WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8346</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the City of San Diego, owner, and the La Mesa, Lemon Grove and Spring Valley Irrigation District, lessee, to build and operate a water pumping plant, on the same lot with the San Diego pumping plant, being Lot 24, Block 7, La Mesa Townsite, 69th St. and Mohawk St., Zone R-2, on the following condition:

That the immediate vicinity of said pumping plant be landscaped to a sufficient density to obscure any exposed equipment around the plant.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____June 28 ____, 19_50

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

the set of a second second second second

and the second of the second s

a start for an in

REAL PROPERTY.

Letter dated June 28, 1950, and

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8328</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Susan Truman to build an addition 6 ft. in width, including a toilet room, at the side of the building used in connection with the existing child care center, 10 ft. 10 in. rear yard and 5 ft. 7 in. between buildings, on Lots 65 through 69, Block 5, First Addition to Pacific Beach Vista Tract, 945 Archer St., Zone R-1.

Permission is also herein granted to Susan Truman and Barbara Peterson to operate said child care center on the following conditions:

- 1. Hours of operation from 8:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M., Monday through Friday:
- Age range of the children to be from 2 years to 12 years;
 This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

Being an extension from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4079, which extended Resolution No. 3339.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 28 , 1950

ture de este

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

(over)

| the useries, 6-29-50 7/1/1/ | 101 |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Application Received By City Planning Depart | iment RIR |
| Investigation made By By By City Planning Depart | ter, Dirkel+ Dei |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-28-50 Hearing date Decision Monified + considered appended Date 6-28-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-50 Building Inspector 6-20 Petitioner 6-28-50 Health Department | |
| Decision Monified + could appeared Date 6. 28-50 | |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-30 Building Inspector 6-30 | - 50 |
| | nt 6 - 20 - 58 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date | |
| Devision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | constitution and the second second |

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 8355 __ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lawrence W. and Rosemary M. Connor to construct a garage with a 6 ft. setback on Lot 41, El Paseo Tract, on the West side of Brant St., approximately 300 ft. South of Pennsylvania Ave., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

June 28 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer

Dated FORM 2145

| , | 1 1 9 |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| | |
| Application Received By | |
| · / / | · City Planning Department |
| | D A At |
| Investigation made By | Allen, Laucaster, Beikef+ Be |
| Investigation made | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-28-50 | Hearing date |
| Considered by Loning Committee | |
| Decision approval | Date 6 - 78 - 50 / |
| Copy of Pocolition sent to City Clerk 6-29-50 | Building Inspector 6- 29-50 |
| Planning Commission (2 - 30 - 50 Petitioner | 6-29-50 Health Department 6-30-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Resolution becomes cricerive | Continued to |
| | |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

and an and and a present in alternation and another level and an antipatro of a present state of a set

,

a thread and the second and a second and the second

and the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8321</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924; as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ **not** work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is DENIED E. B. Broderick to erect a 37foot 8 inch by 23 foot 4 inch addition to existing convalescent hospital accommodating 12 additional patients, total capacity to be 33 patients, on Lot 63 of Reynard Hills Subdivision, at 3025 Reynard Way, in Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sect At Aduk

see COUNCIL RES 98831

Dated_____June 28 , 19_50

By___

Zoning Engineer

| Application Received By By City Planning Department | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Investigation made 6-22-53 By ellen, ancaster, | Birkel. Bu |
| City Planning Department | Information Conversion of Conversion |
| Considered by Zoning Committee -28-50 Hearing date | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-28-50 Hearing date Decision Aurica Date 6-28-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-28-50 Planning Commission 6-30-50 Petitioner 6-29-50 Health Department 6-30 Planning Low Clerk date Council Hearing date | |
| Planning Commission 6 - 30 - 50 Petitioner 6- 29 - 50 Health Department 6 - 20 | 1-50 |
| Appeal filed With City Cierk, date Council ficaring, date | |
| | |
| a trian becomes checulyc | |
| | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | |

The state of the sector of the

Haden main chi. 4.2. Andrew Lever

. . .

the share of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8324</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. F. and A. L. Truitt to build a duplex residence where one single family residence exists, with 52 foot street frontage and total lot width 140 feet, on North 40 feet of Villa Lots 24 to 27 and South 100 feet of Villa Lot 28 (except East 62 feet of North 88 feet) of Normal Heights Subdivision, Located at 4712 Arizona Street, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ASecletaryX

By__

June 28 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer

Bes. 4805

Dated____

| Application Received 6-28-50 By Jain Him Planning Department | |
|--|----|
| City Planning Department | 1 |
| | 1 |
| Investigation made 6 - 28 - 50 By lleun Accester Auckel | + |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-78-53 Hearing date | no |
| Lonsidered by Zoning Committee 6-21-05 Hearing date | |
| Decision approval Date 6-28-50 | |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-50 Building Inspector 6-20-50 | |
| Decision Commission contract Date 6-28-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-50 Planning Commission - 20 - 50 Petitioner 6-29-50 Health Department 6-30-50 | |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date | |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | |
| | |

1.11.2.1

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8376</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Steelcrete, Inc., to erect a model home on Lot 11, Block 1, tentative map of Bay Park Vista Unit No. 1, on Morena Boulevard, 70 ft. Southeasterly of Baker St., Zone R-1, together with 2 signs,4 ft. by 8 ft. maximum size.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ^sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 28 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

| Application Received 6 B | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Application ReceivedB | City Planning Department |
| | City Flamming Department |
| | aller, Laucaster, Dirkel+ Bar |
| Investigation made 6-28-50 B | Aller ancaster Derket + P |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6-28-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision and approval 1 | Date 6 - 28-50 |
| Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-53 | Building Inspector 6-30-50 |
| Decision and approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-29-53 Planning Commission 6-20- 3 Petitioner | 6-29-50 Health Department 6-30-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| lime limit extended to | |

Tak was

,

not

June

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4779, dated June 28, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Union Title and Trust Co. to erect an 8 ft. by 10 ft. panel sign in connection with a proposed subdivision and acreage along Alvarado Canyon Road, being a portion of Lot 67, Section 14, Rancho Ex-Mission, Zone R-1.

This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8c, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be tevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 30 , 1950

By

Secretery Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4807

| Application Received | By City Planning Department |
|---|---|
| Investigation made | _ By City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 6 - 28- | So Hearing date |
| Decision Could approval | Date 6 8 - 50 e-50 Building Inspector 6 - 30 - 50 her 6 - 30 - 50 Health Department 6 - 30 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-2 | e-so Building Inspector 6-30-50 |
| Planning Commission 6 - 20 - 30 Petition | ner 6-20-30 Health Department 6-20-30 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Continued to |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| I ime ininit extended to | |

,

the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8311</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. A. Wright, owner, and Coast Furniture, lessee, to erect a neon sign to project 10 ft. from the face of the building, said building having a 12 ft. 6 in. setback, on Lots 1 through 4, Block 234, Middletown, 4025 Pacific Highway, Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Permission is hereby DENIED to R. A. Wright, owner, and Coast Furniture, lessee, to erect a second sign on the property abovedescribed, being a supplemental request contained in letter dated June 27, 1950. Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_July 5_____, 19_50

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4808

Zoning Engineer

| 1 0 | / // |
|---|--|
| Application Received B | v law Hice |
| | City Planning Department |
| | (nn ~ + Dil U.B. |
| Investigation made $6 - 78 - 50$ E | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-5-5 Decision 2 No 516 N DE City Clerk 7-6-5 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-6-5 Planning Commission 7-6-50 Petitioner | • Hearing date |
| Decision 2 ND SIGN DENLIED | Date 7-5-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-6-5 | Building Inspector 7-6-50 |
| Planning Commission 7-6-50 Fetitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing date |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | the property of the second |
| | |
| | |

the last start and a start of the

All and start and

,

RESOLUTION NO. 4809

Additional Information

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section to of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4772, which amended Resolution No. 4728, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Union Title Insurance and Trust Co. to construct a 1-story contractor's office, 3,200 sq. ft. in size, to be operated by R. E. Hazard, off-street parking space to be provided, being portions of Pueblo Lot 1106 and Pueblo Lot 1174, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, South of Friars Road and East of Cabrillo Freeway, Zones R-1 and R-1A, together with a double-face sign structure approximately 3 ft. by 30 ft., on the following condition:

That a 15 ft. easement for the widening of Friars Road be granted to the City, as shown on the map on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457, and No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 5 , 1950

Zoning Engineer

Secretary Res. No. 4809

| Aditional information Application Received 7= 5= 50 By | p919 |
|--|--|
| | 0 |
| investigation made 7-5-50 By | City Planning Department |
| considered by Zoning Committee $7-5-50$ pecision $7-5-50$ pecision $7-6-50$ Petitioner Planning Commission $7-6-50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Pecision of Council Resolution becomes effective | Building Inspector 7-6-50 7-6-50 Health Department 7-6-50 |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7579</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rose Vollmer, Mrs. George W. Wood and the Estate of George W. Wood, owners, and Balboa University, purchaser, to use portions of Pueblo Lots 65, 103, 144, 145 and 146, as shown on the map on file in the Planning Department Office, marked Exhibit "A", to erect necessary buildings for educational and housing purposes, and to make necessary repairs and alterations to existing buildings in any amount, for educational and housing purposes, the following conditions to be observed:

- New construction, including additions to existing buildings, shall be limited to buildings for bona fide University purposes, and for the residence of bona fide students, faculty members and employees of the University;
- 2. All new construction to be approved by the City Planning Commission or the Zoning Committee for exterior design;

page 204

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ________, 19_50

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4810

(over)

- This Resolution shall not affect any land lying within 470 ft. of Catal Blvd., within 350 ft. of Hill St., nor within 300 ft. of Azure Vista Subdivision;
- 4. Before any building is used for educational or any other purposes by t University, it shall be made to comply with all the requirements of the City Building Inspection Department, the Fire Department, and the Heal Department;
- 5. Existing housing may be used for rental to the present occupants for a period of three years from this date, but shall not be re-rented nor after a present tenant moves out, nor after three years from this date whichever occurs first, other than for educational purposes by the University, for residence of bona fide students, faculty members and employees of the University.
- 6. The owners of the property included in this Resolution shall provide reasonable access to the public during daylight hours, from Ladera St. and across presently established or future roads, paths and walkways of property, to the ocean frontage or M.H.T. line of the Pacific Ocean.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

| Date of action | Time limit extended to |
|--|---|
| Continued to | Application withdrawn |
| of Portage | Resolution becomes effective |
| Date | Decision of Council |
| | Appeal filed with City Clerk, date |
| Council Hearing, date | |
| 9-6-5- Health Department 7.6-5- | Copy of Accontinon School 20 - 6 - 5 Petitioner |
| Building Inspector 7-6-55 | Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-6-52 |
| D316 /- 2 -2 9 | for a |
| Hearing date | Considered by Zoning Committee 6-25-5-5 |
| d-44 City Planning Department | |
| | andestigation made |
| $(\gamma + \rho / / /)$ | |
| City Planning Department | |
| man and a second | Application Received 10 6 - 49 By |
| 7 1 1 / / / | |
| | |
| P / n / n | |
| | |

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8185</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- - 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
 - 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
 - 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is DENIED to William A. Milligan, Frank Barattine, and Lowell Thu to divide into three building sites; 1 lot facing on 50 foot easement, 2 lots facing on a dedicated street, with right to build a single family dwelling on each parcel, portion of Lot 12, of Pueblo Lot 1103 of Joseph Reiner, according to legal description submitted, located at Camino del Rio and Harney Street, in Zone R-1A.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, Section 3a, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Page 251-254

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be nevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_50

FORM 2145

By____

XOPPOSIC PION

Zoning Engineer

By 8-50 City Planning Department Investigation made 5-17-3 B6 City Planning Department 5-17-50 Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-78-55</u> Hearing date <u>7-17-55</u> Decision Date 7-17-55 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-13-55</u> Building Inspector <u>7-14-55</u> Planning Commission 7-14-55 Petitioner 7-13-35 Health Department 7-14-55 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> Date Decision of Council * Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action Comino 000

RES 48/1

RESOLUTION NO. 98830

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

There is hereby denied the appeal of W. A. Milligan from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying application 5185, Zoning Committee Resolution No. 4811, for variance to Ordinance No. 1547 New Series Section 3a and Ordinance No. 8924 Section12, to permit William A. Milligan, Frank Barrattino and Lowell Thu to divide into three building sites portion of Lot 12 of Pueblo Lot 1103 of Joseph Reiner Sub. according to legal description submitted to the Zoning Committee, located at Camino del Rio and Harney Street, in Zone R-1-A, and granting variance to said Ordinance upon said property, topermit construction of one additional residence upon the total 1-7/S acres thereof (making only two houses), with each house to be upon approximately one-half thereof, with frontage on Polo Grounds Road, on provision that Mr. Milligan give up access rights to the Freeway.

J Frenchy Clertify the above to be a full, true and correct copy of Resolution No.____98830______ of the Council of the City of San Diego, California, as adopted by said Council_____July 27, 1950_____

By

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

HELEN M. WILLIG

Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 98820

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

There is hereby denied the appeal of W. A. Milligan from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying application 8185, Zoning Committee Resolution No. 4811, for variance ** Ordinance No. 1847 New Series Section 3a and Ordinance

> No. 8924 Section12, to to divide into three bu Joseph Reiner Sub. acco located at Camino del R to said Ordinance upon residence upon the tota house to be upon approx on provision that Mr. M

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

btino and Lowell Thu
c Lot 1103 of
the Zoning Committee,
d granting variance
f one additional
houses), with each
on Polo Grounds Road,
eaway.

RES 4811

No. 98830 of the Council of the City of San Diego, California, as adopted by said

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

HELEN M. WILLIG

Deputy

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is DENIED to Estella A. and Robert T. Gonzales to operate a beauty parlor part-time. (approximately 25 hours a week) in a residence, located on Lot 5, in Block 9, in Marilou Park Subdivision, at 1018 038th Street, in Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

a a star star star and star

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Res. 4812

Zoning Engineersectory

Dated_ July 12 , 19_50

By_

| (| NRR. |
|---|---|
| Application Received _5-16-50 B | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made B | |
| onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-50</u> pecision Druca | Hearing date 7-12-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-13-53 planning Commission 7-14-59 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Date 7-1-50 Building Inspector <u>7-14-50</u> 7-13-50 Health Department 7-14-50 Council Hearing date |
| pecision of Council | Date |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to Date of action |

A Set officially and the

8

10% . 40

1 4

C. . .

* 005

,

RESOLUTION NO. 98889

Sectes# 481 preceding

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Mrs. Estella R. Gonzales, 1018 - 38th Street, San Diego 2, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying her application No. 8211, by its Resolution No. 4812, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 95 New Series, to permit operation by Estella R. and Robert T. Gonzales of a beauty parlor part-time (approximately 25 hours a week) in a residence located on Lot 5, Block 9, Marilou Park Sub. at 1018 -38th Street, in Zone R-2, be, and it is hereby sustained; and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted to Estella R. and Robert T. Gonzales, 1018 - 38th Street, to Operate a beauty parlor, part time upon the following conditions:

- 1. There shall be no signs on the premises, except a 1: x 2' sign on the residence;
- 2. There shall be no employees;
- 3. The maximum number of hours of operation shall be twenty-five (25) hours per week;
- 4. The variance shall be for a period of 2 years from the date of this Resolution, and shall be subject to renewal.

I Hereby Certify the above to be a full, true and correct copy of Resolution No. 98889----- of the Council of the City of San Diego, California, as adopted by said Council____August_ 1. -1950 ----FRED W. SICK

City Clerk Helen m. U Deputy

Form 18V 4-4-41 5M

on follows:

Printed in San Diego

exache a T. T S. aren on one rearmond! 1. There shall be no sline on the premines,

arrest water being

w pow 1 3

operate a beauty parlor, part time upon the following conditions: to Estelle E. and Robert T. Genseles, 1018 - 36th Street, to

said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled. SWED Street, in None R-2, be, and it is hereby sum tained; and residence located on Lot 5, Block 9, Meriles Fark Sub. at LOLE of a beauty portor part-time (approximately 25 hours a week) in a series, to parmit operation by Estella R. and Robert T. Gonzales No. 4812, for veriance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New committee in denying her application No. S2LL, by its Renelation street, Sen Diego 2, Gallioznie, from the desision of the Soning That the appeal of MTA, Setelle H, Conzelos, 1016 - 38th

ME IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Sun Diego,

REDOLUTION NO. 98689

BE IT FURTHER REGULTED, that permitselon it her oby granted

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is DENIED to Helen F. Compton to divide into three building sites, with 50 foot street frontage for each lot, portion of Pueblo Lot 1281, described in legal description submitted, located at El Paseo Grande, at end of Paseo del Ocaso. in Zone R-1.

Application for avariance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4022, New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

XXXXXXXXXXX

Dated______, 19_50

FORM 2145

By_____ Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4813

1-50 By Application Received _ City Planning Department Burton Sike City Planning Department By. Investigation made _ -0 Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-28-52</u> Hearing date <u>7-12-50</u> Decision Out of City Clerk <u>7-13-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-13-50</u> Planning Commission 7-14-50 Petitioner 7-13-50 Health Department Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> 7-14-Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Date of action AMIN DEL A.0 0 0 58 1 29 BU C

RESOLUTION NO. _ 4814 see 4756

Letter dated June 22, 1950

WHEREAS, Application Committee has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4756, dated June 14, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. E. and R. H. Wyllie, owner, and J. D. and Esther L. Wier, purchasers, to construct four duplexes, two of which will not have full frontage on a dedicated street, as shown on the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, being Lots 10 through 14, Block 11, New Roseville; a portion of Lot 2, Block 12, New Roseville; and a portion of Lot 2, Block 1, Bayshore Addition; according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the Southwesterly corner of Talbot St. and Rosecrans St., Zone R-1, on the condition that surfaced parking space for one automobile for each living unit be provided on the property on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

STOCTOBIOK

Dated_July 26 , 1950

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4814

| Letter / | |
|---|---|
| Application Received 6 - 22 - 50 By | |
| | City Planning Department |
| 1 | / and D |
| Investigation made 6-28-50 By | Aller. Dustant |
| 6 - 8 - 5 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee | Hearing date $7 - 1 \approx -50$ Date $7 - 1 \approx -50$ Building Inspector $7 - 28 - 50$ 7 - 28 - 50 Health Department $7 - 28 - 50$ |
| Decision Candle approval | Date 7-1- 50 |
| Com of Perclustion sent to City Clerk 7-28-53 | Building Inspector 7-28-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to only clerk | 7- 28 - 5 Health Department 7- 28 - 50 |
| Planning Commission / | Consil II and a data |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

5.10

- app., [11 //1]

Lot.

.

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William J. and Violet F. Martin to maintain approximately 64 linear feet of 4 foot high board fence on top of poured concrete retaining wall which ranges in height from 4 feet to 6 feet, thus total overall height ranging from 5 feet to 10 feet, on Lots 12 and 13 of Block 92, in Point Loma Heights Subdivision, located at 4525 Del Monte Avenue, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 4815

By Zoning Engineer SECRETER

Dated_

July 12, , 1950

| Application Received 6 - 2 - 50 By 7. City Planning Department |
|---|
| Investigation made By By By By City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-12-50</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-13-50</u> Building Inspector <u>7-14-50</u> Planning Commission 7-14-50 Petitioner <u>7-13-50</u> Health Department <u>7-14-50</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> |
| Decision of COUNCIL |
| Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action |

.

1.1.1.1.1.1

s - •

YA D ... "

.

2

FI Įα WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louis J. Hauser and Dennis P. Tinsley to erect 125 linear feet of retaining wall, ranging in height from 6 feet to 10 feet above the lowest adjacent ground level, on Lots 13 and 12 of Block 4, in Cosgrove Park Subdivison, located at the rear of 3206 and 3214 -54th Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sechetery

Dated_____July 12 , 19_50

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4816

| DDQ. |
|---|
| (1) (1) (1) (1) |
| Application Received <u>6-26-38</u> By <u>Etty Planning Department</u> |
| P Eng Flanning Department |
| Investigation made By City Planning Department |
| |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-1-5-Hearing date Decision approximation sent to City Clerk 7-14-50 Building Inspector 7-14-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-14-50 Building Inspector 7-14-50 |
| Decision ab proved Date 7-12-50 |
| Conv of Recolution sent to City Clerk 1-14-50 Building Inspector 7-14-50 |
| Planning Commission /-/ T -0 OFEIILIOILEI |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

1. 1

κ.

, .
Amended

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8331</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Damon E. Corbin to construct a doctors' clinic with a rear yard of approximately & ft., on Lots 3, 4 and 5, Block 12, La Jolla Strand, on La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 12 , 1950

By____

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4817

FORM 2145

| ()) On A |
|--|
| 6 7 1 1 |
| Application Received By By |
| City Planning Department |
| (and) P. |
| Investigation made By llew Jues, Lancaster, Pur |
| Chy Flanning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-12-50 Hearing date |
| |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-13-50</u> Building Inspector <u>7-14-50</u> Planning Commission 7-14-50 Petitioner 7-13-50 Health Department 7-14-50 |
| Planning Commission 7-14-50 Petitioner /-13-50 Health Department 7-14-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

* * 10 7 144

3

.

RESOLUTION NO. 4818

\$ 4835

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8373</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard A. Craig to construct a single family residence with a 4 ft. rear yard on the North 40 ft. of Lots 1 through 4, Block 307, Pacific Beach, 4137 Lamont St., Zone R-4, on the condition that surfaced parking space for automobiles be provided on the property for each living unit thereon.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 12 , 1950

By

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

| Application Received 6- 29- 50 By and Fit | Planning Department |
|--|---|
| | |
| Investigation made By | Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-12-50 Hearing date | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-12-50</u> Hearing date <u>Date 7-12-50</u> Hearing date <u>Date 7-12-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-13-50</u> Building Inspector Planning Commission 7-14-50 Petitioner 7-13-50 Hearing | 7-14-50 |
| Planning Commission 7-14-50 Petitioner 7-13-50 Heal | th Department 7-14-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Incaring, | date |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective Continued to Data of action | and the second secon |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | |

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8384</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. E. Blain to construct approximately 100 feet of concrete retaining wall with a maximum of 7 feet high along the front and side lot lines of Lot 14, in Block 66, in Point Loma Heights Subdivision, located at 4393 Saratoga Avenue, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

| ted | July 12 | , 1950 |
|-----|-----------|---------|
| tea | 477 LY 16 | , 19,00 |

Zoning Engineer Sectorer Res. No. 4819

FORM 2145

Da

| | and here for more and the second |
|---|--|
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made | Allen Ancaster, Jours, Aut City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-12-50 Decision Configuration Sent to City Clerk 7-13-50 Planning Commission 7-14-50 Planning Commission 7-14-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Hearing date Date 7-12-50 Building Inspector 7-13-50 Health Department 7-18-50 Council Hearing, date Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Continued to Date of action |

a nice and the set of the set of

100 feb state and the state of the state of

TRONG TO A PERSON OF

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8259</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank F. and Ethel W. Volz to erect a two-foot to three-foot retaining wall, with six foot wall on the top, with a maximum height of eight feet, on Lot 26 of Block C, in Point Loma Heights Subdivision, at 3928 Bernice Drive, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

MARCE ASSAL

Res. No. 4820

Dated_

July 12 , 19_50

FORM 2145

a this will all the

,

el la contraction d'une part d'une setter setter

content of the state of th

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8391</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Pearl Mason and Gertie P. Wynes to enclose an existing porch and construct a 6 ft. by 16 ft. screen porch, and make interior alterations to a residence having a 1 ft. 6 in. sideyard, on the South 50 ft. of Lots 10 and 11, Block 1, University Pl., 3802 Herbert St., Zone R-4, provided that all requirements of the Building Code are complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section &a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____July 12_____, 19_50

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 4821

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

| Application Received By Jan Hise City Planning Department |
|--|
| Investigation made By lllen, Laucaster, Juces, Bu City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-12-5- Hearing date |
| Decision approval Date 7-12-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-13-50 Building Inspector 1-14-50 |
| Decision approval Date 7-12-50 Decision Conder Aproval Date 7-12-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-13-50</u> Building Inspector <u>7-14-50</u> Planning Commission 7-14-50 Petitioner 7-13-50 Health Department 7-14-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5186</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arne Strom to construct approximately 155 foot cement retaining wall with four foot cement block fence on top with maximum overall height of 11 feet where six feet is permitted, on Lot 14, of Cresta Real Subdivision, at S15 Bangor Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

July12, , 19 50

By Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 4822

FORM 2145

Dated

| 7/1/1/10 | |
|--|-----|
| Application Received 1-5-50 By Tulle Councel | 1 |
| Application Received By City Planning Department | |
| Investigation made <u>1-12-50</u> By <u>Illen, Jones, Kancaster</u> , D. | eit |
| Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date | |
| | |
| Decision expression of the city Clerk 1-13-50 Building Inspector 1-14-50 Planning Commission 1-14-50 Petitioner 1-13-50 Health Department 1-14-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date | |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective Continued to | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | |

and all the main a subscription of

,

at and appropriate the form and a strategy

A CALL AND A CALL AND

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8351</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur E. and Frances J. Smith to construct a single family residence on the South 80 ft. of the North 110 ft. of the West 165 ft. of 1/4 Section 81, Rancho de la Nacion, on the Southeast corner of Allegheny St. and Sea Breeze Dr., Zone R-1, on the condition that the South half of Allegheny St. and the East half of Sea Breeze Dr. are dedicated for street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secreterv

Dated July 12 , 19 50

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

| | (176,1 1 |
|--|---|
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made By | Illen Jana tus Juston Duston |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-12-50 | Date 7-12-50 |
| Considered by Zonnig Committee <u>12-3-5</u> Decision and appendix I Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-13-50</u> Planning Commission <u>1-14-55</u> Petitioner | Building Inspector 7-14-50 1-13-50 Health Department 7-14-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Develution becomes effective | Continued to |
| A collection within a constant | Date of action |

10 s

44

.

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Fermission is hereby granted to Fred Gorman to construct a single family residence with a three foot sideyard, on the south 75 feet of Lots 20 through 24, in Block 59, of H. M. Higgins Addition, at 1239 -27th Street, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Contract of Contract

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

| | 7/1/1000 |
|--|--|
| Application Received By | T. City Planning Department |
| Investigation made By | allen, deucaster, prespir |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-1-50 | City Planning Department Hearing date |
| Decision approval | Date 7-12-50 Puilding Inconton |
| Planning Commission /-14-53 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective | Date |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

a second a second and when a part of a second and a second and a second and a second a second a second a second

an¢

risking of an and the second of the second o

En pro and there a comment of

0.315 -----

19. 51- "

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8330</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Hamilton Cattle Companyto erect a six foot retaining wall with six foot free standing wall, total height of wall and retaining wall varying from six feet to twelve feet along the north property line, on Lot 4 of Block 1 in Hermosa Terrace Subdivision, at Camino de la Costa, end of Winamar, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ July 12 _____, 19 50

Zoning Engineer Skorenasz Res. No. 4825

FORM 2145

| and / and / and / and a second s |
|--|
| application Received <u>7-6-50</u> By Jan His City Planning Department |
| nvestigation made _7-12-50 Byllen, June parter, Bu |
| Hearing data |
| onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>7-72-35</u> Hearing date Date <u>7-12-55</u> Date <u>7-12-55</u> Date <u>7-12-55</u> Date <u>7-12-55</u> Building Inspector <u>7-12-55</u> Health Department <u>7-14-55</u> Ianning Commission <u>7-14-55</u> Petitioner <u>7-13-55</u> Health Department <u>7-14-55</u> Ianning Commission <u>7-14-55</u> Petitioner <u>7-13-55</u> Health Department <u>7-14-55</u> Date <u>Date</u> |
| Date Date |
| esolution becomes effective Continued to |
| ime limit extended to Date of action |

and the state of the second state of the

and a second s

.

.

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

| 0.105 |
|--|
| WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of |
| San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown: |
| not |
| 1. That the granting of the application isnecessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and |
| 2. That the granting of the application willbe materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and |
| 3. That the granting of the application willadversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. |
| THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, |
| That the following described property, Lot 1 through 4 2 |
| Subdivision Ocean Spray |
| On the Southwest corner of Mission Blvd. and Opal St. |
| (Bessie L. Markey) |
| may be used for the erection and operation of an 8-unit court as a motel. |
| |
| subject to the following conditions |
| * |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| the first wetalles service and an interview of the service of the |
| |
| Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatica |
| ically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. |
| The of here further short to drive creat section in the insertation to be been not in the section of the sectio |

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Secretary

4826

No

July 12

Dated

1 2144

By Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4826

.....

200

Application Received 7-6-50 By City Planning

Department

Investigation made 7-12-50 By liller dans

and the set of the set of the set

a president to the second s

and services and the second standing restriction of the second stands of the second stands of the second stands

and the start of the and the second of the second particular and the second second second second second second second second second

in change in the

Department

an and the second second

| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-17-50 Hearing date |
|--|
| Considered by Louising Conference of the second sec |
| Decision Decision Date 7-12-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-13-50 Building Inspector 7-14-50 |
| Planning Commission 1-14-5- Petitioner 1-13-5 OHealth Department 7-14-5-2 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date |
| Decision of Council |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application Withdrawn |
| Time limit extended to |

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8320</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section to of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is DENIED to Bessie L. Markey to operate existing eight unit court as a motel with approximately 3'x5' sign on a post to be located along Mission Boulevard between the two buildings, on Lots 1-4 of Elock 2, in Ocean Spray Subdivision, at southwest corner of Mission Boulevard and Opal Street, in Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593, New Series, Section 4a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

SECURDECV

FORM 2145

Dated

July 12 , 1950

By_____ Zoning Engineer

| | and prover procession |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Application Received By | City Pfanning Department |
| Investigation made $7 - 12 - 50$ By | allen, Lauranter, Jours, Bui |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-13-50</u> Planning Commission <u>7-14-5</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | |
| Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

and in the other states and a state and

and the state of t

.

WHEREAS, Application No. 8385 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. V. Romero to divide into three parcels, according to the plan on file in the Planning Department Office, and construct two living units on each, being Lot 5 and Lot 4, except the Easterly 25 ft. of Lot 4, Block 13, on the Northeasterly corner of Cuvier St. and Sea Lane, Zone R-2, the regular City Set-Back Ordinance to be observed on Sea Lane, and a minimum set-back of 10 ft. on Cuvier St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ July 12 , 1950

By___

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4828

FORM 2145

| Application Received 7-6-50 By aw fine Provide Decomment |
|--|
| Application Received / ~ |
| Investigation made By lley aucaster, Jours, City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning/Committee <u>1-12-50</u> Hearing date Decision Cond approach Date <u>1-12-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-13-50</u> Building Inspector <u>7-14-50</u> Planning Commission <u>1-14-50</u> Petitioner <u>1-13-50</u> Health Department <u>7-14-50</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> |
| Decision Conder approved Date 1-12-50 Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-13-50 Building Inspector 7-14-50 |
| Planning Commission 7-14-50 Petitioner 7-13-30 Health Department 7-14-50 |
| Derision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action |

.

A SPYLE

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert R. and Aliene D. McDaniels, to erect a 24 foot by 24 foot garage on existing foundation and slab with a four-foot rear yard and a two-foot sideyard, on Lot 189 of Block 9 in Grown Point Subdivision, at 3547 Buena Vista Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated_______, 19_50

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4829

| | \neg /·//// |
|---|--|
| 1 | 11 11 11 11 |
| | |
| Application Received By | - Councel |
| | City Planning Department |
| | Fran (J & Dui |
| Investigation made 7-1-50 By | |
| / | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Complittee 7-12-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision approval | Date $7-12-50$ Building Inspector $7-12-50$ 7-13-50 Health Department $7-12-50Council Hearing, date$ |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-13-50 | Building Inspector 1-14-50 |
| Planning Commission 7-14-50 Petitioner | 7-13-50 Health Department 7-14-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

The set of the set of

1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0

for chall F

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8398</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. D. Deeds to construct approximately 25' by 26' addition to an existing residence with a four-foot side yard where a five-foot side yard is required, on portion of Block 536, Old San Diego (per legal description submitted) (Lot H, Assessor's Map No. 43), at 2260 San Juan Road, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

July 12 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer

page 250

FORM 2145

Dated_

| | 7/1/10 |
|---|--|
| Application Received E | By City Planning Department |
| Investigation made E | By allen dancaster, Juston, for City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-12-52 | Hearing date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7-13-3$ Planning Commission $7-14-5$ Petitioner | Date $7 - 17 - 50$ Building Inspector $7 - 19 - 50$ 7 - 13 - 50 Health Department $7 - 18 - 50Council Hearing, date$ |
| Decision of Council | Council Hearing, date Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |



6

,

RESOLUTION NO. 4831 see 98872 following

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is DENIED to Dr. Robert Loveall to operate an architect's office in conjunction with a doctor's office, on Lot I, of Block 326, of Horton's Addition, at 2830 Fourth Avenue, in Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______, 1950_____, 1950_____

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

| | PUR |
|---|---|
| Application ReceivedB | y City Planning Department |
| Investigation made $\frac{4-19-53}{4-19-53}$ B | Ellen, Laucaster, Kerus City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-12-52 Decision Decision Clark 7 13-52 | Hearing date Date 7 - 1 - 5 - 5 |
| Planning Commission /-/ y- 3-0 Petitioner | 7-13-30Health Department 7-14-50 Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

and a main of parts of periods and periods and the second at the second

the second s

and the set of the set of the set

1. 1. 25

.,

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Robert G. Loveall. M.D. and Richard George Wheeler, Architect, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying application No. 8045 by its Resolution No. 4831, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, to permit operation of an architect's office on the second floor in connection with a doctor's office on Lot I, Block 326 Horton's Addition, at 2830 Fourth Avenue in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained; and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled and denied.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No.....98872

FRED W. SICK City Clerk.

See Rist 48 31 preceding

HELEN M. WILLIG

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO._____98878

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal i Mheeler, Architect, denying application lance to the provisi of an architect's of doctor's office on i Fourth Avenue in Zo said Zoning Committe

Page is intentionally left blank.



agroad brain gl ssttimme0 -Tor Tor . ica colterate offeretion a driw modeoes QE85 58 . 5 bns : banle .bolnab bn

Deputy.

DILLIW . M WELEE

At 48 31 paced

City of San Diego

المعلمين والمعلمين المعلمين ال المعلم المعلمين المعلم المعلمين الم

FORM 1270

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8400</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arrabella J. Clarke, owner, and Wm. C. and Virginia Dossey, purchasers, to erect a threefamily residence, making a total of four living units, with a 15 ft. setback, on Lots 27 and 28, Block 172, University Heights, 4012 Texas St., Zone R-4, on the condition that surfaced offstreet parking space for automobiles is provided for three of the living units, on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Mixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 12 , 1950

By_

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

| | \neg |
|---|---|
| | |
| | |
| Application Received By | -U.I. Carriel |
| Application Received by | City Planning Department |
| / | |
| | tero / I (R. |
| Investigation made 7-12-50 By | alleen aucaster, tones, |
| investigation made | allen Succester, Jours Bui City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-12-50 | Haaring data |
| Considered by Loning Committee | nearing date |
| Decision Candl approval | Date 7-12-30 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-13-50 | Date $7 - 1 = -50$ Building Inspector $7 - 14 - 50$ 7 - 13 - 50 Health Department $7 - 14 - 50$ |
| Planning Commission 7-14-50 Petitioner | 7-13-50 Health Department 7-14-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing date |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | During, date |
| | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| Time limit extended to | |

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8369</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.
- THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry O. Colt to convert an existing guest house and storage room to a living unit with a kitchen, with an existing 2 ft. sideyard, the addition to conform and be in the R-2 Zone, on the North 10 ft. of Lot 11, all of Lots 13 through 16, except the North 12 ft. of the East 87 ft. of Lot 16, Block 10, First Addition to South La Jolla, 7221 Olivetas Ave., Zones R-1 and R-2.

Variances to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3858, New Series, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and are hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19 50

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

| | D.9.2 |
|---|---|
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made7-12-50 By | (ma P) R I |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-12-50 Decision approved | Hearing date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-13-50 Planning Commission 7-14-50 Petitioner | Date $7-12-50$ Building Inspector $7-14-50$ 7-13-50Health Department $7-14-50Council Hearing, date$ |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective | Date |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to | Continued to Date of action |

and the state of the second second second

*

.
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8329</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section to of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to August and Celestina Formost to construct an 8-unit, 2-story apartment house, with a setback to be not less than the main wall of the building at the North end of the block, being the Easterly 44 ft. of Lots 7 and 8, Block 9, Bayview Homestead, on the Northwest corner of 8th Ave. and Cedar St., Zone R-4, provided that 5 surfaced parking spaces for automobiles is maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 12 , 1950

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

| 7/1///0 |
|--|
| Application Received <u>6-22-50</u> By 7. City Planping Department |
| Investigation made By <u>lllen ancasters</u> Jones Durto |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7 (2) 57 Hearing date |
| Decision Conder approval Date 7-12-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-13-50 Building Inspector 7-14-50 |
| Di sina Commission /- / 4 - 5 0 Petitioner / 3-30 Flealth Department /- / 4 - 9 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective Continued to |
| Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action |

,

4

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8423</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

\$ 4818

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard A. Craig to build a residence with a 10 ft. 4 in. setback on the North 40 ft. of Lots 1 through 4, Block 307, Pacific Beach, on the Southeast corner of Lamont St. and Oliver Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 19 , 1950

By_

Xecker MXX X

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

| P | 717 |
|---|----------------------------|
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| | A R. |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Complittee 7-12-50 Hearing date_ | |
| Decision Uppiona Date 7-17- Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-19-50 Planning Commission 7-20-50 Petitioner 7-19-50 H Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearin | 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-19-50 Building Inspec | ctor <u>7-20-50</u> |
| Planning Commission 7-20-50 Petitioner 7-19-50 H | lealth Department 7- 20-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearin | ig, date |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | |

1.4

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8343</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. H. Diffenderfer to build a garage with a 5 ft. setback on Alta Way, Lot 4, Blk 14, La Jolla Hermosa, at the corner of Camino de la Costa and Alta Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Zoning Engineer

, 1950

Res. No. 4836

FORM 2145

Dated

7-19

| Application Received By far the | 2 |
|--|--|
| City Planni | ng Department |
| | |
| Investigation I 7 . Bulle da | ante (a p) - |
| Investigation made By ller, City Planni Considered by Zoning Committee T-12-53 Hearing date Decision Date Date | ng Department |
| Cancida 1 a mar a da d | ng Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-19-50 Hearing date | |
| | |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-21-57 Building Inspector | epartment 7-21-50 |
| Planning Commission 7- 71-50 Petitioner 7- 27-50 Health De | nartment 7- 21-5 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date | parement / |
| | |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | |
| | Name And and a post of the state of the stat |

ł

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8277</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Caesar F. and Helen L. Pastore to construct a super-market on the Northeasterly 10 ft. of Lot 6, in conjunction with Lots 7 and 8, Block 98, Roseville, on the corner of Rosecrans St. and Keats St., Zone R-4, the remaining portions of Lots 5 and 6 of said block to be used as a parking lot, on the following conditions:

- 1. A 10 ft. setback to be maintained on Lowell St.;
- 2. A 3 ft. planting strip to be maintained along Keats St. and along the Northwesterly line of Lots 5 and 6;
- 3. A 6 ft. hedge to be planted and maintained in said planting strip at all times;
 - Adequate bumpers to stop cars short of the hedge, shall be erected and maintained;
 - The parking lot to be adequately surfaced and maintained to prevent mud and/or dust on the lot.

Entrances and exits to the parking lot to be subject to the approval of the Zoning Committee.

(over)

496,684

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

See 99047 following

By_

Dated_____July 27 , 1950

29____

FORM 2145

Assistant Planning Director

Res. No. 4837

| | () |
|---|--|
| Application Received 7-5-50 By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made By | Chlen Jones Durton City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-12-50 H Decision Modifies + Confort Approval D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-29-50 Planning Commission 7-28-50 Petitioner 7 | Hearing date <u>7-46-50</u> Date 7-26-50 Building Inspector <u>7-28-50</u> |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council D | Date |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to Date of action |

1.2

05, 12, 200

and they

5 5 5 5 1

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

,

- C C - - -

RESOLUTION NO. 99047 appeal filed 7-28-50 Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Appeal fills 7- +8-50 Camering 8-15-50

That the appeal of Caesar F. and Helen L. Pastore, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in conditionally granting Application No. 8277 by its Resolution No. 4837, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, to permit the construction of a store building for a super market, 100 ft. by 140 ft., on Lots 6, 7 and 8 with 100% coverage, 0' setback, 0' side yard and 0' rear yard on Lot 6, and to construct and operate an auto parking lot for the store on Lots 3, 4 and 5, all in Block 98, Roseville, at the corner of Rosecrans Street and Keats Street, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained; and said Zoning Committee's decision is hereby overruled and denied.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted to Caesar F. and Helen L. Pastore, 3745 Lotus St., to construct a store building and auto parking lot, upon the following conditions:

- 1. A 10 ft. setback to be maintained on Lowell St .;
- A 3 ft. planting strip to be maintained along Keats St., 2. along the Northwesterly line of Lot 3, and along the Northeasterly line of Lots 3 and 4;
- A 6 ft. hedge to be planted and maintained in said 3. planting strip at all times;
- Adequate bumpers to stop cars short of the hedge, to be 40 erected and maintained;
- The parking lot to be adequately surfaced and maintained 50 to prevent mud and/or dust on the lot:
- Entrances and exits to the parking lot to be subject to 6. the approval of the Zoning Committee:
- That the owners sign an agreement to the effect that Lots 7. 3, 4 and 5 will be used for the parking of passenger automobiles, only;
 - That the owners sign an agreement to the effect that Lots 3, 4 and 5 will be placed in an Automobile Parking Zone when such type of zone is adopted.

I Thereby Clertity the above to be a full, true and correct copy of Resolution

No. 99047 of the Council of the City of San Diego, California, as adopted by said

Council August 15, 1950

80

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

Donald L. Steinert

By

Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 99047 appeal filed 1-28-50

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

6 ft. house ov ve stand

planting strip at all times; !

hills 1 - 18 50

That the appeal of Guadar A. and Helen L. Pastore, from the decision of the Committee in conditionally stating application lo. 8277 by its new lotion to. 4837, for variance to the moviations of Ordinance No. 32, New Laries, to parmit the construction of a store building for a super with 100% goverses.

building for a super with 100% coverse, and to construct and 3, 4 and 5, all in Street and Rents to and said Soning Com

I HETTER TI 28 noisi bns . T 788080 Nilding and suto p

. 8

- 1. A 10 ft. st
- 2. J T E A .2 along the stansition



City of San Diego

Loks C, 7 and 5 rear yard on Lot 6, at of Rouserma hereby sustemad: raied and famiad.

Coursed Recharge 8-15-50

an greated to construct a store and it i cust

L. Stes

tong lice be at.,

biss at ton

- 4. Adequate bumpers to stop cars mort of the hedge, to be erected and maintained;
- 5. The parking lot to be adequately surfaced and maintained to prevent mud and/or dust on the lot;
- 6. Entrances and exits to the parking let to be subject to the approval of the Committee;
- 7. That the owners sign an erreent to the site of thet Lous

A Herefry Certify the above to be a full, true and correct copy of Resolution No. 99047 of the Council of the City of San Diego, California, as adopted by said Council August 15, 1950

Bu.

FRED W. SICK

Donald L. Steinert

City Clerk

Deputy

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8419</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold M. and Mary M. Royle to erect a Post Office building with no sideyard and 90% coverage, on Lots 1 and 2, Block 7, Hartley's North Park Subdivision, on the Southeast corner of Grim Ave. and Wightman St., Zone R-4, to be used for no other purpose.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated July 26 , 1950

By

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4838

ORM 2145

| Application Received 7-12-50 B | У |
|--|---|
| | City Planning Department |
| | P/ / · · · |
| Investigation made <u>5-24-50</u> B | V laning ourses |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee | Hearing date $7-26-50$ Date $7-26-50$ Building Inspector $7-28-50$ 7-27-50 Health Department $7-28-50Council Hearing, date Date$ |
| Decision and approval | Date 7-26-50 |
| Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-2/-5 | Building Inspector 7- 78-50 |
| Planning Commission 7-28-50 Petitioner | 7-27-50 Health Department 7-28-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| I IIIIC IIIIIC IIIIIC | |

the second second second second

-

,

4

· ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8420</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold M. and Mary M. Royle to erect a Post Office building with no setback, on Lots 1 and 2, Block 7, Hartley's North Park Subdivision, on the Southeast corner of Grim Ave. and Wightman St., Zone R-4, to be used for no other purpose.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Jated July 26 , 19 50

PORM 2145

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4839

| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
|--|--|
| Investigation made <u>5-24-50</u> By | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee Decision I Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7y-s-</u> Planning Commission <u>7</u> ~8-s- Petitioner <u>7</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Decision of Council I Resolution becomes effective | Hearing date $7 - 76 - 50$ Date $7 - 76 - 50$ Building Inspector $7 - 78 - 50$ Health Department $7 - 78 - 50$ Council Hearing, date |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to Date of action |

.

10-12 S 1 .

a bears water water

and and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8158</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Peter and Angelina Stamatopoulos to erect a commercial building to house 4 stores, with no setback, on the South 90 ft. of Lots 46, 47 and 48, Block 88, E. W. Morse' Subdivision, on the Northwest corner of 30th St. and "C" St., Zone R-C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordim nce No. 3548, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

, 150

Assistant Planning Director

Res. No. 4840

Dated____

July 26

| |) O Q |
|--|--|
| Application ReceivedBy | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made $7-12-50$ By $7-12-50$ | allen, Jones, Dearton City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-76-50 He Decision Day Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-750 Bu Planning Commission 7-78-50 Petitioner 7- Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Con | aring date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7- 7-50 Bu Planning Commission 7- 28-50 Petitioner 7- | ilding Inspector <u>7-28-50</u> 29-50 Health Department 7-28-50 |
| Decision of Council Da | ncil Hearing, date |
| | ntinued to |
| Time limit extended to Da | te of action |

, .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8322</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ida Reinhardt Gruetter and Ruth L. Porath to build a single family residence on the East 40 ft. of Lots 14 through 18, Block 32, University Heights, 932 Madison Ave., Zone R-1, on the condition that a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Madison Ave. and on Rhode Island St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______76___, 1950

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4841

FORM 2145

| | 7.02 |
|--|---------------------------------|
| | PIQ |
| a la la companya da company | a + |
| Application Received By | 1 Curlon |
| Application Received = = = | - City Planning Department |
| , | Car I R V |
| Investigation made 7-76-50 By | Allen mes purto |
| Investigation made | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-26-50 He | earing date |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-76-55</u> He Decision Conditional Approximation Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-9-50</u> Bu Planning Commission 7-78-50 Petitioner 7- Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Co</u> | ite 7 - 26 - 58 |
| Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7->7-50Bu | uilding Inspector 7 - 78 - 57 |
| Planning Commission 7- 28 -50 Petitioner 7- | 27-50 Health Department 7-28-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co | ouncil Hearing, date |
| Decision of COULCII | ate |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn CC | ontinued to |
| Time limit extended to Da | ate of action |
| | |

and any

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 8151 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Perm ssion is hereby granted to Mrs. Martha L. Ball to add a sun porch approximately 7 ft. by 10 ft., to an existing residence, the addition to extend 2-1/2 ft. in front of the setback line, on Lot S, Palm Hill, 4374 Beta St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

By

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 484

FORM 2145

Dated_____July 26 , 1950

| | ing Department |
|---|--------------------|
| | ing Department |
| Considered by Zoning Contrittee Date Decision Date Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Petitioner Petitioner Health D Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date | - 28-50 |
| Planning Commission / 8-3 Petitioner / 5 Health D Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date | epartment /- 28-30 |
| Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action | |

.

10

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8409</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry T. and M. Grace Bishop to operate a photographic dark room in a building at the rear of Lots 33 and 34, Block 171, University Heights, 4036 Arizona St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

A maximum of 20 hours per week;
 No signs on the premises;
 No employees;
 No advertising of this address;
 No customers at this address.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated July 26 , 1950

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4843

ORM 2145

| $\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square$ |
|---|
| |
| Application Received By By Concell |
| City Planning Department |
| Γ_{α} () Γ_{α} |
| Investigation made By By By |
| City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-26-50 Hearing date |
| Decision Date 7-26-50 |
| Decision Conder approved Date 7-26-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-27-50 Building Inspector 7-28-50 Planning Commission 7-28-50 Petitioner 7-27-50 Health Department 7-28-50 |
| Planning Commission 7-28-50 Petitioner 7-27-50 Health Department 7-28-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |
| |

and the or we are

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8417</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marvin C. and Theresa F. Roberts to erect a 4 ft. board fence on top of a 4 ft. concrete block retaining wall now in construction, along the alley from the setback line on Hyacinth Dr., towards the rear of Lot 1, Block C, Plumosa Park, at 3606 Hyacinth Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 26 , 1950

By___

FORM 2145

| Application Received $7 - 7 - 5^{\circ}$ By $7 - 26 - 5^{\circ}$ By $City$ Planning Department Investigation made $7 - 26 - 5^{\circ}$ By $City$ Planning Department $City$ Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee $7 - 26 - 5^{\circ}$ By $City$ Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee $7 - 26 - 5^{\circ}$ Hearing date $City$ Planning Department Decision $City$ Clerk $7 - 26 - 5^{\circ}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7 - 27 - 5^{\circ}$ Building Inspector $7 - 28 - 5^{\circ}$ Planning Commission $7 - 28 - 5^{\circ}$ Petitioner $7 - 27 - 5^{\circ}$ Health Department $7 - 28 - 5^{\circ}$ Planning Commission $7 - 28 - 5^{\circ}$ Petitioner $7 - 27 - 5^{\circ}$ Health Department $7 - 28 - 5^{\circ}$ Planning Commission $7 - 28 - 5^{\circ}$ Petitioner $7 - 27 - 5^{\circ}$ Health Department $7 - 28 - 5^{\circ}$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date | | DI IN D |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Investigation made | | 1111110 11 |
| Investigation made | A TIT B | , -//// could |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-26-56</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Upperature</u> <u>Date</u> <u>7-26-56</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-27-56</u> Planning Commission <u>7-28-56</u> Planning Commission <u>7-28-56</u> Petitioner <u>7-27-56</u> Health Department <u>7-28-56</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> Decision of Council <u>Date</u> Resolution becomes effective <u>Continued to</u> | Application Received | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-26-56</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Upperature</u> <u>Date</u> <u>7-26-56</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-27-56</u> Planning Commission <u>7-28-56</u> Planning Commission <u>7-28-56</u> Petitioner <u>7-27-56</u> Health Department <u>7-28-56</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> Decision of Council <u>Date</u> Resolution becomes effective <u>Continued to</u> | | (ing () () |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-26-56</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Upperature</u> <u>Date</u> <u>7-26-56</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-27-56</u> Planning Commission <u>7-28-56</u> Planning Commission <u>7-28-56</u> Petitioner <u>7-27-56</u> Health Department <u>7-28-56</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> Decision of Council <u>Date</u> Resolution becomes effective <u>Continued to</u> | Investigation made 7-26-50 By | allen, Jours Junto |
| Decision Upproved Date /6 - 56 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 77-58 Planning Commission 78 - 50 Planning Commission 78 - 50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | | |
| Decision Upproved Date /6 - 56 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 77-58 Planning Commission 78 - 50 Planning Commission 78 - 50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Considered by Zoning Committee 1-26-57 | Hearing date |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-27-5-Building Inspector 7-28-30 Planning Commission 7-28-50 Petitioner 7-27-50 Health Department 7-28-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Decision approval | Date 7 6 - 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-27-5 | Building Inspector <u>7-28-30</u> |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Planning Commission 7- 28-50 Petitioner | /- ~ 7-50 Health Department 7- ~8-50 |
| Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | | Date |
| Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action | | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | Application withdrawn | |
| | Time limit extended to | Date of action |

Stars .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8405</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee i the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section j of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Raymond Elrod to erect a building whose accessory portion will be 21 ft. in length and which will have no sideyard, on Lot 15 except the Northerly 8-1/3 ft. thereof, and on the Northerly 16-2/3 ft. of Lot 16, Block 7, Wilshire Pl., Tract 1382, 4431-41st St., Zone R-4, on the condition that an Agreement be signed by the owner, to the effect that neither the hobby shop nor any other portion of the building will ever be used for commercial purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

By_

FORM 2145

Dated July 26 , 1950

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4845

| Application ReceivedB | 1_lantice |
|--|---|
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 7 5-0 B | allen, Jones Duston |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-26-5 | Hearing date Date $7 - 26 - 57$ Building Inspector $7 - 28 - 50$ 7 - 28 - 50 Health Department $7 - 28 - 57$ |
| Decision Could approval | Date 7-26-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-28-3 | Building Inspector <u>7- 78-50</u> |
| Planning Commission 7-28-50 Petitioner | 7- 28- 50 Health Department 7- 78- 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8432</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOU**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. F. and Dorothy J. Squibb to erect a 600 sq. ft. garage with a 10 ft. rear yard on Lot 4, Block A, Resubdivision of Point Loma Heights, on the Westerly corner of Tennyson St. and Alicia Dr., Zone R-1, on the condition that a 4-1/2 ft. setback is maintained on Tennyson St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ July 26 , 1950

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4846

FORM 2145

| - |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

,

1.1

the accuracy was short processes

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8431</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. F. and Dorothy J. Squibb to erect a single family residence with a 15 ft. setback from Alicia Dr., on Lot 4, Block A, Resubdivision of Point Loma Heights, on the Westerly corner of Tennyson St. and Alicia Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the tixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_July 26

, 150

Secretary

FORM 2145

| a second to a second | |
|---|------------------------------------|
| A | |
| Application Received By_ | lan frank |
| | City Planning Department |
| | |
| Investigation made F- x6 - 50 By_ | lleen, James, Justo |
| 8 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7 T | Territory for |
| Considered by Zonnig Connunce | learing date |
| Decision (lopping D | ate 7-26-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-27-50 B | building Inspector 7-28-50 |
| Considered by Zoning Committee $7 - 26 - 50$ H Decision (6 - 50 H Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7 - 27 - 50$ B Planning Commission $7 - 28 - 50$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | - 27-50 Health Department 7 - 8-50 |
| Append filed with City Clerk date | 1 II |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | ouncil Hearing, date |
| | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| | Date of action |
| | |

.

in the state of the state

A CORRECTOR S 11

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee I the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W.W. Prickett to add 7 ft. to an existing garage with no sideyard, and attach to an existing residence on Lot A, Block 98, Mission Beach, located at Isthmus Court, Bayside Lane and Bayside Walk, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated July 26 , 1950

FORM 2145

By

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4848

12.

and a start

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8449</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mabel Danalis to erect 24 linear ft. of 2 ft. high steel and wire fence on top of an existing 6 ft. high concrete retaining and free-standing wall, on the side lot line of Lot 4, La Cresta Terrace, 3939 La Cresta Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the tixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Dated July 26 , 1950

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4849

FORM 2145

| Application Received _ 7-20-50 By 7. 6/ / Clausel |
|---|
| City Planning Department |
| 1 / 100 / 1 / 2 |
| Investigation made 7-26-50 By allen, Jones, Questo |
| City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-26-57</u> Hearing date <u>Date 7-26-57</u> Decision <u>Chromedele Committee</u> <u>7-26-57</u> Hearing date <u>Date 7-26-57</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-27-57</u> Building Inspector <u>7-28-57</u> Planning Commission <u>7-28-57</u> Petitioner <u>7-27-57</u> Health Department <u>7-28-57</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> <u>Council</u> |
| Decision able and Date 7- 26-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-27-50 Building Inspector 7-28-50 |
| Planning Commission 7-28.50 Petitioner 7-27-50 Health Department 7-28-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |
| |

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8339</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ not _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Lucretia Day Belt, owner, and J. E. Belt, operator, to operate a commercial sign shop in the garage at the rear of a beauty shop on Lots 7 and 8, Block 27, Resubdivision of Teralta, 4271 Central Ave., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > -Secretary

Dated_July 26 , 1950

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4850

FORM 2145

| Application Received By_ | City Planning Department |
|--|--|
| Investigation made 7-26-50 By | Allen, Janes Quite |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-26-54 | learing date |
| | |
| Decision Occuration D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-27-50 B Planning Commission 7-28-50 Petitioner | uilding Inspector 7-28-50 |
| Planning Commission 7- 28-50 Petitioner | - ~ 7- 50 Health Department 7- ~ 8- 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C | ouncil Hearing, date |
| | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn C | continued to |
| Time limit extended to D | Date of action |
| | |

r
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8408</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. LaTeefa George to construct a stairway and make alterations to an existing building having approximately 2 ft. sideyard on the East and 4 ft. 6 in. sideyard on the West, with approximately 18 in. between the new stairway and the side lot line, Lot 18, Block 140, Mannasse and Schiller Subdivision, 1870 National Ave., Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated July 26 , 1950

By_

FORM 2145

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4851

| Application Received By | 1 autrice |
|--|--|
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made By | Allen, Jaces, Jurton |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-26-57 | City Planning Department PHearing date Date 7-26-50 Building Inspector 7-28-50 7-7-60 Health Department 7-28-50 Council Hearing, date |
| Decision (pproval | Date 7-26-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-21-5 | Building Inspector 7- 28-50 |
| Planning Commission 7-28 - 50 Petitioner | 7-~7-50 Health Department 7- ~8-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

internation in

. / . //

and menter a you a lander of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8395</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold G. and Marjorie B. Honberger to erect approximately 100 linear ft. of 4 ft. high concrete block free-standing wall, on top of an existing poured concrete retaining wall, which height ranges from 0' to 4', on Lot 20, Block 2, Valencia Park Unit No. 1, 238 Los Alamos Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

. 150

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4852

FORM 2145

Dated_

July 26

| | 7/11/10 |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>7-26-50</u> By | City Planing Department |
| Considered by Zoning Computtee 7-26-50 Hear | ring date |
| Decision approval Date | 7-26-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7- 7-5-Build | ding Inspector 7-18-50 |
| Planning Commission 7- 28-50 Petitioner 7- | ~ 7-50 Health Department 7- ~ 8-50 |
| Decision Compared Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-2/-3-Build Planning Commission 7-28-3-9 Petitioner 7- Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Com | ncil Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Cont | tinued to |
| Time limit extended to Date | e of action |

11 1 1 1 1 1

iteration a

Lines Developed Street

RESOLUTION NO. 4853

Letter dated July 11, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4432, dated February 8, 1950, be granted to Philip K. and Edith I. Humberstad to divide a portion of Lot 59 of Subdivision No. 5 of Lot 12 of Rancho Mission, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the Northeast corner of Pigeon St. and Lisbon St., Zone R-1, and erect a single family residence on each parcel, as follows:

1. 100 ft. on Pidgeon St. and 116 ft. on Lisbon St.; 50 ft. on Lisbon St., 150 ft. deep; 66 ft. on Lisbon St., 150 ft. deep.

This permission on the condition that the regular City Ordinance with regard to setbacks be observed on both Pidgeon St. and Lisbon St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be

evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated July 26 , 1950

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4853

FORM 2145

| and the second se | / Y/ / / / / |
|---|---|
| the second se | |
| Application Received | By / art |
| | City Planning/Department |
| ' / | (10 1 19 |
| Investigation made | Bullen Jones & Justan |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-26- | Date 7-26-50 <u>J-3</u> Building Inspector <u>7-28-50</u> ler 7-7-5 Health Department 7-28-50 |
| Decision Cephrona T | Date 7-26-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-2 | 1-30 Building Inspector 7- 78-50 |
| Planning Commission 7- ~ 8 - 5 0 Petition | er 7-77-50 Health Department 7-28-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

the modes

1 36 100 200 200

of an arrive so to and

1. 346 3

S.

10.

No.

the start

-

RESOLUTION NO. 4854 See RES 99100 Following

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8403</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to</u> the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Edghill and Ona Thompson to convert and add to an existing garage, to living quarters with 28 in. side yard and a 5 ft. 8 in. access court, on Lots 30 and 31, Block 11, University Heights, 4648 Ohio St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby <u>DENIED</u> as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____July 26 , 1950

TORM 2145

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4854

| | 1111000 |
|--|---|
| Application Received 7-13-30 By | Ul la Courell |
| Application Received D | City Planning Department |
| | ling O R |
| Investigation made 7-26-30 By | allen, Jones, Dente |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee | Hearing date |
| Decision Derivat | Hearing date Date $7 - \frac{76}{50} - \frac{50}{7 - 28 - 50}$ Building Inspector 7 - 29 - 50 Health Department $7 - 28 - 50Council Hearing, date$ |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-27-5 | Building Inspector <u>1-28-50</u> |
| Planning Commission 7 8 - 50 Petitioner | 7- 29-50 Health Department 7- 28-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

,

1 DY

Apeal files 7-31-50 Countril hearing 8-17-5

RESOLUTION NO. 99100

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Edghill and Ona Thompson, by Jack E. Thompson, 4648 Ohio Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 4854, application No. 8403, for variance to the Provisions of Ordinance No. 8924 Section 8a, to convert and addto an existing garage, to living quarters with 28 in. side yard and a 5 ft. 8 in. access court on Lots 30 and 31, Block 11, University Heights, 4648 Ohio St., Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained, provided that a 3 ft. side yard be maintained; and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

| J hereby certify the above to be a the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted | full, true, and co d by said Counc | rrect copy of Resolution No AUG 17 1950 | 99100 |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|-------------|
| , | | FRED W. SICK | |
| | Ву | Donald L. Steinert | City Clerk. |
| FORM 1270 | Dy | | Deputy. |

99100 **RESOLUTION NO.**

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal 4646 Obio Street, fs by its Resolution Nc provisions of Ordins existing garage, to 3 in. access court c 4648 Obio St., Sone a 3 ft. side yard be hereby overruled.

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

Jack E. Thompson, milttee in danying variance to the irt and addto an yord and a 5 ft. irsity Heights, ad, provided that

Repear files 7-31-30

hearing 8-1

| Ig the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No | A hereby certif |
|--|-----------------|
| FRED W. SICK | |
| City Clerk. By | |
| Deputy. | # FORM 1270 |

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8252</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sol and Betty Gendlemen to convert a playhouse into a single family residence, maintaining an existing 24 in. sideyard and an existing 5 ft. 6 in. access court, on Lots 30 and 31, Block 13, Subdivision of Lots 20 through 50, Block N, Teralta, 4130-40th St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

1. That a portion of the garage be removed to provide a minimum of 3 ft. clearance between the garage and the playhouse;

- The South wall of the playhouse to be fireproofed by stuccoing, in accordance with the requirements of the Building Department;
 The rear yard to be left open, to provide surfaced parking space
- for two automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____July 26____, 1950_

FORM 2145

By_

Sectatary

| and the second | |
|--|--|
| | |
| Application Received B | y ful and anel |
| , , | City Planning Department |
| | Vellen, Jours, Durto |
| Investigation made <u>7-26-50</u> B | y allen Jours, Surto |
| - | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee, 7-26-5 | e Hearing date |
| Decision Monified + could appear | Date 1- 26-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-22 | Building Inspector 7-28-50 |
| Planning Commission 7- 28-50 Petitioner | Hearing date Date $7 - \frac{1}{26-50}$ Building Inspector $7 - \frac{1}{28-50}$ $7 - \sqrt{7-50}$ Health Department $7 - \frac{1}{28-50}$ Council Hearing, date |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

A LAND AND A

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8422</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. N. Faulconer to erect a single family residence on the Southerly 34 ft. of Lot 3 and the Northerly 16 ft. of Lot 4, Block 5, Point Loma Heights, on the Easterly side of Quimby St., approximately 50 ft. South of Capistrano St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the winth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 26 , 1950

By_

FORM 2145

| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
|--|--|
| Investigation made By | <u>City Planning Department</u> |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7 | Hearing date Date $7 - 26 - 50$ Building Inspector <u>7 - 28 - 50</u> 7 - 27 - 50Health Department 7 - 28 - 50 Council Hearing, date |
| Decision approval | Date 7 - 26-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /- 2/250 | Building Inspector <u>7-28-50</u> |
| Planning Commission 7- 28-50 Petitioner | 1-29-50 Health Department 7-28-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

and the state

. .

the second state of the second state of the second

RESOLUTION NO. 4857 see 44 16 see 5191-5570

Letter dated July 17, 1950

WHEREAS, ASAKAGOLIANNO. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4416, dated February 8, 1950, be granted to Jack and Kay Searles, purchasers, and to Kathleen S. Fox, owner, to construct a duplex on the front of the lot, with an apartment over the garages at the rear, on Lots 1 and 2, Block A, South La Jolla, on the corner of Nautilus St. and Neptune Pl., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 26 , 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4857

| 0 | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Vottan | |
| Application Received _7-18-50 | By fait |
| | City Planning Department |
| | |
| Investigation made7 - 2 6 | - 50 By Allere James plut |
| 1 1 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7- | Petitioner $7 - 26 - 50$ Hearing date 7 - 26 - 50 7 - 28 - 50 Petitioner $7 - 29 - 50$ Council Hearing, date |
| Decision Changes | Date 7-26-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk | 7-2/-SoBuilding Inspector 7-28-50 |
| Planning Commission 7- 28-50 | Petitioner 7- 29-50 Health Department 7- 28-30 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

5 mg 20 - 1

in n. The

11.4 9.11 4

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8412</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fredrick E. Parker to construct a single family residence on the Easterly 82-1/2 ft. of the Southerly 230 ft. of the Easterly 1/2 of the Westerly 1/2 of the Easterly 1/2 of the Southwest 1/4 of 1/4 Section 103, Rancho de la Nacion, on the North side of Allegheny St., approximately 200 ft. East of Flintridge Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

, 150

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4658

FORM 2145

Dated July 26

| | $\cap A \supset$ |
|--|---------------------------------|
| (| |
| | 1 (comes) . |
| Application Received 7-19-50 By | |
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| | City Flamming Department D |
| | aller Dente |
| Investigation made7 - 2 6 - 5 0 By | City Planning Department |
| | T i 1 |
| Considered by Zoning Commyttee 7-26-50 H | Hearing date |
| Decision approved | Date 7 - 26 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-230 H | Building Inspector 7-28-50 |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-26-50</u> H Decision <u>Copy</u> of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-27-30</u> H Planning Commission <u>7-28-50</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | 7-27-50 Health Department 78-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (| Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

and all and

. . . .

4. 1

10.00

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8491</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George F. Roberts to construct a single family residence on the Westerly 82-1/2 ft. of the Southerly 230 ft. of the Easterly 1/2 of the Westerly 1/2 of the Easterly 1/2 of the Southwest 1/4 of 1/4 Section 103, Rancho de la Nacion, on the North side of Allegheny St., approximately 100 ft. East of Flintridge Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By___

Dated____

July 26 , 1950

| P / Q | |
|--|----|
| Application Received 7-13-50 By Aurton | |
| Application Received <u>7-13-50</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u> | |
| Investigation made By Cllen, Jones Ourt | ā. |
| City Planning Department | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-26-50 Hearing date // | |
| Decision Copproval Date 7-26-50 | |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-27-50 Building Inspector 7-28-30 | |
| Planning Commission 7- 78-50 Petitioner 7-29-50 Health Department 7- 28-50 | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee $7-26-55$ Hearing date Decision Committee $7-26-55$ Hearing date Date $7-26-55$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7-27-55$ Building Inspector $7-28-55$ Planning Commission $7-28-55$ Petitioner $7-27-55$ Health Department $7-28-55$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date | |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | |

11 A.

a shout the same should be

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8167</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carlos Tavares, owner, and William P. and Ehrma Frances Kesling, purchasers, to build a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1258, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, and which does not have frontage on a dedicated street, and which parcel lies adjacent to and Northerly of Lot 1, Block 34, La Jolla Hermosa Unit No. 2, Easterly of the Northerly prolongation of Waverly Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 26 , 1950

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4860

FORM 2145

1-14-5 0 By Application Received ____ City Planning Department City Planning Department 0 By (Investigation made ____ Considered by Zoning Completee 7 50Hearing date 26 Decision Upproval Date 7-26-5 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-27-5 Planning Commission 7-28-50 Petitioner 7-27-50 Health Department Date Decision Cippronal 7-28-50 Planning Commission 7-28-50 Petitioner 7-Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action (1) 0 0 0 93 10

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. R. Insinger, owner, and Glenn Mitchell, purchaser, to erect a second living unit on approximately the Northerly 1/2 of a portion of Pueblo Lot 1258, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, which does not have frontage on a dedicated street, at the North end of Beaumont Ave. and Waverly Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

, 1950

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. /

Dated _____

July 26

Application Received ______ By. ac City Planning Department llen Investigation made _ - 50 Bv City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1- - 6-50 Hearing date Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-7</u> Planning Commission 7-78-50 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date $7 - \frac{1}{26-50}$ $7 - \frac{1}{50}$ Building Inspector $7 - \frac{28-50}{1-50}$ Health Department $7 - \frac{28}{7}$ Date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action OA 1258 WAVERLY A BEAMONIT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8411</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jerome A. Moore to construct a 5 ft. board fence along the front property line, to be 6 ft: 6 in. at the highest point, on Lot 127, Congress Heights Addition, 4964 Kendall St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the tixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 26 , 1950

By_

FORM 2145

| Application Received 7-17-50 By By City Planning Department |
|--|
| |
| City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Completee 7 - 26 - 50 Hearing date |
| Decision $4 = 2000 \text{ Date } 7 - 26 - 50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1 - 27 - 50$ Building Inspector $7 - 28 - 50$ Planning Commission $7 - $ |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-2/50 Building Inspector 7-28-50 |
| Planning Commission 7- 28-50 Pretitioner 1-29-50 Health Department 7-28-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action |

,

. //

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7494</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. E. McFarlane to construct 2 ft. of wall on top of an existing 6 ft. wall, 28 ft. in length, on the alley line of the East 40 ft. of Lots 1 through 4, Block 7, City Heights, 3519 Cooper St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

, 1950

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4863

FORM 2145

Dated_July 26

| Application Received <u>7-18-50</u> By <u>an frie</u> Investigation made <u>7-26-50</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u> Considered by Zoning Complete <u>7-26-50</u> Hearing date <u>Date</u> |
|---|
| Investigation made To 50 By lleer, are curtor Considered by Zoning Complittee 2 - 26 - 50 Hearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Complettee 2-3-5- 5-Hearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Complettee 2-3-5- 5-Hearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Complettee 2-26-57 Hearing date |
| Desision and a committee |
| Decision (Date 1- 76- 50 |
| Decision Decision Commission 2- 28 - 50 Planning Commission 7- 28 - 50 Planning Commission 7- 28 - 50 Planning Commission 7- 28 - 50 Petitioner 7- 29-50 Health Department 7- 28-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Planning Commission 7- 28 - 50 Pétitioner 7- 7/- 50 Health Department 7- 78- 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date |
| Decision of Council Date Date |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

,

and a second second

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>mot</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph S. and Theodora T. Fox to erect an 8 ft. by 11 ft. laundry and workshop addition to an existing non-conforming guest house which has a 1 ft. sideyard, the addition to have the required sideyard, being the Northwesterly 40 ft. of the Southeasterly 600 ft. of the Northeasterly 273.17 ft. lying Southwesterly of Morena Blvd., Pueblo Lot 256, 1476 Morena Blvd., Zones C and R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Res. No. 4864

Ву___

Dated July 26 , 1950

Assistant Planning Director

FORM 2145

| |)/ |
|---|--|
| | and the provide the second sec |
| 19 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| Application Received By | |
| | City Planning Department |
| | |
| Investigation made 7- 26- 50 By | Aller parces acerto |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-26-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision (lephone) | Date 1-76-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-28-57 | Building Inspector 7- 28-50 |
| Planning Commission 7-28-50 Petitioner | Hearing date Date $7 - 76 - 50$ Building Inspector $7 - 78 - 50$ 7 - 78 - 50 Health Department $7 - 78 - 50Council Hearing, date$ |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

a second

He Parti

the second of the second second second second

. S. S. 42 123.

ANTA DIA CONTACTOR

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8415</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the La Jolla Presbyterian Church to construct a Sunday School with a 10 ft. rear yard and 61% lot coverage, on Lots 13 through 20, Block 32, La Jolla Park, on the Northeast corner of Kline St. and Draper St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > SKORKOBICY

ated July 26 , 1950

By

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4865

ORM 2145

| $\left(\right) \left(\left(\right) \right) \left(\left(\right) \left(\left(\right) \right) \left(\left(\left(\left(\right) \right) \left($ |
|--|
| |
| Application Received By City Planning Department |
| 1 1 1 1 2 |
| Investigation made T- vb- 50 By By |
| City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Complittee 7-16-50 Hearing date |
| Decision (16 by must Date 1- 76- 30 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-28-5 Building Inspector 7- 28-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-28-5°Building Inspector 78-5° Planning Commission 7- 8-5° Petitioner 7-8-5° Health Department 7-38-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |
| |

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8414</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to La Jolla Presbyterian Church to construct a Sunday School building with a 12 ft. setback, on Lots 13 through 20, Block 32, La Jolla Park, on the Northeast corner of Kline St. and Draper St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No.12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_July 26 , 1950

FORM 2145

By___

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4866

| LOTA IC | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Application Received | -50 By Aurton |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made7- ~6 | 0-50 By Allen Jone Den |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committe | e 7-26-50 Hearing date /// |
| Decision approval | e $7 - 26 - 5$ Hearing date $1 - 26 - 5$ Date $7 - 26 - 5$ |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City (| Clerk 7-78-50 Building Inspector 7-78-50 |
| Planning Commission 78 | Clerk <u>7- >8-50</u> Building Inspector <u>7- >8-50</u> -50 Petitioner 7- >8-50 Health Department 7- 2-8-50 Council Hearing, date |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>\$399</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Phillip K. and Zaida B. Allen to construct a medical office building with a 2 ft. 3 in. setback on 6th St., being Lots J, K & L, Block 244, Horton's Addition, on the corner of Ivy St. and 6th St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the pixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 26 , 1950

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4867

FORM 2145

| | 1 / |
|--|---|
| | |
| | |
| And the second sec | |
| Application Received B | |
| | City Planning Department |
| | 1 1 1 1 1 |
| Investigation made 7-26-50 B | Illen, Jones, Kerton |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee _7- 26-5 Decision Approval | •Hearing date |
| Decision approval | Date 7-26-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2->8-5 | Building Inspector 7- 28-50 |
| Planning Commission 7- 78 . 50 Petitioner | Building Inspector 7-28-50 7-28-50 Health Department 7-28-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

1.74

. .

. . .

2
WHEREAS, Application No. $\frac{84.25}{15 \text{ of } Ordinance \text{ No. } 8924, as amended}$ has been considered by the Zoning Committee has been conserved by the

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. H. and Olive Harrington to divide and erect a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1174 and on a portion of Lot 4 of E. W. Morse' Subdivision of the West 1/2 of Pueblo Lot 1106, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on Friars' Road, approximately 400 ft. West of 6th St. Extension, Zone R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

prig 263

Dated July 26 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By

7-19-50 a Application Received ____ By City Planning Department Investigation made ____ 50 By (City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _____ 26-50 Hearing date Decision Comparison Conversion C Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action ani di -PIAPO E.LJ. 1106 Por cer 1 1105 AF 15 400

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8345</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway, owner, and the Old San Diego Chamber of Commerce, lessee, to erect a signboard 12 ft. by 40 ft., on Lot 18; Elock 1, Electric Line Addition and on a portion of Pueblo Lot 256, according to the plot plan on file in the Planning Department Office, at Nashville St. and Pacific Highway, Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. Subject to the approval of the Planning Commission in regard to design and wording of the sign;
- 2. Subject to adequate maintenance of the sign and its immediate area, as determined by the Zoning Committee.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SC, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the winth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > SECTEDEXCOL

page 273

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4869

FORM 2145

Dated July 26 , 1950

| | 11 |
|---|------------------------------------|
| | is the first of the second motions |
| anyon a | |
| | |
| Application Received By | |
| | City Planning Department |
| | (nn) $()$ $()$ |
| Investigation made By | aller. Jaces, Je |
| 1-12-50 | |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 7-26-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision (and approved | Date 7- 26-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-28-30$ Planning Commission $7-28-30$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Building Inspector 7- 78-30 |
| Planning Commission 7- 28-50 Petitioner | 7-28-50 Health Department 7-28-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

19.10

14

1. Y. P.

-

- p · · · · ·

TC1. 1

1.000

202

153

T MAN SCROOT

1. 1. 1. 1. C.

75. 1 14

1.4

1.73.81

RESOLUTION NO. 4870

Letter dated July 24, 1950

WHEREAS, Application and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of Persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4463, dated February 23, 1950, which extended Resolution No. 4100, dated August 24, 1949, be granted to Reynolds Winters, purchaser of the South one-half of Lots 17 through 20, and to Eugene P. Carlson, purchaser of the North one-half of Lots 17 through 20, Block 13, Roseville Heights, to permit a single family residence on each parcel above-described, with a 15 ft. setback on Albion St. and a 5 ft. setback on John St., on the Easterly corner of Albion St. and John St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

FINAL EXTENSION

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Sacrataby

Dated July 26 , 1950

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4870

FORM 2145

| Varenti | |
|--|---|
| Application Received 7-25-50 By | aif |
| | City Planning Department |
| | (aaa () () + |
| Investigation made 7-26-30 B | allen Jones Juiton |
| , , , , , | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 1-16-50 | Hearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-26-52</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-28-55</u> | Date 7- 26-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-28-5 | Building Inspector <u>2-28-50</u> |
| Planning Commission 7- 28-50 Petitioner | 7- 78- 50 Health Department 7- 78-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | 7- ~8- 50 Health Department 7- ~8-50 Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

waters a same same and the second

1

. .

the state of the s

11.8

.

a state and the state of the st

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8363</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. G. Marinos to enlarge and make alterations to an existing residence on Lots 23 through 25, Block 8, Park Addition, on the Southeast corner of Kalmia and 29th Sts., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

XXXXXXXX

By_

August 2 , 19 50

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4871

| Investigation made $7 - 26 - 50$ By $1 - 50$ By $1 - 50$ By $1 - 50$ City Planning Department $1 - 26 - 50$ Date $8 - 2 - 50$ Date $8 - 2 - 50$ Petition sent to City Clerk $8 - 4 - 50$ Building Inspector $8 - 4 - 50$ Health Department $8 - 4 - 50$ Petitioner $1 - 4 - 50$ Health Department $1 - 4 - 50$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 20 Council Hearing, date 20 Date $1 - 50$ Council Hearing, date 20 Date | D A |
|---|---|
| Investigation made $7 - 26 - 50$ By $2 - 50$ By $2 - 50$ By $2 - 50$ By $2 - 50$ City Planning Department $2 - 50$ City Planning Department $2 - 50$ City Planning Department $2 - 50$ Building Inspector $3 - 4 - 50$ Building Inspector $3 - 4 - 50$ Health Department $3 - 4 - 50$ Petitioner $3 - 4 - 50$ Health Department $3 - 4 - 50$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date $2 - 50$ Council Hearing, date $2 - 50$ Health Department $3 - 4 - 50$ Council Hearing, date $2 - 50$ Council Hearing, date $2 - 50$ Council Hearing date $2 - 50$ Council Hearin | Application Received By By |
| Considered by Zoning Committee $3-2-5$ City Planning Department Decision Committee $3-2-5$ Hearing date Date $8-2-5^{\circ}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $8-4-5^{\circ}$ Building Inspector $8-4-5^{\circ}$ Planning Commission $8-4-5^{\circ}$ Petitioner $8-4-5^{\circ}$ Health Department $8-4-5^{\circ}$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A |
| Considered by Zoning Committee B_{-2} - 5 Hearing date Decision Date Decision Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk B_{-4} - 5 Planning Commission $8 - 4$ - 5 Petitioner 9 - 4 - 5 Health Department $8 - 4$ - 5 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to | Investigation made 7- 26-50 By Aller Lancester, pares, |
| DecisionDate $8 - 7 - 5^{\circ}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{k-4-5^{\circ}}$ Building Inspector $\underline{8-4-5^{\circ}}$ Planning Commission $8 - 4-5^{\circ}$ PetitionerPlanning Commission $8 - 4-5^{\circ}$ PetitionerAppeal filed with City Clerk, dateCouncil Hearing, dateDecision of CouncilDateResolution becomes effectiveContinued to | Considered by Zoning Committee 8 Hearing date |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Decision Cepperanal Date 8-2-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-4-50 Building Inspector 8-4-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Planning Commission 8 - 4 - 50 Petitioner 8 - 4 - 50 Health Department 8 - 4 - 50 |
| Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to | Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | Decision of Council Date |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | Resolution becomes effective |
| | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | Time limit extended to Date of action |

108 ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8484</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. R. Hoover to construct an addition to a residence, with a 2 ft. setback for the addition and for the existing residence, on Lots 13 through 17, Block 10, Roseville Heights, 3463 Hill St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 2 , 1950

FORM 2145

By

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4872

Secretary

| Application Received $P = 1 - 50$ By $Halling$ Investigation made $B = 7 - 50$ By $Halling$ Investigation made $B = 7 - 50$ By $Halling$ City Planning Department $City Planning Department $ |
|---|
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-2-5-</u> Hearing date |
| Decision (pproval Date 8-2-50 / |
| Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-4-50 Building Inspector 8-4-50 |
| Planning Commission 8-4-50 Petitioner 8-4-50 Health Department 8-4-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

.

and the second second

X 1 1 1 1 1

.

.

11

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8406</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George E. and Beverly Crowle to erect an addition to an existing gymnasium, the addition to be located on Lot 31 in the R-4 Zone, adjacent to Lots 29 and 30 in the C Zone, and to have a 3 ft. sideyard, being in Block 195, City Heights, 3922-33rd St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By

Assistant Planning Director Bes. No. 4873

| 1.1.1.1.1.1 |
|--|
| Application Received By By City Planning Department |
| Investigation made By By City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 1-26-50 Hearing date 8-9-50 |
| Decision MoorFies Epprove Date 8-9-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-11-50</u> Building Inspector <u>8-11-50</u> |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-11-50</u> Building Inspector <u>8-11-50</u> |
| Planning Commissions -11 - 50 Petitioner 8-11-50 Health Department 8-11-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

.

1 4

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8407</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George E. and Beverly Crowle to erect an addition to an existing gymnasium, the addition to be located on Lot 31 in the R-4 Zone, adjacent to Lots 29 and 30 in the C Zone, and to have no setback, being in Block 195, City Heights, 3922-33rd St..

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Mated ______ August 9 _____, 19 50

10 RM 2145

By___

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4874

| | 71.10 | |
|--------------------------------------|---|----|
| the state of the | | 10 |
| | | |
| Application Received7-19 | 9-50 By 7 4/ 1ª Councell | |
| | City Planning Department | |
| | Can h I + II | 1 |
| Investigation made 7- 26 - 50 | By allen, James, Laucaster + Hack | be |
| | City Diamain Department | / |
| Considered by Zoning Committee | 7- 26-57 Hearing date 8-9-50 | / |
| Decision epproval | 7 - 26 - 54 Hearing date $g - 9 - 50Date g - 9 - 50$ | |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Cle | rk <u>8-11-5</u> Building Inspector <u>8-11-50</u> | |
| Planning Commission 8-11-50 | rk <u>8-11-5</u> Building Inspector <u>8-11-50</u> Petitioner 8-11-50 Health Department 8-11-50 | |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ | Council Hearing, date | |
| Decision of Council | Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective | | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to | |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action | |
| | | |

and the second s

chargest in a set of the set of the

Arrent .

,

. .

.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard Steinwinter to divide into 3 parcels, each to be approximately 100 ft. in width and each having a minimum of 100 ft. frontage, with the right to build a single family residence on each parcel, being Lot 11, Beverly Heights Addition, at Rhoda Dr. and Mar Ave., Zone R-1, on the condition that a 15 ft. setback is observed on Rhoda Dr.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sectorery

August 9 . 19-50 Dated_ FORM 2145

By

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4875

| | 1,0102 |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| | |
| Application Received By | Juston |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 7- 26-50 By | allen, Juno, dancaster Hails |
| 11 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee _7-26-5 | PHearing date 8-9-50 |
| Decision (and abbe mall | Date l 9-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-1-50. | Building Inspector 7-11-50 |
| Planning Commission 7-11-50 Petitioner | 7-11-50 Health Department 7-11-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

the surger title the set

The station of the second

· · · · · · · ·

SUGT - -

18 10 1

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8466</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. O.S. Harbaugh to erect a 14 ft. by 55 ft. addition for the storage of merchandise, for the existing market building on Lot 39, Gilcher Tract, 4588 College Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated _____ August 9 ____, 19_50

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4876

ORM 2145

| 47 17 P | |
|---|---|
| Application Received 7 | By Bauth |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made | By aller, Laucaster, Suit |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee | Hearing date 8-9-50 |
| Decision approval | Date 8-9-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk &-11- | 50 Building Inspector 8-11-50 |
| Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petitio | Date $\beta - 9 - 50$ Date $\beta - 9 - 50$ Some $\beta - 11 - 50$ Council Hearing, date |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

1.1.1.1

127.00

,

and a second second

and a start of the start of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8334</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the R. E. Hazard Construction Company to maintain a directional sign to its contracting plant, 8 ft. by 16 ft. in size, on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1106, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at Cabrillo Freeway and Friars Road, Zone R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4013, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Mated August 9 , 1950

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4877

TORM 2145

| | $()$ $f \neq f$ |
|---|---|
| | 'EIII- |
| Application Received | y |
| | City Planning Department |
| | Lan A P. R |
| Investigation made7-1x-50 By | y <u>Clice Janes</u> , Laucasta Dee City Planning Department |
| 1-12-5 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee | Hearing date |
| Decision (164) | Date & g . 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-50 | Building Inspector 8-11-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{\$ - !! - 50}$ Planning Commission $\$ - !! - 50$ Petitioner | 8-11-50 Health Department 8-11-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

. . . .

in the irr

5273

the second

.

5

RESOLUTION NO. 4878 see 5338

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8459</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nora Woodward to divide a portion of the Northerly 2/3 of Pueblo Lot 169, and erect a single family residence on the Southerly 110 ft. of the Easterly 140 ft. thereof, and on that portion of Lot 1, Block 168, La Playa, formerly known as San Gorgonio St., from the Northerly termination of the present street to the Northerly line of Lot 1, with access on San Gorgonio St. and street frontage of 29.72 ft., in addition to the existing residence on this property, which is located on Gage Dr., North of DuPont St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

page 2.61

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated August 9 , 19 50

ORM 2145

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4878

Secretary

| TRUE N | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Application Received7 | 31-50 By (/ / / / / / |
| / | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made & - 9 | 50 By allen, Jours, Diskel Hack |
| | City/Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee | 8-9-50 Hearing date |
| Decision Mining appa | ouer Date 8-9-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Cle | erk 8-11-50 Building Inspector 8-11-50 |
| Planning Commission 8-11-50 | erk <u>8-11-50</u> Petitioner 8-11-50 Health Department 8-11-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

.

2.5.2.

5 × 1 × 1 ×

ant in the second secon

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8392</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to George A. Lee to construct a residence and attached garage with a 10 ft. setback from Savoy Circle, on Lot 1, Block E, Chatsworth Estates, at Savoy Circle and Pescadero Ave. extended, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

ated <u>August 9</u>, 19_50

ORM 2145

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4879

| i mi kar a l | |
|---|---------------------------|
| 7 | |
| Application Received By | Jan Tree |
| | City Planning Department |
| | 110 |
| Investigation made 7-26-50 By | City Planning Department |
| 7-26-50 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning/Committee 8-9-50 He | earing date |
| Decision Quital Da | te 8-9-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-50 Bu | ilding Inspector 8-11-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-50</u> Bu Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petitioner 8-1 | Health Department 8-11-30 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co | uncil Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Da | ite |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Co | ntinued to |
| | ate of action |
| | |

. .

and the second sec

a state of the second state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6538</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ __special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John M. Riley to divide into three parcels and build a single family residence on each, as follows:

- The North 118 ft. of the East 240.85 ft., measured from the 1. Northeast corner of Pueblo Lot 170; The Northerly 118 ft., except the Easterly 240.85 ft.; All except the Northerly 118 ft.
- 2.
- 3.

Being a portion of Pueblo Lot 170, according to the legal descrip-tion on file in the Planning Department Office; and located between Bow St. and Albion St., Northerly of DuPont St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted___ August 9 , 19_50 By_

| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
|---|--|
| Application Received | - 30 By |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 8-9-50 | By Cllen, Jours, Asucaster. |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 8-9 | Hearing date Date 8-9-50 <u>8-11-50</u> Building Inspector <u>8-11-50</u> Health Department 8-11-50 |
| Decision Madified approve | J Date 8-9-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk_ | 8-11-50 Building Inspector 8-11-50 |
| Planning Commission 8 -11 - 50 Pe | etitioner 8-11-50 Health Department 8-11-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |
| | |
| | AND A REAL AND A |
| The second se | |
| | |
| | |

, Prov.

and the set of the set

A replaced set in the property of a

Ther

a start to dotta . Miley no mail a liter conto

n the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8418</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leon Beatty to divide into two building sites and build a single family residence on each, being Lots 5 and 7, Block 1, First Addition to South La Jolla, on the Southwest corner of Belvedere St. and Vista del Mar Ave., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. That a 15 ft. setback be observed on both Belvedere St. and Vista del Mar Ave.;
- 2. That the signatures of the owners of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4, Block 1, be obtained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3858, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated August 9 , 19_50

By___

ORM 2145

| \cap |
|--|
| in the second se |
| |
| |
| Application Received By By |
| City Planning Department |
| |
| Investigation made 8-9-50 By lileus Janes Carkel, Hacks |
| City Planning Ucpariment |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 8-9-50 Hearing date |
| Decision Course approval Date 8-9-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-11-50 Building Inspector 8-11-50 |
| Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petitioner 8-11-50 Health Department 8-11-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

· · ·

AND AND AND A SET TITLETS OF T

entry net over the others are

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7889</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee he City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOU**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Margaret M. Consodine to divide into two building sites and build a single family residence on each, according to the plot plan on file in the Planning Department Office, being Lots 4 and 5, Block 4, La Jolla Hermosa No. 1, on Camino de la Costa, opposite Mira Monte Pl., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ed August 9 , 19 50

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4882

м 2145

| | A Vaniera V // |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| | |
| Application Received By | 1 Jouth |
| | City Planning Department |
| | (200 A R. 1/ 1/ 1/ |
| Investigation made <u>8-9-50</u> By | Allen, Jones, Dupel + Hacks |
| 0 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Completee <u>8-9-53</u> | Hearing date |
| Decision Cleanors | Date 4-7-0 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-11-50 | Building Inspector 8 - 11 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-11-50 Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petitioner | 8-11-30 Health Department 8-11-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8436</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee he City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dalice Hardenbrook to construct a single family residence on the West 50 ft. of the North 100 ft. of Lot 3, Block 156, La Playa, on the South side of Perry St., approximately 125 ft. West of San Antonio St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ed_August 9____, 1950

M 2145

Ву____

Sect erany

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4883

| pplication Received By By City Planning Department |
|---|
| nvestigation made <u>8-9-50</u> By <u>allen, Junes, Dirkel</u> Haele |
| onsidered by Zoning Committee $l = q - 57$ Hearing date ecision Q_{proved} Hearing date opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $l - l - 52$ Building Inspector $l - l - 52$ lanning Commission $l - l - 53$ Petitioner $l - l - 53$ Health Department $l - l - 53$ |
| opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-11-50 Building Inspector 8-11-50 |
| lanning Commission 8-11-57 Petitioner 8-11-57 Health Department 8-11-50 ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Date Date |
| esolution becomes effective Continued to |
| ime limit extended to Date of action |

WHEREAS, Application No. 8303 has been considered by the Zoning Committee he City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section f Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- A. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, fornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. R. Coahran to construct a single family residence on Lot 1, except the Easterly 25 ft. thereof, Block 164, La Playa, on the corner of Perry St. and San Antonio St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

d______August 9____, 19_50

By

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4884

M 2145

| 100000 | MIZA |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Application Received B | |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made 8-9-5-0 B | lleun James Brakel Hackse |
| | |
| Considered by Zoning Completee <u>8-9-53</u> Decision (6 the angel | Hearing date |
| Considered by Zohnig Containtee $3-7-30$ Decision $C_{product}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-17-50$ Planning Commission $8-17-50$ Petitioner | Date 8-7-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk | Building Inspector 8-11-50 |
| Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petitioner | 8-4-30 Health Department 8-11-30 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

and the second second

tenti presentati in a la contra presidente de la contra d

11.12

The state of the second of the second of the second second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>\$304</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee he City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, fornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. R. Coahran to construct a single family residence with a 5 ft. setback, on Lot 1, except the Easterly 25 ft. thereof, Block 164, La Playa, on the corner of Perry St. and San Antonio St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or coniction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ed August 9 , 19 50

M 2145

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4885

| | NAN |
|--|--|
| 1 1 m 1 | (α) |
| Application Received 50 | - By - loco |
| | City Planning Department |
| | $(nn) \cap (3 \cdot \cdot$ |
| Investigation made 8 - 9 - 50 | Bylllen, Jues, like Hacker |
| 0 | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Complittee | 50 Hearing date |
| Dilandada | Date (9-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-11 | So Building Inspector 8-11-50 |
| Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petition | $\frac{30}{11-30}$ Building Inspector $\underline{8-11-50}$ ner $\underline{8-11-50}$ Health Department $\underline{8-11-50}$ |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Recolution becomes effective | |
| A polication withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |
| | |
| | |
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8372</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee he City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, fornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles W. and Winifred R. Shuey to erect a garage with no sideyard, on Lot I, Block 374, Horton's Addition, 3230 Falcon St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

M 2145

By_

| | in a las planes and a series |
|--|---|
| 66 6 1 million | V II- |
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made By | //City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Completee <u>8-9-50</u> Decision <u>Approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-11-50</u> Planning Commission <u>8-11-50</u> Petitioner <u>8</u> | Hearing date |
| Decision approval | Date 8-9-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8 - 11 - 50 | Building Inspector 8 - 11 - 50 |
| Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petitioner 8 | - 11 - 50 Health Department 8 - 11 - 50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8371</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, fornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles W. and Winifred R. Shuey to erect a garage with a 3 ft. setback, on Lot I, Block 374, Horton's Addition, 3230 Falcon St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be μ^{ed} automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or concision permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the h day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal iled within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

d-August 9 , 1950

2145

By_

| | 3 | Secretery | and and an and a state of the | and the second second | |
|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| Assistant | Planning | Director | Res. | No. | 4887 |

| 1 |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| pplication Received By _ law fine |
| City Planning Department |
| |
| nvestigation made <u>1-9-50</u> By lleve forces wheel, the |
| (Atty Flanning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 8-9-50 Hearing date |
| Date 9450 |
| A Devolution sent to City Clerk A-11-50 Building Inspector 1-11-50 |
| Commission & Petitioner X-11-5 Health Department X-11-5 |
| ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| esolution becomes effective |
| continued to |
| ime limit extended to Date of action |

•

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8451</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOU**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard G. Cooper to construct a 20 ft. by 20 ft. garage, approximately 60 ft. from the front property line, with a 1 ft. sideyard, on Lot 385, Block 19, Crown Point, 3416 Bayonne St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ed August 9 , 1950

M 2145

By_

| 1.5 1100 | |
|---|---|
| Application Received | But I Complete |
| Application Received | City Planning Department |
| | R. R. M.M. |
| Investigation made &- 9-50 | Bullen Jones Dirkel Hacks |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 8-9- | 50 Hearing date |
| Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1- | Date 9-9-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-1 | Building Inspector 8-11-50 |
| Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petitic | oner 8-11-50 Health Department 8-11-50 Council Hearing, date |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8458</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, fornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Francis C. and Shirley C. Spurier to erect a 22 ft. by 13 ft. addition to an existing non-conforming residence which has a 7 ft. 9 in. rear yard, on Lots 78 through 83, Block 1, La Jolla Strand Addition, 226 Playa del Norte, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ed __August 9 ____, 19 50

м 2145

By_

| Application Received By City Planning Department |
|--|
| Investigation made By Cllen, and Dis her Had |
| Considered by Zoning Complittee <u>1-9-50</u> Hearing date Decision <i>Appinear</i> Date 8-9-50 Date 8-9-50 |
| Decision approved Date 8-9-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-11-50 Building Inspector 8-11-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-11-52</u> Building Inspector <u>8-11-50</u> Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petitioner 8-11-50 Health Department 8-11-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8190</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee he City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section f Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, fornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Juanita S. Enriquez to replace an existing mud sill with a solid concrete foundation and with approximately no sideyard, on Lot 12, Block 179, Mannasse and Schiller Addition, 1746 Logan Ave., Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ed <u>August 9</u>, 19<u>50</u> M 2145

By_

| Application Received By City Planning Department | - |
|--|------|
| City Flamming Department | 1 1 |
| Investigation made 8-9-50 Byllee Byllee Diskel H | alle |
| Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{g_{-9-50}}{Decision}$ Hearing date Decision Copposed for City Clerk $\frac{g_{-9-50}}{Date}$ Building Inspector $\frac{g_{-11-50}}{Date}$ | |
| Decision approval Date 8.9-50 | |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-50 Building Inspector 1-11-50 | |
| Diaming (ommission 0-11-50 Perilioner y-11-50 Fleatin Department 1 - 11 - (5-7) | |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date | |
| Decision of Council Date | |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Continued to | |
| Time limit extended to Date of action | |

ages.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7343</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>necessary</u> for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Charles H. and Edna M. Wilkinson to continue the use of existing living quarters with a 2 ft. sideyard, on Lot M, Block 3, Golden Hill, 2404 "C" St. (rear), Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted August 9 , 1950

By

RM 2145

| a second a s | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Application Received 7-25-50 B | v. A. Mauth |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <i>R</i> -9-50 B | alle men Diskel Hack |
| Investigation made <u>6-9-50</u> B | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 9-9-5- | Hearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Committee $3-9-5-5$ Decision Convert to City Clerk $3-11-52$ | Date g- 9- 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-11-50 | Building Inspector 8-11-50 |
| Planning Commission 8 - 11 - 5° Petitioner | 8-11-3° Health Department 8 - 11 - 3 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8454</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. J. and Margaret R. Beckman to construct a garage 20 ft. by 28 ft., 560 sq. ft. in size, with a 15 ft. rear yard, on Lot 3, Block 85, Point Loma Heights, 4478 Del Monte Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted_August 9 , 1950

By_

Secretary

RM 2145

| Application Received By | M. Hauth_ |
|---|--|
| sppincation received | City Planning Department |
| ' | |
| Investigation made 8 - 9 - 50 By | Aller, Jacon Lisbel Hall |
| | City/Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee $\beta - 9 - 30$ | Hearing date Date $\int -9 - 50$ Building Inspector $\int -11 - 50$ $\int -11 - 50$ Health Department $\int -11 - 50$ Council Hearing data |
| Decision approval | Date 8 - 9-65-0 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-11-50 | Building Inspector 8-11-57 |
| Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petitioner | $\beta - 11 - 5$ Health Department $\beta - 11 - 3$ |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

aat to the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8462</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred C. and Ruth C. Corey to construct an addition approximately 14 ft. by 50 ft., to an existing residence, with no sideyard, on Lot 6, La Jolla Knolls, 1434 La Jolla Knoll, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ed____August 9____, 1950

By__

м 2145

| Application Received 7- 26-5-0By City Planning Department |
|--|
| · City Planning Department |
| $\int and R \cdot $ |
| Investigation made <u>8-7-50</u> By Ullen, Janes Diskel, Have |
| City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee β_{-9-50} Hearing date Decision Commission Sent to City Clerk β_{-4-50} Building Inspector β_{-4-50} Planning Commission β_{-4-50} Petitioner β_{-4-50} Health Department β_{-4-50} |
| Decision Ceppronal Date 8-9-50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-50 Building Inspector 1-11-50 |
| Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petitioner 8-11-50 Health Department 8-11-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

1 1 1 1 K 1 1

1 . · · · · · ·

Letter dated July 27, 1950

WHEREAS, Application Nor _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 4457, dated February 23, 1950, be granted to John Sedlack, owner, and A. H. Johnson, purchaser, to divide Lots 18, 19 and 20, and the street closing of Santa Maria Terrace adjoining, Block 13, Valencia Park Unit No. 2, into two parcels, each to have approximately 70 ft. street frontage, and each to be approximately 67-1/2 ft. in width at the rear, being on the Easterly corner of San Mateo Dr., Los Angeles Pl. and Santa Maria Terrace closed, Zone R-1.

On the condition that the regular City Ordinance requiring 15 ft. setback on San Mateo Dr., be observed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4894

м 2145

| Leen in the second seco |
|--|
| pplication Received 7- 28-50 By act |
| City Planning Department |
| nvestigation made By |
| City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{g_{-9}-50}{Date}$ Hearing date Decision $\frac{g_{-9}-50}{Date}$ Date $g_{-9}-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{g_{-11}-50}{Date}$ Building Inspector $\frac{g_{-11}-50}{Beilding}$ Health Department $\frac{g_{-11}-50}{Beilding}$ |
| Decision Canal approval, Date 8-9-50 |
| copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-11-50 Building Inspector 8 - 11-50 |
| lanning Commission 8-11-50 Petitioner 8-11-50 Health Department 8-11-50 |
| ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| lesolution becomes effective |
| pplication withdrawn Continued to |
| ime limit extended to Date of action |

a sin with and

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward and Lulu O'Connor to construct a residence and attached garage with a 4 ft. sideyard and a minimum of 18 ft. rear yard, located 40 ft. from the front property line of Lot 6, Block 10, Valencia Park No. 1, on the East side of San Jacinto St., between Trinidad Way and Santa Maria Terrace, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be roked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretery

ted_August_9____, 19_50

RM 2145

By

| pplication Received <u>8-1-53</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u> |
|---|
| nvestigation made 8-9-50 By By Cllen, Johnes Jukel, the |
| City Planning Department |
| considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-9-50</u> Hearing date |
| Decision Madyled approach Date 8-9-50 |
| copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-11-50</u> Building Inspector <u>8-11-50</u> |
| Considered by Zoning Committee $g - g - 50$ Hearing date Decision Madulus approach Date $g - g - 50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $g - 17 - 50$ Building Inspector $g - 17 - 50$ Petitioner $g - 17 - 50$ Health Department $g - 17 - 50$ |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| lesolution becomes effective |
| opplication withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8463</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, i fornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. H. Dockens to divide into two building sites and build a single family residence on each, as follows: (1) 85 ft. by 85 ft.; (2) 70 ft. by 85 ft; according to the plat on file in the Planning Department Office; being the East 155 ft. of Lot 30, Broadway Acres, 4320 Hilltop Dr., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

ted <u>August 9</u>, 1950 RM 2145 By_

| (C) | |
|---|---|
| Application Received 8-1-50 By | (0)/000) |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made $\beta - 9 - 50$ By | llen, anes, Dinkel, Had |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-7-50</u> He Decision Upproved Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-4-50</u> Bu | earing date |
| Decision approval Da | ite 8-9-60 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-50 Bu | uilding Inspector <u><i>B</i> - 11 - 50</u> |
| Planning Commission 8 - 1/- 5 ° Petitioner | - / - So Health Department X - // - So |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co | ouncil Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Da | ate |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn Co | ontinued to |
| Time limit extended to Da | ate of action |

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8480</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby granted to Rosa Lee Peters to repair dwelling, including the roof, re-wire the entire house, put 8 ft. studs in the rear section, the property having a 1 ft. sideyard, on Lot 27, Block 330, Choate's Addition, 3012 Clay St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted ______, 1950

By___

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4897

RM 2145

| and the second s | |
|--|---|
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| | 1 0 2 11 |
| Investigation made <u>1-7-50</u> By | allen, Jones, Dickel, Has |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 8-9-50 | Hearing date |
| Decision approval | Hearing date Date $\beta - 9 - 50$ Building Inspector $\beta - 11 - 50$ $\beta - 11 - 50$ Health Department $\beta - 11 - 50$ |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-11-50 | Building Inspector 8-11-50 |
| Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petitioner | 8-11- 50 Health Department 8-11-50 |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8481</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. H. and Wilma Wright to make a 10 ft. by 20 ft. addition to an existing garage, with a total square footage of 600 ft., and with a 2 ft. sideyard, on Lot 20, Block 75, Point Loma Heights, 4359 Orchard Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted _____ August 9 , 19 50

By_

| 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 | |
|--|---|
| | |
| Application Received By | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made $\frac{1}{8-9-50}$ By | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 1-9-50 | Hearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Committee $1-7-50$ Decision $1/2$ Decision | Date 8 - 9 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk | Building Inspector 8-11-50 |
| Planning Commission & -11 - 50 Petitioner | 8-11- 30 Health Department 8-11-50 Council Hearing, date |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8445</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert W. and Enid G. Thayer to divide and erect residences in Block 230, University Heights, on the Northeast corner of Richmond St. and Eypress St., Zone R-2, as follows:

- The Southerly 90 ft. of Lots 2 and 3, with a 3 ft. rear 1. yard, for a single family residence;
- 2.
- The Southerly 90 ft. of Lot 1, for a duplex; The Northerly 50 ft. of Lots 1 through 3, a single family residence existing on the Northerly 50 ft. of 3. Lot 1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted ______, 1950_____, 1950_____,

RM 2145

By

XSPSERSERYC Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4899

| | D 67 // n. |
|---|--|
| Street * 101 | |
| Application Received B | |
| | City Planning Department |
| Investigation made <u>8-9-50</u> B | ellen, Jours, Dickel Hade |
| | City Planning Department |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 1-7-50 | Hearing date Date $8 - 9 - 50$ Building Inspector $8 - 11 - 50$ 8 - 11 - 50 Health Department $8 - 11 - 50$ |
| Decision Madylica approval | Date 8 - 9 - 50 |
| Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-50 | Building Inspector 8 -11 - 30 |
| Planning Commission 8 - 11 - 50 Petitioner | $\beta - 11 - 5$ Health Department $\delta - 11 - 5$ D |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date | Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council | _ Date |
| Resolution becomes effective | |
| Application withdrawn | Continued to |
| Time limit extended to | Date of action |
| | |

ter and the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>\$483</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William R. and Hazel E. Leaf to erect a residence with a 10 ft. setback from Froude St., on Lot 1, except the Northwesterly 8 ft., Block 20, Sunset Cliffs, on the Westerly corner of Alhambra St. and Froude St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August 9 , 1950

ORM 2145

By_

XIII STREET

| Application Received <u>8-2-50</u> By <u>Concept</u> |
|---|
| City Planning Department |
| E A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A |
| Investigation made _ 8-9-50 By Collars press Arkel Haelor |
| |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 8-1-30 Hearing date |
| Considered by Zoning Committee 8-9-50 Hearing date Decision Committee 8-9-50 Date 8-9-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-11-50 Building Inspector 8-11-50 |
| Planning Commission 8-11-5° Petitioner 8-11-5° Health Department 8-11-5° |
| Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date |
| Decision of Council Date |
| Resolution becomes effective |
| Application withdrawn Continued to |
| Time limit extended to Date of action |

e. 1

End of book.



City of San Diego