

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8243</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. McIntyre to construct a single family residence with a car port, on a portion of Lot 2, Pueblo Lot 1105, and portion of unnumbered lot North of Pueblo Lot 1105, Friars Road, West of 6th St. Extension, Zone R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>May 24</u>, 19 50

By_

XSeccenecy

Res. No. 4701

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

| Application Received $5 - 24$ | - 50 By ick City Planning Department |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Investigation made <u>5- 74-50</u> | By City Planning Department |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | City Planning Department $- \frac{5}{5}$ Hearing date $\frac{5}{5} - \frac{5}{5}$ Building Inspector $\frac{5}{5} - \frac{5}{5} - \frac{5}{5}$ etitioner $5 - \frac{5}{5} - \frac{5}{5}$ Health Department $5 - \frac{5}{5} - \frac{5}{5}$ Council Hearing, date Date |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to Date of action |

6

v , *v*

1.2

and it offer a second the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8130</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. T. Borges to construct a garage with a maximum of 476 sq. ft., with a 4 ft. side yard, as shown on the plans submitted, on the Northeasterly 90 ft. of Lots 25 and 26, Block 2, Ocean Beach, 4503 Cape May St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section &a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>May 24</u>, 19<u>50</u>

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

By

| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
|---|--------------------------|
| Investigation made <u>5-24-58</u> By | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 5-74-50 | Hearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-79-50</u> Decision Malefied approach Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-75-50</u> Planning Commission <u>5-75-50</u> Petitioner <u>5</u> | Building Inspector 5-55 |
| Copy of Resolution sent porchy Clerk | |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| | Date |
| D heromes effective | |
| A lightion withdrawi | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8238</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. B. and Loma C. Davis to construct a triplex with a 15 ft. setback on Lot 1, Block 80, Roseville, 3044 Ingelow St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

ated_____May 24_____, 1950

ORM 2145

Z oning Engineer

| Application Received <u>5-23-50</u> By | City Planning Department |
|--|--|
| Investigation made <u>5- 27-50</u> By | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee $5-24-50$ Decision f_{k} become Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-25-6$ Planning Commission $5-25-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council | Hearing date Date $5 - 24 - 50$ Building Inspector $5 - 25 - 50$ 5 - 25 - 50 Health Department $5 - 25 - 50Council Hearing, dateDate$ |
| Decision of Counter Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

5/ .//

many antworstells

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8117</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Matt and Mary C. Leuthard, owner, and Wm. N. and Lorene F. Dwyer, purchasers, to construct a maximum of 8 living units, with a 1 ft. rear yard for the 8-car garage, on Lots 1 and 2, Block B, Kensington Park Annex, on the East side of Biona Dr., approximately 80 ft. North of Adams Ave., on the following conditions:

- 1. A fire wall to be constructed through the center of the said 8-car garage:
- Subject to the architectural approval of the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>May 31</u>, 19<u>50</u>

By_

Zoning Engineer

| 8 N N N | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Application Received By | la Hice |
| | City Planning Department |
| | |
| Investigation made 5-17-50 By | alleen, Laucastary duite |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 5-17-50 I Decision Approval I Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-50 I Planning Commission 6-2-50 Petitioner | Hearing date <u>5-31-53</u> |
| Decision approval I | Date 5-31-30 |
| Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-50 I | Building Inspector 6 50 |
| Planning Commission 6-2-50 Petitioner | 6-1-50 Health Department 6-2-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Desister of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Date of action |

the second second and a second s

the second that was a required and set the

1 . //

the second is a second the second

RESOLUTION NO. 4705 DEC

Letter dated May 17, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 4165 be granted to Robert C. Banner to conduct a Commercial Dog Racing Kennel, with a maximum of 15 dogs and one litter of pups on the premises at any one time, and with no boarding of dogs, on Lot 1, Block 9, Sunny Slope Addition, 1027 Evelyn St., Zone R-2.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal 8 filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 31 , 19<u>50</u>

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By

2330 \$ 4165 V \$ 5567

| Application Received <u>5-17-50</u> | By By City Planning Department |
|---|---|
| Investigation made | By |
| A Congution and | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 5 | - 31-50 Hearing date |
| Decision and approval | Date 5-31-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent/to City Clerk | City Planning Department <u>-3/-50</u> Hearing date Date 5-3/-50 <u>6-/-50</u> Building Inspector <u>6-2-50</u> etitioner 6-/-50 Health Department 6-2-50 Council Hearing date |
| Planning Commission 6 - 2 - 50 Pe | etitioner 6-1-5 Health Department 6-7-50 |
| A proof filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| | Date |
| Regulation becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Date of action |

1.1.

and the second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8257</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald and Grace S. Boyden to operate an employment agency for veterans only, in connection with the existing school on Lots K and L, Block 218, Horton's Addition, 1760-3rd Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 31 , 1950

By___

XSequetary

Res. No. 4706

ORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

| 1 (| $p_{1}q$ |
|--|---|
| Application Received 5-26-50 By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>5-31-50</u> By | <u>lleen, Cancaster +</u> City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-50</u> H Decision approval | Date 5- 31- 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sche to only charge Petitioner | Building Inspector <u>6-2-50</u> 6-1-50 Health Department 6-2-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| A - 1' - tion with drawii | Continued to Date of action |

and the second of the second s

and the second sec

with the second second second second second

and anticontin the second development of the

the second second is the product of

a state of the sector for Sector

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7888</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, difornia, as follows:

ermission is hereby granted to Del-Mac Incorporated to divide one lot into two parcels ad build single family residence on each: (1) 60 ft. front and 8200 sq. ft. (2) 132 ft. Font and 7375 sq. ft., Lot 3, Block 23, Sunset Cliffs, on Leon Street near Guizot, one R-1.

variance to the provisions of Ordinance 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to ne particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal a filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______ May 31 _____, 1950

By_____Zoning EngineerSecretary

ORM 2145

| | P9R |
|---|--|
| Application Received 4->1-50 B | y City Planning Department |
| Investigation made B | y Chlen Laucaster + Curton City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-52</u> Decision <u>Upprove</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-1-52</u> Retitioner | Building Inspector |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Date Continued to |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Date of action |

to here a start the start of a strend, poor a large .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7958</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy T. and K. Georgia Cox to construct single family residence on parcel of land split off from original lot, being the Southerly 60 ft. of Lot 10, Block 2, Chalcedony Terrace, Southwesterly corner of Law and Jewell Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal § filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 31 , 1950

By___

ORM 2145

| 4 | X // |
|--|--|
| Application Received | By City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 3-2-3-3-3 | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 3 Decision approved | La C Duilding Insector (= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = |
| Planning Commission 6-2-23 | Technolet de princil Hearing date 4-11-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 52 Decision of Council <u>Ref. to City</u> Resolution becomes effective | 11-11-30 <u>1-11-30</u> |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to Date of action |

1 - 11

said and sharp that will be an antiparty and an

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur P. and Virginia Vesco to erect a single family residence with 6 ft. setback, Lot 6 and portion of Byron Street closed, Block 20, Roseville, on Plum and Byron Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By____

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 31

_____, 19⁵⁰

Secretary Zoning Engineer

ORM 2145

| Bu () th |
|--|
| pplication Received 5-15-50 By City Planning Department |
| nvestigation made _ 5-31-50 By <u>Alley Ancester</u> Out |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-50 Hearing date |
| Pecision eppional City Clerk 6-1-5-Building Inspector 6-2-50 |
| On CD Julion cent to Lity Licin. |
| Daning Commission 6-2-3 5 I cuitone |
| Da 1 (1, 1 with (ity (lefk, date |
| Pecision of Council Date Date |
| a 1 i 1 more etterrive |
| Continued to |
| Pplication withdrawn Date of action |
| ine limit extended to |

+

,

and the strength

11 June 14 March 1 Song 412 W. Think

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7872</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur P. and Virginia Vesco to erect a single family residence with 12 ft. rear yard on Lot 6 and portion of Byron Street closed, Block 20, Roseville, on Plum and Byron Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or concruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal of filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By____

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

May 31

ORM 2145

_____, 19⁵⁰

Zoning Engineer

| | a fil |
|--|---|
| Application Received 5-15-50 | By City Planning/Department |
| Investigation made <u>5-31-5-8</u> | By <u>ellen Lancaster</u> Justa City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-</u> Decision Committee <u>5-31-</u> | |
| Planning Commission | Solution Sector 6-2-50 er 6-1-50 Health Department 6-2-50 Council Hearing, date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Date Continued to |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Date of action |

,

2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8204</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. L. Krymer to erect a single family residence on Lot 5, except the Westerly 2.5 ft. thereof, Block 10, Kensington Heights Unit No. 1, on North Hempstead Circle, adjacent to 4030 North Hempstead Circle, Zone R-1, to have a 4 ft. sideyard on the East and a 6 ft. sideyard on the West, on the following condition:

Provided that the encroachment on the Westerly 2.5 ft. of Lot 5 is altered, if necessary, to the satisfaction of the Building Inspector.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

lated <u>May 31</u>, 19<u>50</u>

FORM 2145

XSecretary

Res. No. 4711

Zoning Engineer

| Application Received _5-15-50 | By City Planning Department |
|--|-------------------------------|
| estigation made | By <u>Clean Janeaster</u> uit |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Date |

÷

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8196</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BÈ IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred and Mildred Hochrein to make an addition to an existing apartment building, to be a 4-car garage, 21 ft. by 39 ft., with an apartment above, with a 10 ft. 6 inch rear yard on Lots 17 and 18, Block 281, Pacific Beach, 2071 Reed Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

May 31

, 19 50

ORM 2145

lated

| 1 1 0 2 |
|--|
| Application Received 5-16-50 By Auton |
| Pplication Received By City Planning Department |
| nvestigation made 5-31-50 By llen, Jancaster + Juston |
| City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-50</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Uppersonn</u> Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-1-50</u> Building Inspector <u>6-7-50</u> |
| Decision (pproval , Date 5-31-50 |
| opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-50 Building Inspector 6-2-50 |
| Janning Commission 6-2-57 Petitioner 6-1-30 Health Department 6-2-50 |
| Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

.

,

.

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ __ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph B. and Louise L. Proulx to add a garage 14 ft. by 20 ft., to the existing residence which has an 8 ft. rear yard, on the Northerly 1/2 of the Westerly 63 ft. of Lot 94, Southern Title Guaranty Company's Subdivision, 4095 Gresham St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordihance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, in-sofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31, 1950

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By

| Application Received <u>3-8-50</u> | _ By _ an fice Department D |
|--|---|
| Investigation made <u>3 - 2 2 - 30</u> | By <u>ellen</u> <u>aucanter</u> + Purto |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31- Decision Maining approximate | |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Oner 6-1-50 Health Department 6-2-50 Council Hearing, date Date |
| Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

,

the state of the s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8192</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph E. Dryer to erect a single family residence with a 5 ft. rear yard, on the Southwesterly 75 ft. of Lot 4, Block 501, Old San Diego, on the Northerly corner of Pine and Ampudia Streets, Zone R-1, on the condition that the signatures of the two adjoining property owners is obtained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 m, 19 50

Secnetary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By

| | 121/2 |
|--------|---|
| F | Application Received By City Planning Department |
| т | |
| | Investigation made 5-31-50 By Clean A ancaster theretor |
| C | Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-50 Hearing date |
| °(| Pecision Council Approved Date 5-31-55 Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-55 Building Inspector 6-2-55 lanning Commission 6-2-55 Petitioner 6-1-55 Health Department 6-2-55 Depart filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| A | lanning Commission 6 Petitioner 6-1-5- Health Department 6 |
| I F | Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date ecision of Council Date |
| A | Pesolution becomes effective Continued to |
| 1 | Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action |

AND A ANY A DATE OF A

. . . .

Concernent state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8214</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert C. Herrmann to build a single family residence without full frontage on a dedicated street, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1774, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at La Jolla Scenic Dr. and La Jolla Rancho Road, Zone R-1, on the condition that the signatures of the two adjoining property owners is obtained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>May 31</u>, 19<u>50</u>

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

By Application Received ____ 5-City Planning Department Juston By 10000 Investigation made _____ 1-50 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-50 Hearing date_ Decision ______ Date 5-31-53 Copy of Resolution sent to/City Clerk 6-1-53 Planning Commission 6-2-53 Petitioner 6-1-53 Health Department .50 6-Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Decision of Council_____ Resolution becomes effective Date Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action LA JOLLA R. DRY19.11 LA Journ Scenic Service 12 to 1 to 1 to 1 The

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6220</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anna and Clyde R. Williams, owners, and Mary Headington, purchaser, to operate a beauty parlor, full-time, on the North 30 ft. of the South 99.01 ft. of the South 1/2 of Block 226-1/2, University Heights, 3809 Richmond St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

 The total signs visible from the outside of the building to measure not more than 8 sq. it.;
 This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 1950

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
|---|--|
| Investigation made <u>3-31-50</u> By | llen, City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-57</u> Decision <u>for the city Clerk</u> <u>6-1-57</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-1-57</u> Planning Commission <u>6-3-63</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council <u></u> | Hearing date Date 5_31-50 Building Inspector 6-1-5° Health Department 6-2-50 Council Hearing, date Date |
| Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

and the state of the first

,

a service of the state of the service of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8232</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. F. Weerts, owner, and David Cordova, lessee, to operate a florist shop in a new building being constructed in the "C" Zone adjoining, and which is to be moved to a location along Rosecrans Street, approximately 90 feet from the rear property line, Northeasterly 250 ft. of the Southeasterly 255 ft., except the streets, of Pueblo Lot 239, Westerly corner of Rosecrans Street and Midway Drive, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31

FORM 2145

, 1950_

| 2 |
|-----------|
|) inte |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 5 |

and suit of a find and foreing .

F O

SNUNS

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8212</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter Lee Amblar to build approximately 85 linear feet of concrete block wall with a maximum height of 81 feet on the Southerly 75 feet of Lot 2, Block 150, La Playa, 525 San Borgonio Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal as filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 19 50

FORM 2145
| | 7 11. |
|---|---|
| Application Received 5-23-50 By | City Planning Department |
| | (110) |
| investigation made | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-50</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-1-50</u> Planning Commission <u>6-5-50</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective | Hearing date Date $5 - 3/-50$ Building Inspector <u>$6 - 2 - 50$</u> 6 - 7 - 50 Health Department $6 - 2 - 50Council Hearing, dateDate$ |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

.

the state of the s

the start of the start of the start of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8237</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. H. Misch to build 90 lineal feet of fence and retaining wall 7 feet high at rear of lot, being Lot 177, Collwood Unit No. 1 at 4804 Austin Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Nixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer Secretary

FORM 2145

| | . / // |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Application Received _ 5 - 24 - 50 By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>5-31-50</u> By | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision (166) | Date 5-31-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-(-50) Planning Commission 6-2-50 Petitioner | Building Inspector 6-2-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-2-50 Petitioner | 6-1-57 Health Department 6-2-53 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

the rest in the set of the set of the set

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>823</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. H. Morgan to construct a motel with 12 ft. rear yard on Lots 55 and 56, Block 41, Tract 1368, west side of 52nd Street, 125 ft. south of El Cajon Blvd. Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

_____, 19_50 May 31 Dated.

By Zoning Engineer Secretary

FORM 2145

| | , · · · /. |
|--|---|
| | |
| Application Received 5-24-50 By | |
| | City Planning Department |
| | (100 |
| Investigation made <u>5-31-50</u> By | |
| | City Flamming Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision approval | City Planning Department Hearing date Date 5-31-50 Building Inspector 6-2-50 Council Hearing date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-57 | Building Inspector 6-2-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-2-5 Petitioner | 6-1-50 Health Department 6-2-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

.

States and States and

•

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8240</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. H. Morgan to construct motel with 72 ft. setback on 52nd Street, Lots 55 and 56, Block 41, Tract 1368, West side of 52nd Street 125 ft. South of El Cajon Blvd. Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 1950

FORM 2145

By _____ Zoning Engineer

| | no' / / |
|---|--|
| Application Received <u>5-24-50</u> By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>5-31-5</u> By | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-53 Planning Commission 6-2-53 Petitioner | Date 5-31-50 Building Inspector 6-2-50 |
| Planning Commission 6 - 2 - 5 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | 6-1-50 Health Department 6-2-50 Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective | Date |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | |

,

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE 8241 San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown: 1. That the granting of the application is _____necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and 2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and 3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, 55 through 61 That the following described property, Lot Block Tract 1368 Subdivision On the West side of 52nd St., 125 ft. South of El Cajon Blvd. (F. H. Morgan) 24-unit motel may be used for the erection and operation of Architectural control by the Planning subject to the following conditions

Department Office.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Res. No. 4722

Secretary

Dated May 31

1950

PERSONAL MALSHON THAT

I TORICY ON CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER

Zoning Engineer

By ...

2144

| pp | 11 | ca | tic | on | Recei | ved | .5. | | 24 | | 5 | 0 | |
|----|----|----|-----|----|-------|-----|-----|--|----|--|---|---|--|
|----|----|----|-----|----|-------|-----|-----|--|----|--|---|---|--|

City Planning Department

Investigation made <u>5-31-50</u> Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-50</u> Pecision <u>Approximation Sector</u> <u>5-31-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-1-50</u> Planning Commission <u>6-1-50</u> Petitioner <u>6-1-50</u> Health Department <u>6-2-50</u> Planning Commission <u>6-2-50</u> Petitioner <u>6-1-50</u> Health Department <u>6-2-50</u> Resolution becomes effective <u>6-2-50</u> Council Hearing, date <u>6-2-50</u> Pate <u>6-2-50</u> Resolution becomes effective <u>6-2-50</u> Continued to <u>6-2-50</u> Pate of action <u>6-2-50</u>

By.

TAN THE ALL TRA

ALCFORT IN II RESULTED BY THE FRANK CONDUCT

(a) incontinue to the function of interaction of an incontinue of any affair the master Plan of the Sity in the Disparation of the Disparation

The first distribution of the appropriation with the protocoling detrimental to the public mellars

with the departmention we have been considered by the Sonary Considered of the City of Considered of the City of Considered and the second bas when

OF TIME OF LEONALLY DEL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8159</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Don E. and Lorraine Cowles to construct a second residence on the North 330 ft. of Gillmore Tract, Encanto, being on the East side of 61st St., approximately 660 ft. South of Detroit Ave., Zone R-1, on the condition that said second residence is located not less than 125 ft. Southerly of the existing residence, as shown on the plan on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 150

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By

| Application Received $5 - 74 - 50$ By | City Planning Department |
|---|---|
| Investigation made <u>5-3/-50</u> By | Allen City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-50 | Hearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-3/-50</u> Decision <u>appendix</u> <u>b</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-1-57</u> | Date 5-31-50 Building Inspector 6-2-50 |
| Planning Commission 6 Petitioner | 6-1-50 Health Department 6-2-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-2-50 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Continued to |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Date of action |

and the second

THE REPORTED FOR

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8188</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENTED to G. H. Conklin to construct a 2-car garage 20 ft. by 21 ft., with no sideyard, on Lot 4, Block 136, Roseville, on the Northerly side of Poe St., 100 ft. Westerly of Willow St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 1950

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By

| () |
|---|
| Application Received By City Planning Department |
| nvestigation made <u>5-31-50</u> By <u>Illen ancester</u> ut |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-5</u> Hearing date Date 5-31-50 |
| Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-50 Building Inspector 6-2-50 Petitioner 6-1-50 Health Department 6-2-50 |
| |
| Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to |
| Ime limit extended to Date of action |

p p<mark>ieco</mark> da .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8217</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward J. Moore to erect a singlefamily dwelling on portions of Lots 16 and 17, Soledad Terrace, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, being at the Northeasterly extension of Loring St. at Los Altos Rd., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>May 31</u>, 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

| | 1200 |
|---|--|
| Application Received <u>5-25-3</u> | 3 By Curton |
| Application Received | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>5 - 31-5 - 3</u> | - By <u>Illen Laucaster</u> Auto |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 5- | City Planning Department |
| Decision upproval | Date 5 - 31 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk | Date 5-31-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |
| | |
| | |
| · · · · | 11 |
| | |
| 0 | |
| C1 22 | 16 |
| P V | |
| A. A | and the former of |
| | the second s |
| | 14.40 |
| | 14. |
|) | 104.37 |
| | 104.37 10 1.37 |
| | A X- |
| | 112.3 40'S Providence |
| A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | The second second second |
| | L |
| PRING | La construction of the second s |
| PRING | |
| 3 . | |
| | and the second se |
| 0 | |
| | The state of the s |
| | |
| | |
| | |

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. H. Childs to construct approximately 55 ft. of garden wall 7 ft. high, beyond the front setback line, commencing 25 ft. from the Northerly line of the property, to run along Neptune Pl., being Lots 2 and 4, Block 1, First Addition to South La Jolla, on the Northeast corner of Neptune Pl. and Westbourne Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Nixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 4726

Secretary

May 31

By_

____, 19 50

FORM 2145

Dated

| - In Hisson |
|--|
| City Planning Department |
| |
| lleen Xaugaster to gerta |
| City Planning Department |
| Hearing date |
| Date 5-31-50 Building Inspector 6-2-50 6-1-50 Health Department 6-2-50 |
| Building Inspector <u>6 5-</u> |
| 6-1-50 Health Department 6-2-50 |
| Council Hearing, date |
| Date |
| |
| Continued to |
| Date of action |
| |

1 - 11

And a later and a later of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8270</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>no t</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy R. Brockbank to build apartment houses with no setback on Hilldale Rd., being Lots 5, 6 and 7, Block 7, Kensington Heights No. 1, on the Northeast corner of Marlborough Ave. and Hilldale Rd., Zone R-C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 1950

By____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

| PJR |
|--|
| Application Received By City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>5-31-50</u> By <u>Illey</u> <u>Aucaster</u> + <u>teston</u> City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-57</u> Hearing date Decision <u>proven</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-2-59</u> Building Inspector <u>6-2-59</u> Planning Commission <u>6-2-57</u> Petitioner <u>6-2-57</u> Health Department <u>6-2-57</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> Decision of Council <u>Date</u> |
| Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action |

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8026</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Union Title Co. to construct a 1-story contractor's office 2,500 sq. ft. in size, to be operated by R. E. Hazard, off-street parking space to be provided, being portions of Pueblo Lot 1106 and Pueblo Lot 1174, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, South of Friars Road and East of Cabrillo Freeway, Zones R-1 and R-1A, together with a double-face sign structure approximately 3 ft. by 30 ft., on the following condition:

That a 10 ft. easement for the widening of Friars Road be granted to the City, as shown on the map on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457 and No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

page 263

ALE 4772

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 15 , 19 50

FORM 2145

X Sectory

Zoning Engineer

By_

| | + |
|--|---|
| 1 | |
| Application Received | Byhurton |
| Application Received | City Planning Department |
| | P. Clla () it |
| investigation made | By <u>City Planning Department</u> |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 | Hearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Committee | Date 6-14-50 |
| Decision Could approval | 5 Building Inspector 6 - 19 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Detitione | Date 6 - 14 - 50 S Building Inspector 6 - 19 - 50 er 6 - 17 - 5 Health Department 6 - 19 - 50 Council Hearing, date |
| Planning Commission 6 -19-55 1 etteron | Council Hearing, date |
| Planning Commission 6 -19-55 Petitione Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Date |
| Vecision of Council | |
| Resolution becomes effective | Continued to |
| Application withdrawn | Date of action |
| Time limit extended to | a second s |

,

Special State Asta

the state and the state

A Contraction of the second se

en anaparas

CASH-MARY IN TRANSPORT

Letter from R. K. Brown, dated April 5, 1950,

WHEREAS, Application Not ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NO**</u>^t adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4023, which amended Resolution No. 4018, be granted to Mrs. Anna Jedlick to operate a used car lot on Lots 47 and 48, Block 87, E. W. Morse's Addition, on the Northwest corner of 30th and Broadway, Zone R-C, provided that the property is improved and maintained in a condition acceptable to the Zoning Committee.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3548, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____June 14___, 1950

XPRICESS

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

| etter plication Received 4-6-50 By City Planning Department |
|---|
| vestigation made <u>6-14-57</u> By <u>Cllew</u> <u>Outon</u> City Planning Department |
| nsidered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 Hearing date cision Concal. approval Date 6-14-50 py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50 Building Inspector 6-19-50 anning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner 6-15-50 Health Department 6-19-50 Council Hearing date |
| py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50 Building Inspector 6-19-50 |
| Deal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Date |
| solution becomes effective Continued to |
| me limit extended to Date of action |

CANERAL SP ASSAGE

I a farm to tool

,

RESOLUTION NO. 4730

Letter dated April 25, 1950

WHEREAS, Application: Nax has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4122 be granted to Margaret Campbell to continue to operate an existing child care registry (baby-sitting business) in the residence on Lots 4 and 5, Block H, McFadden and Buxton's North Park Subdivision, 3681 Ray St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. No signs to be posted on the premises;
- 2. No advertising of the address;
- 3. This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sechetasy

2ll 4/22

Dated June 14 , 1950

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By___

| | / / . // |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Application Received 4-26-50 By | y City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 6-14-5 By | allen Durton |
| <u> </u> | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision and appeared | Date (0 - 14 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50 | Building Inspector 6-19-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | 6-15-3 Health Department 6-17-30 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

State and the second state of the second state

and the second of the product of the second

Contract & Contract of The State

an mood of other the best redeet as , and rate

IO. DISL.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7805</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. John Bretz, Jr., to construct an apartment hotel and garages with no setback, on Lots 19 through 26, Block 6, La Jolla Strand, on the following conditions:

- A corner cut-off be granted to the City, at the Northwesterly corner of Gravilla St. and Electric Ave.;
 This variance not to become effective until the effective
- 2. This variance not to become effective until the effective date of Ordinance No. 4432, New Series.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

No PERMITS until Alley is Closed Refer to P.Q.B.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 14 , 1950

FORM 2145

Secretery

Zoning Engineer

By

| Application Received B | City Planning Department |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Investigation made <u>6-14-50</u> B | |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 | Hearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-19-55 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-57 Planning Commission 6-19-55 Petitioner | Date 6 - 14 - 5 7 |
| CODy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-50 | Building Inspector 6-19-50 |
| Planning Commission 6 - 19 - 50 Petitioner | 6-16-50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| | |
| Resolution becomes effective | Continued to |
| Application withdrawn | Date of action |
| Time limit extended to | |

a manage on one of the state of the

CADI CAL CAL ST CLORE

1 ... 20

(- *

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8221</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. O. Colt to convert an existing guest house and storage room to a living unit including a kitchen, with a 2 ft. rear yard and a 2 ft. sideyard, on the North 10 ft. of Lot 11 and all of Lots 13 and 15, Block 10, First Addition fo South La Jolla, 7221 Olivetas Ave., Zone R-1, on the following condition:

That an Agreement be signed by the owner to the effect that this second unit will never be rented, and will be used only as a guest house or servants' quarters, incidental to the main house on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3858, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Necretary

Dated June 14 , 1950

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

| C 111 11 10 | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Application Received <u>5-75-50</u> By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made $5-31-57$ By $5-31-57$ By | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-14-50</u> Decision <u>approval</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-15-50</u> Planning Commission <u>6-19-50</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council | Building Inspector <u>6-19-50</u> |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

.

.

- 8, ml. 8

1 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8246</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel Merkowitz to add a 14-1/2 ft. by 30 ft. garage and sundeck, enclosed on 3 sides, to an existing building, and to observe a 4 ft. sideyard and a rear yard of not less than 17-1/2 ft., on the Northerly 67 ft. of Let 1, Block 9, North Shore Highlands, 4840 Everts St., Zone R-1, on the condition that the said addition be no further toward the street than the existing garage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated______, 1950

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By

| la l | |
|---|---|
| Application Received <u>5-26-50</u> By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>5-31-50</u> By | <u>City Planning Department</u> |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-31-50 Decision Construction Sent to City Clerk 6-15-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50 | Hearing date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50 | Building Inspector $6 - 19 - 50$ 6 - 15 - 50 Health Department 6 - 19 - 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective | Date |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

./ /

RESOLUTION NO. 4734 See 3947 See 5611

Letter dated May 29, 1950

WHEREAS, Application Not _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ___ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3947, which extended Resolution No. 3354, be granted to H. E. Maconnell, to operate a commercial photographic dark room in an existing hobby dark room in the residence on the Easterly corner of Seaside St. and Greene St., being on the Northwesterly 90 ft. of Lot 23, Block 1, DePuy's Addition, Zone R-2, on the following conditions:

- Part-time only: not to exceed 25 hours per week; 1.
- No signs to be displayed on the premises, and no customers to be served; 2.
- No employees;

Dated_____June 14____, 19 50

FORM 2145

This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

| | 011 |
|---|---|
| | |
| , | |
| Application Received B | |
| | City Planning Department |
| | (nach) |
| Investigation made 6 -14-50 By | and an a state of the state of |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6 -14-52 | Hearing date |
| Decision Constant o City Clerk 6-15-57 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-57 | Date 6-14-50 |
| Const Desolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50 | Building Inspector 6-19-00 |
| Planning Commission 6-19-59 Petitioner | 6 - 15 - 50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| represent filed with City Closely | Date |
| Decision of Council | |
| Resolution becomes effective | Continued to |
| Application withdrawn | Date of action |
| Time limit extended to | the scholls of |
| | a she has been part of the second of the second second |
| | a start the start of the start of the start of the |
| | |

A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF STREET AND A CONTRACT OF A CON

and and the second s

and the second of an order of a store

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8067</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>not</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Mary L. Pimentel to convert an existing garage into living quarters with a 3 foot rear yard on Lots 1, 2, and 3, except the Southwesterly 70 feet thereof, Block 302, Garlands Addition, 1629 Una Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

50

. 19

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 14

By _____ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Dated.

| | (p.v) (g |
|---|---|
| Application Received <u>5-4-50</u> By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>5-17-50</u> By | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 2-14-58 | City Planning Department Hearing date |
| Decision (Ab. i.) | Date 6 14-60 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50 Planning Commission 6 - 19 - 50 Petitioner | 6 - 15 - 50 Health Department 6 - 19 - 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

Vieners of the set the set

an-martine and the state of the

· specifies on a section of the specific or

Additional information

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4374, dated January 11, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. A. Griffin, owner, and Richard J. Kuhn, purchaser, to divide into 4 parcels and use for residential purposes, being Lot 4, Block 240, Pacific Beach, at the corner of Hornblend St. and Balboa Ave., East of Morrell St., Zone R-4, to observe the following setbacks:

| Parcel | A | (50 ft. wide) - 10 ft. setback; |
|--------|---|---|
| Parcel | B | (50 ft. wide) - 7-1/2 ft. setback; |
| Parcel | C | (40 ft. wide) - 5 ft. setback: |
| Parcel | D | (remainder of the lot) - 5 ft. setback. |

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

By.

Dated June 14 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer
| application Received By City Planning Department |
|--|
| nvestigation made <u>6-14-50</u> By <u>Allent City Planning Department</u> |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 Hearing date |
| Decision Construction sent to City Clerk <u>6-19-50</u> Building Inspector <u>6-19-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-15-50</u> Building Inspector <u>6-19-50</u> Planning Commission <u>6-19-50</u> Petitioner <u>6-15-50</u> Health Department <u>6-19-50</u> |
| ony of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50 Building Inspector 6-19-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner 6-15-50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| esolution becomes effective Continued to |
| pplication withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

we have the set of the manalype

The second secon

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8169</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herman A. and Marjorie E. Beekley to erect a 12 ft.by 24 ft. garden shelter with 13 ft. sideyard on Lot 11 and West 24 ft. of Lot 12, Collwood Park at 6205 Pembroke Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 14 , 19 50

By___

| Application Received By_ | law Hige |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| ppmodulon 1000 | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>6-14-50</u> By | (lela) - |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-53 H | Iearing date |
| Decision Comproved to City Clerk 6-15-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Batitioner | Date 6-14-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50E | Building Inspector <u>6-19-53</u> |
| | |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to I | Date of action |

The second second

The second of the second second

At the second part of the particular to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8131</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to William C. Mohler to construct a residence with a 10 foot setback on Lots 33 through 36, Block 15, Frary Heights on the Northeast corner of Nutmeg Place and Nutmeg Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 14 , 1950

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX Res. No. 4738

| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Investigation made <u>6-14-50</u> By Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 Hearing | <u>City Planning Department</u> |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-14-50</u> Hearin Decision <u>Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50</u> Buildin Petitioner | 6-14-50 Inspector 6-19 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-13-30 Building Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner 6-13 | Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Date | I Hearing, date |
| Resolution becomes effective Contin Application withdrawn Data | ued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of | |

1.1

the sound of a part as put the sound the sound the · Aller and fig the second

They'r'

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8263</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert J. and Dorothy J. Zingheim to construct a store building with no sideyard on the Northeasterly side and a 4 ft. sideyard on the Southwesterly side, attached to a single family residence at the rear, on Lots 45 and 46, Block 13, Ocean Beach Park, 4783 Voltaire St., Zone C, provided that the portion of the sign structure protruding from the side of the building be removed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____June 14.___, 19 50

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 4739

Zoning Engineer

By

| A service and | 10/ 1 |
|---|--|
| Application Received B | City Planning Départment |
| Investigation made 6-14-50 B | allen Surton |
| investigation made | City Planning Department |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Hearing date Date 6-14-50 Building Inspector 6-19-50 6-15-50 Health Department 6-19-50 Council Hearing, date Date |
| Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

and the second presentation of the second strength and strength the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8218</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Michael Ibs Gonzalez to construct a 1-room addition to a residence with no sideyard, Lot 22, Presidio Ridge, 2425 Arista Court, Zone R-1, on the condition that the owner sign an Agreement to the effect that the Southerly 5 ft. of the adjoining Lot 4, Block 497, Old San Diego, also owned by Mr. Gonzalez, will always be held in one ownership with the aforesaid Lot 22.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____June 14____, 1950_

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

| | 1 |
|---|---------|
| | to |
| Application Received <u>5-29-50</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u> | - cocc |
| Investigation made <u>6-14-50</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u> | <u></u> |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 Hearing date Decision 6-14-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50 Building Inspector 6-19-50 Planning Commission6-19-50 Petitioner 6-15-50 Health Department 6-19-50 Planning Commission6-19-50 Petitioner 6-15-50 Health Department 6-19-50 | - |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-5 Building Inspector 6-19-50 Planning Commission - 19-50 Petitioner 6-15-50 Health Department 6-19-50 | _ |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, dute | - |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action | |
| | |

to a distance in a -

a way and physical has the first of frances have

Stand Polat

TENESTICS CLIP ... TARE MARADAS, CHIE Day

and have been the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8242</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby DENIED to Benjamin Riviera to construct bathroom addition with 5 ft. 6 in. rear yard to existing residence with 18 inch. rear yard on the North 3 feet of Lot 4, Block 3, Sherman's Addition, and Lot 5, Block 18, Culverwell's Addition, 633 - 16th Street, Zone M-1.

> Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______, 19_50

By_

Zoning Engineer Secretary

FORM 2145

| | 170° 1 1 |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| | (h) |
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made By | |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 | Hearing/date |
| Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-15-50</u> Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | Date 6-14-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50 | Building Inspector <u>6-19-50</u> |
| Planning Commission 6 - 19 - 50 Petitioner | 6-15-50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Counten Treating, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

and the second second second of the second second

man on contrast of consultations providenced as that doubt and starts

and a second second of the second and and and a star and an and a start of the start of the

and the second of the same description of the

to " top a capt or block exchange in the test

A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8269</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. W. Cunningham to construct a 6 ft. by 12 ft. addition to an existing dwelling with no rear yard, where the cost of the said addition exceeds 50% of the assessed value at 1935, with a maximum coverage of 50%, on the Northerly 40 ft. of Lots 14, 15 and 16, Block 139, University Heights, 4117 Maryland St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated June 14 , 150

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4742

| 100 | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 6-14-50 By | <u>City Planning Department</u> |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision Mostifies approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-57 | Building Inspector 6-19-50 |
| -ppcar mcu with ery | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective | Date |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

in the second spars.

mener a set many some starter

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8253</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank and Mamie C. Hendricks, and to Chester E. and Virginia F. McGarrey, to divide Lots 20, 21 and 22 (except the Northerly 30 ft. of Lot 22), Block 15, La Mesa Colony, on the North side of Amherst St., 150 ft. East of 71st St., Zone R-2, into two building sites as follows:

The West 1/2 of Lot 21 and the East 1/2 of Lot 22 (except the Northerly 30 ft. of Lot 22);
Lot 20 and the East 1/2 of Lot 21;

with permission to build a duplex on Parcel (1), and to build two additional living units on Parcel (2) where a single family residence exists, on the following conditions:

1. The entire East 1/2 of Lot 22 always to be held in one ownership with the West 1/2 of Lot 21; an Agreement to this effect to be signed by the owner; AGG, 665 (over)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

By.

Dated____Juno 14 , 1950_

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4743

| and the second s | 0 | |
|--|--|---|
| Application Received | 5-26-50 B | y City Planning Department |
| Investigation made | <u>- 1450</u> B | y <u>Clean,</u> <u>City Planning Department</u> |
| Appeal filed with City Cle Decision of Council | to City Clerk 6-15-57 - 19 - 50 Petitioner erk, date | Hearing date Date 6-15-57 Building Inspector 6-19-57 6-55-57 Health Department 6-19-57 Council Hearing, date Date |
| Resolution becomes effect Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | tive | Continued to Date of action |
| | | |

Transa .

2.197. HI

5.1.11

And a state (1) that the state

and the state of the and the

SOF THE FORM

2. A 2-car garage to be erected on Parcel (1).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is relate to the property described above. WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8267</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cape Cottage Homes, Inc., to erect seven model homes on a portion of Pueblo Lot 182, to be known as Medina Terrace, Lots 15 through 21, Block 1, thereof, at the corner of Catalina St. and Talbot St., Zone R-1.

This permit to expire coincidently with Resolution No. 4578.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_June 1/. , 19 50

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4744

FORM 2145

6 Application Received _____ B .5 -29 City Planning Department By _ Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 Hearing date Decision on al, appendix Date 6-17-50 Copy of Resolution sent/to City Clerk 6-16-50 Planning Commission 6-17-50 Planning Commission 6-17-50 Planning Commission 6-17-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ______ Council Hearing, date ______ Decision of Council _______ Date ______ Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to 40 0-19 20 16 21 15 4

RESOLUTION NO. 4745 See 98578

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8226</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to the Roman Catholic Bishop by Msgr. Laurence Ferristal, to construct addition to existing church with zero setback, Lot 2, Block 4, Roseville and Portion of Pueblo Lot 188, on Westerly corner of Evergreen and Addison Streets, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.



Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 14 , 1950

By_

Zoning Engineer Secretary Res. No. 4745

FORM 2145

| plication Received By City Planning Department | |
|--|---|
| vestigation made By By By City Planning Department | |
| nsidered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 Hearing date | |
| Date 6 - 14-50 Date 6 - 14-50 Generation 6 - 19-50 Date 6 - 14-50 Building Inspector 6 - 19-50 Date 6 - 14-50 Generation 6 - 19-50 Date 6 - 14-50 Date 6 - 14-50 Generation 6 - 19-50 Date 6 - 14-50 Date 6 - 14-50 Generation 6 - 19-50 Date 6 - 14-50 Generation 6 - 19-50 Date 6 - 14-50 Generation 6 - 19-50 Date 6 - 14-50 Date 6 - 14-50 Generation 6 - 19-50 Date 6 - 14-50 Date 6 - 19-50 Date 6 - 14-50 Date 6 - 14-50 Date 6 - 19-50 Date 6 - 1 | 1 |
| py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-5 Building Inspector 6-19-50 | |
| anning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner 6-15-50 Health Department 6-19-50 | , |
| peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date | |
| cision of Council Date | |
| solution becomes effective | |
| oplication withdrawn Continued to | |
| me limit extended to Date of action | |

a will be approximate it and the day approve that a press the set

ALL A CONTRACT DOCTORS AND A CONTRACT AND A CONTRAC

the part of the terrest state product the party of the

and a margine signed in the state and

a last bern a what

-

and the second and the second polynomial

at the bill out, while G and ISRD' Part and

and the second second

a server and the server and the server and the server is a server and the server

the second s

The second second



RESOLUTION NO.

FORM 1270

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

98578

That the appeal of The Roman Catholic Bishop by Msgr. Laurance Forristal, Evergreen Street at Canon Street, from the Zoning Committee's decision in denying application No. 8226, Zoning Committee Resolution No. 4745 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, to permit construction of an addition to the existing church with a "zero setback" on Lot 2, Block 4, Roseville and portion of P.L.188 on the westerly corner of Evergreen and Addison Streets, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained; and said Zoning Committee's decision is hereby overruled.

| | FRED W. SICK |
|----|--------------------------------|
| By | City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG |
| Dy | Deputy. |

See Res. # 4745

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

98578

That the appeal of The Roman Catholic Bishop by Magr. Laurance Forristal. Evergreen Street at Canon Street, from the Zoning Committee's decision in denying application No. 8226, Zoning Committee Resolution No. 5745 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, to parmit construction of an addition to the existing church with a "zero astheck" on Lot 2, Brock 4, Resville and portion of P.L.188 on the westerly corner of Evergreen and Addison Streets, in Sone R-5, be, and it is hereby sustained; and said Zoning Committee's decision is hereby overruled.

FRED W. SIOK City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG Deputy.

plee fee. # 4745

CON FORM 1270



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8260</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bessie B. Wilson to construct a 2-unit (2-story) apartment, making 4 units on the lot, with 7 ft. access to the street, on Lots 13 and 14, Block 25, University Heights, 4643 North Ave., Zone R-4, on the condition that surfaced parking space for 3 automobiles is provided.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated____June 14____, 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

| | (120,1) |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Application Received B | |
| Investigation made 6-14-50 B | · City Planning Department |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-14-57</u> Decision <u>6-14-57</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-16-57</u> Planning Commission <u>6-19-57</u> Petitioner | Hearing date |
| Decision and approved | Date 6-14-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sept to City Clerk 6-16-53 | Building Inspector <u>6-19-50</u> |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | 6-16-50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

and the first start

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7049</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jerry D. Ross to construct 36 linear feet of 8 ft. high fence (4 ft. fence and 4 ft. retaining wall) along lot line, Lot 5, Block 3, Loma Terrace, 3731 Narragansett, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 1950

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary Res. No. 4747

| Application Received By | 1 . Juth |
|---|---------------------------------|
| / | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>6-14-50</u> By | eller, Juston |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 Hear | ing date |
| Decision appendix Date | 6-14-50, |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50 Build | ling Inspector 6-19-50 |
| Decision appendix Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50 Build Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner 6-1 | 5- 50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cour | cil Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Cont | inued to |
| Time limit extended to Date | of action |

a state of the

and set of the set of

the second of the second second

E, HL E, HL E, K E, K B, B B/

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8229</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Florence B. Abbey to construct a single-family residence on the Southeasterly 25 ft. of Lot 6 and the Northwesterly 25 ft. of Lot 7, Block 2, Sunset Grove, on the Easterly side of Long Branch Ave., approximately 165 ft. Northerly of Venice St., Zone R-1, provided that a corrected petition is submitted, containing signatures of approval.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_June 14. , 19_50

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

| | G/ A |
|--|--|
| | 111 11. |
| Application Received By | of I have |
| phication Received | City Planning Department |
| | 12 |
| Investigation made 6-14-50 By | alleen. Juston |
| - | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 Decision and sprand Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-50 Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | Hearing date |
| Decision Cond. approval | Date (14-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-50 | Building Inspector 6-19-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | 6-16-50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | all all all and a set of a set |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

Treasure a second any light -

ations of policy of the second s

to see that we we are an included at

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8271</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Fred H. and Anna M. Augustus to construct two apartments over existing residence and garage with 22 inch. sideyard, second floor addition to observe all yard requirements, Lot A, Block 30, Mission Beach, 709 Cohasset Court, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 14

By___

_ , 19 50

Zoning Engineer Secretary

FORM 2145

Dated.

| | (1) |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| | N'O M |
| , | (|
| | |
| Application Received 6 50 By | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | City Planning Department |
| | |
| Investigation made 6-19-50 By | allen, Jurton |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6 -14-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision Ourian Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-15-37</u> Planning Commission 6-19-57 Petitioner | Date 6-14-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6 - 15-57 | Building Inspector <u>6-19-50</u> |
| Planning Commission 6 - 19 - 50 Petitioner | 6-15-SHealth Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

.

11 1 7 and Vertimin and " I make a

and the second second second

No. 201 Contraction of the second sec

RESOLUTION NO.

98516

See Res. # 4749 preceding

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of F. H. Augustus, 2735 Hornblend Street, from the Zoning Committee's decision in denying by its Resolution No. 4749, application No. 8271 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, to permit construction of two apartments over an existing residence and garage with 22 inch sideyard, the second floor addition to observe yard requirements, on Lot A. Block 30 Mission Beach, at 709 Cohasset Court, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby denied; and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

| I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and c | orrect copy of Resolution No |
|---|--------------------------------|
| J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and c of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council | ncil <u>July 5, 1950</u> |
| | FRED W. SICK |
| Bv | City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG |
| FORM 1270 | Deputy. |

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

98515

That the appeal of F. H. Augustus, 2735 Hornbland Street, from the Zoning Committee's decision in denying by its Resolution No. 4749, application No. 8271 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa. to permit construction of two apartments over an existing residence and garage with 52 inch atogord, the second floor addition to observe yard requirements, on Lot A. Blook 30 Mission Beach, at 709 Cohasset Court, in Zone R-4, he, and it is hereby denied; and said Soning Committee decision is record subblaced.

| | | CK | SI | W | PRED | | |
|---------|------|-------|------|-----|------|-----------|----|
| Clerk. | City | | | | | | |
| | Ð | LLIIV | N .1 | L H | HELM | | |
| Seputy. |] | | | | | ********* | ву |

yee Kes. # 4749 proceeding

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8250</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. F. Chapman to construct four duplexes on Lots 29 through 32 in Block 25, Ocean Beach Subdivision, at 4600 block on Santa Monica.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

COU. RES. 98685

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_____June 28 , 19 50

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. 4750

| . / //// | |
|---|----|
| pplication Received By City Planning Department | |
| ivestigation made <u>5-31-50</u> By <u>llen Lancaster + Lin</u> 5-31-50 City Planning Department | to |
| onsidered by Zoning Committee 6-28-50 Hearing date 6-14-50 | |
| Date 6 - 78-50 | |
| | |
| | |
| ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date | |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| esolution becomes effective Continued to | |
| pplication withdrawn Continued to Date of action | |

×

à.

The state of the state of the state

Local and a second second second

in the second for a state of the second seco

the part of the second of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8292</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to James P. Parish to construct a 6 ft. redwood fence on top of a 6 ft. retaining wall, making a maximum total height of 12 feet, on the West 40 feet of Lots 13, 14 and 15, Block 12, Cleveland Heights, 118 W. Brookes Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 1950_

Zoning Engineer Secretary

FORM 2145

| | NZA. |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| | |
| Application Designed 5 - 50 By | |
| Application Received By | City Planhing Department |
| | llen, Surtan |
| investigation made | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 Hearing | g date |
| Decision approval and Challer Date 6 | 0-14-50 |
| Contraction cont to (Ity Clerk @ -13-30 Billdin | g Inspector <u>6-19-50</u> |
| DI C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | 3-Someatin Debartinent 6 - 19 - 5 0 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council | Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective Continu | |
| Application withdrawn Continu | ued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of | f action |

and the said an and the second

The set of the set of

· Charles the trajector A 1 2 11 Providence

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8279</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. H. and Virginia A. Workman to construct a 16 ft. by 20 ft. garage addition to an existing garage, with no rear yard, garage to be 560 sq. ft. in size, on a portion of Lot A, Pueblo Lot 1258 (La Jolla Gables), according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, 6505 Electric Ave., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 19 , 150

FORM 2145

By_

XSecretary

Zoning Engineer
| | 2010 |
|---|--|
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made By | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-53 Hearing date | |
| Decision <i>Approxime</i> to City Clerk <u>6-19-50</u> Building Ins Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner 6-19-50 | pector <u>6-19-50</u> Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hear Decision of Council Date | ring, date |
| Resolution becomes effective Continued to | 0 |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | |

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kiel A. and Amber A. McClary to erect 32 ft. redwood fence on top of a retaining wall from 2 ft. to 3 ft. high with maximum height of 7 feet over all, on Northerly one half of Lot 22, Catalina Villas, 2036 Catalina Blvd., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Res. No. 4753

| Second second second | 19 34 |
|----------------------|--------------|
| une | 14 |
| ener of | and a second |

, 19

By_ Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Dated_

| | Mar. |
|--|--|
| | |
| Application Received By | City Plaining/Department |
| Investigation made 6 - 14 - 50 By | (III. J. T. |
| investigation made | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision approvation sent to City Clerk 6-15-57 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-57 Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | Date 6-14-50 Building Inspector 6-19-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | 6-15-50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Continued to |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

the straining state of the lot of the point sector

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8292</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. L. H. Fox to build a residence with a 15 ft. setback, on the Northeasterly 70 ft. of Lots 38, 39 and 40, and of the Northeasterly 19 ft. of Lot 37, Block 26, La Jolla Park, on the Southwesterly corner of Virginia Way and Ivanhoe Ave., East, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____June 14_____, 1950

FORM 2145

By_

| 1 0 | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Application Received 6-7-50 By | H. Haester |
| Application Received | City Planning Department |
| | |
| Investigation made 6-14-50 By | allen, Juston |
| Λ | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 | Hearing date |
| <u> </u> | Data / / / / / |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-16-50</u> Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | Building Inspector <u>6-19-59</u> |
| Planning Commission 6 - 19 - 5- Petitioner | 6-16-50 Health Department 6-19-30 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

1/+

Y

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8268</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Raoul Appel, owner, and Leo Long, purchaser, to construct two duplexes, making 4 units on 3 lots, and to construct a 4-car garage with a 10 ft. rear yard, on Lots 22, 23 and 24, Block 39, Ocean Beach, on the corner of Orchard Ave. and Ebers St., Zone R-2, on the condition that a wall be constructed to the maximum permitted height around the rear door of the living unit facing on Orchard St., said wall being approximately 60 ft. in length.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 and No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

xSeesetsex

By

Dated June 14 , 1950

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4755

| Application Received By | |
|---|--|
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made By | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 | Hearing/date |
| Decision Const approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-16-57</u> Planning Commission 6-19-57 Petitioner | Date 6 - 14 - 30 Building Inspector 6 - 19 - 50 |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | 6-16-50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council | Council Hearing, date Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Continued to |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Date of action |

ATTAC TO A

MAY MAY BURN

. *

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. E. and R. H. Wyllie, owner, and J. D. and Esther L. Wier, purchasers, to construct 2 duplexes and 3 single family residences, as shown on the plot plan on file in the Planning Department Office, on a portion of Lot 2, Block 12, New Roseville, and on a portion of Lot 2, Block 1, Bayshore, and on Lots 10 through 14, Block 11, New Roseville, on the condition that an easement be granted to the City for a corner cut-off at the Southwesterly corner of Talbot St. and Rosecrans St., Zone R-1.

A surfaced parking space to be provided for each living unit, for automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

By

Dated____June_14____, 19_50

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By 50 City Planning Department Application Received _ By. -50 Investigation made _ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 Hearing date_ Decision ______ Date 6-14-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-50 Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner 6-16-50 Health Department 6-19-50 Council Hearing, date ______ Date 6-14-50 Building Inspector 6-19-50 Council Hearing, date ______ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective _ Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to SECRANG 13 JB. Inyshore Deax

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby gratted to Edwin W. and Naoma M. Bingham to build a single family residence on parcel of land with no street frontage (easements only), Lot 9, Assessor's Map 33A, of Pueblo Lot 1286, on East Roseland Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 14 , 19 50

By_

By. 6-8-50 Application Received City Planning Department By_ -14-50 Investigation made _ 0 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 6-18-50 Hearing date Date 6 - 14 - 50 Decision approver Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-30 Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ______ Council Hearing, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to 0: NES OR REY SELAND 11 1 16 13 0

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8299</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. C. McClammy and Fern McClammy, owners, and J. Albert Rhodes, lessee, to operate a woodworking shop in an existing building on all of Lot 1, and on Lot 2 except the West 70 ft. thereof, Block 3, Tract 1363, University Pl., 1605 University Ave., Zone C, on the following conditions:

- 1. Hours to be from 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M., no Sundays;
- 2. Maximum of 3 HP in electrical equipment;
- 3. 1 employee beside the owner;
- 4. This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_June 14_____, 19_50

FORM 2145

By_

Secrecary

Zoning Engineer

| Application Received 6-8-50 By | City Planning Department |
|---|--|
| Investigation made By Considered by Zoning Committee | City Planning Department |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-52 | Building Inspector 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | 6-16-50 Health Department 6-19-50 Council Hearing, date Date |
| Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

· Part

and the set of the set

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8178</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph E. and Esther V. Elliott to divide into three parcels, with permission to build a single family residence on each: (1) 60 ft. by 200 ft.; (2) 60 ft. by 200 ft.; (3) 80 ft. by 200 ft.; on Villa Lot 47, Normal Heights, at the North end of Cliff Pl., on the West side of the street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13594, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____June 14____, 1950

Zoning Engineer

By

Res. No. 4759

| | 1, 6° / . A |
|---|--|
| | |
| Application Received 6-8-50 By | A South. |
| Application Received | City Planning Department |
| | |
| Investigation made By | |
| | City Flanning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Complittee 6-14-60 | Hearing date |
| Decision (epproval | Date 6-14-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-50 | Hearing date Date $6 - 14 - 50$ Building Inspector $6 - 19 - 50$ 6 - 16 - 50 Hearing, date |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | 6 - 16 - 53 Health Department 6 - 19 - 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

to the second second where the second s

The second strates over 2-1.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8179</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph E. and Esther V. Elliott to construct a single family residence with a 5 ft. setback on the North 80 ft. of Villa Lot 47, Normal Heights, at the North end of Cliff Pl., on the West side of the street, Zone R-1, the regular City setbacks to be observed on the two 60 ft. lots adjoining on the West Street

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 14 , 150

By____

Zoning Engineer

XSecretary

F

| / | |
|---|---|
| | |
| Application Received By | |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>6-14-50</u> By | allen. Durton |
| / | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6 - 14-50 | Hearing/date |
| Decision Monificer approved 1 Copy of Resolution sent to Rity Clerk <u>6-16-50</u> Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | Date 6- 14-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to Rity Clerk 6-16-50 | Building Inspector 6-19-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | 6 - 16 - 50 Health Department 6 - 19 - 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

Stand I. CPORCE

. . . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8298</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Chris A. Cosgrove to construct 3 model homes, 1 to be used as a real estate office, on portions of Lot 13 and 14, La Mesa Colony (tentative map, Cosgrove Mesa, Lots 1, 2 and 3) on Montezuma Road at 63rd St., Zone R-1, also to include two 4 ft. by 8 ft. signs.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1950.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_June 14_____, 1950

FORM 2145

Secretury

Zoning Engineer

By_

| | 1/11 |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| | 7/1/ |
| Application Received _6-8-5" | By City Planning Department |
| 1 | City Treating Department |
| Investigation and 14-50 | By allen, Justan |
| Investigation made | City Planning Department |
| C. 11 11 7 : 4 Committee -14 | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14. | Date $6 - 14 - 50$ |
| Decision Coude approval | A TRuilding Inspector |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Cierk | Date $6 - 14 - 50$ <u>6-5</u> Building Inspector <u>6-19-50</u> ner <u>6-16-5</u> Health Department <u>6-19-50</u> |
| Planning Commission | Council Hearing, date |
| -ppcar med with city of a | Date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Continued to |
| Application withdrawn | Date of action |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |
| | |

4

-1

CI

6220

F

O WER

2

3

Montezuma Ro.

- S TARA - C ALCON

and the post of the second for

.

5

4

Solution and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8305</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Lewis Phillips and Helga W. Phillips to add to an existing rumpus room by enclosing the open porch over the garage and converting it to a library, to be used as a part-time guest bedroom, with a 5 ft. rear yard, Lot 9, Block 6, College Park No. 1, 4909 Cresita Dr., Zone R-1, on the condition that all plumbing be removed from the existing bar room.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_June 14_____, 190____

FORM 2145

Secretery

Zoning Engineer

| | Ch X III |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 6 - 14 - 53 By | aller Suite |
| Investigation made By | City Planning Department |
| | Hearing date |
| Decision | Date 6 - 14 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-52 Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | Building Inspector 6-19-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | 6-16-50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

The strands the difference by he

the second of the the block of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4763</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jacob and Blanche R. Weinberger to permit a single family residence on a parcel of land approximately 50 ft. by 105 ft., being the Northeast 55 ft. of the Southwest 110 ft. of the Northwest 105 ft. (except therefrom the Southwesterly 5 ft. of the Northwest 45 ft.) of Pueblo Lot 186, being the Southeasterly side of Rosecrans St. and the Northeasterly side of Bessemer St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By_

SOCOOC

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4763

| | 1, 20 1 1 |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made By | allen Durton |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 4-14-50 H | earing/date |
| Decision Approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-50 Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | ate 6-14-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-50B | uilding Inspector 6-19-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | 6-,6- 50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C | ouncil Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council D | Pate |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | continued to |
| Time limit extended to I | Date of action |

1 Pallingh .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8290</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clyde M. Richards and Bert E. Cole, to build and operate a cleaning plant with approximately 20 HP in electrical equipment, on Lot 39 and the West 5 ft. of Lot 40, Reed and Hubbell's Subdivision, 2960 National Ave., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 14 , 19 50

Ву____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4764

| Application Received By City Planning Department |
|---|
| City Planning Department |
| (110) (2) |
| Investigation made 6-14-50 By Allen, Duston |
| City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-53 Hearing date Decision and Approved Date 6-14-53 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-53 Building Inspector 6-19-53 Planning Commission 6-19-53 Petitioner 6-16-53 Health Department 6-19-53 |
| Decision Conal approval, Date 6-14-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-50 Building Inspector 6-19-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner 6-16-50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |

and a second of the second of

Long M. Clabschin Coll More 9 0010.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8222</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Horton Ealy to construct a single family residence, making 4 units on the lot, with a 4 ft. access to the street, abutting a 10 ft. alley, on Lot 18, Block 109, University Heights, on the East side of Utah St., approximately 150 ft. North of El Cajon Blvd., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_50

Ву____

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Investigation made By | Lan B. |
| 5-31-50 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision Werniak Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-57 | Date 6-14-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-50 | Building Inspector 6-19-50 |
| Planning Commission 6 - 19 - 50 Petitioner | 6-16-50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

D. A. 1

and the second station of the second states of the

appeal files 6-21-50 Suncil hearing 7-11-50

See Res# 4765 preceding

Clan

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Horton Ealy, 300 San Gorgonio Street, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 4765, application No. 8222, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924 Section Sa, to construct a single family residence, making 4 units on the lot with a 4-ft. access to the street. abutting a 10-ft. alley, on Lot 18 Block 109 University Heights, on the east side of Utah Street, about 150 feet north of El Cajon Boulevard, in Sone R-4. be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Loning Committee decision is hereby overruled; and permission is hereby granted to construct said I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No..... of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Counciled above in a coor dance with AUG 29 1951 revised plans presented to the Zoning Committee FRED W. SICK City Clerk.

FORM 1270

Deputy.

See Reat 4765 preceding.

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Page is intentionally left blank.

That the appeal Galifornia, from the Remolution no. 4765, of Ordinance No. 692, making 4 wolts on the 10-ft. alley, on Lot

Aprest filed 6-21-50

J Acaring 7-11-50



City of San Diego

breat, San Diego, a danying by its to the provisions family residence, a the seat side a the seat side

> be, and it is hereby enstained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled; and permission is hereby granted to cometrue? I hereby criticly the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. The Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council C. every is eccord and 29 1950 revised plane presented to the Zoning Council C. every is eccord and 29 1950 revised plane presented to the Zoning Council C. Bornel these FRED W. SICK City Clerk. By. Donald L. Steinert Donald L. Steinert

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8200</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George J. Pernicano to construct approximately 120 ft. of cement block fence, 5 ft. high, within the front setback line, on Lots 9 and 10, Block 12, Lexington Park, 2547 Violet St., Zone R-2, provided that the fence at the corner of Pepper Dr. and Violet St. is rounded on a 20 ft. radius.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 14 , 1950

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

By

Res. No. 4766

| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Application Received 5-11-50 B | lan thise |
| | |
| | 1 100 19 |
| Investigation made 5-17-50 Br | Alleen Juston |
| 5-19-50 | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made $5 - 17 - 50$ By Considered by Zoning Committee $6 - 14 - 50$ Decision Constant to City Clerk $6 - 14 - 50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $6 - 14 - 50$ Planning Commission $6 - 19 - 50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Hearing date |
| Decision Cond. approval | Date 6 - 14 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-50 | Building Inspector <u>6-19-50</u> |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner | 6-16-50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

.

1.1. 1/

RESOLUTION NO. 4767

Letter dated June 9, 1950

WHEREAS, APPLECATION NOT ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to the expiration date of Resolution No. 3952, which extended Resolution No. 3341, be granted to Charles A. Westerlund and Esther M. Anderson, owners, and Damon G. Todd, lessee, to operate a part-time business of making show cards by hand in the basement of an existing residence at 3063 Grape St., on the East 175 ft. of the North 90 ft. of Lot 2, J. P. Christensen's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

- Operation not to exceed 25 hours per week: 1.
- 2.
- No employees; To display 1 sign, to be not over 1 sq: ft. in area; 3.
- This permit to expire on June 30, 1951. 4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 14: , 1950

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Res. No. 4767

Zoning Engineer

| Application ReceivedB | y City/Planning Department |
|---|--|
| Investigation made B | y City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision and approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-16-57</u> Planning Commission 6-19-57 Petitioner | Building Inspector <u>6-19-50</u> 6-16-50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council | Council Hearing, date Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

the star is the second and a

31- 1- 1-30

· / / / ·

and a dered at a de, 27 ft.

. It's group in the complete the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8313</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. G. Severtson, owner, and D. Cowart, purchaser, to move in a residence where a portion of a residence is now on the lot, being Lot 12, Block 97, Point Loma Heights, on Pescadero Ave., 220 ft. West of Guizot St., Zone R-1, provided that all portions of said residence are removed by December 2, 1950, and provided that the owner of Lot 12 sign an Agreement to this effect.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____June 14_____, 190

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

By_

| Application Received 6-14-50 By and | ish |
|--|------------------------------|
| City Plann | ing Department |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Investigation made By By | 1 uston |
| City Plann | ing Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date | New Coldense and and and and |
| Decision Conder a proval Date 6-14-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-50 Building Inspector Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner 6-15-50 Health D | |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-15-58 Building Inspector_ | 6-19-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner 6-15-50 Health I | Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date | - |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | |
| | |

1.111 2.22

A CONTRACTOR OF THE STUDY OF TH

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8294</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Luberco, Ltd., owner, and Harry A. and Frances V. Keller, purchasers, to construct a single family residence on the North 50 ft. of Lot 23, Catalina Villas, on the Northerly side of Catalina Blvd., between Atascadero Dr. and Alicia Dr., Zone R-1, on the condition that the legal owner sign the petition in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated June 14 , 1950

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4769
| | | | () ()) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------|---|
| | / | | n g f |
| and the second second | | | 10111 |
| | 6-1-50 | By | |
| Application Received _ | | Dy | City Planning Department |
| | / ' | | (1) (9) |
| Investigation | 6-14-50 | Bv | alle pustor |
| Investigation made | | | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning | Committee 6-19 | 1-50 H | learing date |
| Considered by Zonnig | 64 million and a second | D | ate 6-14-50 |
| Consider of Desolution of | tto City Clerk 6-11 | 6-50R | ate $6 - 14 - 50$ uilding Inspector $6 - 19 - 50$ 6 - 16 - 50 Health Department $6 - 19 - 50$ |
| Planning Commission | 6-19-50 Petitio | ner | 6-16-50 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City | Clerk date | C | ouncil Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Cierk, dute | Ē | Pate |
| Resolution becomes eff | fective | | |
| Application withdrawn | | | continued to |
| Time limit extended to |) | | Date of action |
| and mill catchied to | | | |

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8225</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Aston Basmajian to maintain an existing barbwire fence approximately 4 ft. high, 728 ft. in length along 59th St., and construct approximately 330 ft. of woven wire fence, 4 ft. high, along 58th St. and within the setback line on 59th St., being on Lot 16 and the Northerly 182 ft. of Lot 13, Cave and McHatton's Subdivision, at the North end of 58th St. and 59th St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____June 14____, 19 50

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

By.

| Application Received <u>5-19-5</u> By an High | |
|--|--|
| City Planning Department | |
| Investigation made 5-31-50 By allen, Ou | ta |
| Investigation made <u>5-31-50</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u> Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-14-50</u> Hearing date <u>Date</u> | 2000 |
| J-J-J-J TI in Add | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-14-50 Hearing date | |
| Decision Coppional Date 6-14-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-50 Building Inspector 6-19-50 | |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-50 Building Inspector 6-18-50 | |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner 6-16-50 Health Department 6- | 19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date | |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective | and the second s |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | |

.

- make a sure light hours

the strength the

.

Additional Information

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4768, dated June 14, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. G. Severtson, owner, and D. Cowart, purchaser, to move in a residence where a portion of a residence is now on the lot, being Lot 12, Block 97, Point Loma Heights, on Pescadero Ave., 220 ft. West of Guizot St., Zone R-1, provided that all portions of said residence are removed by December 2, 1950.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated____June 15____, 150

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA By Jarry & Jacky

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4771

FORM 2145

| A de server server | · · · / / · · · |
|---|------------------------------|
| | P |
| Information (is a P: | |
| Application Received 6-15-50 By | - urlow |
| -ppiloation Received | City Planning Department |
| | |
| Investigation made By | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-15-50 Hearin Decision Committee 6-16-50 Hearin Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-50 Buildi Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner 6-16 | City Planning Department |
| C. Hand L. Zaring Committee 6 - 45-52 Hearing | ng date |
| Considered by Zoning Commerce Date | |
| Decision Cepproval City Clark & Charles Daild | |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk @ Buildi | ng Inspector <u>6-19-38</u> |
| Planning Commission 6-19-50 Petitioner 6-16 | -3 Health Department 6-19-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Count | il Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Conti | nued to |
| Time limit extended to Date | of action |
| | |

1 2 2 2 1

The second second

the state of the state of the state.

and reaction of the second second second

all the to, 1050, he around to rough

RESOLUTION NO. 4772 see 4728

Additional information

WHEREAS, Application Not _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4728, dated June 15, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Union Title Insurance and Trust Co. to construct a 1-story contractor's office, 3,200 sq. ft. in size, to be operated by R. E. Hazard, off-street parking space to be provided, being portions of Pueblo Lot 1106 and Pueblo Lot 1174, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, South of Friars Road and East of Cabrillo Freeway, Zones R-1 and R-1A, together with a double-face sign structure approximately 3 ft. by 30 ft., on the following condition:

That a 10 ft. easement for the widening of Friars Road be granted to the City, as shown on the map on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457, and No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

FORM 2145

50 By Application Received City Planning Department By_ Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Hearing date_ Considered by Zoning Committee Date 6-19-50 Decision approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-19-50</u> Building Inspector <u>6-19-50</u> Planning Commission <u>6-19-50</u>Petitioner <u>6-19-50</u> Health Department <u>6-19-</u> 50 Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective 45 Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to RIARS 6

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8247</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>not</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED Jesse and Donnalee Samuel to build a 3-unit apartment on Lot 1, Block 101, of Frink & Wilde's Addition and Lot 1, Block 16, Hoitt's Addition, at 3021 "J" Street.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 28 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By

SEGERATION

| man N |
|--|
| |
| City Planning Department |
| |
| City Planning Department |
| D City Planning Department |
| Flearing date |
| Date 6 - 78 - 50 |
| Building Inspector <u>6-30-50</u> 6 |
| 6- 79-50 Health Department 6-20-50 |
| Council Hearing, date |
| Date |
| |
| Continued to |
| Date of action |
| |

,

sample of the draft of the theory and the

and the second second

1 1 1 1 1

| RESOLUTION NO. | 4774 |
|----------------|------|
|----------------|------|

Letter dated June 9, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4706, dated May 31, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald and Grace S. Boyden to operate an agency for the placement of veterans and others in connection with normal school classes, testing and counseling activities, on Lots K and L, Block 218, Horton's Addition, 1760 Third Ave., Zone R-4.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1952, being the termination date of the owners' Veterans Administration contract.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be tevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4774

FORM 2145

Dated

June 28 , 19 50

| | NA |
|---|---|
| Letter / | / / ./ |
| Application Received 6 - 18- 50 By | Jail |
| 6-26-50 | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made By | Aurton |
| 6-14-50 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-28-50 | Hearing date Date 6 - 28 - 50 Building Inspector6-30 - 50 69-50 Health Department 6- 20 - 50 |
| Decision approval, condel | Date 6 - 28 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-50 | Building Inspector 6-30-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-20 - 50 Petitioner | 69-50 Health Department 6- 20 - 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

ALL DE DE D

Y ... ON TO MAKE MAN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8187</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Billie W. Sterling to operate and maintain an existing nursing home with 6 patients, and convert the existing garage into living quarters to accomodate the additional patient, on Lots 26 and 25, except the West 40 ft. thereof, Block G, Montecello, 4504 Winona Ave., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. Provided that the wardrobe closet is moved into the common wall between the bedroom and storage room, and opening directly into the bedroom;
- 2. Or, the door between the storage room and bedroom to be permitted, provided that a continuous plastered wall is installed between the dressing room and storage room, immediately adjacent to the existing door opening;
- 3. All additional construction to comply with the Building Department and Fire Marshal requirements.

(over)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated____June 28 , 1950_

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4775

| | · · · / · · |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| Application Received 5-9-50 By | 1 South |
| application received | · City Planning Department |
| | |
| Investigation made 5-17-50 By | Allen, Cancaster Juston |
| 5-19-57 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-28-52 | |
| considered by Zonnig Committee 6-30-30 | Data |
| Decision Could approval | Date 6-78-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-50 | Building Inspector |
| Planning Commission 6-20 - 50 Petitioner | 6-29-50 Health Department 6-20 - 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

state of the second states and manual

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is they relate to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

the second second second

LPG .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8296</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bessie Krape to repair and remodel single-family dwelling, and maintain existing sideyard of 2 feet, on Lot 15, Block 44, in University Heights, at 4537 Campus Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

June 28

50

, 19

Zoning Engineer

Res. 4776

FORM 2145

Dated.

| 1 | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Application Received 6-8-50 By | - City Planning Department |
| 1 | City Flamming Department |
| Investigation made 6-14-30 By | allen Lancaster Durton |
| 6-14-50 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6- 78-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision approval | Date 6 - 28-50 |
| Convert Delakition cont to City Clerk / - 79 - 57 | Building Inspector 6-20-50 |
| Planning Commission 6 - 30 -50 Petitioner | 6-79-5° Health Department 6-30 - 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

1.1

ST.

allor ,

The second second process in the second seco

a start a could also prove by prove the City

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8265</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clyde R. Kennedy and Lawrence E. Reck, owners, to divide into two building sites and build a single family residence on each, as follows:

- 1. Lot 2, except the Southeast 15 ft. thereof, and except the Northeast 40 ft. thereof, Block 501;
- The Northeast 40 ft. of Lot 2, except the Southeast 15 ft. thereof, Block 501, all of Cherry St. closed adjoining, and Lot 1, Block 500;

on the Northeast end of Arista St., Old San Diego, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be tevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_50

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

| / | X // |
|--|--|
| Application Received By | Rity Planning Department |
| 1 | |
| Investigation made By | <u>Allen Laucester</u> , Dickel+ Bute |
| Consil 11 Zoning Committee | Iearing date |
| Decision eppeonal | Date 6 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6 9-50 H | Building Inspector 6-30 - 50 |
| | 69-50 Health Department 6-30-50 Council Hearing, date |
| · [Four mica mica or · · · · · · | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| | Continued to Date of action |
| And mill catended to | |

max management a second water propries in

.

,

1 9 3 4 1 C 1

all Halls Fr

A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8266</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Clyde R. Kennedy and Lawrence E. Reck, owners, to construct two single family residences with no setback, on Lot 2, except the Southeast 15 ft. thereof, Block 501, all of Cherry St. closed adjoining, and on Lot 1, Block 500, Old San Diego, at the Northeast end of Arista St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 28 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

| Local States and Local | |
|---|----------------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| Application Received 6-9-50 B | y Vantice |
| | City Planning Department |
| / | 19.10. |
| Investigation made B. 50 B | |
| A / | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6 - 28-30 | Hearing/date |
| Decision Denia Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-50 | Date 6 - 18-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-50 | Building Inspector 6-20-30 |
| Planning Commission 6 - 20 - 50 Petitioner | 69- 53 Health Department 6-30-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8297</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>Not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Union Title and Trust Co. to erect an 8 ft. by 10 ft. panel sign in connection with a proposed subdivision and acreage along Alvarado Canyon Road, being a portion of Lot 67. Section 14, Rancho Ex-Mission, Zone R-1.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1950.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section &c, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____June 28 , 19_50

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

| | and the second sec |
|---|--|
| Application Received B | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>6 - 28 - 50</u> B | <u>Allen Dicester</u> , Dickel + But |
| Considered by Zoning Committee $6 - 28 - 52$ Decision Conder approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $6 - 29 - 52$ Planning Commission $6 - 20 - 52$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn | Council Hearing, date |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | Murray perine |
| | |
| | in the second |
| ALURADOO CO | |
| | (A p) |
| | (5A) |
| | The second |
| | 8 |
| | the second se |
| | a former and here a many to |
| | |
| | |
| 1 | and the second because the part water |
| 6767 | * |
| Mission | |
| City Limits - | |
| | COUNTY |
| PARIES' FROM LIVE LINE | |

The second state of the second state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8284</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry Parkinson to build and operate a 20 ft. by 30 ft. cabinet shop with a maximum of 5 HP, no employees, hours to be from 8:00 A.M. to 8:00 P.M., on the South 70 ft. measured on 31st St., of Lot 5, Block 35, Normal Heights, 4669 - 31st St., Zone C, on the following conditions:

- 1. That if any complaint on account of the noise is received from the neighboring property owners, all electrical machinery will be shut off at 6:00 P.M.;
- 2. This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

By

Dated June 28 , 1950

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4780

| | V //. |
|--|---|
| Application Received I | By far thee |
| | City Planning Department |
| | 34 aucaster, Dickel, Buto |
| Investigation made I | 34 Illen, Cancaster, Dirkef + Justo |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-78-52 | e Hearing date |
| Decision and appearal | Date 6 - v8 - 50 Building Inspector 6 - 30 - 50 6 - v9 - 50 Health Department 6 - 30 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-22- | Building Inspector 6-30-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-30-50 Petitioner | 6 - 29 - 50 Health Department 6 - 30 - 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | _ Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

1. Del 1817

the second the server of the barren

.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clarence E. Shepard, owner and Harold M. and Barbara L. Dresbach, purchasers, to erect four units (in two buildings) on the one building site, on Lots 11 and 12 in Block 79, of Pacific Beach Subdivision, at south side of Law Street, immediately east of north-south alley, R-2 Zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ June 28 , ¹⁹ 50

By

| Application Received <u>6-19-55</u> By fair <u>Fine</u> City Planning Department Investigation made <u>6-28-55</u> By <u>Allen</u> , <u>Aucanter</u> <u>Birkef</u> Bur Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-28-55</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Geptennal</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-255</u> Building Inspector <u>6-25-55</u> Planning Commission <u>6-30-55</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> Date <u>6-28-55</u> Health Department <u>6-20-55</u> Council Hearing, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> Date <u>Continued to</u> | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|
| Investigation made By <u>Uleus</u> , <u>accaster</u> <u>Birkef</u> ; <u>Bur</u> Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-28-50</u> Hearing date Decision <u>General</u> City Clerk <u>6-28-50</u> Hearing date Date <u>6-28-50</u> <u>Building Inspector</u> <u>6-20-50</u> Planning Commission <u>6-20-50</u> Petitioner <u>6-29-50</u> Health Department <u>6-20-50</u> Planning Commission <u>6-20-50</u> Petitioner <u>6-29-50</u> Health Department <u>6-20-50</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | 1 10 | |
| Investigation made By Cllen, Carcanter, Bickel & Guer Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-28-55</u> Hearing date Decision Commission 6-20-55 Hearing date Planning Commission 6-20-55 Petitioner 6-28-55 Health Department 6-20-55 Planning Commission 6-20-55 Petitioner 6-29-55 Health Department 6-20-55 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council Date Decision of Council Date | Application Received 6-19-50 By | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-28-50 Hearing date Decision Commission 6-20-50 Petitioner 6-28-50 Health Department 6-20-50 Planning Commission 6-20-50 Petitioner 6-27-50 Health Department 6-20-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-28-50 Hearing date Decision Approximation sent to City Clerk 6-28-50 Building Inspector 6-20-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-50 Building Inspector 6-20-50 Planning Commission 6-20-50 Petitioner 6-29-50 Health Department 6-20-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to | Investigation made 6-28-50 By | Men, daucaster Dukef + Bur |
| Date 6-28-55 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-55 Planning Commission 6-30-55 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | | City Planning Department |
| Date 6-28-55 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-55 Planning Commission 6-30-55 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Considered by Zoning Committee 6-28-50 | Hearing/date |
| Planning Commission 6-30-50 Petitioner 6-37-50 Health Department 6-30-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to | Decision approval | Date 6-28-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-30-50 Petitioner 6-37-50 Health Department 6-30-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to | Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6- 29-50 | Building Inspector 6-20-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Planning Commission 6-30-50 Petitioner | 6- 79-50 Health Department 6-30-50 |
| Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Resolution becomes effective Continued to | Decision of Council | Date |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | Resolution becomes effective | |
| | Application withdrawn | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | Time limit extended to | Date of action |

-

, 15

The second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8317</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Griffith Company, owner, and Florence Preitas, Norval Diamond, Clay A. and Wavalen E. McDonald, purchasers, to divide the property described below as follows:

(1) Westerly portion 50 feet wide and approximately 120 feet deep, with right to build single family residence;

(2) Middle portion 52 feet wide and approximately 116 feet deep with right

to build single family residence; (3) Easterly portion 9.5 feet wide and approximately 100 feet deep, which portion will be attached to existing lot east of it, and right to build

Carage for existing McDonald residence; The property being divided being Portions of Lots 1, 2 and 3 and portion of Clove Street closed adjacent on the West, of Block 3, in Point Loma Heights Subdivision (per legal description attached), at Southwest side of Tennyson Street at Clove Street closed, in R-1 Zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be tevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

FORM 2145

Dated

June 28 , 1950

| / | |
|--|--|
| | |
| Application Received B | yCity Planning Department |
| Investigation made B | Allew Laucester Birkel + Purton |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6 8-50 | P Hearing date |
| Decision (PhA | Date L- 78-50 |
| Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6- 29-53 | Building Inspector 6-30-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-30-50 Petitioner | 6-29-30 Health Department 6-30-50 |
| hppcai med with Oily offer | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| AND ALL AND AL | a pristing the start of the sta |



Reservice

the second s

the strate and the spin good will commit and

151

D

5

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8337</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glenn Branner to construct a residence with a 5 ft. rear yard, on Lot 31, Block A, Resubdivision of Villa Lots 1-11, Normal Heights, Mondell Terrace, near the Northerly end of the street, Zone R-2, provided that a surveyor's map of the property is submitted to the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated___June 28____, 19_50

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

The set of the set of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8336</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glenn Brammer to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback on Lot 31, Block A, Resubdivision of Villa Lots 1-11, Normal Heights, on Mondell Terrace, near the Northerly end of the street, Zone R-2, provided that a surveyor's map of the property is submitted to the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated___June 28 , 1950

Ву____

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

| Application Received 6 - 78 - 50 By Gity Planding Department Investigation made 6 - 78 - 50 By City Planding Department Considered by Zoning Committee 68 - 50 Hearing date | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Investigation made B By <u>llleur</u> , <u>ausater</u> , <u>Dirkel</u> , <u>Bur</u> Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-~8-50</u> Hearing date Decision <u>audal approval</u> Date <u>6-28-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-~9-50</u> Building Inspector <u>6-~20-50</u> Planning Commission <u>6-~20-50</u> Petitioner <u>6-~9-50</u> Health Department <u>6-~20-50</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date | Application Received E | By City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 68-50 Hearing date Decision approximation Date 6 - 28-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6 - 29-50 Building Inspector 6 - 20 - 50 Planning Commission 6 - 20 - 50 Petitioner 629 - 50 Health Department 6 - 20 - 50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Investigation made E E | ellen, Laur anter, Dirkel, But |
| Planning Commission 6 - 20-53 Petitioner 6 - 29-53 Health Department 6 - 20-53 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | / | |
| Planning Commission 6 - 20-53 Petitioner 6 - 29-53 Health Department 6 - 20-53 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Considered by Zoning Committee 6 8-50 | Hearing date |
| Planning Commission 6 - 20-53 Petitioner 6 - 29-53 Health Department 6 - 20-53 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Decision and approval | Date 6-28-50 |
| Planning Commission 6 - 20-53 Petitioner 6 - 29-53 Health Department 6 - 20-53 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-5 | Building Inspector 6-02-0-50 |
| Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective | Planning Commission 6 - 20- 53 Petitioner | 6-29-50 Health Department 6-20-50 |
| Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective | Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | Decision of Council | |
| | Resolution becomes effective | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | | |
| | Time limit extended to | Date of action |

~/. 11

27 Y . . .

All and the second seco

at an a support and a support a set where

ware to all statements

and the second second second second

5 This Work

WHEREAS, Application No. ____7834 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Berthe L. Moe to construct a pergola 30 feet by 32 feet , 960 square feet, attached to an existing garage and storage room, with no sideyards on the property and having less than 40% coverage, on all of Lot 9 and the west 40 feet of Lot 19, Block 1, of El Cerrito Heights, No. 1, at 4625 El Cerrito Drive, in R-1 Zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 28 , 19 50

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Dated_

| / | |
|---|---|
| Application Received | By_lan Hise |
| | City Planning Department |
| / | Caro R. R. |
| Investigation made | By anater, Birkel + Burt |
| 8 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6 - 28- | Date 6 8-50 er 6 8-50 Health Department 6-20-50 |
| Decision approval / | Date 6 8-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29. | Building Inspector 6-20-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-20 - 50 Petition | er 6-29-50 Health Department 6-20-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

,

STONTOPPE BEERS

the second se

trin . too is substant

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8348</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. L., Jim and Stella Holladay to erect and/or move in two single-family residences in addition to three existing single-family residences, the additional living units to be occupied by persons employed on this dairy and poultry ranch, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1112, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at 619-625-631 Camino del Rio, Zone R-1A, on the following conditions:

1. To be located as shown on the sketch submitted:

2. An Agreement to be signed by the owners, to the effect that no division of any of the real property concerned in this petition take place without the approval of the Zoning Committee.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 28 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

| | $ \gamma \gamma$ |
|---|---|
| 1 | 111111111 |
| | |
| Application Received6 - 71 | - 50 By T. U. Could |
| / | City Planning Department |
| | 1 1. 19. 110 |
| Investigation made 6-28-50 | By aller, Laucaster, Serkel+ Sento |
| 1 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6 - | 22-30 Hearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6- Decision Could approve | Date 6 - 28-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk | 6-30-50 Building Inspector 6-30-50 Petitioner 6-90-50 Health Department 6-50-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-30-501 | Petitioner 6-30-50 Health Department 6-30-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| and make and and we are a company | |
| | |
| 10 | |
| | |

800 APPRX.

AMINO OCL

FREEWAY OPG.

La compros citra tim

Ill' maries present energy co

the second of the second the states of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8312</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl J. and Mary E. Cornwall to erect a garage with no sideyard, 26 ft. from the front property line, on Lots 33 and 34, Block 4, South Gurwell Heights, 3034-3036 Quince St., Zone R-2, on the condition that the signature of the owner of the property adjoining to the East is obtained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_June 28 , 1950_

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretery

Res. No. 4787

FORM 2145
| / | |
|---|--|
| | By |
| Application Received | City Planning Department |
| / | City I aming Department D 110 |
| Investigation made6 - 28 - 50 | By filler Laucaster Diskef + Custo |
| 8 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-28-5 | Hearing date Date 6 - v8 - 50 E-so Building Inspector 6 - 30 - 50 Health Department 6 - 30 - 50 |
| Decision and appears 1 | Date 6 - 78 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-> | 2-50 Building Inspector 6-30-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-20 -5 Petition | ner 6- 29-50 Health Department 6-30-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

1 -

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8314</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. J. and Esther M. Luallen to erect a rumpus room and barbecue with a 12 ft. rear yard, on the Northwesterly 48 ft. of Lot 2, Block 81, Point Loma Heights, 4484 Orchard Ive., Zone R-1, on the condition that an Agreement is signed by the owners to the effect that the new structure AGG will never be used as a separate living unit, to be neither rented nor sold separately from the main residence on the lot. 667

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_June 28 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4788

| / | 7/1/// |
|---|------------------------------------|
| | |
| | TUI le and |
| Application Received H | By City Planging Department |
| / | A City Franking Department D A |
| | 3v Allen hancaster, Dickel + But |
| Investigation made F | By City Planning Department |
| | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee (0-28-5) | Hearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-28-55</u> Decision and approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-20-5</u> | Date 6 - 28 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-20-0 | Building Inspector <u>6-20-50</u> |
| Planning Commission 6-20 - 50 Petitioner | 6-30 -32 Health Department 6-30-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

,

· pourse of the notable dist of the

and and

(Apple . (Darren co)

Vea

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8347</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. A. Scholz to construct an addition to an existing garage which has a 1 foot sideyard, on Lot P. Block 9 of Davis Subdivision of Lots 8 and 9, Block M. Teralta, at 4427 - 33rd Place, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By___

Zoning Engineer

Res. 4789

Dated _____

June 28 , 1950

| Application Designal (2) 21 1570 By | AX. Nouth |
|---|---|
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| | na Pla |
| Investigation made By | Illey Laucaster Diskel But |
| myestigation made | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-78-50 | Hearing Aate |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-48-50 | Data |
| Decisionalpround | Date 6 - +8-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-79-50 | Building Inspector <u>6-30-50</u> |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-79-50 Planning Commission 6-30-50 Petitioner | 6 - 29 - 50 Health Department 6 - 30 - 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

A STORE THEAD.

the local dispersion

VILLENDER COLOR TOACT TREET

and when any and and the

RU1

CIDE? a

in .

WHEREAS, Application No. 8342 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- involved, or to the user off the decided, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity of the regulation of the regulation.
- Inat Prive are relation of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship outd that the applying of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of will cantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and Vicinity.
 That the graditing of the application will ______ materially affect the public well will.
- That the grading of the and reation will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residue of the health or safety of the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED. By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. H. White to construct a residence with 18 feet rear yard, on Lot 172 of Bay Park Village, at the southwest corner of Goldfield and Ashton Streets, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

THIS IS NOT A BUILDING PERMIT

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Secretery

Zoning Engineer

Dated_ FORM 2145 June 28 , 19 50

| Application Received B | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 6 - 28 - 50 B | |
| A / | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-28-50 | Hearing/date |
| Decision (lpping) | Date 6-28-50 |
| Decision epproved to City Clerk 6-22-5 | Building Inspector 6-30-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-20-50 Petitioner | 6 - 29-50 Health Department 6 - 20-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | . Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

0 1

a the no wirk deferated affore.

,

to and contrangers sight control fe"

NON OF CODULTING ONE VISION PERCORS.

1. 1944 10 11 11 1012 00 00 00 01 114 10 P

and and and a state of the second of the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl H. Brehm to operate a parttime shop for the repair of gas-welding regulators and torches in an existing garage on Lots 25 and 26, Block 5, Reed's Ocean Front, 1060 Loring St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. A maximum of 15 hours per week;
- 2. No signs on the premises nor advertising of the address;
- 3. Hours to be from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.;
- 4. Any requirements of the Fire Marshal to be complied with;
- 5. This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_June 28 , 19_50

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4791

| 1 | PO/Q |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Application Received $6 - \gamma I$ | - 57 By |
| Application Received | City Planning Department |
| | R.IIR+ |
| Investigation made6-78- | |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 4 | - 28-50 Hearing date |
| Decision and appeared | Date 28.57 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler | Date k <u>69-5</u> Building Inspector <u>6-20-57</u> Petitioner <u>6-179-57</u> Health Department <u>6-30-57</u> |
| Planning Commission 6 - 79-50 | Petitioner 6-129-50 Health Department 6-30-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

And Assault - was a

.

×

1

The second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8344</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**Not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David E. and Ethel N. Wortman and Earl G. Zellmann, owhers, and Robert K. Smith, purchaser, to divide into two parcels as follows:

- (1) Northwest one-half of Lot 9 and Southeast one-half of Lot 10:
- (2) Northwest one-half of Lot 10 and Southeast one-half of Lot 11;

each parcel to have the right to erect a single family residence:

on the Northwest one-half of Lot 9, all of Lot 10, and Southeast one-half of Lot 11, in Block 1 of Loma Terrace Subdivision, at the northeast side of Narragansett Avenue, 125 feet southeast of Warrington Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretery

June 28 , 19 50

Dated _____

| Application Received | 6-71-53 | By lan fice |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---|
| | 1 | City Planning Department |
| | | - R. Mp |
| Investigation made | 6-78-50 | - By eller, Laucaster, Sirkel + But |
| 8. | / | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning (| Committee 6-28 | _ 50 Hearing date |
| Decision Chappen | | Date 68-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent | to City Clerk 6-2 | Date $68 - 50$ 2-5 Building Inspector $6 - 30 - 50$ ner $6 - 79 - 50$ Health Department $6 - 30 - 50$ |
| Planning Commission | 6-30-50 Petitio | ner 6 - 29-50 Health Department 6 - 30 - 50 |
| Appeal filed with City C | lerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | 100 | Date |
| Resolution becomes effe | ctive | |
| Application withdrawn | | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | | Date of action |
| | | |

1/1

A DE LE REPERTENT L'APPENDENT

ou to the tot for the first of the st

and the first of the second of

Standard Court & Courter, publication in the

interaction of the state water and a solution of

A COMPANIE SOUTH STATES

, ,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8245</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mabel Jessop to re-divide Portion of Lots 20 and 21, La Playa Highlands, according to the attached legal description, to be re-divided according to the attached map, the address of said property being on La Crescentia Drive. in Zone R-1

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

June 28 , 19 50

Dated____

| | p q q |
|----------------------|--|
| plication Received | 6-21-50 By . herton |
| 1 | City Planning Department |
| estigation made | 6 - 78-50 By allen dancaster Dirkeft De |
| | City Planning Department |
| cision approva | g Committee <u>6 - 28-53</u> Hearing date Date 6 - 28-53 |
| py of Resolution se | ent to City Clerk 6-29-50 Building Inspector 6-30-50 n 6-30-50 Petitioner 6-29-50 Health Department 6-30-50 |
| peal filed with City | y Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| cision of Council | Date |
| plication withdraw | vn Continued to |
| me limit extended t | to Date of action |
| | CRESCENTIA DR. |
| | A |
| s. | TA I' |
| | Tricka Q |
| | |
| Cope' | and the state of t |
| | The of creating of Stree surfaces |
| Dista 1 | 1ª' |
| | The second secon |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | 2 mar see a second a |
| ouri e | |
| XX | es. |
| | |
| | |
| | 2 3.10 |
| | 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 |
| | |
| | and a second preserves second second second and |
| | |
| | |
| | the second and the second seco |
| | the second second state which is a second to the second second second second second second second second second |
| | |
| | a second and the second of the second s |
| Rection . | the rest of the rest of the Parking Street and |
| | |
| | |
| | 22 23 |

Letter dated June 20, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4105, which extended Resolution No. 3412, be granted to Ralph E. Beck to operate a knife-sharpening and repair shop in an existing storage building at 3821 Alpha St., on Lots 19 and 20, Block 419, Duncan's Addition, Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. Part-time, not to exceed 25 hours per week;
- 2. No signs to be erected on the premises;
- 3. No employees;
- 4. This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 28 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

| 0 / | n. |
|--|---|
| Notte. | |
| Application Received 30 | Byar |
| / | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made6-28-50 | Bully, Laucaster, Die balt Busto |
| 0 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee | |
| Decision and approval | Date 6 - 78 - 50 |
| Planning Commission 6 - 20 - 50 P | etitioner 6->9-50 Health Department 6-30-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective | Date |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | the survey that some prove and were you |
| | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | |
| ا مال الالباري ووليا من مرجوع مرجوع . | The purpose are and the states in |
| time of a | a second s |
| | |
| The second s | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| The second s | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>**not**</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>**not**</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is DENIED to C. C. Caldwell to erect a 4 foot 6 inch by 9 foot 6 inch kitchen addition to existing servants' quarters, making a second living unit with kitchen on the parcel of land described as the Northwesterly 48,17 feet of the Southeasterly 97.39 feet of Villa Lot 376 in Valley Vista Terrace, located at 4752 Panorama Drive, in Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 148 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ¹/₁xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal ¹/₁ filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

June 28, 1950

Dated _____

Zoning Engineer

Res. 4795

| Application Received By | |
|---|--|
| / | City Planning Department |
| | (MA of A R. I.B. |
| Investigation made 6 B- B | alley lancaster, perkel + her |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6 - 28 - 5 | Hearing date |
| Decision Decision | Date 6 - 28 - 50, |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6- 29-3 | Date 6 - 28 - 58 Building Inspector 6 - 30 - 30 6 - 29 - 3 Health Department 6 - 30 - 50 |
| Planning Commission 6-30-50 Petitioner | 6 - 29-30 Health Department 6 - 30 - 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Device and the second s

This is a second of the second

,

Comparine to the Care of Sau Diego.

and the second of the second from the time the

(a) more production of particular products of the product of th

"C" national pit bases bridged

the second to a second s

the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8274</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. B. and Alice W. Houghtaling to construct an 18 inch lattice type fence on an existing 6 foot 10 inch wall on the Southeasterly 49.22 feet of Villa Lot 376 of Valle Vista Terrace, according to map thereof No. 1055 filed in the County Recorder's Office of San Diego, (per attached legal description), said property located at 4756 Panorama Drive in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

20100000000

Dated June 28 , 19 50

By_

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

| | and a state of the second |
|---|---|
| and the states of the second | |
| Application Received 6-9-50 | By ail |
| , | City Planning Department |
| | And R. IP |
| Investigation made (0 5 - 0 | By lleen, Laucaster Dickel + Porto |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-28-5 | 7 Hearing date |
| Decision Appendiate City Clerk 6-79- | Date 6 - 28-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-79- | 50 Building Inspector 6-30-50 |
| Planning Commission 6 -20 - 50 Petitione | er 6-29-50 Health Department 6-30-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

LYDRON CORACT HOLE RETTOR TO THE THE INCOMPANYER SERVICE FRAME PREPARE OF 0001. " TUROLING OF 19 47

· 12

qui la

1.1

Wanter an

19134

HAR HER,

in the set were indeeded and provide so that is not and the

To the factor den series for the series of t

FILME THE AS LOOD ON ATTIMTOR THE AT FILME THE LEVEL OF AN ADDATES A TRUE NUMBER OF A DESCRIPTION OF A TRUE

WHEREAS, Application No. 8350 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glen H. and Beryl C. Munkelt to erect a single family residence with a five foot setback where fifteen foot setback is required, on Lots 10 and 11 in Block 71 in Roseville Subdivision, at 3333 Ingelow Street in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > She Dieni, die

Dated June 28 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By.

| 1 | TITY |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Application Received 6 By_ | City Planning Department |
| 1 _/ | R. MR. |
| Investigation made $68 - 50$ By | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-28-50 He | earing date |
| Decision Uppersonal Committee Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-50 Bu Planning Commission 6-20-50 Petitioner | uilding Inspector <u>6-30-50</u> |
| Planning Commission 6 - 20 - 50 Petitioner | 6-79-50 Health Department 6-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co Decision of Council Da | ate |
| Resolution becomes effective Co | ontinued to |
| Time limit extended to | ate of action |

als and manifesting property success Threat when

TELETON TELET TU TOTA THE

A SALAR TO AN A SALAR TO A SALAR TO A TANK AT

HOR CONTRACTOR REPORT THE POINT OF AN INTRACTOR OF

a the many constraining his but you have convertices

the second state and the second of the part of the second of

" All the the of the second of the second water of the industry findered and the the second of the second of the

in the second

LITE .

di Ster

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>4798</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. S. J. McClendon to construct an addition to existing building making a total of 2688 square feet coverage, or 188 square feet overcoverage, on Lot K in Block 303 of Horton's Addition, at 2654 Fourth Ave., in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ^{\$ixth} day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal ^{is} filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 28 , 19_50

Zoning Engineer

Dated _____

| ine |
|--|
| nning Department |
| |
| and an |
| nning Department |
| |
| 3 |
| 6-30-50 Department 6-30-50 |
| Department 6-30-50 |
| te |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

The second cars cars out on the post.

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL POINTS OF A R

1:11

The second second second with the South of Second Second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7785</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter M. McKellar, H. G. Putnam and Robert E. Hill to re-divide Lots 8 through 11, Block 69, La Jolla Park Villa Tract, at Amalfi St. and Whitefield Pl., Zone R-1, into four new building sites and erect a single family residence on each according to the plans submitted, and provided that each parcel has full frontage on a dedicated street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

See G.A.R.

Dated____Juno 28 , 1950

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

By

Res. No. 4799

FORM 2145

| Application Received | By TU. /councy |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Application Received | City Planning Department/ |
| | 1110 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| Investigation made 8-50 | By Ulen, Lancaster, Dirkely Buto |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-~8-52 | 5 Hearing/date |
| Decision Approved, could Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6 | Date $6 - 78 - 50$ |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6 | Building Inspector 6- 20-50 |
| Planning Commission 6-30-50 Petitioner | 6-29-3 Health Department 6-30-30 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

REAL PROPERTY

7/17/17

while the considered by the Southe Constitution

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8353</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert C. and Dolores M. Neaves to erect a 5 ft. high concrete block free-standing wall, on top of a poured concrete retaining wall, to a maximum height of 10 ft., on Lot 102, Arnold's Westwood Hills Unit No. 1, 2044 Haniman Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be tevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____June 28 , 1950

FORM 2145

By____

Secretary

Res. No. 4800

| 1 | |
|--|---|
| | |
| Application Received 6-2-50 | By 7 M. Couvel |
| 1 | · City Planning Department |
| | Calar R. IR. |
| Investigation made 6-28-50 | By Ullein, dancaster, Dukef Dute |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6 - 28-6 | 6 oHearing date |
| Decision (1) proved / | Date 6 - 28 - 50 Sounding Inspector 6 - 20 - 50 G- 8 - 50 Health Department 6 - 20 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29 | SoBuilding Inspector 6-30-50 |
| Planning Commission 6 - 20 - 50 Petitioner | 6-29-50 Health Department 6-30-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

The property

CONTRACT, CO