

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to R. J. Widen to add a hobby room to an existing garage, the over-all length to be 36 ft., and 720 sq. ft. in area, with a 3 ft. sideyard, Lots F-G, Block 43, Mission Beach, 820 Deal Court, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

101

49	By		XX XXX XX				
	Zoning	Engineer	Secretary	Res.	No.	4	

FORM 2145

Dated_

August 24

, 19

" Die of San Diego, California, and the swidence presented has shown (see Suction

- I Dat best at the property between the second states of constructions applicable to the property for the second second to be an interested which a second supply second by the sther property in the second se
- work unmerstary in the application is necrosory for the preservation is ne
- The second second
- at the foreign of the satisfies of the reneration of a provision of the Hatthorn Plan of the City
- HEREBOOL, D. IT ARSOLVED, BY THE ZUTTING COMMITTEE OF the City of San Diance,
- and has been a for the second of the state of the second second second second second second second second second

- Investigation made _______ By_ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 8-2 49 Hearing date Decision approval Date 8- - 4-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-25-49 Building Inspector 8-26-49 Planning Commission 8 - × 6 - 49 Petitioner 8 - × 6 - 49 Health Department 8 - × 6 - 49 Append filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council_ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

By

City Planning Department

Application Received _____

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7372</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edwin W. Schlehuber to add three garages with a single apartment above, to an existing duplex, with a 16 ft. setback, on the West 45 ft. of Lots 21, 22 and 23, Block 30, Fairmount Addition to City Heights, 4107-4105 Estrella Ave., Zone R-4, subject to the architectural control of the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>August 24</u>, 1949

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary.

A CARLE A COMPANY

Res. No. 4102

FORM 2145

- The provide the state of the st

- time the provision of the skylance will fill advancedy effort the Master Fign of the City
- ,

The second of the property of the second of

totate the on the participation of the second of the second of the

the second we post the second second species we want The man of the second of the second of the second of the second of the

THE TARY AND AND

Application Received __________ By City Planning Department Investigation made $\frac{l-\gamma \sqrt{-\gamma q}}{By}$ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1- 21-49 Hearing date Date 8-24-49 Decision Cond. exproral Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-49 Building Inspector 8-26-49 Planning Commission 8 - 7 6 - 49 Petitioner 8 - 76 - 49 Health Department 8 - 7 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

WHEREAS, Application No. 7377 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. B. Rippee, to erect a concrete block wall 9 ft. high over-all, of which 5 ft. will be retaining wall and 4 ft. will be free-standing wall, on Lot 3, Point Loma Manor, 997 Catalina Blvd., Zone R-L.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ August 24 , 1949

By

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4103

FORM 2145

RIUREAN Application No. 1991 has been considered by the Zoning Committee to City of Sac Diego, Collifornia, and the evidence presented has above (see Section / Definitions No. 25.4, as amounted).

- 1. That there are included and the second second and a soull tions applicably to the property in the same second second second were do not apply generally to after property in the same second second
- burnelity, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation
 burnelity, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation
 burnelity, and that the granting the application is _______.
- The provide structure of the contraction will 10.5 materially affect the health or antery of the particular of the particular of and will the best of the particular of the property of the property of the particular in the original particular of the property of the property of the particular in the original particular of the property of the property of the particular of the particular of the property of the particular of the property of the property of the particular of the particular of the particular of the particular of the property of the property of the particular of the particular of the particular of the property of the particular of the particul
- A Training a training of the sections will will advantably affect the Martine Plan of the City
- THE NET OLD THE NEEDLYED, By the Feblug Committee of the City of San Dispo.

an and the set of set in the set

,

Application Received	1-19-49	By	F.W.	/ /c (onnel
Application Received	<u> </u>		City Planni	ng Department	000009
Investigation made		By		ng Department	Juston
Considered by Zoning C Decision <i>Approximation</i> Copy of Resolution sent to Planning Commission 8-	opmittee <u>8-24</u>	$-\frac{49}{2}$ Hea Date	ring date 8-24-49 ding Inspector 9-	- 26-49	
Diaming Commission	76-49 Petiti	oner 9->	6-49 Health D	epartment 8	
Appeal filed with City Cle	rk. date	Cou	ncil Hearing, date	1648 (D4 1184	and be don-
Decision of Council	worked has the	Dat	a shall be mill	mad world	1000 8-0013 (AU
Resolution becomes effec	tive				
Application withdrawn			tinued to		
Time limit extended to		Dat	e of action		

FILMO

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7394</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur J. and Vera O'Keefe to construct a single family residence with a 5 ft. setback from the front property line on Lucinda St., on the North 1/2 of Lots 1 and 2, Block 2, Golden Park, being on the Northeast corner of Golden Fark Ave. and Lucinda St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

in in sea preparer on arrive above

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_August 24 , 1949

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4104

the City of Bar Brown, California, and the widence presented has shown (see Section of Orallower has a supervised).

- the second state of an apply guidently to other property in the new
- at these the granting of the stylication with the anterially affect the health as safety of Provins creduling in anterial satisfies the polyher anterial satisfies the polyher at the polyher set of the set of t
- Provide and stated of any king to the subgrammer, mus will <u>the R</u> in more suity detrinances to the particular any the particular to the particular subgrammer in the particular subscription.
- of the first
- Thisking of the It ansolved, By the Joning Committee of the City of Sen Diego.
- terrent des pour l'abbenes, conservation abband. L'entres des pour l'abbenes, conservations d'abband, se sant "resource at pour les sources at pour les sources de l'abbenes des sources at pour les sources de la source de la sources de la so

Investigation made $3 - 24 - 49$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-\frac{y}{-y$	Date $8 $
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action

City Planning Department

Application Received ____

RESOLUTION NO. 4105

Letter dated August 18, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. 2010 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

see 4794

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to ResolutionNo. 3412 be granted to Ralph E. Beck to operate a knife-sharpening and repair shop in an existing storage building at 3821 Alpha St. on Lots 19 and 20, Block 419, Duncan's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Part-time, not to exceed 25 hours per week;
- 2. No signs to be erected on the premises;
- 3. No employees;
- 4. This permit to expire on June 30, 1950.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated __August 24____, 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Vetta	P
Application Received	By all
	City Planning Department
0	- 110 f and -
Investigation made	_ By <u>Alen, lerus</u> Juston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee M- 2	4-49 Hearing date Date $1-24-49$ Joiner $1-24-49$ Joiner $1-24-49$ Health Department $1-24-49$ Council Hearing, date
Decision a ekkeonal	, Date $(-24 - 49)$
Copy of Recolution sent to City Clerk	S-49 Building Inspector 8-46-49
Planning Commission 1-36-49 Petiti	ioner 8-26-49 Health Department 8-26-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second second to the terrent the started openat through an entry I TATI AND A PARTY OF THE OF CREAMAGE No. 13516 bu, and do

South the second of the second states work and

.

.....

10 6r 1761 711

The state but the real of the set set to be the

in arthur a set of a

DET LY' NTER

BOTH UNDERSTARTY

are grage

to be often to a sufference of the second states of

the of a presentation bo descliption. The so present so thigh as

- 1
- 4 . That the growting of the sectorie with ROD adversely afters the Mantee Plan of the City

Furt there are applied to the property processing and the property property to the property to

- the public welface a itraine to the property or improvements in the meighborhood. persons complete or working in the neighborhood, and will. Bill be writerially detrivented to

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are __ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. S. M. Dingwall to construct and operate a small-animal hospital, with the incidental boarding of dogs, on Lots 32 and 33, Block 9, La Jolla Park, 7520 Fay Ave., Zone M-1, on the following conditions:

- That any portion of the building housing small animals be so 1. constructed that noise from the interior will not carry to the exterior of the building to such an extent that it will annoy neighboring property owners or tenants: Animals to be kept within the building at all times.
- 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ August 24_____, 19_49

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4106

Application ReceivedB	y Jaw Lize City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - \gamma 4 - 49$ By	y <u>Clean erus</u> uston
Decision	Hearing date Date $f = \sqrt{-49}$ Building Inspector $f = \sqrt{6-49}$ $8 = \sqrt{6} = \sqrt{9}$ Health Department $8 = \sqrt{6} = \sqrt{9}$ Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

1.1

1

RESOLUTION NO. 4105

DEFEAS, Application No. 7357

anterio de de la contra de la c

and the first state of the set of

- a , that the granting of the suscence will _ 111 b _ advantably affact the Master Plan of the City
- the public whither on injustance to the crowerty or inguingening in the metalbook

and coloyment of substantial property rights of the patitioner, pressed by other property 7388

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph and Marcella Mills to maintain an existing chimney 7 ft. 6 in. high, and 5-1/2 lineal ft. of fence 7 ft. above the lowest adjacent ground level, on Lot 7, Block 22, Valencia Park No. 2, 5421 Olvera Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____August 24___, 19_49

By

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4107

FORM 2145

	and the second se

216

This been consulered by the Zoning Committee The District of Day Diego, Cakifornia, and the evidence presented has shown free Section of Orefornise No. 2024, is amended);

- There the strength in the property interimed, which do not apply generally to other property to the name error and a set a set of a set of the set of the
- I. The entrol application of the regulations would ______ necessary for the preparation would _______ necessary for the preparation and entropy of a substantial property rights of the petitioner, percessed by other property contents is any supervised by other property contents is a supervised by other property contents in the property contents of the pertition of
- 3. That the greating of the softire incention of the anterially affect the health or antery of personal reading at working in the neutrinoid, and all the saturistic detriperated to the pathotic antipologies to the proventy or improvements in the netwidenty detriperated to
- of har the promiting of the votations will advertually affect the Master Plan of the City of har Dispri-
- THEREFORD, DE 27 RESCUVER, DS THE ZAMINE COMMITTEE OF the City of Sab Diego.

struget we by the protochars of an include Ma. 2001, be, one is here y

Application ReceivedB	
/	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{1-\sqrt{4}-\sqrt{4}}{B}$	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-\nu - 49$ Decision <i>Appendent</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1-\nu - 49$ Planning Commission $1-\nu - 49$ Petitioner	Building Inspector $l = \nu l = 49$ $l = \nu l = 49$ Health Department $l = \nu l = 49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Héaring, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7401</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ not _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Jennie Schwartz to erect a 2-car garage with approximately no setback, on Villa Lot 263, University Heights, 4465 Arch St., Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated August 24 , 1949

By___

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4108

Lee Les.# 9 5118 followite

FORM 2145

Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1</u>	By <u>Clleer</u> , Kerns Ducton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\int -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\frac{4}{2}}$ Decision $\int \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\frac{4}{2}}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underbrace{9-\frac{1}{2}}$ Planning Commission $I - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\frac{4}{2}}$ Planning Commission $I - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\frac{4}{2}}$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date $\underbrace{9-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{4}{2}}$ Decision of Council $\underbrace{-\frac{3}{2}}_{\text{custanick}}$ Resolution becomes effective	19 Building Inspector <u>8-26-49</u> 8-26-49 Health Department 8-26-49
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to	

7/1/10

RESOLUTION NO. ALCO

- That strikes were reacted at the regulations would do the ADS Decembery for the preservation

- 1

an black build for the real for the build above.

the stand of the stand of the provident of the stand of t

and the property bound that we are the property of the second subset is the other

the transferred and the second of the second

RESOLUTION NO. 95118

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Mrs. Jennie Schwartz, 4465 Arch Street, San Diego 3, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying her permission to erect a 2-car garage with approximately no setback, on Villa Lot 263, University Heights, 4465 Arch Street, Zone R-2, be, and it is hereby overruled and denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 95118 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council SEP 13 1949

FORM 1270 10M - 12/48

FRED W. SICK Helen M. Willig City Clerk.

By

Deputy.

See Neo. # 4108 preceding

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7415</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marvin R. Willis, to build a residence on the Easterly 1/2 of the 12-1/2 acre parcel known as the Northerly 365 ft. of the Southerly 1575 ft. of Pueblo Lot 1290, on the Ardath Road Extension, Zone R-1, a residence presently existing on the Westerly 1/2 thereof, on the following condition:

That the owner grant an easement 80 ft. in width across the property in the proximity of the present road, the detailed alignment to be determined and agreed upon between the owner and the City of San Diego.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 24 , 1949

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

R

Res. No. 4109

FORM 2145

Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-x4-49</u> By <u>Cleves</u> <u>By Luton</u> <u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee $g_{-\gamma} - 4 - 4 - 9$ Hearing date Decision $f_{-\gamma} - 4 - 4 - 9$ Date $f_{-\gamma} - 4 - 4 - 9$ Planning Commission $f_{-\gamma} - 4 - 4 - 9$ Petitioner $f_{-\gamma} - 4 - 4 - 9$ Health Department $f_{-\gamma} - 4 - 4 - 9$ Petitioner $f_{-\gamma} - 4 - 4 - 9$ Health Department $f_{-\gamma} - 4 - 4 - 9$ Date Decision of Council Date Date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to

transa to the provintene of themas to the poor of the the

A STATE OF A

(1)

- charge the sup four the Associated of the Buby scaling a " the manage of A said the beaution as the

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7363</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u><u>nov</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.</u>

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W.T. and Marguerite Rhodes to construct a garage with no sideyard, 12 ft. from the front property line, with 45% coverage, on the East 50 ft. of Lot 26, Resubdivision of Block 80, Park Villas, 3584 Park Villa Drive, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

the she medeorry concerned whoma

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 24 , 1949

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4110

Application Received _	<u>8-17-49</u> By	City Planning Department	Anne
Investigation made		City Planning Department	but,
Decision (pp. 100 Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk $\underbrace{f 6 - 49}_{f 49}$ Petitioner Clerk, date	Building Inspector <u>8-26-49</u> 8-46-49 Health Department 8	26-49
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		Continued to Date of action	

7/ // >

- the least of its the second which do not spoly generally to other presently in the second

- The street spectrum of the scentistics worth

The second se

the second se

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7380</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Texas Co. to replace the existing building and enlarge the service station on the North 100 ft. of the West 20 ft. of Lot 45, and the North 100 ft. of Lots 46 through 48, Block 6, Watkin's and Biddle Subdivision, being on the Southeast corner of Juniper and 31st Streets, Zone R-4, on the following condition:

That the owner grant an easement for a corner cut-off, subject to the approval of the City Engineer.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 1949

the pains extended to

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

	and the construction of the state of the state
and a second to be all the	-1)/1
and the second day of the second s	1 x e / U AA
1 11 1 1 1 1 1 - 18 - 49	By () (marell
Application Received	City Planning Department
In 1: 1: 10 do 8-24-49	By Allen, Cerus & Susting
Investigation made $3 - 7 - 49$	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 18 - 24-	49Hearing date 9-7-49
Considered by Zonnis Comment	Date 9 - 7 - 49
Constantion sent to City Clerk 9-8-	19 Building Inspector 9-149
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Construction sent to City Clerk <u>9-8-</u> Planning Commission <u>9-1x-49</u> Petitione	er 9-12-49 Health Department 9-12-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the state of the second we better of the second along another as and The set of the press of the set of the set of the set of the

The state of the state success.

The surveyor is a second of any bur, and have sure out the south and there' by and

The set of the set of

and in in intervente the same contras Return in. so receives the called

,

TO PORT OF THE STOCKED AND A TRACK OF STORES

x

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7421</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Texas Co. to erect 1 banjo sign, 2 Texaco signs and 2 signs containing 6 in. lettering on the service bay. Also a light pole and sign standard with no setback, the banjo sign structure to have approximately 4 ft. setback, and a pump island with a 12 ft. setback, according to the plan submitted, all setbacks to be from Juniper Street, being on the North 100 ft. of the West 20 ft. of Lot 45, and the North 100 ft. of Lots 46 through 48, Block 6, Watkin's and Biddle Subdivision, on the Southeast corner of Juniper and 31st Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 149

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4112

FORM 2145

111111111111111111111111111111111111111
Application ReceivedBy By City Planning Decontment
City Planning Department
Investigation made By alley terns have to unto
City Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2- 2/-49 Hearing date 9-7-49
Decision Composition sent to City Clerk <u>9-9-49</u> Planning Commission <u>9-12-49</u> Planning Commission <u>9-12-49</u> Petitioner <u>9-12-49</u> Petitioner <u>9-12-49</u> Council Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-9-49</u> Building Inspector <u>9-1x-49</u>
Planning Commission 7-12-49 Petitioner 7-12-47 Health Department 7-12-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

torion for the top of the batcher the readed apoint theorem of a subjected and the second of the muse go. Tobat, by and to

and the stand of the sport de, should be meretary and

The state of the service of the service of the state of the state of the service of the service

and all appropriate the state of the state o and a set of the state how and a state of a state of the state of the the second of the second states and the first the second of the the provide the prise of the part of the part of the street parts

cust were a rought the state date troop spons.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7280</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert R. Jumblatt to erect and operate a service station on Lots H and I in Block 175, Mission Beach, being on the Northwest corner of Mission Blvd. and Santa Clara Place, Zone R-C, on the following conditions:

- 1. Hours of operation to be from 7:00 A.M. to 9:00 P.M .:
- 2. A landscaping plan to be submitted to the Planning Department
- Office, with landscaping to be carried out to its satisfaction; 3. The owner to grant to the City an easement for a corner cut-off, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3323, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

This variance to be granted to the Shell Oil Co. only, and no other.

1

FORM 2145

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 1949

Ву____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received $\frac{8-78-49}{2}$ E	By City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{l}{\sqrt{-49}}$ E	By <u>Cllen, Terus</u> , <u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision and · append Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-8-49</u> Planning Commission 9-1	Hearing date $\underline{\$ 49}$ Date $9 - 7 - 49$ Building Inspector $9 - 1 49$ 9 - 1 49 Health Department $9 - 1 49Council Hearing, dateDate$
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the meriding possions and the the period of the sector of the sector and a verilanda berila provisione of trainings No. 3353, New Series, Do.

comparts and y const of the first

tente. der . ETTA

1. Nouvries contraction to on ther 2130 http://www.arg.com. includes filling to be cherited to and thereine Dopart Cont. Contract, there are been of we contract out to its unterpretedual. al provide and they on the reliencing condications and the state the second of the present of the state of the second Cherry and the state of the total the state of the store IAS, state and

,

- a time the standing of the parameters and the annersely affect the Morter Plan of the Caty
- mentance confidence as working in the presidence and will Diff. be meterially detrimental to

- tradition and that the gravitur of the application is _____ necessary for the presentation

success and strategies of conditions applicable to the property

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7217</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph Avoyer to construct a residence, a portion of which to have an 8 ft. setback on Rosecrans St., on Lots 17 and 18 and a portion of Lot 28, Block 18, Montemar Ridge No. 1, at the intersection of Rosecrans St. and Malaga St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7, 1949

By

Secretury

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4114

Application Received By fait fise
City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-7-49 Bulleev, Verus, Channess Just
Investigation made 9-7-49 By lleev, lerus, haunen Vert
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-7-49</u> Hearing date Decision <i>Oppinial</i> Date <u>9-7-49</u> Date <u>9-7-49</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-1-49 Building Inspector 9-12-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-1-49</u> Building Inspector <u>9-12-49</u> Planning Commission <u>9-12-49</u> Petitioner <u>9-12-49</u> Health Department <u>9-12-49</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

within an hear 1.

REPAIR NO.

tarren re plis leviezel metaleri mater

,

is the second we be also betrade to the proper upone, theorem as they

A statistical of the second of the second statistics of the second states a real-second statistic of a light to be to out a feet subbrase on Respect on Second states a second statistic statistic of the second statistics of the second states of the second states a second states a second states of the second states and solve the second states of the second states of the second states and second states a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7354</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. W. McGrath to erect a chain link fence 5 ft. high, on a retaining wall with a maximum height of 5 ft., a maximum over-all height of 10 ft., on the West 140 ft. of Lot 22, Lemon Villa, being on the Northeast corner of 54th St. and University Ave., Zones C and R-1, on the following condition:

That an Agreement be signed by the owner to remove any structures erected beyond the setback line, at his own expense, when the streets are widened, and upon the request of the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

agreement 601 3/22/49.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 1949

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4115

State State State State State	D. O. River and ho. 1225
Application Received <u>8-19-49</u> E	ByCity Planning Department
Investigation made $-\frac{9-7-49}{}$ E	St lleur, Terus, hauners Jurto
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-7-49 Decision and approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-8-49 Planning Commission 9-12-49 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Hearing date Date $9 - 7 - 49$ Building Inspector $9 - 1 - 49$ 9 - 13 - 49 Health Department $9 - 1 - 49Council Hearing, dateDate$
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

control and by the provident is control three, the attack to be and the second

errorie ster titte ishredin tio ori e i tri rei errorie uptit ette ietorie errorie dit ster titte errorie errorie errorie errorie errorie errorie errorie

the symptotic distribution of an and the state of the sta

The Distance of the state of the state of the

1

- a model, my to the one faterand, which do not apply generally to other property in the same

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. W. McGrath to erect a chain link fence 5 ft. high on a retaining wall with a maximum height of 5 ft., to a maximum over-all height of 10 ft., on the front property line (O ft. setback), on the West 140 ft. of Lot 22, Lemon Villa, being at the Northeast corner of 54th St. and University Ave., Zones C and R-1, on the following condition:

That an Agreement be signed by the owner to remove any structures erected beyond the setback line, at his own expense, when the streets are widened, and upon the request of the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 19 49

By____

Secretary .

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

and the second se	31.5		
Application Received	-19-49	By) aston
		City Planning D	epartment R
Investigation made7=	7-49	By Alens Nerus	hannessalui
		City Planning D	
Considered by Zoning Com Decision and a koro Copy of Resolution sent to C Planning Commission 9-1	mittee 9-7-49	Hearing date	and w an algorith stationary
Decision and abbien	nat	Date 9-7-49	Ave and triant on the
Copy of Resolution sent to C	Tity Clerk 9-8-5	2 Building Inspector 9-1	2-49
Planning Commission 9-1	~-49 Petitione	9-13-49 Health Depar	tment 9-1 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk,	date	_ Council Hearing, date	CERT IN CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF THE
Decision of Council	et by this ren	Date	aread and shall be
Resolution becomes effective			
Application withdrawn		Continued to	
Time limit extended to		Date of action	

. . The state of the provident of further not do. 32301, bo, with 16 heroly

or she hay an and a strate is has been apprended in service of

The second second and the second s

(1)17

- The the granting of the application will _______ meterially affect the health of weighty of
- ,

The free to the second read with

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7406</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to C. N. Miller to add a kitchen in an apartment building having an illegal 1 ft. rear yard, on the West 1/2 of Lots 25 and 26 in Block 66, University Heights, 2880 Meade Ave., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7, 19 49

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

$P_{1} = P_{1} = P_{1$
Application Received <u>1-19-49</u> By
City Planning Department
Investigation made P=7-19 By <u>Illew, lesus hausest</u> ut
Considered/by Zoning Committee 9-9-49 Hearing date
Decision Date $9 - 7 - 49$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-8-49</u> Building Inspector <u>9-12-49</u> Planning Commission 9-12-49 Petitioner 9-12-49 Health Department 9-12-49
Planning Commission 9-12-49 Petitioner 9-12-49 Health Department 9-12-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Date
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

The the stands of the relieve will a deversely affect the Bester Pier of the City

The second and a to the so the heaters on out the bear of the source of the source of

The second second is the second of the second of the second secon

the set for a set of the set of

1

are 100 special circlestances or conditions applicable to the property

City at East Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7399</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Edmund L. and Mayme Walgraeve to maintain an existing garage-residence with no sideyard on Lots 11 through 14 in Block 198, City Heights, at 4044 LaVerne Place, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 1949

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

the state of the result tons would the second work unrecentery

1

and a the second state of the se

The sector of the sector of the sector of or the sector of the

11 8 9

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7404</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to John Cantlin to convert an existing garage to living quarters, with 5 ft. between buildings, on the East 1/2 of Lot 6, Block E, Culverwell and Taggart's Subdivision, 908 - 24th St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>September 7</u>, 1949 FORM 2145 By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4119

Application Received By autise	
City Planning Department	
Investigation made 9-7-49 By llew, Lews, Chausers and Lew	I
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-7-49 Hearing date	
Decision $Curra de Date 9 - 1 - 49$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-8-49</u> Building Inspector <u>9-1-49</u>	
Planning Commission 9-12-49 Petitioner 9-12-49 Health Department 9-12-49	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

1/1/

	100 million and		

,

the second property of the second property of the second property of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7371</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. E. J. Lorentzen and Dr. I. W. Parks, owners, and J. J. Evans, lessee, to conduct a dental laboratory in an existing dental clinic building, with the privilege of working for outside dentists, in addition to the clinic offices, on Lot 23, Block E, Las Lomas, 2236 Chatsworth Blvd., Zone R-4, providing that there are no signs on the exterior of the premises.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

second in the second second second

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated September 7 , 199

By

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4120

Application Received <u>l-v3-49</u> B	
/	City Planning Department
Investigation made $-\frac{7-7-49}{B_2}$ By	allen, Terus, Chaucessy Lee
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-7-49	Hearing date
A ADIADIAA	
Convert Desolution sent to Lity Clerk 1-8-77	Building Inspector $\int -12 - 49$
Planning Commission 7-1 47 Petitioner	7-12-4/ Health Department /-12-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1-1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7367</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rose C. Shepherd and James Hewey Johnson, owners, and James C. Watts, lessee, to conduct a laundry and cleaning establishment on all of Lots K and L, and on the North 25 ft. of Lot J, Horton's Addition, 1148-10th Ave., Zone C, on the following conditions:

Northerly Existing call office to be used as call and general office; 75 ft: Maximum of 10 employees; Boiler to be removed within 6 months; Power equipment to be removed.

Southerly Maximum of 25 HP boiler; 50 ft: Maximum of 25 HP electrical equipment; Maximum of 25 employees.

Dry cleaning and laundry service for wearing apparel only (no flat work); All loading and unloading to be done off-street;

(over)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 31 , 1949

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

The present parking area to be maintained until such time as the City adopts an Ordinance requiring off-street parking for such business establishments.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13008, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Jul den i Julia

The stand prime

NYURY LOND DURING THE SEC.

Jose Martin and a

LAD AND A COMPANY . THE

. . //

.....

1.5

Date of action	l'ime limit extended to
Continued to	Application withdrawn
	Resolution becomes effective
Date	Decision of Council
Council Hearing, date	Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
9-12-49 Health Department 9-12-49	Planning Commission /-/ roleining guinner
Building Inspector 9-12-49	LODY OF Resolution sent to CITY CIETR /
Date 8-31-49	prostant & Ducisical
Hearing date	Considéred by Zoning Committee 8-31-49
	5- 12-8
	Investigation made B_
City Planning Department	
	Application ReceivedBB
	the work of the second s
1.0.9.	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7410</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Margaret Campbell to continue to operate an existing child care registry (baby-sitting business) in the residence on Lots 4 and 5, Block H, McFadden and Busion's North Park Subdivision, 3681 Ray St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. No signs to be posted on the premises:
- 2. No advertising of the address;
- 3. This permit to expire on June 30, 1950.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 19,9

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Rel 4730 6

and the second of the second se	
Application ReceivedB	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-7-49</u> B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-7-49	Hearing date
Decision on a period Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-8-49</u> Planning Commission 9-149 Petitioner	Date 9- 7-19
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-8-49	Building Inspector $9 - 1 - 7 - 79$
Planning Commission 9-12-49 Petitioner	9-12-49 Health Department 9-1~ - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Perision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Fime limit extended to	Date of action

. .

,

1.0/11/1

.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ NO _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to W. N. Thornton to erect an addition 28 ft. wide to an existing auto repair garage which is in the M-1 Zone, said addition to extend 22 ft. into the adjoining R-4 lot, Lots 3 through 5, Block 5, South Chollas Addition, 3416 Newton Ave.

Application for a variance te the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 1949

By

Secretary

FORM 2145

5

Zoning Engineer

Application ReceivedB_yBy	0
Investigation made <u>9-7-49</u> By <u>Iller</u> , <u>City Planning Department</u>	Just
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-7-49</u> Hearing date Decision Leucal Date <u>9-7-49</u>	
Decision Leucal Date 9-7-49	
Decision Lewis 1 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9-8-49$ Planning Commission $9-1-49$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date $9-7-49$ Building Inspector $9-1-49$ 9-1-49 Council Hearing, date	
Planning Commission 9-12-49 Petitioner 9-12-49 Health Department 9-12-49	2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

,

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Leslie F. Rogers, owner, and A. N. Knoles, purchaser, to divide, and to construct a single family residence on the South 110 ft. of the West 60 ft. of Lot 21, East Redlands (approximate size) at El Cerrito Drive and Adams Avenue, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal as filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 19 49

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4124

FORM 2145

Application Received $\frac{l-25}{-9}$ By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{9-9-49}{9}$ By	allen, terns, Channes gut
Considered by Zoning Committee $\underline{9-7-49}$ Decision Convict to City Clerk $\underline{9-8-49}$ Planning Commission $\overline{9-122-49}$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date $9 - 7 - 49$ Building Inspector $9 - 7 - 49$ 7 - 7 - 49 Health Department $9 - 7 - 49Council Hearing, date$
Decision of Council	Date Continued to Date of action

ARTING AND AND A

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7369</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W.A. Neilson to construct a 12 ft. by 27 ft. addition to a residence with a 40 in. sideyard, the complete building to be stuccoed, on Lot 3, Block 12, Bird Rock Addition, 5559 Waverly Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 19 49

By_

Secretary-

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4125

P93
Application Received <u>8-25-49</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>
nvestigation made <u>9-1-49</u> By <u>lllew, lerus, hauners Dur</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{q-1-49}{p-1-49}$ Hearing date Decision Opproved Date $\frac{q-1-49}{p-1-49}$ Building Inspector $\frac{q-1-49}{p-1-49}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{q-8-49}{p-8-49}$ Building Inspector $\frac{q-1-49}{p-1-49}$ Planning Commission $\frac{q-1-2-49}{p-1-2-49}$ Petitioner $\frac{q-1-2-49}{p-1-2-49}$ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

,

RESOLUTION NO. 4126 See 4677-3420 \$2540

Letter dated August 25, 1949,

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That an extension be granted to Janet Mattoon to operate a beauty shop in an existing residence, part-time only, at 3885 Birch St., on Lot F, Block 295, Arlington.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1949.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated September 7 , 1949

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4126

FORM 2145

p	/V/· /
Application Received <u>8-6-49</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $-\frac{9-7-49}{By}$ By	alle City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-7-49</u> Decision approach	Hearing date Date 7-7-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9-8-49$ Planning Commission $9-7-49$ Petitioner 9	Date $7/-49$ Building Inspector $9-1-49$ -1-49 Health Department $9-1-49$ Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the second second second second second second second second

Part of the project of the

,

and the second second

and the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7410</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Saint Rita's Parish to build an addition to an existing church with a 7 ft. 6 in. setback, and an addition to the rectory with a 14 ft. setback, on Lots B, C and D, and on Lots V, W, X and Y, Block 13, Las Alturas No. 4, 5124 Churchward Street, East of Euclid Avenue, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 19 49

By

Zoning Engineer Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 8 - x/2 - 49 By	
Application Received <u>1-v6-49</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-7-19</u> By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-7-49	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9-8-49$ Planning Commission $9-1-49$ Petitioner	7 - 1 - 49 Health Department $9 - 1 - 49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council I Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to]	Date of action

,

211.0

and the state of the second second

the second party stands of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7379</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wilson L. and Fern L. Barley to erect an addition with **erections** 4 ft. sideyard on the rear of an existing residence which has approximately 1 ft. sideyard, on Lots 24 and 25, Block 6, Chester Park Addition, 4233 - 47th St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated September 7 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4128

Application Received By City Planning Department	
	?
Investigation made 9-7-49 By <u>llleer le rus</u> <u>haueuess</u>	leer
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-7-49</u> Hearing date Decision Approval Date <u>9-7-49</u>	
Decision <i>Approval</i> Decision <i>Approval</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>$9-8-49$</u> Building Inspector <u>$9-1\times-49$</u> Planning Commission $9-1\times-49$ Petitioner $9-1\times-49$ Health Department $9-1\times-49$	
Planning Commission 9-12-49 Petitioner 9-12-49 Health Department 9-12-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

and the state of the set of the s

(22)

,

we have not the second of the second states of the second second with the second second

and the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7432</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

" - -- 1

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred A. Griswold, owner, and the North Shore Lumber Co., lessee, to permit the existing lumber yard in Zone M-1 to expand into the C Zone, Lot 2, Block 6, Homeland Villa, 2946 Balboa Ave., on the following conditions:

- 1. The lumber to be stored in the C Zone within the limits of the existing fence;
- 2. Provided that the C Zone property is bounded on three sides by a picket fence similar to the one now existing, to be not over 50% open;
- 3. No woodworking or mill machinery to be installed in the C Zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 and Ordinance No. 3061, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 1949

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4129

Application Received 8 - 29 - 49 By	
Application Received <u>8-29-49</u> By	City Planning Department
Duration 1 9 1-49 Br	alleen erus hanness her
Investigation made <u>9-7-49</u> By	
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-7-49	City Planning Department Hearing date Date $9-7-99$ Building Inspector $9-1-99$ 9-1-99 Council Hearing, date
Decision by Zonnig Committee 4	Date $9_{-}7_{-}\sqrt{9}$
Const Desploy cent/to City Clerk 9-8-49	Building Inspector 9
Plan in Commission 2-10 (2 Petitioner	9-1 49 Health Department 9-1 - 49
App 1 sit 1 ith Cirry Clerk date	Council Hearing, date
Ppcal filed with Orey Cloth, date	Date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY OF

10

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6840</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sutherland and Floye Perkins to erect an apartment over the garage, making 4 units on the property, with 5 ft. between residences, 1 unit to be served by a 5 ft. access court, on Lot D, Block 277, Horton's Addition, 2431-33-35 Front St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7, 1949

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	. W/ clouvel
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-7-49</u> By	leus terus, Manues ang herton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-7-49 Hearing	ng date
Decision (Charles and ' Date	9- 7-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9-1-49$ Build Planning Commission $9 - 1 - 49$ Petitioner $9-1 - 1$	ng Inspector $\underline{7 - (x - y q)}$
Planning Commission 9 - 12-49 Petitioner 9-12	-49 Health Department 9-12-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Counc	il Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	should be multi and wood, and shall be
Resolution becomes effective	
	nued to
Time limit extended to Date of	of action

a service a service of the service o

CHERRORE OF IT DESCRIPTED, 35 the Zoning Committee of the City of Sam Diego. ,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7409</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vera Lehrer to erect a triplex on the rear of the lot where a single family residence exists, making a total of four family units, to be served by an 8 ft. access court, on Lot 21, Block 2, Florence Heights, 4032 Front St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. That at least three surfaced, off-street parking be maintained on the property;
- 2. That a gate be installed and maintained in the fence obstructing the North sideyard;
- 3. The garage structure at the rear to be painted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 7409, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 1949

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4131

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>1-29-49</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>
City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-7-49</u> By lleu, Jerus, Kannessing hert
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-1-49 Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-1-49 Hearing date Decision and approved Date 9-1-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-8-49 Building Inspector 9-1-49 Planning Commission 9-1-49 Petitioner 9-1-49 Health Department 9-1-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-8-49</u> Building Inspector <u>7-12-49</u>
Planning Commission 9-12-49 Petitioner 9-12-49 Health Department 9-12-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

murant grandor. . T

the sort of the series is defined and parabatined in the femological characteristics

to mouth a sub- magnetic all repairer but that pe mare many out

the pipter of the provisions of exclusion so, 3800, be, and 25 haven't

CUPPLETONE SPORE

the training?

COOLD T THEFT

to state a state and a state of the state while the state of an one control of the state of the

TIL GELTE, BE IT ESSOLVED. To the Loning Coonsiles of the City of San Diego. ,

the points writers or rejurious to the property or reprovements in the determody

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7365</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Fermission is hereby granted to Hayden S. Sears to make an addition to a bathroom with a .4 ft. sideyard, on the Easterly .4 ft. of Lot 67 and all of Lot 66, Talmadge Park, 4653 E. Talmadge Dr., Zone R-1, provided that no openings be placed in the wall adjacent to the side lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4132

Zoning Engineer

a stander 7 to ag	
Application Received By	
/ / /	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-7-49</u> By	lleer terus hannes Jui
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 17-1-49	Hearing date Date $7 - 7 - 49$ Building/Inspector $9 - 12 - 49$ 9 - 12 - 49 Health Department $9 - 12 - 49$
Decision and epperat	Date 7- 7-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-8-49</u>	Building/Inspector <u>9-1-2-49</u>
Planning Commission/ 9-12-49 Petitioner	9-1-49 Health Department $9-1-49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1970 NO. 1145

The City of Sau Diege. California, and the evidence presented has shown free Section

conditionly price has not detroised, which do not apply generally to other property in the same The state and the second classical or conditions applied in the property

personal particulation and and with the solution of a

that the granting of the application will labbe materially affact the health of interpret of periods resulting of working to the neighborhood, and mill the be asterially detrivened to

,

A TASK NOT DATA REALTING OF ANTIMATIC RELATED AND THE

in all an entre the observate on transment of the server o

and the second of Herden P. Tonso by rolling the medication

with namena on ma probably decorport opens.

1919 N 18 18

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7419</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rodger M. Scott and John P. Hill to construct a second story to an existing garage for the purpose of living quarters, with an 8 ft. rear yard, on Lot C, Block 3, Mission Beach, 815 Anacapa Court, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated September 7 , 1949

By

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4133

Application Received <u>1-29-89</u> By <u>t. W/Councilly</u>
City Planning Department
100 T 110 13
Investigation made 9-7-49 By alley lerus, hauner in furto
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-1-49</u> Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 9-7-49
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-8-49</u> Building Inspector <u>7-12-49</u> Planning Commission 9-12-49 Petitioner 9-12-49 Health Department 9-12-49
Planning Commission 9-12-49 Petitioner 9-12-49 Health Department 9-12-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

1

The sector is story of the lot we weather at the total Total P. 1921 be

Constants varanta - v/sR' gous page LEATER CARDEN, MARTINE CARD MALADINE BARRIE CARDEN PURPORE ST.

this on hereigh databallers of for here being an abread sponse. In This wed he man bid farmers of stal wante me night heeping at no!

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7429</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. L. and Elba Arnold to erect a 20 ft. by 32 ft. garage (640 sq. ft.) with a 13 in. sideyard and a 7 ft. rear yard, on Lot 392, Block 19, Grown Point, 3337 Buena Vista St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

STA US OBLING .

asker weilt 18. Das train als. Con

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 1949

FORM 2145

By

Zoning Engineer

hand the short of white a the far af demonstra

Secretary

Res. No. 4135

and sector and a sector and and	P19
Application Received <u>1-30-49</u> By	1 Juston
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-7-49</u> By	Allen Torus have and Dit
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 9 - 7-49 H.	earing date
Decision (loproval / 20 Da	ate 9-7-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 19-49 Bi	uilding Inspector $9 - 12 - 49$ -12 - 49 Health Department $9 - 1 - 49$
Planning Commission 9-12-49 Petitioner 9	$-1\nu - 49$ Health Department $9 - 1 - 49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ontinued to
	ate of action

The stand of the second s

The second state of the second of the second second state and

the second secon

- That there are a subjected elected transmer or conditions applicable to the property
- comments in the same some and vicinity.
- Lot the granting of the application will materially affect the bealth of agtury of
- recome combing of working in the morphorized, and will refler be materially detrimented to

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7383</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. I. Norell to divide a portion of the Southwesterly 155 ft. of Lot 27, La Mesa Colony, according to the plot plan and legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, being on Montezuma Road about 500 ft. West of La Dorna Drive, R-1, as follows:

Parcel // approximately 12,000 sq. ft. for one single family residence;
 Parcel //2 approximately 25,000 sq. ft. for one single family residence;
 Parcel //3 approximately 35,000 sq. ft. for one single family residence.

Each dwelling shall front on Montezuma Road, and shall have a minimum floor area of 800 sq. ft., exclusive of garage, porch, etc; No building shall be within 310 ft. of Catoctin Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 1949

54

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4134

	$\langle $
and Contraction of the second second	Y H
Application Received $l = \sqrt{p} - \sqrt{q} - \sqrt{q} B_{1}$	V fan fise City Planning Department
	and the on a the
Investigation made $\frac{9-7-49}{1-49}$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-7-99</u> Decision <u>appendia</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-8-49</u> Planning Commission <u>9-12-49</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>9</u>	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-8-49</u>	Building Inspector <u>9-12-49</u>
Planning Commission 9-1-49 Petitioner	Q-1~ -49 Health Department 9-1x -49 Council Hearing date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
au parte parte protection of	Cryinishid NA. 13530. Da. mairis hurship
	HETAS OF THEFTER' BONOR' SPICE
for the second second second second second	AND THE STORES AND SCREET IN AN ADDRESS
	A DO' TO THE AND ATT THE ADDITION TO THE ADDITION OF
9.2	to be the los age and the los services
09 53	
001 0	and an even cordina , creating to the
000	The second the second s
The same and the former the	
The server is the party of the the	when Committee of the City of San Diego.
and the second s	
a serie and a serie in the serie series of the series of t	The state of the state of the state of the state of the state
the service as place on print, some and the beat	erry on Apravenumes in the neighbordined.
a contract of the second of the application with	All and all and all the beat in health or referr of
	a deline peristand, passessed by other property
toda and the start reactive of the spot	TONE ++++
	L1'8+2
	CAON AMUZETUOM
	16'9EY
	uns bren considered by the Jonery Conmittee
	2 1#
	e 1# «9 05'2%

.

22.61

3
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7417</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Laurine Long to build a third living unit on a lot served by an 8 ft. court, on all of Lot 18 and the North 10 ft. of Lot 19, Block 147, University Heights, 4125 Louisiana St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 7 , 199

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

XSecrevary

anipalies Application for 112, has been considered by the Zuning Consister the circles of him Erequ, Collifering, and the evidence proprieted has shown (see Secrior

- the second the second of the application is the recently for the pression

- the state of the second of the second street the second black of the City
- ,

The second we have the start of the second sta

a service of the service of the pressing the string back and the service of the s

TO LEA ALL AND TY TYON THAT AND ARRIVEN INTRACTOR THE THE THE THE AREA

the set of the second by and the set of the set of the second sec

City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-7-49</u> Hearing date Decision <u>bbbc</u> Decision (p_{provid}) Decis / Date 9-7-49 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

Bv

By lleere

City Planning Department

Application Received ______49

March Inches

Investigation made ______9-7-49_____

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7424</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Clarence H. and Edna S. Busch to demolish an existing cottage at 4661 Long Branch Ave., and replace it with a single apartment over a two-car garage, making a total of four units on two lots, two units to be served by a 7 ft. access court, with a coverage of 45.3%, Lots 40 and 41, Block 4, Ocean Beach Park, 4659-61-63-65 Long Branch Ave., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 1949

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

· Status	-(1710
Application Received <u>8-31-49</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	lleen, Lerus hannes que
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-1-19</u> Hea Decision <i>Approval</i> Date	ring date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-9-49</u> Bui Planning Commission <u>9-1~-49</u> Petitioner 9-1	Iding Inspector $9 - 1 - 7 - 9$ - 79 Health Department $9 - 1 - 79$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou	ncil Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	
	tinued to

if the forther

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>**not**</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>necessary</u> for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Alice and A. E. O'Day to erect a 14 ft. by 17-1/2 ft. addition to an existing single family residence, thus converting to a duplex with a total of 5 units on the property, one unit to be served by a 5 ft. 6 in. access court, Lots 13 and 14, and that portion of Lot 15 lying West of a line drawn from a point on the South line 11 ft. West of the Southeast corner, to a point on the North line 9 ft. West of the Northeast corner, in Block 225 of University Heights, 1254 Robinson St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No.

4138

Secretary.

Dated September 7 , 19 49

By

FORM 2145

5.

.Zoning Engineer

and the face of the face of the	
Application Received	By t. V. I. Comel
······································	City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-7-49	By lleen, Verus, hannesson, Purt
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9 - 7-8	9 Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee $9 - 7 - 9$ Decision	Date 9- 1-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-8-4	Date $9 - 7 - 49$ 2 Building Inspector $9 - 12 - 49$ 7 - 12 - 49 Health Department $9 - 12 - 49$
Planning Commission 9-12 - 49 Petitioner	r 9-12-49 Health Department 9-12-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

· · / / / /

and an and the marked of the second law in the second and will a second a the restantially devision to be

1

supply in be, and is hereby dealed on the paretestance dested

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7446</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward J. Balcer to erect a single residence with a 20 ft. setback on Lot 6, Block 1, La Jolla Shores No. 1, being on the West side of Calle de la Plata, approximately 70 ft. North of Torrey Pines Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7, 1949

CONTRACT COCUM

By

Secretary.

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-7-49 By llen, Lerus, Chammers Ou
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date
Decision Approval Date 9-1-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-1-49 Planning Commission 9-1-49 Petitioner 9-12-49 Health Department 9-12-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-1-19</u> Building Inspector <u>9-12-49</u>
Planning Commission 9-1 - + 9 Petitioner 9-12-49 Health Department 9-12-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

A set the set of the s

/ r louion in porch, out i so contra d. [. lour so cross a rinkle r r louion in porch, out i so bound of louio, louio i louio i louio i louio i contra contra contra contra contra contra de louio, hepresidente r r recenterente contra contra contra contra de louio, hepresidente r r recenterente contra contra contra contra contra contra contra contra contra r r recenterente contra r r recenterente contra contr

- The set Distort

- intuchty, and that the granting of the opplication in incommery but the procession

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7447</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward J. Balcer to erect a single family residence with a 16 ft. 6 in. rear yard, on Lot 6, Block 1, La Jolla Shores No. 1, on the West side of Galle de la Plata, approximately 70 ft. North of Torrey Pines Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated September 7 , 1999

By_

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

and the second of the second o	F/T
Application Received By	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{9-7-49}{B}$ By	aller, Verus, hanness funto
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision abbimat	Date 9-7-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-8-49	Building Inspector $9 - 1 - 19$
Planning Commission 9-12 - 49Petitioner	Date $9-7-49$ Building Inspector $9-1-49$ 9-1-49 Health Department $9-1-49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1

to the state of the set of the set of the state of the state of the set of th

the state of the second st the most is the second of creiterson is the part the second is the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7465</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**RO**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William H. Black to build a bunk house and stable for a horse breeding farm on Lots G, H and I in Pueblo Lot 1312, and all of Pueblo Lot 1313, being on La Jolla Shores Drive near Highway 101, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13455, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Þage 358 37/

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 7 , 19 49

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

- sof sejerant of additioning property slibte of the John Johnsonsed by other property

- Distriction II RESPLICE De Con Moning Completee at the City of Sam Distor 1
- AND FOR FORMER FOR ENDINGS OF PUBLICATION STATES OF THE

Commenter of the state of the second state of

By Investigation made _____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{9-7-49}{1-49}$ Hearing date Decision Commission City Clerk $\frac{9-8-49}{1-8-49}$ Building Inspector $\frac{9-1-2-49}{1-8-49}$ Council Hearing, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to _ Date of action

By

City Planning Department

Application Received ____

WHEREAS, Application No. 7318 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**NOt**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**NOt**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D.M. and Kathryn M. Hunter to add a 14 ft. by 25 ft. glass house, also a 40 ft. by 80 ft. lath house to the existing non-conforming business of retailing plants and shrubs, these additions to observe the minimum setbacks, on the Easterly 231.66 ft. of the Southerly 278 ft. of the Easterly 1/2 of Pueblo Lot 143, 510 Silver Gate Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

All RES 4195

Dated ______ August 24 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

XXXXXXXXX

Zoning Engineer

RESULTION NO. WITTS

the Chry al part Durpe. California, and the swidence presented has shown they Section

I four there are the set incomed, when is the ant shely supervise to the property in the second starts are also as incomed, when is the anti-

And the second of the reprint of the application is the second of the spectrum of the second of the spectrum of the second of th

Hob months if the second secon

and the structure of the latter with 120 C. successfy attest the structure Plan of the City

The of the second of the Contag Committee of the City of San Biels

Permission is hereby tranted to D.M. and Mathryn M. Hunser to add a 14 ft. by 25 ft. gives house, also a 40 ft. by 80 ft. lath house to the existing non-conjoining business of recalling plants and shrubs, these definions to observe the minimum setbacks, on the instarly 321.66 ft. of the Southerly 278 ft. of the Sasterly 1/2 of thebits not 143, 510 Silver date hve., Sone 8-1.

A variance co the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as soly relate to the property described above.

Application ReceivedB	By au fise City Planning Department
Investigation made $\beta = 2 \sqrt{-49}$ B	City Planning Department
Decision of Council	P Hearing date Date $8 - \sqrt{7-49}$ P Building Inspector $9 - 13 - 49$ 7 - 13 - 49 Health Department $9 - 13 - 49$ Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

111 110 C 11th

1

10034 .

Nep. No. Julia

RESOLUTION NO. 4143

and letter dated December 17, 1948 have

WHEREAS, Application No. 7484 been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: That Resolution No.3685, dated January 19,1949, be amended to read as follows: That Resolution No.61893, dated July 16, 1934, which granted permission to the High Seas Tuna Packing Company to erect and operate a warehouse and laboratory on Lots 1,2 ad 3, Block 10, Roseville, and the approvals of the City Planning Commission dated December 1945, and June 12, 1946 for construction of additional buildings on Tidelands near the foot of Canon Street, be extended six years from December 31, 1945, or until December 31, 1954, subject to the following conditions:

- . That the question of further extension be considered two years prior to the termination of the above-mentioned siz-year period, or about December, 1952;
- 2. That no additional reconstruction be required as a condition of this extension and that no expansion to the plant be permitted, except the construction of a boiler house on Lot 3. Block 10, Roseville, to house replacement boilers, not in excess of 750 horsepower total capacity, and alterations to the front of the existing building on Lot 2, Block 10, Roseville.
- 3. That an agreement be signed by the High Seas Tuna Packing Company and filed of record to the effect that there will be no further expansion of said plant, except the construction of a boiler house on Lot 3, Block 10, Roseville, to house replacement boilers, not in excess of 750 horsepower total capacity, and alterations to the front of the existing building on Lot 2, Block 10, Roseville and that all buildings located on Tidelands and all buildings loteted on Lots 1-2-3, Block 10, Roseville, will be removed from the property by December 31,1954, Intirely without cost to the City of San Diego, or that the use of the buildings will be converted (OVER)

ron a fish cannery to a use permitted in 6 Zone. (OVER) Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

1949

	ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
By_	Men Rick
	City Planning Director

RES. No. 4143

Dated September 14

FORM 2145

	Terrar in the strate of the second
Ster : Tit Light Tit	T
Application Received B	y
Investigation made B	
Di Compission	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date 1-5-49:1-19-49: 9-14-49
Considered by Zoning Committee	Date 9-19-71
C	Building Inspector 9-19-49
Planning Commission 9-19-49 Petitioner	9-19-49 Health Department 9-19-49
A page 1 Sil 1 Sil Circu Clerk date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council	(OA.35)
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	
	to derive the state on the second for the of a state and
B post	Les to south replaced by policie, for in croces
had an hold of the second seco	

ar offers that there will be so further a westion of shid plane, crowle are construction and an expression of all not by the this some that heath downed and dated of recent to "Quoit, and fibershiers to the front of the constitue ledicing on lot 1, light 10, Josephiles that is, constille, to route replaneaut apilities, and in choras of 750 hereagoner with monthan to the plant is our itsel, make the construction of a rolled found on tot and no additional requiration be remined is a culling of the extention and the pro-

and the question of MURDers of bounder of grandingrad the prove stick to the borning to be

of Grown - Proof, be extended the Front and Anore Der M. 1914, or path. Secondary at 10 d: 10, somewills, and the approvals of the dist limming solution safet sea out

and a the tracted of many to show the shares a struct of the letter of the letter of lots 's Alleve: Clark Lesolution re. Lifes, as and July 16, 2 3, Maid Franked Corningan to the PLATOTOPHE AT LALLOWS THE A PERLICIAL POLYES, Supply Statistics IS a surner to read THE REAL WAY THE REAL PROPERTY OF AN AND SHOT OF COMPLETE OF SHE CITE OF SHE DIVEN

the length out out of the new to approximate on a second second of the second of the second of the second of the

the search as a search of the she was and and and and and and the search as a search the search of the

is above relationed sizewarr sized, or most lederber, 452 3

rubject to the following on lilens:

1

.evoús bediraseb viregorg and of stales vent as releast eveds betate evaluations of as betasts vdered at has ,ed .. 3. W.S. . S. W.S. Pererted as

and lutter dated Decamber 27, 1956 have

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7485</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the High Seas Tuna Packing Co. to build a new boiler house with no setback from Addison St., on Lot 3, Block 10, Roseville, being on Addison St. between Shafter St. and San Diego Bay, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 14 , 1949

By

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4144

the second

FORM 2145

Application Received By City Planning Department
R.I
Investigation made By Ref.
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date 9-14-49
Decision (14 1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-19-19</u> Building Inspector <u>9-19-49</u> Planning Commission <u>9-19-49</u> Petitioner <u>9-19-49</u> Health Department <u>9-19-49</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Planning Commission 9-19-49 Petitioner 9-19-49 Health Department 9-19-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the distant has been subtrained, and the evolution presented has many date sucrime

and organized of subscatters proversy rights of the persistence, possessed by other propriety

personal relations of working of the property and will discharge and the destruction to

and had the address of believened and here a second of the second mether in the weighter board

1

press a very normal, walled establish the strategic teast in bitter and and and a the rear of the second of the state of the state of the second of the second of the

TATION TO THE LOAD TO OUT OF THE OF THE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE STATE OF The second of th

Large as por basis of gatato and source.

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to High Seas Tuna Packing Co. to remodel the front of the existing building and build a new boiler house 40 ft. by 60 ft. in size, with a total of 750 horsepower in the boilers. There to be no sideyard on one side of the new boiler house. Lots 2 and 3, Block 10, Roseville, being on Addison St. between Shafter St. and San Diego Bay.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 14 , 19 49

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4145

Kon a

the City of Sou Divgo, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Creasers be, 6924, as merided):

- 1 for the state of the second state of an electric apply generally to other property in the same second second second second an electric description apply generally to other property in the same
- 3. Dust the newsing of the angulantice will 7.5 percentally affect the begins as waters of percentance to block of waters of the second conditions will be anteresting second to the projects of the percentance.
- A That the inclusion of the northern will 10% advectedy effect the Sector Flow of the Cate
- THERE CEA. HE IT LESSEYLD, By the Zoning Counties of the Ciry of Son Diego.
- the front of the state of the board of the Boar Then Fourier to to the source. The front of the state of the state of the state of the state of the board of the state of the
- Application withdrawn
 _________ Continued to

 Time limit extended to
 _________ Date of action

A verificance to the provisions of Ordinance no. 32, Haw Surlar, be,

Application Received9-14-49 B	
-ipplication Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made	y Aich
Investigation madeB	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Conjmittee	Hearing date <u>9-14-49</u>
Decision append	Date 9-14-49
Decision Coppensed Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-19-19</u>	Building Inspector <u>9-19-49</u>
Planning (ommission 7-17-77 Felilionei	/ // // Ileani Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Data of action

P.

Son, No. Alas

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7470</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lillian Lennick to add one unit to an existing residence, which has a 2 ft. 9-1/2 in. sideyard, resulting in a duplex, on Lots 45 and 46, Block 35, Fairmount Addition to City Heights, 4286 - 49th St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

0K 01 23

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 14 , 1949

By_

Sack at any

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4146

FORM 2145

the City of and Diego, California, and the evidence presented has about (see Section of California, as amonded):

the share and the new parameter which do not apply generally to other property in the same

The structure and the promities of the spullestion in the preservation the preservation of the preservation is the preservation of the preservation is the preservation of the preservation is the preservation of the preservation of the preservation is the preservation of the preservation is the preservation of the preservatio

That the granting of the opplication will, 3.20, substitutis after the health or second encoded realt up as working in the reaching instant, and will, 1000 he materially deviced at a

The property of the estimate of the property of the property of the the barter free of the City

THE PERCHE, BE IT RECOLUDE DE DESTRE COURTERS OF the City of San Digno-

1

reprint to the solution of the second of the solution forming to add one with a side of the solution for the solution of the s

A viriance to the provisions of Gruinsine No. 8964, Section Sa. bs. and is hereby Franted as to the particulars stated above, inofar as they relate to the property described above.

Application Received $-\frac{9-19}{-19}$	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By City Planning Department
G H H	
Considered by Zoning Committee _	<u>9-19-49</u> Hearing date
Decision approval	Date $9 - 14 - 49$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler	Date 9^{-14-49} k 9^{-16-49} Building Inspector 9^{-19-49} Petitioner 9^{-19-49} Health Department 9^{-19-49}
Planning Commission 9-19-49	Petitioner 9-19-49 Health Department 9-19-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

-

WHEREAS, Application No. 7491 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to High Seas Tuna Packing Co. to build 375 ft. of 6'8" wire fence or concrete block, on Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 10, Roseville, Addison St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated September 14 , 1949

By___

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4147

K0"

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>9-14-49</u> E	By City Planning Department
Investigation made H	ByCity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Oppional Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-19-5</u> Planning Commission 9-19-49 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date $9-14-49$ Date $9-14-49$ PBuilding Inspector $9-19-49$ 9-19-49 Health Department $9-19-49$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the second of the former of the second

1

al die and die and and a benerote block, on hote 1, 2 and 3,

TTORY TO I TOTAL THE' THE ROT OF ' TOTAL WAR

A Printer of the state of Orithman why, 2001, 50, and in

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE WHEREAS, Application No. 7425 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown: 1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and 2. That the granting of the application will _____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and not 3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, That the following described property, Lot \$ 27 through 42 79 Block Pacific Beach Subdivision a 25-unit motel Dorothy C. Conner, owner of Lot 27, and San Diego Lots 28 through 42, and Wm. M. Brooks, purchaser. Beach Co., owner of Brooks, purchaser.) subject to the following conditions Subject to architectural control by the Planning Department Office: surfaced parking space be provided for each unit 2. That offstreet Time limit oxigned to WEIPER CHERREN AND AND A CONTRACT AND A CONT Resultation becomes effective Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatic-

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Res.

No.

Secretary

6168

No .

Dated September 21 194 9

/hbillshill gauntand

Application Received & - 3.0 4.9	By Jan Trice
	City Planning Department
	111 1201
Investigation made <u><u><u></u></u><u><u><u></u><u></u><u><u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u>	By Alleer, A aucaster & lee
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date 9-21-49
considered by Zoning Committee	Date 9-21 119
Decision appended	Date
Carlo Clark 9 - V - July	Building Inspector
Plansing C	- 7 3 - 7 7 Health Department 9- 7 3- 7
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	De te
Decision of Council	"Date
Repolution La finative	
Application Withdown	"Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Time limit extended to	

minians no tappederning and for ph and

The second second

That the following successed property, Lot, 27 WWWWIN 45

or solutions to the reprovements or property in the neighborhood, and

aublact in the following conditions -

HEREFORE, DR IT RESOLVED BY THE 2004040 COMMUTTEE,

ACCOUNTS ACCOUNTS

Direct collification, and the sectories presented has changed the been considered by the Zahang Committee of the City of

2. Then the granting of the application will many the manufally developed in the public solicity

ad standard property rights of the peritional presented by other property opport in the same wholsicle and

adversely affect the morter Plan of the CASS ...

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7405</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Mario Fornaca, dba Franco-Superior Bakery, to build a garage and loading area for a non-conforming building on Lots 30 through 34, Block 7, Reed and Hubbell's Subdivision, 2828 National Ave., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 21 , 1949

FORM 2145

TWATTER TALL STATES

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

TIMA

- that the substant of the propinsion will be meteristic affect the posters of united at

,

the sould be the bar and the produced the sould be sould be

the set of the balance of an engineers of the return of

The second second state of the second state of the second second second state of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7420</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. Antonicelli to construct a greenhouse for the raising of plants and selling at retail, in connection with the existing nursery on Lots 4 through 6 and the East 30 ft. of Palmetto Way closed adjoining, Block 9, North Florence Heights, 1525 Ft. Stockton Drive, Zone R-4, subject to architectural control by the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 , 1949

KEEDINGOD DECOURS STRATCHER

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

NOTE

Zoning Engineer

William application for the for the been considered by the Xoning Googittee the Citrar Sar Dirga, California, and the scalence presented has shown free Seriam of Organize be added as a second.

- I. The I's full of the second structure of structures of south them applyings to the property of the second second to the second s
- 2. 7. The second of the second of the second of the property o
- 3. Det and the product of the synchronic diff. The manufacture of a floot the health or swiety of provide and block of burning the the recommendation of the second of the recommendation of the provide difference.
- A They first the state of the war and said parts but the state of the surror Plan of the City
- THEFTER IN THE PROPERTY AND AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE CHARTER OF TH
- THE THE THE TARGET AND, BY IN COUNCILLES OF THE CHY OF SAD DIERO.

Not 15. To me the part of the state of the percent moto' guestion of

The second second

By_

City Planning Department

Application Received ______ 8-30-49

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ mot materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James W. and Elinor G. Green to erect approximately 75 ft. of free-standing wall-fence on a retain-ing wall whose maximum height is 2 ft., making a total maximum height of 8 ft., on the side property line, extending back from the front setback line, on the North 10 ft. of Lot 44, all of Lot 45, and the South 15 ft. of Lot 46, Block 20, Pauly's Addition, 3433 Alabama St., Zone R-1, provided that said fence is no higher than 6 ft. above the ground level of the adjoining neighbor to the South.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

September 21 , 1949 Dated

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4151

FORM 2145

- second managers where seems say (address) on the providence of the second of the second the second of the second o

- ,
- Sector 1 A PERSONAL PROPERTY OF TOACT OF THE OCTOMETER SECTION AND AND the second of the stand of the second dates of the stand and the second s The state of the state of the state of the states of the states of
- Investigation made _____ 9-21-49 By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-21-49</u> Hearing date Decision Cours appeoual Date <u>9-21-49</u> Hearing date Date 9-21-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9 - \nu - 49$ Building Inspector $9 - \nu - 3 - 49$ Planning Commission $9 - \nu - 3 - 49$ Petitioner $9 - \nu - 3 - 49$ Health Department 9- 23- 49 Health Department Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action

By

a

City Planning/Department

Application Received 9 - 1 - 7

Time limit extended to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7427</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Joseph Daly to convert an existing garage to a living unit and add a wash room in the rear, making a total of two living units on the property, with approximately 1 ft. sideyard, on Lots 19 and 20, Block 1, Ocean Spray Addition, 875 Opal St., Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ September 21 , 1949

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

FORM 2145

	1115 Jacon
Sty - Taken alment to the	1) / / 2
Application Received <u>9-1-49</u>	By City Planning Department
Investigation made $-\frac{9-\gamma_1-49}{2}$	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{q-\gamma_1-\gamma_2}{1-\gamma_1}$	19 Hearing date
Decision Decisial	Date 9-21-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-22	-49Building Inspector 9- y 3-49
Planning Commission 7-23-49 Petitione	- $\frac{-49}{9}$ Building Inspector $\frac{9-\sqrt{3}-49}{1}$ r $9-\sqrt{3}-49$ Health Department $9-\sqrt{3}-49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1

ar son a sur part of all ' Liver T' parter obtain watered' 130

GDOT III · · · POLIS · · ·

board, they be, but is hereby denies as to ble purblediars needes Virgenerfor tot a Autor sin po pre theartyout of inter source motorities WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7433</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lawrence E. and Margaret I. McCaw to divide into three parcels, with 105 ft. fronting on the 6th St. Extension, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, being the South 315 ft. of Lot 5, E. W. Morse's Subdivision of Pueblo Lot 1106, South of Friars Road, Zone R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 , 1949

FORM 2145

By__

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received _____9-1-49 By a City Planning Department City Planning Department 71-49 By Investigation made Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-11-49</u>Hearing date_ Decision Approval Date 9-21-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-22-49 Planning Commission 9-23-49 Petitioner 9-23-49 Health Department 9-23-49 Date 9 - 21 - 49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Buyan Ro. Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action MURRA both Dr. Cyr. ers Present Conta' stable to (un no in The in the THE CONTRACT and the second se N dra P LA WEANT D - REEW TH 0 0 0 151 in O Q the second second the second states and strength breachtad dus Plower (106 Rectand considered by the Zoning Committee White wards a second second second second
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6569</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nathaniel Poole to erect a masonry wall 5 ft. in height on a retaining wall 4 ft. high, the total height of the fence to be 9 ft., at one side property line, for the rear 65 ft. of Lot 81, Catalina Villas, 4060 Alicia Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 21 , 1949

By___

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4154

FORM 2145

and remain the said and and	F1)/// 01
a ferralice 1	
Application Received By	- (Couvel
	City Planning/Department
Investigation made <u>9</u>	
distribution from days with a such failing	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-21-49	Hearing date
D	Late 9 1 10
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9</u>	Building Inspector 9
Planning Commission 9-23-49 Petitioner	9 3-49 Health Department 7 3-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

11

- ,

LOT TO DO DUT LINE TOTOLODI CHONG

1 and 1 and

the second second of the second second

and the second s

A start a store of the start of the start of the store of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7408</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. G. Dunfield, R. F. Kimball and H. D. Wyatt to divide into six parcels and permit a single family residence on each, the 270 ft. by 340 ft. portion of the West 1/2 of Pueblo Lot 1785, being on Soledad Road at the North line of Pueblo Lot 1785, Zone R-1, provided that a street is Note dedicated and accepted by the City, and improved according to the City Council's requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21, 19 49

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4155

Zoning Engineer

The mail and the second of the second Application Received 7- ~ By City Planning Department By Investigation made ______ 1er auc City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committeeg-21-49 Hearing date Decision Cong. approval Date 9-21 T Date 9-21-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-22-49</u>Building Inspector <u>9-23-49</u> Planning Commission 9-23-49Petitioner 9-3-49 Health Department Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date ____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to 1780 PUTE IT IT IT or' out to Nor.col Engine at co NESERVOIR OF S. D and 1/2 of fuello inte 1/2 of the lot of the lot interior of the lot of the l Turili veri anda si ol 0 WPT 6 a 0 ALLO GARD AND ALLOTARY by a present of the break appress of the better out beaution of appresses ther an investor of the application is - necessary for the presented treation of the resultions evolution received a product do not suply gaugestly to other property for the new stating attrive ferror of conditions applicable to "de property D Californin, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section T 51.6 has been considered by the Zoning Committee . t. T D. V.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7430</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Frank Golon to erect a medical building with no overnight patients, 4 ft. setback, on Lots 21 through 23, Block 206, Pacific Beach, on the Northwest corner of Garnet and Noyes Sts., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 , 1949

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4156

FORM 2145

the City of Sur high, Collinguia, and the environce presented has above the Section

- I. Det freis gin in the set freise for the structure of conditions applieble to the property in the set
- 2.7 for the bar of the second of the application with the bar of the prostation with the prostation of the application of the prostation o
- 3. There are provided as the problem that will concernible attack the health of safety of persons periods at residued to be associated and will be exteriably detrimined on the provident set of periods of the local beauties and will be exteriably detrimined on the provident set of the local beauties of the local beauties of the set of the formation of the set of the set of the local beauties of the local beauties of the set of the set
- 4 . That is a fear that a first and and a first and a set of the second of the second of the City
- TRAFFICE IN IT ATTACTED, No Les Section Committee of the City of San Diego

T so po sue la baten decargo recargo

By Application Received ____ City Planning Department By Investigation made City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _9- v1- 49 Hearing date_ Date 9- 21-49 Decision Quieat Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-2-49Building Inspector 9-23-49 9- - 3 - 49 Health Department 9-Planning Commission 9-23-49 Petitioner Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7440</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Carl J. Farner to construct and use a garage as temporary living quarters during the construction of a residence, with no sideyard and a 10 ft. rear yard, on Lot 7, Block 13, Overlook Heights, being on Everview Road, just West of Monitor Road, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 , 1949

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>8-31-49</u> By <u>Jan Lise</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-21-19 By Alex daves anter any he
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9</u>
Decision Q_{2} Date $q = 2 I = 4q$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk q_{-22} Planning Commission q_{-3} q_{-3} q_{-3} q_{-3} Health Department q_{-3} q_{-3} Planning Commission q_{-3} q_{-3} q_{-3} Petitioner q_{-3} q_{-3} Health Department q_{-3} q_{-3}
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-22-19</u> Building Inspector <u>9-23-49</u>
Planning Commission 93-49 Petitioner 93-49 Health Department 93-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Noutine and interest

- The country of his sectory of the design Countries of the City of San Diego. ,

monthly in the state of the training of the brahard of the state of th Testi at the total In person yourge as the property the person A STATES AND A PLATE OF AN ADDRESS AN ALL DESCRIPTION OF ADDRESS AND ADDRESS A

The second secon

Real law soud, there bell.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7450</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frederick Verdugo to construct a brick wall 2 ft. 6 in. high above the sidewalk grade, on all of Lots 1 and 2, except the West 75 ft. thereof, in Block 1, Hollywood Station, at 586 - 60th St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 , 1949

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

FORM 2145

- ,
- and the part of a second state of the second s Description of the second second second second second states and the second second second second second second a service to be and provide the problements for 1931, be, and is mar Ha
- Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to _ Date of action

Application Received <u>9-2-49</u> By law the	ise
/ City/Pla	anning Department
Investigation made 9- x1-49 By (llogar)	t age Dant
- Colligation made	anning Department
Considered by Zoning Complittee 9-21-49 Hearing date	CONTRACT CONTRACTOR PROPERTY
Decision (Ikpronal / Date 9-2/-49	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-22-49Building Inspector</u>	9-73-49
Planning Commission 9-23-49 Petitioner 7-23-49 Health	Department 93-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, da	ite
Decision of Council Date	AN AND MARKED OF A PARTY PARTY AND
Resolution becomes effective	
Application with drawing Continued to	

manifeste sur front. p

1946 · 189 · 77.8

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mote</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>mote</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>MOC</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. V. Anderson to build a garage of 709 sq. ft., 37 ft. 4 in. long, with no sideyard and a 4 ft. rear yard, on Lot 1 and the West 40 ft. of Lot 2, Block 7, El Retiro Subdivision, at Adams and Soria Dr., the Southwest corner, Zone R-4, subject to the separation of said garage from the apartment house by a 4 ft. strip of ground.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

the Circle of the set of the set of the set dense presented has shown face Section

- I. The sum of the size and a second of the second and a provintity is often property to the same
- 2. The second se
- 3. That is the first of the most second will be a substitute of the beak of a substitute of a substitute of a substitute of the substitute

- THERE AND IN THE PERSONNEL STRATES Consister of the CLES of San Biege.
- Provide the state of the state
- toniorent in build of the second of the seco
- A VALUARA DO MON PROPUNDAD DE CALINGAGE SA. CORA, DOCLER DA Des contrar de la provincia de conceptadementes acatementes, labolistica de la provincia de la property described above, la-
- Investigation made ______ q____/9 les, a By cas ac City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _9- >1-49 Hearing date_ Decision Cours. approved Date 9-71-49 Copy of Resolution/sent to City Clerk 9-22-49 Building Inspector 9-23-49 Planning Commission 7- 23-49 Petitioner 9- 23-49 Health Department 9-Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

By_

a hair ann ta i m i a ma

Application Received _____9- - -

student state of the

11tm

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7436</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kathryn S. Zahm to construct a garage on an existing slab which is approximately 20 in. from the lot line, said slab being 57.11 ft. from the front property line of Lot 4, Block 11, Normal Heights, 3335 Morth Mountain View Dr., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21, 19 49

By____

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 41.60

FORM 2145

	d
Application Received <u>1-31-49</u> By	Juston
City	y Planning Department
(n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	1º R
Investigation made <u>9-2/1-49</u> By <u>Ullee</u>	v. aucaster of Just
Cit	y Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-21-49 Hearing date	HER ANTORS I SETTERD PEDRAT
Decision (f_{period}) Date $\frac{9}{2}$ $\frac{2}{-2}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{9-2}{2}$ $\frac{-49}{7}$ Building Inspect Planning Commission $9-2$ $\frac{9}{7}$ Petitioner $9-2$ $\frac{1}{7}$ Here	49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-22-49 Building Inspect	or <u>9-73-49</u>
Planning Commission $9 - \gamma 3 - 49$ Petitioner $9 - \gamma 3 - 49$ Her Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing	alth Department 9-23-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing	, date
Decision of Council Date	and and vesting and sheet her see
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

"DADE DOCTORS FOLLOW

C. The ship of the "With the data that the

A LANDER AN ANTALLY MATCHINE THE POLY MERCAN

- ,
- THE MAN AND THE TRANSPORTED THE ADDRESS COMPTLEME OF ONE CIEN OF SHE PIEROS

THE A. I. M. A. L. M. P.J. M. MIL

oct in the state

Contract Contract of

RESOLUTION NO. 4161

Letter dated September 6, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3769, be granted to the First Avenue Co., Inc., to build a doctors' office building with a 5 ft. rear yard for 100 ft. of the building, on Lots G, H & I of Block 266, Horton's Addition, being on the Northwest corner of 1st and Juniper Sts., Zone R-4, provided that a 5 ft. open space is maintained on the adjoining property to the West, as evidenced by an easement of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21, 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4161

CALL CLASS COLLARD AND IN THIS

Will be Carried by the Louis Course and the second of the Louis Course they be the four fact Section (Ordinance is an intervented but show fact Section

- 1. Har man a set of the part of the set of the set of the prove of the set of
- The second secon
- THEFT. I. D. C. STORES, R. S. L. S. C. R. LILLER OF THE CITY OF SAD BUNED.
- to provide the state of the of the particular board, beaches de, beaches beaches de and the particular based the base of the particular based the base.
- City Planning Department Aller, Investigation made $\frac{9-\nu_1-\gamma_9}{B_{\rm Y}}$ By an en City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-71-49</u> Hearing date Decision <u>appendiate</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-72-49</u> Building Inspector <u>9-73-49</u> Planning Commission <u>9-73-49</u> Petitioner <u>9-73-49</u> Health Department Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective . Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

By

CONT. TING

Application Received _____

Tradition .

7-1-49

TOTO TOTAL TOTAL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7448</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry Fitzel to alter an existing non-conforming store and single family residence to a store and duplex, with no additions, 63.7% coverage and a 9.17 ft. rear yard, on a portion of Lot 33, Hector Square, 3802 Orange St., Zone R-4, according to the Surveyor's Map on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>September 21</u>, 19 49

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4162

the Cirl of District California, and the relative presented has shown (see Section

- I. That we have the set of a set of the set of the set of the period of the set of the s
- 3. Contraction of the second second second second second by after property for the preservation and the preservation and the preservation of the p
- A. The state of the second sec
- a The state of a state of the settlement sail _ ... 5 answer by allest the Master Plan of the City
- THULLTON'S DI HT PRESCRAFD, By the Sources Committee of the City of San Biego.

1

- Tornication in Lordy of the tend of Forty Files is the file of the
- A replement of the provintions of Ordinance No. 1995, Logbian As. Bo. Che in noter province of the perficultive famous coove, incoleren they willow of the property described above.

Application Received <u>9-6-49</u> By	
ppmeasure accounted/	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{9-\gamma_1-\gamma_9}{B_1}$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-21-49	Hearing date
Decision (16h, might	Date $7 - 71 - 49$
Copy of Resolution cent to City Clerk 9-22-4	Building Inspector 9-23-49
Planning Commission 9- 23-49 Petitioner	7
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Justo

RESOLUTION NO. 4163

see 4532

Letter dated September 8, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3776, be granted to Mrs. Lena S. Clark to construct an apartment house of approximately 30 units on the property line along 6th Avenue, on Lots G through L, Block 283, Horton's Addition, on the West side of 6th Avenue, at the corner of Laurel and Maple Sts.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 , 1949

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4163

FORM 2145

		100

- A. Then is a structure of the section of the sector of the Maximum Plan of the first
- ,

- TO ONLY A SAME TO A CONTRACT TOTAL TO A CONTRACTOR CONT
- or one to serve the server 'Acuso' the end of remain the server
- Let the My Die inclosel creating conserve TERT' WE SHERE THE STORE OF CUSTOMERS NOT TERT'
- Application Received <u>7-9-49</u> By City Planning Department By 21-49 Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _9-21-49Hearing date. Date 9- 21-49 Decision approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2 - 2 - 49 Building Inspector 9 - 23 - 49Planning Commission 9 - 23 - 49 Petitioner 9 - 23 - 49 Health Department 9- 2 3-49 Health Department Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

CORP. LANK

RESOLUTION NO. 4164

Letter dated September 7, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3782 be granted to Robert E. Hill and W. P. Kesling, owners, and Elizabeth N. Grane, purchaser, to construct a single family residence on a portion of Arbitrary Lot 35, Assessor's Map 33a, in Pueblo Lot 1286, the legal description of which is on file in the Planning Department Office, being located at the corner of Little, Roseland and Torrey Pines Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21, 1949

By

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

nning Department
and to a Queto
nning Department
Projects a set of the thinker
clearing and fired on the
$\begin{array}{c} 9 - \overline{} \overline{} 3 - \underline{} 9 \\ \text{Department} 9 - \overline{} 3 - \underline{} 9 \\ \text{te} \\ \underline{} \end{array}$
Department 7- 73-49
te
I and write and allocation be

A support of the reading of Orthonore Do. 15091, buy and in

A.A. n

as The first of the states of the states of the second to a second the boalsh of second

there as the naveral triangood poore.

RESOLUTION NO. 4165 see 4705 see 5567

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7418</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert C. Banner to conduct a Commercial Dog Racing Kennel, with a maximum of 15 dogs and one littler of pups on the premises at any one time, and with no boarding of dogs. This permit to expire on June 30, 1950.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Lot 1, Block 9, Sunny Slope Addition, 1027 Evelyn St., Zone R-2.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21, 19 49

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

- ,

- A the second of the second of the second second second the second s
- Lot 1, Llock 9, Junny Slope Addition, 1027 Evelyn St., None R-2.
- Resolution becomes effective _ Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

pplication Received	9-12-49	By	/ /	ail.	
-ppincation received	7		/Ci	ity Planning Departmen	it K
nvestigation made	9-21-49	_ By	Me	ty Planning Departmen	asturg te
Considered by Zoning C Decision Cours	committee <u>9-21</u>	- <u>79</u> Hear Date <u>- 1</u> 9Build	ing date 9- 2/- ling Inspec	49 tor 93-49	
lanning Commission ppeal filed with City Cl	$- \sqrt{3} - 49$ Petitio	ner 7-7	3-49 He cil Hearing	ealth Department	9-23-49
Decision of Council	Bertical Ine 1913 P	Date		5, 4400	

. 11/1

1/20. No. 1105

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7428</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. E. M. McGarry to convert the first floor of a residence into two apartments, making three apartments in all, with access to a central, the building having approximately no sideyard, being on the Southwesterly 35 ft. of Lots 25, 26 and 27, and the Southeasterly 14 ft. of the Southwesterly 35 ft. of Lot 28, Block 241, San Diego Land and Town Co.'s Addition, at 518 Sampson St., Zone R-4, subject to the recommendations of the Building, Fire and Health Departments.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

BLDG. BURNED JRA 2-20-57 Dann

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 , 1949

FORM 2145

By____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4166

- Treatment a second second the prevent shares frontestly to argue themselve in the same

- ,

43757042

- and to a start of the statute of the partitions so, dest, there, and the
- Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

	souther put there . Man
W. bereten II . 1983	L///// 11
pplication Received <u>9-12-49</u>	By Concell
	City Planning Department
nvestigation made $-9 - 2 - 49$	By <u>Aller</u> <u>Aancaster</u>
onsidered by Zoning Committee	
Decision ang. appeound	Date $9 - \gamma - 49$ $9 - \gamma - 49$ Building Inspector $9 - \gamma - 3 - 49$ difficult Department 9
lanning Commission /	etitioner / - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7467</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**NOC**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**NOC**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David C. and Lucille S. Schurch to convert existing living quarters over a garage into an apartment, with a 4 ft. 2 in. rear yard, on Lot 1 and the North 4 ft. of Lot 2, Block E, Kensington Park Annex, 4689 Biona Ave., Zone R-C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

a star barrely extended by by says particulation were shown

The second se

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21, 199

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

and the second sec	/ Y/ //
Application ReceivedBy	City Planning Department
Investigation made $-\frac{9-\nu_1-49}{By}$ By	Alleen Laucastur gueston City Planning Department
pifear med with Oit of cherry -	Hearing date Date $9-21-49$ Building Inspector $9-3-49$ 9-3-49 Health Department $9-3-49Council Hearing, dateDate$
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

N/ A

the Calk of the Almont California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

period of the second se

,

A STATE AND A STAT

ter in the second so the property departure there above, in-

1 11

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7444</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Estelle Willerton to alter an existing duplex to a triplex, with one unit to face on a 4 ft. 6 in. court, the duplex having a 2 ft. 6 in. sideyard on one side, on Lot 33 and 1/2 of Lot 34, Block 32, H. M. Higgins Addition, 2632 "B" St., Zone C., on the following conditions:

- 1. That garage or surfaced parking space be provided for three automobiles:
- 2. Subject to the recommendations of the Building, Fire and Health Departments.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 21, 1949

FORM 2145

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4168

Application Received	- 47 By oss
	City-Planning Department
Investigation made	49 By Aller, aucaster 3 Juito
and the state of the state of the	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	<u>19-21-49</u> Hearing date
Decision (and approxy	Date $9 - 21 - 49$ lerk $9 - 2 - 3 - 49$ Health Department $9 - 3 - 49$
Copy of Resolution sent/to City (lerk <u>9</u>
Planning Commission 9-23-	49Petitioner 9- 73-49 Health Department 9- 73-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THOM STREET

passed in the second stress areas a property state of the

,

and anto to the one within the second stand of the second stand,

The state of the second s - 10 C M C Y L L L T

DOT T. THE SHIT TO THE ME MED OF MELTING MELTING WEBAN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7468</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>NOT</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank B. Hookins, lessee, to conduct a shop for cabinet work and furniture repairing on the West 85 ft. of Lots 1 to 4, Resubdivision of Blocks H & I, Teralta, 3311-1/2 El Cajon Blvd., Zone C, subject to the approval of the Building Inspector.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 , 199

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 4169

- There are interested by the second se

,

- The second er inter a construction of thosh h & T, Ter La, 701-1/0 the part of the second of the part of the part to be a subar
- TOT IT DE MARK TOT THE TOTO OPPART A WITH AND AND AND LINE OF CAUTIMOD HAL PURD, DO, HAL ID

Considered by Zoning Committee	greating date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9	Date 9-21-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-2-4	9Building Inspector 9-3-49
Planning Commission 9-23-49 Petitioner	93-49 Health Department 9 3-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Application ReceivedBy law fine
City Planning Department
::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
nvestigation made 9-21-49 By Allu A aucaster 2 unt
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{9-2/-49}{\text{Hearing date}}$ Decision $\frac{9}{21-49}$ Date $9-21-49$ Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{9-2-49}{29}$ Building Inspector $\frac{9-29-49}{29-49}$
ecision Cong. appional Date 1-21-99
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-2-79Building Inspector 9-29-99
anning Commission /
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to

1. Contract and super'

gear 1001 (300)

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7458</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Norma Jo Frey (present married name, Norma Jo Bryson) to convert and enlarge the dining room within an existing building which is being used as a boarding and rooming house, to a public tea room, the maximum hours of operation from 11:00 A.M. to 10:00 P.M., on Lot 4, Block 45, Culverwell and Taggart's Addition, 931 - 22nd St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Boning Engineer

the first of the Linge, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Success)

- The share are applied in the second close applicable to the property interaction, or to the second the second probability to other property in the second second contraction.
- A. The state of iteration of the terminations would ______ necessary for the preservation we are stated as an electronic rights of the petitioner, preservation entropy of victory.
- 3. That for the department on will HOC meterially affect the health or safety of persons a sublement of the meterbourhood, and will HOC be mererially detrimental to the sublet suffere as superson to the reporty or improvements in the meterbourd.
- 4. That the granting of the warlance will not adversely affect the faster Plan of the City of last firsts
- THEREFORT, HE IT ACHOLVED, By the Zonion Committee of the City of San Disco.
- Permission in Correct confed to Norma do Fred (present sarried
- narce heavys de fargent; to convert and enimate the diming room stends of entretain pulliting which is build need as a bounding one rooming measure, co a public cea room, the measurem hours of stendston area light on A.M. to loto F.M., on not b, flock by, celverelish and lacgart a Addition, 931 - 28nd 34., Sond Beb.
- LEGAL, for a surfame to the provisions of Grainmon We, Legal, oc, and is hereby denied as to the particulary stated above, insolar as they relate to the property described shove.

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $-\frac{9-21-49}{B_2}$ By	y City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\underline{9-\nu}=49$ Decision Occuration Sent to City Clerk $\underline{9-\nu}=49$ Planning Commission $9-\nu=3-49$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Building Inspector $9 - \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{9}$ $9 - \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{9}$ Health Department $9 - \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{9}$
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

71) M.O

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7473</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert Roulette to add 6 ft. by 17 ft. to the front of the garage, and 10 ft. by 40 ft. to the side of the garage, no rear nor side yards, on Lot 244, Kensington Heights No. 2, 4374 Hilldale Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 . 149

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4171

FORM 2145

Application Received9-14-49 By	law Hise City Planning Department
Investigation made9-~49By	<u>Allens ancastars</u> Lui City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $q - \gamma - \gamma q$ H Decision q Difference Diffe	earing date ate $9_{-2}/-9_{$
Decision of Council D: Resolution becomes effective D:	a.e
Application withdrawnCo	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

5/-1-/-

102 . Mo. 13.72

The second second the second test second to be a se

,

a the second sec

The state but of the partners will 1000 contrasty attent the Matter Plan of the City
WHEREAS, Application No. 7455 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ ___ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

99 reement #603 Sept 29, 1949 Permission is hereby granted to John E. and Beatrice L. Day to erect a 5 ft. high free-standing wall-fence for the purpose of a windbreak in front of the setback line, on Lot 1, Block 38, Roseville, 1307 Clove St., Zone R-1, on the following conditions

The above height to be measured above the gutter grade at the curb, with an Agreement to be signed by the owner that he will lower the height of the fence to that of 5 ft. above the established grade, whenever the street is improved accordingly.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 1949 September 21 Dated.

By

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4172

FORM 2145

ent det aucher al 149	11/1/ 11
Application Received B	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{9-\nu_1-\gamma_9}{B}$ B	Villen, Laucaster 2 Jenton
- Treengation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $9-21-49$ Decision $9-21-49$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9-22-49$ Planning Commission $9-23-49$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	P Hearing date Date $9 - \gamma - \gamma 9$ PBuilding Inspector $9 - \gamma - 3 - \gamma 9$ $9 - \gamma - 3 - \gamma 9$ Health Department $9 - \gamma - 3 - \gamma 9$ Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

PION DUTIES I THE MADE ALC'S GENELTING MPOASS A YOUT HAR SH BILL TROVING OF CHAMERON NO. 2031, bu, and in

TT. TT. TT. T. T. T. OPS ADDING TE TERMONY POSSIBILITY the of the state of the of the second states

1

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Willie J. and Sadie Ardie McSwain to erect a 20 ft. by 24 ft. addition to an existing residence which is located on the rear of the lot and which has only 5 ft. frontage on the dedicated street, being on the Northerly 1/2 of Lots 30 and 31, and the Easterly 5 ft. of the Southerly 70 ft. of Lot 31, Block 410, H. C. Skinner's Addition, 3724 "Z" St., Zone R-4, provided that a gate is placed in the fence adjacent to the alley, so that there may be direct access from the public alley, for the parking of automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 21 , 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4173

- the part of the second se

- ,
- THE PLANET, ME BY MELLEY VED, BY US REALING COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SHO DIERO.
- to write to the of the solution to an establish the solution to the the Ft. a second a proving the second as highly as here a first a first a first a second a

They's an only start but no starte (some they but the barres and

Los with The second of antipologities.

Date of action Time limit extended to

Application Received $-\frac{9-13-49}{B}$	y City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{9-21-49}{B}$ B	y Allen, and aster y Justo City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-1-49	Hearing date
Decision Congregation sent to City Clerk 9	Date 9-21-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-2-4	Building Inspector 9- 73-49
Planning Commission 9 3-99 Petitioner	9-73-49 Health Department 9-73-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to

7/1/10

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to R. George Putz to demolish an existing garage, replace it and build a den on the upper floor, the building to have no side nor rear yards, the property having no frontage on a dedicated street, being on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1260, also known as 478 Marine St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 6924; Sections SA and 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.



Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

SEL COUNCIL RES 99590

Dated September 21 , 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4174

TRATILIAK NO

ear Country ATS 995

the City of the Plane solution and the reliance presented has shown (are Secred)

A Trail of the second state of the second state to attack applicable to the property of the second state o

and a second of the second of the state of the best from a best state of the best st

The second of the second region will be a second of the se

siv affaut the Mostor Films of the Class



RESOLUTION NO. 99590 RES 4174

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of R. George Putz, 478 Marine Street, La Jolla, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 4174, application No. 7463, permission to demolish an existing garage, replace it and build a den on the upper floor, the building to have no side nor rear yards, the property having no frontage on a dedicated street, being on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1260, also known as 478 Marine Street, Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby overruled and denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby subtained.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 99590 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Sept. 26, 1950

> FRED W. SICK City Clerk.

By.....By. M. WILLIG Deputy. WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7471</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No: 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOU**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ray T. Smith to construct a solid board fence 6 ft. high on a 24 in. retaining wall, making an over-all height of 8 ft., along one side and the rear of Lot 9, Block 38, Point Loma Heights, 4020 Atascadero Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 , 19 49

By_

Secretary.

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

A TAL STORE TT INTER	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	F / / / / /
Application Received	By /. W/ Councell
-ipplication Received	City Planning Department
	(Real of the second sec
Investigation made $-\frac{9-\gamma_1-\gamma_9}{2}$	By allen, daucastering lello
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $9 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$	7 Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-23-	χ /Building Inspector $\underline{9 - \times 3 - \times 9}$ $9 - \times 3 - \times 9$ Health Department $9 - \times 3 - \cancel{9}$
Planning Commission 9-23-49 Petitioner	9 - 73 - 49 Health Department $9 - 73 - 49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

horse, in the controperty described chart, while he and is

and the second s

11

of orsen a construction where we are the City of Sector Tellitoring, and the systemse presented has about the Section

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Vera George to construct an "L" shaped addition of approximately 18 ft. by 27 ft. to the residence, with a 6 ft. setback on Palmetto Way, being on the West 50 ft. of Lots 7 and 8, Block 8, North Florence Heights, 1530 Fort Stockton Drive, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4176

Zoning Engineer

the City of San District Call Franks, and the exidence presented has shown (see Section of Orother in San State, a section

- 1. The state are opposing the set of the state of any apply constants to other property in the same
- 2. The structure of entities of the restriction with the restrictions, presented by other property of the presence of the pres
- 3. That the product of the post-optimum is the second of all all all the best of the forth of addets of the post-optimum destruction of the post-optimum is the post-o
- 4 The survey of the state of the second state and the state of the date of the City
- Thussiever, on it is action by the Zoning Committee of the City of Sup Dreport

··· / ··· /	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-21-49</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $9-x_1-y_9$ Decision p_{proves} Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9-x_3-y_9$ Planning Commission $9-x_3-y_9$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date $9 - \sqrt{1-49}$ Building Inspector $9 - \sqrt{3-49}$ $9 - \sqrt{3} - 49$ Health Department $9 - \sqrt{3} - 49$ Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Fime limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Bv

BULL TO ADULT ON MAR

Application Received ______

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>

not

 That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Alice McCoy to construct a 2-car garage with an apartment above, attached to an existing residence which has no sideyard and which has 4 ft. 6 in. access to the street, the new structure to observe the side and rear yard requirements, making a total of 3 units on Lots 15 and 16, Block 29, Fairmount Addition to City Heights, 4135 - 49th St., Zone R-4, on the condition that a 3 ft. sideyard for the house at the rear is provided, according to the plan submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 , 19 49

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4177

FORM 2145

- ,

By_ Application Received $-\frac{9-14-49}{9}$ 1 au City Planning Department <u>llee</u> <u>Xauca</u> City Planning Department Investigation made $\underline{q} = \overline{\gamma} - \overline{\gamma} - \overline{\gamma} q$ By Considered by Zoning Committee 4-9-21-49 Hearing date_ Decision Cong. Appendix Date 9 - 7749Copy of Resolution sent/to City Clerk 9 - 73 - 49 Building Inspector 9 - 73 - 49Planning Commission 9 - 73 - 49 Petitioner 9 - 73 - 49 Health Department 9 - 73 - 49Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

Y A LICENERS FOR DEPARTMENT OF CONTRACTOR DE SOURT DESERTE DE

interior in they painted to produce production above.

Transfer to any the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7478</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Sorenson to build 75 lineal ft. of retaining wall and fence, to a maximum of 8 ft. in height, all back of the setback line of Lot 2, Elock 2, Belleview Heights No. 1, being on the Southwest corner of 60th St. and Trojan Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 21 , 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

- the particular and the particular to the product of the consumption in the salidation band.

- ,
- THE SUPPORT OF A DISCOUNT OF THE TONING COMMITTEE OF THE City of Sun Diego.
- The second state of the se
- plot payone of pue basicant on turnes payor

art in the state of the state o

Investigation made $\frac{9-\gamma_1-\gamma_9}{By}$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-21-49	Hearing date
Decision f_{2} Decis	Building Inspector <u>93-49</u>
Planning Commission 7- 3-49 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	9 - 7 = 3 - 49 Health Department $9 - 7 = -49Council Hearing date$
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Cathering
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action
	Date of action

By

Application Received ____

C

City Planning Department

7486

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

not

 That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the M. Hall Co. to construct gasoline pumps and an island with a 12.5 ft. setback, plus 2 floodlight poles (one supporting a Shell trademark sign) with no setback, on Lots 1 and 2, Block 239, Middletown, at Pacific Boulevard and Wright St., Zone M-1, provided that an Agreement# V be signed to the effect that the owner will remove any structures which are closer than 22-1/2 ft. to the property line, at its own expense, at the request of the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 1

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4179

Filed 9-28-49

FORM 2145

Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-21-99</u> By <u>Allers and actory</u> und City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\underline{q} \rightarrow 1 - \underline{\gamma} q$ Hearing date Decision $\underline{\gamma} = 2/-\underline{\gamma} q$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{7 - \underline{\gamma} 3 - \underline{\gamma} q}$ Building Inspector $\underline{q} = -\underline{3} - \underline{\gamma} q$ Planning Commission $q - \underline{\gamma} 3 - \underline{4} q$ Petitioner $\overline{q} - \underline{\gamma} 3 - \underline{4} q$ Health Department $\overline{q} - \underline{\gamma} 3 - \underline{4} q$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-73-49</u> Building Inspector <u>9-73-49</u>
Planning Commission 19-3-49 Petitioner 9-3-49 Health Department 9-3-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

This of Ore for the state to the set of the state of the

I The second state and sta

ST PALL LATION DO DON TRADUCT CONTRACTOR STORAGE an horses in more on the state of the state of about about about the A T PLANER DA CHO PROFILICAL OF CLAREN AND HAS NOT, HAR SCREEN, the stand of the realized with the real

RESOLUTION NO. 4180

Letter dated September 17, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of time from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3811, which extended Resolution No. 3486, which extended Resolution No. 3061, be denied to W. A. Wayman, to construct five apartment units, the buildings crossing lot lines, on Lots 27, 28, 29 and 30, Block 3, Ocean Spray Addition, on the Morthwest corner of Opal St. and Mission Blvd.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated September 21, 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4180

n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n
oplication ReceivedByByCity Planning Department
vestigation made 9-21-49 By By City Planning Department
Decision Committee $9-\gamma 1-\gamma$ Hearing date Date $7-2/-\gamma 9$ Date $7-2/-\gamma 9$ Date $9-\gamma 3-\gamma 9$ Decision Commission $9-\gamma 3-\gamma 9$ Petitioner $9-\gamma 3-\gamma 9$ Health Department $9-\gamma 3-\gamma 9$ ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision Venica Date 7-21-49
ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{y-y-49}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{y-y-3-49}{2}$ Building Inspector
anning Commission 7
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

and the second s

K L G I I I I I I I

1

THE AT HOR THE . T

.

RESOLUTION NO. 4181

Letter dated September 17, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would _______ mot_____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of time from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3812, which extended Resolution No. 3487, which extended Resolution No. 3062, be denied to W. A. Wayman, to construct five apartment units with an 8-1/2 ft. rear yard, on Lots 27, 28, 29 and 30, Block 3, Ocean Spray Addition, on the Northwest corner of Opal St. and Mission Blvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretery

Dated September 21 , 1949

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4181

FORM 2145

D	1 VI D
Application Received <u>9-19-19</u> By	ait
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7</u>	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $9-\chi - 49$ H Decision (Leura) D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9-\chi - 49$ B Planning Commission $9-\chi - 3-49$ Petitioner $9-\chi - 49$ Petitioner $9-\chi - 49-\chi - 49$ Petitioner $9-\chi - 49-\chi - 49-$	earing date
Decision (Cerria)	ate 9-11-19
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9 - x - 49B$	uilding Inspector <u>9</u>
Planning Commission 9-~3-49 Petitioner 9-	$- \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{9}$ Health Department $9 - \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{9}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date / Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

1000 03 100 m

A STATE OF A

santa e a chuice a chaire tha chui chair an bond.

La man and

AND THE ADDRESS AND

minerer and a second

,

RESOLUTION NO.	106
----------------	-----

Letter dated September 20, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1 10 10

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3751, be granted to Goldie B. Jones to erect and operate a 105-unit trailer camp on the South 1/2 of Lot 4, Eureka Lemon Tract, at Pacific Nighway and Bunker Hill, Zone R-4, subject to the approval of the final plans by the Planning Department, the Fire Marshal and the Building Inspector. This permission expires on June 30, 1954. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_September 21, 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4182

\wedge	
al se	
Application Received By	aut
	City Planning Department
	100 de las lasta
Investigation made By By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\int \frac{q}{q-\nu l-4} q$ Ho Decision and a present to City Clerk $\frac{q-\nu l-4}{2} q$ Bu Planning Commission $\int \frac{q-\nu l-4}{2} q$ Petitioner q - Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	earing date
Decision and a physical Da	1 = 9 - 1 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent/to City Clerk 9-7-3-49 Bu	uilding Inspector 9-23-49
Planning Commission/ 9-~3-49 Petitioner 9-	$\gamma 3 - 49$ Health Department $9 - \gamma 3 - 49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Héaring, date
Decision of Council	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
	ontinued to
Time limit extended to Da	ate of action

month a cutt for concurrence and and any set of the

Street St.

and the second s

,

4183 No.
RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE Letter dated September 20, 1949 WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:
 That the granting of the application is
THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,
That the following described property, Lot
Highway and Bunker Hill,
(Goldie B. Jones)
an 105-unit trailer camp, may be used for the erection and operation of subject to the following conditions Approval of the final plans by the Planning Department, the Fire
Marshal, the Building Inspector, and the Health Department.
This permission to expire on June 30, 1954. EXTENSION OF 6 MONTHS FROM THE EXPIRATION DATE OF RESOLUTION
<u>NO. 3778.</u>
Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatic- ically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.
The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.
ZONING COMMITTEE
City of San Diego, California

Dated September 21 194

RM 2144

By _______ Secretary Ros No 118 Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4183

Application Received 9-70-49 By City Planning Department
6
Investigation made 9-21-19 By aller City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-71-49 Hearing date Decision Date 7-71-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-73-49 Building Inspector 9-73-49
Decision decision decision sent to dity Clerk $q - 7 = 7 - 7 - 9$ Building Inspector $q - 7 = 7 - 9 - 9 - 9$
Planning Commission 93-49 Petitioner 9
Decision of Council
Application Withdrawn

- 110.

what me and the shares and the contraction of the parts

the state and a state of the state

where present the metric of the number of states it and

State States

4184

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would _______ not _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Mrs. Glenn Riley Prescott, owner, and Gladice L. Knudtson, purchaser, to construct doctors' and dentists' office building with 0 ft. setback from 4th Ave., according to petition dated September 7, 1949, or with 2 ft. setback from 4th Ave., according to letter from C. J. Paderewski, on a portion of Block 418, Horton's Addition, and a portion of Lot 3, Block 7, Loma Grande, being on the Northwest corner of 4th Ave. and Upas St., Zone R-4

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 4184

Secretary

Dated September 21 , 19 49

By____ Zoning

Engineer

FORM 2145

the second se	////
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{q-\gamma_1-\varphi_2}{2}$ By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee $q = \gamma I - 4q$ Decision	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7 - \sqrt{3} - 49$ Planning Commission $7 - \sqrt{3} - 49$ Petitioner	Date $9_{-} = 1 - 49$ Building Inspector $9_{-} = 23 - 49$ $9_{-} = 23 - 49$ Health Department $9_{-} = 3 - 49$ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second se

and a second and a second and a second and a second second

1 - 1

1

1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6808</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That.strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mildred Bucher, owner, and Richard R. and Frances Stark, purchasers, to divide into two parcels, as follows:

 60 ft. in width at the front and 50 ft. in width at the rear, measured at right angles to the Southerly lot line;
 The remainder of the lot.

and construct a single family residence on each, being Lot 27, Block "O", Riviera Villas, located at Barcelona Drive at Alexandria Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 29 , 19 49

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4185

Application Received H-14-49 By By City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>4-20-49</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>
4-w-49 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\underbrace{5-4-49}_{5-4-49}$ Hearing date Decision / Optifico Prefector Date $9-\sqrt{9-49}$ Dopy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underbrace{9-39-49}_{1anning}$ Building Inspector $\underbrace{9-39-49}_{7-39-49}$ Building Inspector $\underbrace{9-39-49}_{7-39-49}$ Council Health Department $9-39-49$ Date $\underbrace{9-39-49}_{7-39-49}$ Date $\underbrace{9-39-49}_{7-39-49}$
Decision Daveres BOYROVAL Date 9-29-49
ony of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9- 29-49</u> Building Inspector <u>9- 29-49</u>
lanning Commission 9- 29-49 Petitioner 99-49 Health Department 99-49
Deal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
lecision of Council
esolution becomes effective
polication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

1

•

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4159, dated September 21, 1949, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. V. Anderson to build a garage of 709 sq. ft., 37 ft. 4 in. in length, with no sideyard nor rear yard, on Lot 1 and the West 40 ft. of Lot 2, Block 7, El Retiro Subdivision, at Adams and Soria Dr., on the Southwest corner, Zone R-4, subject to the separation of said garage from the apartment house by a 3-1/2 ft. strip of ground.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 4 , 19 49

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4185

FORM 2145

elephone conversation ich anderson re. Coming Office error	B
Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	By City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	<i>4-49</i> Building Inspector <u>(0-4-49</u> ioner <u>10-4</u> Health Department <u>10-49</u> Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

11.10

A CONTRACTOR OF A

The second s

the band we have a set to the bar for the bar, the bar a set of the set of the bar the set of the bar the bar the bar of the bar of

the second of th

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>denied</u> to Campbell Murray, owner, and Dr. Robert Schwarzmann, purchaser, to erect and maintain a small animal hospital, including the over-night care of animals requiring continued or prolonged treatment or isolation, but not including boarding facilities; the animals requiring over-night care to ing boarding facilities; the animals requiring over-night care to be kept in fully sound-proofed rooms; on Lots 25 and 26, Block 16, be kept in fully sound-proofed rooms; of La Jolla Blvd., approxi-Bird Rock Addition, on the West side of La Jolla Blvd., approximately 180 ft. South of Forward St., Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 242, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______ 0ctober 5_____, 19_49

By_

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

- rear in the set of the set	ADK
, ,	10/9/1
Application Received <u>9-15-49</u> E	v
-ppheation receives	City Planning Department
	100 T 2.13
Investigation made $9 - 21 - 49$ E	X allen leus gourton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{q}{q-1-4}$ Decision Decusion sent to City Clerk (9-7-4)	7 Hearing date 10 - 5 - 49
Decision a lerreged	Date 10 - 5 - 49
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 7-4	9 Building Inspector 10 - 10 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>/ - 7 - 4</u> Planning Commission <u>/ - 7 - 49</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	10-7-49 Health Department / 10-10-9
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

inter and the first internet of and the base of the second s

101, Part Courses Williams

CONTRACTOR

and the second second spectrum a

and all the second of a stand a loss of the second of the stand

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7476</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elmer A. Lish to maintain an existing residence with a 16 ft. rear yard, on Lot 10, Block 22, Valencia Park Unit No. 2, on the Northeast side of Santa Isabel Dr., approximately 100 ft. Southeast of Olvera St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secreterv

Dated October 5 , 19 49

Ву_____

Asst. Planning Director

(x

FORM 2145

DUNG
plication Received By City Planning Department
estigation made H By Uller erus and eut
nsidered by Zoning Committee 10- 5-49 Hearing date
Date 10-5-49 Date 10-5-49 Doy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-9-49</u> Building Inspector <u>10-10-49</u> nning Commission 0-10-49 Petitioner 10-7-49 Health Department <u>10-10-49</u> peal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u>
by of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-1-44 Building Inspector 10 - 10 - 49
nning Commission/0-10-49 Petitioner 10-7-47 Health Department
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
olution becomes effective
Dication withdrawn
ne limit extended to Date of action

State of the state of

T) MULT THE T (BIG)

COD DA S.

W MAD AD

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7479</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u><u>mot</u></u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>denied</u> to Robley Veall, owner, and LeRoy H. Loewen, purchaser, to erect a residence with a 5 ft. side yard and 24 in. eaves on both sides, on Lot 19, Block 18, College Park #3, on the North side of Lindo Paseo, approximately 800 ft. West of Campanile Dr., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 5 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4189
Application Received By an fine
City Planning Department
Investigation made A By llev, Terris Queton
19-21-49 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49 Hearing date
Dite 10-5-19
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10 - 7-49</u> Building Inspector <u>10 - 10 - 49</u> Planning Commission <u>10 - 49</u> Petitioner <u>10 - 7 - 49</u> Health Department <u>10 - 10 - 49</u>
Planning Commission / 0 - 10 - 49 Petitioner 10 - / - 49 Health Department 10 - 10 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

THEFT IS IN TO REQUIRE FOR THE SECOND CAME AT A CALL TO A THE THE THE SECOND STREET

1

and a second sec

untand part and the second to be a second

20.0

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Adeline and Heron Romero to alter an existing garage which has a 5 ft. rear yard, to living quarters, and add an 18 ft. by 18 ft. addition thereto, with a 10 ft. rear yard, the entire building to be used as a single family residence, on the condition that a slab is provided on this lot for the parking of two automobiles; Lot 6, Block I, Southlook, 706 So. 37th St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 5 , 1949

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4190

x

FORM 2145

Application Received By By
/ City Planning Department
9-21-49 (100 talls
Investigation made 10 - 5 - 49 By lleu, terus & Juston
9-21-49 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49 Hearing date
Decision indictional & modified approved Date 10-5-49
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk // 10-7-49 Building Inspector 10-10-49
Decision on dictional & modifiegappioned Date 10 - 5 - 49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-7-49</u> Building Inspector <u>10-10-49</u> Planning Commission 10-10-49 Petitioner 10-7-49 Health Department 10-10-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action
Thile mint extended to

pression and any one of the property of the set of the

an arrest the post the nort offer are

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7499</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego, a corporation sole, and St. Rita's Parish, to build an addition to the existing church with a 7-1/2 ft. setback, and an addition to the rectory with a 5 ft. setback, on Lots A thru D and Lots T thru Y, Block 13, Las Alturas No. 4, 5124 Churchward St., Zone R-4, on the following condition:

That the future buildings maintain a tapering setback, as follows:

Lot Y = 2-1/2 ft. setback Lot X = 5 ft. setback Lot W = 7-1/2 ft. setback Lot V = 10 ft. setback Lot U = 12-1/2 ft. setback Lot U = 12-1/2 ft. setback Lot T = 15 ft. setback

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 14 , 1949

Note

By_____ Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4191

FORM 2145

And the second se	12
Application Received By	Sutton - mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-5-49</u> By	City Planning Department
10-5-49	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 14-49	learing date
Decision Como appearal I	Date 10 - 14 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-17-49	Building Inspector 10-17-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-17-49</u> Planning Commission <u>10-17-49</u> Petitioner <u>10</u>	2-17-49 Health Department 10-17-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

brockerse was is hereof ARDY and The Standard Commercian as an other a loss product

the site of the second of the second of the second to the second of the

All the the test of the

the state of the second state

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Advent Christian Conference of Southern California to build an addition to the church, with a 4 ft. rear yard and 66% coverage, on Lots 5 and 6, Block 13, West End, on the Northwest corner of 29th and Landis Sts., Zone R-4, on the condition that the signature of the owner of Lot 7, Block 13, be obtained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

October 5

FORM 2145

100

48

By_____ Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4192

a

	P P P
Application Received9-16-49 B	y City Planning Department
	1110 2013
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49	Date (a C (C)
Decision Course approached to City Clerk 10-9-9	Building Inspector 10-10-49
Planning Commission / / / / / / Cuttoner	Council Hearing, date
Desision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second real and the second second a second second second second second second second second second second

anaral in cortoonel anti-as one of 12 likes were the one contrast conducted by light in the second second

mark the desident

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7267</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>necessary</u> for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>denied</u> to Mrs. Robert B. Jarvis to erect a solid board fence beyond the setback line, 4 ft. 11 in. in height, on Lot 30, Elock 3, Nordica Heights, 3940 Division St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated October 5 , 19 49

By_

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

See Res. No. 95 637 a following

plication Received By City Planning Department
City Planning Department
vestigation made 10-5-49 By allen as not Juston
, only i famming Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49 Hearing date Date 10-5-49
cision (Leural py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-49 Building Inspector 10-10-49 nning Commission 10-10-49 Petitioner 10-7-49 Health Department 10-10-49
nning Commission 10-10-49 Petitioner 10-7-49 Health Department 10-10-49
peal filed with City Clerk, date <u>10-13-49</u> Council Hearing, date <u>10-25-49</u> pision of Council <u>Dencial (Sustaines)</u> Date <u>10-25-49</u>
ision of Council Denial (SUSTAINED) Date 10-25-49
-1. tion becomes effective
Continued to
he limit extended to Date of action

and the server low of all all the stan at 1 the provident at the little the man

The entropy of a provident to a strange wears of the best former because of the second strange of the second s

See Res. No. 4193 puceding

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

95637

That the appeal of Mrs. Robert B. Jarvis, 3938 Division Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolue tion No. 4193, application No. 7267, permission to erset a solid board fence beyond the setback line, 4 ft. 11 in. in height, on Lot 30, Block 3, Nordica Heights, 3940 Division Street, Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby denied, and seid Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I litereby certify the above to I f the Council of the City of San Diego, as a	e a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 95037 lopted by said Council
	FRED W. SICK
	By Helen M. Willia
FORM 1270	Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7480</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>tranted</u> to Lawrence F. and Mary R. Hamm to operate a custom shop in the garage for the re-upholstering of furniture, the making of slip covers, drapes and kiddle furniture, using power machines with a maximum of 10 horsepower, no employees, the hours of operation to be from 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M., on Lots 14 and 15, Block 4, Bungalow Park, at 3533 -47th St., Zone R-2, on the following conditions:

- 1. That off-street, surfaced parking space be provided for any automobiles owned by any of the occupants of the property:
- 2. This permit to expire on June 30, 1950.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 5 , 1949

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4194

a

FORM 2145

newspace of the second second	
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 5 - 49$ By	allen Temora Duto
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>Lo-3-4</u> Decision <u>Lo-3-4</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>Lo-7-49</u> Planning Commission <u>Lo-49</u> Petitioner <u>Lo-49</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Lo-3-4</u>	Date 10 - 5 - 49
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-49	Building Inspector <u>10 - 10 - 49</u>
Planning Commission / 10-10-4 Petitioner 1	o - / - 4 9 Health Department 10 - 10 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date /
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

10 CE 307 04 4 773 00* 2120*

and home horizone britten of the state of the britten of the

THE THE PARTY NOT THE AND THE SOUTH CONSTRUCTION OF THE

there are a service of the sourcempt and the service of the servic

appending the provide an investigation of the statements of the provide statements of the statement of the s

administration of the providence of the weather and the second second and the second second

and years and

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4142, dated August 24, 1949, be amended to read as follows:

to D. M. and Kathryn M. Hunter

Permission is hereby granted/to add a glass house 30 ft. by 95.2 ft., and a lath house 60 ft. by 108 ft., to an existing non-conforming business, on a portion of Pueblo Lot 143, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at 510 Silver Gate Ave., Zone R-1, on the condition that a 15 ft. setback be maintained on Rosecroft Lane.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 5 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

Comending Res. 4142

65

and account of the relief	/////
Application Received $\frac{9-21-49}{9}$ By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 10 - 5 - 47
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-7-49</u> Planning Commission <u>10-10-49</u> Petitioner <u>10</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	- 7-49 Health Department
Planning Commission 18 = 18 = 4 7 Petitioner 18	General Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	ouncil riearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

on bir is and see as a application to you bearing that there are not the second and the second and the second

AND A COTTONS

i turi te gata - parrer.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth C. Melville to build a sun room over an existing garage, with a 5 ft. 6 in. rear yard, on Lot 4, except the Southerly 2.5 ft. thereof, Block B, Cabrillo Terrace, 1098 LeRoy St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secteberg

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4196

Or

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
oplication Received <u>9-22-49</u> By <u>7. Manning Department</u>
vestigation made By By By City Planning Department g
nsidered by Zoning Committee 10 - 5-49 Hearing date Date 10 - 5-49
cision appendix Date 10 - 5 - 49
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-7-49</u> Building Inspector <u>10-10-49</u> anning Commission <u>10-10-19</u> Petitioner <u>10-7-49</u> Health Department <u>10-10-49</u>
anning Commission 10 - 10 - 19 Petitioner 10 - 7- 49 Health Department 10 - 10 - 49
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
solution becomes effective
plication withdrawn Continued to
ne limit extended to Date of action

which a go pust som an on one was said the south the

,

Bucher I find

the burgers sources and the long of a port of the tak or types a well and the test the

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gunnar Johnson to construct a retaining wall ranging from 1 ft. to 11 ft. in height, on the rear portion of Pueblo Lot 1149, the legal description of which is on file in the Planning Department Office, Karrle Addition, next to 2771 Broadway, Zone E-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated October 5 . 19 49

By_

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

P 1 41
Application Received By City Planning Department
City Planning Department
nvestigation made By Aller, Vernary buton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10 - 5 - 49</u> Hearing date Decision <i>Approval</i>
Decision (lepronal Date 10-5-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-11-49 Building Inspector 10-11-49 Planning Commission 10-11-49 Petitioner 10-11-49 Health Department 10-11-49
Planning Commission 10 - 11 - 49 Petitioner 10 - 11 - 49 Health Department' 10 - 11 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

The line was the set of the first of the set of the set

the support and the set of the support to the support of the suppo

,

and the second s

TRUCHLOW POTTERS

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph Mullinex and Robert Prentiss to construct a medical clinic building with a 10 ft. setback from Upas St., on Lots 2, 3 and 4, Block 1, Crittenden's Addition, being on the Northeast corner of oth Ave. and Upas St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

0RD. 57 ft

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated October 5 , 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

\mathcal{N}	1 i P R
Application Received By	City Planning' Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-5-49</u> Hearing Decision <i>Approval</i>	date
Decision <i>Apprend</i> / Date / Da	Y 9 Health Department 10 - 10 - 49 Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Date Date Date Date Continue	incaring, dute
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of	

. . .

The and a set of the set of the set of the set of the set

the second of the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. H. Quinn to build a residence with a 15 ft. rear yard on Lot 8, Block B, Chatsworth Estates, Savoy Circle and Pescadero Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 5 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By_____ Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4199

OX

rapana 2 212	
Application Received <u>9-26-49</u> By	
	City Planning Department
	111. 7 2019
Investigation made By	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Complittee 10-5-49	Hearing date
	Date in a 19
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-19	Building Inspector $10 - 10 - 49$ 0 - 7 - 49 Health Department $10 - 10 - 49Council Hearing date$
Planning Commission / 0-10 - 4 9 Petitioner	10 - 7 - 49 Health Department $10 - 10 - 49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date/
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

man la.

mind an artist a utilizabilito an acceleration of analyzability and an all provident

CITY .

At your Carpon with the post

ANT THE TO THE OTH AT A THE

1

113611 117

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7482</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lawrence A. and Lois Bressler to construct a single family residence on a portion of Quince St. closed, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, Horton's Addition, 3006 Curlew St., Zone R-1. Adj. to Bik 338# 346

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 4200

Dated October 5 . 19 49

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

The second se	H
Application Received By	an fire
/ / /	City Planning Department
	Ille To and letter
Investigation made By By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-5-49</u> H Decision (http://www.all.	earing date
Decision (lphona De	ite 10-5-49
Decision (Composition sent to City Clerk 10-7-49Bi Planning Commission 10-10-49Petitioner 10-	ilding Inspector 10-10-49
Planning Commission 10-10 - 49Petitioner 10-	7-99 Health Department 10 - 10 - 99
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil flearing, date
Decision of Council	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

AND APPROXICATION AND

and the second of the second o

1 "" " Lash and the second approximation of the

The second rate for second and the second

the state of a state of the state of the state of the

K 13 1 -

,