

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7893</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen N. Brown, purchaser, and C. B. Alger, owner, to construct two 4-unit apartment buildings, to have a 5 ft. setback, but in no case to be less than the average setback of the two adjoining buildings, on Lots 33 through 36, Block 13, La Jolla Strand, on the North side of Palomar Ave., 175 ft. West of La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8

By_

, 1950

Secretary

	ORP
Application Received 3-1-5-0 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made _3-8 - 5-3 By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 3-8-50 Building Inspector <u>3-10-50</u> Book - 50 Health Department 3-10-50 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The second of a second of the second second and an end of and

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7934</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth E. and Gwendolyn M. Stratton to erect a single family residence on the East 60 ft. of Lot 8 and the East 60 ft. of Lot 9 (except the South 10 ft. thereof), a portion of two residences now existing on Lot 9, Block 31, Lexington Park, 4005 Pepper Dr., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 1950

FORM 2145

By___

xxectety

	000
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-8-5</u> By	Allen, Lancaster of Denton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-8-57$ Decision <i>epsilon</i> Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-9-57$	Hearing date Date $3-8-50$ Building Inspector $3-10-50$ 3-10-50 Health Department $3-10-50$
Planning Commission 3 - 10 - 50 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	3-10-50 Health Department 3-10-50 Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 7922 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. B. and Sarah R. Beck to operate a part-time Fixit and Gabinet Shop for a maximum of 15 hours per week, to have no signs, a maximum of 3 horsepower, all storage and equipment to be within the building, between 8:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M., and all work within the building, Lots 1 and 2, Block 409, Helphingstine Addition, 3791 Newton Ave., Zone R-4.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 1950

By_

X Secretary

FORM 2145

and the second states of the	V///
Application Received By	City Planning/Department
Investigation made <u>3-8-57</u> Bg	<u>Allen, Aqueaster</u> Quit
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-8-50$ Decision $3-8-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-9-50$ Planning Commission $3-10-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 3-8-50 Building Inspector <u>3-10-50</u> 3-10-50 Health Department <u>3-10-50</u> Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

TRUE FOR A FIRE TO ANY A REAL OF THE SECOND REAL PROPERTY AND A R

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7919</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles F. McCurdy, owner, and Joseph Avoyer, purchaser, to divide and build a single-story residence on the Easterly 50 ft. of the Southerly 110 ft. of Lot 1, Block 156, La Playa, on Owen St., East of Rosecrans St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 8</u>, 1950 FORM 2145 By___

Secretary

D9R
Application Received By By
City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-8-50</u> By <u>Illeur aucaster</u> Durton
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-50 Decision approved Date 3-8-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-9-50 Planning Commission 3-10-50 Petitioner 3-10-50 Hearing date Date 3-8-570 Building Inspector 3-10-50 Health Department 3-10-50
Considered by Zonnig Committee 3-8-30 Intering date
Decision approved Date 3-8-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-9-50 Building Inspector 3-10-50
Planning Commission 3 - 10 - 50 Petitioner 3 - 10 - 50 Health Department 3 - 10 - 50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

The second and the second and the second sec

where the set of the s

and the second production of the second designed and the second second second second second second second second

Complete and the second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7946</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. C. Mueller to construct a retaining concrete wall, ranging from 2 ft. to 9 ft. in height, along the Southerly lot line, Lot 10, Block 11, Valencia Park Unit No. 1, 419 Los Angeles Pl., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 190

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By___

Application Received 3-2-50 By law the
City Planning Department
Investigation made _ 3.8 - 50 By Allen Sancaster Dur
Investigation made <u>3-8-50</u> By <u>Alex Aucostury</u> Ult
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-5- Hearing date
Decision Date 3-8-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-9-50</u> Building Inspector <u>3-10-50</u> Planning Commission <u>3-10-50</u> Petitioner <u>3-10-50</u> Health Department <u>3-10-50</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-9-52 Building Inspector 3-10-53
Planning Commission 3 - 10 - 50 Petitioner 3 - 10 - 50 Health Department 3 - 10 - 50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
appear med with only state
Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

that is not the set of a strategy for the set when the set of the

and an another the second second and the second of the second of the second second and the second second second

Loss and the second stand of the second standard and the second standard and the second standard standard stand

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7908</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>**not**</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>**not**</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to R. E. Thomas to construct a garage with no sideyard, approximately 50 ft. from the front property line, on Lots 43 and 44, Block 301, Garland Addition, 3833 Acacia St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7932</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R.E. Senn to build a single family residence on each of two 96 ft. by 100 ft. parcels, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1297, on La Jolla Shores Dr., 196 ft. North of Camino del Oro, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 1950

ву____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4507

estigation made <u>3-8-5</u>	By <u>Illeer</u> City Planning Department By <u>Illeer</u> City Planning Department
isidered by Zoning Committee ision by of Resolution sent to City Clerk nning Commission 3-/0-50 H peal filed with City Clerk, date ision of Council olution becomes effective	P - g - 50 Hearing date Date $3 - g - 50$ $3 - g - 50$ Building Inspector $3 - 10 - 50$ Health Department $3 - 10 -$
olution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action
toxes ti	
0110	S CAMINO DEL (RO
	Assessors

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7939</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Douglas McKellar to divide into two building sites and construct a single family residence on each parcel, Lots 1 through 4, Block 4, Buena Vista Tract, on La Jolla Mesa Dr. at Van Nuys St., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

1. A 25 ft. setback to be observed on La Jolla Mesa Dr.; 2. The regular City Ordinance to be observed on Van Nuys St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 1950

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

	- 00
the second s	P/19
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-23-50</u> Byl	City Planning Department
C 11 11 Zaning Committee of A De H	
Considered by Zoning Committee 13-8-50 H. Decision approval De	earing date
Decision Cond approval Da	ate 3-8-50
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 - 10 - 3 Bi	ailding Inspector <u>3-10-50</u>
Planning Commission 3-10-5- Petitioner 3-	10-50 Health Department 3-10-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-10-3 Bi Planning Commission 3-10-5 Petitioner 3 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
An liestion withdrawn	ontinued to
	ate of action
lime limit extended toD	

and the second state of the second second

RESOLUTION NO. 4509 - See 4594 \$ 5013 \$ 5409

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7933</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. N. McBride to construct a single family residence on a portion of Lot 2, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, with a 15 ft. setback to be observed on Conde St. and Pine St., Block 497, Old San Diego, on the Southerly corner of the intersection, Zone R-1, on the following condition:

That the owners of Lot 1 and portion of Lot 2 adjoining, A. J. and Estella W. Sutherland, sign an Agreement to the effect that said Lot 1 and portion of Lot 2 will always be held in one ownership and will never be sold separately. $A \subseteq G_{2} \in A/A$

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _________, 130______, 130______

FORM 2145

By____

X R SCROLLY

Application Received ______ By City Planning Department lle By Investigation made 3-8-50 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-5 Hearing date Decision Courd - appendia Date 3-8-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-9-57</u> Building Inspector <u>3-10-50</u> Planning Commission <u>3-10-50</u> Petitioner <u>3-10-50</u> Health Department <u>3-10-50</u> 0 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action NOC 58 1 3

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7947</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. P. Lodge to erect a retaining wall 8 ft. high, to a maximum of 20 ft. in length at the rear of the lot, being the Westerly 59.5 ft. of the Northerly 90 ft. of the unsubdivided portion of Pueblo Lot 178 adjacent to Armada Terrace and Armada Pl., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 1950

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4510

Application Received _ By 3 -0 City Planning Department lleus Investigation made _____ 3 -8 -By 50 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-50 Hearing date Decision Approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-9-50</u> Building Inspector <u>3-10-50</u> Planning Commission <u>3-10-50</u> Petitioner <u>3-10-50</u> Health Department <u>3-10</u> Date 3 - 8 - 50 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council _____ Resolution becomes effective Date Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action ARMADO RRM 2 T

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7920</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kathryn C. and Walter C. Langerman to build a garage with 1 ft. sideyard and 3 ft. rear yard, not within the rear 30% of the lot, being the Southerly 45 ft. of Lots 9 and 10, Resubdivision of Block 68, Arnold and Choates' Subdivision, 3955 Falcon St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

Said garage to have stucco exterior;
 The existing garage to be removed from the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 8</u>, 190_

By_

XXXXXXXXX

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-8-5-3</u> By	allen, Lancaster + Surton
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3.8-50</u> Decision <u>3.66</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-9-50</u> Planning Commission <u>3-10-50</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date $3 - 8 - 50$ Building Inspector $3 - 10 - 50$ 3 - 10 - 50 Health Department $3 - 10 - 50Council Hearing, dateDate$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

1 C.

and the second bear and the second second street and the second second second second second second second second

and the second second

and a second state of the second state of the

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7943</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ivan E. Bramlett to construct a third living unit on the lot with 3 ft. access to the street, being Lot 33, Block 223, Pacific Beach, next door to 1026 Hornblend St., Zone R-4, on the condition that a surfaced parking area for each living unit be provided on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 8</u>, 1950

By_

Secretery

Res. No. 4512

$\gamma / \gamma /$
Application Received 3-3-50 By/au file City Planping Department
nvestigation made <u>3-8-50</u> By <u>Illen Acucaster</u> buter
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-8-50</u> Hearing date Decision Date 3-8-50 Date 3-8-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-9-50</u> Building Inspector <u>3-60-50</u>
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-9-5 a Building Inspector 3-10-50
lanning Commission 3-10-5 Petitioner 3-10-50 Health Department 3-10-50
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7926</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Luella Koons to erect a garage with no sideyard on Lot 1, Block J, Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, 5648 Dolphin Pl., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 8 , 1950

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4513

$' \rightarrow / $
Application Received <u>3-3-50</u> By <u>urton</u>
City Planning Department
$\left(\rho \rho \rho \right) = \left(\rho \rho \rho \right) \left(\rho \rho \rho \rho \right)$
Investigation made B-50 By Cleudaucaster Dento
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-30 Hearing date
Decision Change Date 3 - 8-50
Decision Ceppeoural Date 3 - 8 - 50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-9-52</u> Building Inspector <u>3-10-50</u>
Planning Commission 3 -10 - 50 Petitioner 3-10-50 Health Department 3-10-50
1 anning Commission 3 -78 - 3-6 I cuttoner 3-78 - 3 6 I cuttoner 3-78 - 3 6 I cuttoner 3-78 - 3 6 I cuttoner 3 - 78 - 3 6 I cu
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Fime limit extended to Date of action

and a second second

1

1.9 :

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7859</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James Mazzara to build an 8-unit apartment and 6 garages with a 15 ft. setback on a portion of Lots 42 through 48, a portion of Lot 3 in Pueblo Lot G, and a portion of an unnamed road, closed, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, Block 64, University Heights, on the Southwest corner of Monroe Ave. and Ohio St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 8 , 190_

FORM 2145

By

XSecretary

Application Received 2-2 -0 By City Planning Department lee Investigation made _ 012 73-City Planning Department ~3-5 Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-50 Hearing date Date 3-8-50 Decision appround Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-13-50 Building Inspector 3-13-50 Planning Commission 3-13-50 Petitioner 3-13-50 Health Department 3-13-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Fime limit extended to Continued to Date of action ONROE ify (1) MEDDE

RESOLUTION NO. 4515

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7707</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>**not**</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>necessary</u> for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby denied to Henry B. and Susan T. Cramer to divide into two parcels and build a single family residence on each, being 85 ft. wide and 90 ft. wide respectively, and 150 deep, facing San Fernando St., and being Lot 4 and 25 ft. of Lawrence St. closed, Block 131, La Playa, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

see 97378. Followin

Dated March 8 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

	1
	1,00
	1/19
	~ / /)
Application Received By	Cluston
	City Planning Department
	in t 12
I i i I I I I I I I I I	lla la and la tan
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
7-8-50	
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-5- Hear	ing date 2 - 23 - 50
	3-8-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-9-57 Build	ing Inspector <u>3 - 10 - 50</u>
Planning Commission 3 - 10 - 50 Petitioner 3 -	Health Department 3 - 10 - 5-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cour	cil Hearing, date
Decision of Council	en meaning, dute
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Cont	inued to
Time limit extended to Date	of action

.

11. 2

RESOLUTION NO.

97378

See Res # 4515 precising

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Menry B. Cramer and Susan T. Gramer, 3207 MoGall Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee, in denying by its Resolution No. 4515, application 7707, for zone variance to Ordinance No. 32 New Sories for division of Lot 4 and 25 feet of Lawrence Street closed in Block 131 La Flaya (Zone R-1), into two parcels and to build a single-family residence on each of said parcels, being 85 feet wide and 90 feet wide, 150 feet deep, facing San Fernando Street, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

	FRED W.	STCK City Clerk.	
Ву	HELEN M.	WILLIG	
-,		Deputy.	

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Thet the appeal of MoGell Street, from 4 ing by its Resolution to Ordinance Mo. 32 1 Lawrence Street close parcels and to build cels, being 85 feet v San Fernando Street,

Page is intentionally left blank.

97378



City of San Diego

remer, 3207 ttee, in denyr zone variance and 25 feet of -1), into two deep, facing and said zoning

lee Kes: J- 4515 precide

.belurrevo ydered al noisteeb estimmod

	FRED. W. STOK	
ty Clerk.	Ci	
	HELEN M. WILLIG	By
Deputy.		

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7746</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lugenia B. Niles to divide into three building sites, being Lot 148, University Heights, at the South end of Rhode Island St., bounded by Massachusetts, Oklahoma and Buchanan Sts., Zone R-1, on the condition that each site be served by an easement not less than 20 ft. in width, from a public street, and each site to be not less than 75 ft. in width.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 190, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 8 _____, 1950_

FORM 2145

By____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4516

$\int c \int c \int d$
pplication Received By City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>3-8-50</u> By <u>llee, and ter</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-R-50</u> Hearing date Decision Date 3-R-50 Date 3-R-50 Dopy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-9-50</u> Building Inspector <u>3-10-50</u> Planning Commission 3-10-50 Petitioner <u>3-10-50</u> Health Department <u>3-10-50</u>
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 - 9-37 Building Inspector 3-10-57
Planning Commission 3-10-55 Petitioner 3-10-57 Health Department 3-10-50
Decision of Council Date
Pesolution becomes effective Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7989</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the San Diego Unified School District to construct an addition 100 ft. by 70 ft. in size, to an existing school building, said addition to have a 15 ft. setback, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1784, and lying North of Tourmaline St., East of Everts St., and West of Fanuel St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 15, 190

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145
Application Received <u>3-13-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	V
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3 - 15 - 50$ Hearing Decision 25 Date 3 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 17 - 50$ Building Planning Commission $3 - 17 - 50$ Petitioner $3 - 50 - 50$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council	date
Decision Approximate Date 3	-15-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-17-5 Building	Inspector <u>3-17-50</u>
Planning Commission 3-17-50 Petitioner 3-20-5	⁷ Health Department 3-17-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk/date Council	Hearing, date /
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continue	
Time limit extended to Date of	action

The second s

1.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7990</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the San Diego Unified School District to construct an addition 100 ft. by 70 ft. in size, to an existing school building on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1784, lying North of Tourmaline St., East of Everts St. and West of Fanuel St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 15 , 50_

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4518

Application Received By Give Planning Department
City Planning Department
Investigation made By By
Considered by Zoning Committee $3 - 15 - 50$ Pecision Construction sent to City Clerk $3 - 17 - 50$ Phy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 17 - 50$ Phy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 17 - 50$ Petitioner $3 - 20 - 50$ Health Department $3 - 17 - 50$ peal filed with City Clerk, date Deta
Decision (Approval Date 3-15-50
Dry of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-17-50 Building Inspector 3-17-50
anning Commission 3 -17-50 Petitioner 3 -20-50 Health Department 3-17-50
neal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action
Time limit extended to Date of action

There is a first of the second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second

and the period of the second of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7969</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louis and Mabel Enos to construct a single family residence on the South 10 ft. of Lot B and the North 40 ft. of Lot C, Block 334, Horton's Addition, next door to 2929 Front St. on the North, Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- That Clara Kirkpatrick sign an Agreement to the effect that the South 15 ft. of Lot A and the North 40 ft. of Lot B, adjoining, will always be kept in one ownership and will never be sold separately;
- That Joshua A. and Selma D. Rittoff sign an Agreement to the effect that the South 10 ft. of Lot C, all of Lot D and the North 1/2 of Lot E, adjoining, will always be kept in one ownership and will never be sold separately. AGG. 643

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 15</u>, 50_

By

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4519

· · · · ·	T,
Application Received By City Pli	anning Department
Investigation made By City Pla	anning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3 - 5 - 5$ Hearing date Decision $3 - 5 - 5$ Hearing date Copy of Resolution/sent to City Clerk $3 - 1 - 5$ Building Inspector Planning Commission $3 - 1 - 5$ Petitioner $3 - 5 - 5$ Health Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date	3 - 17 - 50 Department $3 - 17 - 50$
Resolution becomes effective Continued to Date of action	

and the second second

,

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

n	WHEREAS, Application No. 7948 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:
	 That the granting of the application is
	San Diego,
EF	REFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,
	That the following described property, Lot 8, 9 and 10 32
	Subdivision Mission Bay Park Tract
	6540 Pacific Highway (J. F. Nickerson, lessee)
	0,40 1001120 mg-mg
	may be used for the erection and operation of a 14-unit motel,
	subject to the following conditions
	•

before said time expires. HUN NO CHAR CLARK

i

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. CONTENDS?

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Res. No. 4520

March 22 19450 Dated 2144

By Secretary Roc No 45 Zoning Engineer

Uplication Received	- 50 By Centon
	City-Planning Department
avestigation made	By Clleen Lancaster of
and the set of the set	City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee	-50 Hearing date 3 50
scision approval	Date 3 50
	3-23-50 Building Inspector 3-24-50
lanning Commission 3. 24-50	Petitioner 3- 24-50 Health Department 3- 24-50
	Council Hearing, date
"cision of Council	Date
asolution becomes effective	1
Pplication Withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

when a state with the property of the state when the

a and a second and a second and good

and the second second second to the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7895</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Martha S. Handley to divide into a building site for a single family residence, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1290, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, located on a 30 ft. easement approximately 1/2 mile Northeast of the end of Ardath Road, Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- That the two existing barracks buildings be either removed from the premises or completely demolished within thirty days from the date of this Resolution, but with permission to store the resulting lumber from the buildings on the premises for a period not to exceed one year from the date of this Resolution;
 That an 80 ft. easement be granted to the City, running North
- 2. That an 80 ft. easement be granted to the City, running North and South across the property, on the approximate center line of the existing roadway.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above all be null and void, and shall be

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be hull and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 22 , 1950

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

60 Application Received _2 - 2 4 - 50 By City Planning Department ee City Planning Department By Investigation made 3-8-50 Considered by Zoning Committee 3-8-50 Hearing date 3--2-50 Decision 3 · 3Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action 1280 ROPP 4109 v 89 . 10

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7917</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. Tudor Scripps Jr. and Virginia Scripps to construct a single family residence on the East 90 ft. of Block L, La Jolla Park Villa Tract, on Primrose Dr., North of Soledad Ave., Zone R-1, with a 4 ft. setback on Primrose Dr., and with a 4 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 22

, 1950

X Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By

Res. No. 4522

P 1/9	
Application Received <u>3-2-50</u> By City Planning Department	
nvestigation made <u>3-8-50</u> By <u>By By City Planning Department</u>	ito
onsidered by Zoning Committee 3- 22- 30 Hearing date	
Decision Clepsonal Date 3-22-50	
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-23-57 Building Inspector 3-24-50	
lanning Commission 3 - 24 - 50 Petitioner 3 - 24 - 50 Health Department 3 - 24 - 50	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
fime limit extended to Date of action	

,

the set of the set of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7940</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. J. Parker to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1785, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, being on the Northwesterly corner of the intersection of Soledad Road and Alta Vista St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1329, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>, 190_

FORM 2145

XSecretary

Zoning Engineer

By

Res. No. 4523

By. Application Received _______ City Planning Department 3-8-5 By nvestigation made ____ - 50 22 City Planning Department 3-8-50 onsidered by Zoning Confinittee 3. 2 - 50 Hearing date_ Decision approver Date 3 - 22 - 50 opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-23-58</u> Building Inspector <u>3-24-50</u> Planning Commission <u>3-24-50</u> Petitioner <u>3-24-50</u> Health Department <u>3-</u> _ Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Pecision of Council ______ resolution becomes effective Date Application withdrawn Continued to fime limit extended to Date of action 9.80 0 5 149.80' S 3 ALTA VISTA THE YEAR STATES G. 1 ATT ALLAND L N'all.

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Rippo to construct a tool shop 12 ft. by 14 ft. in size, within a 4 ft. setback from Bear Dr., on Lot 8, Inspiration View, 3539 Dove Court, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 22 , 130-

FORM 2145

By_

XSecretery

Zone Variance

pplication Received By By Kity Planning Department
vestigation made _ 3 - 2 50 By <u>Ullen</u> <u>City Planning Department</u>
onsidered by Zoning Committee 3-2-57 Hearing date ecision provide Date 3-2-57 Dy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-3-30 Building Inspector 3-24-57 anning Commission 3-24-57 Petitioner 3-24-57 Health Department 3-24-57
ecision population Date 3-22-50
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-23-50 Building Inspector 3-24-50
anning Commission 3-24-50 Petitioner 3-24-50 Health Department 3-24-50
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
Pplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

the second of the barrier of the second of the second second second second second second second second second s

the second se

11

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7964</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. H. and Charlotte Winkler to erect a 6 ft. 6 in. wood fence 1 ft. away from an existing 2 ft. retaining wall, making a total of 8 ft. 6 in. in height, on Lot 173, Congress Heights Addition, 1760 Beryl St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 22_____, 19_50

FORM 2145

By____

Secretary

Application Received By aut file Department
avestigation made _ 3-2 50 By llen, deusater & unt
onsidered by Zoning Complittee 3 - > - 5-Hearing date
Date 3- 22 - 50 Decision Oppional Date 3- 22 - 50 Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3- 23-50 Building Inspector 3- 24-50
any of Decolution cent to City Clerk 3 - > 3-50 Building Inspector 3 - > 4 - 50
lanning Commission 3 - 24-50 Petitioner 3 - 24-50 Health Department 3 - 24-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
lesolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel A. and Marjorie S. Nix to construct a single apartment above a garage, making three units on the parcel, on Lot 35 and the Westerly half of Lot 34, Block 42, Ocean Beach, 4741 Bermuda St., Zone R-2, on the condition that a surfaced parking area for automobiles be provided for each living unit.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>, 150-

By

Secretary

Application Received _ 3-8-50 By By
City Planning Department
nvestigation made 3- 2 - 50 By Man Lancaster whent
Considered by Zoning Committee 3 - 2 - 50 Hearing date Decision Date 3 - 2 - 50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 - 2 - 50 Planning Commission 3 - 24-50 Petitioner 3 - 24 - 50 Health Department 3 - 24 - 50
Decision Date 3-22-50
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-23-50 Building Inspector 3-24-50
Planning Commission 3 - 24-50 Petitioner 3 - 24 - 50 Health Department 3 - 24 - 50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
fime limit extended to Date of action

THE REPORT OF A REPORT OF A DATE OF

Charter and the state of the st

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7974</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cape Cottage Homes, Inc., to construct three demonstration houses to be used as tract offices in the proposed subdivision of Colonial Manor, on the North 250 ft. of the South 267.80 ft. of the East 715 ft. of Pueblo Lot 182 (except the street), in the 1000 block on Catalina Blvd., Zone R-1, this permit to expire July 22, 1950.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>, 1950 FORM 2145 By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4527

Application Received <u>3-9-50</u> B	y autor City Planning Department
ovestigation made B	(0 00 / ())
onsidered by Zoning Committee 3-2-50	
onsidered by Zoning Committee 3-2-50 Decision on approximation opposed of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-23-3	Building Inspector 3-44-50
lanning Commission 3 - 5 Petitioner	3- 24-50 Health Department 3- 24-50
Pecision of Council	_ Date
upplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
ime limit extended to	

11.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Meir and Florence Barach to divide into four parcels and build a single family residence on each, as follows:

- 77.13' frontage on 58th St. and 120' frontage on Detroit Ave .: 1. 70' frontage on 58th St.; and 120' deep; 2.
- 3.
- 70' frontage on 58th St., and 120' deep; 70' frontage on Detroit Ave., and 217.13' deep. le.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

_ , 190 Dated March 22

Bv

Secretery

FORM 2145

Pplication Received 3-8-50 By	, OC Jouth
A Level to the second and the second se	City Planning Department
nvestigation made $3 - 2 - 5 = B_y$	<u>Allen, Ameaster</u> Quit
onsidered by Zoning Committee 3 - 22-50	Hearing date
recision (p p anna)	Date 3 - 2 2 - 50
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-23-52	Building Inspector 3 - 24 - 50
lanning Commission 3 - 24-50 Petitioner	3-24-50 Health Department 3-24-50
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
lesolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

. . .

the All College have a local to the state of the

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7978</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dorothy Lee Graham (Sparks), to erect a 6 ft. woven wire fence along "J" St., on a 2 ft. retaining wall, total height of 8 ft., on Lot 1, Block 9, Market St. Addition, 393 - 43rd St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 22 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res

Application Received By fam Hine	\sim
City Planning Department	TY
Investigation made 3-2-50 By lleus Laucaster	hurt
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-2-57 Hearing date	
Decision (ppromat Date 3-22-50	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-73-53 Building Inspector 3-74-57	
Planning Commission 3->4-5> Petitioner 3->4-50 Health Department 3->4-5 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	6
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

100.00

THE STREET BE TE TELEVISION OF THE SOUTH COMPLETE OF THE OTHER OF THE DIST.

The product of the of the station of the second of an interesting the second second second second second

A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7894</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen N. Brown, purchaser, and C. B. Alger, owner, to construct two four-unit apartment buildings with 41% coverage and 7 ft. access courts, on Lots 33 through 36, Block 13, La Jolla Strand, on the North side of Palomar Ave., 175 ft. West of La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-2, on the condition that three surfaced parking spaces be provided on the property for each apartment building, for automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By___

XSELECTOR

DAP
Application Received 3-1-50 By City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>3-8-50</u> By <u>lleen accepters</u> Lei
Considered by Zoning Committee 3. 2 2- 30 Hearing date
recision approval Date 3-2-50
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3. 23 Building Inspector 3-24-50
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3. 23. Building Inspector 3-24-50 lanning Commission 3-24-50 Petitioner 3-24-50 Health Department 3-24-50
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
lesolution becomes effective
Ipplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. 7849 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the San Diego Woman's Club to build additions to a private club, with 80% coverage, on Lots A, B and C, Block 285, Horton's Addition, on the Southeast corner of Maple St. and 3rd Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>, ¹⁹50-

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4531

Application Received By	City Planning Department
nvestigation made By	<u>Allen, dancaster as Que</u> City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Complittee <u>3-2-50</u> lecision	Hearing date
onv of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Duniding Inspector 3 7-30
lanning Commission 3 - > 4-55 Petitioner	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
lime limit extended to	Date of action

Letter dated March 8, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4163, which extended Resolution No. 3776, be granted to Mrs. Lena S. Clark, to construct an apartment house of approximately 30 units on the property line along 6th Ave., on Lots G thru L, Block 283, Horton's Addition, on the West side of 6th Ave., at the corner of Laurel and Maple Sts.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

FINAL EXTENSION

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 22 , 190_

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res

FORM 2145

Lin	1.1.
Application Received 3-8-50	By City Planning Department
nvestigation made	ByCity Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 3-22-	Hearing date
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-2-	Date 3-2-5 Building Inspector <u>3-24-50</u> her 3-24-50 Health Department 3-24-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Pecision of Council	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

A THE REAL PROPERTY AND AND AND A COMPANY AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION AND A DESCRIPTION A

the second s

- Construction & where a property and the

. . .

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7975</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. B. Moore to erect a threeunit apartment building with a 20 ft. setback, on Lot F, Block 291, Horton's Addition, on the Northeast corner of Curlew St. and Laurel St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>, 190-

B

Secretary

pplication Received By	City Planning Department
westigation made By	City Planning Department
unsidered by Zoning Committee 3-2-50	Hearing date
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3. 23-53	Date 3 - 2 - 5 -
upy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3. 3-58	Building Inspector 3-24-50
lanning Commission 3-2/50 Petitioner	3->4-50 Health Department 3 4-50
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
lecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7985</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack Corey, purchaser, and Howard Haight, owner, to construct two Model Homes, garage to be used as tract office, to erect one sign 6 ft. by 8 ft., for a period of six months from the date of this Resolution, on a portion of the West 1/2 of the Southwest 1/4 of Section 34 T16S-R2W S.B.M. (Lots 1 and 2 of Clearview, a tentative map), lying North of 2734-54th St., Zone R-1, on the following condition:

That an easement 40 ft. in width across the front of the property owned by Mr. Haight adjacent to the proposed subdivision on 54th St., be granted to the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they refer to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>, 19<u>50</u> FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Res. No. 4534

Pplication Received 3-14-50 By A Jourt M. City Planning Department
westigation made _ 3 - 2 - 50 By <u>Allen, dencaster</u> fur
onsidered by Zoning Committee 3-2-2 Hearing date
Pecision Courses, approach Date $3 - 2 - 50$ The part of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 2 - 50$ lanning Commission $3 - 2 - 50$ Petitioner $3 - 2 - 50$ Health Department $3 - 2 - 50$
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3- 7/-5? Building Inspector 3- 7/-5?
lanning Commission 2 4-50 Petitioner 3 - 24-50 Health Department 3 4-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
lesolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7970</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Miss Maxine Frank to construct a garage with 1.6 ft. rear yard and 1 ft. sideyard on the East 69.6 ft. of Lots 48 and 49 and the South 12 ft. of the East 69.6 ft. of Lot 50, and 10 ft. of street closing adjacent, Block 250, University Heights, on the West side of Georgia St., approximately 125 ft. South of Robinson Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>, 130_ FORM 2145 By_

Secretary
	Y //.
plication Received By	- lautice
	City Planning Department
vestigation made 3-22-50 B	Allen Lauraster + Cut
	City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>3-22-50</u> ecision <i>Charan</i> py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-23-57</u>	Hearing date
cision approval	Date 3- 22-50
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3. 3.57	Building Inspector <u>3- 24-50</u>
anning Commission 3 - 24-50 Petitioner	3-24-50 Health Department 3-24-50
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

.

.

1. 11

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7987</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David H. and Phyllis Thompson to erect a residence on Lot 1, Block 5, Nettleship-Tye Tract No. 1, at Crystal Dr. and Ocean Blvd., Zone R-2, provided that the Northwest corner of said residence sets back 5 ft. from the property line, and to have 43% lot coverage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 22___, 1950_

FORM 2145

By_

XSCROCKSCX

	7/1/1000
pplication Received 3-14-50 By	City Planning Department
westigation made <u>3-22-50</u> By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
unsidered by Zoning Committee 3-22-50 H	Iearing date
ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-23-50 B	ate 3-22-50
ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-23-50 B	uilding Inspector 3 4-50
lanning Commission / 3->4-50 Petitioner	Health Department 3 - 39-30
(ppcal lifed with orb)	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
polication withdrawn C	Continued to
me limit extended to D	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7966</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James M. Henderson to construct a manonry fence, a maximum of 6 ft. in height, to be no closer to the front property line than the existing residence, on the North 65 ft. of Lots 11 and 12, Block 8, La Mesa Townsite, on the Southwest corner of Mohawk St. and 70th St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4537

plication Received By	City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>3 - 2 - 30</u> By	<u>Allen Laucaster</u> Suite
nsidered by Zoning Committee 3-2-30 cision / Lefice approach I py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-23-30	Hearing date Date 3- 22-50
nning Commission 3 - >4 - 5 Petitioner 3 peal filed with City Clerk, date	Health Department 3 - > 4 - 50
cision of Council	Date
	Continued to Date of action

.

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7994</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. G. McArthur to construct a bedroom-bath-and-garage addition with an 8 ft. rear yard at the nearest point, Lot 1, La Jolla Gables, 6235 Dowling Dr., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>

By_

Secretary

	mol 1
Application Received <u>3-15-50</u> E	By City Planning Department
avestigation made E	City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 3- 22-52	2 Hearing date
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 33-3	Date 3- 22-50
upy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 33-3	Building Inspector <u>3-24-50</u>
Janning Commission 3 - 24-50 Petitioner	$3 - 2y - 5\tau$ Health Department $3 - 2y - 5\tau$
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
upplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7979</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. A. and Anna Van Buren to erect a single family residence on the rear of the lot, making a total of three units, with 8 ft. 10 in. access court, being Lots 55, 56 and a portion of Lot 57, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department, 4644 W. Point Loma Blvd., Zone R-4, on the condition that three garage spaces are provided on the property for the parking of automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

BLK 28 OCEAN BEACH PARK ANNEX

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 22 , 1950

By___

XXXXXXXXXXXXX

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

	7()//0
Pplication Received	By City Planning Department
vestigation made _====================================	By <u>Cllen, Lancastar</u> + Oute City Planning Department
insidered by Zoning Committee <u>3-22</u>	-5 Hearing date Date 3 - 22 - 50 Building Inspector 3 - 24-50 er 3 - 24 - 50 Health Department 3 - 24 - 50
Petitione Petitione Petitione	council Hearing, date
solution becomes effective	Date
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

20

SNO

OINT

55

55.55

:4

10---

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7981</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. D. Newberry to construct a woven wire fence 8 ft. in height, along two sides of a volley ball court on Lot 1241, Talmadge Park Estates Unit No. 3, 4860 Louise Dr., Zone R-1., as shown on the accompanying plat.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>, 1950-FORM 2145 By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4540

	V //.
pplication Received By	City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>3- > > - 50</u> By	allen Lancaster 3 Just
insidered by Zoning Committee 3 50	Hearing date
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3- > 3-50	Date 3 50
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3- > 3-57	Building Inspector <u>3- ~4-50</u>
Inning Commission 3-24-50 Petitioner	3-24-50 Health Department 3-24-50
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

etanziinet in the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7949</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Will D. and Crystal K. Rudd to construct a single family residence with no sideyard on the North line of a portion of Lot 1, Block 32, University Heights, and that portion of the South 1/2 of Golden Gate Dr. closed by Resolution No. 17806 and No. 33460, as shown on the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, being on the Northeast corner of Massachusetts St. and Golden Gate Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 190_____, 190_____

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4541

By pplication Received _______ 02 City Planning Department Allee, City Planning Department vestigation made By 3 -- 50 insidered by Zoning Committee 3 - 2 2 - 50 Hearing date_ Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-3-50</u> Building Inspector <u>3-74-50</u> Inning Commission <u>3-74-50</u> Petitioner <u>3-74-50</u> Health Department <u>3</u> Peal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ 3-24-Date Recision of Council_ solution becomes effective pplication withdrawn Continued to me limit extended to Date of action



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7963</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Will D. and Crystal K. Ruddto construct a single family residence with a 5 ft. setback, on a portion of Lot 1, Block 32, University Heights, and that portion of the South 1/2 of Golden Gate Dr. closed by Resolution No. 17806 and No. 33460, as shown on the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, being on the Northeast corner of Massachusetts St. and Golden Gate Dr., Zone R-1, on the following condition:

That the building is not closer to the Northerly extension of the East line of Massachusetts St. South of Golden Gate Dr., than the existing house South of Golden Gate Dr.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>, 190-FORM 2145 By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4542

POR
ication Received <u>3-15-50</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>
stigation made By <u>Allen, Lancaster</u> out
idered by Zoning Committee <u>3-22-50</u> Hearing date sion on a for a
sion ous approval Date 3-22-50
of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-23-50 Building Inspector 3-24-50
ning Commission 3 - 24 - 50 Petitioner 3 - 24 - 50 Health Department 3 - 24-50
al filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
sion of Council Date
lution becomes effective
ication withdrawn Continued to
e limit extended to Date of action

. 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8004</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Toiro B. and Marguerite E. Laukkanen to construct a retaining wall in the rear, from 1 ft. 6 in. to 10 ft. 6 in. in height, being a portion of Lots 25 and 26, Block 223, University Heights, 3684 Richmond St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

· · / / /
plication Received By _autice
City Planning Department
1 nn
vestigation made 3-22-50 By Uller, Laucaster + kulo
City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee _3 5- Hearing date
cision U_{p} Date $3 - 2 - 50$ py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 24 - 50$ Building Inspector $3 - 24 - 50$ unning Commission $3 - 24 - 50$ Petitioner $3 - 24 - 50$ Health Department $3 - 24 - 50$
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-50 Building Inspector 3- 24-50
nning Commission 3 - 24 - 50 Petitioner 3 - 24 - 50 Health Department 3 - 24.50
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
solution becomes effective
plication withdrawn Continued to
ne limit extended to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7992</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mary Qualin to construct a 20 ft. by 22 ft. garage, with a rumpus room, bar and bath over, with a 5 ft. rear yard, on Lot 1, Block 56, Roseville, 3246 Fenelon, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they refer to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 22 , 1950

By____

-Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4544

Application Received 3-16-50 By
Application Received <u>3-16-50</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>
Investigation made 3-22-50 By Men, Vancasture hut
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3 - 2 - 3 - Hearing date
Decision Capacitation Date 3-2-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-2-50 Planning Commission 3-24-50 Petitioner 3-24-50 Health Department 3-24-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3- 29-50 Building Inspector 3- 24-50
Planning Commission 3 - 24-50 Petitioner 3 - 24-50 Health Department 3 - 24-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

a service of the second sec

STREET, STREET,

DERIFCIER, DO FT RENDERED, My VIN DOTATE FOR STATE DI THA CLIN OF SHE DI TRO.

.

(*13) * .1 ~1 * .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8002</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Angelo J. Cutaia to construct approximately 60 ft. of 5 ft. high woven wire fence in front of the setback line of Lot 33 and the South 1/2 of Lot 34, Block 108, City Heights, 3338-41st St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

 By____

Secretary

FORM 2145

pplication Received By By City Planning Department	5
westigation made <u>3-2-50</u> By <u>lllen</u> , <u>City Planning Department</u>	lut
onsidered by Zoning Committee 3- 2- 3- Hearing date	
Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-2-50 Building Inspector 3-24-50	
unning Commission 3-24-50 Petitioner 3-24-50 Health Department 3-24-50	
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Date Date	
solution becomes effective	
oplication withdrawn Continued to	
me limit extended to Date of action	

. / /

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7957</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. T. La Pointe, Sr. and A. T. La Pointe, Jr., to divide into two building sites, being Lots 7 and 8, and the West 1/2 of Lot 9, Block 29, Bird Rock Addition, Colima St., 100 ft. West of La Jolla Mesa Dr., on the South side, Zone R-1, each to have 50 ft. frontage, and to be 125 ft. in depth.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ March 22 , 1950

FORM 2145

By.

Secretary

Res. No. 4546

7/1/1/0
plication Received By 7. City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>3-> 50</u> By <u>Clean Kancester</u>
rision approval
and Benchition cent to City Clerk 3- > 4-50 Building Inspector 3->4-50
nning Commission 3 - >4-50 Petitioner 3 - >4-50 Health Department 3 - >4-50 peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Cision of Council Date
solution becomes effective
plication withdrawn Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7999</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and willot be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Florence R. McKinney to build a single family residence with 100 ft. street frontage, on portions of Lots 2, 3, and 27 through 31, and portion of alley closing adjoining, Block 45, La Jolla Park, on Torrey Pines Road, Zone R-1, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 22 , 1950

FORM 2145

By____

Secretary

and the second	M/ FI
pplication Received By	City Planning Department
vestigation made 3-2-50 By	Ullen, dancaster + Vert
	City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 3-2-30H	earing date
ecision Uppenant to City Clerk <u>3. 24-50</u> Br anning Commission <u>3-24-50</u> Petitioner <u>3-</u>	2te 3-22-50
ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3. 24-50 Bi	uilding Inspector <u>3-24-50</u>
lanning Commission 3-24-30 Petitioner 3-	->4-50 Health Department 3 - 4-50
oppeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
becision of Council D	ate
esolution becomes effective	
implication withdrawn C	ontinued to
me limit extended to D	ate of action

•

ULA MARKET CARLEN AND THE STATE OF A CARDELOC DE THE CLEMENT STATE AND A SEC

2. 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7950</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. L. and Ruth M. Hutter to divide into two parcels and build a single family residence on each, being Lot 1, Trojan Heights, on the South side of Trojan Ave., approximately 370 ft. West of 54th St., Zone R-1, on the condition that the owner acquire additional land to the rear, so that the Southerly line of the lots is parallel with the Northerly line, said newly acquired land to be retained permanently as part of Lot 1, Trojan Heights, evidenced by an Agreement of record, each parcel to be not less than 5,700 sq. ft. in size.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>, 1950

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

AGG

$\gamma / 1/$
pplication Received By / and incoment
vestigation made By lley accenter outo
unsidered by Zoning Committee 3-2-2 Hearing date
Clision oud. appearat Date 3-2-50 Building Inspector 3-2-50
unning Commission 3 - 4 - 57 Petitioner 3 - 24 - 57 Health Department 3 - 24 - 57 upeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Date Date
solution becomes effective
plication withdrawn Continued to Date of action

Letter received March 17, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 952, be granted to M. L. Nelson to slaughter and sell at retail, chickens raised at 216 South 55th St., on Lot K, Block 3, Las Alturas No. 2, subject to all the requirements of the Health Department.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1952.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>, 1950 FORM 2145 By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4549

pplication Received 3-17-50 By air City Planning Bepartment Westigation made 3-22-50 By Illeen, Accester + Ser	
westigation made 3, 22 = 50 By Illes Very anter the Ser	
City Planning Department	to
onsidered by Zoning Committee 3-2-50 Hearing date recision Date 3-22-50 upy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-50 Building Inspector 3-24-50	
ecision and Date 3-22-50	
anning Commission 3 - 24-50 Petitioner 3 - 24-50 Health Department 3 - 24-50	
uppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Date Date	
resolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn Continued to	
me limit extended to Date of action	

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 7824 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles J. and Ruth E. Marshall, owners, and Robert J. and Mary E. Miller, purchasers, to construct a single family residence 15 ft. from the center line of the alley and 4 ft. from the Easterly line of the lot, being Lot 24, Block 77, Point Loma Heights, on the Easterly side of Santa Barbara St. between Point Loma Ave. and Bermuda Ave., Zone R-1, on the condition that a 10 ft. setback is observed on Santa Barbara St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>, 1950

FORM 2145

Ву_____

Res. No. 4550

	71)/10 11
Application Received B	y City Planning Department
nvestigation made $2 - 8 - 50$	y Cleur, Cancaster South
onsidered by Zoning Committee 3-2-57	Hearing date
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-5	Date 3 - 22 - 50
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-5	Building Inspector 3 - 24-50
anning Commission '3. 29-30 Petitioner	3-24-50 Health Department 3-24-50
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	. Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
olution becomes effective	
lication withdrawn	Continued to
le limit extended to	Date of action

100 - 100 - 100 - 100

and a second second

WHEREAS, Application No.7973 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bruce A. and Genievie D. Engman to erect a garage and work shop, 16 ft. by 32 ft. in size, with a 1 ft. sideyard and a 17 ft. 6 in. rear yard, on Lot 11, Block 16, Sunset Cliffs, 4592 Alhambra St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>, 190

Ву___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4551

7/1	
Application Received 3-15-50 By -/. Ulamon City Planning Department	
Investigation made 3-22-50 By llen, ane atu + for	it
Considered by Zoning Committee 3- 2 - 5- Hearing date	
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-50 Building Inspector 3-24-50	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-50 Building Inspector 3-24-50	
Planning Commission 3 - 24-30 Petitioner 3- 24-30 Health Department 3- 24-30	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

13

.

.

RESOLUTION NO. 4552

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7988</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is <u>hereby</u> denied to J. S. Robles and Edna Robles to construct a 2 in. by 4 in. mesh wire fence, adding approximately 1 ft. to the top of an existing cement block fence, both within the front setback line, with a 4 ft. maximum height, on the Northerly 70 ft. of Lots 23 and 24, and all of Lots 21 and 22, Block 421, Duncan's Addition, 1205 So. 38th St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 22</u>, 19<u>50</u> FORM 2145 By___

Secretary

	MPP
14、「「「「「「「」」」」」	$(\circ /) / $
Application Received 3-13-53 By	City Planning Department
Provide the second seco	allen dans terrolat
Investigation made 3-22-53 By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-2-50	Hearing date
Decision Acuia Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-50	Date 3- 2 2 - 50 /
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-24-50	Building Inspector <u>3-24-50</u>
Planning (ommission 3 - 54 - 50 Perifioner	3 - 17-50 Realth Debal Linchil 3 - 28 - 50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 3-29-50	Council Hearing, date <u>4-11-50</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date $3-29-50$ Decision of Council Z. Co systamed	Date 4-11-1950
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

97503

That the appeal of J. S.Robles and Edna Robles, 1205 South 38th Street, for permission to construct a 2 in. by 4 in. mesh wire fence to the top of an existing cement block fence on the northerly 70 feet of Lots 23 and 24 and all of Lots 21 and 22, Block 421 Duncan's Addition, at 1205 So. 38th Street, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby denied and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the above matter be, and it is hereby

City Clerk.

Deputy.

Bv.

100 FORM 1270
RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of 36th Street, for pers wire fence to the to; northerly 70 feet of Block 421 Duncan's Ad Re4, be, and it is he cision is hereby sust at IT FURTHER RESO

Page is intentionally left blank.

97503



City of San Diego

, in, mesh tee on the M and 22, , in Zome Mittee de-

L205 South

nd it is hereby

Deputy.

TORM 1270

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7876</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4528, dated March 22, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Meir and Florence Barach to divide into four parcels and build a single family residence on each, on the Southerly 217.13 ft. of the Westerly 200 ft. of Lot 13, Cave and McHatton's Subdivision, on the Northeast corner of 58th St. and Detroit St., Zone R-1, as follows:

1. 77.13 ft. frontage on 58th St. and 120 ft. frontage on Detroit St.; 2. 70 ft. frontage on 58th St., and 120 ft. deep;

3. 70 ft. frontage on 58th St., and 120 ft. deep;

4. 70 ft. frontage on Detroit Ave., and 217.13 ft. deep.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 22 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

$\int \delta \int d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d $
Dication Received By K. City Planning Department
estigation made 3-22-50 By llen, and Sector City Planning Department
sidered by Zoning Committee 3. 2. 2. Plearing date
ision Date 3 - 2 - 50 Date 3 - 2 - 50 py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 - 27 - 50 ming Commission 3 - 27 - 50 Petitioner $3 - 27 - 50$ Health Department $3 - 27 - 50$
y of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-27-50 Building Inspector 3-27-50
nning Commission 3-27-50 Petitioner 3-27-50 Health Department 3-27-50
beal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ision of Council Date
olution becomes effective
olication withdrawn Continued to
ne limit extended to Date of action

the second state of the second states and the second states and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7979</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4539, dated March 22, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. A. and Anna Van Buren to erect a single family residence on the rear of the lot, making a total of three units, with 8 ft. 10 in. access court, being Lots 55, 56 and a portion of Lot 57, Block 28, Ocean Beach Park Annex, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department, 4644 W. Point Loma Blvd., Zone R-4, on the condition that three garage spaces are provided on the property for the parking of automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 22 , 1950 FORM 2145

By_

Secretery

	-11:10 1
Application ReceivedB	y - City Planning Department
	Ma dans to be tou
prestigation made <u>3-22-50</u>	City Planning Department
insidered by Zoning Committee 3-2-5	Hearing date
pecision approval	Date $3 - \gamma - 5$ Building Inspector $3 - \gamma - 5^{-3}$ $3 - \gamma - 5^{-3}$ Health Department $3 - \gamma - 5^{-3}$
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	a - ~7- 50 Health Department a - ~7- 50
appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Pecision of Council	_ Date
resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7899</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David T. and Ollie M. Harvey to erect a single family residence on Lot 3, except the Northerly 8 ft. thereof, Block 20, Sunset Cliffs, on the Northeast corner of Devonshire Dr. and Froude St., Zone R-1

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Morch 29 , 1950

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

	$\gamma(1)\gamma(1)$
Application Received	By City Planning, Department
Investigation made 3-22-5-0	By Cleu, dancaster Quite
Considered by Zoning Committee 3 9-	State Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-30-	Date 3 9-50 So Building Inspector <u>3-31-50</u> er 3-31-50 Health Department <u>3-31-50</u> Council Health Department <u>3-31-50</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second

and the solution of the static second of the first first the state of the period of the second second of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7925</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Logan Heights Lutheran Church to construct a retaining wall with a 4 ft. woven wire or concrete block fence on top, making a 10 ft. maximum over-all height, on Lots 1 through 4, Block 329, Choates' Addition, 310 South 31st St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 5 , 190

FORM 2145

By_

X Secretery

Application Received 3-10-50 By Jaw Line	
Investigation made 3-2-2-50 By fllees, arus 2 Dui	to
3-22-50 City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date	
Decision/ padietics approval Date 4-5-50	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-6-50 Building Inspector 4-9-50	
Decision Acificis apprende Date 4-5-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-6-50</u> Planning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner 4-7-50 Health Department 4-7-50	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

the second se

the construction

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7984</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. O. Robinson to erect a single family residence on a portion of Lot 6 and the Southerly 10 ft. of the private roadway adjoining, both according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, Block D, La Jolla Country Club Heights, at the Southeasterly end of Remley Place, Zone R-1, on the condition that an Agreement be signed by the owner to the effect that the above-described property will always be held in one ownership and will never be sold separately.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

AGG 644

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 5 , 1950_

FORM 2145

By____

Secretary

DOD	
Application Received By City Planning Department	5
avestigation made <u>3-22-50</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>	estow
onsidered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date	
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{4-5-50}{2-50}$ Hearing date Date $4-5-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4-6-50}{2-50}$ Building Inspector $\frac{4-7-50}{4-7-50}$ Planning Commission $4-7-50$ Petitioner $4-7-50$ Health Department $4-7-50$	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	_
Decision of Council Date	
fime limit extended to Date of action	

F THE REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

Letter dated March 21, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 2174, be granted to H. G. Fenton Material Co. to make a shallow gravel excavation and to operate a small rock-crushing plant and a concrete-mixing plant, according to the legal description of the property on file in the Planning Department Office, in Chollas Valley, East of 35th St. to Fairmount Ave., Zones R-1, R-2, R-4 and C, on the following conditions:

- 1. The small rock-crushing plant and concrete mixing plant and all buildings to be placed on the West 1/2 of Lot 20, Horton's Purchase, Ex-Mission Lands, lying North of Federal Blvd.:
- 2. At the expiration date of this Resolution, all equipment will be removed from the property, the holes will be filled and the area will be left in clean condition, and an adequate channel will be provided;
- 3. As agreed upon by the petitioner, at the City's request, an easement will be granted to the City for the widening or extension of Chollas Valley Parkway to a width of 100 ft.,

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-(over struction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 3-22-50 By City Planning Department Investigation made 4-5-50 By Clebon & City Planning Department
Investigation made 4-5-50 By allow & Duiton
Investigation made 4-5-50 By allow & Duiton
Investigation made 4-5-50 By allow & Duiton
Investigation made 4-5-50 By allow & Duiten
Investigation made _ 4-5-50 By llow & Justiment
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date
Decision G_{4} approximation f_{4} Date $4-5-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-6-5$ Building Inspector $4-7-50$ Planning Commission $4-7-50$ Petitioner $4-7-50$ Health Department $4-7-50$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-6-5 Building Inspector 4-7-50
Planning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner 4-7-50 Health Department 4-7-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 and No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

4. This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

WHEREAS, Application No. 7776 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles Otis to operate a commercial parking lot, and construct a suitable small office building for such operation, on Lots E and F, Block 226, Horton's Addition, on the Northeast corner of 3rd Ave. and Elm St., Zone R-4, with final architectural approval of any buildings to be constructed on the premises, to be given by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

	DOLZ M
Application Received <u>3-17-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-5-50</u> By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hear	ring date
Decision Constant of City Clerk 4-6-50 Build	4-5-50 ling Inspector 4-1-50
Planning Commission/ 4-7-50 Petitioner 4-1	- 5 Health Department 9-1-5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cour	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	·
Resolution becomes effective	
- Frances	inued to
Time limit extended to Date	of action

The second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8005</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. S. and Ellen G. Kolbeck to erect fences on either side of the residence, on the setback line, as follows:

- 1. On the North side, 13 linear ft., ranging from 7 ft. down to 6 ft. 4 in.;
- 2. On the South side, 12 linear ft., ranging from 8 ft. down to 7 ft.

Being Lots 23 through 25, Block 36, Morena, the first property North of 2528 Denver St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>April 5</u>, 190_ FORM 2145 By_

Secretary

Application Received By	U. Comel
,	City Planning Department
/	(nn (K
investigation made 4-5-30 By_	allent Juston
Λ	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4- 5- 57Ho	earing date
Decision approval Da	ate 4-5-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-6-50 Bu	uilding Inspector <u>4-7-50</u>
Pecision Composition sent to City Clerk 4-6-50 Bu Planning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner 4	-7-30 Health Department 4-7-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	uncil Hearing, date
	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ontinued to
	ate of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8013</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen R. Patton to construct a single family residence, making a total of three living units on the lot, with an approximate 3 ft. 9 in. access court to the street, Lots 8 and 9, Block 6, Alhambra Park, 4571 - 51st St., Zone R-4, on the condition that surfaced parking space for automobiles be provided for three cars.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>April 5</u>, 1950

FORM 2145

By_

XSecretary

Application Received By	law fice City Planning Department
Investigation made <u> </u>	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 F	learing date
Decision approved D	Date 4-5-50 Building Inspector 4-7-57
Planning Commission 4-7-5- Petitioner 4-	7-50 Health Department 4-7-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn C Time limit extended to I	Continued to Date of action
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-5-50</u> H Decision <u>3. approximate</u> D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-6-50</u> B Planning Commission <u>4-7-50</u> Petitioner <u>4-</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Commission of Council</u> Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn <u>Commission of Council</u>	learing date Jate $4-5-50$ Suilding Inspector $4-7-50$ Lealth Department $4-7-50$ Louncil Hearing, date Date

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8006</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Boone Hayes to convert an existing accessory building to a rumpus room for social purposes with bar room facilities, having approximately a 10 ft. rear yard, on Lot 956, Talmadge Park Estates, 4550-48th St., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. Revised plans to be submitted and approved in the Planning Department Office;
- 2. An Agreement to be signed by the owner, to the effect that the above-described room will never be sold, rented nor used as a separate living unit. AGG. #647

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1556 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 5 , 1930_

FORM 2145

By ____

Secretary

Res. No. 4562

	V / /
Application Received $3 - 21 - 50$	_ By City Planning Department
Investigation made $4-5-5-0$	By <u>Colleur</u> Durton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-5-</u>	50 Hearing date Date 4-550
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-6- Planning Commission 4-7-50 Petition	Date $4-5-50$ 50 Building Inspector $4-7-50$ ner $4-7-50$ Health Department $4-7-50$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE RELAX CONTRACT OF THE SHARE CONTRACT AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE REAL OF THE

(#C

an der ver eine eine der Gestenlichen bei eine die eine einen wilderen eine finne seinen Annen such sien eine s

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. and Mittie I. Darsey to construct a garage 12 ft. by 21 ft. in size, with no sideyard, the existing garage at the rear to be removed, lot coverage to remain 50%, on the West 44 ft. of Lots 47 and 48, Block 51, Park Villas, 3278 Landis St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

1	1. 11.
Application Received By	fan fise
	City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>4-5-50</u> By	Allent urton
	City Planning Department
considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 4-5-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-6-50	Building Inspector <u>4- 7-50</u>
Decision <i>Appeared</i> to City Clerk <u>$4-6-50$</u> Planning Commission $4-7-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	4-9-50 Health Department 4-7-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

•

.

THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE SECOND COURSE SEARCH THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

supremented of the bookst and a second and a second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8033</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Urban Co. to divide into two parcels, each to be 62-1/2 ft. in frontage, and construct a single family residence on each, being Lots 8 through 12, Block 20, Morena Subdivision, on Baltimore St. between Field and Gesner Sts., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4564

11011
Application Received 3-78-50 By
City Planning Department
Investigation made 4-5-50 By Allert City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Compittee 4-5-50 Hearing date
Decision approval / Date 4-5-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-6-50 Building Inspector 4-1-50
Decision <i>Appendix Commission</i> Commission $4-5-50$ Date $4-5-50$ Date $4-5-50$ Date $4-7-50$ Date $4-7-50$ Petitioner $4-7-50$ Building Inspector $4-7-50$ Health Department $4-7-50$ Petitioner $4-7-50$ Health Department $4-7-50$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

\$

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8032</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Urban Co. to divide into three parcels, to be 50 ft., 50 ft. and 55 ft. wide, respectively, and construct a single family residence on each, being Lot 1, Elock 20, and Lots 13 and 14, Block 19, and Field St. closed adjacent, Morena Subdivision, Field and Baltimore Sts., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 5 , 1950

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

FORM 2145

110.11
pplication Received By City Planning Department
westigation made <u>4-5-50</u> By <u>Allen +</u> City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date
ecision Ceptional Date $4-5-53$ opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-6-53$ Building Inspector $4-7-53$ lanning Commission $4-7-53$ Petitioner $4-7-53$ Health Department $4-7-53$
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-6-50 Building Inspector 4-7-50
lanning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner 4-7-50 Health Department 4-7-50
Deal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Date Date
esolution becomes effective
Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

the second and the second s

which is a start of the bolis of the start of the week's provide

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8031</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Urban Co. to divide into three parcels, each to be 60 ft. in width, and construct a single family residence on each, being Lots 27 and 28, Block 9, and Lots 15 and 16, Block 10, and Field St. closed adjacent, Morena Subdivision, Field and Baltimore Sts., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By_

Regretery

1011.
Application Received By By City Planning Department
City Flamming Department of
Investigation made H_5-50 By By By
City I lanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{y-3-3-5}{y-3-5-5}$ Thearing date Decision Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Tearing date Te
Second Presention sent to City Clerk 4-6-5 Building Inspector 4-7-50
Copy of Resolution sent to only creating Petitioner 4-7-50 Health Department 4-1-50
Flanning Commission / Clerk date Council Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Obtinen frearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8029</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Allan C. and Janet T. Dale, to construct a rumpus room in an existing unfinished portion of the residence under the main floor level, with a 10 ft. rear yard, on Lots 19 and 20, Block 4, Arnold and Choate's Addition, 4276 Ibis St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>April 5</u>, ¹⁹50 FORM 2145 By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4567

Application Received <u>3-29-50</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u> Investigation made <u>4-5-50</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>	l.
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{4-5-53}{1-53}$ Hearing date Decision $\frac{4-7-53}{1-53}$ Hearing date Date $\frac{4-5-53}{1-53}$ Building Inspector $\frac{4-7-53}{1-53}$ Health Department $\frac{4-7-53}{1-53}$	
Decision approval / Date 4-5-50	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-6-50 Building Inspector 4-1-50	
Planning Commission 4-1-50 Petitioner 4-1-50 Health Department 4-7-50	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

RESOLUTION NO. 45	0	Ö
-------------------	---	---

Letter dated March 28, 1950, from the High Seas Tuna Packing Co., I WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4143, which amended Resolution No. 3685, be amended to include the following:

Permission is hereby granted to the High Seas Tuna Packing Company, Inc., to construct a 46 ft.by 60 ft. building for conveyors and equipment in the remodeling of the cannery located at the foot of Addison St., subject to the filing of a Letter of Agreement that the proposed construction will not be used as any added consideration or supplemental reason for asking for a future extension of time or future zone variance for said cannery.

It is the understanding of the Zoning Committee, therefore, that this variance does not conflict with Resolution No. 3685, dated January 19, 1949, nor with Resolution No. 4143, dated September 14, 1949.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

as they relate to the property described above. Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 29	, 19 <u>50</u>	Ву	Secretary			
FORM 2145	Bent	Pity Planning	the second se	Res.	No.	4568

	an Pr
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-29-50	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-29-50 Decision Constant of City Clerk 4-7-50 Planning Commission 4-10-50 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>4-10-50</u> 4-10-50 Health Department 4-10-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7881</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and wil<u>hot</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. E. Hazard, Jr., to maintain an existing contractor's storage yard and office, all the storage to be within buildings and fence, on Lots 10, 11 and 12, Block 74, Middletown, on the Southwest corner of Laurel St. and Columbia St., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>April 5</u>, 130

Ву____

XXXXXXXXXX

1/1/	
Application Received By By	
City Planning Department	
(100 19 -	
Investigation made H-5-50 By By	2
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date	-
Considered by Zoning Committee $4-3-30$ Hearing date Decision $4-5-50$ Date $4-5-50$ Date $4-7-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-6-50$ Building Inspector $4-7-50$ Planning Commission $4-7-50$ Petitioner $4-7-50$ Health Department $4-7$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-6-50 Building Inspector 4-2-50	
Planning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner 4-7-50 Health Department 4-7.	- 50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

The second second and a second dependence of the second second second second second second second second second

,

The second rates for the the second second second

RESOLUTION NO. 4570

Letter dated March 30, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4530, dated March 22, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen N. Brown, purchaser, and C. B. Alger, owner, to construct two four-unit apartment buildings with 54.5% coverage and 7 ft. access courts, on Lots 33 through 36, maintaining eight garages, Block 13, La Jolla Strand, on the North side of Palomar Ave., 175 ft. West of La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924 Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Appil 5 , 1950

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145
Letter	
Application Received By	_
City Planning Department	
(100)	
Investigation made 4-5-50 By By	2
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Complittee 4-5-50 Hearing date	_
Decision (lephranel , Date 4-5-50	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-6-50 Building Inspector 4-7-50	_
Decision Upprane Date 4-5-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-6-50</u> Building Inspector <u>4-7-50</u> Planning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner 4-7-50 Health Department 4-7-50	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	-
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7991</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elmer E. Knepp to split out a parcel and erect a single family residence thereon, being the Easterly 55 ft. of the West 135 ft. of the Northerly 390 ft., and 10 ft. of street closed adjacent on the North, Lot K, La Mesa Colony, on the South side of Amherst St., at the Southerly prolongation of the line of 69th St., Zone R-1, on the condition that said building will be located within 200 ft. of Amherst St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 5 , 190

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	Jan free
	. City Planning Department
4-5-50	Hacking
Investigation made 3 50 By	Celleen Kerning Burton
3-22-3	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4- 5-50	Hearing date
Decision and - approval	Date 4-5-50
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-6-50	Building Inspector 4-7-50
Decision and a september of the second secon	4-7-50 Health Department 4-7-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
time minit extended to	Date of action

and the second

1. .

Letter dated March 31, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4222, which extended Resolution No. 3844, be granted to William L. and Madelyn A. Cramer to split Lots 12 and 13, Block 81, Point Loma Heights, on the Westerly corner of Santa Barbara St. and Del Mar Ave., Zone R-1, into the following two parcels:

1. 65 ft. by 90 ft., for the rear lot; 2. 75 ft. by 90 ft. for the front lot;

and permit a single family residence on each parcel, provided that a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Santa Barbara St., and the regular Setback Ordinance is observed on Del Mar Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

FINAL EXTENSION

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ________, 1950-

Secretary

Zoning Engineer Re

City Planning Department
and a second design of the
City Planning Department
ring date 4-5-50 ding Inspector $4-7-50$ Health Department $4-7-50$ heil Hearing, date
4-5-50
ding Inspector <u>4-7-50</u>
Health Department 4-7-50
ncil Hearing, date
inued to
of action
1100

the second second

the second state of the second state and the second of the second state of the second state providence of the second state of

Additional Information

WHEREAS, Application No: ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4435, dated February 8, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Karl Armintrout to split off the Westerly 25 ft. of Lots 46 through 49, to be added to Lot 45, thereby making three parcels out of five lots, with a single family residence on each parcel, being Lot 45 through 49, Oakmere, on the Southwest corner of Hobart St. and 63rd St., Zone R-1, on the condition that the owner grant a 5 ft. easement for the widening of 63rd St., for the full width of Lots 46 through 49 thereon, according to the plan on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Easement 9-7-50 OK

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 5 , 1950

By____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

aditional Information
Application Received By Surlow
City Planning Department
((()))))))))))))))))
Investigation made By By
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date
Considered by Zohing Committee $7-3-30$ freating date Decision and approximate $7-3-30$ freating date Date $4-5-50$ Date $4-7-50$ Building Inspector $4-7-50$ Planning Commission $4-7-50$ Petitioner $4-7-50$ Health Department $4-7-50$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-6-50 Building Inspector 4-7-50
Planning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner 4-7-50 Health Department 4-7-50
Anneal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

81.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7935</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Challenge Cream and Butter Association to maintain one existing sign on the West side, 43 ft. by 13 ft. in size, painted on the building, on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1118, 4600 Sixth St. Extension, Zone R-1A, on the following condition:

That the existing sign on the North side, 72 ft. by 7 ft., painted on stucco, and the existing sign on the South side of the Sales Building, 27 ft. by 14 ft., being a poster panel attached to the building, be removed within 45 days from the date of this Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 5_____, 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4574

60 Application Received ______ 3- 27-50 By City Planning Department Investigation made _____ 4-5-5 By City Planning Department Decision 2 appeared 2 Hearing date Decision 3 appeared 2 Date 4-5-50Date 4-5-50Building Inspector 4-7-50Health Department 4-7-50Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date_ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action EVENSON Phil CABRILLO FREEWAY CHALLENGE DAIR

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8043</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. H. McKee to construct three model homes, using one as a tract office, on Lots 1, 2 and 11; Collwood Park No. 3 (Tentative Map), at the corner of 63rd St. and Mesita Dr., Zone R-1, with two 4 ft. by 8 ft. signs, one on Lot 2, and one on Lot 24, at the corner of 63rd St. and Catoctin St.

This permit to extend for one year from the date of this Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_April 5 , 1950

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received _____ 3 - 28 - 50 By City Planning Department Investigation made 4-5-50 By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date Date 4-5-50 Decision Construction sent to City Clerk $\frac{4-7-5}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{4-7-5}{2}$ Health Department Planning Commission 4-7-5 Petitioner 4-7-5 Health Department Council Hearing, date 4-7-50 Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action NTIAC 2 ESITA 11 63 R P 24 (perperint STEWART O

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8010</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lloyd R. Brenn to divide into two parcels and build one residence on each, being the Northeast 200 ft. of the Southeast 435.6 ft. of Lot 9, Block 18, Encanto Heights, on Klauber St., near East Broadway, Zone R-2, on the condition that the owner grant an easement 10 ft. in width for the widening of Wren St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 5 _____, 1950

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4576

FORM 2145

	V 11
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	<u>Collect</u> <u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee $4-5-50$ Decision 3 . Appendix to City Clerk $4-7-50$ Planning Commission $4-7-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 4-5-5 Building Inspector <u>4-7-5</u>
	Council Hearing, date
	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8034</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles F. and Mae Darlene Leighton to construct a single family residence on the Northwesterly 1/2 of Lot 19, Gardena Home Tract, the first lot East of 4811 Gardena Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 5 , 1950

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4577

Application Received By /an Hise
City Planning Department
Investigation made By By
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date
Decision approval Date 4-5-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-7-50 Building Inspector 4-7-50
Decision Approximation $4-7-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-7-50$ Planning Commission $4-7-50$ Planning Commission $4-7-50$ Petitioner $4-7-50$ Health Department $4-7-50$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Desision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cape Cottage Homes, Inc., to maintain an 8 ft. by 12 ft. sign, advertising the subdivisions of Medina Terrace and Colonial Manor, on the Northeast 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 182, at the corner of Hill St. and Catalina Blvd., Zone R-1.

This permit to expire 9 months from the date of this Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19⁵⁰

Ву____

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Dated

April 5

	V //
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	<u>Cillen +</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-5-5-50</u> Decision <u>5-5-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1</u> Planning Commission Petitioner	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector Health Department
Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Perclution becomes effective	Continued to
Annualion with a second	Date of action

1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8051</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. R. Townsend Co., Inc., to construct six light standards, and maintain two existing light standards and three ft. fence posts, with no setback, on Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 1, Fairmount Annex No. 1, on the Southeast corner of Fairmount Ave. and El Cajon Blvd., Zone C, on the condition that an Agreement be signed by the owner to the effect that the light standards and fence posts will be removed at no expense to the City, when required to do so for street widening.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13278, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.



Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____Apr:11_5_____, 19_50

FORM 2145

Ву____

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4579

Application Received B- 50 By and City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-5-50</u> By <u>Clifee+</u> <u>City Planning Department</u>
11. Zaning Committee 11 C - Hopping data
Considered by Zoning Committee $4-3-30$ Hearing date Decision Date $4-3-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-7-50$ Planning Commission $4-7-50$ Petitioner $4-7-50$ Planning Commission $4-7-50$ Petitioner $4-70-50$ Health Department $4-7-50$ Council Hearing, date Date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action

TT SHARE

,

and the first is

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7996</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James L. and Elizabeth Natilie O'Connor to construct an addition to an existing residence which has a 3 ft. sideyard, the addition to observe a 5 ft. rear yard but maintaining the required sideyard, Lots 1, 2 and 3, Elock 20, North Florence Heights, and Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 20, except the East 45 ft. thereof, and portions of Hunter St. closed and Randolph St. closed, Arnold and Choate's Addition, 4245 Randolph St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>April 5</u>, 19<u>50</u>

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 3-29-50 By By City Planning Department	
Investigation made 4-5-50 By allew + Su	stone
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date	
Decision Charanal Date 4-5-50	
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-7-50 Building Inspector 4-7-50	
Decision Ceptional Date 4-5-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-7-50 Planning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner 4-7-50 Health Department	4-7-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	-
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	Without double the second s
Application withdrawn Continued to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Time limit extended to Date of action	

1.11

 WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7995</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James L. and Elizabeth Natilie O'Connor to erect an addition to an existing residence, said addition to maintain a 10 ft. setback from Randolph St., being Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 20, North Florence Heights, the West 28 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Elock 20, Arnold and Choate's Addition, and portions of Hunter St. and Randolph St. closed, adjacent, Zone R-1, 4245 Randolph St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 5 , 1950

FORM 2145

By____

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4581

Application Received By By City Planning Department
100 19
Investigation made 4-5-50 By <u>Ullent</u> <u>Vector</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date
Decision approval Date 4-5-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-7-30</u> Building Inspector <u>9-7-30</u>
Decision Composition of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4}{7-50}$ Planning Commission $\frac{4}{7-50}$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date $\frac{4}{7-50}$ Health Department $\frac{4}{7-50}$ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action

1.

· · · · · · · · · · · · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8052</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Aleen Woods to erect seven living units (2 duplexes and 1 triplex) with 7 garages in the front, the garages to observe a 5 ft. setback, Lots 5 and 6, Block 126, Middletown, and the Easterly 1/2 of Union St. closed adjoining on the West, and the Northerly 10 ft. of Spruce St. adjacent, closed, on the South, being on the North side of Spruce St., between Horton Ave. and Union St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 5 _____, 1950

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4582

FORM 2145

Application Received $3 - 29 - 50$ By Investigation made $4 - 5 - 50$ By	<u>Cllen +</u> <u>City Planning Department</u>
Decision of Council	Date $\gamma_{-5} = 50$ Building Inspector $\gamma_{-7} = 50$ $\gamma_{-7} = 50$ Health Department $\gamma_{-7} = 50$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

, ,

.1 / / /

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4583</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. S.and Joan K. Kugel to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback on Thorn St., on that portion of Lots 8 through 12, inclusive, Block 103, City Heights, lying Southerly of the South line of Thorn St., and otherwise bounded by Manzanita Pl. and Fairmount Ave., and including a portion of Manzanita Pl. closed adjacent, Zones R-4 and C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_April 5

FORM 2145

By_

X Secretary

Zoning Engineer

, 150

TC
Application Received _ 3 - 29 - 50 By City Planning Department
City Flamming Department
nvestigation made H-5-50 By By
City Flaming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date Decision Upper Date Date Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Building Inspector <u>4-7-55</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Health Department Planning Commission Petitioner Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-7-50 Building Inspector 4-7-50
Planning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner 4-7-50 Health Department 4-7-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
(esolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Fime limit extended to Date of action

and the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8025</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. A. H. Vorpahl to construct an addition to an existing residence with approximately 12 ft. 6 in. rear yard, on Lot 366, Talmadge Park No. 2, 4380 Alder Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 5 , 19 50

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received By City Planning Department	-
intimendo 4-5-58 Bu (lllos + C) +	
Land by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date	
Decision $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $	
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

2.

Plant Marine

. . .

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8055</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lewis Kniffing, owner, and J. R. Rinder, purchaser, to divide, and construct a single family residence on the Northerly 92 ft. of the Easterly 120 ft. of Lot 14, Redlands Tract, and portion of 54th St. closed on the East, subject to a survey of the property by a licensed surveyor, and the submission of a plat showing the position of the adjacent residence to the South.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1056, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Refer to P. Q. B.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 5 , 19 50

FORM 2145

Ву____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4585

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-5-5</u> By	1000 19
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50	Hearing date
Decision Considered by Zonnig Committee $\frac{1}{7-30}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4-7-30}{7-50}$ Planning Commission $\frac{4-7-50}{7-50}$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 4 - 5 - 50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-7-50	Building Inspector 4-9-50
Planning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner	4-7-50 Health Department 4-7-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

2.

/ ...

WHEREAS, Application No.8039 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. O. S. Harbaugh and First National Trust and Savings Bank to build and operate an auto parking lot on Lot 32, Gilcher Tract, 6069 El Cajon Blvd., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. The drainage from the parking lot to be so controlled, that the surface water does not run onto adjoining private property:
- 2. A 3 ft. planting strip to be maintained on the South and East property lines, and a 6 ft. hedge to be planted and maintained in said planting strip at all times;
- Adequate bumpers to stop cars short of the hedge, shall be erected and maintained adjacent to the South and East property lines;
- 4. The hedge and bumpers on the South property line to be extended to the property line on 60th St.;
- 5. The parking lot to be adequately surfaced and maintained to prevent mud and/or dust on the lot.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 5 , 190

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4586

(over)

FORM 2145

and the second second second	() (00)
Application Received	By City Planning Department
	By Cllent Durton
Investigation made $4-5-5-5-5$	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee / 4-5-5	City Planning Department Department Date 4-5-50 Building Inspector 4-7-50 Health Department 4-7-50 Council Hearing, date Date
Decision and appearat	Date 4-5-50
Copy of Resolution sent/to City Clerk 4-7-3	Building Inspector 4-7-50
Planning Commission 9-7-3-8 Feithoner	Council Hearing, date
	Date
prolution becomes effective	
A lightion withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8020</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clyde M. and Loretta Richards, owner, and Bert E. and Loraine I. Cole, purchasers, to use and maintain the second floor of a store building as an apartment, with no side yard, on Lot 39 and the Westerly 5 ft. of Lot 40, Block 8, Reed and Hubbell's Addition, 2960 National Ave. (store) and 2958 National Ave. (apt.), Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 5 _____, 1950

FORM 2145

By__

Secretery

Zoning Engineer

7/1/1/0
Application Received By City Planning/Department
Investigation made H-5-50 By By Cillent Sustan City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date
Decision (leptranal Date 4-5-50
Decision Upperaval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4-7-52}{7-52}$ Building Inspector $\frac{4-7-52}{7-52}$ Planning Commission $4-7-52$ Petitioner $4-7-52$ Health Department $4-7-52$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Planning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner 4-7-50 Health Department 4-7-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8023</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Daket, Inc., to construct a single family residence with a 3 ft. sideyard on the Westerly side, Lot 16, Block 8, La Jolla Beach, the North side of Sea Lane, approximately 100 ft. West of La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 5______, 19_50

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer
	V / /
	plast.
Application Received _2-31-50	By and City Planning Department
	1000 19
Investigation made	By Allent Custon
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Dommittee 4-5-5	v Hearing date
Decision Demial	Date $4_{-} \leq -5^{\circ}$ Building Inspector $4_{-}7_{-}5^{\circ}$ $4_{-}7_{-}5^{\circ}$ Health Department $4_{-}7_{-}5^{\circ}$ Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-7-52	Building Inspector <u>4-1-50</u>
Planning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner	4-7-50 Health Department 4-7-30
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second of the second second

12.77

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8011</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. D. Sherman, owner, and H. O. Ware, purchaser, to divide into two parcels and construct a single family residence on each, as follows: (1) The Southerly 76 ft. of Lot 1; (2) Lot 1, except the Southerly 76 ft.; Soledad Terrace, on the East side of Collingwood Dr., at Loring St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>April 5</u>, ¹⁹50 FORM 2145 By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application Received _3_24-50 By an fice City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>Y-5-50</u> Hearing date
Decision Continue $4-7-50$ Date $4-5-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-7-50$ Building Inspector $4-7-50$ Planning Commission $4-7-50$ Petitioner $4-7-50$ Health Department $4-7-50$ Petitioner $4-7-50$ Health Department $4-7-50$ Phealth Department $4-7-50$ Phea
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-1-50 Building Inspector 4-1-50
lanning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner 4-7-50 Health Départment 4-9-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

,

1 /

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8018</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to William M. Brooks to erect a sign 5 ft. 4 in. by 7 ft. 6 in., double face, on a pole not attached to a building, on Lots 27 through 42, Block 79, Pacific Beach, Chalcedony St. and Mission Elvd., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance 8924, Section Sc, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

note Cou. Res 97562

following

Dated <u>April 5</u>, 19<u>50</u>

By___

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

	60/1
	Ph l h
Application Received _3 - 78 - 50	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Cllen+ Juston
Investigation	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-5	Bearing date
Decision ()	Date 4-5-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Difference Commission Petition	Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 4-7-5	Council Hearing, date <u>4-18-1950</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>4-7-5</u> Decision of Council <u>Appeal Systained</u>	Date 4-18-1950
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

97562

That the appeal of W. M. Brooks, Colonial Hotel, La Jolla, from the Zoning Committee decision in denying his application for permission to erect a sign 5 ft.4 in. by 7 ft.6 in. double face, on a pole not attached to a building, on Lots 27 through 42, Block 79, Pacific Beach, Chalcedony Street and Mission Blvd., in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained and the Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled and denied.

	FRED W		SICK	
			Ci	ty Clerk.
Bv	HELEN	м.	WILLIG	
Dy				Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

97502

That the appeal of W. M. Brooks, Colonial Hotel, La Jolla, from the Zoning Committee decision in denying his application for permission to areat a sign 5 ft.4 in. by 7 ft.6 in. double face, on a pole not attached to a building, on Lots 27 through \$2, Block 79, Pacific Beach, Chalcedony Street and Mission Blvd., in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained and the Zoning

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 97562 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council <u>April 18, 1950</u>

/ Clerk.			FRED V	
	WILLIG	• M	HELEN	Bv
Deputy.				

ACON FORM 1270

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8017</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ not _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to D. W. Jack to maintain an existing concrete block wall fence in front of the setback line, Lot 20, Block 1, Lamont Terrace, 4890 Academy St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 1950____, 1950_____,

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By___

71.77
16//1/10/ 0/
Application Received By /. V. City Planning Department
Investigation made By Cllent Ourton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-50 Hearing date
Date (= m
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4-7-50}{7-50}$ Building Inspector $\frac{4-7-50}{7-50}$ Planning Commission $4-7-50$ Petitioner $4-7-50$ Health Department $4-7-50$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Planning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner 4-7-50 Health Department 4-7-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7977</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth E. Creel to build a car port with no sideyard, 35 ft. from the front property line of Lot 9, Mission Cliffs Manor, on Franciscan Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 5 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>3.79-50</u> By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-5-50</u> By <u>Allent</u> <u>button</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $4-5-55$ Hearing date Decision Commission Committee $4-5-55$ Hearing date Date $4-5-55$ Building Inspector $4-7-55$ Planning Commission $4-7-55$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8015</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. M. Taylor to construct a restaurant with parking areas, on Lots 14 through 17 and the closed alley adjoining, Block 3, Stephen's Addition, on the West side of Revere Ave., approximately 200 ft. North of Glendora St., Zone R-4, on the following condition:

The parking areas to be surfaced, and a fence or hedge to be constructed back of the setback line on Revere St. and adjacent to the North line of the property, if the parking areas abut the R-4 zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 5 , 19 50

FORM 2145

Secretary

Application Received 3-30 By fair fire City Planning Department
nvestigation made 4-5-50 By Allen A Juston
Considered by Zoning Committee $4-5-50$ Hearing date Decision Cong. Approach Date $4-5-50$ Date $4-5-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-7-50$ Building Inspector $4-7-50$ Planning Commission $4-7-50$ Petitioner $4-7-50$ Health Department $4-7-50$ Inpeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date
Decision Cong. appeared Date 4-5-50
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-7-50 Building Inspector 4-7-50
lanning Commission 4-7-50 Petitioner 4-7-50 Health Department 4-7-50
oppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Coulicit
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

• .

RESOLUTION NO. 4594 - see 5013

see 4509

Letter dated March 13, 1950, from A. J. Sutherland

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4509, dated March 8, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. N. McBride to construct a single family residence on a portion of Lot 2, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, with a 15 ft. setback to be observed on Conde St. and Pine St., Block 497, Old San Diego, on the Southerly corner of the intersection, Zone R-1, on the following condition:

That the owners of Lot 1 and portion of Lot 2 adjoining, A. J. and Estella W. Sutherland, sign an Agreement to the effect that the Southwesterly 50 ft. of Lot 2 and an adjoining portion of Lot 1, Block 497, Old San Diego, will always be kept as one parcel, and will never be sold separately.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

relate to the property described above. Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is Commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_March 15

By

, 1950

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Petitione Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Hearing date Date Building Inspector r Health Department Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7898</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Don H. and Olive Scott, owners, and Ray L. and Mildred L. Vandagriff, Jr., purchasers, to erect a single family residence on Lot 1, except the Northwesterly 8 ft. thereof, Block 20, Sunset Cliffs, on the Westerly corner of Alhambra St. and Froude St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 19 , 1950

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

	7()//. (
Application Received By By	1. M. Jouney
	City Planning/Department
	1 1 1 1 7
Investigation made By	allen, Sustan
3-22-50	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-19-58 Hea	aring date
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-19-55 Hea Decision Monified approved Dat Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-9-50 Bui Planning Commission 4-21-56 Petitioner 4- Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Copy	e 4-19-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-10-50 Bui	Iding Inspector 4- 21-50
Planning Commission 4-21-50 Petitioner 4-	21-50 Health Department 4-21-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou	incil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Dat	
Resolution becomes effective	
	itinued to
Time limit extended to Dat	e of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8037</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOL**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Jenevie A. Shutes, owner, and Paul R. and Marion Flack, purchasers, to divide and erect a single family residence on Lot 14 and the Westerly 5 ft. of Lot 13, Block 4, Golden Park Addition, being the first property West of 3333 Harbor View Dr., Zone R-1, on the condition that the remainder of Lot 13 will never be used as a separate building site.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_April 19 , 1950

By___

XSecretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

	-1()// (° 11
Application Received By	1. V 10 Count
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4=19-5</u> By	allen, Langaster "
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee A 4-19-32	Hearing date
Decision and approval	Date 4-19-50
Decision on a sproud Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-20-50</u> Planning Commission <u>4-21-50</u> Petitioner <u>4</u>	Building Inspector 4- 21-50
Planning Commission 4-21-50 Petitioner 4	- 21-50 Health Department 4- 21-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8047</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. O. and Virginia M. Kellogg, M. D., to erect a medical building and parking lot with no setback, on Lots H, I and J, Block 358, Horton's Addition, on the West side of 4th Ave. between Redwood St. and Spruce St., Zone R-4, on the condition that the parking lot extend from the North line of the property to the building, and to extend to the West for the same depth as the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated April 19 , 1950

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4597

FORM 2145

Application Received By	an fice City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-19-5</u> By	Allen, Laureaster + Juston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-19-5-0H	earing date
Decision ones approval D	ate 4-19-50
Copy of Resolution sent/to City Clerk 4-20-50B	uilding Inspector <u>4-21-50</u>
Copy of Resolution sent/to City Clerk <u>4-20-50</u> B Planning Commission <u>4-21-50</u> Petitioner <u>4-</u>	->1-50 Health Department 4-21-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn C	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

and the second second

. . . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4598</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter and Alice Dennis, owners, and Harvey and Delia Brabant, purchasers, to construct a single family residence on the Easterly 30 ft. of Lot 5 and the Westerly 20 ft. of Lot 6, Block 19, Point Loma Heights, 3668 Tennyson St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

	7/1///
and the second sec	
Application Received <u>3-31-50</u> By	- 1. Comel
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 4-19-50 By	(Ille No ante and into
Investigation made <u>4-19-50</u> By	City Planning Department
C iland by Zoning Committee / 19	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-19-50</u> Decision approval	Date $4 - 19 = 50$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-20-30	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 4- 21 - 50 Petitioner	U
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	

· · ·

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8022</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. J. Burns to manufacture auto and furniture polish in a portion of an existing garage, with no machinery, a maximum of 15 hours per week, no signs, no employees, and with a maximum of 50 cubic ft. storage in the garage, on Lots 31 and 32, Block 179, University Heights, 4030-32 Centre St., Zone R-4.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_April 19 , 1950

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

FORM 2145

1	
Application Received By	and time of
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-19-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee A 4-19-5 dt	Iearing date
Decision Cong. approval D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>Y-20-50</u> B	Building Inspector $4 - 21 - 30$
Planning Commission 1/ - 5 Petitioner 9	- >1-3 0 Health Department 9- >1-3 0
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Allillation	Date of action

at a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7879</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Allen F. and Elizabeth B. Prickett to erect a 3 ft. retaining wall with a 6 ft. solid redwood fence on top, along the alley, on the Westerly 45 ft. of Lots 29 through 32, Block 14, Center Addition to La Jolla Park, 1227 Pearl St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-[§]truction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the [§]ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal ⁱs filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 19 , 1950

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4600

FORM 2145

	000
Application Received <u>4-2-3</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-19-50</u> By	Allen, ancaster Quet
a identid by Zoning Committee 4 18 50	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-20-57</u> Planning Commission <u>4-21-57</u> Petitioner	Building Inspector $4-21-50$ 4-21-50 Health Department $4-21-50$
Anneal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second second