WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7971</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Christina M. Bryan to split and erect a single family residence on the West 38 ft. of the East 79 ft. of Lots 21 through 24, Block 6, Frary Heights, 3114 Redwood St., Zone R-2, on the condition that a surfaced parking space be provided on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____April 19 _____, 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4601

Application Received <u>4-4-50</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>	/
Investigation made <u>4-19-50</u> By <u>By Cleur Acue astern</u>	to
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>14-19-50</u> Hearing date	
Decision Cong. approved Date 4-19-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-2-50 Building Inspector 4-21-50 Planning Commission 4-21-50 Petitioner 4-21-50 Health Department 4-21-50	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-2-50 Building Inspector 4-21-50	
Planning Commission 4-21-50 Petitioner 4-21-30 Health Department 4-21-30	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

state for

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8075</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**NOT**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**NOT**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph Owashi to construct a dormitory dwelling on a 1-acre parcel which is a portion of Lot 78, Rancho de la Nacion, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, South of Division St. at 66th St., Zone R-1A; on the following conditions:

- 1. That the owner grant a 25 ft. easement for the widening of Division St.;
- 2. This permit to expire on June 30, 1955.

AGG. 650

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4314, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 19 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

	211.110
Application Received $4-5-50$ E	By I W / clowell
	City Flamming Department
	By <u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-19-50</u> Decision Cours . Approval	Date 4-19-50
Planning Commission 4-21-52Petitioner	Date $4 - 19 - 50$ Building Inspector $4 - 21 - 50$ 4 - 21 - 50 Health Department $4 - 21 - 50$ Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action
	SUR
	DEL #1
5	5
1 10 169 168 on	37. 69 160 159 F
	1
	4
	The second secon
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.4
11	
I	

The

-

RESOLUTION NO. 4603

Letter dated April 4, 1950

WHEREAS, Application Not ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4251, be granted to James E. and Frances L. Peterson to construct a second duplex across the lot line, with 9 ft. 6 in. access to the street, on Lots 37 and 38, Block 7, Ocean Beach Park, 4651 Muir St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_April 19 , 1950

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

oll 4251 L

r
Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made H-19-50 By By By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-19-50</u> Hearing date Decision Approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-10-50</u> Building Inspector <u>4-21-50</u> Planning Commission <u>4-21-50</u> Petitioner <u>4-21-50</u> Health Department <u>4-21-50</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-20-50 Building Inspector 7-21-50 Planning Commission 7-21-50 Planning Commission 7-21-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8056</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Post War Investment Co., owner, and Accounting Corporation of America, operator, to construct an addition to an office building which exists in the "C" Zone, said addition to overlap 10 ft. into the "R-4" Zone, being the Westerly 10 ft. of Lots H and I, Block 233, Horton's Addition, 1927-1st Ave.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 19 , 1950

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4604

Application Received By	City Planning/Department
	Aller, ane aster + Sector City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-19-50</u> Decision Demia Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-0-5</u>	Hearing date
Decision Remain	Date 4-19-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7	Building Inspector <u>41-50</u>
Planning Commission 4-21-50 Petitioner	Y-21-3 Health Department 4-21-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

285

A REAL PROPERTY OF

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8007</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert Hellmuth to alter storage space to an apartment, with 5-1/2 ft. access court to this 4th living unit on Lot 36 and the Northwest 1/2 of Lot 35, Block 47, Ocean Beach, 4847 Coronado Ave., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. That an Agreement be signed by the owner, to the effect that Lots 34 through 36 will always be held in one ownership, and will never be sold separately:
- 2. That a total of 5 garages be maintained for 7 living units on Lots 34 through 36, at all times. A 649

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 19 , 1950

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

	7/1/1/01
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>+-19-50</u> Decision <u>appraual</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u></u>	4-21-50 Health Department 4-21-30
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

the state of the second s

· 10

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8085</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Emil and Daisy Dobler to build a 15 ft. by 40 ft. addition to a store which is attached to a residence, the existing store having a 1 ft. sideyard, and the addition to have a 4 ft. sideyard, Lots 12 and 13, Block 175, San Diego Land and Town Company's Addition, 2146 Logan Ave., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 19 , 1950

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4606

\/·//
Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made _ 4-19-50 By Alley City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-19-50 Hearing date
Decision Monifies approval Date 4-19-30 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-20-50 Building Inspector 4-21-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-20-50 Building Inspector 4-21-50
Planning Commission 4- 21-50 Petitioner 4- 21-30 Health Department 4- 21-30
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8071</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Mrs. Cornelia G. Rand to construct a 12 ft. by 12 ft. portecochere over the driveway and attached to the residence, with no sideyard, 45 ft. from the front property line, Lot 22, Block 3, El Retiro, 4722 College Ave., Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_April 19 , 19 50

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

(h)
Application Received 4-10-30 By City Planning Department
nvestigation made 4-19-50 By Uller, Lancaster futo
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-19-50 Hearing date
Decision Quical Date 4-19-30
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-20-50</u> Building Inspector <u>1-21-50</u> lanning Commission <u>1-21-50</u> Petitioner <u>1-21-50</u> Health Department <u>1-21-50</u>
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date Date
esolution becomes effective Continued to
pplication withdrawn Continued to Date of action

1 N 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7915</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles R. Irvine to erect a residence whose garage and playroom (1,146 sq. ft.) will have a 4 ft. rear yard, Lot 3, Block 3, Hermosa Terrace, on the East side of Camino de la Costa, 180 ft. South of Windamar Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ April 19 . 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

	X //
A W A D int // D D	lasting
Application Received B	City Planning Department
	(111 d ta) to
Investigation made <u>4-19-5-8</u> B	y Man ancaster fun
Λ	City Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Complittee <u>4-19-50</u> Decision Approximation Sent to City Clerk <u>4-19-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-19-50</u>	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 4-19-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22-5	Building Inspector 4-21-50
Planning Commission	4-21-30 Health Department 4-21-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Deta
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	and the second
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8061</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Durer B. and Bonnie Mae Brooks to construct a single family residence on the East 55 ft. of the West 80 ft. of the North 390 ft. of Lot K, La Mesa Colony, and 10 ft. of street closing on Amherst St., being on the South side of Amherst St. at 69th St., Zone R-1, on the condition that said residence will be located within 200 ft. of Amherst St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 19 , 19 50

By__

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

7/1/10 11
Application Received <u>4-11-50</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>
Investigation made By By By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>14-19-50</u> Hearing date Decision Cong. approved Date <u>4-19-50</u>
Decision Cong. approved Date 4-19-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4- 10-50 Building Inspector 4-21-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-20-50</u> Building Inspector <u>4-21-50</u> Planning Commission 4-21-50 Petitioner <u>4-21-50</u> Health Department <u>4-21-50</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

¥.

١

4

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8087</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

page 13

Permission is hereby granted to Levi Estate, owner, and Camino del Rio Properties, lessee, to construct 900 ft. of 6 ft. chain link fence along Camino del Rio, with 300 ft. of additional fence along the East line of the property, Lot 1, Pueble Lot 1105, Zone R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_April 19 , 1950

By_

Zoning Engineer

	1011
Application Received <u>4-11-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-19-50</u> By	
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-19-50	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date $4-19-50$ Building Inspector $4-21-50$ 4-21-50 Health Department $4-21-50$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4- 20-50	Building Inspector <u>4-21-50</u>
Planning Commission 4 - 21 - 50 Petitioner	4 - 21 - 50 Health Department $4 - 21 - 50$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8088</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. M. and Grace Fitzsimons to erect a single family residence with a setback of 13.1 ft., on the North portion of Lot 15, Redland Tract, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, 4776 - 54th St., Zone R-1.

That Resolution No. 4477, dated February 23, 1950, be amended by eliminating the 15 ft. setback on the property above-described, as required in said resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 19 , 1950

FORM 2145

By____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

	() () ()
Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-19-</u>	5 Hearing date Date 4-19-50 Building Inspector 4-21-50 Health Department 4-21-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action
122	
	is as as
0 ⁰	in Rep. Co
Concurr	K. * 5
· · ·	30
	in all in the second seco
	South Result
176	Continer Ave.
	THCATED
	14

1

Wind a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8089</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. A. and Anne Schrader to erect a single family residence with a 10.67 ft. setback, on the South portion of Lot 15, Redland Tract, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, 4770 - 54th St., Zone R-1.

That Resolution No. 4477, dated February 23, 1950, be amended by eliminating the 15 ft. setback on the property above-described, as required in said resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, and No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>April 19</u>, 19<u>50</u>

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4612

Application Received <u>4-11-50</u> By City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>4 - 19 - 150</u> By	
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-19-5-Hearing date	
Decision alphoned Date 4-19-50	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-20-5-Building Inspector 4-21-50	
Planning Commission 4-21-50 Petitioner 4-21-50 Health Department 4-21	- 30
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8081</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul and Gladys Raynaud to retain an existing 22 ft. by 26 ft. foundation and slab and erect a garage thereon, with a 1 ft. sideward and a 5 ft. 4 in. rear yard, Lot 161, Block 7, Crown Point, 3651 Riviera Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 19 , 19 50

FORM 2145

Ву_____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

	711/10
Application Received <u>1-1-5-0</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{y-19-50}{0}$ By	only I lamming Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector $4 - 21 - 50$ 7 - 21 - 50 Health Department $4 - 21 - 50$
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8073</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leslie and Doris Hamm to maintain an existing full-time photographic business, with 5% of the pictures taken in the home and 95% of the pictures taken elsewhere, all the processing (printing and developing) done in a dark room in the garage, Lot 15, Block 83, Point Loma Heights, 4421 Santa Cruz Ave., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. No advertising of the address;
- 2. No employees;
- 3. No signs;
- 4. This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 19 , 190

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secrexary

Re:

Res. No. 4614

•

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8107</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. S. and Ellen G. Kolbeck to erect a residence with a 15 ft. setback on Lots 23 through 25, Block 36, Morena, being the first property North of 2528 Denver St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 19

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

. 190

Res. No. 4615

	71) 11001	
Application Received $4 - 1 - 5 - 5 - 8$	y City Planning Department	
Investigation made $4-19-50$ By	y _ <u>Clillen, Kancaster & Lut</u> City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 4-19-00 Hearing date		
Decision Approval	Date 4-19-50	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-20-5</u> Building Inspector <u>4-21-50</u> Planning Commission <u>4-21-50</u> Petitioner <u>4-21-50</u> Health Department <u>4-21-50</u>		
Planning Commission 4-21-50 Petitioner	4-21-50 Health Department 4-21-50	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

.

State Line

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8084</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Weidler Bard Musselman and Mary Idalaine Rogers, to erect two additions, a living room wing and a garage wing, to an existing residence on Lot 8, Block B, Nettleship Tye Tract No. 2, 5024 Windsor Dr., Zone R-1, with a 3 ft. 6 in. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 19 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

STOLEDERY

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4616

Application Received <u>4-17-5-8</u>	Y City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 19 - 50$ By	<u>Allen Aqueaster & Quite</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-19-57	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 4-19-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-20-5	Building Inspector <u>4-21-50</u>
Planning Commission 4-21-50 Petitioner	4 - 21 - 50 Health Department $4 - 21 - 50$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl D. Squier to erect a 5 ft. solid board fence on top of a 3 ft. retaining wall, Lot 13, Dixon's Subdivision No. 2, 3927 Santa Cruz Ave., Zone R-1, back of the setback line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 19 , 1950

By____

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4617

Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made By By By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-19-50</u> Hearing date Decision <i>Approval</i> Date 4-19-50
Decision approval Date 4-19-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-20-30 Building Inspector 1-21-30
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-20-50</u> Building Inspector <u>1-21-50</u> Planning Commission 1-21-50 Petitioner <u>1-21-50</u> Health Department <u>1-21-50</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

ε.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8074</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**NOt**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**NOt**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Beatrice M. Sweeney, owner, and Margaret Thomas Doane and Graeme Stewart Doane, purchasers, to split out a portion of Pueblo Lot 1286, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, being the property adjoining 2125 Torrey Pines Road on the South, which property does not have frontage on a dedicated street, but fronts on an easement, and erect a single family residence thereon, Zone R-1, on the following condition:

That no portion of the house be constructed within the most Southerly 75 ft. of the lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_April 19 , 1950

By____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

	7/1/1/
Application Received	By I h / Comel
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 19 - 5 - 2$	By Allen, dans acter & Jun City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-19-</u> Decision <u>4-19-</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-20-</u> Planning Commission <u>4-21-50</u> Petitione Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council <u></u> Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Torrey lines Jorrey Lines Reserves by	Alist
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8094</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: page 257

Permission is hereby granted to Marion K. and Reese F. Morgan to erect a single family residence, barns and servants' quarters, making two kitchens, on a portion of Lot 2, Pueblo Lot 1105, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on Friars Road, West of 6th St. Extension, Zone R-1A, on the following condition:

That an Agreement be signed by the owner to the effect that the said servants' quarters will never be rented nor sold separately from the main residence on the lot. A G G. \pm 649

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8094, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____April 19 , 130_

By

Zoning Engineer

XXXXXXX

Re

Res. No. 4619

FORM 2145

riment acter & Quito
57 ent 8- 21-57
0.5
N

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Otis Allen to built a 100 sq. ft. addition to an existing residence which has a 1 ft. side yard, the addition to conform to the regular City Ordinance, Lot 24, Block 78, Power's Subdivision, 2919 L St., Zone R-4, provided that a surfaced parking space is maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ April 19 , 1950

By

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4620

FORM 2145

(1)
17/1
Application Received <u>4-13-50</u> By
City Planning Department
Investigation made 4-19-50 By ellengence aten + Vento
City Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-19-50</u> Hearing date Decision add. approved Date <u>4-19-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-20-50</u> Building Inspector <u>4-21-50</u> Planning Commission <u>4-21-50</u> Petitioner <u>4-21-50</u> Health Department <u>4-21-50</u>
Decision Condl. approval Date 4-19-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-20-50 Building Inspector 4-21-50
Planning Commission 4-21-50 Petitioner 4-21-50 Health Department 4-21-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7765</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOT**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: Page 142

Permission is hereby granted to Dennstedt Investment Co. to re-divide into two building sites as follows: (1) Lot 1, except the East 10 ft., all of Lot 2, and the East 5 ft. of Lot 3; (2) the West 25 ft. of Lot 3 and all of Lot 4; being Lots 1 through 4, Block 3, Sunrise Tract, Euclid Ave. and Fir St., Zone R-1, on the condition that a 10 ft. easement for the widening of Euclid Ave. be granted to the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_April 19 , 1950

By____

XSecretery

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received B	y au City Planning Department
Investigation made By Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{7-25-50}{9-50}$	(ity Planning Lenariment
Considered by Zohing Committee $\frac{q}{q-1} = \frac{3}{2}$	Date $\neq -19 - 50$
Decision Modifies approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-21-5</u>	Building Inspector 4 - 24-50
Planning Commission 4- 21-5-3 Petitioner	4- >1- 5° Health Department 4- 21-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date 37
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 1 1 4

WHEREAS, Apprix dated April 17, 1950 has

has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4575, dated April 5, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. H. McKee to construct three model homes, using one as a tract office, on Lots 1, 2 and 5, Collwood Park No. 3 (Tentative Map) at the corner of 63rd St. and Mesita Dr., Zone R-1, with two 4 ft. by 8 ft. signs, one on Lot 2, and one on Lot 24, at the corner of 63rd St. and Catoctin St.

This permit to expire one year from the date of this Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>April 19</u>, 1950

FORM 2145

By

Secretury

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4622

Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-19-50</u> By <u>Uller</u> , <u>Guess tu b</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>Y-19-50</u> Hearing date Decision Uppional Date 9-19-50
Decision approval Date 4-19-50
Conv of Pocolition sent to (ity (lerk 7-3/-50 Billding Inspector 9-3/-50
Planning Commission 4- 21-50 Petitioner 4-21-50 Health Department 4-21-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

,

.

4

RESOLUTION NO. 4623 Letter dated February 16, 1950, from Walter W. Rockey, agent, and Letter dated April 11, 1950, from Robert B. Watts, attorney, WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4364, dated January 11, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold D. Koontz and Mary L. Koontz, and Robert S. and Edwina D. Bertschy, to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1280, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, being approximately 800 ft. East of La Jolla Shores Dr., Zone R-1, into two building sites without street frontage, thethe easements to be as follows:

- 1. The Bertschy property to have frontage on a 60 ft. easement from La Jolla Shores Dr.;
- The Koontz property to have a 20 ft. easement from Avenida de la 2. Playa. ato essenent 5-6-50 filed 5-31-50 Book 3640 Pg. 303.

This approval subject to a 40 ft. easement of record extending from F.wm. the Northeasterly corner of the Koontz property to that 60 ft. ease- 1/12/2 ment, which is an extension of Paseo Dorado -- said 40 ft. easement to be located along and across the Easterly boundaries of the Koontz and Bertschy properties.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 19 , 19 50 FORM 2145

By

Zoning Engineer

Secretery

Res. No. 4623

over

P	
Lac	RI
Xellers	
Application Received	By uch
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4-19-50$ I	By allen a ton to fasta
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-19-53	2 Hearing date
Decision approach to City Clerk $\frac{4-24-5}{2}$ Planning Commission $4-24-5$ Petitioner	Date 7-19-50
Copy of Paralettion cont to City Clerk 4-26-	Building Inspector 4-34-57
Di Comissioni sent to City Cierne Petitioner	Y- 21-50 Hoalth Department Y 57
Planning Commission 9-21-3 - 1 cultoner	Council Hoaring 1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Det Council riearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	the state of the Lorente over the state is the

C. T. MIRE S. SING PROMINE CHAS

The submerse of a contraction of the

to the second and herry a council

a sound of the trade of the second of real

the stores in allocal the manual films of she first

The second s

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and Ordinance No. 8924, Section LS, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

,

RESOLUTION NO. 4624 see 5087 \$ 5484

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8072</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Garrettson Estate, owner, and T. R. Tanner, purchaser, to erect and operate a Drive-In Theatre, 1,000 car capacity, to be partially in the M-1 Zone, on Lots 21 through 28, Block 265, and on Lots 25 through 48, Block 264, between Main St. and Cottonwood St., and between Thor St. and Rigel St., on the following condition:

That the drainage situation on the property be solved to the satisfaction of the City Engineer, and that plans for any drainage structures involved be approved by the City Engineer before the commencement of any construction.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 19 , 1950

h

FORM 2145

By ____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>4-17-50</u> By <u>Automatication By City Planning Department</u> Investigation made <u>4-19-50</u> By <u>lleu accaster</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-19-50 Hearing date
Decision Cond appeared Date 4-19-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-21-50 Building Inspector 4-21-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-21-50</u> Building Inspector <u>7-21-50</u> Planning Commission <u>7-21-50</u> Petitioner <u>7-21-50</u> Health Department <u>7-21-50</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the same service a service and a service of the service of

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>\$125</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. O. Medina and Dr. F. M. Amaral to remodel an existing hotel building with no sideyard, a 6 ft. rear yard and 90% coverage, on Lot L. Block 60, Horton's Addition, at the corner of 7th Ave. and E St., Zone C, provided that an Agreement is signed by the owners to the effect that the upper floors cease in operation as a hotel or living quarters, within five years from the date of this Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

A. 628

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 19 , 1950

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4625

FORM 2145

P7R.
Application Received <u>4-18-57</u> By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-19-50</u> By <u>lllen</u> , <u>Amenater</u> Auto
A City Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-19-50</u> Hearing date Decision budd, above and Date <u>4-19-50</u>
Decision brad. approval Date $4 - 19 - 50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4 - 21 - 50$ Planning Commission $4 - 21 - 50$ Petitioner $4 - 21 - 50$ Health Department $8 - 21 - 50$
Planning Commission 4
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

and a first star bar do have the star some suite bedrage birg - a start

market - -

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8103</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William and Margery Van Dorn to construct a single family residence on a portion of Fueblo Lot 1256, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, 6603 Muirlands Dr., Zone R-1, pending the receipt of a letter from the owners, offering to dedicate a strip of land for public street purposes in conformity with the proposed Major Street Plan, for the extension of Nautilus St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 19 , 1950

By_____

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4626

	17.01	0
Application Received $4 - 4 - 4$	- 50 By City Planning Dep	artment
Investigation made	9-50 By Aick City Planning Dep	artment
Considered by Zoning Committe Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Planning Commission	cee <u>4-19-50</u> Hearing date Date <u>4-19-50</u> Clerk <u>4-19-50</u> Building Inspector <u>4-22</u> Septitioner <u>4-22</u> Health Departu	<u>-50</u> ment 4-21-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, dat Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date	and the set of the set
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action	
P 13-		
	Q isk	
N.		4.
DEL CANON		
C- CAMINO DEL CANON	2006	
A in the	j.	733
it i	and the second s	
50	200'	
MUIRLANDS		
M		
	ð)	
Clisher of		
10	a a rearro	
11ª	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
2		
	C.	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8054</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the W. B. Watson Construction Co. to construct a single family residence and attached garage on the Southerly 20.83 ft. of Lot 130 and all of Lot 129, Collwood Park Unit No. 1, on the Northwesterly corner of the intersection of Collier Ave. and Atlanta Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 5 _____, 1950

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretery

Res. No. 4627

FORM 2145

and the second sec	
Application Received By	law Hise
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-5-30</u> By	allen Justa
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-5-501	Hearing date
Decision C_{pb} In C_{pb} Decision C_{pb} Decision C_{pb} Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4-24-50}{2}$ Planning Commission $4-24-50$ Petitioner $4-50$ Petitioner Petition	Date 4-5-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-24-50 I	Building Inspector <u>4-24-50</u>
Planning Commission 4-24-50 Petitioner 4-	-24-50 Health Department 4-24-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Time limit extended to I	Date of action

,

. 11.

Letter dated April 20, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4625, dated April 19, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. O. Medina and Dr. F. M. Amaral to remodel an existing hotel building with no sideyard, a 6 ft. rear yard and 90% coverage, on Lot L, Block 60, Horton's Addition, at the corner of 7th Ave. and "E" St., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 26

FORM 2145

By_

, 19 50

Secrexacy

- then	/ M passes
Application Received By	City Planning Department
	Man dans to a Durt
Investigation made <u>4-19-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4- 26-50 Hea	ring date
Decision appraval Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-78-57</u> Buil Planning Commission <u>5-1-50</u> Petitioner <u>5-</u>	4-26-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-78-57 Buil	ding Inspector <u>5-1-50</u>
Planning Commission 5-1-50 Petitioner 5-	Health Department 5-1-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Con	tinued to
Time limit extended to Date	e of action

r

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7951</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the First National Trust and Savings Bank to erect a sign with a 12-1/2 ft. setback on Lot 4, Block 239, Middletown, 2967 Pacific Highway, Zone M-1, on the condition that an Agreement is signed by the owner to the effect that the said sign will be removed at his own expense, when and if the City requests it for street-widening purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. AGG 65/

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

pated_____April 19 , 1950

and the second

Zoning Engineer

By_

FORM 2145

V / /
Application Received By faul fie City Planning Department
City Flaming Department
- 11 Non tized to
Investigation made By Alley, Laucaster of the
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-19-50 Hearing date
Decision appeared Date 4-19-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-28-50 Building Inspector 5-1-50
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-19-50</u> Hearing date Decision Could appeared Date <u>4-19-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-28-50</u> Building Inspector <u>5-1-50</u> Planning Commission <u>5-1-50</u> Petitioner <u>5-1-50</u> Health Department <u>5-1-50</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8012</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of Persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold C. Brooks to split the North 1/2 of the North 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 29, Horton's Purchase in Ex-Mission Lands, except the streets, on the Southwest corner of Euclid Ave. and "A" Sts., Zone R-1, approximately as follows, and erect a single family residence on each:

- 1. Westerly 100 ft.;
- Easterly 100 ft. of the West 250 ft.; East 100 ft. of the West 350 ft.; 2.
- 3.
- 4. Easterly 200 ft.

Provided that the following conditions are complied with:

That easements affecting the property be recorded, reserving A. two strips of land 50 ft. in width and for the full depth of the property, from "A" St., South, for street purposes, and that an additional 15 ft. on each side of said street easements be reserved, in which area no building may be constructed.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be OVE revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

May 3 , 19<u>50</u> Dated___

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

the above easements to be located between Parcels 1 and 2, and between Parcels 3 and 4;

- B. That "A" St. be rough-graded to the City Engineer's grades, the grading to extend from Euclid Ave., West, to serve Parcels 4, 3 and 2;
- C. That a water main be installed in the street, satisfactory to the City Water Department;
- D. That a 15 ft. setback be maintained on "A" St.:
- E. All the houses to connect to the sewer, when said sewer becomes available

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Date of action	Time limit extended to
Continued to	Application withdrawn
Contraction and the second	Resolution becomes effective
Date	Decision of Council
Council Hearing, date	Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Petitioner 5-8-5 Health Department 5-8-5	62-8-22 noissimmod gninns19
K Building Inspector	Copy of Resolution sent/to City Cler
Date 5-2-2	Decision (and approved
62-2-2 Acaring date 5-2-2	Considered by Zoning Committee
4-2-53 City Planning Department	
By Chine Contraction	Investigation made
Dy How City Planning Department	Application Received
By Low By	Ser S berieved meiteriter A

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8090</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Dr. H. C. Smith to construct a residence and doctor's office, approximately 84% coverage, Lot 8, Block 11, La Jolla Shores No. 1, at Paseo del Ocaso and Avenida de la Playa, Zone R-C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____May 3

FORM 2145

By_

, 19 50

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

	Nº/1	
Application Received	By City Planning Department	
Investigation made $5 - 3 - 50$	City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-	5 Hearing date	
Decision Q_{excise} Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-4-5</u>	Date 5 - 3 - 50	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5- 1-	Building Inspector <u>5-5-50</u>	
Planning Commission 5-5-50 Petitioner 5-4-50 Health Department 5-5-50		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

A TOBLE

1

TELEPHONE

FORM 1270

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

98006

That the appeal of Dr. and Mrs. H. C. Smith, 628 South Westmoreland Avenue, Los Angeles 5, Galifornia, from the Zoning Committee decision in denying application No. 8090 by its Resolution No. 4631 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, to permit construction of a residence and doctor's office, approximately 84% coverage, on Lot 8 Block 11 La Jolla Shores No. 1, at Paseo del Ocaso and Avenida de la Playa in Zons R-C, be, and it is hereby overruled and denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

PRED W. SIOK City Clerk.

See Res # 4631 preceding

By.....BELEN M: WILLIG Deputy.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

98006

Thet the appeal of Dr. and Mrs. H. C. Smith, 628 South Westmereland Avenue, Los Angeles 5, Gelifornia, from the Zoning Committee decision in denying application No. 8090 by its Resolution No. 4631 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, to permit construction of a residence and doctor's office, approximately SAS coverage, on Lot 8 Spock 11 La Jolla Shores No. 1, at Passo del Ocaso and Avenida de la Playa in Zone R-C, be, and it is hareby overruled and demied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby

.benistaue

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No......98006

P张丽子 W. B王句玉 City Clerk.

Deputy.

GLILIW . M WEIGH

ylee feo # 4631

See less.# 4631 + # 98 006 preceing

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Dr. and Mrs. H. C. Smith, 628 South Westmoreland Avenue, Los Angeles 5, California, from the Zoning Committee decision in denying application No. 8090 by its Resolution No. <u>4631</u> for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, to permit construction of a residence and doctor's office, approximately 84% coverage, on Lot 8, Block 11 La Jolla Shores No. 1, at Paseo del Ocaso and Avenida de la Playa in Zone R-C, be, and it is hereby overruled and denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted to Dr. and Mrs. H. C. Smith, to construct a residence and doctor's office, on the above mentioned property, with approximately 69% coverage, as recommended by the Zoning Committee, under Document No. 417828.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Resolution No. 98006, adopted May 23, 1950, be, and it is hereby repealed.

BREGLUTION NO. 88338

OR LOTTONE: BE IT SECONAD, by the Council of the City of Ban Diego,

said Soming Committee decision is her oby austained. Plays in Done R-C, be, and it is hereby overwuled and denied, and 11 La Jolla -hores No. 1, at Passo del Conso and Avanida de la doctor's office, approximately Sam coverage, on Lot S, Block 892h, feddion 8a, to permit construction of a residence and lution No. 4031 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. Committee decision in Janying application No. 8090 by 100 Reno-Westmorel and evenue, Los Angeles 5, Galifornia, from the Soning That has appeal of Dr. and Mrs. M. C. Balth, 628 South

office, on the clove mentioned property, with approximately 69% to Dr. and Mrs. N. C. Smith, to construct a remidence and doctor in BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is baraby granted

Form 18V 4-4-41 5M

Printed in San Diego

Deputy

City Clerk

Donald L. Steinert

Bv _____

FRED W. SICK

I Hereby Certify the above to be a full, true and correct copy of Resolution No. 98378 of the Council of the City of San Diego, California, as adopted by said Council_____ JUN 2 2 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8076</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Margaret Livingston Buckley to construct a 4-unit apartment where four units already exist on the lot, the rear unit served by a 2 ft. 6 in. access court to an alley, the upstairs apartment with access to a 7 ft. court which widens to 9 ft. to the street, Lots 4, 5 and 6, Block 24, La Jolla Park, 7625 to 7635 Herschel Ave., Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 3 , 1950

By___

Secretary

ORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4632

	1/1/1/0 11
and the second sec	
Application Received 4-11-50 By	1 Dowell
	City Planning/Department
	MAN 18
Investigation made 4-19-50 By	Allen Surton & dancast
4-19-57	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-50 H	learing date
Decision 1 4	Data S - 2 ST
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-9-55 H Planning Commission 5-5-55 Petitioner 5	Building Inspector 5-5-50
Planning Commission 5-5-50 Petitioner 5	-4-50 Health Department 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8028</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl Warren, owner, and J. Andrew Kitzman, lessee, to permit a 7 ft. high corrugated aluminum fence to remain in connection with a plumbing shop, to enclose the storing of materials and equipment, on the West 1/2 of Lot 2 and all of Lot 1, Block 15, La Mesa Townsite, East of 7105 El Cajon Blvd., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1950

FORM 2145

By____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

	0101
10	(hl hat h
Application Received <u>4-11-50</u> B	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{4-19-50}{4-19-50}$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-50	
Desision (16t	Date 5 - 3 - 50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-9-50$ Discussion 5 Detitioner	Suilding Inspector <u>3-3-30</u> S-5-30Health Department 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1.16

tree where the second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7998</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Arthur H. Rackett, owner, and L. F. Vaughan, purchaser, to conduct an auto repair shop in an existing garage at the rear of Lots 37 and 38, Block 209, University Heights, 3952 Iowa St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 3 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-5-50</u> By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-5-50</u> He Decision	te 5-3-50
Decision Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-4-50</u> Bu Planning Commission <u>5-5-50</u> Petitioner <u>5</u>	ilding Inspector <u>5-5-50</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	
Resolution becomes effective Co	ntinued to
Time limit extended to Da	te of action

,

1.11-
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8050</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. Thomas J. McGrath to divide Lots 1 and 2, Block 3, Beverly Tract, 1205-51st St., Zone R-2, with permission to construct 2 single family residences on the Southerly 85 ft. thereof, and 1 single family residence on the Northerly 65 ft. thereof, on the following conditions:

- 1. That the owners of the Southerly 85 ft. of Lots 1 and 2 sign an Agreement to the effect that this parcel will AGG 655 always be held as one building site, and will never be sold separately;
- 2. That the owners of the Northerly 65 ft. of Lots 1 and 2 AGG 656 sign an Agreement to the effect that this parcel will always be held as one building site, and will never be sold separately;
- 3. That surfaced parking space for two automobiles be maintained on the Southerly 85 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, at all times.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 3 , 1950

FORM 2145

By____

Sectedaty

Application Received 3-30-50 By City Planning Department
the the the the the
Investigation made <u>4-5-50</u> By <u>Uleur Aucaster</u> un City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-50 Hearing date
Decision Cond approval Date 5-3-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-5-50 Building Inspector 5-5-50
Decision approval Date 5-3-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-5-50</u> Planning Commission <u>5-5-50</u> Petitioner <u>5-5-50</u> Health Department <u>5-5-50</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7580</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E.C. Rogness to re-divide into two parcels facing Dwight St., 60 ft. and 65 ft. wide respectively, and construct a two-family residence on each, and an additional sleeping room with a 13 ft. rear yard, being Lots 1 and 2, Block 1, Bungalow Park, on the Southeast corner of Dwight St. and Chamoune Ave., Zone R-2, on the following condition: A 66/

That an Agreement be signed by the owner to the effect that if at any time the property is so divided, or sold separately, each parcel shall have a surfaced parking area off-street, for each living unit thereon, before the division is made.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Ву____

Secretary

	1) 01 2
7	
Application Received 3	Juston
*	City Planning Department
Investigation made _ 4- 5- 5 By	allen ancastering hu
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-55 Hez	e - 3 - 50
Decision Dat Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>- 4 - 5 Bui</u> Planning Commission - 5 - 5 Petitioner 5 -	Iding Inspector 5-5-5
Planning Commission - 5- 50 Petitioner 5-	s - 5° Health Department 5 - 5 - 5° incil Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou Decision of Council Dat	
Resolution becomes effective	
AUDICALION WACHER OF THE	te of action
Time limit extended to Dat	

. . .

RESOLUTION NO. 4637 Del COU. RES. 98008

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8048</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>necessary</u> for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to T. J. Kavanagh to permit a 2 ft. 2 in. eve projection into the sideyard on Lot 8, Block 9, Overlook Heights, on the South side of Plainview Rd., between Elevation Rd. and Cushman Ave., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ May 3 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Application Received	By and fice
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 5-3-50	By Clen Ouston + Kerns
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-3	Mearing date
D · · · ///	Late 5-3-50
a so i line cont to City Clark 5 4-	Building Inspector <u>5-5-50</u>
Planning Commission 5-5-50 Petitione	r 3 - 7 - 3 Health Department 3 - 3 - 3 - 3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

•

11-

RESOLUTION NO.

98008

Sa Reso. # 46 37 . Precedie

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of T. J.Kavanagh from the Zoning Committee decision in denying his application for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924 Section Sa to permit a 2 ft. 2 in. eve projection into the sideyard on Lot 8 Block 9 Overlook Heights, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby denied and overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal decision in denyin sions of Ordinance eve projection int Heights, in Zone H and said Zoning Co

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

Committee the proviift. 2 in. erlook d overruled, ed.

her hears . # 46 37

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8083</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOt**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Theodore M. Jacobs, owner, and D. B. Chatterton, D. D. S., lessee, to operate a commercial dental laboratory in an existing building on Lots A and B, Block 388, Horton's Addition, 3355-4th Ave., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. No signs on the premises;
- 2. Not more than four persons engaged in the work in the dental laboratory.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 3 , 19 50

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4638

Application ReceivedB	y City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-3-50</u> B	V Allen Aris Surta
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-3-50</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-4-50</u> Planning Commission <u>5-5-50</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective <u></u>	Hearing date Date $5-3-5-57$ Building Inspector $5-5-57$ Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

2.1

· · · · · · · ·

and the Aller and the sea of the

. 04

,

1. 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8097</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Jean Huerth to construct approximately 43 lineal ft. of concrete block fence beyond the front setback line, 5 ft. in height, on Lots 30 and 31, Block 406, Resubdivision of Pueblo Lot 1122, 3450 Hawk St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____May 3 , 1950

By_

Zoning Engineer

Seer et ary

Res. No. 4639

COTT T
pplication Received <u>4-17-50</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>
vestigation made 5-3-50 By Ullen Cerus Durton
City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 5-3-50 Hearing date
becision $Ceptions$ Date $5-3-50$ Date $5-3-50$ Date $5-5-50$ Building Inspector $5-5-50$ Health Department $5-5-50$
ny of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-4-50 Building Inspector 5-5-50
anning Commission 5-5-50 Petitioner 5-5-50 Health Department 5-5-50
opeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Date Date
solution becomes effective
polication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

1

. . .

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8105</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alex B. and Elizabeth Wise to erect a single family residence on the Southeasterly 75 ft. of the Northeasterly 170 ft. of Lot 74, Point Loma Villas, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the Westerly side of Elliott St., approximately 274 ft. Northwesterly of Chatsworth Blvd., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

nated May 3 , 1950

By___

Secrecary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received H-19-50 By By City Planning Department
1.10 8 19
Investigation made <u>5-3-50</u> By <u>Allen lerus</u> <u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-50 Hearing date
Decision Opping Committee Date 5-3-50 Decision Opping Clerk 5-4-50 Building Inspector 5-5-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-4-50 Building Inspector 5-5-50 Planning Commission 5-5-50 Health Department 5-5-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-4-50 Building Inspector 5-5-50
Planning Commission 5-5-5 Petitioner 5-5-50 Health Department 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

. .

-//

- Yest -

RESOLUTION NO. 4641 sel 98244 following

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8114</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert E. and Lois Onley to construct a single family residence on the Westerly 65 ft. of a portion of Lot 12 of Ex-Rancho Mission, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the South side of Lisbon Rd., approximately 165 ft. East of Lot 5, Happy Valley Little Farms Subdivision, Zone R-1, on the following condition:

No AGG. REQ see 98244 following per P.Q.B, That an Agreement be signed by the owner to the effect that the entire parcel described be held in one ownership, and that only one residence will be built on the entire parcel so described.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>May 3</u>, 19 50

By_

Secretary

	(170) / 1
Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made B	y City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-5 Decision approximation of the second approximation of the second approximation of the second seco	Date 5-3-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-4-57</u>	Building Inspector <u>5-5-50</u> S-5-50Health Department <u>5-5-50</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action

the second second

Contraction of the state of the

.

RESOLUTION NO.

FORM 1270

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appealbof Robert E. Onley, and Lois Onley, 1744 -29th Street, from the Zoning Committee's decision in requiring agreement from the owners to the effect that the entire parcel of Lot 12 Ex-Rancho Mission described in Zone Variance Resolution No. 4641 be held in one ownership and that one residence be built thereon, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the requirement mentioned above be, and it is hereby deleted from Zoning Committee Resolution No. 4641.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 98244

FRED W. SICK City Clerk.

See Kes # 4641 J precising

By. HELEN M. WILLIG

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal 29th Street, from agreement from the of Lot 12 Ex-Ranch No. 4641 be held 1 thereon, be, and 1 decision is hereby

BE IT FURTMER R be, and it is here 4641.

Page is intentionally left blank.

VO24L



sy, 1744 a requiring the parcel are Rosolution lence be built uing Conmittee

des fest 4641

Moned above (esolution No.

City of San Diego

ابوتدفل دوتاناً به علمه و المعالي به المعالي معالي معا معالي معالي

ERRO W. SIGE City Clerk. By HELEN M. WILLIG Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8145</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph V. and Rose Bretaud to erect a second floor addition to a garage, for a bedroom and bath, with 3 ft. 6 in. between this proposed sleeping room and the existing residence, Lot 1, Block 81, Point Loma Heights, 4496 Orchard Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 3 , 1950

ORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4642

Application Received 4-24-50 By City Planning Department
Investigation made By Ollen Lenning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-5 Hearing date
Decision Ceptronal Date 5-3-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-4-53 Building Inspector 5-5-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-4-53 Building Inspector 5-5-50
Planning Commission 5-5-5° Petitioner 5-5-5° Health Department 5-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8115</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, california, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. June Cutler to construct a third unit, with a 6 ft. access court for the third unit only, on the North 40 ft. of Lot 12, Block 19, West End Addition, 3645-29th St., Zone R-4, on the condition that a surfaced parking space is provided on the property for each living unit.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ May 3 _____, 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

)///
Application Received B	y auf ise City Planning Department
Investigation made B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-3-50$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-4-50$ Planning Commission $5-5-50$ Petitioner	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 5 - 3 - 50 Puilding Inconton 5 - 57
Planning Commission 5-5-50 Petitioner	5-5-50 Health Department 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8108</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ not _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to C. Kelvin and Audrey M. Savell to conduct a custom-made furniture shop with some refinishing and repairing, in a building to be constructed at the rear of Lots 29 and 30, Block 220, Pacific Beach, 1344 Hornblend St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 3 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4644

Application Received By Arething Department
City Planning Department
Investigation made 5-3-50 By Ullen, Terus guston
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-50 Hearing date Decision Date 5-3-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-4-50 Building Inspector 5-5-50
Decision herical Date 5-3-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-4-50 Building Inspector 5-5-50
Planning Commission 5-5-57 Petitioner 3- 7-50 Health Department 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8147</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to K. M. and Bertha M. Justice to remove an existing wall of the kitchen, make an addition to an existing porch, enclosing same, thus converting to an enlarged kitchen, portion of the residence having a 1 ft. sideyard, the proposed addition to maintain the required sideyard, Lot 27 and the South 15 ft. of Lot 28, Block 34, Fairmount Addition, 4212 Estrella Ave., Zone R-4, on the condition that a surfaced parking space is maintained on the property at all times, for the parking of automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the gixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 5 , 19_50

FORM 2145

Ву____

Secretary

Res. No. 4645

in a state of Billion
Application Received By By City Planning Department
By Allen, Ternord Cllen
City Planning Department
considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date
Decision approval Date 5-3-53
Decision approval Date 5-3-53 Dopy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-4-50</u> Building Inspector <u>5-5-53</u> Janning Commission <u>5-5-50</u> Petitioner <u>5-5-50</u> Health Department <u>5-5-50</u>
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Date Date
esolution becomes effective Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8144</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dennstedt Investment Co. to redivide into two parcels, 55 ft. each in width, fronting on Fir St., a 10 ft. easement having been granted on Euclid Ave., Lots 1 through 4, Block 1, Sunrise Tract, corner of Euclid Ave. and Fir St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated May 3 , 19 50

By____

Zoning Engineer

Secretacy

Re

Res. No. 4646

Application Received By By City Planning	Department
Investigation made <u>5-3-50</u> By Uller, City Planning	Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-55 Hearing date Decision Approved Date 5-3-55 Hearing date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-4-55 Building Inspector 5- Planning Commission 5-5-50 Petitioner 5-5-56 Health Dep	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5- 4-5 Building Inspector 5- Planning Commission 5-5-50 Petitioner 5-5- 50 Health Dep	5-50 artment 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date	
Resolution becomes effective Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

.

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8144</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Max C. and Joy V. Fitzenmeyer to construct a duplex with a 10 ft. setback on 28th St., being the West 90 ft. of Lots 28, 29 and 30, Block 64, E. W.Morse's Subdivision, on the Northeast corner of 28th St. and Broadway, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

mated May 3 , 150

Ву____

X Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received B	y City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-3-50</u> B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-3-52$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-4-52$ Planning Commission $5-5-52$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector <u>5-5-50</u> Health Department <u>5-5-50</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

1 1 1

the state of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8109</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Bakkers, owner, and George Adams and Gregory D. Galvan, purchasers, to divide into the following two parcels: (1) The East 50 ft.; (2) The West 55 ft. of the East 105 ft.; and build a single family residence on each, being the East 105 ft. of Lot 1, Block 12, Encanto Heights, on the South side of Broadway, 190 ft. East of 60th St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 3 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretery

	7/)///////////////////////////////////
Application Received <u>4-26-50</u> B	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-3-50</u> B	
,	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Constitue 5-3-50	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 5-3-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-4-50	Building Inspector 5-5-50
Planning Commission 5-5-50 Petitioner	5-5-50 Health Department 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7983</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. S. Devlin, owner, and Robert E. Bechtol, purchaser, to construct a single family, one-story ranch type residence, according to the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, being a portion of Lot 14, Beverly Heights, at the intersection of Rhoda Dr. and Mar Ave., Zone R-1, on the condition that said parcel is not less than 10,000 sq. ft. in size.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

onsidered by Zoning Committee	City Flanning Department
esolution becomes effective	5-3-50 Hearing date Date $5-3-50$ k $5-5-50$ Building Inspector $5-5-50$ Petitioner $5-5-50$ Council Hearing, date Date Date $5-5-50$ Council Hearing, date Date
pplication withdrawn	Date of action
PEREL ST.	Derer Hrs. Ja Jos + Jos
Est.	9
4 61	DR
2	RHODA LR.
0	"
BRITI	is the second se
e l	
	13
	TB' &
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Den De
the second second	ELL CREST
10 million	est 2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8116</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. R. and Margaret P. Simmermacher to construct a car port 20 ft. by 30 ft., back of a setback line drawn from the existing fence corner to the closest corner of the adjacent house to the North, being Villa Lots 27 and 28, Mission Hills, 4348 Valle Vista, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 3 , 1950

By___

Zone Variance

Res. No. 4650

	111111
But the second sec	. Tullal
Application Received By	City Planning Department
	111. 2.1.9
Investigation made <u>5-2-50</u> By	City Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5- 3-50	Hearing date
Decision (16hange)	Date 5 - 3 - 50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-4-50	Building Inspector <u>3-3-30</u>
Decision Approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-4-50</u> Planning Commission <u>5-5-50</u> Petitioner	5-5-50 Health Department 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

* · · · ·
WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- ___ work unnecessary That strict application of the regulations would ______ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George and Luba Lampros to construct a 12 ft. by 24 ft. garage on an existing foundation, with no setback, Villa Lot 232, Normal Heights, 4593-39th St., Zone R-4, subject to the obtaining of the signature of the owner of the lot adjacent on the South.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Signature is in 5/31/50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

May 3 , 1950 Dated___

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By

Res. No. 4651

Application Received 4-46-50 B	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-3-30</u> Be	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-3-55$ Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-4-55$ Planning Commission $5-5-55$ Petitioner	Hearing date
Decision Cond approval	Date 5-3-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-4-50	Building Inspector 5-5-50
Planning Commission 5-5-50 Petitioner	5-5-50Health Department 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8101</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George and Luba Lampros to construct a 12 ft. by 24 ft. garage on an existing foundation, with an 18 in. sideyard, on Villa Lot 232, Normal Heights, 4593-39th St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ May 3 ____, 19_50

FORM 2145

ne and an other Co

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

By

pplication Received <u>4-x6-50</u> By <u>aufine</u> City Planning Department
avestigation made By <u>Uller</u> , <u>Vernage</u> Ourton City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Complittee <u>5-3-50</u> Hearing date
ecision approval Date 5-3-50
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-9-53 Building Inspector 5-5-53 anning Commission 3-5-53 Petitioner 5-5-53 Health Department 5-5-53
anning Commission 5-5-57 Petitioner 5-5-57 Health Department 5-5-50
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

Real of

WHEREAS, Application No. 8035 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Virginia Manasse to erect a 2-car garage with an apartment above, 3 ft. rear yard, on the Westerly 95 ft. of Lot "A", Block 412, Hinton Addition, 4297 Taylor St., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated May 3 , 150

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-14-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-50	Hearing date
Decision (16/2 a curs)	Date 5-3-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-5-57	Building Inspector <u>5-5-50</u> S-S-S Health Department <u>5-5-50</u>
Planning Commission 5-5-50 Petitioner	5-5-5 Health Department 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

n1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8161</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul L. Blount to maintain retail sales and service outlets for a dress and gift shop, a barber shop, a beauty shop, a laundry agency, and to operate a retail store for bakery goods with no baking on the premises, except doughnut-making for retail sales on these premises only, being the South 45 ft. of Villa Lot 217 and the North 30 ft. of Villa Lot 218, University Heights, 4532 Maryland St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By___

SECTION

Zoning Engineer

Anover

	ΔA
	MXX
Application Received 4-29-50 By	v b// aco
	City Planning Department
	CIA F 13
Investigation made <u>5-3-50</u> By	aller deres a furt
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-52	Hearing date
Decision Company appendix and the City Clerk 5-5-50 Planning Commission 5-5-50 Petitioner	Date 5-3-50
Copy of Resolution sent/to City Clerk 5-5-50	Building Inspector 5-5-50
Planning Commission 5-5=50 Petitioner	5-5-5-Health Department 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8160</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Laura H. Reed to add 1 apartment to an existing duplex, with a 3 ft. access court to the third unit on the Northerly side, and a 1 ft. existing sideyard on the Southerly side of Lot 36, Block 139, Mannasse and Schiller Subdivision, 1749 Logan Ave., Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ May 3 ____ , 1950

FORM 2145

By ____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

	D P
and the second sec	1991
Application ReceivedB	Y
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-3-50</u> B	allen here and hanton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-57	Hearing date
Decision Decuial	Date 5-3-50 Building Inspector <u>5-5-50</u> 5-5-50 Health Department 5-5-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-3-3-3	Building Inspector <u>5-5-55</u>
Planning Commission 3-5-55 Petitioner	5-5-50 Health Department 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

AND A STREET

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8150</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Raymond F. and Julia A. Leber to erect a duplex, where one duplex exists, to a total of four living units, two of which will be served by a 3 ft. access court, on Lots B and C, Block 111, Mission Beach, on the South side of Jersey Court, between Mission Blvd. and Strand Way, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 3 , 150

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4656

FORM 2145

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-3-50</u> By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-3-50</u> Hea Decision <u>first approved</u> Dat Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-22-50</u> Bui Planning Commission <u>5-22</u> - <u>50</u> Petitioner <u>5-</u>	uring date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Date	incil Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Cor	tinued to

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8119</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to E. L. Schumaker to construct a cement block wall 4 ft. high in front of the setback line, Lot 30, Block 11, Bird Rock Addition, 783 Forward St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_May 3

FORM 2145

, 1950

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received _ 4 7 - 5-7 B	y City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-3-50</u> B	(nn + .)
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-53	Hearing date
Decision Occuration City Clerk 5-5-5	Building Inspector <u>5-5-57</u>
Planning Commission 5-5-57 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	5-5-5 Health Department 5-5-50
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8157</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leo de Santi to erect a triplex in addition to an existing single family residence, with a 3 ft. rear yard, Lot 6, Block 37, Middletown, 1805 Columbia St., Zone C, on the condition that surfaced parking space for three automobiles is provided on the property, which will be acceptable to the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

pated May 3 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By.

Zoning Engineer

Application ReceivedB	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 5-3-50 B	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-57 Decision Approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-5-57 Planning Commission 5-5-57 Petitioner	Hearing date
Decision and approval	Date 5-3-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-5-5	Building Inspector <u>5-5-50</u>
Planning Commission 5-5-5 Petitioner	5-5=5 Health Department 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. 18 A. 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8156</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph Tricoli to retain an existing 22 ft. by 30 ft. foundation and slab and erect a garage thereon, with 1 ft. sideyard and a 4 ft. rear yard, Lot 162, Block 7, Grown Point, 3657 Riviera Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 3 , 1950

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4659

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-21-50</u> By <u>au</u> <u>City Planning Department</u>
nvestigation made 5-3-50 By llen lens y luston
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-50 Hearing date
Decision approval Date 5-3-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-5-50 Building Inspector 5-5-50
lanning Commission 5-5-50 Petitioner 5-5-50 Health Department 5-5-50
appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

7

1/ .//

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8078</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. D. Arnold and Sons to construct a residence with a 19 ft. 6 in. rear yard, Lot 139, O. D. Arnold's Westwood Hills Unit No. 2, 5404 Grape St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

May 3 , 1950

TORM 2145

By____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4660

	11 11
	1 1 1 1
	11/0/1/1
	4/ ////
Application Received By	1. Hacking
	City Planning Department
4-19-50	(an + 119
Investigation made By	Allen, Verus and Justa
4-19-50	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-3-50	
Decision Mailie approved	Date 5- 3-50
Decision Madifies approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-5-5	Building Inspector 5-5-50
Planning Commission 5-5-50 Petitioner	5-5-50 Health Department 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8181</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William H. Black to build a barn and stable for horse breeding on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1313, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on La Jolla Shores Dr. near U. S. Highway 101, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13455, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property above described.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 3 , 190

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4661

Application Received B	yCity Planning Department
Investigation made B	V <u>Allen</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-50	City Planning Department Hearing date Date 5-3-50 Building Inspector <u>5-5-50</u> S-5-50 Health Department 5-5-50 Council Hearing date
Decision appraval	Date 5-3-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-5-5	Building Inspector 5-5-50
Planning Commission 5-5-50 Petitioner	5-5-50 Health Department 5-5-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8122</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby granted to A. M. Martin to construct a living room addition to an existing residence which has a 3 ft. rear yard, the new addition to have a 10 ft. rear yard, on the North 45 ft. of the West 140 ft. of Lot 4, Granada Tract, 4377-46th St., Zone R-4, on the condition that surfaced parking space for three automobiles be provided on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal i filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Oated May 3

, 1950

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

By___

Res. No. 4662

	71.) 10 1
Application ReceivedB_	y City Planning Department
Investigation made B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-50	Hearing date
Decision Courd approved S-8-50 Copy of Resolution sent to/City Clerk 5-8-50 Planning Commission 5-8-50 Petitioner	Date 5 - 5 - 50
Copy of Resolution sent to/City Clerk 5-8-50	Building Inspector <u>6-8-58</u>
Planning Commission 5-8-50 Petitioner	5-8-50 Health Department 5-8-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

, 10 A

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>\$124</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James R. Schaffer, owner, and Leon D. Brown, Jr. and Aurelia C. Brown; purchasers, to re-divide into two parcels 55 ft. by 110 ft. each, and construct two living units on each, being Lots 21 and 23, Block 6, First Addition to South La Jolla, on the Southwesterly corner of Belvedere St. and La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-2, on the condition that the regular Setback Ordinance is observed on both Belvedere St. and La Jolla Blvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 245, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated May 17 , 150

By_

XSecretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4663

ORM 2145

	7.1 110	
,		
Application Received	By City Planning Department	
	2	
Investigation made $5 - 3 - 50$	By City Planning Department	
	City rianning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3-5	D Hearing date 5-19-50	
Decision Abbraugh	Date 5 - 17 - 50	
Considered by Zoning Committee $5 - 3 - 50$ Hearing date $5 - 19 - 50$ Decision Approximation Sent to City Clerk $5 - 18 - 50$ Building Inspector $5 - 19 - 50$ Planning Commission $5 - 19 - 50$ Petitioner $5 - 18 - 50$ Health Department $5 - 19 - 50$ Planning Commission $5 - 19 - 50$ Petitioner $5 - 18 - 50$ Health Department $5 - 19 - 50$		
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitione	r 5-18-50 Health Department 5-19-50	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

,

STREET CLEDRE 23

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section ⁵ of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Sunland Home Foundation to erect and maintain a Nursing Home for Christian Scientists on Lot 18 and the Northerly 59.75 ft. of Lot 21, Lemon Villa Tract, lying East of 54th St. and South of Orange Ave., approximately 750 ft. North of University Ave., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- That the dilapidated accessory building located East of the 1.
- residence, be demolished immediately; LEWER RECEIVED -BEDG TORY Down That street easements be granted to the City, for the widening of 54th St., Orange Ave. and 55th St., as required by the City 2. Planning Commission.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, and Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be tevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the, ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

May 17 , 19 50 Dated____

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

FORM 2145

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8135</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank B. Jennings to construct a garage with 1 ft. 4 in. sideyard on the Easterly 47 ft. of Westerly 97 ft. except Southerly 50 ft.) of Lot 3, Block 134, La Playa, 2921 McCall Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the pixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 17 , 19 50

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

	1/ ////////////////////////////////////
Application Received By By	- City Planning Department
Investigation made 5 - 11-57 By	Chler Contractor
investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-1/-55$ H Decision 46 D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-18-55$ B Planning Commission $5-19-55$ Petitioner 5 Appeal filed with City Clerk date	learing date
Decision approval City Clash 5-11 57 D	Date 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Betitioner 5	- 18 - 50 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
application withat a with	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to I	

Party .

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 8134 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank B. Jennings to construct a garage with a 5 ft. setback from front property line on the Easterly 47 ft. of Westerly 97 ft. (except Southerly 50 ft.) of Lot 3, Block 134, La Playa, 2921 McCall Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

, 19 50

Zoning Engineer

Dated_ May 17

	7/1/10.
Application Received <u>4</u>	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-17-50</u> By _	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-17-50$ H Decision Commission Sent to City Clerk $5-18-50$ B Planning Commission $5-19-50$ Petitioner	earing date
Decision (ppraval D	ate 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-50 B	uilding Inspector <u>5-19-50</u>
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner 5-	- 18 - 50 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date/
Decision of Council D	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn C	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

and the second second second second second

Nº An .

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8040</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. H. Childs to construct a residence with 21 ft. rear yard on Lots 2 and 4, Elock 1, 1st Addition to South La Jolla, Northeast corner of Neptune Place and Westbourne Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>May 17</u>

By

, 19_50

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

	NIN/	
Application Received By	- City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>5-17-50</u> By_	<u>City Planning Department</u>	
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-17-56 H	earing date	
Decision Ceppround D:	ate 5-17-50	
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-1/-50$ Hearing date Decision epproved Date $5-1/-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-18-50$ Building Inspector $5-19-50$ Planning Commission $5-19-50$ Petitioner $5-18-50$ Health Department $5-19-50$		
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner 5-18-50 Health Department 5-19-50		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date	
Decision of Council D	ate	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn C	ontinued to	
Time limit extended to D	ate of action	

and the second part of the

.

.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Berne and Thelma Schweizer to maintain an existing retaining and free-standing wall, 105 ft. 2-1/4 in. in length; the retaining portion ranging from 2-1/2ft. to 4-1/2 ft. in height; the free-standing portion ranging from 3 ft. to 4-1/2 ft. in height; to a maximum over-all height of 7-1/2 ft., on Lots 45 through 48, except the Southerly 40 ft. thereof, Block 65, Ocean Beach, 4981 to 4997 Santa Cruz Ave. (at Bacon St.), Zone R-4, on the following condition:

That the wall is finished with a color coat, either stucco or paint.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 17 , 1950

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4668

(1)6.1.1
A. Jauth
City Planning Department
1 1 9
allert serton
City Planning Department
Hearing date Date $3-17-53$ Building Inspector $3-19-50$ 5-18-53 Health Department $5-19-50$
Date 5-17-50
Building Inspector <u>3-19-50</u>
5-18-50 Health Department 5-19-50
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

,

÷
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8129</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James S. Bullied to construct a 6 ft. board fence approximately 35 ft. in length, on top of an existing wall approximately 6 ft. high, with a maximum height of 12 ft., Lot A, Pauline Addition, 524 So. Coast Blvd., Zone R-4, provided that a valid signature is obtained from the adjoining neighbor to the South.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the winth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated May 17 , 150

By_____ Zoning Engineer

Secretary.

FORM 2145

	V 1/
Application Received By	Law Aice City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-17-50</u> By	1 1 2
investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-17-50$ Decision approximation sent to City Clerk $5-18-50$ Planning Commission $5-19-50$ Petitioner	Hearing date
Decision and a ht sourced	Date 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-50	Building Inspector 5-19-50
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner	5-18.50 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7808</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Earl P. and Leola Collins to construct a duplex above a four-car garage, making three units on the lot, and having a 12 ft. access court on Lots 41 and 42, Block 68, Park Villas, 3728 Pershing Ave., Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

May 17 50

By____

ORM 2145

	71)////
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-17-56</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-17-50$ Decision Occupient to City Clerk $5-18-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-18-50$ Planning Commission $5-19-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector <u>5-19-50</u> 5-18-5° Health Department 5-19-50 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date Continued to Date of action

6. Press

,

.

they -

RESOLUTION NO. 98334

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Earl P. Collins, 3728 Pershing Drive, from the Zoning Committee's decision in denying his application for variance to construct a duplex above a 4-car garage at 3728 Pershing Avenue, in an R-2 Zone, which would make 3 living units on the property, be, and it is hereby denied; and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

	FRED	We	SICK	
			(City Clerk.
By	HELEN	M	WILLIG	
	Constant States			Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal the Zoning Commitveriance to consta Pershing Avenue, I on the property, I Committee decision

FORM 1270

Page is intentionally left blank.

AFFRO.



Drive, from hation for ht 3728 Living units

Deputy.

she Keat 4670 V

Id . Zoning

City of San Diego

Letter received May 15, 1950

WHEREAS, Application Not has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>**NO**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4280, which extended Resolution No. 3896 granted in the name of Perry M. Watt, be now granted to John W. Dover to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback on Bacon St., and with no setback on Ocean Blvd., on Lots 9 and 10, Block 68, Ocean Beach, Bacon and Coronado Sts, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

FINAL EXTENSION

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted May 17 , 1950

By__

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

RM 2145

Application Received	Bu Vail
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-17-5	City Planning Department To Hearing date Date 5-17-50 SoBuilding Inspector 5-19-50 r 5-18-50 Health Department 5-19-50 Council Hearing, date
Decision approva	Date 5-17-58
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-	So Building Inspector 5 - 19 - 50
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner	r 5-18-50 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ____8152 _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. Leon de Aryan to erect a garage with zero sideyard and 4 ft. rear yard on Lots 1 and 2, (except West 70 ft.) Block 101, City Heights, 4317 Dwight Street. Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

lated May 17 , 19 50

By_

ORM 2145

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8177</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Erna Conrad to split out a portion of Lot 28, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, Rancho Ex-Mission, on the South side of "A" St., approximately 150 ft. East of 49th St., Zone R-1, and construct a single family residence on said parcel measuring approximately 180 ft. by 300 ft., providing that the owner grant an easement to the City, 30 ft. in width, for the widening of "A" St., and providing that no alterations are made to the existing garage on the property.

garage on the property. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted May 17 , 1950_

RM 2145

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4673

Application Received ______ 5-9-50 By City Planning Department llen + By. City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 5-17-50 Hearing date. Decision//odufie: approach Date 5 - 17 - 50Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5 - 18 - 57 Building Inspector 5 - 19 - 57Planning Commission 5 - 19 - 57 Petitioner 5 - 18 - 57 Health Department 5 - 19 - 57Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Date 5-17-50 Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date Continued to Date of action 災 L 10. FEDERAL Jart p.T C 180 1.73' , 19 8 1 PINO

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8180</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles W. Elliott to divide into two parcels, each to be not less than 75 ft. by 125 ft. in size, and build a single family residence on each, on a portion of Lot 16, Horton's Purchase in Ex-Mission Lands, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the North side of "A" St., approximately 500 ft. East of 49th St., Zone R-1, subject to proof that the above parcels meet the said minimum requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8180, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated May 17 , 1950

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4674

L

FORM 2145

By City Planning Department leu+ Investigation made _____ _ By 50 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 5-17- 50 Hearing date Decision Concel approved Date 5-17-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-19-50</u> Building Inspector <u>5-19-50</u> Planning Commission <u>5-19-50</u>Petitioner <u>5-19-50</u> Health Department <u>5-19-50</u> Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Date Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action 10"

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8136</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mary S. Corey to divide into two parcels according to plot plan on file in City Planning Office, and permit a single family dwelling on each, Easterly 112 ft. of the Westerly 212 ft. of Lot B, Braemar Extension, on Braemar Lane, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>May 17</u>

By_

, 19-50

	71/1/0
Application Received5 - 3-5-6 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-17-5</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-11-50	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-1/-50$ Decision approximate Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-18-50$ Planning Commission $5-19-50$ Petitioner	Date 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 15-18-57	Building Inspector <u>5-19-50</u>
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner	5-18-50 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7320</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. A. and Amy Mello to erect approximately 24 linear feet of reinforced concrete block wall, 8 feet high between the residence and the garage, Lot 53, Block 3, Grown Point, 3670 Yosemite Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

lated May 17 , 19 50

ORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

A THIN DI I A TO BU	16/ Comel
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Allering Durton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-17-50 Hear	ring date
Considered by Zoning Committee $5 = 7 - 50$ files Decision Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5 = -18 = 5$ Build Planning Commission $5 = 79 - 50$ Petitioner $5 - 78$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Coun	5-19-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-50 Build	ding Inspector <u>5-19-53</u>
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner 5-18	-50 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cour	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Cont	tinued to
Time limit extended to Date	e of action

A. A.

RESOLUTION NO. 4677 Refer to 4126 \$ 3420 \$ 2540

Letter dated May 4, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 4126, which extended Resolution No. 3420, which extended Resolution No. 2540, be granted to Janet Mattoon to operate a beauty shop in an existing residence, parttime only, at 3885 Birch St., Lot F, Block 295, Arlington.

This variance to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

nated May 1.7 , 1950

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Letter	11.1
Application Received By	, lait
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	·
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-1/-50$ Decision a promote $5-1/-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-18-50$ Planning Commission $5-19-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date
Decision and approval	Date 5 - 1 7 - 50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-50	Building Inspector <u>5-19-50</u>
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner	5-18-50 Health Department 5-18-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the superior is the second second

110-20

,

and the second provide the second sec

) 1 1

8049

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ not _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby MENIED to Park and Josephine Sam to remodel the lower floor of the two story building in the rear so that there will be a total of three living units on the lot, two of which will be served by a court 6 ft. 2 inch. in width, Lot 7 and East 1/B of Lot 8, Block 96, E. W. Morse's Subdivision, 3069 and 3067 "E" Street, Zone R-4.

Section 8a,

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

May 17

RM 2145

Ву____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>5-8-55</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $5 - 17 - 50$ By	City Planning Dengriment
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-1/-50$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-18-50$ Planning Commission $5-19-50$ Petitioner	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-50	Building Inspector 5-19-50
Planning Commission 5 - 19 - 5 6 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	5-18-5° Health Department 5-19-5° Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7657</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Betty E. Pritchett (Kent) to erect a residence with a 12 foot rear yard on Lots 32, 33 and 34, Block 1, Roseville Heights, on Ullman Street between Bangor and Akron, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

50

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

	May	17		10
nated_		-	,	19

Zo ing Engineer xx x xxx Res. No. 4679

FORM 2145

	14/17
Application Received 5-1-50	By Curton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $5 - 1 - 50$	By Allen, Ouston
A /	(the Planning Liebariment
Considered by-Zoning Committee 5-17-50	Hearing date Date 5-17-50 2 Building Inspector 5-19-50 Council Hearing date
Decision Ceppional	Date 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-1-5	2 Building Inspector 5-19-00
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner	5-18-50 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

RESOLUTION NO. 4680 Refer 4254 \$ 3891

Letter dated May 6, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4254, which extended Resolution No. 3891, be granted to Robert and Marjorie M. Justice to erect a single family residence with a 10 ft. rear yard, i.e., within 10 ft. of the center line of the alley vacated, on Lots 37 through 39, Block 1, Roseville Heights, on the North side of Ullman St., between an unimproved North-South alley, and Bangor St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

FINAL EXTENSION

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated May 17 , 1950

FORM 2145

By___

Secretery

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4680

	N.1 1
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-17-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-1/-50$ Decision Aproxa Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-18-50$ Planning Commission $5-19-50$ Petitioner 4	Date $5 - 17 - 50$
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-50	Building Inspector 5-19-50
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner	-18-50 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
11001courses and the	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8163</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred M. and Edwina C. Jennings to divide into two parcels, each 50 feet in width, with permission to construct a single family residence on each parcel, being the Northerly 100 ft. of the Southerly 150 ft. of Block 14. Loma Alta No. 1, Venice Street at Brighton Street. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ByZoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No.4681

May 17

_____, 19____50

ORM 2145

ated_

	7/1/10
Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-17-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-17-50$ Decision <i>Composition Sent</i> to City Clerk $5-18-50$ Planning Commission $5-19-50$ Petitioner	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 3 - 1 - 30
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-30	Building Inspector 5-17-30
Planning Commission 5-19-30 Petitioner	3-19-3 Health Department 3-19-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council riearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

, .

The second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8176</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jerry L. and Earnestine H. Slocum to divide large parcel and build single family residence on portion of land, being the Southerly 50 feet of Block 14, Loma Alta No. 1, Venice and Brighton Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 17 , 19 50

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

ORM 2145

	7,17,0	
Application Received By	City Planning Department	
Investigation made $5 - 17 - 50$ By	City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-17-5$ Hearing date Decision Upper Lange Department Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-18-5$ Building Inspector $5-19-55$ Planning Commission $5-19-55$ Petitioner $5-18-55$ Health Department $5-19-55$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date		
Decision approval	Date 5-17-50	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-57	Building Inspector <u>5-19-50</u>	
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner	5-18-50 Health Department $5-19-50$	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 8175 has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ __special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles Hafter to construct pergola and garden shelter addition to residence and garage with 4 ft. rear yard for pergola and shelter, Lot 25 and Southeasterly 20 ft. of Lot 24, Presidio Ridge, 2408 Arista Court, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ited May 17 , 19 50

RM 2145

By____

Secretary Zoning Engineer

Application Received $5 - 7 - 50$ B	y City Planning Départment
Investigation made $5-17-50$ B	y <u>Clean Durton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-1/-50	City Planning Department Hearing date Date $5 - 1/-50$ Building Inspector <u>$5 - 19 - 50$</u> 5 - 18 - 50 Health Department $5 - 19 - 50Council Hearing date$
Decision approval	Date 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-9	Building Inspector 3-19-50
Planning Commission 5-19-30 Petitioner	5-18-50 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Planning Commission 5-19-33 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

1. . 1

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8184</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. J. Courval to construct 22' x 27' garage and hobby shop with no side yard on west side of lot, Lot D, Block 100, Mission Beach, 741 Isthmus Court, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby Granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the oth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By__

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ed <u>May 17</u>, 19_50

м 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary

1 /1
Application Received 5-9-50 By By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-17-50</u> By <u>Cleast</u> <u>City Planning Department</u>
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-1</u> /-50 Hearing date Decision <u>Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk</u> <u>5-18-50</u> Building Inspector <u>5-18-50</u> Planning Commission 5-18-50 Petitioner <u>5-18-50</u> Health Department <u>5-18-50</u>
Decision approval Date 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Building Inspector
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner 5-18-50 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8199</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herman Miller, M. Bert Fisher and Collwood Construction Co. to construct 2 single family residences to be used as model homes, using one as a tract office, being a portion of Lot 21, Rancho Mission, at 63rd and Montezuma Road, Zone R-1, being Lots 86 and 87 of Collwood Manor, tentative map of which is on file in the Planning Department Office, with permission to construct two signs, 5 ft. by 10 ft. each, advertising the tract.

This permission to expire on May 17, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

May 17 , 1950

By_

Sectorary

ated____

Zoning Engineer

Application ReceivedBy	hit Hime
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-17-50</u> By	allen, Durton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-11-50	Hearing date
Decision (mal app, and	Date 5-17-50
Decision Concel approaches Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-18-50</u>	Building Inspector <u>5-19-58</u>
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner	5-18-5° Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

1. 1.1
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8180</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4674, dated May 17, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles W. Elliott to divide into two parcels, each to be not less than 75 ft. by 120 ft. in size, and build a single family residence on each, on a portion of Lot 16, Horton's Purchase in Ex-Mission Lands, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the North side of "A" St., approximately 500 ft. East of 49th St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8180, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted______May 22 , 1950

XSERTERECK

Zoning Engineer

By

Res. No. 4686

RM 2145

	· · / ·
A l' l' Devind 5 2 50 P.	
Application Received B	City Planning Department
	City I lanning Department
	9 +
Investigation made 5-22-50 B	furton
OFFICE (ERROR IN GRIGINA	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-22-50	Hearing date
Decision Approved	Date 5 50
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-22-57	Building Inspector 5-22-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-2-57 Planning Commission 5-2-3-57 Petitioner	5-22-5 Health Department 5-22-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	- Satt
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7944</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. R. Robbins to build a residence and garage on a fractional lot, with no sideyard for the garage, 54 ft. from the front property line of Lot 10, Block 4, Bayside Country Club Estates No. 1, Seville St. and Rosecrans St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

nted______ May 17 ____, 1950

By

Secretary

ORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4687

Application Received B	y and use
	City Planning Department
1	(P P ~ ()
Investigation made 5-17-50 B	
1 1 - 1	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3 -1/-50	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-17-50</u> Decision <i>Approximate</i> Clark 5-18	Date 5-17-50
Compared Uppediation Central IIV LIETE J 7/ 4 55 C	-17 - 50
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	3-18-50 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a start of the start of the start of the

-

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8153</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Willis and Rose B. Fullerton to erect single family residence with 10 foot setback on Lot 3, Rosemont Addition, Northerly end of Lot 3, on Scimitar Street, approximately 900 ft. Northwest and thence East from Scimitar and Broadway, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted May 17 , 19 50

By

Secretary

RM 2145

Application Received By	la tie
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-17-50</u> By	and provide the second se
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-1/-50$ Decision Committee $5-1/-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-1/-50$ Planning Commission $5-1/9-50$ Petitioner	Hearing date
Decision akknowal	Date 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-50	Building Inspector <u>5-19-50</u>
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner	5-18-57 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

11

X

DROADWAY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8182</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. R. Arndt to construct a 20 ft. by 20 ft. garage with 2 ft. setback from front property line, Lot A, El Paso Tract, 3672 Curlew Street. Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By____

, 19_50

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted May 17

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

ORM 2145

Application Received <u>5-8-50</u> B	City Planning Department
Investigation made $5 - 17 - 50$ B	(100 . (9
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-11-50	Hearing date
Decision $CpcionalCopy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-1R-5$	Date 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-5.	Building Inspector <u>3-19-50</u>
Planning Commission 3-19-50 Petitioner	5-18-50 Health Department 5-17-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 de 10

1

14 P.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8198</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. G. Eisenberg to make alterations and additions to non-conforming single family residence with 8 ft. 6 inch. rear yard, three units on the property and 54% coverage, Lots 1 and 2, Block 11, Forest Heights Addition, at 3215 Kalmia Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8925, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 17 , 1950

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

ORM 2145

Application Received 5-10-50 By City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>5-11-50</u> By <u>Cllent</u> <u>euton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-1/-5$ Hearing date Decision $2-1/-5$ Date $5-1/-5$ Building Inspector $5-1/-5$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-1/-5$ Building Inspector $5-1/-5$ Planning Commission $5-1/-5$ Petitioner $5-1/-5$ Health Department $5-1/-50$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date
Decision approval Date 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-50 Building Inspector 5-17-50
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner 5-18-50 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Desolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Fime limit extended to Date of action

,

1. S. 1. S.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8195</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William E. and Iris M. Ragsdale to erect a garage with no setback on Lot 6, Block 2, Golden Park Addition, 3221 Lucinda Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

hated May 17 , 19 50

By___

Zoning Engineer

TORM 2145

lan fise
City Planning Department
City Planning Department
City I failing Department
ring date
5-17-50
ling Inspector <u>5-19-50</u>
ing date 5-17-50 ling Inspector -50 Health Department $5-19-50$
ncil Hearing, date
inued to
of action

.

1 -11

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>Righ</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alexander V. and Rose E. Kures to construct 4 ft. by 10 ft. addition to front of existing garage having 6 inch sideyard on the East 45 ft. of Lots 47 and 48, Block 67, University Heights, 2843 Monroe Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 17 , 19 50

ORM 2145

By

Secretary

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-17-50</u> By	City Planning Depathment
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-17-50$ Decision Opportunities City Clerk $5-19-50$ Planning Commission $5-19-50$ Petitioner	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-19-50</u>	Building Inspector <u>5-19-55</u> 5-18-5° Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Itearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
A DIDITCALION WIChight of the	Continued to Date of action

and the second second

Clist +

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8197</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold M. Royle to construct residence and garage with a 6 ft. setback, Lot 14, La Playa Highlands Subdivision, La Crescentia Drive and San Remo Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated_May 17

, 19___50

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

FORM 2145

	>///
Application Received By	Law Hise City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-17-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-17-50$ Decision Commission Sent to City Clerk $5-18-50$ Planning Commission $5-19-50$ Petitioner	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-50	Building Inspector 5-19-50
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner	5-18-50 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8191</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**NOU**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**NOU**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Salvador Inacio and Richard F. Xavier, owners, to divide into two parcels, each to be 62-1/2 ft. in width, being the Southerly 75 ft. of Lot 2 and the Northerly 50 ft. of Lot 1, Block 153, La Playa, on the Easterly side of Rosecrans St., approximately 75 ft. Southerly of Owens St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 17 , 1950

By_

REFERENCE

Zoning Engineer

ORM 2145

	Tri PARO
Application Received <u><u>3-11-50</u> By</u>	y City Planning Department
Investigation made $5 - 17 - 50$ By	City Planning Department
	Date 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-50 Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner	5-18-50 Health Department 5-19 - 50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8121</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No 8924, as amended): 1. That Mare and special

That the property is special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property in the same intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
That struct application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary haptihip, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjother for other structure in the same zone and vicinity.
That property of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to

- persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ruth Sikes to build a 584 sq. ft. garage and storage building with a 10 ft. 6 in. rear yard, and an 18 in. sideyard, Lot 14, Block 7, La Jolla Hermosa, 6100 Vista de la Mesa, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

THIS IS NOT A BUILDING PERMIT

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

nated May 17 , 1950

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

	p.9/2
Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made $5^{-}-17^{-}-5^{-}$	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-17-3	Hearing date
Decision approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-18-</u>	Date 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-	De Building Inspector 5-19-50
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitione	r 5-18-50 Health Department 5-19-50 Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

With I and Bang

DE LA

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8205</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. G. Cowles to move a garage on the same lot, convert it into a living room addition to an existing single family residence, and construct a bedroom and bath underneath this addition, maintaining an existing 2 ft. sideyard, the addition to observe all the yard requirements.

Permission is also granted to construct a new single family dwelling over a 2-car garage, making a 3rd unit on Lot 9, with an 8 ft. access court to the dwelling in the rear of the lot.

Being Lots 8, 9 and 10, Block 133, University Heights, 4259-63 Maryland St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated May 17 , 19_50

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

	T/ /
Application Received <u>5-11-5</u> B	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>Collect</u> + Custon City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-17-50	Hearing date Date $5-17-50$ Building Inspector $5-19-50$ 5-17-50 Health Department $5-18-50$
Decision exprosed	Date 5-17-30 Building Inspector 5-19-150
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk State	5-17-50 Health Department 5-18-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8183</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**NOt**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**NOt**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry H. Whiteley to construct a 1-story residence and garage attached, with a 10 ft. 6 in. rear yard, Lot 1, Block B, South La Jolla, 209 Westbourne St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 17 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

SECRETERY

Zoning Engineer

	.11
Provide the second seco	
Application Received By	City Planning Department
	1 120 13.
Investigation made 5-17-50 By	allen urton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-11-50	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-1/-50$ Decision Ceptonal Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-18-50$ Planning Commission $5-19-50$ Petitioner 5	Date 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-50]	Building Inspector 5-19-50
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner 5	-18-5 Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8209</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Paul Schiefer, owner, and Leonard Storay, purchaser, to construct a single family residence with a 6 ft. setback, on Lots 4 and 5, Bancroft Terrace, on the Southwest corner of Highview Dr. and 33rd St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

nated May 17 , 19 50

By__

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

	\/
Application Received <u>5-11-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-17-50</u> By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-17-52</u> Decision April	PHearing date
Decision (Ibuial	Date 5-17-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-50	Building Inspector <u>5-19-50</u> 5-18-5° Health Department <u>5-19-50</u>
Planning Commission 5-19-50 Petitioner	5-18-5° Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

RESOLUTION NO. ______

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Ernest Schiefer, 711 Armada Terrace, and Leonard H. Storay, 4335 Illinois Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying their request for variance under their application No. 8209 and the Committee's Resolution No. 4698, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 insofar as they pertain to Lots 4 and 5, Bancroft Terrace, on the southwest corner of Highview Drive and 33rd Street, in Zone R-1, to construct a single-family residence with a 6-foot setback, be, and it is hereby sustained; and the Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

FRED W. SICK

Lee Res. #4698 preceding

City Clerk.

Deputy.

TORM 1270

RESOLUTION NO.

That the appeal

Leonurd H. Storey,

the Soning Committ their appliestion

4698, for variance

sofar as they pert

southwest corner of

Rel, to construct

back, be, and it i decision is hereby

> FORM 1270 10M - 1/50

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Page is intentionally left blank.

race, and islon of ilance under itlon No. 12321 inice, on the in Zone foot set-Committee

City of San Diego

y Clerk.	Cit		CISTA 2	
	WILLIG	. M	HEL M	 By
Deputy.				

der Ter. #4698 foreceding WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8219</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leo B. Calland to erect a single family residence with a 5 ft. rear yard on portions of Lots 1 and 2, being the Northwest 65 ft. of the Northeast 105 ft. of the Southwest 210 ft. of Block 529, Old San Diego, Pine St. and Trias St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 17 , 1950

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

1	
Application Received <u>5-16-30</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-17-50</u> By	allen Deestra
Considered by Zoning Completee 5-17-57 Hearing	City Planning Department
Decision <i>Approva</i> Date 3 Decision <i>Approva</i> Date 3	-17-50
Decision <i>Compared to City Clerk</i> <u>3-18-30</u> Date <u>3</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-18-30</u> Building Planning Commission <u>5-19-50</u> Petitioner <u>5-18-</u>	So Health Department 5-19-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Date	Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Continue	ed to
Time limit extended to Date of	

CORRECTED CO PU press

RESOLUTION NO.____

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Corte F. Truax from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting variance to Ordinance No. 148 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 1947 (New Series), to San Diego Transit-Mixed Concrete Co., lessee, and Caudell and Johnson, owners, to construct and operate a concrete mixing and batching plant with customery buildings and uses, including the incidental manufacture of concrete piers and similar building products, in Zones R-1 and R-1A on portions of Fueblo Lot 1173 and 1108 (contained in Zoning Committee Resolution No. 4700), be, and it is hereby overruled and denied; and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

CORRECTED COPM

RESOLUTION NO.

Axed Longrate Co.

o anolitica no

Linitheo Reading

S blue bus plainet

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

ion of the . 148 (New iego Transitowners, to plant with i wanufacture ones R-1 and ed in Loning overruled and sustained.

See her the for good

Barroadas

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 9823 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council City Clerk. Deputy. ACORN FORM 1270

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- __special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Transit-Mixed Concrete Co., lessee, and Caudell and Johnson, owners, to construct and operate a concrete mixing and batching plant with customary buildings and uses, including the incidental manufacture of concrete piers and similar building products, in Zones R-1 and R-1A, on that portion of Pueblo Lot 1173 lying Southeasterly of Friars Road, and on the Northerly 311.14 ft. of the Easterly 700 ft. of Pueblo Lot 1108, on the following conditions:

- The only structures to be moved from the existing concrete 1. mixing plant to the new location shall be the batching plant, cement silo and dispatch office;
- The new office building, to be of stucco or concrete block 2. construction with color coat, set not less than 75 ft. from the East line of this property; all other buildings to be located on the property to have the entire South and West walls of stucco or concrete block construction with color coat;

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 50 May 24 Dated_

FORM 2145

Bv

		Secretary		14	
Zoning	Engineer		Res.	No.	4700

(over)

- 3. The private road now existing from the North line of Pueblo Lot 1108 to Mission Valley Road on the South, shall be surfaced with black top or concrete before the newly located plant shall operate;
- 4. This permit shall terminate on June 30, 1955;
- 5. There shall be planted and maintained on the South, West and East boundaries, evergreen trees to effectively screen buildings and structures on this property;
- 6. The incidental manufacture of concrete piers and similar building products shall be limited to the actual concrete hauled back into the plant from a regular order;
- 7. The present site of San Diego Transit-Mixed Concrete Co. shall be cleared of all buildings and debris, and the site left clean within 6 months from the date of this Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 148, New Series,	
and 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the	
described above units to sted	пiТ
Dication withdrawn Continued to	Apr
olution becomes effective	Res
Date Date	Dec
Deal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	1qA
ning Commission 5 - 25 - 5 Petitioner 5 - 25 - 6 Health Department 5 - 25 - 52	eld
wof Resolution sent to City Clerk 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	uoj
ision - il obbiendo Date 5-24-50	Dec
sidered by Zoning Committee 5-3-3-55 Hearing date 5-17-55	Con
estigation made 5-19-5 By Weine Depresented	Mul
City Planning Départment	dda a
Dication Received	ταΑ
1. A celle	

End of book.



City of San Diego