WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ mot materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John R. and Jennie H. Murray, to erect a garage 20 ft. by 32 ft. (for three cars and storage space) with no rear yard, on the East 60 ft. of Lots 1 through 4, Block 64, Seaman & Choates Addition, 2915 Juniper St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 5 , 1949

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Zoning Engineer

AND TOTAL ALL AND TOTAL	
Application Received $\frac{9-26-49}{}$ By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 5 - 49$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49 Decision Commission City Clerk 10-149 Planning Commission 10-10-49 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date 10 - 5 - 49 Building Inspector <u>10 - 10 - 49</u> 10 - 7 - 49 Health Department 10 - 49
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Dat Gold Pt L Herogen

The manufacture surgers of an and an and the second second

services the exercises of the due to the to the to the served deals after the best of the total

perspirit and ever the sengeration the ster everyou to the second de sen the statement of the statement of

and the second of the design of the second states of the second

LAN DI ANT

DESUFACTOR NOT THE

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

not

 That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Benjamin and Andrea Rios to alter and repair the interior only of an existing duplex, with an approximate 3 ft. sideyard and a 5 ft. 5 in. access court, on Lots 15 and 16, Block 176, San Diego Land and Town Co., 2060 Logan Ave., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 5 , 1949

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application Received <u>9-26-49</u> By <u>10ESTER</u>
City Planning Department
Investigation made By Alles Acruss Durton
City Flanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49 Hearing date
Decision approval Date 10 - 5-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10 - 7 - 7$ Building Inspector $10 - 10 - 49$ Planning Commission $10 - 10 - 49$ Petitioner $10 - 7 - 49$ Health Department $10 - 49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn
Time limit extended to Date of action

ner site Austral of approximation of the state of the sta

aterial is the the the standing of the do not all a light of the of the best of the best of the stand

and a ment abatapart to remain contra a accumular town and prover the contractor

THE BURE" PETLI, KENTARD' OF SHE SOUTHE SAMALE TO BE THE THE

ביל אנייין אין אבר בעוון בי באווא איי

more the sum and this of a result.

Sale and the

1

THE FALLS ANT

State and the state of the state of the

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>granted</u> to Frank R. and Eva M. Fikes to construct an addition to a single family residence and convert it to a duplex, with a 4 ft. rear yard, on Lots 41 and 42, Block 5, Park Addition, 2970 Kalmia St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 5 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

COMPANY, STATE 1973	1/1/1/0
Application Received By	City Planning Department
1	Ille Ter 2000 to
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49 Hear	ing date
Decision Chapter he Chal is a CD 111	The state of the s
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-1-49 Build Planning Commission 10-10-49 Petitioner 10- Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Count	7-49 Health Department 10-10-49
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	nued to
	of action

F. L. RPOCTAED BY THE SOLARS COMPLETE OF CHICKEN CONTRACTOR

1041-1140 07400* 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7502</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Baroni to construct a 24 ft. by 24 ft. private garage in the rear of the lot, with a 1 ft. side yard and a 4 ft. rear yard, on Lot 13, Block 19, North Shore Highlands, 1360 Wilbur St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section &a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 5 , 19 49

By_

Sectory

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4204

DS

Application Received <u>9</u>	South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	lleer, Jerus Quitor
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 5 - 49 Hearing	g date
Decision <i>Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-7-17</u> Building</i>	-5-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-17 Building	g Inspector <u>10-10-49</u>
Planning Commission (° - '° - 49 Petitioner '° - 7-	Health Department 10 - 10 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council	Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continu	
Time limit extended to Date of	action

The state of the second state

1

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered to f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions a involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to c zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affe persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements i

not

 That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect to of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack Gardner to slaughtering plant on Lots 15 and 16, Block A, V the Southeasterly corner of Buenos and Weeks Sta that the signature of the adjacent owner is obta

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3 and is hereby granted as to the particulars staas they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be nul revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, u struction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become esixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk is filed within five days after such filing in the office of

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____

FORM 2145

October 5

Zoning Engineer

By

ardner. file of 10-10-4

ed

he

n-

he

al

DC

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

not

4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack Gardner to operate a poultryslaughtering plant on Lots 15 and 16, Block A, Weeks Addition, on the Southeasterly corner of Buenos and Weeks Sts., Zone M-1, provided that the signature of the adjacent owner is obtained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated______ 0ctober 5 _____, 19_49

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

	5/1/
Application Received $-\frac{9-26-49}{B}$	y Van Hise City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-5-49</u> B	y <u>Cllen, terms a Deuton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49 Decision and appeared	Hearing date Date 10 - 5 - 49
Copy of Resolution/sent to City Clerk <u>Le-Le-Y</u> Planning Commission <u>Le-Y</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $70 - 5 - 49$ (7Building Inspector <u>$10 - 10 - 49$</u> 70 - 10 - 49 Health Department $70 - 10 - 49Council Hearing date$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

1

the second state of the se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7451</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to A. B. Wright to construct a masonry wall within the front setback line, along the side and front of the property, 6 ft. high, approximately 100 ft. long, on Lot 21, A. B. Wright Subdivision, on 29th St., approximately 150 ft. North of Juniper St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ 0ctober 5 _____, 19 49

By___

Sectedary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

pplication Received <u>7-x6-49</u> By <u>7. 655768</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
nvestigation made 10-5-49 By Aller Jerry 3 herton
City Framming Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49 Hearing date
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10 - 7 - 7</u> Building Inspector <u>10 - 10 - 79</u> lanning Commission <u>10 - 10 - 79</u> Petitioner <u>10 - 7 - 7</u> Health Department <u>10 - 10 - 79</u> oppeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u>
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 7- 1/Building Inspector 10-10-19
lanning Commission 10-10-49 Petitioner / 10-7-19 Health Department 10-10-49
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

There are not at all there as the goard found of the distriction of the distriction of the second press

where it is a fur the ship afailings

.

11 1:

WHEREAS, Application No. 7229 __ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- not That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to 3. That the granting of the application will _____ the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- not 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

nia, as follows: 25 mangenil office and 2-15-50 Permission is kereby granted to A. J. and Sylvia Bard to erect a 12 ft. by 20 ft. addition to an existing garage with a 1 ft. sideyard, on Lots 43 and 45, Block 4, Carmel Heights, 2830 Felton St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

October 5 , 1949 Dated

By

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Res. No. 4207

a

5

Application Received	By
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10 - 5 - 49</u>	By allen, Jerus ad Juston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 5 - 4	9 Hearing date
Decision (1/2 bemal	/ Date 10 -5 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 7-	19 Building Inspector <u>10 - 10 - 49</u>
Planning Commission / a / a - 49 Petitioner	Health Department / 10 - 10 - 4
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council/Hearing, date/
Decision of Council	Date/
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1000 MORACE

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy and Ethel Scatcherd to erect a garage 59 ft. 6 in. from the front property line, with a 3 ft. sideyard, on Lot 98, Talmadge Park Manor No. 2, 4739 - 51st St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 5 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received B	Van Elise
	City Planning Department
	110 + 1)
Investigation made <u>10-5-49</u> B	y Allen, leus Duston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1-4	Hearing date
Decision Uppional Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-1-4	Date 10 - 5+49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 1-4	Building Inspector <u>10 - 10 - 49</u>
Planning Commission / 0 - / 0 - 9 7 Petitioner	realth Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date /
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THERE IN THE IS REPORTED IN THE REAL PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND THE PROPERTY AND THE REAL PROPERTY AND THE REAL

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. D. and Annlu Page to erect a 6 ft. high free-standing wall on top of a retaining wall which ranges in height from 0 ft. to 4 ft., at the rear of Lots 16 and 17, Block 1, Resubdivision of Blocks 1 to 12, Fairmount Addition, 4824 Reno Dr., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 5 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

the standar of the standar	
Application Received By	City Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made <u>10-5-49</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Complittee 10 - 5- 49	Hearing date
Decision Approved City Clerk 10-7-49	Date 10 - 5 - 49 Building Inspector 10 - 10 - 49
Planning Comprission 10 - 10 - 49 Petitioner	10 - 7-49 Health Department / 10 - 10 - 1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Héaring, date/ Date
Resolution becomes effective	Cantingent to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

CLERENCE ALL THE THE PROPERTY AND A STATE AND A

the style is here the second of the station of the second second second second at the bas the there

me the and the the the the

The state of the second st

No. 10 Las

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7525</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. K. Emmons to add an 18 ft. by 10 ft. addition to an existing garage, which is 63 ft. from the front property line and has a 28 in. sideyard between the garage and the property line, on Lot 661 and the North 1/2 of Lot 662, Talmadge Park No. 3, 4721 Natalie Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ October 19 , 19_49

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

t
1

mentions and the set point with the set of the

The second s The second sec

the set of the set of the set

,

amending Res. 3616 + 3927 oc

RESOLUTION NO. 4211

Letter dated September 27, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No: ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be</u> materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3927, dated June 1, 1949, which amended Resolution No. 3616, dated December 15, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Raoul M. and Belia C. Morales to re-divide Lot 3, except the North 50 ft. thereof, and the Easterly 25 ft. of Lot 4, except the Northerly 50 ft. thereof, Block 13, F. T. Scripp's Addition, into two parcels, as follows:

1. The inside lot to be 60 ft. wide; 2. The corner lot to be 65 ft. wide.

Provided, further, that each lot contain a minimum of 5,000 sq. ft., facing Sea Lane, and each to have not less than 55 ft. frontage thereon, and erect two living units on each lot, being on the Northwest corner of Draper St. and Sea Lane.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is (over)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 5 , 19 49

Ву____

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>1-~7-49</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49	Hearing date
Decision and append	Date $10 - 5 - 49$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 7-49	Building Inspector 10-10-49
Planning Commission 10 - 10 - 49 Petitioner	10 - 7 - 49 Health Department 10 - 10 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Repeat med with end of the second	Date
Busilition becomes effective	
A listion withdrawn	Continued to
Ti limit ortended to	
Time limit extended to	Dute of action
and the second states and the second states of the	
and the second state of the state of the	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-5-49</u> Decision <u>and</u> , <u>appeound</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-7-49</u> Planning Commission <u>10-10-49</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn <u></u> Time limit extended to <u></u>	Building Inspector

THID ORE " PT II DESOLARD' BALLER SOULD COMPLETED IT AND ELAND STRUCTURE

an over the stand of the standard of the standard with the second standards and the second standards the standard

the second of the second of the second property and the second of the se

auriated attribut attribut dense at a province service aurited and a real of the law of the line of the line of

and how to an entering the party to any branches of the research to the the the termination

1

to the property described above.

WHEREAS, Application No. 7512 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>denied</u> to R.R. Calkins to maintain an existing part-time woodworking shop with a maximum of 4 hours daily, or 15 hours per week, on Lot 14, Acacia Park, 4746 Choctaw Dr., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated October 5 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4212

OF

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	() (° 1
Application Received <u>9-28-49</u> B	City Planning Department
	(000 F . 13 -
Investigation made $10 - 5 - 49$ B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning/Committee 10-0-49	Hearing date
/ / . /	
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-1-4	Building Inspector <u>10 - 10 - 49</u> 10 - 7 - 49 Health Department <u>10 - 10 - 49</u>
Planning Commission /0 - 10 - 49 Petitioner	10-7-49 Health Department 10-10-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second and the second of the second s

BREER CLEE . HE . I I INCLUMED . WE TRAINER COMPLETENCE IN THE CASE OF ISAU

•• *

, ____

THE IN A DEPARTMENT OF ADDRESS OF ADDRESS

WHEREAS, Application No. _____7516 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to S. Rafalovich and Sophie Rafalovich to convert a portion of the existing rumpus room and garage to a guest bedroom and bath, with a 27 in. sideyard, according to the plan submitted, Lots 13 and 14, Block 101, City Heights, 3545 -43rd St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. Said guest bedroom and bath not to be rented:
- To comply with the requirements of the Building Code and 2. Health Dept, regulations.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 5 , 19 49 Dated___

Zoning Engineer

By

Secretary

Res. No. 4213

FORM 2145

and the second and the second	1 1 1 1
Application ReceivedB_B	y City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 5 - 49$ B	y Collen, Teres Deuton City Planning Department)
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 5 - 49 Decision and appeoral	TT
Planning Commission 10 - 10 - 49 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector Date /Building Inspector /o - 7 - 4 9 Health Department Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second of the second of the second prover the

a de la serie d

tant on a stor of the solution

an angest and a second second and the second second

and a harrian manyar of the ability many party and the clean of the state of

and a reaction of the second second second second second second

.

(.))/

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. A. Abbott to erect a 10 ft. by 19 ft. addition to an existing non-conforming residence which has a 2 ft. sideyard, the new room to have a 4 ft. side yard, on Let 9, Block 45, Normal Heights, 3840 Edna Pl., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

	Prate all was the	in the second seco
Dated	October 5	, 19 47

The state of the state

FORM 2145

1.00

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4214

OC

Application Received By	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	allen Toring Justa
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 3 - 49	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10- 7-4	Building Inspector <u>10 - 10 - 49</u> Building Inspector <u>10 - 49</u> In - 7 - 49 Health Department 10 - 49 Council Hearing, date <u>99</u>
Planning Commission /	10 - 7 - 49 Health Department 10 - 4, 0 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	

the the week to be the theory of the transfer the benefit

,

The second state of the second of the second s

The second s

the part of the start and an an are brobered of the preparet of the preparet

sout sout same

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Thomas S. Mooney to erect a garden wall or fence in the rear corner of the property, ranging from 6 ft. to 8 ft. high, on Lots 3 through 8, Block 77, Middletown Addition, 1820 Neale St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By____

, 19 49

October 5

Secretary

FORM 2145

Dated

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4215

OC

The search and the second s	
Application Received By By	lautice.
	City Planning Department
/	lon t ()
Investigation made <u>10-5-49</u> By_	Aller lerus histon
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 20-5-49 H	learing date
Decision (lokeonal D	Date 10 - 5 - 49
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-1-19 B	Building Inspector 10-10-49
Planning Commission 10 - 10 - 49 Petitioner	10-7-49 Health Department 10-10-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	continued to
Time limit extended to L	Date of action

MARK STATES TO THE DATE OF THE ASK

REPORT TOTONS

1

Rent and the way

WHEREAS, Application No. 7510 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

involved, or target use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicently. put
2. That strikt application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hand that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation of the regulation is ______ necessary for the preservation of the same zone that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation of the regulation.
3. That the granting of the granting of the same zone that the granting of the grant of substant is property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owneds in the same zone that the grant of substant is property.

3. That the granting the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public lfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Elsie H. Fanchin to divide an existing parcel of approximately 5 acres into 3 parcels, with the right to build a single family residence on each parcel, one of the proposed parcels having no frontage on a dedicated street, fronting only on a 30 ft. easement, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1112, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at 913 Camino Del Rio, Zone R-1A, on the following conditions:

- Provided that the City has acquired that portion of the property 1. needed for the widening of Camino Del Rio:
- The division to be according to the plan submitted; 2.
- Provided that the parcel at the extreme rear is served by a 3. recorded easement 30 ft. in width.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 5 , 19 49 Dated

By

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4216

OE

4216 THIS IS NOT A BUILDING PERMIT

By Application Received _______ City Planning Department By Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 5 - 49 Hearing date ______ Decision Cond - approach Date 10 - 5 - 49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10 - 7 - 49</u> Building Inspector <u>10 - 10 - 9</u> Planning Commission 10 - 10 - 49 Petitioner 10 - 7 - 49 Health Department Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ______ Council Hearing, date ______ 49 Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action P.L. 1107 DE ex 5 6 4 69 30'

Extension of Res 3783

RESOLUTION NO. 4217

Letter dated September 28, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3783, be granted to Robert E. Hill, W. P. Kesling, owners, and Elizabeth N. Crane, purchaser, to construct a single family residence and garage on a portion of Arbitrary Lot 35, Assessor's Map 33a, in Pueblo Lot 1286, the legal description of which is on file in the Planning Department Office, with a 5 ft. setback from Torrey Pines Road, being at the corner of Little, Roseland and Torrey Pines Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ October 5 ____, 19 _49

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Sect at any

Res. No. 4217

Letter	
Application Received <u>9-29-49</u>	Byart
••• / 1	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-	<u>Y</u> Hearing date Date 10 - 5 - 49 <u>Y</u> Building Inspector <u>10 - 49</u> <u>Health Department</u> 10 - 10 - 49 <u>Council Hearing, date</u>
Decision Aproval	Date 10 - 5 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-1-	19 Building Inspector 10 - 10 - 19
Planning Commission 10-10 - 49 Petitioner	r'10-7-49 Health Department 16-10-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

18/18 1014

the state we want the state

CALL DE LOS DE CALL

INTRA WELLS, FRAME

. .

2 Est 3

CODE COL

Y. -

There are by a the rearry and the contract contraction of the bracket way be the

and a second second

WHEREAS, Application No. 7459 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. H. Rasmussen to construct a guest house or servants' quarters, with a kitchen, on Lots 3 and 4, Block 21, La Jolla Shores Unit No. 3, 8140 Paseo De La Ocaso, Zone R-1, on the following condition:

That an Agreement of record be signed by the owner, to the effect that said guest house or servants' quarters will never be rented nor sold separately, but will be used solely by the occupants of the main house and their bona fide guests or servants.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4022, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. A-605-10-17-49

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ October 5 , 19 49

By____

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4218

FORM 2145
and the second se	119
Application Received <u>7-~9-49</u>	By City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 5 - 49$	By <u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49	Hearing date
Decision and appeoral	Date $10 - 5 - 49$ 49 Building Inspector $10 - 10 - 4910 - 7 - 49$ Health Department $10 - 10 - 49$
Copy of Resolution/sent to City Clerk 10-7-	19 Building Inspector 10 - 10 - 19
Planning Commission/0-10-49 Petitionen	r/10-7-49 Health Department 10-10-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and an entries of the second property of the most of the metric of the second property of the second s

.

and the set of the contract when a state when

The trade of a state of a state of the south the state of the

Cart dent home

Res. 3568 + 3888

RESOLUTION NO. 4219

Letter dated September 28, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3888, which extended Resolution No. 3568, be granted to James W. Ravenscroft, et al, owners, and First Avenue Corp., purchasers, to construct a building containing doctors' offices, clinic and pharmacy, with a 10 ft. setback from First Ave., on Lots G, H and I, Block 266, Horton's Addition, on the Northwest corner of First Ave. and Juniper St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_October 5 , 1949

By_

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4219

Constraint and the second and the se	
Application Received By City Planning Department	
Investigation made By	
A City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49 Hearing date Decision Checono Date 10-5-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-49 Building Inspector 10-10-49 Planning Commission 10-10-49 Petitioner 10-7-49 Health Department 10- Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision alpheonal Date 10 - 5-49	ALC: NOT
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 7-49 Building Inspector 10 - 10 - 49	
Planning Commission 10 - 10 - 49 Petitioner 10 - 7 - 49 Health Department 10 - 1	0 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	-
Decision of Council Date	15.000
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

The second s

· and a set of the set

A LT SET STORE TO BE OF A LEW SOL AND AND AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPANTA DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF

AREASTANT DE LI RESOLUTO DE LOS ROUTES ENVIRENTES AL MARCHANE DE LOS DE

a the second of the second state and the second state of the second state and second state second state and the

The State of the second for the second of the second of the second secon

the score of the state of the set of the set

THE ALL A DECEMBER OF THE CENTRE OF THE ADDRESS THE AD

the second end there as the state of the

11 1 March Park " a word were

RESOLUTION NO. 4220

Letter dated September 28, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3887, which extended Resolution No. 3567; be granted to James W. Ravenscroft, et al, owners, First Ave. Corp., purchaser, to build and operate a pharmacy within a doctors' office building on the Northwest corner of First Ave. and Juniper St., Lots G, H and I, Block 266, Horton's Addition, providing that there is no entry to this pharmacy directly from the street, but only from the inside of the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By__

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____October 5 , 19 49

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4220

a

Extension 9 Res. 3567 + 3887

Providence and the	
Application Received <u>9-29-49</u>	Byail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made]	By
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 5 - 4	Hearing date Date $10 - 5 - 49$ 2 Building Inspector $10 - 49$ 10 - 7 - 49 Health Department $10 - 10 - 49Council Hearing, date$
Decision (pleanal	Date 10 - 5 - 49
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 7-4	2 Building Inspector 10 - 10 - 19
Planning Commission 10 - 10 - 49 Petitioner	10-7-49 Health Department 10-10-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date/
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and and straight in an an and a second reading from an and the prove

the many the second and the present of the second second second second second and the second s

11 6

man or incomments or man by by by by or where I the providence the third of the

miles as a ship at or have say for the start of the

a service and the service of service and the plant of the service and

the sea on our first suffer many and the

and are in the .

1

AND THE OWNER PROVIDE

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Willis R. and Isabel E. Brenizer to erect a single family residence on an unsubdivided parcel of land with no street frontage, the access being by a 50 ft. easement from "A" St., being a portion of Lot 16, Ex-Mission Lands of San Diego, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at approximately 4986 "A" St. (approximately 200 ft. North of "A" St.), Zone R-1, on the following condition:

Provided that the property is served by a 50 ft. easement of record, for its full width, extending from the North line of said property to the North line of "A" St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_October 5 , 19 49

By.

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4221

0r

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>7-29-49</u> By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10 - 5 - 49</u> By <u>By Cleven City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-5-49</u> Hearing date <u>Date 10-5-49</u>
Decision Cong. approved Date Date 10-5 - 49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-49 Building Inspector 10-10-49 Planning Commission 10-10-49 Petitioner 10-7-49 Health Department 10-10-49 Decision Commission 10-10-49 Petitioner 10-7-49 Health Department 10-10-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

110 1 112

100

			5	ter ses s			
121 0H 141			R				
		Febera	· Li	Juo	hadren i	NOT THE	and the second
1712 12 31	i u maaa	The state	17. 19	and the			mie i el
	Salar Carl Parks		14	30030 213	Langer Stally	10 ·	19. A.A.
			10 m 1				
allow and any			State of the				
UPPERADE: T			1.891.10				
							-
			1.1				
	and the second		11 E				ALC LANGE
special 15	1 4 4 4 201	Gardene 23 (p)	1. maryon				
Mary and State	all and she and		pri phan i		a one say is		
and a start of		20, 20, and and	1 1 1	E State 14	2		
and stars the		and the second					
Star of the		MARTIN GA TON					
ford and for the	- Com			and the second second	in a strong of		
Sterring and the	ALL N		100				
and the particular of the	1.1.1.1						
107 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -			an and a first		APA MAN		In Drive
	-		A ALSO				
and a state			der alter	Contraction of the			
			NA STATISTICS		and the state of the state	The sume of a	

"A" ST.

Eitensin Res 3844 04

RESOLUTION NO. 4222

Letter dated October 3, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3844, be granted to William L. and Madelyn A. Gramer to split Lots 12 and 13, Block 81, in Point Loma Heights, being on the Westerly corner of Santa Barbara and Del Mar Ave., Zone R-1, into the following two parcels:

1. 65 ft. by 90 ft. for the rear lot; 2. 75 ft. by 90 ft. for the front lot.

and permit a single family residence on each parcel, provided that a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Santa Barbara, and the regular setback ordinance is observed on Del Mar.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ October 5 , 1949

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received $10 - 3 - 49$	ByCity Planning Department
Investigation made]	ByCity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49 Decision Approval	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Le-1-4	2 Building Inspector <u>10 - 10 - 49</u>
Planning Commission 10 - 10 - 49 Petitioner	Building Inspector $10 - 70 - 79$ 9 Building Inspector $10 - 70 - 79$ 10 - 7 - 79 Health Department $10 - 10 - 79Council Hearing, date$
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
The second s	

and the second states of the second second

the state was a state of the second of the state of the state of the second of the second of the second of the

the flag and the state of the state of the second of the state of the

manufe search as many replicit and reaction of the search and the second search as a second second as the and the state of the

and the second of the second by the second by the second of the second o

there is not more a state of the state of th

all for a second total of less an investigation of the second

energy - The set and only part the set

- -

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7521</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>necessary</u> for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>denied</u> to Dudley E. Hosea to maintain existing apartments, three of which are served by an access court 10 ft. 6 in. wide, but of which space only 6 ft. 6 in. is clear to the sky, being on the West 1/2 of Lot 47, all of Lot 48, Block 128, Reed and Swayne's Central Park Addition, on the Northeast corner of 32nd and Market Sts., Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 5 , 19 49

Ву____

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

	1)0/2
the second second second second second	P/D i
Application Received _9-31-49 By	1 Auston
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $(a - \sqrt{-y})$ By	alle tan Dreat
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49 H	Hearing date
Decision Verical Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-7-49 Planning Commission 10-10-49 Petitioner 10	Building Inspector 10 - 10 - 49
Planning Commission 10-10-49 Petitioner 10	-7-49 Health Department' $10-10-47$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to I	Date of action

. . Lot and the concern account of the management we are

margh and the Demonstrate Capital and a Marganes a strength Land and and a low a low a low WOLL STATE OF HOM

analy a second and the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7535</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank H. Harrison to erect a residence and garage with 2-1/2% over-coverage, or 117 sq. ft. over-coverage, on Lot 380, Talmadge Park No. 2, at West Alder and East Alder Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 5 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary.

Doning Engineer

OC

Application Received By Auto fice
City Planning Department
Investigation made By By By
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-5-49 Hearing date
Decision (16 beau of) Date 10 - 5 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-11-49</u> Building Inspector <u>10-11-49</u>
Planning Commission 10-11-49 Petitioner 10-11-49 Health Department 10-11-19
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council / Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

	1		in s	a lin
14	1910.0	Long Property on	-	
		T		. 4
2		A		Y.
				11
-	THE C	1055		

Prise a fight water was to be preserved

1. 10 m .

1

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY

fronto 8.02 32 it. 330 600 T .698 ages, ships a set i der a ships in a sure and the the set BOUD-LOPICAL STRATES AND AND IT PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY

and the second of the second o

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7382</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. B. Saunders, owner, and Roy and Daisy Hanks, purchaser, to operate a dog kennel (commercial) on a portion of Pueblo Lot 272, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at 4883 Pacific Highway, Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 19 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4226

Page 26R

By. Application Received ______ an City Planning Department By Investigation made _ len City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 10 Y9 Hearing date Decision *Comproved* Date 10-19-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-10-49</u> Building Inspector <u>10-10</u> Planning Commission 10-10-49 Petitioner <u>10-10-49</u> Health Departm Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> 10 - - - 49 Health Department 49 10 -Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action .71 H.J. City 3.90 A P.P. 1.00 A 3 0.90A R 4 1.009 2 à æ 200 U 5 CHI8 ACD ANNA AVE. 2

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel and Hope A. Solomon to erect a single family residence on a lot where 2 residences now exist (making a total of 3 units) with an access court of 6-1/2 ft., on the Northerly 12-1/2 ft. of Lot 28 and all of Lot 29, Block 143, University Heights, 4118-20 Florida St., Zone R-4, on the condition that off-street parking space for 3 cars, which will be satisfactory to the Planning Director, be provided before the issuance of a building permit.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 19 , 1949

By__

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

ote

1//////
pplication Received By City Planning Department
The transford t
vestigation made <u>10-19-19</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>
onsidered by Zoning Committee Le - 19-49 Hearing date
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-20-49</u> Building Inspector <u>10-49</u> B
anning Commission / 10 - 20 - 49 Petitioner 10 - 20 - 49 Health Department 10 - 20 - 49
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to Date of action

1

1.. 101

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

1.228

2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

not

- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to H. E. Quam to convert an existing garage to living quarters with an entrance on Russ Blvd., making 4 units, plus a rest home on the property, with 7 ft. access to the street, on Lots 33 and 34, Block 1, H. M. Higgins' Addition, 2434 "A" St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 19 . 19 49

By____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Cleer, Times Queston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-19-49</u> Hearin Decision Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>0-19-49</u> Buildir Planning Commission <u>10-10-19</u> Petitioner <u>10-19</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Counci</u>	g date
Decision Date Date	10-19-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-10-49 Building	ig Inspector 10-20-49
Planning Commission 10 - 20 - 49 Petitioner 10	-49 Health Department 1049
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Counci	l Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Contin	
Time limit extended to Date o	f action

the second strategy and the second state of the second state of the second between the

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 7431 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

4229

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is <u>hereby denied</u> to Leo P and Hazel M. Volz to construct a building to be used as a post office if, when and as the post office bid is awarded, on Lot 2, Block 6, Roseville, on Addison St., approximately 100 ft. Westerly from Rosecrans St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 19 , 19 49

Zoning Engineer

Bv

Secretary

FORM 2145

A ANTIN ATTACK AND A THE ATTACK	
Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made $10-19-49$	By <u>Clean Lecco</u> Durton
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-19-	<u>49</u> Hearing date
Decision Derrich	Date 10 - 19 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-10	- 49Building Inspector 10 - 10 - 49 er 10 - 10 - 49 Health Department 10 - 10 - 49
Planning Commission 10 - 20 - 49 Petitione	er 10 - 20 - 49 Health Department 10 - 20 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the same a structure of the residence in the second state of the barrier of the providence of the second becaused

1

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. M. Karp and Bella Karp to erect a single family residence with a 4 ft. setback on Yonge St., on the Southwesterly 50 ft. of Lots 8 through 11, Block 35, Western Addition, corner of Yonge St. and Palermo Dr., Zone R-4, provided that a 15 ft. setback on Palmermo Dr. is maintained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 19 . 1949

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Res. No. 4230

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	- Muamen Q
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	- allen, Vernond burto
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-19-49</u> Decision long, <u>approval</u>	Hearing date
Decision and approval	Date 10 - 19 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-10-19	Building Inspector 10 - 20 - 49
Planning Commission 10 - 20 - 49 Petitioner /	Date / - /
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

wear a start of the start and a start of the start of the

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 7530 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be</u> materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. U. and Essie S. Abplanalp to add a 4 ft. by 6 ft. service porch to the rear of an existing duplex with a 2 ft. sideyard and with a 3 ft. access court to the 2nd unit on the lot, the addition to observe all the yard requirements, on Lots 5 and 6, Block 193, University Heights, 3977 Centre St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ October 19 , 1949

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Kes

FORM 2145

	-121
and the strength of the second strength of th	\square
Application Received	By
/ / /	City Planning Department
	1000 Tott
Investigation made 10 1949	By allen record kerton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-19-	<u>49</u> Hearing date Date 10 - 17 - 49 <u>-49</u> Building Inspector <u>10 - 20 - 49</u> er 10 - 20 - 49 Health Department 10 - 20 - 49 Council Hearing data
Decision de sido Robeauque	Date 10-19-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 20	-49 Building Inspector 10 - 20 - 49
Planning Commission (2-9-49 Petition	er 10 - 20 - 49 Health Department 10 - 20 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, dute	Date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the section of the month of the section of the

alle and all and a such a so in the second state of the second sta

and top as a start a set through at the later opened period a later of period sector to the

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. I. Mandolf to erect an 17 ft. 4 in. by 15 ft. 8 in. addition to an existing garage which is 20 ft. long (totaling 37 ft. 4 in.) the existing portion and addition to have a 3 in. sideyard, being approximately 550 sq. ft. in size, on Lot 62, Talmadge Park No. 1, 4671 E. Talmadge Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 19 , 19 49

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4232

FORM 2145

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-19-19</u> H Decision <u>Approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-0-49</u> H Planning Commission <u>10-00-49</u> Petitioner <u>10</u>	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 10-19-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-49	Building Inspector 10-20-49
Planning Commission 10 49 Petitioner 10	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to I	Date of action

1

T. 1.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur and Esther Clegg to construct a residence with a 6 ft. setback on Gilbert Dr., and with a 15 ft. setback on Dayton St., Lot 33, Highland Garden, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Res. No. 4233

Dated October 19 , 19 49

By_

Zoning Engineer

/////c/	1
Application Received By By City Planning Department	1
Investigation made 49 By By Cellen, Terris Dento	n
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-19-19</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Decision</u> <u>Date 10-19-49</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-20-49</u> Building Inspector <u>10-20-49</u> Planning Commission <u>10-20-49</u> Petitioner <u>10-20-49</u> Health Department <u>10-20-49</u>	
Decision (ppional Date 10-19-49	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-20-49 Building Inspector 10-20-49	
Planning Commission 10 - 20 - 49 Petitioner 10 - 20 - 49 Health Department 10 - 20 - 49	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

and the second second

and all a second s

W17 2 2

. . .

11.11.10

WHEREAS, Application No. 7534 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Alexander Strep to erect an 18 in. lattice work on top of an existing 6 ft. concrete block wall (total 7 ft. 6 in.) at the rear and side of Lot 418, Block 20, Grown Point, 3451 Riviera Dr., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary.

Dated_ October 19 , 1949

By_

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

,

and all made definitions and and and the second

Nº1 1

.

WHEREAS, Application No. 7562 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul Schneider to construct a duplex with a 3 ft. 6 in. sideyard on Lots 22, 23 and 24, Block K, Alta Vista Suburb No. 2, 441 So. 48th St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 19 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

and seed of 10	
Application Received =	By fan trice City Planning Department
	(nno tan)
Investigation made949	By <u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 19 - 9	
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-19-9 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-19	Date $10 - 19 - 49$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-14	$r'^{o} - w - 49$ Health Department $io - w - 49$
Planning Commission /	General Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
- CCIDION OF GENERAL	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

are indicate her provided by the read of the read by them and the main the west the read the second of the

1.1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>necessary</u> for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to T. P. Faulconer to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 148, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, being on Bow Ave., 150 ft. South of DuPont St., Zone R-1, into a building site.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 19 , 19 49

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 1236

FORM 2145

0 By Application Received _____ City Planning Department Ur By 11 Investigation made ______ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 10-19-49Hearing date_ Decision Ocura Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-10-49</u> Planning Commission <u>10-10-49</u> Planning Commission <u>10-10-49</u> Petitioner <u>10-19-49</u> Petitio 0 20 Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action 70 ALBION r AD DU PONT 9 PENIN 0 57. 0 4

WHEREAS, Application No. 7552 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to</u> the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. Patrick and Jananne M. Kennedy to erect a single family residence on the Southerly 70 ft. of Lot 5. Beverly Heights, Miramar Ave. at the end of Pearl St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 19 , 19 49

By

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4237

FORM 2145

Dated
The second set to the second	1017
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	allen, Temera Duston
Investigation made/	City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 10-19-49 Building Inspector <u>10-20-49</u> Health Department 10-20-49
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

The seator is the second of the second second bank the second second second second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James H. and Mabel M. Foote to erect a residence with a 5 ft. setback on Lot 154, Congress Heights, on the West side of Collingwood Dr., approximately 70 ft. North of Malden St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 19, 1949

By___

Secretery.

FORM 2145

Application Received By By	A Jouth
Application Received	City Planning Department
	100. F. and J.
Investigation made <u>10-19-49</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-19-49H	Hearing date
Decision (/ /	Date 10 - 19-49
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 20-49	Building Inspector 10-10-49
Planning Commission 10-20 - 49 Petitioner 10	49 Health Department 10 - 20-49
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>70-14</u> Decision <u>Copy</u> of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-14</u> Planning Commission <u>10-10</u> <u>17</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>10</u>	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action

and the second second

the second second second second second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6672</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. W. Prickett to add 7 ft. to an existing garage with no sideyard, and attach to an existing residence, Lot A, Block 98, Mission Beach, IsthmusCourt, Bayside Lane and Bayside Walk, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 4239

Secretary

Dated October 19 , 1949

By_

FORM 2145

	- · / / / ~
Non anomic in the or and a	1/1/1/0/
Application Received	By City Planning Department
	Cato to 13
Investigation made $2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 $	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-19-4	9 Hearing date
Decision Copeanal	' Date 10 - 19 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-20-9	Building Inspector <u>10 - 20 - 29</u> 9 Building Inspector <u>10 - 20 - 29</u> 10 - 20 - 29 Health Department <u>10 - 20 - 49</u> Council Hearing, date <u></u>
Planning Commission 10-20-49 Petitioner	10 +9 Health Department / 10 +9
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THERE'S THE

the second se

1

RESOLUTION NO. 4240

Letter dated October 13, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3840, which extended Resolution No. 3594; which amended Resolution No. 3566, be granted to Ray H. Crimmel, Jr., to divide the East 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 1774 into 4 building sites, 2 facing on La Jolla Rancho Road, and 2 without street frontage, but served by a private right-of-way 30 ft. in width, and permit a single family residence on each, providing that an Agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that when and if the City requests it, an easement 30 ft. in width along the North line of said property will be granted for street purposes, and an easement 50 ft. in width, approximately in the center of said parcel, will be granted for street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

(AGG 576)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sectedary

pated October 19, 1949

By_

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4240

Application Received <u>10 - 17 - 49</u>	By Qe City Planning Department
Investigation made	By City Planning Department
Decision of Collincia	<u>49</u> Hearing date Date 10-19-49 e-4Building Inspector <u>10 - 70 - 49</u> er 10-70-49 Health Department <u>10 - 70 - 49</u> Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

11 11

1 1

THE HOUSE ALLEY

and the second

and an all of the property of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred E. Winship to install a second kitchen, for the barbecue room, on Lot 8, Block 1, Hermosa Terrace, Camino de la Costa, Zone R-1, on the condition that an Agreement Note be signed by the owner to the effect that said second kitchen will be used as a portion of the single family dwelling, and that no part of said dwelling will be rented separately.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

AGG 608 FILED 10/25/49

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated October 19 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4241

and the state of the state of the state of the	11111001
Application Received <u>10-14-49</u>	By City Planning/Department
Investigation made/	By <u>Clleen, terrison</u> / Course
Resolution becomes effective	/gHearing date / Date /0 - /g - 4 g -4gBuilding Inspector /0
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

Y Y Y Y

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 7557 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred E. Winship to construct a garage with a 12 ft. setback for a portion thereof from Camino de la Costa, Lot 8, Block 1, Hermosa Terrace, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 19 , 19 49

By_____ Zoning Engineer Secretary

FORM 2145

and contract of the second second	
Application Received <u>10-14-49</u> H	By City Planning Department
Investigation madea	By <u>Clean, Verus</u> Juston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-19-9	9Hearing date Date 10 - 19 - 49 19Building Inspector <u>10 - 30 - 49</u> 10 - 30 - 49 Health Department 10 - 30 - 49
Decision (ppiconal	Date 10 - 19 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10- 20-	19Building Inspector <u>10-20-49</u>
Planning Commission 10 49Petitioner	10 - 20 - 49 Health Department $10 - 20 - 49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Héaring, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

2241 -1

DA M

RESOLUTION NO. 4243

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Jerry Connor to erect a double-face sign, 75 sq. ft. total size, on a pole, Lots 38 through 40, Block 1, Ocean Front, on the Northeast corner of Missouri St. and Mission Blvd., Zone R-4.

An application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sc, be, and is hereby denied, as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ________, 19_49

By____

Secretary

Sec Res. # 9587 6 following.

and the second of the second sec	P. 7/2
Application Received By By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-19-49</u> By	elleus, Terris durton City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-20-49</u> B Planning Commission <u>10-00-49</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>10-14-49</u> C Decision of Council <u>Appear SUSTAINED</u> D Perclution becomes effective	uilding Inspector <u>19-19</u>
Application withdrawin C	ontinued to

APPENDER Starter

and the second of the second second

and the state of the state of the state

HILL & 196.5

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Jerry Connor, 4751 Mission Boulevard, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 4243, application No. 7564, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sc, to erect a double-face sign on a pole Lots 38 through 40, Block 1, Ocean Front, on the northeast corner of Missouri St. and Mission Blvd., Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled. Said sign shall be placed in the location shown on photograph made a part of the file in connection with said appeal.

	F. T. PATTEN	City Clerk
Ву		

.....

1

FREIS

Dee Res # 4743 preciding on 95876

No. 4244

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE
TESE
WHEREAS, Application No. 7565 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of
n Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:
1. That the granting of the application isnecessary for the enjoyment and preservation of
substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare
or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application willadversely affect the Master Plan of the City of
San Diego.
TEROPE
EREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,
That the following described property, Lot 38, 39 and 40 1 Block
Ocean Front
Northeast corner of Missouri St. and Mission Blvd.
(Jerry Connor)
may be used for the erection and operation of
motel (auto court).
subject to the following conditions
subject to the following conditions
Berte / terenest to contract the second second second second second
LE PER AND ADDRESS TO THE PERSON ADDRESS TO
Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatic-
Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and a construction permitted is commenced ally, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced
fore sold time evaluate
fore said time expires.
is filed in the office of the City Clerk, and
ch filing in the office of the City Clerk.
ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Secretary Res. No. 4244

October 19 1949 Dated

outlestres levelses

2144

Ву Zoning Engineer

Application Received 10-18-49 By Levion
" City Planning Department
Investigation made 10-19-49 By Aller Density Density
City Fiamining Departmenty
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 Hearing date
Considered by Loning Committee
Decision Ceppineed Date 10-19-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk
Planning Commission 10 - 49 Petitioner 10 - 49 Health Department 10 - 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of Council
Resolution becomes effective
Application Withdrawn
Time limit extended to

7 9/0

make on the barrant have been a series of the series of the series and the series of t

TOTAL STREET, COLOR OF ALL STREET, STR

7438

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

not

not

4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. S. Kelley, Jr., to build a residence and garage with a 15 ft. setback on San Fernando St. and a 5 ft. setback on Rogers St., Lot 2, Block 167, La Playa, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By		XXXXXXX			
Zoning	Engineer	Secretary	Res.	No.	4245

FORM 2145

Dated

October 5 , 19 49

1	
Application Received9-16-49 By	City Planning Department
	$(\eta\eta\eta)$ f
Investigation made <u>10-5-49</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 5 - 49	Hearing date Date $10 - 5 - 49$
Decision appendit Clerk 11-1-49	Date $70 - 3 - 49$ Building Inspector $1/-1 - 49$
Planning Commission (1-1-47 Petitioner	Health Department 11-1-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to 3. That the granting of the application will not the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. C. Anderson Co., owner, and Roy D. and Jean S. Varner, purchasers, to construct a fence on the rear (East) property line, to a maximum height of 10 ft., including retaining wall, above the lowest adjacent ground level, on Lot 2, Block 2 Longet Terrace, 4611 Lamont St., Zone Ref. on the follow Block 2, Lamont Terrace, 4811 Lamont St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. That any portion of said fence within 5 ft. of the garage adjacent to the Varner property on the East, is not higher than the eave line of said garage;
- 2. The fence to be painted a light color; 3. That it is constructed in accordance with the Building Code.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

November 2 , 19 49 Dated_

By

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>7-14-49</u> By By
City Flamming Department
9-21-49
Investigation made
0 - 5 - 4 9
Considered by Zoning Committee 19-59-499 Hearing date
Decision and appeared Date 11-2-49
Development to Lity LIPER // - 1. 49 DIMUNIO MISPECIOL // - 7 - 97
Planning Commission 11-4-49 Petitioner 11-4-49 Health Department 11-4-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Fime limit extended to Date of action

many a surprise her interaction and interaction and the second and the second and the second

.

.

and the second second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7537</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the American A-One Investment Co. and Bernard W. Freudenthal, owners, and George David Bryant and John P. Hudson, Jr., purchasers, to split off two parcels and erect a single family residence on each, being a portion of Lot 12, Ex-Mission Rancho, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the South side of Lisbon St., approximately 935 ft. East of Pidgeon St., Zone R-1, on the following condition:

That an easement be granted for street purposes for the widening of Lisbon St., from the East line of Happy Valley Little Farms to the West line of the Meisel tract. Easement granted

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 2 , 1949

Ву____

Secretary

FORM 2145

lote

No.

Application Received ______ By City Planning Department 10-19-49 By Investigation made <u>11 - 2 - 4 9</u> City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 11-2-49 Hearing date Decision Cang. approval Date 11-2-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-3-49 Building Inspector 11-4-49 Date 11-2-49 Planning Commission 11-4-49 Petitioner 11-4-49 Health Department 11-4-49Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action 10. 3 29 3 0 Lisbon 8 1 10 9 JAMACHA KD.

ANCHO

WHEREAS, Application No. 7360 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same . zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alice Gunsaulus to divide a 100 ft. by 200 ft. parcel, being the North 100 ft. of Lot 44A, Assessor's Map No. 27, portions of Pueblo Lots 1280 and 1281, at La Jolla Shores Drive and Vallecitos Extension, Zone R-1, into the following two parcels:

1. 100 ft. by 100 ft., facing La Jolla Shores Drive; 2. 100 ft. by 100 ft., facing Vallecitos Extension; private road;

and erect a single family residence on each parcel, but with no building permit to be issued on the parcel without street frontage, until such time as the proposed Vallecitos Extension is accepted by the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

November 2 Dated

FORM 2145

, 1949

By Application Received ______ 10_14_-49 City Planning Department Investigation made _______ By ung es 0 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 11-2-49 Hearing date Date 11-2-49 Decision Cond. approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1/-3-49 Building Inspector 1/-4-49Planning Commission 1/-4-49 Petitioner 1/-4-49 Health Department 11-4-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to . Date of action Time limit extended to 15 23 REQUESTE ON ALLECITOS 0 0 04 0 Jup3 01 6 J AFE G 6 1 X U 0 0 1 0 Ð 6 00 T 4 281 21 20 10

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7454</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eric H. and Bernice G. Pattison to split a portion of Pueblo Lot 104, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, into three parcels, and build a single family residence on each, being on the Easterly Side of Catalina Blvd., South of Rosecroft Lane, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ November 2 , 19 49

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145



6880

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wade and A. E. Andrews to construct a garage with no sideyard, to the setback line on 24th St., being on the Southerly 67.63 ft. of Lots 9 and 10, Block 45, Sherman's Addition, on the Northwest corner of 24th and "L" Sts., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 2 . 1949

By

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4250

FORM 2145

Application Received By an	ftice
	City Planning Department
Le distinguedo 11 o 110 Pr	Ter as int
Investigation made <u>11-2-49</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-2-49 Hearing da	te
Decision (144-mig) Date // 2	- 19
Constantion cent to City Clerk 11- 3-49 Building It	1 spector $11 - 9 - 99$
Planning Commission 11-4-49 Petitioner 11-4-49	Health Department 11-4-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council He	aring, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued	to
Time limit extended to Date of act	ion

personal to a second and the production of the residual of the second second second second second second second

the second second in the contract of the state of the second second second second second second second second s

and the second second second second second second

1

A SALE PROPERTY AND A SALE

a product sould require the second specific the sould be an a second

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James E. and Frances L. Peterson to construct the second duplex across the lot line, with 9 ft. 6 in. access to the street, on Lots 37 and 38, Block 7, Ocean Beach Park, 4651 Muir St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_November 2 , 1949

FORM 2145

By__

Secretary

	-11M10.
Application Received <u>10-19-49</u> B	y City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>u-2-49</u> B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $1-2-49$ Decision C_{person} Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1-3-49$ Planning Commission $1/-9-49$ Petitioner	n-y-y-y Health Department n-y-y
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a contract the state of the sta

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. M. and Edna Mae Tobias to erect a 31 in. by 60 in. addition (for a shower bath) to an existing residence which has approximately 2 ft. rear yard, on the Southwest 48 ft. of the Southeast 75 ft. of Lot 3, Block 462, Old San Diego, 3976 Harney St. (rear), Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 2 , 1949

By____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

the second se	
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	ma. Kerney Durto.
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-2-49</u> Hearing da Decision <u>approval</u> Date <u>11-</u>	ite
Decision approval Date 11-	2-49
C D	
Diminer Commission 11-4-49 Petitioner 11-4-4	7 Health Department 11-4-47
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council He	aring, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued	to
Time limit extended to Date of act	
Time fillint extended to	Million and a second

18 3 1. Oak

1

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Robert and Louise W. Loomis to erect a stable and storage building 1,056 sq. ft. in area, with a 5 ft. rear yard, on the South 1/2 of the East 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 1774, at 1660 La Jolla Rancho Road, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Scoretary

New Kes # 95871 following

Dated November 2 , 1949

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>10-24-49</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-2-49</u> By	City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-2-49	Hearing date
Decision Cencial	Date 11-2-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1/-3-49$ Planning Commission $1/-4-49$ Petitioner	Building Inspector' <u>11-4-49</u>
Planning Commission 11-4-49 Petitioner	11-4-49 Health Department $11-4-49$
Decision of Council Appene sustaines Resolution becomes effective	Date 11- 2 2-49
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and and a start of the

A state of the state of the

A TO THE A DE MINE AS A

. .

95871

FRED W. SICK City Clerk.

F. T. PATTEN

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Robert Loomis, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 4253, application No. 7524, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924 Section Sa, to erect a stable and storage building with a five foot rear yard on the South 1/2 of the East 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4 of Fueblo Lot 1774, at 1660 La Jolla Rancho Road in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

FORM 1270

RESOLUTION NO. 4254 see 4680 \$3891

Letter dated October 21, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3891, be granted to Robert and Marjorie M. Justice to erect a single family residence with a 10 ft. rear yard, i.e., within 10 ft. of the center line of the alley vacated, on Lots 37 through 39, Block 1, Roseville Heights, on the North side of Ullman St., between an unimproved North-South alley, and Bangor St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_November 2 . 149

By_

XSecrebery.

FORM 2145
Application Received <u>10-24-49</u> E	By City Planning Department
investigation made	ByCity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $1/-2-4/9$ Decision $1/-2-4/9$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1/-2-4/9$ Planning Commission/ $1/-4-4/9$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Hearing date Date $1/-2 - 49$ 2 Building Inspector $1/-9 - 49$ 1/-9 - 49 Health Department $1/-9 - 49Council Hearing, dateDate$
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the state of the second state of the

The share of the second

The second se

62.

1.1. n

WHEREAS, Application No. 7532 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lindsey King to maintain an existing gunsmith shop on Lot 24, Block 14, Normal Heights, 4890 - 33rd St., Zone R-2, on the following conditions:

- 1. Hours to be from 8:00 A.M. to 8:00 P.M .:
- 2. Maximum of 3 HP equipment;
- 3. No employees, except the owner and son; 4. The sale and/or storage for sale of gun powder or any form
 - of similar explosive prohibited;
- 5. This permit to expire on June 30, 1950.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

NO FURTHER EXTENSIONS

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

November 2 , 1949 Dated

FORM 2145

By

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4255

See Res. # 958 7 V Jallaning

and and a start of the start of	10 10 1
Application Received By	City Planning Department
1	City Framing Department
Investigation made $\underline{11-2-49}$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-2-49 H	earing date
Decision Cond. approval D	ate 11-2-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-3-49 B	uilding Inspector <u>11-4-49</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-2 1 Decision Cond. approval D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-3-49 B Planning Commission 11-4-49 Petitioner 11-	4-49 Health Department 11-4-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date 11-2 49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>11-7-99</u> Decision of Council <u>Appence sustaine</u> .D Resolution becomes effective	ate 11- ~~- 40
Decision becomes effective	The second s
Resolution becomes creed, o	ontinued to
	ate of action
Time limit extended to D	

The The Hotel of

senting of orman same man fille.

,

Landren Laborton -

HOLDER THE ALL AND A

a service a service and

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Lindsey King, 4890 - 33rd Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in limiting by its Resolution No. 4255, Application No. 7532, permit to maintain an existing gunsmith shop on Lot 24, Block 14, Normal Heights, in Zone R-2, said permit to expire June 30, 1950, is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that permit is hereby granted to Lindsey King to maintain the existing gunsmith shop at the above location; said permit to expire June 30, 1951.

95872

95872 Lee Res. # 4 255 preceding

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No.

FRED W. SICK City Clerk.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, (alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Don M. and Kathryn M. Hunter to split a portion of Pueblo Lot 143, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, into two parcels, and construct a single family residence on each, being on the North side of Rosecroft Lane, approximately 200 ft. Westerly of Silver Gate Ave., Zone R-1, on the condition that an accurate lot plan is submitted, covering the entire Hunter property in Pueblo Lot 143.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 2 , 149

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

	Noi	1
Application Received 10-25-49 B	y Al for	apping Department
	· City PI	anning Department
Investigation made <u>11-2-49</u> B	y ung City Pl	anning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-2-49</u> Decision Cond. appeoreal	Hearing date	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $11-3-49$ Planning Commission $11-4-49$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector $11-9-99$ Health Council Hearing, da	ate
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date	
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	
WERNER VILLE KEROM		
Fred Greens		R.J. M.
he for Gre		
GARDEN LANE	.3	
internal and the second	4.	
How	ER	er la
THERE IS A THE TOP OF		Ĵ
ROSECROFT LANE		e s
		1 Š
RF. 104	an an anna tha a	
		G'
and the second	All and the baraffing	
		STEVER CANE

7568 WHEREAS, Application No._____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- not materially affect the health or safety of 3. That the granting of the application will _____ persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

not

adversely affect the Master Plan of the City 4. That the granting of the variance will ____ of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Grace M. Henley to locate a house approved for moving, with a 24 ft. setback, on portions of Lots 1 through 4, Block 529, Old San Diego, according to the legal descrip-tion on file in the Planning Department Office, at 2270 Pine St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

_ , 19 49 November 2 Dated

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4257

	(1)0(2)
HIX NOT S	1 1 19
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-2-49</u> By	City Planning Department
	Building Inspector $11 - 4 - 49$ 11 - 4 - 49 Health Department $11 - 4 - 49$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a min a second a second and there agains a second and shows the second and second a second a second a second a

Chinese Line Part

1

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Ola Henderson to erect a 4-unit apartment on the rear of the lot, making a total of 8 units; to be served by a 6 ft. access court, on Lots 36 and 37, Block 42, H. P. Whitney Addition, 3046 to 3052 National Ave., Zone C, on the following conditions:

1. All obstructions to be removed from the 6 ft. access court between the existing apartment buildings;

 A parking area, hard-surfaced, for the full width of the lot and 20 ft. in depth, to be reserved for the parking of automobiles;
Gate and fence to be either removed, or swinging gates provided for the full width of the 6 ft. access court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_November 2 , 1949

By_____ Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4258

the second s	() () / /
Application Received By	City Planning Department
1	
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-2-49 Ho Decision Cong. approval 11-2-49 Da	earing date
Decision Cond. approval Da	ate (/ 11-2-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\mu = 3 - 49$ Bu Planning Commission $\mu - 4 - 49$ Petitioner $\mu - 4$	ulding Inspector <u>11-3-49</u>
Planning Commission 11-4-49 Petitioner 11-	4-49 Health Department 11-4-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ontinued to
Time limit extended to Da	ate of action

States 1411 3 10 1

.

and the second second second and the second and and a second second second second second second second second s

where a star is a second of the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. 7573 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Calixto and Euseibia Castor to make interior alterations and repairs on the residence, with a 27 in. sideyard on one side and a 30 in. sideyard on the other side, on the East 20 ft. of Lot 10 and all of Lots 11 and 12, Block 242, San Diego Land and Town Co.'s Addition, 2240 Irving St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ November 2 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Sechetary

Zoning Engineer

Wiener Marthants in the thirt have	
Application Received B	6) City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-2-49</u> B	euing, Terus and furton
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $11 - 2 - 49$	Hearing/date
Decision (Upperound City Charles 11 2 119	Date //-v - 4/
Decision $(figure 1)$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $(1-3-4)$ Planning Commission $(1-4-4)$ Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>11-9-97</u>
Planning Commission /1-4-49 Petitioner	$(1-\gamma-\gamma)$ Health Department $(1-\gamma-\gamma)$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council meaning, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

AND DECK POINT TO SALES A TRACK SHOW AND A TRACK SHOW AND

a server a trainer a server a

and the set of the second product of the second second stands the second second second second second second second

THE REAL PRINT RECORDER IN THE POWER COMPANY

the man spectra and the second of the state of the

mp function of

1

RESOLUTION NO. 4260 see 5172

Letter dated October 12, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3390, dated August 25, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jesse T. Davis and Emma R. Davis to tear down an existing garage and construct a five-car garage with two apartments over, making nine units on the property, with a 5 ft. access court to the street, Lots 1, 2 and 3, except the North 50 ft. thereof, court to the street, Lots 1, 2 and 3, except the North 50 ft. thereof, Resubdivision of Block 68, Arnold and Choate's Addition, 3977 Falcon St., providing that there is 10 ft. between the new apartments and the St., providing that there is 10 ft. between the new apartments and the existing apartment, and providing that the existing single family dwelling on the front of the property will be removed from the premises by January 1, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

agreement \$ 610

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated November 2 , 19 49

Zoning Engineer

Application Received B	yai/
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	v
12 12 18	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-2-49	Hearing date Date $/_{-\gamma} - 49$ Building Inspector Health Department $(1 - 4 - 49)$ Council Hearing, date
Decision (and approval	Date /1-7 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-3-49	Building Inspector <u>11-4-49</u>
Planning Commission 11-4-49 Petitioner	11-4-49 Health Department 11-4-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second second

,

of the sates y

the service of the se

- Contraction of the second second

1.1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. 7585 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gordon and Maxime Smith to erect an approved move-in residence with a 16 ft. setback, on Lots 18, 19 and 20, except the Southerly 1/2 thereof, in Block 12, Monte Villa, 1073 Agate St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 2

By_

. 49

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4261

And the second sec	() 60 / A
Application Received By	City Planning Department
	6) Hans 2 7
Investigation made By	ening lerus gurlon
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-2-49	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date $(/ 11 - 2 - 49)$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-3-49	Building Inspector $\mu - \chi - \chi q$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $11-3-49$ Planning Commission $11-4-49$ Petitioner	11-4-49 Health Department 11-4-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles N. and Vera S. Greusel to construct a studio apartment above an existing unit; making three units on the lot, with a 6 ft. access to the street, on Lot C. Block 126, Mission Beach, 3409 Ocean Front Walk, Zone R-4, provided that a surfaced parking area be provided for three cars on the same lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated November 2 , 19 49

By___

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4262

Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made By By By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-2-49</u> Hearing date
Decision Date Date Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-3-49</u> Building Inspector <u>11-4-49</u> Planning Commission <u>11-4-49</u> Petitioner <u>11-4-49</u> Health Department <u>11-4-49</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Building Inspector 9
Planning Commission/ 11-4-49 Petitioner 11-4-49 Health Department 11-4-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the same higher and a construction with the same and the same high succession

1.55

, ,

WHEREAS, Application No. 7571 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph C. and Maclinna E. Olivieira, owners, and George F. and Helen G. Mendonca, purchasers, to erect a single family residence on the rear portion of Lot "K", with only a 15 ft. street frontage, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, La Mesa Colony, on the South side of Amherst St., approximately 200 ft. East of 69th St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. A631

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated November 2 . 19 49

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4263

Application Received _____ By _ City Planning Department 0 ung, Investigation made 11-2-49 By lern City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 11-2-49 Hearing date Decision Cherronal Date 11-2-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-3-49 Building Inspector 11-4-49 Planning Commission 11-4-49 Petitioner 11-4-49 Health Department 11-4-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action AMHERST DE ALL LAST , 1 they want to be and a Long of hard a lot of accordent to a press along the state of the

LA MESA C-LONY

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

not

 That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. H. Furr to erect a 12 ft. by 22 ft. addition to a single family dwelling, being the owner-manager's residence, with a 5 ft. rear yard, on Lots 3 and 4, La Jolla Park, 7777 Ivanhoe Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____November 2 , 19

By___

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

cretary

Res. No. 4264

7/1/// 1
Application Received By 7. W / a greek
City Planning/Department
Investigation made By Curing line furton
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-2-49</u> Hearing date <u>Date</u> <u>11-2-49</u>
Decision approval Date 11-2-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-3-99</u> Building Inspector <u>11-9-99</u>
Planning Commission 11-4-49 Petitioner 11-4-49 Health Department 11-4-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

A PARTICIPAL AND A PART

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. E. Stahn to construct a single family residence on a parcel of land 60 ft. wide by approximately 118 ft. long, on the Southeasterly 40 ft. of Lot 3 and the Northwesterly 20 ft. of Lot 4, Block 216, Middletown, on the Easterly side of California St., between Bandini St. and Coute St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ November 2 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4265

Application Received <u>10-27-49</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $1/-2 - 49$ By	City Planning Department
- cersion of council	Hearing date Date //- 2 - 49 Building Inspector //- 9 - 49 Health Department //- 4 - 49 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

int and in the second second the second s

who is some it is not consider to be and the or and an out the barren and the state of a same at

MEUG T.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. W. and Hazel H. Whitney to erect a board fence on the South 50 ft. of the North 100 ft. of Lots 14 and 15, Block "C", Starkey's Prospect Park, 6821 La Jolla Blvd., Zone C, on the following condition:

To be on the South property line, commencing 6 ft. in height, not closer to the street than the corner of the adjoining building, and increasing to a height of 8 ft. at the cement stoop on the adjoining property, continuing 8 ft. in height from that point, to the rear lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____November 2 , 19 49

By

Secretary

7//////////////////////////////////////
Application Received By By City Planning Department
City Framming Department
Investigation made By ung, lesus of histor
Considered by Zoning Committee $\underline{// 2 - 49}$ Hearing date Decision / $00, Fieo$ Approvation $\underline{// 2 - 49}$ Date / $\frac{// 2 - 49}{\sqrt{2 - 49}}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{// 3 - 49}$ Building Inspector $\underline{// 4 - 49}$ Planning Commission $\frac{// 4 - 49}{\sqrt{2 - 49}}$ Petitioner $\frac{// 4 - 49}{\sqrt{2 - 49}}$ Health Department $\frac{// 4 - 49}{\sqrt{2 - 49}}$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date
Decision / oorfico Approvat Date / 11-2-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Citrk $\frac{1-9-9-9}{7}$ Building inspector $\frac{1-9-9}{7}$ Health Department $1-9-9$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date
Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

provide the second s

a second of a provide the second s

CONTRACT.

a stand and a stand

And the second second

statistic to the second statistically and a

,

RESOLUTION NO. 4267

Letter dated October 26, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3542, be granted to Paul R. Yewell to construct a triplex, making four units on Lots 19 and 20, Block "F", South La Jolla, 357 Westbourne St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

FINAL EXTENSION

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 2 , 1949

By_

Secretary.

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Letter	
Application Received 10 - 28 - 49 By	aif
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-2-19</u> Decision Uppennal	Hearing date
Decision append	Date 11 - 2 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $11-3-49$ Planning Commission $11-4-49$ Petitioner 11	Building Inspector <u>11-4-49</u>
Planning Commission 11-4-49 Petitioner 1	1-4-49 Health Department 11-4-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ALLENSTON

.

,

1...

WHEREAS, Application No. 7588 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marcia White (Ousley) to convert a back porch to a beauty shop, on the North 40 ft. of Lots 25 through 28, Block 320, Reed & Daley's Addition, 131 So. 28th St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. Maximum of 8 hours per day, Wednesday through Saturday:
- 2. 10:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M;
- 3. No employees except the applicant;
- 4. 1 sign on the face of the building, a maximum of 2 ft. by 4 ft. in size.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

November 2 , 19 49 Dated

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4268

the second se

Bartin Contraction Contraction

. :

,

4269

WHEREAS, Application No. 7586 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sacred Heart Parochial School to construct school buildings with a 15 ft. setback, on Lots 45 through 48, Block 54, Ocean Beach, on the Southwest corner of Cable St. and Saratoga St., Zone R=4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ November 2 , 1942

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

	7/1/ nn
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	uing lemy Certon
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-2-49 H	learing date
Decision	2te (1 - 2 - 47)
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $11-3-49$ B Planning Commission $11-4-49$ Petitioner $11-4-49$	uilding Inspector <u>11-4-49</u>
Planning Commission 11-9-99 Petitioner 11-	-4-49 Health Department 11-4-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	Date of action

N. TORS

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7587</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. J. C. Bone, owner, and Jack H. Bone and Dick Van Riter, purchasers, to erect a single family residence with no setback, on Lots 1 and 2, Block 53, Middletown Addition, at Guy and Henry Sts., Zone R-1, subject to the architectural approval of the plans by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 2 , 19 49

the second second second

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4270

and the second	
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $1/-2-49$ By	Ling, Lenny Cellen
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-2-49	Hearing date
Decision Cong. approval	Date $1/-2-49$
Planning Commission 4-4-49 Petitioner	Date $11-2-49$ Building Inspector $11-4-49$ 11-4-49 Health Department $11-4-49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

- / · / M

the second s

international international international international international international international international

A VILLE AND A DELENGER OF THE MERICAL THE THE PARTY PROPERTY OF

and the second second second second second

RESOLUTION NO. 4271

Letter dated October 26, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3558, dated November 17, 1948, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. J. and Bernice Elander, to operate a veterinary hospital in connection with an existing dog kennels at 7007 Pacific Highway, on the Northerly 4 acres of Fueblo Lot 1788, except the Westerly 100 ft. thereof, subject to the following conditions:

1. This permit to terminate on June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3061, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 2 , 19 49

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4271

Application Received <u>10 - 31-49</u> By	lact.
	/ City Planning Department
Investigation made By	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-2-4	Hearing date
Decision and approval	Date 11 - 2 - 49
Considered by Zoning Committee $1/-2-4$ Decision Cong. Approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1/-3-49$ Planning Commission $1/-4-49$ Petitioner	Building Inspector 11-4-49
Planning Commission 11-4-49 Petitioner	11-4-49 Health Department 11-4-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

•
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7554</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>denied</u> to Annie Lee Williams to conduct a part-time beauty shop, from 2:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M., Tuesday thru Saturday, with one sign maximum size 2 ft. by 45 ft. attached to the building, Lots 10, 11 and 12, Block 241, San Diego Land and Town Co.'s Addition, 2140 Irving St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.



Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Dovember 16 , 1949

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

See Res # 96029 OK following

Application Received <u>10-21-49</u> By	y <u>d.E. South</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-16-49</u> By	- <u>Allen, Kenns & Burton</u> City Planning Department
Decision Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-17-49</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-17-49</u> Planning Commission <u>11-18-49</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>11-18-49</u> Decision of Council <u>Characterizations</u>	Hearing date Date $11-16-49$ Building Inspector $11-18-49$ 11-18-49 Council Hearing, date $11-29-49$ Date $12-29-49$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

1

C. LAT. STA SAIL

1 precising Plan.

96029

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Mrs. Annie Lee Williams, 2144 Irving Avenue, San Diego 2, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 4272, application No. 7554, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, to conduct a part-time beauty shop, from 2:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M., Tuesday through Saturday, with one sign maximum size 2 ft. by 4 ft. attached to the building, Lots 10, 11, and 12, Block 241 San Diego Land and Town Co.'s Addition, 2140 Irving St., Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled. 96029

FRED W. SICK	
F. T. PATTEN	City Clerk
Ву	Deputy.

See Res. #96029 + Res # 477 preceding

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO._____97430

Land + Terrer La vil

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the petition of Ann Williams, 2141 Irving Avenue, for change in the hours of operation of the beauty salon located at 2140 Irving Avenue, for operation of said beauty salon from 9:00 o'clock A.M. to 6:00 o'clock P.M., each day with the exception of Sundays, be, and it is hereby granted.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution N87430 FRED W. SICK City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG FORM 1270 P. (

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7560</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>denied</u> to Tom Mei King to convert a fourfamily flat building into six units, with no setback, on the West 50 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 4, Crittenden's Addition, 608-610-612-614 Pennsylvania Ave., Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12097, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated___November 16 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By___

Sectedary

Zoning Engineer

ok -

Application Received <u>10-31-49</u> B	y
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-16-49</u> B	y <u>Allen, Kerns & Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-16-49	Hearing date 11-12-19
Decision Ale and	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-17-49</u> Planning Commission <u>11-18-49</u> Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>11-18-49</u>
Planning Commission 11-18-49 Petitioner	11-18-49 Health Department 11-18-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the party of the state of the second second

1 6 1 1

,

The second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7597</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Michael Ibs and Elizabeth Sibley Gonzales and to Charles C. and Gladys B. Hafter, to divide Lot 24, the Easterly 20 ft. of which is to be combined with all of Lot 25, the remaining portion of Lot 24 to be used in connection with Lot 22 as an area for off-street parking, Presidio Ridge, 2408 Arista Court, Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. That an Agreement be signed by Mr. and Mrs. Hafter that HAFTER all of Lot 25 and the Easterly 20 ft. of Lot 24 will be AGREE (1) retained in one ownership, to be used in conformity with the Zone Ordinance as one parcel of ground;
- 2. That an Agreement be signed by Mr. and Mrs. Gonzalez, that all of Lot 22, and all of Lot 24 except the Easterly 20 ft. thereof, will be retained in one ownership, to be used in conformity with the Zone Ordinance as one parcel of ground.
 AGREE 616 (over)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 16 , 1949

FORM 2145

By____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4274

DK

	1 0									
	or orman									
E	the Carl			• 6	ed above	quissop .	property	aug og	Letate	
	as pyeh	reloan	bove, i	s betsted a	sistua.	trang on	t ot se	granted	yereby	6-69
	ST P	pe' su	15660°	'ON ODUG	utpan Ic	SUOTST.	LOLD DLOA	on eour	W ASLTS	

- and the course of a company of the second of the course the second of th
- personal a plant of the providence of and with the solution of the sector fully detrimental to They have been and a state and the second and the state of the preside of any second of

- THIREFORE, DS IT YESULVED, By the Southy Counties of the City of San Diego.

By Application Received ____ City Planning Department -49 By. Investigation made _____6 City Planning Department, Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1/-/6-49</u> Hearing date Decision <u>3.akprosco</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1/-,7-49</u> Building Inspector <u>1/-18-49</u> Planning Commission <u>1/-18-49</u> Petitioner <u>1/-18-49</u> Health Department <u>1/-18-49</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to _____ Date of action station of the second of the open contraction of the second secon

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7358</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NO**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. R. H. McCrackin to convert a single family residence into a duplex, making 3 units on Lots C and D, Block 17, Mission Beach, 2709 Bayside Lane, Zone R-2, on the condition that off-street parking is provided for three automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2680, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 30 , 1949

FORM 2145

Bv

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

r
101

The second second and the second the second the second second second second second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7542</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy E. Dodson, Jr. and Eleanor Dodson, to construct a 12 ft. by 14 ft. 6 in. den addition to a residence, and maintain a 15 ft. rear yard, on the Southeasterly 55 ft. of Lot 99, Point Loma Villas, 3542 Browning St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated November 16 , 1949

By.

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4276

OK

Application Received9	_ By	J.W. 7	M C Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made $1/-16 - 49$. By _	allen,	Kerns + Busten City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-16-99</u> Hearing date Decision Approved Date 11-16-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-17-99</u> Building Inspector <u>11-18-99</u> Planning Commission 11-18-99 Petitioner 11-18-99 Health Department 11-18-99			
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Co	ouncil Hear ate	ing, date
Resolution becomes effective		ontinued to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Time limit extended to	D	ate of action	n

1999 J. C. P.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Estelle E. McVickar Galli to erect an apartment over the garage, making a second unit on the lot, on the West 1/2 of Lot 6, Block 11, F. T. Scripp's Addition, 604 Arenas St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_November 16 . 1949

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

oK

Application Received <u>10-31-49</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-16-49</u> By	Allen, Kenns & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-16-49</u> Decision <i>Cleforered</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-17-49</u> Planning Commission <u>11-18-49</u> Petitioner <u>11</u>	Hearing date
Decision alproved	Date 11-16-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-17-49	Building Inspector <u>11-18-49</u>
Planning Commission 11-18-49 Petitioner 11	1-18-99 Health Department 11-18-89
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Short by an

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

not

3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

not

4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. J. Glasson to build a garage approximately one year before the residence is to be built, on the Southerly 50 ft. of Lots 26 through 30, Block 2, Park Addition, 28th and Maple Sts., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 16 , 19 49

By Zoning Engineer Secretary

OK

Application Received 11-2-49 1	By <u>E. Van Hie</u> City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>11-16-49</u> I	By Allen, Kerns & Burton City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-16-49</u> Hearing date Decision <i>Approved</i> Date 11-16-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-17-49</u> Building Inspector <u>11-18-49</u> Planning Commission 11-18-49 Petitioner 11-18-49 Health Department 11-18-49		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	_ Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

1

the state of the second second second second

that is not the this second shares presenting the true and the statements.

the method in the sharp a many the state of the second state of th

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

not

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard K. and Mabel I. Flanders, to erect a 4 ft. by 18 ft. addition to the porch of the nonconforming rear house with a 19 ft. rear yard, on the South 10 ft. of Lot 4, and all of Lot 5, Block 27, University Heights, 4629 Cleveland Ave., Zone R-1, the final plans to be approved by the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 16 , 19 49

of San Diego.

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 4279

1 1 1

success crowstances or condicions applicable to the property

the position in the second of improvements in the netwice second. That the providence of the principal will material Magginet the health or asfely of persons an electron of control of the principal, and will be esterially detrivened to

TRACT AT AN ANALY AND ANT POR TIME PARA PARADA ON THE contraction of the state of the state of the south to - uce set to read any on version of the sole to

ayora the part (part), (no that the pick reques to ppe teolench A A TO THE PARTY IN TOTAL OF OF OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF

Application Received By	N.C. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Allen, Kenns + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>//-/6-49</u> He Decision <u>approved</u> Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>//-/7-49</u> Bu Planning Commission //-/8-49 Petitioner //- Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Com Decision of Council Date	aring date te //~/6-49 ilding Inspector//~/&-49 /&-49 Health Department //~/&-49 uncil Hearing, date
	ntinued to

101 101. 71

RESOLUTION NO. 4280

Letter dated November 2, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3896, in the name of Perry M. Watt, be granted to John W. Dover, to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback on Bacon St. and with no setback on Ocean Blvd., on Lots 9 and 10, Block 68, Ocean Beach, Bacon and Coronado Sts.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 16 , 1949

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4280

DK

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

TRACTOLION NO!

THE REAL BOADDOR ST TAKA

of the City of the Cultivity, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinated Cultivity, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

 That there applies the property density to other property in the paper involue:
 rone

- 2. That all parts of the regulations would work unnecessary hardward, ref. for the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and collected the restricted property rights of the petitioner, parameted by other property owner, is ______ net elements.
- 3. That the superior of the application will not materially defined the health or safety of persons results and will not be materially detrimental to the property of improvements in the methiostheod.
- 4. That fit growing of the variance will inde advertaly affect the Master Plan of the City of Sac Disse.

INEREFORM, UN DI UDSOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

Macon Nacon No records this was a light a for the support of logar V. Bover, to records the state of light a logar be greated to logar V. Bover, to record the state of light a logar be greated to logar V. Bover, the records of light and the state of the second co logar V. Bover, the record of the state of the state of the second co logar V. Bover, the record of the state of the state of the second co logar V. Bover, the record of the state of the state of the second co logar V. Bover, the record of the state of the state of the second co logar bed to the state of the state of the state of the state of the second co the state of the st

herery created in to the partiture above above, indet is they

City Planning Department allen _____ By ____ Investigation made ______ 9 Kerns × City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _11-16-49 Hearing date. Date 11-16-49 Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-17-49 Building Inspector 11-18-49 Planning Commission 11-18-49 Petitioner 11-18-49 Health Department 11-18-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

By

11-4-49

Application Received ___

RESOLUTION NO. 4281

Letter dated November 3, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

An extension to Resolution No. 2670, which extended Resolution No. 1206, is hereby granted to Lula V. McDermand, 2414 Island Ave., to continue the operation of a beauty shop in the residence at that address, on Lots 27 and 28, Block 9, L. W. Kimball's Subdivision, provided that no signs are erected on the premises and no change made in the exterior appearance of the residence.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 16, 1949

Sectorary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application ReceivedB	y Mail City Planning Department
	y <u>Allen, Kerns & Burtan</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-16-49	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-17-48</u>	Date 11-16-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-17-49	Building Inspector <u>11-18-49</u>
Planning Commission 11-18-49 Petitioner	11-18-49 Health Department 11-18-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

appendent of the second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7590</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to John Q. and Cordia Adams to convert an existing stucco garage into a residence, with 5 ft. between this building and an existing residence, Lots 43 and 44, Block 79, Power's Addition, 2976 and 2974 "L" St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

See Res # 96131 OK

Dated_ November 16 , 1949

By_

Sochetary.

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	E. Van Hise City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>//-/6-99</u> By	allen, Kerns & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-16-49	Hearing date
Decision Deme Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-17-49</u> Planning Commission 11-18-49 Petitioner 1	Date 11-16-49'
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-17-49	Building Inspector 11-18-49
Planning Commission 11-18-49 Petitioner /	1-18-49 Health Department 11-18-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 11-21-49	Council Hearing, date 12-13-49
Decision of Council appeal oustained	Date 12-13-49
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and so astantially and the state in the source is so and

14

The of the states

The second se

RESOLUTION NO.___

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

96131

That the appeal of John Q. and Cordia Adams, 2974-2976 L Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. $\frac{4292}{4292}$, application No. 7590, permission to convert an existing stucco garage into a residence, with 5 ft. between this building and an existing residence, Lots 43 and 44, Block 79, Power's Addition, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained, and stid Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

See Res. # 4482 preceding



WHEREAS, Application No. _____7610 has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are_ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not _ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not _adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roger G. Miller to construct a res-idence on Lot 46 and the Easterly 30 ft. of Lot 47, Valencia Park Unit No. 1, on the South side of Churchward St., approximately 40 ft. East of Manzanares Way, Zone R-1, subject to the following con-14 \$ A-615 ditions:

- That an Agreement be signed by Roger G. Miller, that Lot 46 1. and the Easterly 30 ft. of Lot 47, will be retained as one parcel of land at all times and will not be sold separately;
- That an Agreement be signed by Denzel E. and Esther L. Chapman, that Lot 47, except the Easterly 30 ft. thereof, and all of Lot 48, will be retained as one parcel of land at all times 2. and will not be sold separately.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 16 , 1949

Bv

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

1

OK

FORM 2145

Application Received By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Investigation made <u>11-16-49</u> By	Allen, Kerns & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-16-49</u> Decision Condil Approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-17-49</u> Planning Commission <u>11-18-49</u> Petitioner	Hearing date Date //~/6-49 Building Inspector //-/8-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

service and the state of the service of the service

A Particular State

ACTES OF A COLOR OF A COLOR STRATE

1

Man Providence Contractor

He was a set of the set of the The second second second

the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7611</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roger G. Miller to construct a residence and garage with a 12 ft. setback from the sidewalk, on Lot 46 and the Easterly 30 ft. of Lot 47, Block 3, Valencia Park Unit No. 1, on the South side of Churchward St., approximately 40 ft. East of Manzanares Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Secretary

Dated <u>November 16</u>, 1949

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4284

OK

Application Received By	<u>E. Van Hesi</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-16-49</u> By	<u>Allen Kerns & Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-16-49</u> Decision Modified approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-17-49</u> Planning Commission <u>11-18-49</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 11-16-49 Building Inspector <u>11-18-49</u> 11-18-49 Health Department 11-18-49
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

THE REAL PROPERTY AND THE REAL PROPERTY AND A PROPE

the second state of the second

to a second seco

ALL AND ALL SUPERING

and the start of a single territorial starts

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7603</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924; as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. Frizado to build a 3-unit dwelling (apartments) over stores, with a 6 ft. access court, on Lot 8, Block 13, Roseville, at Byron St. and Rosecrans St., Zone C, on the condition that surfaced parking area be provided for three cars on this lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 16 , 1949

.....

Becreferax

Zoning Engineer

By

Res. No. 4285

OK

FORM 2145

Application Received By By	<u>City Planning Department</u>	
Investigation made <u>11-16-49</u> By <u>A</u>	City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-16-99</u> Hearing date Decision <i>Approved</i> (Carde) Date 11-16-99 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-18-99</u> Building Inspector <u>11-18-99</u> Planning Commission 11-18-99 Petitioner 11-18-99 Health Department 11-18-99		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council	Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date		
Resolution becomes effective	100 40	
Application withdrawn Continu Time limit extended to Date of		

AND ALL AND ALL MERCANED AND ALL ADDIES COLUMNED AND ALL AND COMPANY AND ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND

the second second and a second second and an analy second to be been showed the second of the second

• Kata ana ang kata a

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M'liss B. Angier, owner, and Marguerite L. Bass, purchaser, to divide Lots 1 and 2 and build a second single family residence on the Northerly 50 ft. of Lot 2, Block 155, La Playa (and portion of San Antonio St. closed adjacent) 555 San Antonio St., Zone R-1, according to the plan submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secrebary.

By

FORM 2145

Dated

November 16 . 19 49

Zoning Engineer

OK

Application ReceivedBy	y <u>C. Van Here</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Allen, Kenns & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-16-49</u> Decision <i>Approved</i>	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-18-19</u> Planning Commission <u>11-18-19</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	11-18-49 Health Department 11-18-49 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7609</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOC**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, california, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ada Kettunen, owner, and Larkin M. and Gertrude A. Barrett, purchaser, to divide the Easterly 62 ft. of the Westerly 174 ft. of the Easterly 473.22 ft. of Villa Lot 164, Normal Heights, and build a single family residence, being the parcel Easterly of 3641 Copley Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sechetary

pated November 16 , 19 49

By.

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4287

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>11-9-49</u> By	F. W. M. Connell City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>11-16-49</u> By	Allen Kerns & Buston City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1/-/6-49</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1/-18-49</u> Building Inspector <u>1/-18-49</u> Planning Commission <u>1/-18-49</u> Petitioner <u>1/-18-49</u> Health Department <u>1/-18-49</u>		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date [Decision of Council]	Jouncil Hearing, date	
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action	

and the shares and the

a contract prove a service

former super the second second

There are and

the second second as a second to the methodological second of the second second second second second second se

the state of the second sec

1911 N. T. T. T. S. S. S.

station of the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7599</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles A. Isham to erect a single family residence on the Northerly portion of Pueblo Lot 267, without the required street frontage, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, lying Easterly of the Easterly extremity of Gardena Avenue, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated November 16 , 1949

FORM 2145

By____

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

DK
	1010
Application Received	Gity Planning Department
the state of the second second	
Investigation made 16 - 49	By <u>Allen</u> , Kerns & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	<u>//-/6-49</u> Hearing date Date //-/6-49 -k <u>//-/8-49</u> Building Inspector <u>//-/8-49</u> Petitioner //-/8-49 Health Department //-/8-49
Rt 26°	
en la	138'
en e	26
GARDENA AVE.	The she was been been been been and been an and and and
a man pitche	men in the top where is a similar remaining the second states of the
The	here we set of a provide state of the set of the set of the set
and the way that the street will be	actor the maple at the second se
and a second s	the set of a set of the second set appendice of the second

· 20. 25500

1. S. S.

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Milton G. and Eveline F. Wegeforth, to construct a single family residence on the Southerly 115 ft. of the Northerly 250 ft. of the Easterly 155 ft. of Pueblo Lot 104, being on the West side of Silver Gate Ave., approximately 135 ft. Southerly from Rosecroft Lane, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 16 , 1949

By

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4289

Application Received By F. W. M. Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-16-49</u> By <u>Allen Kerns & Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-16-49</u> Hearing date Decision <i>Approved</i> Date <u>11-16-49</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-18-49</u> Building Inspector <u>11-18-49</u>
Decision approved Date 11-16-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-49 Building Inspector 11-18-49
Planning Commission 11-18-49 Petitioner 11-18-49 Health Department 11-18-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

in motion the strategic of the spiniter

and the second second

• 1

and the first of the

.....

CALLSIG. MILLION &

COLUMN .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7604</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. Rowland Stokes to construct two living units on a parcel of land split out of the original lot, 54 ft. by 135 ft. in size, being a portion of Lot D, in the F. T. Scripps Addition to La Jolla Park, approximately 150 ft. East of Draper St. on Genter St., Zone R-2, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

pated November 16 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

	S 11 11.
Application Received	By <u>C. Van Hese</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made	By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-16-4</u> Decision Approved	9 Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18	Date 11-16-49 <u>19</u> Building Inspector <u>11-18-49</u> r 11-18-49 Health Department 11-18-49 Council Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
1	
1sK	
D. e.	
C.	
stand and stand stand	The second s
the second second second second second	
and the second second second second	
La Las de la compañía	
and the second second	
LUCITE as follows: 1	
Contraction of the first of the second	Da. pl o
	I SCROOPE.
a a	
	il or D i s
Restrict the set of the set of the set of a starting	The second secon
A the other and the strate application with	
GENTER	
And and a grant and a state of the state of	
and a second and a second second second	and it is to survey and and and a survey of the set
	another many a second press shapped to its builting
al activity is a straight on Medicient	

ale and the part of the

Parties and the second of the second second is

TAS UNLEW A PROPERTY

WHEREAS, Application No. 7623 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Tom Faulconer to construct a four-unit court with a 3 ft. setback from Shafter St. on a portion of Block 3, Bay Shore Addition, 100 ft. South of Canon St., Zone R-4, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 16 , 19 49

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By

DK

11-10-49 By Application Received City Planning Department By Investigation made _ ity Planning Departmen Considered by Zoning Committee _11-16-49 Hearing date/ Decision Date 11-16-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-49 Building Inspector 11-21-49 Planning Commission 11-21-49 Petitioner 11-21-49 Health Department 11-21-49 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to n NO 8 2 3 1 the send a service of deliver as the sup at it of the state Educations as a loss with more will all your the distances 8 A LA DEPENDING to solve a service of the service of the service way and the service of the servi particular Anna and the share the state of the second and the seco and der son the base of generation of the strategical breaking the second design the second to the second to the XEPOTATION

SEP. 2032

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7575</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Willie Meyer to maintain and complete a partially-erected shelter for ponies, incidental to the operating of a pony ride business on Lots 1 through 4, Elock 470, Old San Diego, 2545 West Camino del Rio, Zone R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 16 , 19 49

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4292

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>11-14-49</u> B	y D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 16 - 49$ B	y <u>Allen Kenns & Birton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-16-49	Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-18-49</u> Planning Commission 11-18-49 Petitioner	Date 11-16-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-49	Building Inspector <u>11-18-49</u>
Planning Commission 11-18-49 Petitioner	11-18-49 Health Department 11-18-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second second as and the second by presented that specify and the

Clearly of a lot a

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7607</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to S. P. and Mary M. McMullen, to convert and add to an existing bedroom and laundry room, to a caretaker's apartment over the garage, the property being 15% overcovered, Lots 17 through 20, Block 5, Breed and Chase Addition, 2431 Broadway, Zone C, subject to the clarification of the plans in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 4293

OK

pated November 16 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>//-/4-49</u> By	A.E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-16-49</u> By	<u>Allen, Kerns & Burten</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-16-49	Hearing date Date 11-16-49 Building Inspector <u>11-18-49</u> -18-49 Health Department 11-18-49
Decision Conde alebraral	Date 11-16-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-18-49	Building Inspector 11-18-49
Planning Commission 11-18-49 Petitioner 11	1-18-49 Health Department 11-18-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

COLUMN STATES OF THE TRANSPORT OF THE STATES OF THE STATES

THE EFLEDS. IDS. J. GERZOPLEDS, BA THE SOUTHER AND DED WE THE CLERK OF AND PTERS

The second of the application with the second of the second second second second second second second by

Stay of Smithland. Colling and provide methods " here ented ber addres & not Sect ro

LI Contractor and the second contract

K-HESVE' VIN ATTICATION

Letter dated December 14, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will<u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4307, dated November 30, 1949, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the American A-1 Investment Co. to make interior alterations for two new bathrooms in the existing 4-unit apartment at 1644-50 Upas St., to make a total of 6 units; and to alter 12 hotel rooms in the existing 4-unit apartment at 1652-58 Upas St. to 4 apartments (these to be in addition to the 4 units now existing in this building, making a total of 8 units); on Lots 10 and 11 and the South 64 ft. of Lot 12, Block 245, University Heights and portions of Park Blvd. and Upas St. closed adjacent, maintaining an existing 10 ft. rear yard.

This approval is upon the condition that when the above work is completed, the 5 garages adjacent to the alley will be made available and retained for the use of the occupants of the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 14 , 1949

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

D 0 0	
T	
Application Received 11-23-49 By By	
City Planning Department	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Investigation made 11-30-19 By Allus Jerris City Planning Department	22
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-14-49 Hearing date	
Decision (and, apply and Date 12-14-49	-
Decision Cond. abfrond Date 12-14-49 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>12-16-49</u> Planning Commission <u>12-16-49</u> Petitioner <u>12-16-49</u> Health Department <u>12-16-49</u>	_
Planning Commission 12-16-49 Petitioner 12-16-49 Health Department 12-16-49	1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	-
Decision of Council Date	-
Resolution becomes effective Continued to	•
Time limit extended to Date of action	-
	-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7646</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rosa Dieffenbacher to construct a 14 ft. by 18 ft. addition to a residence having a 26 in. sideyard on Lot 14, excluding the Westerly 63 ft. of the Northerly 20 ft., and on the South 5 ft. of the East 62 ft. of Lot 13, Block 5, City Heights Annex No. 1, 3843-44th St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. That the residence and addition have an exterior covering of asbestos shingles;
- 2. That an adequate foundation, according to the requirements of the Building Department, be provided for the entire structure;
- 3. A 4 ft. sideyard be observed on the addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Assistant Planning Director Res. 1

Res. No. 4295

Application Received By fair Hice
City Planning Department
Investigation made 11-30-49 By Alley, Junion herton
11-20-49 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>22-14-49</u> Hearing date Decision Cong. Approval Date <u>12-14-49</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>12-16-49</u> Building Inspector <u>12-16-49</u> Planning Commission <u>12-16-49</u> Petitioner <u>12-16-49</u> Health Department <u>12-16-49</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u>
Decision Cond. approval Date 12-14-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1 - 16 - 49 Building Inspector 1 - 16 - 49
Planning Commission 116-49 Petitioner 1-16-49 Health Department 12-16-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

A DE LISTE DE LE REPORTADA PARTINE SUCCESSION COMPLETANCE DE LES ANTENNES DE LES ANTENNES DE LES ANTENNES DE LE

and the second second state of the second state of the second second second second second second second second

RESOLUTION NO. - / 4396

Letter dated October 26, 1949

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4267 be, and it is hereby amended to read as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3900, which extended Resolution No. 3542 for six months, be granted to Paul R. Yewell to construct a triplex, making four units on Lots 19 and 20, Block "F", South La Jolla, 357 Westbourne Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

FINAL EXTENSION

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 2 , 19 49

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

nK

tetter	Mal
Application Received 10-28-49 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	
CALL STATES STATES AND AND A STATE AND AND AND A	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-2-49	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-2-49</u> Decision Amendment of extension on 4267 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-17-49</u> Planning Commission <u>11-18-49</u> Petitioner	Date 11-2-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-17-49	Building Inspector 11-18-49
Planning Commission /1-18-49 Petitioner	11-18-49 Health Department 11-18-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Line of the second class of the second

TOTAL CALL AND A PL.

WHEREAS, Application No. 7549 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

not

- _ materially affect the health or safety of 3. That the granting of the application will. persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mark and Ruth J. Fowler to divide Lots 21 and 23 into two building sites, according to the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, and build a single family residence on each parcel, both facing Fern Glen, on the condition that an average setback of the two adjacent blocks on Monte Vista Ave. be observed, and the regular City ordinance be observed on Fern Glen, Block 4, First Addition to South La Jolla, the Southeast corner of Monte Vista Ave. and Fern Glen, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3858, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the gixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 49 November 30 Dated

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary Res. No. 4297 DK

D
Application Received <u>10</u>
((P + , R -
Investigation made By By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision approach $1-16-49$ Hearing date $1-30-49$ Decision approach Date $1-30-49$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1-49$ Building Inspector $1-2-49$ Planning Commission $12-2-49$ Petitioner $12-2-49$ Health Department $12-2-49$ Appeal filed with City Clerk date Council Hearing date
Decision and approval Date 11-30-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1 - 1-19Building Inspector 1 - 2-49
Planning Commission 12-2-49 Petitioner 12-2-49 Health Department 12-2-49
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

CONTRACTOR STATISTICS

The second substant of the second second

and the second the second

1

1. 0

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7628</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. S. Hill to convert a garage which is attached to the residence, into a bedroom, with a 2 ft. sideyard, on Lot 7, Block 3, Bayview Addition, 5840 Gaines St. (sometimes known as Friars Road) Zone R-1A, on the following conditions:

- 1. That an Agreement be signed by the owner that any building constructed on adjoining Lot 6, also owned by him, will be kept a minimum of 8 ft. from said garage-bedroom; A-620
- 2. That a suitable area for off-street parking be provided on the lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated November 30 , 19 49

By

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

and have apply the second second	
Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
Investigation made $1/-30 - 49$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-30-19</u> Decision Cours approximate Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>17-1-4</u>	
Decision Cours approval	Date 11-20-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{1}{2}$ -1-47	Building Inspector $1 \times - \times - 99$ $2 - 2 - 49$ Health Department $1 \times - \times - 49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the state of the second second second second second provides

to the second state when the second state of t

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7630</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

-4803

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lawrence W. and Rosemary M. Connor to construct a garage with a 6 ft. setback on Lot 41, El Paso Tract, on the West side of Brant St., approximately 300 ft. South of Pennsylvania Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 30 , 1949

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>11-16-49</u> B	yCity Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-20-49</u> B	yCity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-30-49	PHearing date
Decision (16hears)	Date 11-3 0-49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 1 - 19$ Planning Commission $1 9$ Petitioner	Building Inspector 12-2-49
Planning Commission 1	12 - 7 - 49 Health Department $17 - 7 - 49$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a supply of the supply of

and the second provide and the second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>materially</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially</u> detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Don C. Dickinson to construct a residence without frontage on a dedicated street, Lots 14 and 15, Assessor's Map No. 33, at Sierra Mar Dr. and Hillside Dr., Zone R-1, on the condition that an Agreement be signed by the owner that he will grant a 10 ft. easement on the undedicated street known as Sierra Mar Dr., when and if the City requests it.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

A 619-49

Res. No. 4300

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated November 30 , 199

By_

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

	1/1///
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-20 - 49</u> By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-20-49 Hearing	date
	- 30 - 49
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-1-49 Building	Inspector 1 - 2 - 97
	- 49 Health Department 12 49
	Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	ha I
Application withdrawn Continue	ed to
Time limit extended to Date of	action
0	3TA

Hiccside .

36

SIERRA

-14

A AINAOSC

a prote of the second and the second

15

R REY Luces

AMPLE' ST

A BOD PORTER