WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7802</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. M. Butler, owner, and George F. and Dorothy W. Hopps, purchasers, to erect a single family residence on a parcel of land without street frontage, but having a 30 ft. easement from Torrey Pines Road, being Arbitrary No. 14, Assessor's Map 33-A, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, approximately 400 ft. East and South of the termination of Roseland Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary-

Dated January 25 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer

By____

Res. No. 4401

Application Received ______ By City Planning Department Investigation made 1- 25-50 By (City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1- - 5- 50 Hearing date_ Decision Uptional Date 1- - 5-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1- 50 Building Inspector 1- - 7-50 Planning Commission /->7-5° Petitioner /->7-5° Health Department /->7-5 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action ORREY INCE 55655 E. POSELAND 60' EASEMENT TO HIDDEN YALLEY

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herman L. Gatewood to replace siding on an existing residence with composition siding, the building having 1-1/2 ft. sideyard and 1 ft. rear yard, being on the Northerly 40 ft. of the Westerly 10 ft. of Lot 3, and on the Northerly 40 ft. of Lot 4, Block 319, Reed and Daley's Subdivision, 2883 Webster St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 25 , 19 50

Ву____

SPOTTETET

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4402

Application Received _1-23-50 By _7. 6 Concel
Application Received Dy City Planning/Department
100 7 2 19
Investigation made 1-25-50 By Allen, Acrus Auston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-23-3 Ficaning date
Decision (pour Date 1- 25-30
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-76-50 Building Inspector 1-77-50
Planning Commission 1- 27-50 Petitioner 1- 2/- 30 Health Department 1- 2/-30
Considered by Zoning Committee $1 - 75 - 5$ Hearing date Decision $f_{pproduct}$ Date $1 - 75 - 50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 26 = 50$ Building Inspector $1 - 7 - 50$ Planning Commission $1 - 77 - 50$ Petitioner $1 - 77 - 50$ Health Department $1 - 77 - 50$ Planning filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

.

,

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7753</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edwin P. and Florence B. Balmer to erect a lattice type fence 9 ft. 6 in. high, Lot 58, La Jolla Vista, 1820 Viking Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____January 25____, 19 50

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4403

Zoning Engineer

	P93
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	
Considered by Zoning Committee $1 - 75 - 50$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $-76 - 50$ Planning Commission $1 - 77 - 50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	City Planning Department Hearing date
Decision Approvate Clark (Date 1 - 75-50 Puilding Inspector
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $-76-5$ Planning Commission $1 - 77-5$ Petitioner	$1 - \sqrt{7} - 5$ Health Department $1 - \frac{7}{2} - 50$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

1.02

.

and offer of dedication of 30 ft. easement WHEREAS, Application No. 7717 / has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. B. and Barbara Bettles, purchasers, to construct a residence on an unsubdivided parcel of Pueblo Lot 1256, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, with 147.69 ft. frontage on a 30 ft. easement to La Jolla Mesa Dr., being 157 ft. East of La Jolla Mesa Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 25 , 1950

By___

Secnetary

Zoning Engineer

	V/ //*
D. D	
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made50 By	Alley, lerus a Juston
1-11-50 1-11-50	City Planning Department Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 1- 75-50 Decision Oppraval	Date $-75-50$ Building Inspector $-77-50$ -77-50 Health Department $1-77-50Council Hearing, date$
Planning Commission /- ~ 7-50 Petitioner	$1 - \gamma / - 50$ Health Department $1 - \gamma / - 50$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action
	4
	N/X/
E	
De' Wi	N .
1. 403	Clark
hiere of	
191	Martin Martin States
K I	
	////
1	V///:
i l	1//
7	
023	
de la	
M	
DI	
1/173	PL 014
	VI FI'
	1 - Landard and a subscription of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7627</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. L. and Hope W. Pratt to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1313, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, and construct a single family residence, plus a caretaker's apartment with a kitchen, said parcel to be served by an easement of record to be mutually agreed upon between the seller, W. H. Black, and the purchaser; parcel being located approximately 1,800 ft. West of West Road in Camp Callan, Zone R-1.

Permit granted upon the signing of an Agreement by the owners to the effect that the two kitchens will always be used for the convenience of the one-family residence, and will never be rented; said parcel to be retained as one parcel of land at all times.

page 358

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 50 January 25 Dated

By_

Secretary-Zoning Engineer

Application Received ______ By City Planning Department Investigation made _____ /- x 5- 50 By_ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-25-50</u> Hearing date <u>Date 1-25-50</u> Decision <u>approved</u> Date <u>1-25-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-26-50</u> Building Inspector <u>1-27-50</u> Planning Commission <u>1-27-50</u> Petitioner <u>1-50</u> Health Department <u>1-50</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> <u>Date</u> -50 Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action ERSE LAND 1374 313 3.5 382.83 242:45 . 79 ues7 n

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7716</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edwin G. Hopper to convert an existing sleeping room into an apartment by the addition of a kitchen, making a total of nine units on Lots 4 through 7, Block 245, University Heights, 1616 Upas St., Zone R-2, on the condition that a minimum of eight parking spaces be provided on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 25 , 19_50 FORM 2145 By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4406

	POR
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1 5- 50</u> By	Allen, arus Dinton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-25-50	Hearing date
Decision and approval	Date $7-75-50$ Building Inspector $7-7-50$ 7-7-50 Health Department $7-7-50Council Hearing, date$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-26-50	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 1-~7-50 Petitioner	1-~7-50 Health Department 1-~7-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

•

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7709</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jay and Vesta M. Person to construct a 3-unit apartment and two garages, making five units on the lot with a 15 ft. 6 in. access court, obstructed by a 3 ft. 4 in. stairway, on Lots 15 and 16, Block 5, Breed and Chase Subdivision, 2435 Broadway, Zone C, on the condition that parking space for four automobiles is provided on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary.

Dated January 25 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By___

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4407

Application Received $1-16-50$ Investigation made $1-75-50$	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	ner (
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

* The second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7778</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. Kemper and Virginia M. Nelson to divide property and construct a residence on the East 58 ft. of the East 100 ft. of the West 195 ft. of the South 154 ft., excepting the street, in Pueblo Lot 181, being approximately 100 ft. East of Catalina Blvd., on the North side of Charles St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_January 25 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary -

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By By Douth
Application Received By By Couth
Application Received
gity Flamming Department
Investigation made By By By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-25-50 Hearing date
Considered by Zonning Committee Date / = 75 - 58
Considered by Zohning Committee Decision //oo. approved Date $1 - 75 - 58$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-76=50</u> Building Inspector <u>1-77-50</u> Planning Commission $1 - 77 - 50$ Petitioner $1 - 77 - 50$ Health Department $1 - 77 - 50$
Copy of Resolution sent to entry detail peritioner 1- 77-50 Health Department 1- 77-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

· 22 -

,

÷.

WHEREAS, Application No. 7786 ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Point Loma Holding Corp., owner, and Loma Lands, purchaser, to build and operate a tract office with a maximum of 80 sq. ft. of signs, in connection with proposed subdivision of Pueblo Lot 197 to be known as Loma Lands Park, Lot 15 thereof, at the corner of Coronado Ave. and Chatsworth Blvd., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

1. Subject to the acceptance by the City of the final map; 2. This permit to expire six months from the date of the acceptance of the final map.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 25 , 19 50 Dated_

FORM 2145

By

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

	670/1
Application Received B	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $/-\gamma 5-50$ B	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Guaidered by Zoning Committee /- 75 - 57	City Planning Department \square Department \square Date $\square \neg $
Consider carby Donning	Date 1- 75-50
Decision Course sent to City Clerk 1- 77-50	Building Inspector $1 = 2/2.50$
Copy of Resonation J- 7 7- 57 Petitioner	1-~7-50 Health Department 1-~7-50
Planning Commission Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
i lightion withorawii	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

RESOLUTION NO. 4410

letter dated January 9, 1950

WHEREAS, Application Nox has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3829, dated April 20, 1949, which amended Resolution No. 2290, dated May 22, 1947, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the City of San Diego, owner, and the Fishermen's and Farmers' Cold Storage Co., lessee, to use the existing non-conforming cold storage building (Building No. 128) for cold storage purposes, and to permit the operation of a retail grocery business within the same structure, for a period of five years from June 1, 1947, on portions of Pueblo Lots 1311 and 1314, located 200 ft. Easterly of the common corner of Pueblo Lots 1311, 1312, 1313 and 1314.

Permission is also granted to process abalone, as outlined in the letter of Mr. Charles C. Parrott, P & P Fish Products, mentioned above. This permit to expire on June 1, 1952.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13455, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 25 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By___

Sect Starys

Zoning Engineer

P	M
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-25-50</u> By	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Considered by Zonnig Committee 1=15 55	Date 1- 25-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7-52	Building Inspector 50
Planning Commission / 9-59 Petitioner	1 /- 3° Health Department 1 5°
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7775</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. R. Chittick and Raymond C. Swortwood to construct a 6-unit apartment court with 4 garages, on Lots 37 through 40, Block 7, La Jolla Strand, on the Northwest corner of Rosemont St. and Electric Ave., Zone R-2, subject to a final check of the plans in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_____January 25 , 19 50

By_

Zoning Engineer

	DAR
Application Received By	City Planning/Department
Investigation made By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee $1-75.50$ Decision Constant to City Clerk $1-77-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1-77-50$ Planning Commission $1-77-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date $1-25-50$ Building Inspector $1-77-50$ 1-77-50 Health Department $1-77-50$
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action

·

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7764</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Eva Jessie Smith to operate an existing 12 ft. by 12 ft. antique shop at the rear of the residence, Lots 43 and 44, Block 178, Mannasse and Schiller Subdivision, 1821 Kearney Ave., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. To maintain an existing 12" by 18" sign; on a pole beyond the setbad
- 2. No employees;
- 3. To expire June 30, 1951;
- 4. Subject to the Electrical Inspector's approval.

A variance to Ordinance No. 8924, Section 5 and Section 8c, is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____January 25____, 19_50

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

line

Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made Investigation made By Chley, Terres Question
Considered by Zoning Committee $4 = 75 - 57$ Hearing date Decision Comp. approved Date $4 - 75 - 57$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4 - 7 - 57$ Building Inspector $4 - 7 - 57$ Planning Commission $4 - 7 - 57$ Petitioner $4 - 7 - 57$ Health Department $4 - 7 - 57$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision Cons. approval Date 1- 75-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7-50 Building Inspector 1-7-50
Planning Commission 1-27-57 Petitioner 1-27-38 Health Department 1-29-58 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7781</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Eva Jessie Smith to convert an existing hobby shop to a living unit, making three units on the lot, with an 8 ft. access court, Lots 43 and 44, Block 178, Mannasse and Schiller Subdivision, 1821 Kearney Ave., Zone R-4, on the condition that three off-street parking spaces be provided on the set-back line, this condition to be effective within back of the set-back line, this Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____January 25____, 19_50

By_____

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

	1
	$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{O}$
The second state of the se	
Application Received B	v Al Nouth
Application Received	City Planning Department
	CIAN F 13
P P	. Ille Ver and lasta
Investigation made B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1- 25-5	e Hearing date
Devision	Date 1-75-50
Decision one, approximation City Clerk /- > 7-57	Date $1 - 75 - 50$ Building Inspector $1 - 77 - 50$ 1 - 77 - 50 Health Department $1 - 77 - 50Council Hearing date$
Copy of Resolution Sent to City Clerk	1 27 50 Health Department 1 7-57
Planning Commission /	Council Hooping data
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council ficating, date
Desision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	

1 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7794</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stanley Raymond and Irene Marion Zakar to divide into two parcels, as follows: (1) 75 ft. frontage on Winchester St. and 114 ft. frontage on Calle Gaviota; (2) 60 ft. frontage on Winchester St; and erect a single family residence on each, being Lots 2 and 3, Block 5, La Huerta Subdivision, the Northeast corner of Winchester and Calle Gaviota, Zone R-1, on the fellowing conditions:

- 1. The regular Set-Back Ordinance to be observed on Calle Gaviota, and a 15 ft. set-back on Winchester St.;
- 2. Subject to architectural approval by the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, and Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 25 , 19 50

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

	7/1/10
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - \sqrt{5} - 5^{\circ}$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-7.5-50	Hearing date
Decision and approval	Date $7 - 75 - 50$ Building Inspector $7 - 7 - 50$ 7 - 7 - 50 Health Department $7 - 7 - 50Council Hearing, date$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-2/-52	Building Inspector <u>1-7-50</u>
Planning Commission 1-27-50 Petitioner	1 7- 50 Health Department 1 7-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk/date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of COUNCIL	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

•

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7759</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. N. Faulconer to divide three lots into two parcels and build a single family residence on each, being Lots 10, 11 and 12, Block 11, Point Loma Heights, on the Southeast corner of Tustin and Macauley Streets, Zone R-1, both lots to face Macauley St., observing the following set-back provisions:

15 ft. set-back on Macauley St., with the regular Set-back Ordinance observed on Tustin St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 and Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____ January 25___, 19__50

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	By I W Mc Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-25-50	P Hearing date
Decision alpha	Date 7 20 Building Inspector <u>2-14-50</u> 2-14-50 Health Department 2-14-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	- Dunding Inspector
Planning Commission 2-19-50 Petitioner	- 2-19-50 Health Department 2-19-30
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date .
Resolution becomes effective	
Resolution becomes critective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1.2 1

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7769</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack and Kay Searles, purchasers, and Kathleen S. Fox, owner, to construct a duplex on the front of the lot, and an apartment over the garages at the rear, Lots 1 and 2, Block A, South La Jolla, corner of Nautilus St. and Neptune Pl., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 4416

Secretary

Dated	February	8	, 19 50
lateu	the set of the set where the a	-	, 15

Application Received By	D.E. South City Planning Department
Decision appr. Da	City Planning Department aring date $2 - 8 - 50$ te $2 - 8 - 50$ ilding Inspector $2 - (0, 50)$
Di Commission A-10-5 C Fennoner 7-	10-50 Realin Department Z-10 VA Q
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	te
Decision of Counter	
Application withdrawn CO	ntinued to
Time limit extended to Da	te of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Loning Control of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property ^{Owners} in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to</u> the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harriet Ford Ruhaak and Margaret Ruhaak to continue the giving of piano lessons to children, Lots 32 and 33, Block 6, Ironton Addition, 930 Rosecrans St., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- An average of 8 pupils; 1.
- Hours during the school year, 3:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M.; Hours during summer vacation, 9:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.; 2.
- 3.
- No signs or advertising; 40
- This permit to expire on June 30, 1951. 5.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

February 8 , 19_50 Dated_

By Secretary Res. No. 4417 Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received By	J. W. M. Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made	Allen Lancaster & Burton City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector 2-10-50 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

A COMPANY CONTRACT De

the second s

•

,

Dec 3563

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ella B. Olson to convert two garage spaces into one apartment, making the fourth living unit on the lot, with an 8-1/2 ft. access court to the street, on the South 13.5' of Lot 14, all of Lot 15 and the North 1-1/2 ft. of Lot 16, Block 6, Wilshire Place, and the South 40 ft. of the North 80 ft. of Lot 16, Block E, Teralta, 4337 - 41st St., Zone R-4, off-street parking space for three cars to be provided on a concrete slab on Lot 13 and the North 11.5 ft. of Lot 14, Wilshire Place, also owned by Mrs. Olson, to a total of four parking spaces.

This permission on the condition that the owner sign an Agreement that all of the above-described property will always be kept in one ownership, and will never be sold separately.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated___February 8 , 19 50

By_

Sectetary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	E. Van Hise City Planning Department
Investigation made _2 -8 - 5 0 By	Allen, Lancaster & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-8-50$ Decision Council affan, Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9-50$ Planning Commission $2-10-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector <u>2-10-50</u> 7-10-50 Health Department <u>2-10-50</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

1 10 1 1 1 1

and the state of the

arrest and the the second of the second comparents at the

and the second state of the second second
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7827</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners, in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to W. D. Wright to convert a single family residence with no sideyard, into a duplex, making three units on the lot, 8 ft. 2 in. access to the street, Lots 43 and 44, Block 34, H. M. Higgins' Addition, 2676 Broadway, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated___February 8 , 19 50

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>1-31-50</u> By	Z. W. Mc Concell City Planning Department
investigation of	Allen Jancaster & Burton City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9-50$ Planning Commission $2-10-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector $2.10-50$ 7-10 · 50 Health Department $2.10-50$
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action

I WELL & W. M.

,

No.

10.00 00.00

19-01-04-06-5

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4420</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John A. and Hazel Bauman to construct a single family residence on the Northeasterly 125 ft. of Lot 68, Las Alturas Villa Sites, on the East side of Bonita Dr., approximately 150 ft. Westerly of Encina Dr., on the condition that a 15 ft. easement for the widening of Arroyo Dr. be granted to the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Easement aquired 3/2/50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_February 8 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4420

Application ReceivedB	<u>E. Van Hise</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $2-8-50$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-8-50$ Decision Condil Upp Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9-50$	Hearing date Date 2-8-50 Building Inspector 2-10-50
Planning Commission $2 - 10^{-5}$ 0 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	2~10 'S O Health Department 2~10 ~ S A
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the second sec

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7836</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earle I. Brodie to build a residence with a 10 ft. setback on one corner of the lot, in accordance with the plan on file in the Planning Department Office, Lot 272, Kensington Heights No. 3, Palisades Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3153, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 8 , 1950

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received By	<u>P. 2. Burton</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-8-50</u> By	<u>Allen Lancaste</u> & Burton City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 2-8-50 Building Inspector <u>2-10-50</u> 2-10-50 Health Department <u>2-10-50</u> Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1127.00

.

· · · · ·

the second and the second s

11 .

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. L. and John E. Merrick, to construct a duplex with two-car garage attached, 59% coverage, Lot "O", Block 15, Mission Beach, being the first lot East of 718 San Luis Rey Pl., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_February 8 , 1950

By___

XSecretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received By	Z. W. M. Concell City Planning Department
Investigation made 2-8-50 By	City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9-50$ Planning Commission $2-10-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector 2-10-50 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

1

ALC: NO

SIDEELLY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7779</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Mrs. Laura H. Reed to convert an existing building to a residence, with no sideyard and 30 inches between living quarters, Lot 36, Block 139, Mannasse and Schiller Subdivision, 1749-1/2 Logan Ave., Zone M-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Secretary

Dated_February 8 , 19_50

FORM 2145

Res. No. 4423

Application ReceivedB	y <u>Z. W. M. Connell</u> City Planning Department
	y <u>Allen, Lancaste</u> & <u>Burton</u> City Planning Department
Decision de latin ant to City Clerk 2-9-50	Building Inspector <u>2.10.50</u> 7.10-50 Health Department 2.10.50
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7817</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Adolph and Agnes Peterson to construct a residence on a portion of R-1 lots, which contain one other residence, being the Northeasterly 1/2 of Lots 21 through 24, Block 51, Middletown Addition, on the Southwesterly corner of Pringle St. and Puterbaugh Sts., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>February 8</u>, 19<u>50</u> FORM 2145 By__

Secretary

Application Received By	<u><i>i</i></u> <u><i>i</i></u> <i>w</i> . <i>M</i> ^c <u>Concell</u> <u>City Planning Department</u>
	<u>Allen Lancaste</u> & Burton City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9-50$ Planning Commission $2-10-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date 2-8-50 Building Inspector <u>2-10-50</u> 2-10-50 Health Department <u>2-10-50</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7818</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Adolph and Agnes Peterson to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback from Puterbaugh St., being the Northeasterly 1/2 of Lots 21 through 24, Block 51, Middletown Addition, on the Southwesterly corner of Pringle and Puterbaugh St., Zone R-1, providing that a setback is observed on Pringle St., not less than that of the existing residence on the same lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_February 8 , 1950

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>2-1-50</u> By	J. W. M. Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-8-50</u> By	<u>Allen, Lancaster</u> y Burton City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9-50$ Planning Commission $2-10^{-50}$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Building Inspector 2-10-50 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

in the second second

Letter dated February 2, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4085, which amended Resolution No. 3746, be granted to Kathleen E. Kelly to build two living units, consisting of a single family dwelling and a single apartment over the garage, on Lots 36 through 38, Block 1, in Pacific Beach Vista and Buena Vista, on the West end of Archer St. (South side) and West of La Jolla Mesa Dr.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

	-	ZONI	NG	COMM	ITTEE
CITY	OF	SAN	D	IEGO,	CALIFORNIA

Dated February 8 , 1950

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Application Received _ 2-3-50 B	y Mail City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2.8.50</u> B	NI E A DA
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 2-8-50 Building Inspector <u>Z-10-50</u> Z-10-50 Health Department <u>Z-10-50</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel Kingsley, owner, and W. S. Broderick, purchaser, to build a single family residence on Lot 580, except the Easterly 25 ft., Talmadge Park No. 3, on the South side of Monroe Ave., 150 ft. West of 47th St., Zone R-1, provided that the owner install a 3 ft. high concrete wall, 3 ft. from the Westerly lot line, for the depth of the lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 8 , 1950

FORM 2145

By____

Secretary

Application Received By	P. 2. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 8 - 5 - 0$ By	<u>Allen, Lancester + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-8-50$ Decision Canal Gafan, Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9-50$ Planning Commission $2-10-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 2-8-50 Building Inspector <u>2-10-50</u> 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the second second

The second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. W. Garver to construct a single family residence on a portion of Lot 21, Eureka Lemon Tract, said parcel being approximately 127 ft. by 295 ft. in size, the legal description of which is on file in the Planning Department Office, on the South side of Ticonderoga St., between Maultrie and Trenton Ave., Zone R-1, on the condition that the owner grant to the City an easement for the widening of Ticonderoga St. to its full width. Easement Granted RY 26

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated_February 8 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Application Received 1-31-50 B	y Mail City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-8-50</u> B	
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-8-50$ Decision Condition Sent to City Clerk $2-9-57$ Planning Commission $2-10-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Trenton

Ticonderoga

1

2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7839</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ mot _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Charles W. Crowell to operate a part-time radio and <u>television repair shop in the garage</u>, hours from 6:00 P.M. to 9:00 P.M., all day Saturday and 6 hours on Sunday, with a sign approximately 3 ft. by 5 ft., Lot 5, National Highlands Annex, 737 Dominion St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 78, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated___February 8 , 1950_

FORM 2145

By____

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4429

Application Received E	y_P. 2. Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>Allen, Lancasta & Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-8-50 Decision dimed	Hearing date
Decision Augustic	Date 2-8-50
C C Desolution cent to Lity Liery C / JV	Billing henertor A /V .) //
Diaming Commission Z-/0-30 Petitioner	2~/0~50 Health Department 2~/0~50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	
Time limit extended to	Date of action

H0.6 . 1 .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7850</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William S. and Hazel Deane Chamness to erect a single family residence with a 5 ft. setback, on a portion of Lots 10 and 11, Block 172, Roseville, <u>According to the legal description on file in the Planning</u> Department Office, on Voltaire St., West of Rosecrans St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 8 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4430

Application Received By By P. 2.	Burton City Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made <u>2-8-50</u> By <u>Allen</u>	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>2-8-50</u> Hearing dat Decision appr. City Clark 259 50 Building In	
Decision alphan. Date 2-8-	-5-0
Copy of Resolution sent to Lity Clerk A 7 50 Building Ins	spector 2-10-50
Planning Commission 2-10-50 Petitioner 2-10-50	Health Department 2-10-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hea	ring, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued t	0
Time limit extended to Date of acti	

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7851</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William S. and Hazel Deane Chamness to erect a single family residence with a 10 ft. rear yard on a portion of Lots 10 and 11, Block 172, Roseville, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on Voltaire St., West of Rosecrans St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_February 8 , 19 50

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4431

Application Received <u>2-3-50</u> By	P. 2. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-8-5-6</u> By	<u>Allen</u> , <u>Lancastes</u> & Burter City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-8-50$ Decision $2-8-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9-50$ Planning Commission $2-10-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 2-8-50 Building Inspector <u>2-10-50</u> 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

õ

VolJaire

0

-

3

Chonness

all the

Section Strength

.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Philip K. and Edith I. Humberstad to divide a portion of Lot 59 of Subdivision No. 5 of Lot 12 of Rancho Mission, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the Northeast corner of Pigeon St. and Lisbon St., Zone R-1, and erect a single family residence on each parcel as follows:

100 ft. on Pidgeon St., and 116 ft. on Lisbon St.;
50 ft. on Lisbon St.; 150 ft. depth;
66 ft. on Lisbon St., 150 ft. depth

This permission on the condition that the regular City Ordinance with regard to setbacks be observed on both Pidgeon St. and Lisbon St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated February 8 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4432

Application Received <u>2-2-50</u> B	y <u>E. Van Acze</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made	y <u>Allen Lancaster & Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-8-50 Hearing date Decision Concell Office. Date 2-8-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-9-50 Building Inspector 2-10-50 Planning Commission 2-10-50 Petitioner 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date	
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the second se

the second s

.

RESOLUTION NO. 4433

Letter dated February 4, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4347, dated December 28, 1949, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. G. Waller to construct a wood fence with redwood posts, to be painted white, 3 ft. in height, in front of the residence and beyond the front setback line, on the Southerly 1/2 of Lots 37 through 40, Block 268, Pacific Beach, 1406 Reed Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated February 8 , 1950

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Letter Application Received <u>2-7-50</u> By	y Mail City Planning Department
investigation man of	y <u>Allen, Burton & Lancaste</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-8-50$ Decision afree. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9-50$	Building Inspector 2-10-50
Planning Commission 2-10-50 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7736</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jasper Shay to construct a 73 ft. long redwood fence, to be 6 ft. high, within the front setback area, on Lot 15, Block A, Riviera Villas, 1230 Trieste Dr., Zone R-1, to be painted a light color to conform to the color of the residence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 8 , 1950

By___

Scoretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received 1-4-50 By E. Van Hise
City Planning Department
Investigation made 2-8-50 By allen, Lancaster & Buston
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-8-50 Hearing date
Decision Alalan, Date 2-8-50
Decision Opp. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-9-50</u> Building Inspector <u>2-10-50</u>
Diaming Commission 2:10-50 Petitioner 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7763</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Karl Armintrout to split off the Westerly 25 ft. of Lots 46 through 49, to be added to Lot 45, thereby making three parcels out of five lots, with a single family residence on each parcel, being Lots 45 through 49, Oakmere, on the Southwest corner of Hobart St. and 63rd St., Zone R-1, on the condition that the owner grant a 5 ft. easement for the widening of Hobart St., for the full width of Lots 45 and 46 thereon. according to the plan on file in the Planning Department Office. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____Rebruary 8 , 1950

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Application Received By Mail		
City Planning Department		
nvestigation made 2-8-50 By Allen Lancaster & Burton		
City Planning Department		
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-8-50 Hearing date		
Decision Cond Upper. Date 2-8-50		
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>2-8-50</u> Hearing date Decision Concol Upper Date 2-8-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-10-50</u> Building Inspector <u>2-10-50</u> Planning Commission 2-10-50 Petitioner 2-10-50 Health Department <u>2-10-50</u>		
lanning Commission 2-10-50 Petitioner 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50		
opeal filed with (ity Clerk, date Council meaning, date		
Decision of Council Date		
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn Continued to		
Time limit extended to Date of action		

15 27 1 1

14

¥

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7806</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. Raymond Stanford, owner, and Lory Cleaning and Laundry Co., lessee, to replace two 10 HP boilers with two 20 HP boilers, in addition to approximately 10 HP in the existing electrical motors for the existing equipnent, making a total of approximately 50 HP; 18 employees at present; hours of operation 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M. daily; Lots 19 through 21, Block 113, University Heights, 3104 El Cajon Blvd., 20ne C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 8 , 19 50

By_

Segretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4436

Application Received By	City Planning Department
	, <u>Allen Lancaste</u> & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-8-50$ Hearing date Decision Condification $2-10-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-10-50$ Building Inspector $2-10-50$ Planning Commission $2-10-50$ Petitioner $2-10-50$ Health Department $2-10-50$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

private a providence of a second set there is a

the state of the second state of

the second second of the second s

then the state of the second state of the seco
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7829</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation, and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego, a Corporation Sole, for the Ocean Beach Sacred Heart School, to build a fence 3 ft. 6 in. above the first floor level, to a total height of 4 ft. above the grade, in front of the setback line, Lots 45 through 48, Block 54, Ocean Beach, at Saratoga Ave. and Cable St., the Southerly side, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 8 , 1950

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>2-1-50</u> B	y <u>J. Rick</u> City Planning Department	
Investigation made	y <u>Allen Lancaste</u> & Burten City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-8-50$ Decision A_{2} Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9-50$ Plane in Commission $2-10.50$ Petitioner	Building Inspector 2-10-50 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date		
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

the lar 1.

,

i jene .

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego, a Corporation Sole, for Ocean Beach Sacred Heart Convent, to build a convent with a maximum of 50% coverage, on Lots 40 and 41, Block 30, Ocean Beach, on the Southwest side of Cape May Ave., 175 ft. Southeast of Sunset Cliffs Blvd., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 8 , 1950

By____

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application ReceivedB	y <u>J. Rich</u> City Planning Department
	y <u>Allen</u> , <u>Lancaste</u> & Burton City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector 2-10-50 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the second s

1

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward T. and Lillian C. Hansard to build a residence with a 20 ft. 9 in. setback, on Lot 11, Block 5. College Park No. 1, Cresita Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> This permission on the condition that in no case shall the setback be less than that of the adjoining dwelling to the North.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

	February 8	50
Dated		, 19

By_____ Zoning Engineer

Secretary Res. N

Res. No. 4439

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>2-2-50</u> By	E. Van Acie City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>2-8-50</u> By	City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>2-8-50</u> Hearing date Decision Conditation Sent to City Clerk <u>2.10.50</u> Building Inspector <u>2-10-50</u> Planning Commission 2-10-50 Petitioner 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50		
A speal filed with City Clerk, date	council freating, date	
Decision of Council L Resolution becomes effective	Jate	
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action	

No is a second way that the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7845</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William H. and Nola Childs to erect a four-unit court with a 5-1/2 ft. access court for the fourth unit over the garage, Lots 37 and 38, Block 4, Stetson's Addition, on "T" St. between 37th and 38th Sts., the South side thereof, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated February 8 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By__

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4440

Application Received _2-3-50 By_	F W M Concell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>7-8-50</u> By	allen Jancaster & Burten City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9-50$ B Planning Commission $2-10-50$ Petitioner 2 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C Decision of Council D	ate 2-8-50 Suilding Inspector <u>2-10-50</u> -10-50 Health Department <u>2-10-50</u>
Resolution becomes effective C	Continued to Date of action

the part of the second second of the second s

17:21 24 G

14 - -

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Mason and Gladys Bowen to construct a studio addition to an existing building, to have no setback, on Lot 34, Pantages Terrace, 2195 Chatsworth Elvd., Zone R-C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Pebruary 8 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By__

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4441

See Res. # 96969 Sollowing

Application Received By By By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-8-50</u> By <u>Allen Lancaste + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-8-50$ Hearing date Decision Decision Decision Sent to City Clerk $2-9-50$ Building Inspector $2-10-50$ Planning Commission $2-10-50$ Petitioner $2-10-50$ Health Department $2-10-50$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date $2-14-50$ Council Hearing, date $2-28-50$ Decision of Council <u>Appear sustaining</u> Date $2-28-50$ Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action

and the second sec



Lee Res # 4441 preceding Planman

RESOLUTION NO._____96969

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Mason Bowen and Gladys Bowen, 2195 Chatsworth Boulevard, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 4441, application No. 7783, for permission to construct a studio addition to an existing building, to have no setback, on Lot 24, Pantages Terrace, Zone R-C, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

HELEN M. WILLIG By..... Deputy.



RESOLUTION NO.

dee Res # 1841

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal c Boulevard, from the its Resolution No. 4 construct a studio s back, on Lot 24, Pan sustained, and said

Page is intentionally left blank.

90909



City of San Diego

1195 Ohatoworth in denying by rmission to to have no setit is hereby by overraied.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 96969

	NOIS	1	TERD 7	
ty Clerk.	Ci		8	
	WILLIG	. M	HELEN	Ву
Deputy.				

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7782</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section for Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby granted to Mason and Gladys Bowen to construct a studio addition to an existing building, with no sideyard on the Easterly side, Lot 24, Pantages Terrace, 2195 Chatsworth Blvd., Zone R-C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 8, 180

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4442

Zoning Engineer

Application ReceivedB	y_ g. Rick
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-8-50</u> B	y allen, Lancaste & Buston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-8-50	Hearing date
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-9-50	Building Inspector <u>2~10-50</u>
Planning Commission 2~10-50 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>2-10-50</u> 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desision of Council	Date
Desolution becomes effective	
A solication withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

TO BALL AND THE P

APRIL CONTRACTOR AND A

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Frances Chase to erect a 6 ft. redwood fence on an existing 3 ft. retaining wall along the side lot line, Lot 1, Block 88, Point Loma Heights, at the corner of Newport Ave. and Guizot St., Zone R-1, provided that the regular City Ordinance with regard to setback is observed on Newport Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ February 8 , 1950

Server 1

The state of the tag the

FORM 2145

a children to the

By___

Secretary

Res. No. 4443

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 2-3-50 By	Z. W. M. Connell City Planning Department			
Antostigution in	<u>Allen Lancaster & Buston</u> City Planning Department			
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>2-8-50</u> Hearing date Decision Condif Alph Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-10-50</u> Building Inspector <u>2-10-50</u>				
Planning Commission 2-10-50 Petitioner 2 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	~/// S O Fleatin Debartinent Z - / V S ()			
Decision of Council	Date			
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to			
Time limit extended to	Date of action			

1 m 1 1 1

and the provide the state of the providence of the sector of the sector

100 Mar 100 Mar

all and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7788</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. R. and Damah E. Mouser to construct a single family residence on a portion of the Northwest 1/4 of 1/4 Section 104 of Rancho de la Nacion, on the East side of Mariposa St., at the North end thereof, to have 70 ft. frontage, and on the condition that a correct legal description is filed in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Pebruary 8 _____, 19_50

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4444

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>2-2-50</u> By	C. B. Kozo City Planning Department
	allen, Laucaste & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>2-8-50</u> He Decision and the Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-10-50</u> Bu Planning Commission <u>2-10-50</u> Petitioner <u>2-</u>	earing date te Z-8-50 ilding Inspector_ <u>Z-10-50</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	unch riearing, date
Decision of Council Da Resolution becomes effective	ntinued to
	ate of action

and the second second

DATE OF THE OWNER

the second second in the second strates and they be a strategic the second of a strate the second second second

Mariposa

01

and particular some the stand of a stand of a standard and the

spinite have not been if the ready sort of

1,4031

WHEREAS, Application No. _7751____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are_ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ ___ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Florence E. Gray to divide into three parcels, as follows: (1) 56 ft. by 100 ft.; (2) 66 ft. by 100 ft.; (3) 50 ft. by 122 ft.; and build a single family residence on each, Parcels (1) and (2) fronting on Venice St.; on the Northwest 118 arcels (1) and (2) fronting of Lot 18 Long Heights. Northwest 150 ft, of the Southwest 148.877 of Lot 18, Loma Heights, the Southeasterly corner of Venice St. and Niagara Ave., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- An easement to be granted to the City for the ultimate widening 1.
- of Niagara Ave. to 80 ft.; Curbs and sidewalks to be installed for the entire street 2. frontage on Niagara Ave., as required by the City Engineer; Curbs and sidewalks to be installed for the entire street
- 3. frontage on Venice St., as required by the City Engineer; Curbs, as required by Resolution No. 4027, to be installed
- 4. on the remaining street frontage of Lot 18, on Venice St.,
- with a curb return at Newport Ave. All of the above street improvements to be installed by 5.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated February 8 , 1950

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4445

FORM 2145

Application Received 2-3-50 By	E. Van Hise City Planning Department	
Investigation made	<u>Allen Lancaste & Burto</u> City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-8-50$ Hearing date Decision Condit Affan. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2.10.50$ Building Inspector $2-10.50$ Planning Commission $2-10.50$ Petitioner $2-10.50$ Health Department $2-10.50$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action	

the second second and the second se

the second second second and the second s

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. A. Young to construct a bathroom and service porch, and widen the bedroom, which will be an addition to the existing building, said addition to be approximately 16 ft. by 14 ft., and 3 ft. from the side lot line, to conform and be in line with the present building, Lot 1, Block 18, Bovyer's Subdivision, 4194 - 35th St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_February 8 , 1950

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	Q. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-8-50</u> By <u>a</u>	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-8-50 Hear	
Decision a man Date	2.8.50
Decision Alafa Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-10-50</u> Build Planning Commission 2-10-50 Petitioner 2-10	ing Inspector 2-10-50
Planning Commission 2-10-50 Petitioner 2-10	·So Health Department Z-10.50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Coun	cil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
	nued to
Time limit extended to Date	of action

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mark C. Hurst to erect a 16 ft. by 23 ft. addition to an existing residence, with a 12 ft. 6 in. rear yard, Lot 62, La Jolla Gables, 435 Retaheim Way, Zone R-2, on the condition that the entire building and garage be finished with the same exterior materials.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19 50

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	By
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 2-8-48-50	By <u>Allen</u> , <u>Lancaste</u> & <u>Busten</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning, Committee 2-8-50	0 Hearing date Date 2-8-50 20 Building Inspector <u>2-10-50</u> r 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50
Decision Con al aleta. Conde	Date 2-8-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-10-6	2 Building Inspector 2-10-50
Planning Commission 2-10-50 Petitione	r 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and had been been a second of the second of the second being the second been and the second been as the second

. . . .

RESOLUTION NO. 4448

Letter dated February 3, 1950

WHEREAS, Application Noz______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 3170 be granted to Edith Wahlberg to operate a boarding house for not more than six persons at 1203 Sunset Cliffs Blvd., Lots 17 and 18, Elock 11, Sunset Cliffs.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1952.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Rebruary 8 ____, 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4448

Letter Received <u>2-70-50</u> By Mail City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-8-50</u> By <u>Q.E. South</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-8-50 Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-10-50 Building Inspector 2-10-50
Planning Commission 2-10-50 Petitioner 2-10-50 Health Department 2-10-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and the second

NOT THE DURING AND THOSE AND

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas L. Connor to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1268, on Hidden Valley Road, Zone R-1, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinace No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Formary 8 , 19 50

6122

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 1-31-50 By F. W. M. Connell
City Planning Department
Investigation made 2-8-50 By allen, Lancaster & Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-8-50 Hearing date
Decision alpha. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-15-50</u> Building Inspector <u>2-16-50</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-15-50 Building Inspector 2-16-50
Planning Commission 2-16-50 Petitioner 2-15-50 Health Department 2-16-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Q P

2

Va

110

Ra

1

1.302 TO 1. 1. 1.

min

200

11

1

pl

*

PL 12 8

1

.

.

10 . UNE

Corror

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7846</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ambrose S. and Victoria P. Churchill to construct a single family residence on a parcel of land known as Parcel 2I in Pueblo Lot 1288, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the South side of Hidden Valley Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 8 , 1950

By___

Sectedarxx

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4450

Application Received <u>2-2-5-0</u> By <u>P. 2. Burton</u>	
City Planning Dep	artment
Investigation made <u>2-8-50</u> By allen Lancas	
City I lanning Dep	artment
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-8-50 Hearing date	
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-15-50 Building Inspector 2-16-5	-0
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-15-50</u> Building Inspector <u>2-16-5</u> Planning Commission 2-16-50 Petitioner 2-15-50 Health Departm	nent 2-16-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	the state of the s
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

Contention and the state

P.L. 1288

hard a second property of the second second second

200:11

A REAL PROPERTY.

h.h.

5

N

00

NA PALITY TI

and the second

HIDDEN

Valle

2

Re

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7858</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Travelodge Corp. to erect and/or maintain an electric sign 6 ft. 6 in. by 13 ft., with a 2 ft. setback, on Lots 5 and 6, Block 288, Middletown, at Pacific Highway and Beech St., Zone C, on the condition that an Agreement is signed by the owner that the said sign will be moved back at the expense of the owner, when so required by the City for the widening of Pacific Highway.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Agreement filed with City Clerk 2-21-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

February 20 , 19 50	By				1	
	Zoning	Engineer	Secretary.	Res.	No.	4451
	-		e acheen	rent=	# 6	37

Dated

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>2-3-50</u> By	P. 2. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-8-50</u> By	allen, Lancaster & Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>2-8-50</u> H Decision Condition Sent to City Clerk <u>2-21-50</u> H Planning Commission <u>2-21-56</u> Petitioner 2 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	- 21-50 Health Department 2, 21.50
	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

.

f Children Providence ?

104 CAT 105 CO 6 D 7 D

and the second the start of the start of the second start of the s

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert L. Eller to construct a garage on the South half of Lot 8 in connection with the residence on Lot 9, Block 17, La Jolla Shores, 8452 La Jolla Shores Dr., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. That an Agreement be signed by the owner that the above parcel of land will be retained in one ownership and will never be A-639 sold separately. Owner -BONNELL
- That an Agreement be signed by the owner of the North 1/2 of -A-64 Lot 8 and all of Lot 7, that this parcel of land will always be retained in one ownership and will never be sold separately.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4022, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_50 FORM 2145 By_

Zoning Engineer

SECTATORSK

Res. No. 4452

/	10/1
Application Received 2-6-30 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department J Certon
Considered by Zoning Committee $2 - 23 - 50$	Hearing date
Decision ong . afsprand Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u></u>	Building Inspector <u>- 27-50</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action
Shart 19	

and an inclusion development and an and an and the product of the second second

the second second second second second

Real Providence

The state of the second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7700</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Roy H. and Jean F. St. John to erect a 20 ft. by 20 ft. garage on an existing slab, with an 18 in. sideyard, Lot 19, Block 20, North Shore Highlands, 4924 Foothill Blvd., Zone R-1

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated____February 23 , 19 50

By___

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

71110
pplication Received <u>r-9-50</u> By <u>TU</u> <u>City Planning Department</u>
westigation made <u>2-23-50</u> By <u>Illen</u> lengt (<u>lentor</u> City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee ~- ~3-50 Hearing date
ecision () Date 50
ecision Date
lanning Commission 2- 27- 50 Petitioner 2- 27- 50 Health Department - 27-50
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

in the grantester the state the

as more interpreted with a print the second state of the second

the state of the second state of the state of the state of the state of the

.

and a start of the second of the second provided the second second second second

We praya patron

.
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7515</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Quincy and Cordie Adams to operate a cleaning shop, pressing only to be done on the premises, in an existing non-conforming store building on Lots 43 and 44, Block 79, Powers' Subdivision of the Northeast 1/4 of the Southwest 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 1153, 2976 "L" St., Zone R-4, subject to the regulations of the Building Department, the Electrical Department, the Health Department and the Fire Marshal.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

RES 97153\$101303

Dated February 23 , 190 FORM 2145

By_

X Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4454

See RES 4454

see 97153 **RESOLUTION NO.** 101303

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the request of Cordie Adams and John Adams, 3021 "L" Street, for an emandment to Resolution No. 97153, adopted March 14, 1950, bs, and it is hereby granted to include the sale of packaged phermaceuti-cal preparations and other incidental items customarily sold in drug stores, and to permit the installation and operation of a soda foundain, on condition that all existing signs be painted out or removed from the building and that any new sign conform with the existing regulations at 2976 "L" Street, and upon the further condition that all storage be confined within a building or within a fenced enclosure. This permit to expire June 30, 1951.

Rev. 4454

FRED W. DICK City Clerk.

By_____HELEN M. WILLIG Deputy.

ACOM FORM 1270 20M- 8/50

	the second	
	Date of action	Time limit extended to
	Continued to	Application withdrawn
	-	Resolution becomes effective
	Date	Decision of Council
	Council Hearing, date	Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
	es-6x-2 Health Department	Planning Commission 9 Petitioner
	22 Building Inspector 2-2-5	Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk >->
	Date	hoisisell
	D Hearing date	Considered by Zoning Committee 2 - 2 - 5
	City Planning Department	
to	Bellen June 2 Out	I
	City Planning Department	
	- N. (Junton	Application Received 14- 2 2
	260	

RESOLUTION NO. 97153

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Cordie Adems and John Adams, 3021 L Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting permission to John Adams and Cordie Adams to operate a cleaning shop, pressing only to be done on the premises, in an existing non-conforming store building on Lots 43 and 44, Block 79, Powers' Subdivision of the Northeast 1/4 of the Southwest 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 1153, at 2976 "L" Street, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained.

That the request contained in said appeal, and recommended by the Zoning Committee, for permission to operate a grocery store, at 2976 "L" Street in an existing non-donforming store building on Lots 43 and 44, Block 79, Powers' Subdivision of the Northeast 1/4 of the Southwest 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 1153, in Zone R-4, including the incidental sale of packaged beer and wine, be, and it is hereby Granted on condition that all storage be confined within a building or within a fenced enclosure. This permit to expire June 30, 1951.

Variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

City Clerk of the City of San Diego, California. --- Deputy By

Form 1266 5M 5-41

Printed in San Diego

the Moniper-Considered, for manipalog is orthogon a property store, as NOV6 at. Shreed is no outstand non-dopinguing store building on both 43 and 44, bireck 73, foreard: Rebaining of the Monthessed 1/4 of the Southwess 1/4 of Pachle Let 1153, in four not through the indicated sele of peckeged bear and wine, be, and it is hereby considered on condition that all storege be confired within a milding

That have you of for the long and long figure, 3021 I brown, it is the designed of the long to contract in creating permission to the design of the long to contract a element of pressing the design of the figure, in an element of the pressing of the design of the grantes, in an element design pressing of the design of the grantes, in an element design pressing of the design of the grantes is the figure of the list, if the design of the state continues 1/k of fracts list, of the design of the figure of the solution of the list, if the design of the figure figure of the list, of the design of the figure of the solution of recently of the tree request contained is sold appeal, and recommended

UR TOTTOMET

DE IL KARCTARD' ph the Council of the city of Sen Biese.

therein a fire a fire a detail is a sector of a to a sector

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Toribio and Trinidad Deras to raise and alter an existing residence at 2668 "F" St., demolishing two additions and converting the porch to two rooms, said residence having no street frontage, on the Northerly 60 feet of Lot 13 and the Northerly 60 feet of the Easterly 2¹/₂ feet of Lot 14, Block 36, Parish and Loomis Subdivision, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 892h, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23

FORM 2145

By_

. 19 50

Secretery

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4455

	MMO in
Application ReceivedB	y City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 23 - 50$ B	y <u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision 1 kbional 1	Date ~ - ~3-50
Decision Cleberand City Clerk	Building Inspector 2- 77-57
Planning Commission > - > 7- 50 Petitioner	2-27-50 Health Department 2-27-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. A. A. A.

the second second

Sec. The

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 7826 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. F. Black, owner, and Frank D. and Laura Jane Sinclair, purchasers, to divide Lot 13, Beverly Heights, into two parcels as follows, and erect a single family residence on each:

1. 115 ft. frontage on Mar Ave. and 128 ft. frontage on Rhoda Dr.; 2. 100 ft. frontage on Rhoda Dr.

A 15 ft. setback to be observed on both Mar Ave. and Rhoda Dr. (Outlook Dr.) being the Southeast corner thereof. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 1950

FORM 2145

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4456

	7/1/0
Application Received $2 - 14 - 50$ By	
Investigation made <u>~ ~ ~ 3 ~ 50</u> By	allen terne Dutton
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision a for a for the control of	Date ~ - ~ 3 - 50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-74-50	Building Inspector <u>2-77-57</u>
	~-~ 7- 50 Health Department ~- ~ 7- 57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second second

. .

.

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Sedlack, owner, and A. H. Johnson, purchaser, to divide Lots 18, 19 and 20, and the street closing of Santa Maria Terrace adjoining, Block 13, Valencia Fark Unit No. 2, into two parcels, each to have approximately 70 ft. street frontage, and each to be approximately 67-1/2 ft. in width at the rear, being on the Easterly corner of San Mateo Dr., Los Angeles Pl. and Santa Maria Terrace closed, Zone R-1.

On the condition that the regular City Ordinance requiring 15 ft. setback on San Mateo Dr., be observed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Fobruary 23 , 19 50 FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Res. No. 445

And the second second second second second	\/ // ·································
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	allen Ton a Queton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision and . approval	Date $2 - 23 - 50$ Building Inspector $2 - 27 - 50$ 2 - 27 - 50 Health Department $2 - 27 - 50Council Hearing date$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 2 7-50
Planning Commission Petitioner	2- 27-57 Health Department 2-27-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Counter Fronting, dute
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

mine-

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance willing adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Collwood Construction Co. to divide three lots into two parcels and construct a single family residence on each, as follows: (1) The South 1/2 of Lot 115 and all of Lot 116; (2) the North 1/2 of Lot 115 and all of Lot 114; Collwood Unit No. 1, on the Northeasterly side of Atlanta Dr., Zone R-1, on the condition that the owner sign an Agreement that each parcel as out-Lined above will always be kept in one ownership and will never be sold separately.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is here-by granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

AGG 638

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 27 _ , 190_ FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Res. No. 4458

	· P
a shirt way a shirt of the second states of the second states of the second states of the second states of the	0 (
Application Received _2-15-50 By	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 2-23-50 Ry	Allen Arus Centon
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-23-30	Hearing date
Decision and approval.	Date 2 - 2 3 - 50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-24-50	Date $\gamma - \gamma - 3 - 50$ Building Inspector $\gamma 7 - 50$ $\gamma - \gamma - 7 - 50$ Health Department $\gamma - \gamma - 7 - 50$
Planning Commission 2-27-50 Petitioner	2 - 27 - 50 Health Department $2 - 27 - 50$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second

the second second and the providence of the second s

· · · · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7902-I</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Collwood Construction Co. to construct a garage with a 5 foot setback and a residence over the garage with a 15 foot setback on Lot 193, Collwood Unit No. 1, located on Baylor Drive north of Collier Avenue, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 19 50

By_

Zoning Engineer

x3000000

Res. No. 4459

FORM 2145

	7/1/10 11
Application Received By	City Planging Department
Investigation made $2 - 73 - 50$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ ~ - ~	Hearing date
Decision Approval	Date $\gamma - \gamma = 3 - 50$ Building Inspector $\gamma - \gamma / - 50$ $-\gamma / - 50$ Health Department $\gamma - \gamma / - 50$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector $ 7 - 50$
Planning Commission 2 - ~ 7-50 Petitioner	-27-50 Health Department $-77-50$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A REAL PROPERTY.

GRAD, P.C.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7896</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jackson and Scott, Inc., to build and operate five single family residences to be used as model homes and tract offices, and 60 sq. ft. of signs, on a portion of Pueblo Lot 146 to be known as Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 of Woodland Terrace, Unit No. 1, the tentative map of which has been approved, on Catalina Blvd., South of Canon St., Zone R-1.

This permit to expire six months from the effective date of this Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 1950-FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4460

Application Received By	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-73-50 Decision Cond. Ceptonal Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-74-50	Hearing date
Decision Cand (lepenal 1	Date 2 - 2 - 50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-24-50	Building Inspector <u>2-27-50</u>
Planning Commission 2 7 - 50 Petitioner	v-v7-50 Health Department v-v7-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

TEMPLE OT.

VILCOX

TORSNT

A MARY COM

PLISI PT. IGH LANDS

JENNINGS

ERR.

VD.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7860</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. L. Anderson to construct a single family residence on Arbitrary Lot No. 24Fl of Pueblo Lot 1297, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, with a 10 ft. access to the street, being approximately 192 ft. South of the East end of Camino del Oro, on the condition that no building on the lot shall be closer than 25 ft. to the Easterly lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4461

By Application Received ____ 0 City Planning, Department lle By Investigation made _____3 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 2-23-20 Hearing date_ Decision ______ Date ~_~____ Date ~_~_____ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk >_______ Building Inspector ~_______ Planning Commission ~_______ Petitioner >_______ Health Department Append filed with City Clerk, date _______ Council Hearing, date ______ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action 6 W à

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7902-A</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>mot</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Collwood Construction Co. to construct a garage with a 5 foot setback and a residence over the garage with a 15 foot setback on Lot 185, Collwood Unit No. 1, located on Baylor Drive north of Collier Avenue, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 19 50

By___

Secretery

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 1462

FORM 2145

	11 11 11 11
	11/1 /c/
Application Received By _/	- J Connect
	City Planning Department
/ //	100 5 19
Investigation made ~ - ~ 3 - 50 By (Allen, Merus Kusta
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Complittee 2- 23. 50 Hear	ring date
Decision (A day and Date	2-23.50
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-2/-50Build	ling Inspector 2 - 27-50
Planning Commission Petitioner	a D Health Department
Asset fit 1 it Cim Clark data	ail Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cour	icii riearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
	inued to
Time limit extended to Date	of action

and the second sec

and the second second water in the second second

and the second second second and the second s

.

,

14.1

Letter received February 17, 1950,

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^{California}, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4100, in the name of George and Virginia Peterson, be granted to Reynolds Winters, purchaser of the South one-half of Lots 17 to 20 and to Eugene P. Carlson, purchaser of the North one-half of Lots 17 to 20, all in Block 13, Roseville Heights, to permit the division of said four lots into two parcels and the construction of a single family residence on each parcel, with a 15 foot setback on Albion St. and a 5 foot setback on John St., on the Easterly corner of Albion and John Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4463

Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	
Λ	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee ~ - ~3-5	Hearing date
Decision Q 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Date 7 - 23 52
Com of Possiution sent to City Clerk row 5	City Planning Department PHearing date Date $\gamma - \gamma $
Copy of Resolution Sche to City Clerk	2 - 27 - 20 Hoalth Department 7 50
Planning Commission 2 Sol etitioner	$C_{\rm reg}$ (1 Hoosing data
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The provide a state of the service o

A STREET STREET

and the second second

and the second second

and the state of the

and the second sec

and the second se

Olas Anna Anna

ALS ON T

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7874</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Evelyn L. Cavenee to erect an office and display room to be used with the existing nursery on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1120, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, 2270 W. Camino del Rio, Zone R-1A, on the following conditions:

- 1. That the existing office be removed from the property;
- That the new office to be placed as shown on the plans on file in the Planning Department Office;
- 3. That off-street parking be provided, as shown on the plans on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 190-FORM 2145 By___

X Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4464

Application Received _____ By -50 City Planning Department Investigation made _ ~ ~ ~ B¢ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 2 - 23 - 50 Hearing date Decision Composition sent to City Clerk 2 - 23 - 50 Hearing date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2 - 27 - 50 Building Inspector 2 - 27 - 7-Planning Commission 2 - 27 - 50 Petitioner 2 - 27 - 50 Health Department Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ______ Council Hearing, date ______ 50 Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to 1103 UB. OF NERS mino A AISTO S

WHEREAS, Application No. 7904 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. O. Fuller to construct an addition to a store and living quarters with 71% coverage on the Westerly 55 feet of Lots 47 to 48, Block 39, Teralta, at 3387 El Cajon Rlvd., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 6, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ February 23 , 1950

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4465

FORM 2145

	() 1 2
the second s	$\gamma \gamma $
Application Received _2 - 17 - 50 By	City Planning Department
	la for a
Investigation made Ry	Alleen, Merus Juston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-23-50	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 2 - 23 - 50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 24-53	Building Inspector $\gamma - \gamma / - 50$
Planning Commission 2- 29- 50 Petitioner	2-77-50 Health Department 2-77-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the state of t

the second s

And a second second

Michaelal, "periodic for the first state while been considered by two from the state of the stat

.....

Cardina and the second

A DE LES CONTRACTOR STATE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7886</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. W. M. Noisat to construct a 2-unit apartment over existing garages, maintaining a 3 foot sideyard and 7.9 foot rear yard for proposed apartments, the garages having a 1.10 foot sideyard and a 7.9 foot rear yard, on Lot 1, Block 1, Washington Heights, at 4141 Ingalls St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 892h, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 1950

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Res. No. 1466

	J/i// D
Application Received By	
Investigation made 7-23-50 By	allew. Tening Dustry
Considered by Zoning Complittee 50	City Planning Department Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-2-50	Date $\gamma - \gamma 3 - 50$ Building Inspector $\gamma - \gamma 7 - 50$ $\gamma - \gamma 7 - 50$ Health Department $\gamma - \gamma 7 - 50$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 5 1 9 1 1 1 2 1 2 1

 WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7864</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert E. Hill, owner, and William Canning, purchaser, to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1286, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, approximately 275 ft. West of Hidden Valley Road, on the 60 ft. easement known as East Roseland Drive, Zone R-1, on the condition that a 20 ft. setback will be observed on said private easement.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>February 23</u>, 1950_ FORM 2145 By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

- . . .

Application Received _____6_ 50 By. City Planning Department llen By erus Investigation made _ ~ ~ ~ - ~ - 50 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee >- > 3 - 50 Hearing date Decision ______ Date ______ Date ______ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>~_____</u> So Building Inspector <u>~_____</u> - <u>~___</u> - <u>~___</u> Planning Commission <u>~____</u> - <u>~__</u> - <u>~__</u> Petitioner <u>~____</u> - <u>~__</u> - <u>~__</u> - <u>~__</u> - <u>~__</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ______ Decision of Council ______ Resolution becomes effective ______ Application with drawn Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action ARDATH A PINES Ro. BEN 0 . D

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7891</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George B. and Rilie C. Nowag to move in a single family residence with a 15 ft. setback, but in no case less than that of the adjoining dwelling, Lot 1 and the North 1/2 of Lot 2, Block 9, Fifth St. Addition, on the Southeast corner of Montecito Way and 3rd Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Zoning Engineer

XXecretary

Res. No. 4468

, y //
Application Received By Dan Hise
City Planning Department
I non I III
Investigation made 2-23-50 By Illen, Merris a custon
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning CommitteeHearing date
Decision $Consider Consider Construction Sent to City Clerk 2-24-50 Building Inspector 2-77-50Planning Commission 2-77-50 Petitioner 2-77-50 Health Department 2-77-50$
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2 - 24 - 5 Building Inspector 7-50
Planning Commission >7-50 Petitioner ~7-50 Health Department ~7-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and the second of the second state and the second state and the second state and the second state of the second

there is the second second to be and the second second

and the set of a second real house as

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7787</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. L. Carpenter to construct a 2h ft. by 26 ft. garage with 1 ft. sideyard and 1 ft. rear yard and with eaves 2 in. from the side and rear property lines on Lot 896 and the North 5 ft. of Lot 899, Talmadge Park Estates, located on the West side of Euclid, approximately 55 ft. North of Madison Avenue, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ February 23 , 150

By___

Secret esy

Res. No. 4469

	MDR
Application Received By	() / 055
- spinoution received	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	allen, Kenne Queston
Considered by Zoning Committee	City Planning Department Hearing date
Decision Oppround Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date
Planning Commission 2-27-53 Petitioner	$\gamma - \gamma 7 - 5$ Health Department $\gamma - \gamma 7 - 50$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date/
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

althur .

.

and the second s

.....

· STREAMER CONTRACTOR STREAMER

. .

COLUMN ST.

WHEREAS, Application No. 7840 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Douglas McKellar to divide Lots 29 through 32, Block 4, Buena Vista Tract, into two building sites with a single family residence on each parcel, being on La Jolla Mesa Dr., 125 ft. Northerly of Van Nuys St., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. No building to be closer than 17-1/2 ft. from the center line of the alley;
- 2. A 25 ft. setback on La Jolla Mesa Dr. to be observed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ February 23 .____ , 130-

By____

Secretary

Res. No. 4470

$\gamma / \gamma / \gamma$		
Application Received By fan Hise		
City Planning Department		
m + 12		
Investigation made By_aller, /energy Liston		
City rianning Department		
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-23.50 Hearing date Decision a. + Modifico Approval Date 2-23-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-24-50 Building Inspector 2-27-50		
Decision me + Modifico Approval Date 2-23-50		
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-> 4-50 Building Inspector 2 - 77-50		
Planning Commission 2 - 2-50 Petitioner 2 - 2/-30 Health Department 2 - 7/-30		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council Date		
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn Continued to		
Time limit extended to Date of action		

and and a second second second and the second second second and the second and the second second second second

,
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7897</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George B. and Vernona Baker to alter and make additions to the rear residence (3 existing units on the lot) with a 14 foot rear yard, on Lots 24 and 25, Block 2, Cullen's Arlington Heights, located at 1940 - 32nd Street, Zone R-2

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 and Ordinance No. 8924, Section ha, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 1950

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4471

Children (1	7/1/10
Application Received _	2-16-50	By C Concell
Investigation made	x - 23 - 53	By llee Terra Ouston
Considered by Zoning		City Planning Department
Decision Opprov	t to City Clerk	Date
Planning Commission	2-27-50 Petition	er 2-77-50 Health Department 7-57
Decision of Council		Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes eff Application withdrawn	ective	Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

and the state of the second state of the secon

ANTI- THE PARTY OF THE STREET CONTECTION OF THE STREET CARDEN STREET CARDEN STREET

And the second state of the second state of the second second second second second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7821</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Second Church of Christ, Scientist, to construct a 17 ft. by 30 ft. Committee Room addition to an existing church building with a 3 ft. 2½ in. sideyard and an 8 ft. rear yard on Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 28, Culverwell and Taggart Addition, located at 1055 - 22nd St., Zone R-4

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 892h, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23, , 150

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

7,110
Application Received <u>2-16-50</u> By <u>7. U. c. Councel</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-23-50</u> By <u>Uller</u> , <u>Access</u> <u>Unito</u> City Planning Department
Considered by-Zoning Committee
Decision $Cle round$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 2 - 3$ Building Inspector $2 - 2 - 4 - 50$ Planning Commission $2 - 2 - 7 - 50$ Petitioner $2 - 2 - 7 - 50$ Health Department $2 - 2 - 7 - 50$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-24-50 Building Inspector 2-4-50
Planning Commission ~ ~ ~ 7-50 Petitioner ~ ~ ~ 7-50 Health Department 2 - ~ 9-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the state of the second

the providence of the providence of the second state of the second state of the gravitation of the second state

RESOLUTION NO. 11

•

The gran which the

LINE LOCK

per - to a store of the set and the back to the back

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to L. E. Allen to convert an existing garage and laundry into an apartment with no rear yard on the West 85 ft. of the Southerly 15 feet of Lot 23 and the West 85 ft. of Lot 24, Block 72, Park Villas, located at 2558 Wightman Street, Zone R-4

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 1950

FORM 2145

By _____

X Secretary

Zoning Engineer

	7/1/0
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $$	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Occurs Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-24-57$	Date
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-24-52	Building Inspector y - y 7- 50
Planning Commission - 7-50 Petitioner	
Appeal filed with City Clerk date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Provide the set should be build be

• •

AN ALBERT AND AT-

.

apping the lot of the set was he was all

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7882</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. W. Johnson to build a third living unit, one to be served by a 4 ft. access court, on Lots 43 and 44, Block 175, San Diego Land and Town Subdivision, 2121 Kearney Ave., Zone R-4, on the condition that three off-street parking spaces be provided, leaving the access court and driveways unobstructed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 130

By_

X Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4474

	\sim / i	
Application Received By_	City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>~~~3-50</u> By	<u>City Planning Department</u>	
Considered by Zoning Committee 3 - 50 H	Iearing date	
Decision Cons. expressed, D	late ~ - ~ 3 - 50	
Copy of Resolution sent/to City Clerk 2-24-53 Building Inspector 2-27-53		
Planning Commission 7 - 50 Petitioner -	-7-5 Health Department $-7-50$	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council I	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
	Continued to	
Time limit extended to I	Date of action	

The second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7907</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Julian Hashem to split out from Lot 52, Las Alturas Villa Sites, a parcel of land $52\frac{1}{2}$ ft. by 160 ft. (see legal description on file in Planning Department Office) and construct a single family residence thereon, said parcel located on the south side of Olvera Avenue approximately 110 ft. east of 58th Street in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 190

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 1475

	-11/1/10/01
Application Received B	"I'd I' and
ripplication received	City Planning/Department
	1/2 F R
Investigation made B	(llen. Lens 2) Curton
Investigation made and	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Dision (PA + i min +	Date - 23 cm
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 2 - 77-57
Planning Commission 2 - 7-50 Petitioner	2 - 77-50 Health Department 2 - 77-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a start of the the test of the second start of the second start of the second start of the second start of the

and a set of the set o

the set of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7790</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. E. Boudreaux, owner, and R. E. Christy, lessee, to construct a storage shed for subcontractor for the Steel Sash Co., for the storage of steel sash, hardware, screens and lumber used for installation, also the assembly of sah on special jobs, on Lot 18, Block 2, Alhambra Park, 5220 El Cajon Blvd., Zone C, on the following conditions:

- 1. All storage materials, supplies and equipment to be stored within a building at all times;
- 2. The total horsepower available on the property for fixed and portable equipment be limited to 5 HP;
- 3. The appearance of the building to be acceptable to the Planning Department from an architectural standpoint;
- 4. This permit to expire with the present lease, or be not more than five years from the effective date of this Resolution, whichever period is the shorter.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as

they relate to the property described above. Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ February 23 ... , 190-FORM 2145 By____

X Secretary

Res. No. 4476

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By By City Planning Department
1 nn + 19
Investigation made By ller, ferres durton
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date
Decision Cong. approval Date 3-50
Decision Comp. approac Date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

that the second second

an of the second of the second of the second second to be a second to be a second to be a second to be a second

see 4611

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 2024, as amended): 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property

RESOLUTION NO. 4477

TH'S IS NOT A BUILDING PERMI

That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property imported, for to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same grade vicentry.
That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and ited the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gharles M. and Grace M. Fitzsimons to divide a portion of Lot 15 and portions of 54th St. and Collier Ave. closed, as shown on City Engineer's Drawing No. 3865-8, into two building sites and build one residence on each, being in Redland two building sites and build one residence on each, being in Redland two building sites and build one residence on each, being in Redland two building sites and build one residence on each, being in Redland two building sites and build one residence on each, being in Redland two building sites and build one residence on each, being in Redland the Southerly parcel being approximately 80 ft. by 90 ft., and the the Southerly parcel being the balance of the lot, averaging 53 ft. in Northerly parcel being the balance of the lot, as shown on the map width and being approximately 184 ft. in length, as shown on the map on file in the Flanning Department Office.

The following conditions to be observed:

1. Subject to the final closing by the City of 54th St. and Collier

2. Provided that a 15 ft. setback is observed on 54th St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_50

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Hes. No. 4477

By Application Received _ City Planning Department <u>By</u> 9-5-49 Investigation made ____ low City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 2-23-50 Hearing date Decision Common Approved Date 2-73 Date - - - 3 - 50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-27-5^{\circ}$ Building Inspector $2-27-5^{\circ}$ Planning Commission $2-77-5^{\circ}$ Petitioner $2-77-5^{\circ}$ Health Department Planning Commission >- 77-53 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date . Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action DE LLIER AVE 0 ST. OPENING VAC ic R Court

WHEREAS, Application No. 7861 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are_ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and willnot be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jacob D. and Isabel K. Mullis to use a portion of an existing garage as a dark room for photo finish-ing, part-time only, on Lot 1, Block 10, North Shore Highlands, 1175 Beryl St., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- A maximum of 10 hours per week; 1.
- No signs to be posted on the premises; 2.
- 3. No employees;
- No advertising of the address; 4.
- This permit to expire on June 30, 1952. 5.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate the provision described above. they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 190 FORM 2145

By__

XROCKOLKEX

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4478

,]]] / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
Application Received 2-15-50 By City Playing Department
F K / R
Investigation made v-v3-50 By aller Jerus Juston
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date
Decision Cong. Approved Date
Copy of Resolution sept to City Clerk 3-14-30 Building Inspector 3-14-50
Planning Commission 3-14-50 Petitioner 3-14-50 Health Department 3-14-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the of the state of the state of the she she scontake second of the

the first sector of the sector

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7930</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to V. and E. Johnson to construct a 26-1/2 ft. by 12-1/2 ft. addition to an existing residence with no sideyard, the addition to conform to the existing regulations, Lots 329 and 330, Talmadge Park Unit No. 2, 4886 Hart Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 1</u>, 1950

Ву____

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4479

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7924</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Collwood Construction Company to excavate 8,000 cubic yards of clay and soil with a maximum of 8 ft. cut to within 4 ft. of the rear property line on a 1 to 1 cut slope, on Lots 185 to 194, inclusive, Collwood Unit No. 1, located in the 4700 block on Baylor Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

XOCOCCEPTARY

Res. No. 4480

Zoning Engineer

1/10/1	
Application Received By City Planning Department	
Investigation made	
Considered by Zoning Committee 2 - 23 - 50 Hearing date	
Decision Approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u></u>	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-24-50 Building Inspector 2-27-50	
Planning Commission > 7 - 50 Petitioner > 7 - 50 Health Department > 7 - 50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

the second of the second s

A STATE OF STATE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7902-B</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Collwood Construction Co. to construct a garage with a 5 foot setback and a residence over the garage with a 15 foot setback on Lot 186, Collwood Unit No. 1, located on Baylor Drive north of Collier Avenue, Zone R-1

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____February 23 , 19_50

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received B	. 7. W/ councell
	And H R
Investigation made B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 23-50	Hearing date
Dision (11)	Date 51
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-24-5	Building Inspector $\chi - \chi 7 - 50$ $\sim - \chi 7 - 50$ Health Department $\chi - \chi 7 - 50$ Council Hearing data
Planning Commission 2 - 2 / - 50 Petitioner	$\sim - \sim 7 - \sim$ Health Department $\sim - \sim 7 - \sim \sim$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second of the

LIC ... LICE THE DECISION STRATE OF THE COMPLETE STREET, DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

Cases the

The same the set

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7902-C</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Collwood Construction Co. to construct a garage with a 5 foot setback and a residence over the garage with a 15 foot setback on Lot 187, Collwood Unit No. 1, located on Baylor Drive north of Collier Avenue, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 19 50

By___

Secondery

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

	7/1/1 min
Application Received By	T.W. Could
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Allen, terus furton
2	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Ho	earing date
Decision Upprova Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-2/-50</u> Bu Planning Commission <u>2-27-50</u> Petitioner <u>2</u>	ate ~ - ~ 3-50
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-21-50Bi	ilding Inspector <u>~ ~ ~ / - 50</u>
Planning Commission 2-27-50 Petitioner -	- ~ 1-50 Health Department ~ - ~ 7- 50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ounc'll Hearing, date/
Decision of Council Da	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

San a state of the service of the service of the service of

and the second second

THE , SOLA THE PLAN AND THE APPL SHAPPED AND THE ADD STATES OF THE STATES OF THE PARTY

the Carnet Son Line California and the stants monthly has here and son a

and services and the advertise of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7902-D</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Collwood Construction Co. to construct a garage with a 5 foot setback and a residence over the garage with a 15 foot setback on Lot 188, Collwood Unit No. 1, located on Baylor Drive north of Collier Avenue. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ February 23 ____, 19 ____ FORM 2145 By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Pag

Res. No. 4483

	7/IN/ Min
	-/.//
Application Received $2 - 17 - 50$ B	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	allen. Terus Durton
	City Planning Departmen
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision (peronal	Date ~ - ~ 3 - 50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-\frac{1}{2}$ -3 Planning Commission $2-\frac{1}{2}$ -50 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>2-2/-50</u>
Planning Commission ~-~7-50 Petitioner	$\gamma - \gamma - 50$ Health Department $\gamma - \gamma - 50$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

en al el presente de la presente de

propriet was a second for the second of the second second second second second second second second second second

provide a start of the start of the The Start at the start and

and a state of the state of

WHEREAS, Application No. 7902-E has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Collwood Construction Co. to construct a garage with a 5 foot setback and a residence over the garage with a 15 foot setback on Lot 189, Collwood Unit No. 1, located on Baylor Drive north of Collier Avenue, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____February 23 , 1950

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 1484

711710
pplication Received By City Planning Department
vestigation made By Cllew, lerus and Question City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Complettee 2-23-50 Hearing date
ecision (labored Date 7-73-50
ony of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u> + - 5</u> Building Inspector <u> 5</u>
anning Commission ~ - ~ 7 - 5° Petitioner ~ - ~ 7 - 5° Health Department ~ - ~ / - 5°
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

and the second second

THE ARE THE THE THE REPORT OF THE CONTRACT OF A REPORT OF A

and the second and the second shared and

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7902-F</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Collwood Construction Co. to construct a garage with a 5 foot setback and a residence over the garage with a 15 foot setback on Lot 190, Collwood Unit No. 1, located on Baylor Drive north of Collier Avenue, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ February 23 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

KOCKCCK)

Res. No. 4485

7/11/0 11
application Received By City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>2-23-50</u> By <u>Uller</u> <u>Kense</u> <u>Durton</u> City Planning Department
considered by Zoning Committee
Decision Upper Date $2 - 23 - 50$ Dopy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 27 - 50$ Building Inspector $2 - 27 - 50$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Building Inspector Health Department $\sim - \gamma / - 50$
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
oplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

a manufactor of an and the second of the

A REAL PROPERTY AND THE THE REAL PROPERTY COMPARISON OF THE OFFICE AND STATISTICS OF THE STATISTICS OF

ALCONT STORE

and the second state of the second state of the second state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7902-G</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Collwood Construction Co. to construct a garage with a 5 foot setback and a residence over the garage with a 15 foot setback on Lot 191, Collwood Unit No. 1, located on Baylor Drive north of Collier Avenue, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23 , 1950

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 1486

	.110
	$\nabla (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 $
Application Received By	7. W coult
	City Planning Department
Investigation made > 3 - 50 By	Allen. Terus and Die ston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-23-57 H	earing date
Decision (lpproval , Da	ate ~ - ~3-50
Decision (phroma Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-24-50 Bi	uilding Inspector <u>~~~7-50</u>
Planning Commission > 7 - 50 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	- 77-50 Health Department ~ - 77-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 7902-H has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Collwood Construction Co. to construct a garage with a 5 foot setback and a residence over the garage with a 15 foot setback on Lot 192, Collwood Unit No. 1, located on Baylor Drive north of Collier Avenue. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 23

By___

Zoning Engineer

, 150

X Secretary

Res. No. 4487

	- 1/1 7
	7/1/1/0 22
Application Received By	
	City Planning Department
	1 nn to 12
Investigation made B	Allen, rerus of Juston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-23-50	Hearing date
Decision Ukkraua Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date 2 - 23 - 50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector <u>~-~/-30</u>
Planning Commission ~- ~ 7- 50 Petitioner	-7-37 Health Department $-77-57$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

state and the second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7674</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to California Delta Alumni of Sigma Phi Epsilon, Inc., Ltd., to construct a fraternity house, Lot 8, Block 17, College Park Unit No. 3, Hardy Ave., Zone R-1, on the condition that the fraternity sign tract restrictions which are mutually acceptable to both the subdivider, Robley E. Veall and Mariliz M. Veall, and the fraternity.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1314, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated March 8 , 1950

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By By City Planking Départment
Investigation made <u>2-23-50</u> By <u>Illen, Acane</u> <u>City Planning Department</u>
a ilend by Zoning Committee and A Hearing date 2 9
Considered by Zohning Committee $\frac{y-y-3-3}{2}$ Date $\frac{3}{2}$
Competences in the City Clerk 3-7-57 Building Inspector 3- (9-57
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>v - v 3 - 5</u> Hearing date <u>3 - 8 - 50</u> Decision <u>and</u> Date <u>3 - 8 - 50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3 - 9 - 50</u> Building Inspector <u>3 - 10 - 50</u> Planning Commission <u>3 - 10 - 50</u> Petitioner <u>3 - 10 - 50</u> Health Department <u>3 - 10 - 50</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Planning Commission 3-10-50 Petitioner 3-10-50 Health Department 3-10-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

· per provinción de

,
DUPLICATE

RESOLUTION NO. 4489

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7928</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Dr. William Howell to construct a doctors' office building with no setback, on the South 1/2 of Lot E and all of Lot F of Block 330, Horton's Addition, on the Northwest corner of Palm St. and 4th Ave., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated March 8, 150

By_

Section ary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4489

	1////
Application Received By	V
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Illey, Jancaster Durto
Λ	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-50 H	learing date
Decision Chicia D	ate 3-8-50
Decision Q	uilding Inspector 3-10-50
Planning Commission 3-10-53 Petitioner	Health Department 3-10-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Jounch Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	ontinued to
Time limit extended to I	Date of action

A will entite the stance to the reprint of the repr

Persistion is hereby doming to br. Allied rowall to accelerate a rowborg of lice building with no sectoral, on the Source 1/2 of the B guil fit pelion of mices 330, Horbon to Aldition, on the testion of B converse

an at the second of the second the second second

to they relate to the property Monorlast shows.

no sent to hereight hender on

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7912</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. L. Cavender to construct a fence along the lot line, to be a combination of solid board, concrete block and glass, 6 ft. high, on top of an existing retaining wall, making a maximum height of 7 to 9 ft., Lot 26, Block 2, Overlook Heights, 5145 Hilda Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 190_

By___

Zoning Engineer

XXCCCCCC

Res. No. 4490

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made 3-8-50 B6	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-8-50	Hearing date
Decision Uppersonal Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-9-50	Date 3-8-50 Puilding Inspector 3-60-50
Diamping Commission 3 - (2 - 57 Petitioner	3 - 10 - 50 Health Department 3 - 10 - 50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Recolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

DETERMINE SE DE ERSOLARDE SE LEURSWARD SOURTEREETE

- THE ST STATE AND A STATE TO STATE STORES

WHEREAS, Application No. 7903 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clarence J. and Olive M. Blaha to raise and sell carnations from the residence, part-time, to have one sign 6 in. by 3 ft., on the West 43 ft. of the East 86 ft. of Lots 5 through 7, Block 2, Emerson Heights, 3660 Newton Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 190

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4491

- 0 / 1	
Application Received 2-21-50 By A Jouth	
City Planning Department	
(1 1 1	A
Investigation made 3-8-50 By Ullen Ancaster	1.7 -
Investigation made City Planning Department	freno
City I laming Department	/
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-50 Hearing date	
Decision Approved Date 3-8-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-9-50 Planning Commission 3-10-50 Petitioner 3-10-50 Health Department 3-10-50	
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-9-50 Building Inspector 3-10-50	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
Diming Commission 3-10 - S Petitioner 3-10- S Health Department 3-10-	50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Treating, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

BY LE BET IN A RESOLVED BY CHAITOCHIE COPALLER OF LINE LITE FILM OF BEACH

The Existence of the second of the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ruth B. Stephens to build an apartment over garages, with a 10 ft. rear yard and 4-1/2 ft. between living units, on Lot "O", Block 16, Mission Beach, 814 San Luis Rey Pl., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 8 _____, 1950

-

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	1 four tice
	City Planning Department
1	
Investigation made 3-8-50 By	Men, Laucaster Schurt
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-50	Hearing date
Decision (bbeau a)	Date 3-8-50
Copy of Regolution sent to City Clerk 3-9-5	Building Inspector 3-19-50
Planning Commission 3-10-5 Petitioner	3 - 10-50 Health Department 3-10-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

the set test his territed of the state of the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7929</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Elina Sahol Irwin, to construct two living units on the North 50 ft. of Lots 11 and 12, Block 1, La Mesa Townsite, and 10 ft. of Saranac St. closed, making a total of 4 living units on the original lots, according to the plans submitted, on the Southwest corner of Saranac St. and 68th St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 190

XSecretary

Zoning Engineer

and the second	
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made 2 - 6 - 170 By	(1) (3)
Investigation made <u>3-8-5</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Confinittee 3-8-50	Hearing date
Decision (lb h	Date 3 - 8-50
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-9-50	Building Inspector <u>3 - 10 - 5 6</u>
Planning Commission 3-10-50 Petitioner	3-10-50 Health Department 3-10-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

here a provide the state of the

THE PARTY OF A THE REPORTANCE OF THE POINTED OF METHOD OF THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE

\$

- 1 /

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7914</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert A. and Ivy K. Mergens, to split out 100 ft. by 150 ft. parcel and erect a single family residence, being portions of Pueblo Lots 1280 and 1281, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department, on the East side of La Jolla Shores Dr., 35 ft. North of the center line of Vallecitos Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 8</u>, 190_

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4494

and show a faith the state of the	P9R
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-50	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-9-50	Date 3-8-50 Building Inspector 3-10-50 3-10-50 Health Department 3-10-50
Planning Commission 3 - 6 - 5 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

LAYA

7 80

Assessor's

00 10

FIVENIDA

d

HORES

KJJOX

0 0

781

Ap # > 7

25 xotes

,

DALLEC!

Assessors

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7923</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph Cory to construct a 22 ft. addition to an existing building, making an over-all length of approximately 33 ft., with a 3 ft. sideyard, on Lots 16 through 18, Block 193, University Heights, 3935-1/2 Centre St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 190_

By____

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

where the stand of the second stands and the second stands	
Application Received By	lan tice
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-1-50</u> By	Allen Lancaster Dur
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-50	Hearing date
Decision Ckeconal	Date 3 - 8 - 50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-9-50	Building Inspector 3-10-50
Planning Commission 3 - 10 - 50 Petitioner	Date 3-8-50 Building Inspector 3-10-50 3-10-50 Health Department 3-10-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

THE DEPENDENCE OF A DEPENDENCE OF CONTRACTOR AND A DEPENDENCE OF A DEPENDENCE OF A DEPENDENCE OF A DEPENDENCE OF

ne contraction and the contraction of the entrance being being being the second the second the

WHEREAS, Application No. 7880 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. P. Becker to erect a garage partially within a 6 ft. bank, with a 6 ft. setback and no sideyard on the Easterly lot line, on the condition that a suitable handrail is constructed around the top of the garage, Lot 24, Block A, Las Lomas, 3622 Zola St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 190_

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4496

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-8-5-0</u> By	<u>Illen Laucaster</u> Juit
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-50	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date $3-1-50$ Building Inspector $3-10-50$ 3-10-50 Health Department $3-10-50$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	3-10-50 Health Department 3-10-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	X
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The base of the second second

the state in the second state of the state of the state of the second state of the sec

tener en om er måler det det det skreter i det besker i det besker det beskereter i beskereter i beskereter beskereter i det skreter beskereter besk Det skreter beskereter beskereter beskereter beskereter beskereter beskereter beskereter beskereter beskereter WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7938</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harvey L. Cockerill to erect a duplex in the rear, a single family residence existing in front, with 3 ft. access courts, Lot 24, Block 43, Normal Heights, 4634 Cherokee St., Zone R-4, on the condition that a surfaced parking area is provided for each living unit.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 190_

FORM 2145

By____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

γ / γ
Application Received By fact Hise City Planning Department
(110) - 12 -
Investigation made By <u>Uller</u> , <u>Accepter</u> Vertor City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-8-53</u> Hearing date Decision Congregation Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-9-53</u> Building Inspector <u>3-10-53</u> Planning Commission <u>3-10-53</u> Petitioner <u>3-10-53</u> Health Department <u>3-10-50</u>
Decision Cong. approval Date 3-8-30
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-7-30</u> Dunding Inspector <u>3-70-30</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and the second of the second of the second second

The succession of the second of the succession of the

WHEREAS, Application No. _7906 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elizabeth Ferguson to divide into two building sites as shown on the plot plan on file in the Planning Department Office, Lots 15 and 16, Block 42, La Jolla Park, on the Westerly corner of the intersection at Torrey Pines Road and Exchange Pl., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- The inside lot to observe a minimum of 15 ft. setback; 1.
- The corner lot to observe a 10 ft. setback on Exchange Pl.; The regular City Ordinance to be observed on Torrey Pines Rd. 2. 3.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 1950_ Dated March 8

By

xSecretery

Res. No. 4498

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

1272
Application Received 3-1-50 By City Planning Department
Investigation made 3-8-50 By Cleur ancaster Lite
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-9-50 Hearing date Decision Appendia Date 3-8-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-9-50 Building Inspector 3-10-50 Planning Commission 3-10-50 Petitioner 3-10-50 Health Department 3-10-50
Decision appeared Date 3-8-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-9-53 Building Inspector 3-10-50
Planning Commission 3-10-50 Petitioner 3-10-50 Health Department 3-10-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7910</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold C. and Dorothy L. Major to erect a garage (built partially in the bank) with no setback, Lot 4 and the Easterly 19.9 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block A, Resubdivision of a portion of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, 322 Bird Rock Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 1950

By____

Regretery

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By By City Planning Department
Investigation made 3-8-50 By Allen, Laucaster Durto
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-30 Hearing date
Decision (p_{p_1, \dots, p_n}) Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-9-50$ Building Inspector $3-70-50$ Planning Commission $3-70-50$ Petitioner $3-70-50$ Health Department $3-70-50$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date Date
Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

11.1

and the second of the state of the state of the state of the second state of the secon

Hicky Lines Hiller

WHEREAS, Application No. 7856 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. Tudor Scripps, Jr. and Virginia Scripps, to divide into two parcels, 90 ft. by 150 ft. each, and construct a single family residence on each, being the Easterly 90 ft. of Block L, La Jolla Park Villa Tract, at Soledad Ave. and Primrose Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4500

p_{1}
Application Received <u>3-2-50</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>
Investigation made 3-8-5-0 By Allen City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-8-55$ Hearing date Decision lp from $3-8-55$ Date $3-8-55$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-9-55$ Building Inspector $3-co-55$ Planning Commission $3-co-55$ Petitioner $3-co-55$ Health Department $3-co-55$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

, (1)

Standing Mr. C.

End of book.



City of San Diego