, Lot Subdivision Reed's Ocean Front

5138 Cass St., Southwest corner of Sapphire and Cass Sts.

Phyllis and Robert Hayworth

may be used for the erection and operation of an existing court, containing five units and an owner's apartment, as a motel,

None

subject to the following conditions

.....

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California



WAPPARE AND HOUSE AND

2144

By Secretary Dog Wo Zoning Engineer

Application Received 8-9-49 By	City Planning Department
• / • / • / • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	City Planning Department
	non que and -
Investigation made 1-24-49 By	1 leus erens & kestor
	City Planning Department
and he Zaning Committee 1-24-49	
Considered by Zoning Compiltee	ng date
Decision approved Date	- VY-49
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-74-49 Hearin Decision Approved Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-75-49 Build Planning Commission 7-76-49 Petitioner 7-76	ing Inspector D- Yb-19
Planning Commission 1- 76-49 Petitioner 1-76	- 49 Health Department & - 7/2 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	11 Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application Withdrawn	nued to
Time limit extended to	of action

the second of the second of the second second of the secon

a support of the state of the state of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7241</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Leo S. Hashiguchi, owner, and Frank K. Hashiguchi, operator, to operate a part-time business for sharpening lawnmowers, scissors, hedgetrimmers, etc., in the garage; maximum of 20 hours per week; 8:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.; no employees; maximum of 3 horsepower equipment, including grinder and lawnmower-sharpening machine; equipment to be sharpened to be picked up and delivered (no customers at the garage), on Lot 20, Ocean Vista Gardens, 4246 Alpha St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 78, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 24 , 199

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

and a start burger of the ass to and a start burger is

,

Survey of the spin rule from war which the

11. 18 18 18 18 18

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7368</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter M. and Laurale McKellar to construct a single family residence on the Southerly 25 ft. of the Northerly 50 ft., and the Southerly 25 ft. of the Northerly 75 ft. of the Easterly 100 ft. of Lot 4, Block 126, La Playa, being on the West side of San Elijo St. 75 ft. North of Kellogg St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 24 , 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	Jouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 24 - 49$ By	
1	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{g_{-\nu 4-49}}{\rho_{\rm crision}}$	Hearing date
Decision (1pprovel	Date /
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk - 25-49	Building Inspector 8-26-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $l - \sqrt{5-49}$ Planning Commission $8 - \sqrt{6} - 49$ Petitioner 8	- v 6-49 Health Department 8 - v 6 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second se

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7364</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles M. and Angela Karner to erect a residence with a 5 ft. setback, on Lots 23 and 24, Block 2, San Diego Property Union, on the West side of Bancroft St., North of Ash St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ August 24.____, 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

	F1/1/1 / ///
Application Received	y City Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made $4 - \chi \sqrt{- \chi q}$ By	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Confinitee 8-24-49 Decision approval	Hearing date
Decision (lppional	Date $8 - \nu f - 49$. 1
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-> 5-49	Building Inspector 1-16-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\beta - \gamma \beta - 49$ Planning Commission $\beta - \gamma \beta - 49$ Petitioner β Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	-v6-49 Health Department 8-v6-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second

that the list where the set of the start of the first of the last of the start of the set of the set of the start of the

,

TIAID

LY HE TONN MARY

Letter dated August 15, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3722, be granted to Rodney E. Maurer to construct a residence and garage with no setback from Pringle St., on Lots 20 and 21 in Block 88, and the closed portion of Pringle St., Middletown Addition, being on the Southwesterly side of Pringle St., the 3900 block, provided that no part of the house project beyond the property line, according to the sketches submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 24 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

R

P	7/1// 1
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{8-\sqrt{4}-49}{9}$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Confinittee 1	Iearing date
Decision approval	Date 8 + 2 4 - 49
Planning Commission 8-26-49 Petitioner	- VG-49 Health Department 8 - 26 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-2-49 E Planning Commission 8-26-49 Petitioner 8 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the product of the last

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7158</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dean H. and Jeanne V. Hansen to build a single family residence on Lot 87 (except a triangular parcel), Point Loma Villas, at Poinsettia and Curtis Sts., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 24 , 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

	P q Q
Application Received	_ By City Planning Department
Investigation made $8 - 74 - 49$	_ By <u>Cllen, Lerus</u> durton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Condmittee &	City Flanning Department
CURTIS	
ALCOTT ST.	
	1 = 100

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7390</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. F.and Nellie May Friedkin to add 2-1/2 ft. to an existing 6 ft. wall on Lot 8, Block 30, La Jolla Hermosa No. 2, 5904 Waverly Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ August 24 , 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\beta = \chi \psi - \psi q$ By (City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-49 Her	aring date
Decision Uppermal Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-v5-49</u> Bui Planning Commission <u>8-v6-49</u> Petitioner <u>8-</u>	lding Inspector $l = - \sqrt{6 - 49}$
Planning Commission 7 49 Petitioner 8- Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou	-49 Health Department $849uncil Hearing, date$
Decision of Council Dat	te
Resolution becomes effective Cor	ntinued to
Time limit extended to Dat	te of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald L. Dunn to split out that portion of the Northwesterly 1/4 of the Northeasterly 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 1199 lying North of Birmingham Drive, except the Easterly 553.05 ft., and erect a single family residence upon it, being on the North side of Brimingham Drive, approximately 100 ft. East of Normandie St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 24 , 1949

By__

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received _______ -By. a City Planning Department Investigation made <u>1-24-49</u> By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _ 8-214-49 Hearing date Decision (pkional) Date l = 24-49Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-35-49Building Inspector 8-35-49Planning Commission 8-25-49 Petitioner 8-35-49 Health Department 8Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action Normandie HESTERTON 1264 2 111 , are he country attended on the work of which the second is an argue he are been and The The Lines, Tales and the sector party and the sector and the set of the set 1 = 200

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7400</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Captain Y. N. Adams to construct a single family residence with a 10 ft. setback, on a portion of Villa Lot 128, Parcel A, Normal Heights, according to the legal description of file in the Planning Department Office, being approximately 72 ft. North of Sidney Place, on East Mountain View Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>August 24</u>, 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

and the second	0×1
Application Received <u>8-17-49</u> I	By City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 2 - 49$ I	By Allen, Verus Querto
Considered by Zoning Committee & 24-	City Planning Department
Decision Constant of Clerk 1-25-4 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-4 Planning Commission 1-46-49 Petitioner	Date $\beta_{-} \times 4 - 49$ 9 Building Inspector $l - 2l_{2} - 49$
Planning Commission 8-46-49 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	S- x6-49 Health Department S- x 6-49 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.....

-

tehning and on the tot

the survey of the sector of the state of the state of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector

1 1. 15

,

N

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7325</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George and Virginia Peterson to split four lots into two parcels, each to be 58-1/2 ft. by 100 ft., and construct a single family residence on each parcel, with a 15 ft. setback on Albion St. and a 5 ft. setback on John St., being Lots 17 through 20 in Block 13, Roseville Heights, on the Easterly corner of Albion and John Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 1949

By____

Secretery

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	an fice
	City Planning Department
specific site	I all of the second
Investigation made <u>\$-24-49</u> By	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Confinitee <u>8-24-49</u> Decision Uppional	Hearing date
Decision (plannal	Date $\int_{-}^{-} \frac{\pi y}{4} - \frac{49}{4}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8- 75-49	Building Inspector 1 - 26 - 49
Decision $(k \not k \cdot \nu)$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $k \cdot \nu \cdot \mu q$ Planning Commission $l \cdot \nu \cdot \mu q$ Petitioner	- Y 6 - 49 Health Department 8 - 16 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Desolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Time limit extended to	

a v ri are con la continuer a la acorte de continue de la continue de la continue de la continue de la continue La continue de la continue de la continue de la contente de la contente de la contente de la contente de la cont La contente de la cont

Par 2002

,