

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8475</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lucille V. McKinnon to construct a 5 ft. high concrete block wall with a 15 ft. setback, on the East 75 ft. of the West 950 ft., measuring on the Northerly line (except the street) and the East 50 ft. of the West 1,000 ft. (except the street) of Pueblo Lot 1801, 1145 Pacific Beach Dr., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Page 314

Dated _____ August 9 ____ , 1950

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4901

FORM 2145

Application Received 1-2-57 By Joieth
· City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-9-50 By Cllew, Jones, Biskel, Had
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>P-9-50</u> Hearing date <u>Decision</u> <u>Date</u> <u>Bate</u> <u></u>
Decision Madidieg approval Date 8-9-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-50 Building Inspector 8-11-50
Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petitioner 8-11-50 Health Department 8-11-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

.

. The as the state of the

and the second second second second

Carl and

See RES 4901

COPY OF RESOLUTION OF CITY COUNCIL FOR PLAN CHECK COUNTER

RESOLUTION NO. 99467

BE IT RESOLVED by theCouncil of the City of San Diego, as follows: That the appeal of Lucille V. McKinnon, 1145 Pacific Beach D_rive, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying her permission to construct a five (5) foot high concrete block wall with a 0' setback on the East 75 ft. of the West 950 ft. measuring on the Northerly line (except the street) and the East50 ft. of the West 1,000 ft. (except the street) of Pueblo Lot 1501, at 1145 Pacific Beach Drive, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 99467 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council September 19, 1950.

> FRED W. SICK, City Clerk Helen M. Willig, Deputy

Page is intentionally left blank.

* N-0*

3

1 10 - 21 - ---- " Do" 1

100 (**) \$5* (**

pr and all year by

AT WELTEN Delater

the comp of soco attant

ur dourittoo -

IT IS BEAT ATO MUCH

w. mu mul i tt.

121 13 2.0° UL 890

on to construct the

U 144 40 1

S the surplant the state



City of San Diego

RESOLUTION NO. 4902

Letter dated August 2, 1950

WHEREAS, Application Nox ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That Resolution No. 3125, dated May 5, 1948, which amended Resolution No. 1993, dated January 16, 1947, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louise E. Butler, owner, and Mr. and Mrs. Robert E. Hickman, lessees, only, to operate a beauty parlor, full-time, on Lot 1, Block 31, West End Addition, 3446 Ray St., on the following conditions:

- 1. Business to be operated within the existing building;
- 2. No employees.
- 3. This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dee RES 4929

Dated _____ August 9 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By_

P	14.
Application Received 8-3-50 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-9-50</u> He Decision <i>appendent</i> Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-1-50</u> Bu Planning Commission 1-11-50 Petitioner 8	earing date
Decision and appeared Da	te J-J- 50
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-11-50 By	ilding Inspector 8 - 11 - 50
Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petitioner 8	- 11 - 3 Health Department 8 - 11 - 30
Appeal filed with City Cierk, date Co	uncil Hearing, date
Design of CONTICI	ite
Develution becomes effective	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A lightion withdrawn	ntinued to
Time limit extended to Da	te of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8495</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles L. and Mabel M. Hudson to erect a residence with a 19 ft. 5 in. setback on Lot 14, Block 1, Valencia Park No. 1, 137 Los Alamos Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>August 9</u>, 1950

By_

Secretary

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4903

Application Received By Al Jouth
City Flanning Department R
Investigation made 8-9-50 By Ellen Jones, Diskel Had
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $g_{-}g_{-}50$ Hearing date Decision $g_{-}g_{-}50$ Date $g_{-}g_{-}50$ Da
Decision approval Date 9-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-11-50 Building Inspector 8-11-50
Planning Commission 8-11-50 Petitioner 8 - 11 - 50 Health Department 8-11-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn
Time limit extended to Date of action

and the former

- 102

15 14 1

15.

(05, 117, 10

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8499</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen B. Jeffers to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1256, approximately 4 acres in area, without frontage on a dedicated street, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on La Jolla Scenic Dr., 600 ft. North of La Jolla Rancho Road, Zone R-1, on the following condition:

That an easement be granted to the City for an 80 ft, right of way on La Jolla Scenic Dr. (40 ft. on each side of the center line, shown on City Engineer's Drawing No. 7979-L).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______ August 9 , 150

By_

Assistant Planning Director Res. No. 4904

FORM 2145

- The provide a state of the st	7/11/0
lication Received	ByCity Planning Department
	(an (3.1)
stigation made $3-9-30$	City Planning Department
sidered by Zoning Committee 8-9-	Hearing date Date // - 50 III- 50 Building Inspector 8 - 11 - 50 ioner 8 - 11 - 50 Health Department 8 - 11 - 50 Council Hearing, date Date
ision Canal approval	Date 8 - 9 - 50
ning Commission 8 - 11 - 3 Petit	ioner 8 - 11 - 50 Health Department 8 - 11 - 50
eal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ision of Council	Date
lication withdrawn e limit extended to	Continued to
e limit extended to	Date of action
	03
	2. 2
	3 4.2
	12
	5
	Sec /
	ž K.
	5/2 2
	Re an
	di la ser al a participation de la serie d
	Level 1
	DER WUHKEN
50	
1 Julie India	
1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	7
The Alt	
The Alt	
The Art	
The Alt	New York
The Art	Nucon
, The Art	Nucon
, Lru Jur J	Kucon Par
LIV JW J	Kucon Paris
, The Art	Kucon Paris
, Ly Jur J	Kucon Par
, <i>L</i> M J M J M J M J M J M J M J M J M J M	Kucon Paris
I IN JUN J	Kucon Paris

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8235</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the First National Trust and Savings Bank of San Diego and John D. Mitchell, to construct a double garage with a 4 ft. setback, on Lot 12, Yacht Club Terrace, 870 San Antonio Pl., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

		ZUN	ING COMM	ALTIEE
	CITY	OF SAN	I DIEGO,	CALIFORNIA
	11	/	2/1/	1
By	Aar	kep la	Sail	de

Dated July 26 , 19 50 FORM 2145

Assistant Planning Director

Res. No. 4905

	(1)
0.5 mm 1 mm 20	
Application Received	By Connell
Investigation made	By Allen Saucester, Juse 2 the
1	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision appeara	Date 7 6 - 50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8- 23-	50 Building Inspector <u>1-73-50</u>
Planning Commission (23-50 Petitione	r 8 Health Department 8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the subscription

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8446</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Florence E. O'Hara, owner, and Gladys E. Shaw Smith, purchaser, to erect four living units crossing lot lines, according to the plans submitted, on Lots 3 and 4, Block D, South La Jolla, on the South side of Nautilus St., approximately 125 ft. East of Neptune Pl., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Settrenack

Dated _______ August 23 _____ , 19_50

By

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4906

1 I R	n 12 h
Application Received	By CityPlanning Department
Investigation made 8-95-0	By Aller City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-9-5-</u>	
Decision Approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-24-5 Planning Commission 5-5-5 Petitioner	Date $8 - \sqrt{3} - 3 = 3 = 3 = 3 = 3 = 3 = 3 = 3 = 3 = 3$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Fime limit extended to	Date of action

* 7N

12

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8433</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mark J. and Janet L. Stark, owners, and W. W. Goodman, purchaser, to construct a 4-unit apartment crossing lot lines, on Lots 39 and 40, Block 13, La Jolla Strand, on Palomar St., West of La Jolla Blvd., according to the plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

lated ______ August 23 , 19 50

FORM 2145

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

	MO IT
10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	
Application Received7-31-50 By_	6000
	City Planning Department
	and R. All
Investigation made 8-9-5-8 By	aller, Jours, Jurkel Haele
Λ	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-9-50H	learing date 8-23-50
Decision approval D	ate 8-23-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8- 74-50 B	uilding Inspector 8-25-50
Decision approved D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-745</u> B Planning Commission 8- 25- 50 Petitioner 8-	24-50 Health Department 8- 25-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council I	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A series of the series of the

the second pattern the Master Firsh of the Co

a si mark maraturis affect the health ar asfety of a set will it who unterimity detrivents to a set a lagrangements in the neighborhood.

work when dans, a measured by other property

a first of the provide the spectrum property in the property

the party considered by the Zoning Corneline

TON NOT TON

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>3365</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Jose Fernandes to build a 10' x 10' kitchen addition to bedroom with 3 foot rear yard for existing building, the addition complying with yard requirements, on Lots 11 and 12 in Block 103, of Middletown, at 1291 West Palm Street, in Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ August 23.___, 19_50

By Zoning Engineer Secretary

ORM 2145

Application Received By By By City Planning Department
in the fill of the loss of the loss
Investigation made 8-9-50 By lleur Janes City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8->3-5</u> Hearing date
Decision Renial Date 8-23-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2->1-5- Building Inspector 8-25-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8->1-50</u> Building Inspector <u>8-25-50</u> Planning Commission 8-35-50 Petitioner 8-25-50 Health Department 8-25-50
Deal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Dolication withdrawn Continued to
pplication withdrawn Continued to ime limit extended to Date of action

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

THE LAND THE REAL OF

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8442</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen Leyden to construct a steel safety fire escape on the side of an existing building, to be a maximum of 3 ft. in width and placed flush against the wall of said building, with a sideyard not less than 30 in., on the West 50 ft. of Lots 13 through 16, and 10 ft. of Fir St. closed adjacent on the South, Block 50, Seaman and Choates Add., 2974 Fir St., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

epherol Filel

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ August 23_____, 1950

By___

Secretary

Res. No. 4909

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received By City Planning/Department,
Fan (1 R. ///
nvestigation made 8-9-50 By llen, Jours Derkef Halle
the 11 Zaming Committee & 22,52 Hearing date
Decision Moo. approval Decision Moo. approval Date 1- 23-50 Date 1- 23-50 Date 1- 23-50 Date 1- 23-50 Date 1- 23-50 Petitioner 6-24-50 Health Department 8-25-50 Health Department 8-25-50
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-24-5 Building Inspector 8-25-50
lanning Commission 8- 25-50 Petitioner 8-29-50 Health Department 8-25-50
Include with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
lesolution becomes effective
Ipplication withdrawn Continued to
lime limit extended to Date of action

.

See 4909

COPY FOR PLAN CHECK COUNTER

RESOLUTION NO. 99423 CITY COUNCIL

BE ITRESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows: That the appeal of Mrs. G. J. Relder, 2976 Fir Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting to Helen Leyden, 2974 Fir Street, permission to construct a steel safety fire escape on the side of an existing building, to be a maximum of 3 ft. in width and placed flush against the wall of said building, with a sideyard not less than 30 in. on the West 50 feet of Lots 13 through 16, and 10 feet of Fir Street closed adjacent on the South, Block 50, Seeman and Choates Addition in Zone C, be, and it is hereby overruled and denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 99423 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council September 14, 1950.

> FRED W. SICK, City Clerk By Helen M. Willig, Deputy

· mart perfe to and the method and is relation in a second of the templated of the state of a state, and reported by east I THERE'S REPEATE THE FLOW IS DO NOT THE FULL CONTREL CONFILM the state of the Tenter of the state of the state of the outles in the restriction of the second of the second second second second second second second second second s control to the second the second of the second to be second as the second s rite south the reaction of the contraction for the factors in the second second second second second the second s entered a prime a real de la contra de la cont all and the second statement of the second statement of the size many to a second s the second s the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8512</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Moses and Matilda A. Freitas to divide into two building sites according to the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, being Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 187, Roseville, at the corner of Whittier St. and Locust St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or concruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated _____ August 23 , 19_50

ORM 2145

Secterxx

Zoning Engineer

By_

Res. No. 4910

and the second sec	11111011
Application Received	50 By City Planning Department
nvestigation made	By allen Jones, Birkel, But City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-	
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerka	titioner 8 - 21 - 30 Health Department - 45 - 50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>necessary</u> for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DINIED to Trank E. & Mattie B. Bowyer, to convert an existing attached garage to living quarters, with a 4 foot 4 inch rear yard on the South 44.25 feet of the West One-Half of Lot 1, Block 134, La Playa, at 405 Rosecrans, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer Strotfier

ORM 2145

71110 11
pplication Received By City Planning Department R. ///
westigation made 8-9-50 By <u>City Planning Department</u>
onsidered by Zoning Complittee <u>8 5-</u> Hearing date
Pecision $Date 8 - 23 - 50$ Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $8 - 23 - 50$ lanning Commission $8 - 25 - 50$ Petitioner $8 - 24 - 50$ Health Department $8 - 25 - 50$
lanning Commission 8 - 25-50 Petitioner 8 - 24-55 Health Department 8 - 25 - 50
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective Continued to
Pplication withdrawn Continued to Date of action

1.

٠

,

RESOLUTION NO. 99589 (COUNCIL)

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows: That the appeal of Frank E. Bowyer, 405 Rosecrans Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its <u>Resolution No. 4911</u>, application No. 8450, permission to convert an existing attached garage to living quarters, with a 4 foot 4 inch rear yard on the South 44.25 feet of the West One-Half of Lot 1, Block 134, La Playa, at 405 Rosecrans, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby overruled and denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I here by certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 99589 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Sept. 26, 1950.

> FRED W. SICK, City Clerk By HELEN M. WILLIG, Deputy

Date appeal filed with Clerk: August 28, 1950 Date denied by Council: Sept. 26, 1950

Page is intentionally left blank.

and in the providence of the second states of the

Large and a stand have as a set there is the st



the against own and inde

101 0 C. 221

11 12

. an alopted by

and a second second second

enterning stract, and the real states

City of San Diego

LASS THE THE DOMESTIC SECTION OF SECTION

the second as an everyout strength burner of floging and the

the set of the star in the set of the set of the set

THE PERSON PUT PUT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8426</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph and Mary La Russa to erect an over-size garage incidental to a proposed residence, said garage to be 28 ft. by 24 ft., with a 2 ft. sideyard and a 2 ft. rear yard, on Lot 198, Collwood Park No. 2, on the West side of Rockford Road, approximately 150 ft. North of Pontiac St., Zone R-1, on the condition that the owners submit a signed statement to the effect that there will be no commercial use of the property, in any respect.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

AGG. 673

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal § filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ August 23 , 1950

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

	1 1
	1
	11
Application Received By By Concert	1
City Planning Department	1
The Bill Had	k
nvestigation made <u>1-9-50</u> By <u>Uller</u> , <u>bues</u> , <u>bues</u> , <u>tack</u>	
de que de la city rianning Department	
onsidered by Zoning Committee 8, 13-50 Hearing date	11
onsidered by Zoning Committee 8- 13-50 Hearing date Decision Concel approved Date 8- 73-50	V
lanning Commission 8- 75- 5" Petitioner 8- 75-5" Health Department 8- 75- 50	
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Pecision of Council Date	
lesolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn Continued to	
ime limit extended to Date of action	
ine limit extended to bate of action	

a second s

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8487</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- _ work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

- Permission is hereby granted to Harry Schwartz, owner, and Louis Schwartz, lessee, to erect and operate a retail poultry market, including the slaughtering of a maximum of 200 fowl daily on the premises, and including the maintenance of a maximum of 150 live fowl in metal batteries, on a portion of Pueblo Lot 239, accord-ing to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the North side of Midway Dr., West of Riley St., in Zones C and R-4, on the following conditions:
 - No fowl to be kept alive on the premises more than 24 hours; 1.
 - To be kept entirely within the building; 2.
 - Watering of fowl to be permitted, but no feeding; Subject to the regulations of the Health Department. 3.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ August 23______, 1950_ FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4913

pplication Received _____ 8-3-5 By City Planning Department vestigation made <u>8- - 3 - 50</u> By City Planning Department ecision approved by Zoning Committee 8-23-50 Hearing date______ Date 8-23-50 opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8. 24-50 Building Inspector 8- 25-50 anning Commission 8 - 25 - 50 Petitioner 8 - 24 - 50 Health Department 8 - 25 - 50 ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date ____ ecision of Council ______ esolution becomes effective Date pplication withdrawn Continued to Date of action ime limit extended to e comentos 1,3,400,01,02,02,52 3 idwhy 50 T CAUBY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5490</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sidney P. & Adelaide L. Vaughn to erect a garage across lot line where only one residence and accessory buildings may be erected and no sideyard, on Lot 11 and portion of Lot 12, in Block 3, of Mission Hills Subdivision, according to legal description on file in the Office of the Planning Department of the City of San Diego, located at 4332 Hermosa Way, San Diego, California, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______, 19_____, 19______ NORM 2145 ______, 50

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 4914

tion Received <u><u><u>8-4-5</u></u></u>	By City Planning Department
gation made <u>8- 73-53</u>	By Plan, Jones, Dickel, &
	The sine date
n approval f Resolution sent to City Clerkg	Date 8
ing Commission $\delta - 25 - 5^{\circ}$ Pe	etitioner 8 Health Department 8
on of Council	Date
cation withdrawn limit extended to	Continued to Date of action
Comment and the state of the state	
tar and the second s	
	3
	and the second parts of the second
	1 Jet
2.	it nost
	let
C &	· · · · ·
01	
FILTURA	R
FLEURA	R.
ALTURA	PL.
ALTURA	R.
ALTURA	R.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sidney P. and Adelaide L. Vaughn to erect a garage with zero setback where the average setback is 17 feet 6 inches, on Lot 11 and portion of Lot 12, in Block 3, of Mission Hills Subdivision, according to legal description on file in the Office of the Planning Department of the City of San Diego, located at 4332 Hermosa Way, San Diego, California, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 4915

ORM 2145

ated_

August 23, 19_50
7/17/0 11
Deplication Received B-4-50 By City Planning Department
vestigation made 8-2-50 By aller pres Dikef Durton
nsidered by Zoning Committee 8-23-50 Hearing date
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-2/-50 Building Inspector 8-75-50
anning Commission 8- >5- 50 Petitioner 8- >7-50 Health Department 8- >5-50 peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
solution becomes effective Continued to
plication withdrawn Continued to ne limit extended to Date of action

and the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8288</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. G. Knoepp to divide and build two units on the Westerly 120 ft. of the Southerly 50 ft. of Lot 7, Block F, Starkey's Prospect Park, located at 6731 Draper St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated August 23 , 150

By

XSecterary

ORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4916

Application Received 8-7-50	_ By City Planning Department
	(nn ()) () () () ()
vestigation made	- By llen, ones likes, her
	City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 8	Hearing date
ecision Charana Contract 2-23-	Date 8 - 23 - 50
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-2.	5-50 Building Inspector 8- 75-50
anning Commission - 5/5-50 Petitio	ner 8
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
lecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

State of the state of the

and the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7208</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anna Jedlick, owner, and Boney's, Inc., lessee, to build and operate an auto parking lot in connection with an existing market, on the Northerly 55 ft. of Lots 5 and 6, Block 87, E. W. Morse's Subdivision, 2979 "C" St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

 A 5 ft. fence or wall to be erected back of the setback line, 4 ft. from the West lot line; that portion of the fence within the setback area to be not higher than 3 ft.;

 A fence at least 3 ft. in height to be erected across the rear of the parking lot;

3. The entire area to be black-topped;

4. A legal driveway to be installed at the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated <u>August 23</u>, 1950

ORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

By

Res. No. 4917

60/	
Pplication Received <u>8-7-50</u> By Gitte Planning Department	
City Planning Department	
Ivestigation made By llen, ane wickel, lee	rt
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>8-73-50</u> Hearing date ecision on the proval Date 8-23-50 Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-24-50</u> Building Inspector <u>8-75-50</u> Ianning Commission 8-25-50 Petitioner 8-74-50 Health Department 8-75-50	
ecision approval Date 8-23-50	
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-24-50 Building Inspector 8-25-50	
lanning Commission 8-25-50 Petitioner 8-74-50 Health Department 8-75-50	
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
ecision of Council Date	
esolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn Continued to	
me limit extended to Date of action	

the last market are sense if the sense

Site . A te A H State parts

a stand of a stand of

and the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8500</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**NOT**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**NOT**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred A. and Dorothy L. DePauli to construct two four-car garages with no sideyard, according to the sketch submitted, on Lots 24 and 25, and the East 19-1/2 ft. of Lot 26, Block 255, Pacific Beach, 1258 Thomas St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated August 23 , 19 50

ORM 2145

Secretecy

Zoning Engineer

By_

pplication Received	By
	City Planning Department
Avestigation made	By Man Diskel with
	City Planning Department
Onsidered by Zoning Committee 8-73-5	Bearing date
lecision approval 1	Date $\beta - \gamma \overline{\beta} - 50$ 2 Building Inspector $\beta - \gamma \overline{\beta} - 50$ $\beta - \gamma \overline{\beta} - 50$ Health Department $\beta - \gamma \overline{\beta} - 50$ Council Hearing, date
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-2/-3	2 Building Inspector 1-25-50
lanning Commission 8 - 25 - 5" Petitioner	8-27-3 Health Department 8-23-30
ppeal filed with City Clerk, dute	(outries =====,), ======, ====================
lecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	_ Date of action

-16 (199 (1 × 1

more as report who say a survey as a per-

and the second second

1. 44

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8488</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Salvatore Brancaleone to make five apartments where four now exist, by means of interior alterations, two units to be served by a 7-1/2 ft. access court, on Lot 18, Block 5, Cleveland Heights, 3744-1st Ave., Zone R-4, provided that a total of four off-street parking spaces for automobiles is provided on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated August 23 , 19 50

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4919

ORM 2145

Application Received <u>1-9-50</u>	- By City Planning Department B. / Bert
avestigation made	By City Planning Department
0	City Flamming Department
Dusidered by Zoning Committee 8- 73	-5 Hearing date//
prisider () approval	Date 6- 2 3-50
cuision ant to Caty Clerk & - 3	-50 Hearing date Date 8 - 2 3 - 50 Date 8 - 2 5 - 50 Date 8 - 50 D
opy of Resolution sent to city citik	Le 74-57 Hoolth Dopartment 1-25-50
lanning Commission 8 - 75 - 5 Petitic	mer be the Health Department be to
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
legision of Collinci	Date
esolution becomes effective	
esolution becomes effective	Continued to
pplication withdrawn	Date of action
me limit extended to	Date of action

TOODT & OUT

CT PART CONS - 15

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8473</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. H. Diffenderfer to construct a fence, maximum of 6 feet in height, in front of setback line, with 3 feet about the street grade along Electric Avenue, on Lot 4, Block 14, of La Jolla Hermosa, at Southwest corner of Camino de la Costa and Electric Avenue, San Diego, California, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretarya

ated _____ August 23, , 19_50

ORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4920

Application Received 8-10-50 B	v - (1) / c Comel
application received	City Planning Department
	(200). / Due
avestigation made 8 - y 2 - 50 B	1 Several and the several
11 Zaning Compittee &	City Planning Department Hearing date Date 8 - 73 - 50 Building Inspector 8 - 75 - 50 - 57 Health Department 8 - 75 - 50 Council Hearing, date
onsidered by Zoning Committee 8 - 23 - 5	Date 0 23
ecision coprover to City Clerk - X4- 5	Building Inspector Row 5- 50
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Health Department 8 - 25 - 5
lanning Commission by Clerk date	Council Hearing, date
Pecision of Council	Date
as of the second seriective	
opligation withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

an appropriation of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>504</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herbert A. Cordes to construct a garage and workshop 520 feet in area, with an 18 inch rear yard and an 18 inch side yard, on Lot 7, Block 9, of Inspiration Heights, at 4111 Couts Street, Zone R-1.

A varance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

bted _____ August 23, ____ , 19____50

By_

$\neg \cdot / \neg \cdot / \neg \cdot \rangle$
plication Received <u>8-10-50</u> By -/. City Planning Department
A O R MR
vestigation made <u>8 - 7 3 - 50</u> By <u>Allen, menopickel</u> , Sur City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee 8. 23-50Hearing date
cision ceptional Date 8-23-50
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8 - 2 - 50 Anning Commission 8 - 25 - 50 Petitioner 8 - 29 - 50 Health Department 8 - 25 - 50 Health Department 8 - 25 - 50
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
solution becomes effective Continued to
Plication withdrawn Continued to Date of action

State 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8502</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Blanche Moore, owner, and J. A. Nesbitt, purchaser, to convert the second floor of an existing single family residence to a second living unit, making a total of two living units on the Westerly 50 ft. of Lots 11 and 12, Block 26, West End Addition, 2801-2803 Capps St., Zone R-2, on the condition that surfaced off-street parking space for two automobiles be installed and maintained on the property within 120 days.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated _____ August 23 , 19_50

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

	7/1/////
pplication Received	By 7. City Planning Department
Collpation made	By <u>allew</u> , and <u>Dipel</u>
nsidered by Zoning Committee _ 9 - + 3	Date 8
Py of Resolution sent to/City Clerk 8->4-	5° Building Inspector <u>85-5°</u> 85° Health Department 85-5°
cision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
solution becomes effective	_ Continued to
ne limit extended to	Date of action

An and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Ralph H. & Bertha V. Weaver to erect a ten foot by four foot addition to an existing garage which will have three and one-half foot side yard and one foot rear yard, and which garage will be less than 70 feet from the front property line, on the West 50 feet of Lots 1 and 2, and the West 50 feet of the North 5 feet of Lot 3, Block 66, of W. P. Herbert's Subdivision, at 4493 -38th Street, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No.8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

August 23, ' 19 50

ated_

ORM 2145

Secretary

the approximation of the

and the state of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>necessary</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Evelyn M. Carter to construct a 14 foot by 17 foot pergola with no sideyard, and attach to a residence, approximately 25 feet from the front property line, on Lot 12 of Block 4 in Paradise Hills, at 5748 Potomac Street, Zone R-1. ? R-2

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August 23, , 19 50

ated.

ORM 2145

By

Secretary Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4924

	By T. a / council
pplication Received 8-14-50	By City Planning Department
	F A R AR
vestigation made <u>9- y 3 - 50</u>	By Cellen, Jones Diskel, Der
	City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Complittee 8	e-oHearing date_/
ecision py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-24-</u>	Date 8 - 22 - 50
Dpy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-24-	SOBuilding Inspector <u>8 - 25 - 50</u>
Anning Commission 8 - 75 - 50 Petitione	r 8- Vr - 3° Health Department X - 75 - 3°
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

- rai strature

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>515</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Eeward C. Taylor to convert an apartment over a garage to a dental office where an existing building has a three foot rear yard, on the West 75 feet of Lot 1 of Block 12, in Brookes Addition, at 305 Brookes, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated_____August 23____, 19_50

ORM 2145

By_

SecretaryZoning Engineer

pplication Received $\underline{\$ - 14 - 50}$ By)/ //
City Planning Department Insidered by Zoning Committee 8-73-50 Hearing date City Planning Department Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-21-50 Building Inspector 9-25-50 Inning Commission 8-25-50 Petitioner 8-21-50 Health Department 8-25-50 Peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Pipeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Pipeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Polication withdrawn Continued to	pplication Received <u>8-14-50</u> B	y City Planning Department
Insidered by Zoning Committee g_{-3} and g_{-3} bearing date Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk g_{-3} building Inspector g_{-3} bu	vestigation made B B	allen Dickel But
Peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date cision of Council Date solution becomes effective Plication withdrawn Continued to	Confine a -	Hooping data
Peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date cision of Council Date solution becomes effective Plication withdrawn Continued to	Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-21-50</u> Py of Resolution	Building Inspector <u>9 - 25 - 50</u> 8 - 27 - 50 Health Department 8 - 25 - 50
Plication withdrawn Continued to	ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council riearing, date
	solution becomes effective	
me limit extended to Date of action	me limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8255</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Blanche E. Dulany to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1285, known as Arbitrary Lot No. 39 in Assessor's Map 33, and according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on La Jota Way (alley), with no frontage on a dedicated street, to be located 12 ft. from the center line of said alley, and to have a rear yard of 4 ft., on the following condition:

That access be provided to Roseland Dr. from the above property, and a street address maintained on Roseland Dr.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, and No. 8924, Section 8a and Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated _____ August 23 , 19_50

ORM 2145

By_

Secretary

application Received 8-14-50 By	City Planning Department
vestigation made By	allen Jones Diskel Burt
	City Flaming Department
Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-3-53 Bu	earing date
cision MoviFied + CONDL. APPROVAL Da	te 8-23-50
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-30-50 Bu	ilding Inspectors - 30 - 50
Inning Commission & - 30- 30 Petitioner &-	Health Department 8- 3
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	uncil Hearing, date
ccision of Council Da	ite
solution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn Co	ntinued to
me limit extended to Da	te of action

J

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8489</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. and Edna McClure to divide into a building site, move a building thereon and convert to living quarters, being the Northerly 295.60 ft. of the Easterly 127.52 ft. of Lot 21, Eureka Lemon Tract, 3545 Ticonderoga St., Zone R-1, on the condition that the owner grant to the City an easement for the widening of Ticonderoga St. to its full width.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated _____ August 23 , 19 50

ORM 2145

By_

Secretary

plication Received $\underbrace{P- + 3 - 50}_{\text{City Planning Department}}$ restigation made $\underbrace{P- + 3 - 50}_{\text{City Planning Department}}$ restigation sent to City Clerk $\underbrace{P- + 3 - 50}_{\text{Date}}$ Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underbrace{P- + 5 - 50}_{\text{Petitioner}}$ restigation $\underbrace{P- + 3 - 50}_{\text{Petitioner}}$ Puning Commission $\underbrace{P- + 5 - 50}_{\text{Petitioner}}$ Peal filed with City Clerk, date $\underbrace{P- + 5 - 50}_{\text{Date}}$ Council Hearing, date $\underbrace{P- + 5 - 50}_{\text{Date}}$		11 1 1/ 1/ 11
City Planning Department Assidered by Zoning Committee 8 - 23 - 50 Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8 - 25 - 50 Phaning Commission 8 - 25 - 50 Peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Cision of Council Date	plication Received <u>8- 73- 50</u> H	
cision of Council Date		City Planning Department
cision of Council Date	nsidered by Zoning Committee 8- 23-5-	2 Hearing date
cision of Council Date	py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8- > 5-5	Building Inspector 8. 25-50
cision of Council Date	peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
iolisti 1 somes offective	cision of Council	_ Date
plication withdrawn Continued to	plication withdrawn	
ne limit extended to Date of action	ne limit extended to	_ Date of action

++-++

1 1 1 m

and and any talk it was a read

×

+

1. 1. A . A. A. A.

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8449</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James L. and Doris D. Reno, and to Jess B. and Virginia Poor, to build gasoline pumps and islands with a 15 ft. setback, on Lots 14 through 21, G. A. Bush Addition, 3908 Seventh Ave., Zone C, on the condition that an Agreement is signed by the owners to the effect that all construction will be removed to a point back of the normal setback line (23.01 ft.) at their own expense, when and if requested to do so by the City for street widening purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1212, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

By_

6A

, 19 50

Dated

ORM 2145

August

23

plication Received By	City Planning Department
vestigation made 8-73-5-0 By	// Only I landing Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>8-73-55</u> Hear cision <u>Concell</u> . <u>Approved</u> Date py of Resolution sent to <u>City</u> Clerk <u>8-78-58</u> Build nning Commission 8-78-55 Petitioner 8-55 peal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Cour</u> cision of Council <u>Date</u> solution becomes effective	ling Inspector $1 - 28 - 30$ Health Department 8 - 28 - 50 Health date
Plication withdrawn Cont	inued to

a state

11

RESOLUTION NO. 4929

Letter dated August 14, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4902, which amended Resolution No. 3125, which amended Resolution No. 1993, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louise E. Butler, owner, and Mr. and Mrs. Robert E. Hickman, lessees, only, to operate a beauty parlor, full-time, on Lot 1, Block 31, West End Addition, 3446 Ray St., on the following conditions:

Business to be operated within the existing building;
 L employee;
 This permit to expire on June 30, 1951.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated August 23 , 1950

Secretary

ORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By

plication Received _ 8-15-50	By City Planning Department
vestigation made	C'UR MA
	Hearing date
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>8- 73- 3</u> cision copprone	Date $3 - 73 - 50$
cision epprova	Duilding Inspector & Signation
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-2/2	Building Inspector $8 - 75 - 50$ er $8 - 79 - 50$ Health Department $8 - 75 - 50$
inning Commission 7 - 75 - 5 Petition	er / Health Department
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action
	A MARCAR A STATE AND A STATE A

The second of the second second provide the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8516</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Solomon to erect 25 linear ft. of 2 ft. 6 in. redwood lattice on top of an existing 5 ft. concrete block wall on the East lot line of Lot 7, Block 22, West End Addition, 3555-29th St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal ^s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 23 , 19 50

Secretary

	V in fine
plication Received 8-15-50 By	ait
	City Planning Department
	() R
estigation made By	and the second s
	City Planning Department
asidered by Zoning Committee 8- +3-50	Hearing date Date $l - \sqrt{3} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{3}}$ Building Inspector $\frac{1 - \sqrt{5} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{3}}}{\sqrt{3}}$ $l - \sqrt{4} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{3}}$ Health Department $8 - \sqrt{5} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{3}}$
cision approval /	Date 1
Dy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1- 79-50	Building Inspector 8-25-50
Aning Commission 8- 25-50 Petitioner	8 - ~ 4 - 50 Health Department 8 - ~ 5 - 50
Peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
dision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
ne limit extended to	Date of action

a. 12

D.1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8441</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wilbur R. and Margaret A. Clover to divide Lot 14, Block 77, La Jolla Park Villa Tract, 1253 Olivet St., Zone R-1, into two parcels and construct a single family residence on each, the Southerly parcel having 42 ft. street frontage on Mar Ave., on the condition that its rear lot line will be no less than 25 ft. from the existing dwelling.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By__

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated August 23 , 1950

ORM 2145

	111110.11
Application Received B -15-50 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By By	L A Q ID
Considered by Zoning Committee 8- 73 - 5 H Decision Di Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8- 24-50 Bi Planning Commission 8- 75 - 50 Petitioner 8- Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	ate $l = \nu 3 - 50$
Planning Commission 8- 25 -50 Petitioner 8-	
Decision of Council	Pate
	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	Date of action

control c

the second particle at the second sec

and and a strant the factors do to be a second of

but a manufact to the starter of a starter of

The second second

A set the set of the s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8506</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry L. and Sylvia Wilson, and Frank and Agnes Synek, to erect a single family residence and garage on the South 66 ft. of the North 1/2 of the North 1/2 of the Southeast 1/4 of Lot 29, Horton's Purchase, on the West side of Euclid Ave., approximately 760 ft. South of "A" St., Zone R-1, on the condition that an easement is granted to the City for the widening of Euclid Ave., according to the Major Street Plan.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Easement Greated)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

AGG 675

Dated____August 23_____, 1950___

FORM 2145

By_

SECTEMBLY

	_//////////////////////////////////////
Application Received I	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8 - v3 - 0</u> 1	By <u>Allen</u> , <u>me</u> , <u>City Planning Department</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	City Planning Department Thearing date Date 8 - v3 - 50 Date 8 - v3 - 50 Building Inspector 8 - v5 - 50 R - v7 - 50 Health Department 8 - v5 - 50 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

en norden sol in the second sol and the second solution in the second solution is a second solution of the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in the second solution is a second solution in the second solution in

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

4933

WHEREAS, Application No. 8532 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the	following	described prop	perty, Lot 52	rear 900	ft.	Block	-
----------	-----------	----------------	---------------	----------	-----	-------	---

Subdivision Eureka Lemon Tract

(Erma B. Taylor)

may be used for the erection and operation of a 171-unit trailer court,

subject to the following conditions

TENTATIVE APPROVAL

 Landscaping, to include a hedge at least 3 ft. high at the time of planting, to be installed and maintained around the entire trailer camp;
 A 5 ft. fence to be installed around the entire trailer camp;
 No portion of the camp to be occupied until that portion is fully developed according to the plans submitted, and according to the requirements of the City Ordinance and the conditions of this Resolution;
 Final approval of the plans to be given by the Planning Department Office;
 This permit to expire on June 30, 1955.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated August 23 19450

ARTICRETHAL DECOMPLET

1 2144

By Zoning Engineer

ACCEPTED.


(1,1)
Application Received 8-16-50 By 7. W. Council
City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-73-50 By llee City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8- 7-3 - 5-3 Hearing date
Decision Date 8 - 7 3 - 50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8 - 7 5 - 50 Building Inspector 8 - 75 - 50
Planning Commission 8-25-50 Petitioner 8-25-50 Health Department 8-25-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Resolution becomes effective
Application Withdrawn

the server and the point sector and another open autorase

ALA STATIO

Thinks I and so Tuskish a pay

(mint " Istier)

that the following described premitive fee. by monty you we

or injurious to the improvements of property in the neighborhood; and

FOLS 22 IF REPOLYED BY THE YORING COMMITTIE.

subject to the fullowing sonditions?

and another and the state of the second and the state of the state of the second second

A Dust the pression of the application will not adversely affect the Marces Plan of the City of

They the granting of the application will the materially detrimented to the public welface

a construction property a this of the perificant presented by which property durant to the same successly, and 1. That the gravitation of the supplication is a mercanary for the enjoyment and pressure of of

her been considered by the Zoning Committee of the Caty of

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Erma B. Taylor to build a trailer court on the rear 900 ft. of Lct 5, Eureka Lemon Tract, Zones C . and R-4, to accomodate 171 trailers, on the following conditions; being on the East side of Highway 101, approximately 400 ft. North of Bunker Hill St .:

- Landscaping, to include a hedge at least 3 ft. high at the 1. time of planting, to be installed and maintained around the entire trailer camp; A 5 ft. fence to be installed around the entire trailer camp;
- 2.
- No portion of the camp to be occupied until that portion is 3. fully developed according to the plans submitted, and accord-ing to the requirements of the City Ordinance and the conditions of this Resolution:
- Final approval of the plans to be given by the Planning Department 4. Office;
- This permit to expire on June 30, 1955. 5.

(over)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ August 23 , 19 50

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4934

	- / I/-
	Vantina
	By P. J. Burton
plication Received	_ Dy City Planning Department
	Leen C. C. IK.
restigation made 8- 73- 50	City Planning Department
asidered by Zoning Committee 8 - + 3 -	Softearing date Date 8 - 73 - 50
cision and sprand	Date 8 - 73 - 50
by of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-73	-SoBuilding Inspector 8-25-50
inning Commission 8 - >5 - 50 Petition	er 8
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ision of Council	Date
olution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
ne limit extended to	Date of action

· · ·

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8493</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Benjamin and Sylvia Gottfryd to erect a residence and garage, with a ten foot setback, on Lots 142 and 143, of Block 2, in Resubdivision of Blocks 1 to 12, Fairmount, located at east side of Estrella Street 100 feet south of University Avenue, in Zone R-4.

> A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted _____ August 23 ____, 19_50

RM 2145

By_

Secretary Zoning Ingineer

Res. No. 4935

, / * //
pplication Received B-16-50 By fair this
City Planning Department
vestigation made 8- 23-50 By llleen Jones, Cickel Just
City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 8-23-53 Hearing date
Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{8-24-52}{8-24-52}$ Building Inspector $\frac{8-25-52}{8-24-52}$ Health Department $\frac{8-25-52}{8-24-52}$
"Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-24-50 Building Inspector 2-25-50
anning Commission 8-25-50 Petitioner 8-27-50 Health Department 8-25-50
PPeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ccision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

the state of the part of the

RESOLUTION NO. 4936

WHEREAS, Application No. 8487 has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. lifornia, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4913, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry Schwartz, owner, and Louis Schwartz, lessee, to erect and operate a retail poultry market, including the slaughtering of a maximum of 200 fowl daily on the including the slaughtering of a maximum of 200 fowl daily on the premises, and including the maintenance of a maximum of 150 live fowl in metal batteries, on a portion of Pueblo Lot 239, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the North side of Midway Dr., West of Riley St., in Zones C and M-1, on the following conditions:

- No fowl to be kept alive on the premises more than 24 hours: 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- To be kept entirely within the building; Watering of fowl to be permitted, but no feeding; Subject to the regulations of the Health Department. 4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Sectation

ated August 23 , 19 50

RM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By

pplication Received <u>8-3-50</u> By City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>8-73-50</u> By <u>ellen</u> , <u>ourse</u> , <u>Dirkel</u> , <u>Purts</u> Insidered by Zoning Committee <u>8-73-50</u> Hearing date City Planning Department Date <u>8-73-50</u> Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-1-50</u> Inning Commission <u>9-1-50</u> Fetitioner <u>9-1-50</u> Health Department <u>9-150</u>
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Usion of Council Date
solution becomes effective Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

1 1 1

en en sale me

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lloyd E. Griffin to construct a 10 ft. by 14 ft. addition to a duplex on Lot B, Block 40, Mission Beach, 817 Deal Court, Zone R-4, the setback to be the average of the two adjoining buildings.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Ated August 23 , 19 50

By___

Sectorary

RM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4937

	(D·9) 2
pplication Received <u>1-21-50</u> H	By City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>8. 73 - 5</u> I	By <u>lleen</u> , James, Die Bei City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee 8- 73-3	Date (3 - 50
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-27-50	Date 8 3 - 50 2 Building Inspector 8 5 - 50 8 50 Health Department 8 5 - 50 Council Hearing data
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
solution becomes effective	Continued to
plication withdrawn me limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>S440</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is here by granted to Burgener-Tavares Co. to divide into 4 parcels, and to build two houses on each of Lots 17 & 18 of Unit B, South Pacific, two facing Pacific View Drive, and two facing on Electric Avenue, according to plat submitted, and the building permits for the lots facing Electric Avenue to be held up until such time as the Zoning Committee has approved the lots for building after the lots are filled. (In Zone R-1).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

September 6 1950

By_

FORM 2145

Dated_

plication ReceivedB	A-W. Dr & Connell City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>P-6-50</u> By	Lancoster Jones Bishel Burton City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee 2-23-50 cision Confl Aranter approval.	Hearing date
reision Could Scanter approval. Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>97-10</u> Inning Commission Petitioner	Date 9-6-50 Building Inspector 9-8-50
inning Commission Petitioner	
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn me limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

· · · · · ·

4.,

to prove the to a sub- sub-

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Virginia P. Dollard to move in a Mobilhome to be used as a caretaker's cottage, single family residence now being on the lot, being a Portion of Pueblo Lot 177¹, according to the legal description on file in the Office of the Planning Department, at 6015 La Jolla Scenic Drive, in Zone R-1, maintaining a setback of a minimum of 15 feet and as much greater as is practical on this property facing on La Jolla Shores Scenic Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ September 6,, 19_50

FORM 2145

By Zoning Engineer Secretary

	G .	PODE
lication Received	9-7-50	By P. B. Burton City Planning Department
	9-6-50	By Lancester Jones Brikel Buston
		City Planning Department
sidered by Zoning (Committee <u>9-6-50</u>	Hearing date Date 9-6-5 ²⁰
ision approve	to City Clerk <u>9-7-1</u>	² Building Inspector <u>9-8-5</u> ²
min - Commission	Petition	er nealth Department (3)
eal filed with City Cl	erk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
ision of Council	ctive	Date
lication withdrawn		Continued to
e limit extended to		Date of action
NE'	4 PL1774	
-		
		in the second
the state of the s	LA RANCHO	RD RD
LAJOL	6 ret Mar / Vice (10	
		1
11		RP
		in the framework of the second
	Contraction of the second s	CENIC
10.	LA JOLLA	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
. //		
1		
		and the second second submer have a
		XX
NO		
		and the second sec
		A
2 and		- 14
BISCANO		5E1/4 PL 1774
Bra		
/		55

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are_ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kyle F. and Claire Williamson to erect a single family residence with a 12 ft. 6 in. rear yard, on Lot 2, Block 24, Valencia Park Unit No. 2, on the Southeast side of Encina Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

September 6 , 19 50 ated ____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

ORM 2145

The second se	
plication Received _ 8-18-50 B	y D.W. Mc Connell
	City Planning Department
restigation made <u>2-6-</u> B	y Lancester, Jones, Bickel & Buston City Planning Department
ingation made	City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>9-6-50</u> cision <u>Comparent</u> Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-7-50</u>	Hearing date
vision allegoval	Date 9-6-50
by of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-7-50	Building Inspector 9-8-50
Inning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9-8-5-9
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
pilcation withdrawn	Date of action
ne limit extended to	Date of action

. The second se

Pre la catal de

1-----

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>3555</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Antonette Purdy to relocate residence which is encroaching on city property, the new location of residence to have a <u>O' rear yard where a 15' rear yard is required</u>, on Lots 13 and 14 in Block 28, of Ocean Beach Park Annex, approximately 250' easterly of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By____

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated _______ , 19_50 ORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

pplication Received 8-28-50	By 3. W. We Connell
	City Planning Department
vestigation made 9- 6- 50	By Mrs Buston + Mrs Hoeling
Guiron	City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee 9-6-5	Pearing date
veision ratified gover	Date 9-6-50
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-14-	5° Building Inspector
unning Commission Petition	er Health Department
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>525</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harriet M. O'Connor to erect an addition to an existing residence, which will have a 10 foot rear yard, according to sketches submitted, on Lot 10 of Block SO, in the Resubdivision of Block SO of Park Villas, at 3520 Arnold Avenue, in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

lated ______ September 6, _____, 19_50

Zoning Engineer Secreteryx

CRM 2145

pplication Received _ F-21-5 By	City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>9-6-50</u> By	Lancester Jones Bichel Burton
Isidered by Zoning Committee 9-6-50	Hearing date
cision approves	Date 9-6-50
cision approved get of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-2-50</u>	Building Inspector 9-8-5-0
uning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9 - 8 - 10
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
ne limit extended to	Date of action

ï

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edith C. and J. Walde Malmberg, to divide all of Lot 13 (except the southeasterly 20 feet) and all of lots 14, 15, and 16, of Block C, Cabrillo Terrace, into three building sites, with permission to erect a single family residence, one on each of the three building sites, according to the plat on file in the Office of the Planning Department, at the southeasterly side of Leroy Street, lying southerly of 3275 Trumbull Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

(and on Septer Decord UNIG-1940 PECORDED UNIG-1940

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^{©v}oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ⁱ×th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal [®] filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

⁰^ated <u>September 6</u>, 19<u>5</u>0 ⁰_{RM 2145} By Zoning Engineer Secretery

Res. No. 4943

	y City Planning Department
estigation made 9-6-5° By	y Lancaster Jours Bichel + Buston City Planning Department
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-2-10</u> nning Commission Petitioner peal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 9-6-50 Building Inspector 9-8-50 Health Department 8-8-50
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

dine the ana st +

* 12 S (25.1

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8541</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles Wood and Lois R. Wood (Lois R. Helzer) to erect an apartment above an existing garage which has no sideyard, the apartment to observe the necessary sideyard, on Lots 33 and 34, Block F, South La Jolla, 427 Westbourne St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

lated _____September 6____, 19_50

ORM 2145

5

Segretery

Zoning Engineer

By

	y <u>AW Mc Connell</u> City Planning Department
westigation made <u>7-6-5</u> B	y Lanote, Jones, Bichel + Butor Erty Planning Department
Provide $\frac{9-6-5^{\circ}}{2}$ with the solution sent to City Clerk $\frac{9-7-5^{\circ}}{2}$ provide $9-7-5^{$	Hearing date Date 9-6-5" Building Inspector 9-8-5" Health Department 9-8-5"
solution becomes effective plication withdrawn me limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8523</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. E. Koeppe to construct a single family residence with a 178 sq. ft. open porch, resulting in .9% excess coverage, on Lots 5 and 7, Block 6, First Addition to South La Jolla, on the South side of Belvedere St., 100 ft. East of Monte Vista St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated <u>Septembor 6</u>, 19_50 ORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 4945

Zoning Engineer

By_

plication Received 8-22-50 B	y <u>EC Van Hus</u> City Planning Department
restigation made $\underline{2-6-5^{\circ}}$ B	y Lucaster Jour Bile Buter City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>9-6-50</u> cision py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-2-50</u>	Hearing date Date 9-6-50 Building Inspector 9-8-50
peal filed with City Clerk, date	realth Department 7-8 9
cision of Council	_ Date
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

١

8 av 8

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>materially</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to</u> the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Minsbeth R. Adhine and George Rez Babican to divide into two percels with the right to build or maintain not more than one single family residence on soch percel, percel No. 1 being the Westerly 60 feet of Lots 1 and 2. Mook 7. First Addition to South Le Jella, and percel No. 2 being the Masterly 50' of Lots 1 and 2. Moch 7. First Addition to South Le Jella, located at the east side of Nonie Vista Avenue, between Belvedere Street and Fern Glan, in Sone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3058 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to he particulars stated above, incofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA By_____

Dated September 6, , 19

ideredry Jonin' Countries 9-6-r

ston ALDROVA DEDO 9-6-

FORM 2145

Secretary

 stion Received 8-22-50
 By E. C. Van Hise

 Sation made 9-6-50 by Lancaster, Jones, Birkel & Burton

 red by Zoning Committee 9-6-50

 an Approval Date 9-6-50

 Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-7-50

 Building Inspector 9-8-50

and a start that in the start of the property engine and the

Health Department 9-5-50

all control will the provide a second of the second second the second second by

and an energy of the termination of the providence of the second of the

-C -may-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8430</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Slifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elizabeth R. Adkins and George Rex Rubicam to divide into two parcels and permit construction of two units on each parcel, parcel No. 1 being the Westerly 50' of Lots 11 and 12, and Parcel No. 2 being the Easterly 60' of Lots 11 and 12 of Elock 7 of First Addition to South La Jolla, located at the west side of La Jolla Boulevard between Fern Glen and Belvedere Street, in Zone R-2, on the condition that the average setback be maintained on Fern Glen and on Belvedere Streets, and that the setback on La Jolla Boulevard be the average of the two adjoining blocks, but in any event not under 10 feet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 245 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal § filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated September 6, , 1950

Sond a Countietee 9-8

THE BURNEY

ORM 2145

 cation Received 8-22-50
 by E. ^C. Van Hise

 tigation made 9-6-50 by Lancaster, Jones, Birkel & Burton

 dered by Zoning Committee 9-6-50

 don
 Conditional Approval

 Date 9-6-50

 of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-7-50
 Building Inspector 9-8-50

 Health Department 9-8-50



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8460</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles and Rosa Elget to erect a garage incidental to a residence, with no sideyard, on Lot 18, except the Northerly 1/2 thereof, Avalon Heights, on the West side of Hawk St., North of Avalon Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Vated _____ September 6___, 19.50

......

XSecretary

Res. No. 4948

pplication Received 8-24-5° By	H. M. Mc Connell City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>7-6-50</u> By	Lancaster Jones Bighel + Burton City Planning Department
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-6</u> anning Commission Petitioner	Hearing date Date &- & - 5 ²⁰ Building Inspector <u>9 - 8 - 5²⁰</u> Health Department 9 - 5 ²⁰ Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6789</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby granted to Madeline D. Smith to divide Lot 1, Mission Hills No. 2, according to the legal description on file in the Office of the Planning Department, into two parcels, with the right to erect or maintain not more than one single family residence on each parcel, on the condition that any dwellings to be erected on the property shall provide not less than ten feet distance between buildings.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be [©]voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the *th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ September 6 ____, 19_50

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res No. 4949

pplication Received B	y _ J. W. Mc Connecc City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>9-6-5</u> B	y Lencestry Jones, Bichel + Burton Onty Planning Department
insidered by Zoning Committee $\frac{9-6-5^{\circ}}{2-5^{\circ}}$ ecision Conditional Opproval opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{9-8\cdot5^{\circ}}{2-8\cdot5^{\circ}}$ inning Commission Petitioner opeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 9-6-5° Building Inspector 9-8-5° Health Department 8-8-5°
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

441 - 18 -

det and the state of a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8553</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Calvin C. and Lee Johnson to erect a triplex in addition to two existing single family residences, the four units to be served by a six foot access court, on Lots 36, 37, 35 and 39 in block 63, of E. W. Morse's Subdivision, located at 2838 and 2852 "C" Street, in Zone E-H, on the condition that the owner sign an agreement to the effect that the aforesaid four lots would be retained in one ownership and not divided, and that a surfaced area for off-street parking for five cars be maintained on the property; these conditions to apply until such time as any parcel proposed to be divided shall by itself comply with the ten foot access court requirement and the off-street parking requirement, as evidenced by this Resolution or by any City Ordinance requiring off-street parking.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Sa. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. AGG 676

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ September 6 , 1950__

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 4950

FORM 2145

plication Received 8-25-50 By	<u>A.M. M.C. Connell</u> City Planning Department
8	Lancaster, Jones, Bishel & Burton City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>9-6-50</u> cision Conditional approval py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-8-50</u>	Hearing date
cision Confitional approval	Date 9-6-50
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-8-50	Building Inspector <u>9-2-50</u>
retitioner	Health Department 7 - 4 30
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

The second and

.3+1--

(1) " and a surface of the local design of

and a consideration of the state of the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8567</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section to of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby granted to Fred D. and Louise M. Norris to construct a single family residence with a 1 ft. setback on Lot 5 and the Westerly 1/2 of Lot 6, Block J, Resubdivision of a portion of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, on the Southwesterly side of Dolphin Pl., approximately 160 ft. Southerly of Coral Lane, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated_

FORM 2145

Septe

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

	. 19	By_					1.0
mber 6	50			Secretary			
	10	f		XXXXXX			
-		Zoning	Engineer		Res.	No.	4951
pplication Received <u>8-28-50</u> By							
---	---						
westigation made <u>9-6-50</u> By	Lancaster Jones Birkel + Burton						
cision py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-50</u>	Hearing date Date 9-650 Building Inspector 9-8-50						
Petitioner Petitioner	Health Department 7-8-5°						
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date						
solution becomes effective							
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action						

1

A set of the set of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8554</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Priscilla Ferry to erect a residence, garage and a 5 ft. 6 in. grape stake fence, with a 1 ft. setback, on Lot 4, Block J, Resubdivision of a Portion of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, on the Southwesterly side of Dolphin Pl., approximately 130 ft. Southeast of Coral Lane, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 6 , 1950

FORM 2145

By__

Zoning Engineer

lication Received <u>8-26-50</u> By HC Haelerg City Planning Department
stigation made F-6-50 By Lancaster Jones Biskel & Buston CityPlanning Department
sidered by Zoning Committee <u>9-6-50</u> Hearing date sion <u>Compare</u> Date <u>9-6-50</u> y of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-2-50</u> Building Inspector <u>9-8-50</u>
ision Cepprovel Date 9-6-50
y of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-7-50</u> Building Inspector <u>9-8-50</u>
ning Commission Petitioner Health Department 9-8-56
eal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ision of Council Date
olution becomes effective
lication withdrawn Continued to
e limit extended to Date of action

an and the

WHEREAS, Application No. _____8559 ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. G. B. Wittman to construct an addition to an existing store building on Lots 5 and 6 of Block 1 of West End Subdivision, located at 3512 Ray Street, in Zone C, said addition to be not closer than five feet to the rear of the existing residence, and as shown on plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Ву___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ September 6.____, 19_50

Zo ming Engineer Freeerock

Res. No. 4953

plication Received 8-28-50 B	y <u>EC. Van Husa</u> City Planning Department
restigation made <u>9-6-50</u> B	y Laventer Jones Bishel + Buston
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-2-50</u> mning Commission Petitioner peal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date Building Inspector <u>9-8-50</u> Health Department <u>9-8-50</u>
cision of Council	Continued to Date of action

•

.

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8533</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^{Cali}fornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. I. C. Mackeown to construct a 12 ft. by 20 ft. stucco garage with no sideyard, on Lot 4, Block 76, Villa Tract, 1271 Virginia Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be to the stand the stand of the

ix The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the state of the day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>September 6</u>, 19_50

Secreteryc

Zoning Engineer

	11 7 1 -
pplication Received P-28-50 E	by E.C. Van Here
vestigation made <u>9-6-50</u> E	By Lancaster Jones Birkel & Buston City Planning Department
3	City Planning Department
Insidered by Zoning Committee 9-6-50	Hearing date
ecision approval	Date 9-6-50
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-2-5</u>	Building Inspector <u>9-8-50</u>
anning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9-8-50
opeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
veision of Council	Date
isolution becomes effective	
oplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

17.122

÷

. .

4965

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8557</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph Avoyer to build and operate two model homes in the new Subdivision of La Jolla Cliffs, on Lots 17 and 18, according to the legal description on file in the Office of the Planning Department, at La Jolla Shores Drive, 1500 feet southwest of Miramar junction with Highway 101, in Zone R-1, one house to be used as a tract office, with two 4'xS' signs for one year.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13455 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 6 , 1950

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 4954

plication Received	2-5° P.	E. Van Stere
mation Received	Ву	City Planning Department
estigation made $\underline{P-6}$	-50 By	Lancoster, Joney Bild Burton
		Criv Planning Department
isidered by Zoning Comm	ittee 3-6-50	Hearing date
cision approve	el anti-	Date 9-6-50
ov of Resolution sent to Ci	ty Clerk 9-8-50	Hearing date Date $9-6-5^{\circ}$ Building Inspector $9-8-5^{\circ}$
nning Commission	Petitioner	Health Department 9-8-50
peal filed with City Clerk, o		Council Hearing, date
cision of Council		Date
solution becomes effective		
plication withdrawn		Continued to
ne limit extended to		Date of action

630'

Sly. G. PL 1312

Sh.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8560</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph J. Virden to construct a single family residence observing a 7 foot setback on Albert Street, and a setback on Cypress Street of 0 feet, maintaining a four foot sideyard on the south lot line, of the North 50 feet of Lots 10, 11, and 12, and 13, in Block 231, of University Heights, at the sourthwest corner of Cypress Street and Albert Street, in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the parcitulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By__

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ September 6 , 19 50

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 4956

plication Received $\underbrace{\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{F} \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{J} \mathcal{B}}_{\text{By}}$	City Planning Department
restigation made <u>9-6-50</u> By	Lancaster Jones Birles + Burton City Planning Department
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-5-50</u>	Hearing date
cision approval	Date 9-6-50
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-7-50	Building Inspector 9-8-5
nning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9-8-50
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

1 4 Sec. 1

•

- 10

the second secon

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9530</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hustyn and Cecelia and Clement Macevicz, to erect covered patio and barbeque with O' sideyard where 4' is required, seventy feet back from the public sidewalk, on Lot 6 of Chalcedony Terrace, at 1643 Beryl Street. in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

^{Da}ted <u>September 6</u>, 19_50 ^{SORM 2145} By Zoning Engineer Sectors

Res. No. 4957

pplication Received By	<u>EC</u> Van Hese City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>9-6-50</u> By	Lancastes Jones Birkelt Buston City Planning Department
insidered by Zoning Committee $\frac{9-6-50}{C_{proved}}$ py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{9-2-50}{P_{proved}}$	Hearing date
cision approval	Date 9-6.50 9-8-50
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-1-10	Health Department 9-8-50
Linning Commission Fellilloner	Treatin Department 9-x-10
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

٠

.

WHEREAS, Application No. ________ __has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- __special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Verna G. Kelly to construct an epartment above an existing garage with an S foot rear yard, being five per cent overcoverage, the apartment to observe the required rear yard, on Lot G of Block 172 of Mission Beach Subdivision, at 725 Ostend Court, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be Any permission granted by this resolution date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the The permission granted by this resolution share clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated. September 6, , 1950 FORM 2145

Zoning Ingineer Secretary

plication Received 8-29-50 By EC. Van Hise City Planning Department	
City Planning Department	
vestigation made <u>9-6-5</u> By <u>Lancaster</u> former, <u>Birkef</u> + Benton City Planning Department	
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>9-6-50</u> Hearing date ecision <u>Approval</u> Date <u>9-6-50</u> py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-7-50</u> Building Inspector <u>9-8-50</u>	
cision Approval Date 9-6-50	
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-7-5 Building Inspector 9-8-5°	
anning Commission Petitioner Health Department 9-8-50	
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
ccision of Council Date	
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn Continued to	
me limit extended to Date of action	

the destruct

,

.

× +

N. M. Samera M. M.

1.1

e ser o in seguid

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8562</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marie Brenner to divide into two parcels and build one single family dwelling on each parcel, on a portion of Tueblo Lot 177, according to the legal description on file in the Office of the Planning Department, having 200 feet frontage on Reservans Street, being in the SOO Block on Reservans Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Sciender 6 , 19-50

By___

Zoning Incineer Secretary

plication Received 8 -278 - 50 By	
	City Planning Department
restigation made By	Lancester Jones Brikel & Buston City Planning Department
rision approval	Hearing date
wy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-8-5	Building Inspector 9-8 J
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Health Department
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action
Provente 100 des	
C. SWAT 10	
1 Prost	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
0	
10 2-	4
20	
71	a man and a start of the start of the
p2 178	and a second second second second
	and the second s
	i i oli
	yocht club
10	10 Jour
Boundary	
	100 AN
100-	Yo brit
Xer PL	177 PIEGO Brit
	SAN
N)r
C	
Lo l	N.
K	
L.	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8537</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section to of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>necessary</u> for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby DENIED to Esther J. Wilton (Esther J. Dotts) to convert the second floor of a single family residence into a second living unit and add an exterior stairway leading to the upper living unit, which would have approximately a 1 ft. sideyard, on the Northwesterly 50 ft. of Lot 7, Block 187, Middletown, at 3844 California St., Zone M-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed Hearing 9-28-1950

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-^truction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ¹×th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal ⁸ filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

^{Vated} <u>September 6</u>, 19_50 ^{NORM 2145}

Ву_____

Sacreser

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4960

Application Received	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-6-50	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $g-2-5^{\circ}$ Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>9-8-50</u> Health Department <u>8-8-50</u> Council Hearing, date <u>Date</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

S. Carrie

the start of the balance of the start of the

to be state to an in cost instance to be and

THE TO T TRUE

RESOLUTION NO. 99630

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RES 4960

That the appeal of Esther J. Wilton (Esther J. Dotts), 3844 California Street. from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying her permission to convert the second floor of a single family residence into a second living unit and add an exterior stairway leading to the upper living unit, which would have approximately a 1 ft. sideyard, on the Northwesterly 50 ft. of Lot 7, Block 187, Middletown, at 3844 California Street, in Zone M-1, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruld.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 99630 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Councifept. 28, 1950

FRED W. SICK

By...... Donald L. Steinert

City Clerk.

Deputy.

RM 1270 H - 12/48



RESOLUTION NO. 99630

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

a

Page is intentionally left blank.

That the au from the decisic second floor of exterior stairws a 1 ft. sideyard 3844 California



City of San Diego

3844 California Street, mission to convert the .ng unit and add an .uld have appreximately .k 187, Middletown, at .stained and said Zoning

FRED W. SICK City Clerk. By. Donald L. Steinert Deputy.

CON FORM 1270

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Pursuant to Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended, (zoning ordinance), NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that <u>Esther J. Dotts (Esther J.</u> <u>wilton), 3844 California Street, San Diego, California</u> has this <u>11</u> day of <u>September, 1950</u> FILED AN APPEAL from the decision of the ZONING COMMITTEE, covering <u>her</u> request for <u>variance on the NW1y 50' of Lot 7 Block 187 Middletown, Zone M-1</u> to convert a single family residence into second living unit Dated <u>9-11-50</u>. FRED W. SICK, City Clerk

By Fraten

Deputy.

(Hearing before Council set for 10:00 A.M. Thursday, September 28, 1950

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. H. Bond, Jr., to construct a single family residence on parcel split after soning, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1108, according to the legal description on file in the Flanning Department Office, located at the north side of Camino del Rio, approximately one-half mile west of Texas Street, in Zone R-1A; subject to the recent court action in eminent domain affecting this property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Soptember 6 ____ , 19_50

By _____ Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 4961

Hund
HII7d
Lundon of
new man be a sone
A Contractions
new consecons
4
4
4
4
L.).
P

.

•

RESOLUTION NO. 4962 DE RES 99631

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8524</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Vera R. Launder to construct a duplex facing Boundary St., making three living units on the lot, being Lot 7, Block G, Altadena, 3227 McKinley St., Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed Hearing 9-28-1950



Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>September 6</u>, ¹⁹ 50 FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 4962

Zoning Engineer

RESOLUTION NO. 99631 RES 4962

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Vera R. Launder, 2911 Bancroft Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 4962, application No. 8524 permission to construct a duplex facing Boundary Street, making three living units on the lot, being Lot 7, Block G, Altadena, 3227 McKinley Street, in Zone R-2, be, a it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

	FRED W. SICK
	City Clerk.
By.	Donald L. Steinert
COUNT FORM 1270	Deputy.
IOM - 12/48	
Date of action	imit extended to
Continued to	application withdrawn
And the second	esolution becomes effective
Date	lecision of Council
Council Hearing, date	oppeal filed with City Clerk, date
Health Department 8-8-50	lanning Commission Petitioner
Date 9-6-5-	ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-2-50
Hearing date	onsidered by Zoning Committee 9-6-50
City Department	
Lacator pros Bill + Buton	avestigation made 9-6-5- By
City Planning Department	
S.C. Non Hear	pplication Received 8-29-20 By

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leland W. and Marjorie Miller to erect a garage 31 feet 4 inches by 22 feet to be used as garage and home work-shop, with caretaker's sleeping room above, observing a nine foot rear yard, according to the plans submitted to the Planning Department Office and Zoning Committee, on Lot 9 in Block C, of La Jolla Country Club Heights Subdivision, at 7271 Carrizo Drive, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 6 , 1950

Zoning Ingineer Seerctary

Res. No. 4963

pplication Received	By P.R. Buston City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>7-6-50</u>	By Lancenter Jones Becket Beston
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>9-6-50</u> ecision approval opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-7-5</u>	_ Hearing date
ecision approval	Date 9-6-50
ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _ 9-7-5	Building Inspector <u>F-8-50</u>
anning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9-8-50
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

Same Service

1.4

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8538</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marvin D. Tarshes to construct a redwood board fence varying from 4 ft. to 5 ft. in height, in front of the setback line on Tarento Dr., Lot 8, Colonial Manor, 3847 Jennings St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 6 , 1950

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

pplication ReceivedEE	v P.D. Burton
prestigation made <u>9-6-50</u> E	By Lancester Jones Bishel + Benton Enty Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u><u>7</u>-6-5^o ecision opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7</u>-7-5^c Patitionar</u>	Hearing date
misidered by Zonnig Committee	Date 9-6-50
Cusion of Devolution cont to City Clerk 9-7-J	Building Inspector 9-8-50
anning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9-8 - 50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
recision of Council	Date
(esolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

. . .

÷

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8565</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Florence T. Levender to divide a parcel of land and build a single family residence thereon, said parcel not divided at time of zoning and without street frontage, being a Portion of Pueblo Lot 1280 (Assessor's Arbitrary No. 1-99-23), according to legal description on file in the Office of the Planning Department, located south of Avenida de la Playa and east of La Jolla Shores Drive in Zone R-1; on condition that there is a 20 foot easement to Avenida de la Playa, and a 60 foot easement on the south side of the property leading to La Jolla Shores Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section 12, and Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Book 1331 Date Content of the property described above.

Book 1331 Public Record Page 407

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the the day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 6 , 1950

By Zoning Engineer Secretery

Res. No. 4965

Application Received 8-30-50 By A.W. Connell City Planning Department Investigation made <u>9-6-50</u> By Lancaston ihel & Ben City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-6-50</u> Hearing date Decision Conditional approve Date 9-6-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-7-50</u> Building Inspector <u>9-8-50</u> Health Department 1-8-50 Petitioner Planning Commission Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to PLAYA AVENIDA DE LA pl so 251 60' PRINATE EASEMENT PASED DERADO

RESOLUTION NO. __4966

Letter dated August 31, 1950 has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, AppligationsNonof the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4500, dated March 8, 1950, be granted to F. Tudor Scripps, Jr. and Virginia Scripps, to divide into two parcels, 90 ft. by 150 ft. each, and to construct a single family residence on each, being the Easterly 90 ft. of Block L, La Jolla Park Villa Tract, at Soledad Ave. and Primrose Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 6 , 1950

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received H	By A. Abramean City Planning Department
Investigation made $9-6-50$ H	By Lancoster Jones Bishel & Benton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-6-50	_ Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9 - 7 - 5c$	Building Inspector 9-8-50
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9-9-5-5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

×

2

,

 .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8556</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Szalay to construct a single family residence on the South 140 ft. of Pueblo Lot 172, on the East side of Gage Lane, 400 ft. South of Dudley St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series; be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 6 , 19 50

Secretary

Zoning Engineer
				21 2 . A. A.	16-/10
Application Received	8-31-50	ByC	6 Van Ju	epartment	
Investigation made	9-6-50	By Lancos	ter, Jones,	Brikel + 1.	Buston
Considered by Zoning C Decision Copy of Resolution sent to Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Cle Decision of Council Resolution becomes effect	ommittee <u><u>1</u>-6 wowel to City Clerk <u>2</u>- Petitie erk, date <u>Petitie</u></u>	-50 Hearing d Date 9-6 -2-50 Building I oner Council H Date	ate	- 5- U	
Application withdrawn	······	Continued Date of ac	tion		
· + · · · ·					
A V A V					
2 1 S					
		- <u>1</u>			10
	7:5 				
		2.12	1		
0					ROGERS
2 million		6, 2			\uparrow
					° N

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bonnie Willcoxto erect a 2-car garage with an apartment above, on Lots 7 and 8 in Block 52, of Fairmount Addition, said property having 3 existing residential units at present, thus making a total of 4 living units, one of which will be served by a 6 foot access court; subject to the condition that three surfaced off-street parking spaces be provided on the lot for automobile parking back of the setback line, and not obstructing the required 10 foot access court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 6 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer Storetery

Res. No. 4968

Application Received 8-2-50 By	City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>9-6-50</u> By	Laucaster Jones Bichel + Burlow City Planning Department
pecision Conditional Committee <u>9-6-50</u> pecision Conditional Conference opp of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-8-50</u>	Hearing date Date 9-6-50 Building Inspector_ <u>9-8-50</u>
lanning Commission Petitioner	Health Department $\beta - \beta - 5^{\circ}$ Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Inte minit extended to	

1252

,

1000

and the second second

V Law St.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8527</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William A. and Josephine E. Gibson, to construct a 30 foot by 25 foot garage with sundeck, 3 foot railing, and to have no sideyard, approximately 64 feet from the front property line, and subject to architectural approval by the Planning Department on Lot 16, Block A, of Sunset Crest, at 1304 Alexandria Drive, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>September 6</u>, 19 <u>50</u> FORM 2145

Zoning Ingineer

Secretary

Nes. No. 4969

pplication Received By	City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>9-6-50</u> By	Lancaster, Jones, Bichel, Buston City Planning Department
insidered by Zoning Committee <u>9-6-50</u> cision Confiterial approval	Hearing date Date 9-6-50 Building Inspector 9-8-50 Health Department 8-8-50
cision of Council	Date
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

μ.

1 4

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8566</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORES BEXIX RESOLVED, REALBY THE Zaning Committee Kotobe Sity of San Diegos X

WHEREAS, the owner of the Easterly Hal of Lot 6, Block J of Resubdivision of Portion of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, Gertrude N. Herring, has stated in writing that she will use all of Lot 7 and Half of Lot 6 of Block J in said subdivision as one building site and will not sell any portion thereof, and will only sell the lot and a half as one parcel of land

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred D. and Louise M. Norris to divide and erect a single family residence on Lot 5 and the Westerly One-Half of Lot 6 in Block J of Resubdivision of Portion of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, on the southwesterly side of Dolphis Place, approximately 160 feet southerly of Coral Lane, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 14 , 19 50

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>8-29-50</u> B	y Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made $\mathscr{I}_{-\delta}$ B	yCity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $9-6-50$ Decision $9-6-50$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9-14-50$ Planning CommissionPetitionerAppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector <u>9-14-50</u> Health Department <u>9-14-50</u>
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a reading of the start was filled on the county a such that we have a set

and the same should be and the for

Letter dated August 31, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4522, dated March 22, 1950, be granted to F. Tudor Scripps, Jr., and Virginia Scripps to construct a single family residence on the East 90 ft. of Block L, La Jolla Park Villa Tract, on Primrose Dr., North of Soledad Ave., Zone R-1, with a 4 ft. setback on Primrose Dr., and with a 4 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated _____ September 6 ____, 19_50

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received F= /= 571 - E	3 al-
Application ReceivedE	By City Planning Department
envestigation made $9-6-5^{\circ}$ E	By Lancoster, Jones, Biskel, & Burton Gity Planning Department
	Gity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\underline{f-6-5^{\circ}}$ pecision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{2-7-5^{\circ}}$	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 9-6-50
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2- 7-57	Building Inspector <u>4-8-5-6</u>
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9-8-30
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

10 . 10.

× ;

.

11. 1

.....

, WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8515</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>wom</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Virginia P. Dollard to move in a Mobilhome to be used as a caretaker's cottage, single family residence now being on the lot, being a Portion of Pueblo Lot 1774, according to the legal description on file in the Office of the Planning Department, at 6015 La Jolla Scenic Drive, in Zone R-1, on condition that an agreement be signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that the house to be moved in will not be rented and will be used only by members of the immediate family, their guests, servants, or caretakers, and that the land upon which houses are located will never be divided or sold separately without the consent of the Zoning Committee or the City Council as evidenced by Resolution passed by either body.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. AGG 677

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ September 6. , 1950

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received	By A.W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-6 - 5°	By <u>Lancester</u> Jones Bichel + Berton City Planning Department
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-6-5</u> Pecision Conditional Committee Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-7</u>	Hearing date Date 9-6-5-0
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-7.	- 50 Building Inspector 9-8-10
Planning Commission Petition	er Health Department 7-8-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

5.25

į.

,

Dated_

FORM 2145

Sectember

WHEREAS, Application North Street has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4704 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Matt and Mary C. Leuthard, owner, and Wm. N. and Lorene F. Dwyer, purchasers, to construct a maximum of six living units with h garage spaces and 1 surfaced area 11'x20' adjacent b the north lot line, on Lots 1 and 2, Block B, Kensington Park Anne x, on the east side of Biona Drive, approximately 50 feet north of Adams Avenue, subject to the architectural approval of the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1035, New Series, and No. 5924, SectionSA be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Zoning EngineerSecretery

Application Received By	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	
in congation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-14-50 Decision 4-14-50	Hearing date
Decision 9-14-50	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector <u>9-11</u>
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9-15-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 . . .

1

5. w

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. Latimer, owner, and W. Canning, purchaser, to divide a parcel split out of the original lot into two parcels, said property being a Portion of Pueblo Lot 1288 according to the legal description on file in the Office of the Planning Department, with permission to construct one single family residence on each, the rear parcel to have 12 foot access to the street, according to the sketch submitted to the Planning Department, at the easterly side of Hidden Valley Road, approximately 200 feet southerly from Ardath Road, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 13294 and Ordinance No. 8924, Sections 4 and 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

4975

Zoning Engineer XXXXXXX

, 19_50

Sept. 20

Dated

THE PL SECTION	
Application Received By	City Planning Department
investigation made	City Planning Department
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 20	Hearing date Date 9-20-90 Building Inspector Health Department 9-20-90
	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action

(T) 12

Canada" in al g

Mary 1

1 F 7.

the second s Second second

.





WHEREAS, Application No. 8604 and Agreement Number 680 _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gertrude N. Herring, to divide a parcel of land not divided before zoning, being the Easterly oneOHalf of Lot 6, all of Lot 7, of the Resubdivision of Portion of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, located at the southwesterly side of Dolphin Place, approximately 250 feet southerly of Coral Lane. in Zone R-1, and to erect a single family residence thereon. BLK J

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. AGG 680

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

4976

Med_September 20 , 19 50

	Zoning	Engineer	Secretary
ł	CONTRE	THETHEF.	100000000000000000000000000000000000000

	a na alat	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A THE TANK
	a in met	HALL THE THE PARTY AND	A A BERTHER BERTHER AND
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			the second second second
Application Received 9-18-50 By	/	City Planning Departmen	nt
Bi	Cant. Nay	S. Jones, John Bi	rical & F. Q. Buston
Investigation made 20-50 By	y	City Planning Departmen	at
considered by Zoning Committee 9-20-50	Hearing date		
Decision approval	Date 9-20-		
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-2-50	Building Insp	pector <u>9-22-50</u>	0.00.00
Planning Commission Petitioner		Health Department	7-20-90
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hear	ing, date	and the second sec
Pecision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date		
Application withdrawn	Continued to		
Time limit extended to	Date of action		
			AGG CROM
(FOTTFO - OTE.		and a set of the set	ALL PROPERTY AND A
The second secon			
APR	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	a start and a start of the	
the in the way with the course into	1 71. 71. 1	1, 170 a 11707.500 .	SAM TH
but 7, 45 to a section of the sectio	a) in the grade	roth . Bu tors all	CHARTY DE Gale Trend
Help, an the consistent of water	ST 1724 20	is a period of the	1-1-2 29 1 4
A lend has been a second to be	11 M 1 P		
pint open	1.4 . 4 . 1 . 4 . 4		The second s
		Bat particular	
	370 B		
and the second se			
and succession of the second sec			
and the second			
and the second se			
		April 1 and 1 and 1	1 × 1

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold B. and Mary June Nicholas, to construct a single family residence on the South 50 feet of the North 65 feet of Lot 10, C. M. Doty's Addition, located at 170 feet north of Beryl Street, on the west side of Emelene Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

white the first for the second of the second second

Dated _____ September 20 , 1950

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Xenter

Res. No. 4977

Application Received P- 7- 5-0 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	<u>Capt. Ray S. Jones, John Birkel & P. Q. Burton</u> City Planning Department
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-21-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner	Hearing date Date 9-20-50 Building Inspector <u>9-22-50</u> Health Department <u>9-22-50</u> Council Hearing, date Date Continued to Date of action

the strength of the strength o

STREET, ST.

, see .

· 1.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>materially</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Dr. Wayne Monsees to construct a residence and garage with 12 ft. setback from Via del Norte on Lot 1, Block 7, La Jolla Hermosa, Southwest corner of Via del Norte and Vista de la Mesa, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed to Commil Hearing Oct 19 1950

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 20 , 19 50

By_____ Zoning Engineer

Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>9-20-50</u> B	y <u>Capt. Ray S. Jones, John Birkel & P.Q. Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-20-50</u> Decision <u>Llanial</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-21-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 9-20-50 Building Inspector <u>9-22-50</u> Health Department 9-22-50 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

.

LT Starten

Ma La . 2

,

÷

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8522</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. George R. Lunn to build pergola with no side yard approximately 50 feet from Camino de la Costa, Lot 2, Block 12, La Jolla Hermosa, 5939 Camino de la Costa, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 20

, 1950

By_____ Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 4979

Application Received By	City Planning Department
	Y Uapt. Ray S. Jones, John Birkel & P.Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-20-50</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-21-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Pecision of Council</u> Resolution becomes effective	Health Department 9~22-50
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

£

 \mathbf{z}

.

ting .

5 CL. 1 ...

.......

12 1.2.

1

. . Selfaces Charles and A WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5618</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dennstedt Investment Co. to build four model homes on Lots 1 to 4 of Dennstedt Heights, a new Subdivision of Lot 19 of La Mesa Colony, located at the east side of Seminole Drive, 2000 feet south of El Cajon, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ September 20 , 19_50

By Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 4980

Application Received 9-18-50 By	City Planning Department
provestigation made9-20-50 By	Capt. Ray ?S. Jones. John Virkel & P. O. Burton City Planning Department
j ,	Hearing date Date 9-20-50 Building Inspector <u>9-22-50</u> Health Department 9-22-50 Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Children of the second

.

ter en es un la

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8505</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert C. and Nelda M. Frowiss, to erect three additional living units, to the two units now existing, making a total of five units on Lots 27 and 28 in Block 169, of University Heights, located at 4016 Oregon Street, in Zone R-4, four of which living units will be served by a 9foot 9 inch access court, provided four off-street parking spaces are provided for four automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

· conjunt cons.

Dated ______ September 20 , 19 50 FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Serverent

Res. No. 4981

Application Received9-	6-50	_ By	City Planning Department	
Investigation made9-20-50		By <u>Capt</u> .	Ray S. Jones, John Birk City Planning Department	<u>cel & P. Q. Bur</u> tor
Considered by Zoning Committ Decision Conditional app Copy of Resolution sent to City Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	ee <u>9-20-50</u> Auroral Clerk <u>9-21-</u> Petitione	Hearin Date 50 Buildin er Counc Date	ng date 9-20-50 ng Inspector <u>9-22-50</u> Health Department il Hearing, date	9-22-50
Application withdrawn	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the second se	nued to	

no interesti a serie de la La lite procession de la serie de la ser

ener norden seneral and a seneral sener

PIL CIT

,

The press to according

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8544</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to 0. J. Matheny, to build an addition to an existing duplex residence which has a 2 foot side yard, the addition to have a 30 inch side yard, and to build a laundry room with a 30 inch side yard, making a total of three living units on the property, being Lots 31 and P in Block 4 of S. Gurwell Heights, at 3022 Quince Street, in Zone R-2, on condition that the existing street-car now being used as living quarters be eliminated as living quarters within 120 days from the date of this resolution, and that off-street parking spaces for two cars be provided.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19 50 FORM 2145 By ______ Secretary ______ XXXXXXXX

Res. No. 4982

Application Received By City Planning Department
investigation made <u>9-20-50</u> By <u>Uapt. Ray S. Jones, John Birkel & P.Q. Burton</u> City Planning Department
considered by Zoning Committee 9-20-50 Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-20-50</u> Pecision Conditional Approval Date <u>9-20-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-21-50</u> Building Inspector <u>9-22-50</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-21-50</u> Building Inspector <u>9-22-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Health Department <u>9-22-50</u>
Planning Commission Petitioner Health Department 9-22-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

.

when the second second

×.

.

APRIL 2

(000)

A ALL T

1

And the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. 8558 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William Ypma to construct a 12 ft. by 20 ft. addition with sink for workshop on south side of an existing 18 ft. by 22 ft. garage with 3 ft. sideyard and 18 ft. rear yard, on Lots 14 and 15, Block 3, Center Addition to La Jolla Park, 7525 High Avenue, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 ⁵⁰

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sept1 20

By Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 4983

FORM 2145

Dated.

Application Received 9-11-50 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made9-20-50 By	y <u>Capt. Ray S. Jones. John Birkel & P. Q. Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-20-50</u> pecision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-21-50</u> Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Health Department 9-22-50 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date Continued to Date of action

.

1. S. 1. 1

1

and the second

habel anidenit: eval

VIODE Recit

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will-not---be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will--not--adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

an under tonet, south state of

That the following described property, Lot 8-25 through 29 Block 252
Subdivision. West Arlington
Northwest side of Thor Street between Dalbergia St. and an alley.
may be used for the erection and operation of six -additional -units - in -one building in
addition to existing -15-unit motel across the alley
subject to the following conditions.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

By Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 9-11-50 Investigation Made 9-20-50 by Capt. Jones, John Birkel, and P. Q. Burton Considered byZoning Committee 9-20-50 Decision 9-20-50

at a there is east the entries of the bar and the second will be seen at the third that the state of the the the

when has a placed as a state for the second of the contract of the many set in the second with

An and a state of a state of the state of

at the second second second the second s

What The way - Arriver - Error - The - - T - Arra - Error of me tations -

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-21-50

The MUST Meet Chart to Ant

Building Inspector 9-22-50 Health Dept. 9-22-50

andracken, deal bait and

- Chine Prove specially

This is a structure described of the structure of an while a shared

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8577</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Alan and Jeanette M. DuFloth to move in a Mobilhomer Model No. 829-G on parcel of land described below: Lot 28 and all of Lot 29 except the Northerly 20 ft. as measured along Westerly line of Electric Avenue, Block 14, Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, on Electric Ave., approximately 60 ft. Southerly of North line of Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated _ Sept. 20 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer
Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-20-50	By Capt. Ray 3. John John Markel & P. C. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Building Inspector
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the second of the second second

132.1 ·

1.37 17 - 2

.

P. Pint P. L.L.

арта артада 413-1

National and a start

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8601</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section to of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clarence E. Johnson, to excavate 8,000 to 10,000 cubic yards of soil, on Lots 20, 21, and 22 (except streets) of Block 15 of Loma Alta No. 1 Subdivision, and portion of Block 14, lying Northeasterly of the southeasterly prolongation of the northeasterly line of Muir Avenue, Loma Alta No. 1, located at Venice, Voltaire, and Mendocino Boulevard, in Zone R-1, according to the plans submitted to the Office of the Planning Department, and according to the conditions contained in the permit to excavate issued by the City Manager.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_50

By Zoning Engineer Strictfith

Res. No. 4986

Application Received	By
	City Planning Department
	By <u>Capt. Bay S. Jones John Birkel & P. Q. Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-20-50</u> Decision Conditional Approvations of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-21-50</u>	Hearing date
Decision Constitional approval	Date 9-20-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-21-50	Building Inspector <u>9-22-50</u>
Planning Commission Petitione	r Health Department 9-22-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

. .

•

.

. .

AC BOARD

19 1 2 - 41 1 5 4 600 2 1 1 -

٠

.

Strate & Sugar

7.20.7 arres to the

1 21910 7

100.4

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8573</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles J. and Pearl M. Brown to construct single family residence on parcel split out of original lot, after zoning, on portion of Pueblo Lot 186 as per legal description on file in City Planning Office, Southeasterly side of Rosecrans approximately 275 ft. Northeasterly of Bessemer Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Datedept. 20

, 1950

By Zoning Engineer XxXXXXXX

Res. No. 4987

Application Received _ 9- 1-50 By City Planning Department kel + Pa Berlon By Ray 9-20-50 bree Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 9-20-50 Hearing date Decision approved Date 9-20-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-20-50 Building Inspector 9-22-50 Health Department 9-22-50 Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to IRON TON H. PMA PA 1 ANDO A Catol (1) NEW ROSE ROSECRANS TI 3 TT 9-5. 94 70 SCO TT 1 2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8597</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. H. Black to erect twelve feed shelters and two employees' bungalows, incidental to existing horse breeding farm, and one two-car garage, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1312 and 1313, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, 610 West Boulevard, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13455 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

page 358

Dated _Sept. 20 , 19 _50

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application Received 9-13-	5° By
appreation reserved	City Planning Department
Investigation made9-20-50	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	<u>9-20-50</u> Hearing date Date 9-20-50 rk <u>9-21-50</u> Building Inspector <u>9-22-50</u>
Decision approved	Date 9-20-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler	rk 9-21-50 Building Inspector 9-22-50
Planning Commission	Petitioner Health Department 9-22-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

17 1 1 1 M 1

- x . A

Sec. Se

1

•

I go and so the

12/2 1

之

A CAR IN THE OWNER

- . •

UNDER F

in a s

. 1. Part

Patrice and a start

.

E P P I I N

2.304

E.S. L. LARLIN

小气 的第三人称单子

When the state of the state of

......

or a site part of the state of the part of the part

S - Artole

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8479</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter and Barbara Lee to convert a single family residence into a duplex with an 18 inch side yard, on the northerly one-half of Lot H of Block 104, in Horton's Addition, located at 527 -13th Street, in Zone M-2, on condition that a surfaced area for one off-street automobile parking be provided on said property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ September 20 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4989

Application Received 8-8-5-0	By City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Capt. Ray 3. Jones, John Mirkel & P.G. Barton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-20-50	Hearing date
Decision Conditional approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-21-50	Date 9-20-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 9-22-50
Planning Commission Petitione	r Health Department 9-22-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The formation of the second seco

,

WAT WELL

N. . 110" METO

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8571</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Tom Patella, to build a six-unit apartment building with a setback of not less than sixteen feet, or in any event, not less than that of the adjoining residence to the east, on Lots 27 and 26 in Block 224 of University Heights Subdivision, located at 1291 Robinson, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be tevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 20 , 1950

By Zoning Engineer Scenter

Res. No. 4990

Application Received <u>9-13-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	Capt. Ray S. Jones, John Birkel & P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision @pprovel Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

program and the second of the second second prove that a second second second second second second second second

19 1

ANTIPATION CONTRACTOR AND A MARKET PARTY

said his shirts of the part as a first of the second start and

The alter the wards and a state

a set high any state of an angel of a

The second secon

105

1911 1911 192 ()

AL.

ane:

,

Y LOS

STA POST C P LAN N, P. P.

2.52 1.5 2.28

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

Letter dated Sept. 8, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4623, dated April 19, 1950, which amended Resolution No. 4364, dated January 11, 1950, be granted to Harold D. Koontz and Mary L. Koontz, and Robert S. and Edwina D. Bertschy, to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1280, according to the legal description on file in Planning Department Office, being approximately 800 ft. East of La Jolla Shores Dr., Zone R-1, into two building sites without street frontage, the easements to be as follows:

1. The Bertschy property to have frontage on a 60 ft. easement from La Jolla Shores Dr.; 2. The Koontz property to have a 20 ft. easement from Avenida de la Playa.

This approval subject to a 40 ft. easement of record extending from the Northeasterly corner of the Koontz property to that 60 ft. easement, which is an extension of Paseo Dorado -taid 40 ft. easement to be located along and across the Easterly boundaries of the Koontz and Bertschy properties.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 20 , 19 50

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 9-11-50	By mail Zu. Barry
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-20-50</u>	By Capt Ray & Jones, John Bichel & B.B. City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8- 20-1	- Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>P-22</u>	Date 9-20-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-22	-10 Building Inspector 9-22-50
Planning Commission Petition	Health Department 9 - 22 - 10
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Sa Fi Cita El Pl

at the property age particle party of the court

,

.

1000

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8627</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Tom Patella, to construct a sixunit apartment building and garages with a fourteen foot rear yard on Lots 27 and 28 in Block 224 of University Heights Subdivision, located at 1293 Robinson Street, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be tevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ September 20 , 19 50

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer x Storetory

Res. No. 4992

Application ReceivedB	y City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-20-50</u> B	y Capt. Ray S. Jones, John Birkel & P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-20-50	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-21-50	Date 9-20-50 Building Inspector 9-22-50
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9-22-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

· - (- ·

s fefala at an American

1 . .

1 194 200 1

the part of the state of the state of

not support to write a write

HOTHER IN THE PARTY

1.

4

A DUTE AN AUTOR

and a series of the series and the series of the series of

a set a seat to the start

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8602</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. M. Johnson, to construct a duplex in the rear of Lots 27 and the South Half of Lot 28 of Block 11 of Resubdivision of Lots 20-50 Block N Teralta, at 4118 -38th Street, in Zone R-4, making three family units on the property, with a 9 foot access, the two accessory buildings to be removed and an off-street parking space for three automobiles to be provided on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 20 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 4993

Application Received P-14-50 E	By
	City Planning Department
Investigation mad@-20-50 E	By Cant. Ray S. Jones, John Birkel & P. Q. Burton
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-20-50</u> Decision Constitional approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-21-50</u>	Hearing date
Decision Conditional approval	Date 9-20-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-21-50	Building Inspector 9-22-50
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9-22-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

5.2 ° M^{*}, 12 ° Z2 * 17

2 1 C . M. .

ta tota sector a se

0.41

12 5

017 5 54

101110 7.

,

State the second

THE AND AND AND AND A DAMAGE TAXAGET A

in a second of the second of the

and all the Thinks

WHEREAS, Application No. 8001 _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter J. and Bessie Mae Rozanski, to divide into three parcels Lots 10, 11 and 12 of Block 12 of Beverly Subdivision, as follows: Westerly 65 feet of Lots 10-12; Easterly 60 feet of Westerly 125 feet of Lots 10-12; Lots 10-12 except Westerly 125 feet; and permit the construction of two single family dwellings or one duplex on each parcel, said property being located at the northeast corner of Hilltop Drive and Winston Drive, in Zone R-2; on the condition that the signature of the owner of the adjacent lot be secured in approval as to the granting of this variance

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

September 20 , 19 50 Dated_

Secretary Zoning Ingineer

Res. No. 4994

Application Received <u>9-15-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Capt. Ray S. Jones, John Birkel & P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Decision Conditional Opproval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-21-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 9-20-50 Building Inspector 9-22-50 Health Department 9-22-50 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

to the contract of the

poly and a set to a

.

,

T1617.

and a water is a second provide a second provide

the set of the set strates and the set of the

The state of the contraction of

. . .

5 13



DANIEL ALLEN - R.E. No. 913

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

Letter dated Sept. 8th, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4516 dated March 8, 1950, be granted to Lugenia B. Niles to divide into three building sites, being Lot 148, University Heights, at South end of Rhode Island Street, bounded by Massachusetts, Oklahoma and Buchanan Streets, Zone R-1, on the condition that each site be served by an easement not less than 20 feet in width, from a public street, and each site to be not less than 75 feet in width.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 190 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_50

Application ReceivedB	Y City Planning Department
Investigation madeB	y Capt. Ray 8. Jones. John Birkel & P. Q. Purtor City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date Building Inspector Health Department Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

horro (. . .

.

·spil-

, II.

1 1 h

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7208</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Barney and Doris Effic, owner and Johnny Smallwood, purchaser, to operate a soft drink store, on Lots 25 and 26, Block 322, Reed and Daley's Addition, 2904 Clay Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance 13216, be, and is hereby DEMIND as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_____, 19______, 19______

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4996

Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made9-20-50	By Capt. Ray 3. Jones, John Birkel & P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-20-50</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-21-1</u> Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date Building Inspector r Health Department Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Televis of a first state of the state of the second state of the s

They want a search of the second with the three and the second state of the second second second second second

The new provident by the Archite Clear Pro-

Contraction of the second second second

LAD ST THE REAL TO THE CAL

101639 -

,

WHEREAS, Appress Action 200 The state of the Soning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resoultion No. 4554, dated March 22, 1950, be granted to W. A. and Anna A. Van Buren, to erect a single family residence on the rear of the lot, making a total of three units with an 8'10" access court, being Lots 55, 56, and a portion of Lot 57, in Block 28 of Ocean Beach Park Annex, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department, at 4644 West Point Loma Boulevard, in Zone R-4, on the condition that three garage spaces are provided on the property for the parking of automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

September 20 , 190

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 4997

Dated____ FORM 2145

Application Received <u>9-20-50</u>	By City Planning Department
	City I lanning Department
Investigation made9-20-50	By Capt. Ray S. Jones, John Birkel & P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-20-50</u> Decision Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Hearing date Date 9-20-50 Building Inspector 9-22-50 r Health Department 9-22-50 Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

7 -

• •

· · · ·

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8599</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Travelodge Corporation to alter existing hotel with 6 ft. rear yard, and to build additions thereto with 10 ft. rear yard on Lots 1 and 2, Block 21, Bayview Homestead, Southeast corner of 10th Ave. and Ash Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

50

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______, 19_____, 19_____

Byzoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received	By City Planning Department		
Investigation made9-20-50	By Capt. Ray S. Jones, John Birkel & P. Q. Burton City Planning Department		
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-20-50 Decision Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 9-20-50 Building Inspector 9-22-50 er Health Department 9-22-50 Council Hearing, date Date		
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action		

٠

NO. ST. S . . .

10.10 ---

1312 313

14 (S) 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>3600</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Travelodge Corporation to alter and build additions to hotel, with no setback on Ash Street, Lots 1 and 2, Block 21, Bayview Homestead, on the Southeast corner of 10th Ave. and Ash Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to ghe provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 20 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 4999

Application Received <u>9-13-50</u> E	City Planning Department
	By Capt. Ray S. Jones, John Birkel & P. Q. Surton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-20-50 Decision Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Health Department
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

1.63.71 C.

1104 (10 1 * 1 - 1 10 4 7 - 1

1

Part Mart

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8485</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold R. and Lucille R. Nisbett, to convert an existing duplex to a triplex, with a five foot access court, on Lot 30 and the East 12-1/2 feet of Lot 29, in Block 114, of E. W. Morse's Subdivision of Pueblo Lot 1150, located at 3122 "G" Street, in Zone R-4, on condition that three off-street parking spaces for automobiles be provided on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 20, , 19 50

By_____Zoning EngineerScreenerx

Res. No. 5000

Application Received <u>9-12-52</u> By	M. Barry thru mail
Investigation made <u>9-20-50</u> By	y Capt Ray & Jones, John Bestel, Ph Bus City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-24-10	Hearing date
Decision Conditional approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date 9-20-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9-2-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-4-50</u>	Adm. Burrel C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, an P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision <i>Commission</i> Planning Commission Petitione Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date 10-4-50 50 Building Inspector <u>10-6-50</u> ner 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

A Production of the second sec

and strate the state of the state of the

10 William States

Status and as

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8172</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

to

Permission is hereby DENIED to Julia Malta/make alterations and repairs on existing building or buildings on Lots 39 and 40, Block 11, L. W. Kimball's Subdivision, located at 2462 "K" Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 4, , 19_50

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX Res. No. 5017

PORM 2145

Application Received	4-19-50	Bv	E	+ (Van He	e é
		-	-	nning Departs	50	Q. Burton
nvestigation made	10-4-50	By	n. Burrell C.	Allen, C	lapt. Ray S. Jo	mes, and
an enganon made			City Plan	nning Departs	ment	
Considered by Zoning	Committee10-4-	-50 Heari	ng date	2 10 100		
Decision Deris	l	Date	10-4-50			
copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk 10-5-	50 Build	ng Inspector_	10-6-50)	
Planning Commission	Petitio	ner 10-5-5) Health	Departmen	nt 10-6-50	
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date	Cound	il Hearing, dat	.e		
Decision of Council		Date				Chin I interne
Resolution becomes eff	iective			19 C 19 C		
Application withdrawn		Conti	nued to			
Time limit extended to		Date	of action			

lite to tige of

13 212 25

+20 mg &

A Charles and

and the out but it

.1
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8608</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. L. and Esther H. Stitt to erect single family dwelling on portion of Lot 4, Resement Addition, as per legal description on file in Planning Department Office, located on Northerly side of Scimitar Drive at intersection with Broadway Street, in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By____

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 4 , 19 50

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received	By <u>Mr. Mc Convel</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-4-50</u>	Adm. Burrel C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jenes, an By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision <i>Approved</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-5</u> Planning Commission Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	er 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

. 2.05

1.1

Gereniles at the

The second second

, ×

the states of the states

1

The state of the state of the

A CONTRACT OF CONTRACT

Stall and a

the management of the spine Carter Part will

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Alfred C. Cannon's to construct single family residence with 2 ft. setback on San Elijo Street on West 50 feet of Lot 2, Block 133, La Playa, located on the Southeasterly corner of McCall and San Elijo Streets, ZoneR-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 50

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 4

Byoning Engineer Secretary Res. No. 5019

TORM 2145

lated.

Application Received $-\frac{9-22-52}{B}$	City Planning Department
Investigation made 10-4-50 B	y <u>Adm. Burrell C. Allen. Capt. Ray S. Jones and</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision Commission Sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

· · ·

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8581</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles R. Jones, owner, and Frank Balestrieri, lessor, to operate a poultry slanghtering plant in connection with an existing retail market, approximately 100 live birds to be kept on the premises; provided all live birds are kept within a building; and that birds are not to be fed on the premises; that live birds are to be killed within 24 hours; and that all requirements of the Health Department are to be complied with, on Lots 1 through 4 of Block 14 in Lincoln Park Subdivision, at 32 -25th Street, in Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance Number 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal i filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______ 0ctober 14 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

ORM 2145

Application Received 7- 25-50 B	E. C. Van Hae
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and
Interneution mass	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision Conditional approve Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-50</u>	Hearing date Date 10-4-50 Building Inspector 10-6-50
Planning Commission Petitioner	10-5-50 Health Department 10-0-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

numper of for a second second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second

ics of 1 and 2 and

CO GIT YAL A VA

Liter

11 7016 5.

14 D

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8616</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. Arkin to use existing building for storage of insulating materials on Portion SW 1/4 of NH 1/4 lying North and East of Euclid Ave. Section 33 Township 16S Range 2W, located at 3007 Euclid Avenue, Zone C and E-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 4

, 19-50

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application Received $\frac{9-25-50}{2}$	By M. City Planning Department
10-1-50	By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and
Investigation made <u>10-4-50</u>	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-4-50	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-4-50 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 0-5-50 Planning Commission Petitione	Date 10-4-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 0-5-50	Building Inspector 10-6-50
Planning Commission Petitione	er 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second second

to and a restrante policy notices weater . I constant

and the particular of a second and

- ...

. . .

Spicht and the .

1. · . . .

104 T. 4 18 .

A Destant to the first a set a

i a agree bound of Participant and the second

mana de las

the set of the set

and the second second

8584 WHEREAS, Application No. _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles P. Iffland, to erect a six-foot concrete block fence on the lot line at the rear of the garage, on top of the existing retaining wall, with a maximum height of ten feet, provided the wall is finished with a color coat on the outside, on Lots 43 and 44 of Block S in City Heights, at 2530 Montclair Street, in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretery.

lated October 4 , 1950

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5022

PORM 2145

Application Received _ 9- 25-50	By
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and By
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Conductional Opproved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Petiti Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date Date Building Inspector
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
and mill carbona to	

.

r

Recomptions . The Lord Car

week week

escretzingeren a

UT HEU!

· ,

A THE FRANCE ON

A AL AL AL ADOLE TREATING

to the start of the sector is

The succession with

I The star Carl Of generation

The part of experies charter one in

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8638</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glen Munkelt to construct retaining wall 8 feet high on Lots 11 and 10, Block 71, Roseville located at 3333 Ingelow Street in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX Res. No. 5023

Application Received 9 - 2-6 - 52	By By City Planning Department P. Q. Burto
Investigation made10-4-50	By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt.Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	50 Hearing date Date 10-4-50 50 Building Inspector 10-5-50 Health Department 10-5-50 Health Department
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The second s

1000 - J.

,

A A THE AND A THE A PARTY AND A THE AND A PARTY AND A

forentes and the second second

and a start

30 81 1 10

general marking

a second to the stand that a property

· Personal and the second seco

WHEREAS, Application No. 8578 has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section f Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rev. Theodore Bell and Helen Bell to construct a single family residence on parcel split out of original lot after zoning, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1285, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the south side of the intersection of Hidden Valley Road and the private extension of Roseland Drive, in Zone R-1; and also to excavate on the property, construct fill on the property, and move excess dirt from the land, on the following conditions: 1. That the present fill be cut down as shown on drawings submitted by

- Rev. Theodore Bell and on file in the Planning Department Office;
- 2. That the floor of the Bell residence be located not higher than 7 feet above the floor of the adjacent Smith residence; and
- 3. That all cut and/or fill slopes be planted and landscaped from both an aesthetic and protective standpoint;
- 4. Subject to a permit to excavate to be issued by the City.Manager, and any additional conditions imposed by said permit.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated _____0ctober 26 , 19 50

By_

Secretary

PRM 2145

Application Received <u>9-26-50</u> B	City Flaming Department
Investigation made $-\frac{3-4-5^\circ}{B_1}$ By	uden Brunell C. allen M. Milton Lensenter, Coppe Ray S. Jones M. Milton Lensenter, City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-25-50 Decision Comp. Appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-36-50	Hearing date
Decision Cong. appr.	Date 10-25-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-36-50	Building Inspector 10-27-50
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the state of the

Address is a second and a second a

and the start of the second second

1.

the following the state of the state of the

to con the first first first

212 . 1

,

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8626</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. G. Payne to make alterations to residence having approximately 2 ft. sideyard and 6 ft. access to street on Let C, Block 5, Horton's Addition located at 1241 - 9th Street in Zone "C".

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

ated October 4

, 19_50

Zoning Engineer

Ву_____

Res No.5025

RM 2145

Application Received <u>9-26-5</u> B	y Mc Connect City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 14 - 50$ B	y Cedry Burrey (allen Capt lay J. Jons & P. Burr City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second s Contractions of the second s

the colorest pressures .

CUBLY NUT - Jake

,

and a second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7514</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leo J. and Georgian Jean Kaiser, to erect a retaining wall and a free standing concrete block wall, the retaining wall to be not higher than the grade on the lot from the front property line back to the setback line, and the free standing wall to be not higher than Sf eet above the lowest adjacent ground level and back of the setback line, on Lot 1 of Block 2 in Lamont Terrace, at 4805 Lamont Street, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By___

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5026

SRM 2145

ated_

October 4 , 19 50

Application Received	By E. C. Man Africe City Planning Department P. Q. But
Investigation made	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u>	Hearing date
Decision Conduction sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-50</u>	Date 10-4-50
Planning Commission Petitioner	Building Inspector 10-6-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u>	10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective <u></u>	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

OUTTON STORES.

6.0

NATE OF A STATE OF

creater returns a line of the state of the state of the state of to creater returns a line of the state of the close the line of the state of the close the line of the state of the close the line of the state of the st

101 .

T. 1 .

LIEF ?

a State Synthes X we che formulation of the City devision

the start of the start was a start of the weather branches

and and any set frances and trades that and the set of the set of

and the set of the start of principal to a substrate of a set of the set

the set of the subject of the provident of the prover side with the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8643</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Karl B. Hill to add to residence with a 15 ft. rear yard on Portion of Pueblo Lot 1285, being Parcel 290 of Assessor's Map No. 33, located at 1935 Hypatia Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

6. 35

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

Zoning Engineer

¹⁹-50

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Res. No. 5027

Dated October	4	
FORM 2145		

Application Received <u>9-28-50</u> H	By P. Buston City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-4-50</u> H	Adm. Burrell ^C . Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and <u>City Planning Department</u> P. Q. Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision <i>approvel</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1095-50</u>	_ Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 10-4-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1045-50	Building Inspector 10-6-50
Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

will have a first state of the second of the second states and the second states and have been been the second states the second states the second states the second states and states

C.C.L. Of a state to announce

, 11 A

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wayne E. Monsees to construct a residence and garage with 14 ft. setback from Via del Norte on Lot 1, Block 7, La Jolla Hermosa, on Southwest corner of Via del Norte and Vista de la Mesa, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be , and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 4

FORM 2145

- , 19<u>50</u>

Zoning Engineer

... 6

Secretary

Application Received _ 9-28-50	By City Planning Department P. Q. Burton
Investigation made <u>10-4-50</u>	By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt.Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5-5 Planning Commission Petitione Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 10-4-50 De Building Inspector 10-6-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Desigion of Collincia	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and share the first the heater black of the second

(a) A second s second s Second secon second sec

a sur po functori do copil presenti de pre-

and the providence of the state from the state

District ;

entre de la compara de la compara de la política de la compara de la

The set is a set if a set is a set of the set

Letter dated Sept. 27, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ __ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months to Resolution No. 4593, dated April 5, 1950, be granted to B. M. Taylor to construct a restaurant with parking areas, on Lots 14 through 17 and the closed alley adjoining, Block 3, Stephen's Addition, on the West side of Revere Ave., approximately 200 ft. North of Glendora St., Zone R-4, on the fellowing condition:

The parking areas to be surfaced, and a fence or hedge to be constructed back of the setback line on Revere Ave. and adjacent to the North line of the property, if the parking areas abut the R-4 Zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Res No. 5029

Dated October 4 _ , 19 50

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>9-28-5</u> By	Adm. Burrel C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and
Investigation made <u>10-4-50</u> By	P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Decision Conditional approval	Hearing date Date 10-4-50 Building Inspector <u>10-6-50</u> 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50 Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

of the ret of the of the of the of the of the best of the of the

include a set to the stand of stand and a substant a

espiration and a construction party

A Material to the works of a low along the line best.

10103.7 July - 1 12 *

on the rollor L. C

CAR COLOR OF AND

all the real from

N. SILVE

Walter Stranger March

Parte Free

Letter dated 9-27-50

WHEREAS, <u>Application No.</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4513, dated March 8, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Luella Koons to erect a garage with no side yard on Lot 1, in Block J, of Bird Rock City by-the-Sea, and the Northwesterly 2.75 feet of the Northeasterly 27 feet of Lot 2, in Block J, of Bird Rock City bythe-Sea.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______, 1950

FORM 2145

Res. No. 5026

Application Received <u>9- 28- 5^v</u> By	
11	City Planning Department P. Q. Bur
Investigation made By	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision <i>Copport</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council <u></u> Resolution becomes effective	Health Department
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Vid control and include of the control of the control of the solution of the control of the solution of the control of the con

and a state of the second state I second state of the second st I second state of the second st

C-Phy March + Pilly +

P.4. N.

· marine ma m

-ofic ::

,

AT BRIDITAL DE LITE

ant sof along

DO CITUD BU CALLOUT OU

Commenter Danie de la 11 de la 11 de la 11 de la

in the plan when and the set

a construction and

(1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7654</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Day & Night Plumbing & Heating Company to build a 5-car garage with o ft. sideyard, to be located 15 ft. from center line of the alley, on Lot 5 (except Northerly 50 ft. of the East 15 ft.) and Lot 6 (except North 50 ft. and East 15 ft.) and all of Lots 7, 8 and 9, Block 231, University Heights, northwest corner of Albert and Brooks Streets, Zone E-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 4	,	19-50
FORM 2145		

Application Received B	. A.Buston
	City Planning Department
	y Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-4-50	Hearing date
Decision approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-50</u>	Date 10-4-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5-50	Building Inspector <u>10-6-50</u>
Planning Commission Petitioner	10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
descr's	

a set of a provide set of the set of the set of the set of the

the state of the set of the state of the set of the

in Rec

10.00 03

the car by not .

. . .

RESOLUTION NO. 5032 Ree 99990 Following

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8646</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lucretia Day Belt, owner, and J. E. Belt, operator, to erect a l'xl0' sign on the wall of a sign ship, on Lots 7 and 5 of Block 27, in Resubdivision of Teralta, at 4271 Central Avenue, in Zone R-4;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above;

and

FORM 2145

Permission is hereby DENIED to Lucretia Day Belt, owner, and J. E. Belt, operator, to erect and/or maintain a 2'xl2' sign on a beauty shop on Lots 7 and 5 of Block 27, in Resubdivision of Teralta, at 4271 Central Avenue, in Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed - HEARING 10-26-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______ 0ctober 4 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer Stritting

Res. No. 5032

Application Received B	me Barry
	City Planning Department
	y Adm. Burrell ^C . Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-4-50	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Pecision <i>Musical Resolution</i> Sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-57</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Pecision of Council</u> Resolution becomes effective <u></u>	Date 10-4-50 Building Inspector <u>10-6-50</u> 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50 Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
and how when the second second second	

an analyzer to a start and a start a start to the second start of the start of the start of the start of the st

TTO BYRINGT PA FORDT TERT STIBAL

an and a second of the second s

,

det tot there is

. .

servers that any the second capital course of the

and the stand of the stand of the CTIA CARD

RESOLUTION NO. 99990

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RES 5032

That the appeal of Lucretia Day Belt, 4269 Central Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying application of Lucretia Day Belt, owner, and J. E. Belt, operator, to erect and/or maintain a 2' x 12' sign on a beauty shop on Lots 7 and 8 Block 27 Resubdivision of Teralta, located at 4271 Central Ivenue, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 99990

FORM 1270 10M - 12/48			
			Deputy.
earing	Ву	HELEN M. WILL	IG
10-26.	-50 10 agra.	and the second second	City Clerk.
Meal files 10-9-		FRED W. SICK	

RESOLUTION NO. 99990

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RES 5032

That the appea decision of the Zening C and J. E. Belt, operator on Lots 7 and 8 Block 27 in Zone R-4, be, and it hereby overruled.

Page is intentionally left blank.



aue, from the tia Day Belt, owner, en on a beauty shop 71 Central Avenue, mittee decision is

City of San Diego

Lad 10-9-50 10age

	SICK	. 1	FRED I	
y Clerk.	Cit			
	WILLIG	.M	HELEN	sy
Deputy				

lead g

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8661</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Antonio Chula to build a 26'6" x 34' garage on Lot 5, in Block 48, of Roseville, at 3112 Emerson Street, in Zone R-4, on condition that the duplex shown on plans approved by the Planning Department be commenced within 90 days from the date of this Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated October 4 , 1950

Zoning Ingineer

Res. No. 5033

FORM 2145

Application Received	By PQ. Buston
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-4-50	Hearing date
Decision Considered approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5-50	Date 10-4-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5-50	_ Building Inspector 10-6-50
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 10-6-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

to here you that the state of t

53 THE REAL PROPERTY OF

Likes); or

1997

a temperate production and manimal an unan

to here you at the state of the

LOUL N TO CH

on confirme the state of the st

- r a charactering well - with the surgering the determinent for

44 • Vint, 19623

The Property Party of the

L.N

WHEREAS, Letter dated 10-2-50 Application No.

has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not ____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4927, dated August 23, 1950, be amended, to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. and Edna McClure to divide into a building site, move a building thereon and convert to a garage, being the Northerly 295.60 feet of the Easterly 127.52 feet of Lot 21, Bureka Lemon Tract, 3545 Ticonderoga Street, in Zone R-1, on the condition that the owner grant to the City an easement for the widening of Ticonderoga Street to its full width, and on condition that the house to be built later and this garage conform in architecture.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 4 _ , 1950 Dated_

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Sector Party Res. No. 5034

divides.	30	onin n Incon Maintin
	2 2 11 - 57)	By AR, Burlow
Application Received	B	City Planning Department P. Q. Bu
		Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and
Investigation made _	B	By City Planning Department
Considered by Zonin	g Committee 10-4-50	
Decision Condite	conal approval	Date 10-4-50
Copy of Resolution s	ent to City Clerk 10-5-50	Building Inspector 10-6-50
Planning Commission	n Petitioner y Clerk, date	10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	/ CICIR, date	Date
Resolution becomes e	ffective	
Application withdraw	vn	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended		
0 2 1 1 20 0 20 1 1 1 1	4.5.4	
The second in the second	and the second	and the reast grant and a set to be the set
and the second second	1.60 () () () all () a	and changed the strate strate and an and the strate st
20 201		the second second second second second second
Contractory and Dell's 1.1	Contracting and the state of th	A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF SUCH AND ON
A LAND TOTAL AL	A for the first of the souther the	and the second of the second sec
an in the second and the	AN M F I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
a garran e en e		The second to be and to the first of the second sec
Le local a surre o la	al an it a line ar in ant al	To the rate of the rate of the factor
the tollo ::		and the second
man All Toputa	29 Mar 11 전 11 전 11 전	The manual of the backward for the former of
aller,		
		in the second had been a superior to the second sec
	•	a second of the second state advantage
		The second
		and a second for any force for the standard second se
		the set of the set of a
		a second second second second second second second second
		the second se

17] (2)
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8654</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl J. Hansen, to build and operate a 100-unit trailer park on the North Half of Lot 4 of Eureka Lemon Tract, at the East side of Pacific Highway north of Bunker Hill, in Zone R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That a compact evergreen hedge at least three feet high at the time of planting be installed around the property and maintained in first-class condition at all times;
- 2. That a five-foot fence or wall be constructed around the property;
- 3. That all required driveways, roadways, or unoccupied space utilized in lieu of a driveway or roadway, be graded and surfaced full width with dust resisting material;
- 4. That the exterior design of all structures be approved by the Zoning Committee;
- 5. That no portion be occupied until a unit is built and approved by the City Health Department and the City Planning Department;

6. This permit to be for a period expiring on June 30, 1956.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby tranted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described theye.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____ October 14 , 19_50

Zoning Engineer XXXXXXXX

Res. No. 5035

Application Received <u>9-29-50</u> B	by City Planning Department
	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and
Investigation made B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision Conditional Approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1095-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Hearing date Date 10-4-50 Building Inspector 10-6-50 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50 Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

underer and the second structure of the second seco

TO THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF T

and a surger part and share and share to share a surger share and

A morth of the second of

the second second and the second s

11.1

outing minnes.

And A State

-9535

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8635</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward and Rose Bryant, to operate a beauty shop in the cottage in the rear of Lots 3 and 4 of Block 13 in Ocean Beach Park, at 4784 Muir Avenue, Zone R-4, together with one sign 10" x 20" in dimension, in the bay window of said cottage, said sign to be unlighted after 10 o'clock P.M. until daylight.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No.12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 4 , 1950

Res. No. 5036

Dated _____

Application Received P-27-50 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department Computed Callon Control S. Jones and City Planning Department Stress and City Planning Stress
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> H Decision Conditional approval Dis Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-50</u> Bi	earing date
Decision Conditional approval Di	ate 101450
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5-50 Bi	uilding Inspector 10-6.10
Planning Commission Petitioner / o	Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

The second models to the second of a second se

onn te transference for a superior de la contraction de cal de la contraction de la

THE ET I

,

1 2 2 1 1 2

int - - manual the state of the state of the state

The second se

and the second second in the second read

WHEREAS, Application No.8549 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Disbrow P. Johnson, to construct a single family residence with no setback for the porch stoop, but with a four-foot setback for the other portions of the building, on condition that the appearance on the street frontage be acceptable to the Planning Department, on Lot "L" and portion of Curlew Street closed, and that portion of Redwood (30') Street closed adjoining, in Block 346 of Horton's Addition, in Zone R-1, located at the southwest corner of Redwood and Curlew Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2017, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 4 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer Efficient

Res. No. 5037

Application Received 9-2	6-50 By E.C. Van Hese
whole the stand we are a set and the set of	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-4-</u>	-50 By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones and City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Conditional Comp Copy of Resolution sent to Co Planning Commission 10-1 Appeal filed with City Clerk, Decision of Council_	5-50 Petitioner 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action
Charling a series of the series	
Real Providence in the second s	
Ma the recently cereathed	be subjective the cove, the sure devices the
To provide the provide the	TVN PROPERTY OF A CONTRACTOR O
	the second s
	$\label{eq:product} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} m_{\rm eff} = 0 \\ m_{\rm eff} = 0 \\$
	to prove the state of the persident presents the second
	and a set of the set o
	Ter and the second decision of the second se
	the log out to Tall the total of the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8595</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen H. Taylor and Margaret Hawken, to build a snack bar kitchen in a separate room on the lower floor of a single family residence, on Lot 24, of Yacht Club Terrace, at 851 Rosecrans Street in Zone R-1, on condition that the owners of said property, Helen H. Taylor and Margaret Hawken, sign an agreement to the effect that the kitchen will be used only as incidental to the use of the recreation room, and will not be rented separately, and will be put to no use other than as incidental to this single family dwelling.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

AGG 678

Res. No. 5038

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secreberyy

Dated October 14 , 19 50

The second second

100007 10 10

Application Received	8-26-50	By	P.D. Bur	ton
whatteeston weeston	J. S. Commence	anningen 🖉 andreasingen	City Planning Departm	ent
Investigation made	10-4-50	By Adm.	Burrell C. Allen. Cap City Planning Departm	t. Rey 5. Jones and ent
Considered by Zoning Decision Confiling Conv of Resolution se	Committee <u>10-4-</u> appurate at to City Clerk	50 Hearin Date	ng date	10-6-50
Planning Commission Appeal filed with Cit Decision of Council	y Clerk, dat e	Petitioner	Council Hearing, date	anoptmont to 6.50
Resolution becomes of	fective		Continuedito	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended t		lande stylp sonis - a part title sone	Date of action	

TO STEL MODELT OF MODING The A main is to the sticuluments of the series which series to the the particular de dis prosiditan al de instant

provide the state of the state of the same of the state o

services a the activication of a hope of the center of a few terms of the few terms

A MARKET MALE TO AN A SECOND AND A SECOND A SECOND A SECOND A SECOND A SECOND AND A SECOND AND A SECOND AND A

of Catterin's and the reliance manufacture and show them on the

and the more service of and all not structure and all

LITERECUL TE IS. BOUNDARD - HAR PRE TOURNER CRUSH TREES AND THE

alore was to a set a second on the film well and pand working the second s

Maret Di ja

RESOLUTION NO. 5039 see 99991 following

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8632</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elton S. and Mary V. Bailey, to construct an addition to a residence approximately 406 square feet, with a four-foot side yard, and to construct an addition to the rear of an existing garage, the addition to be approximately 138 square feet, with no side yard, on Lot 6, in Block 6, of Islenair Unit No. 2, at 3225 Isla Vista Drive, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, SectionSa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed - Hearing 10-26-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ October 4 , 19_50

Zoning Engineer Sectements Res. No. 5039

Application Received <u>9-25-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-14-50</u> By	P. Q. Bur Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones and City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner 1 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 10-4-50 Building Inspector <u>10-6-50</u> L0-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

·

and the second second

· Will State

THE PARTY OF

COSTR.

•

1 mile (1 # / 486

· Calls

Start Contact and the second

per RES. 5039

RESOLUTION NO. 99991

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Elton S. Bailey, 3225 Isla Vista Drive, from the decision of the Zoning Committee on the provisions imposed by Zoning Committee Resolution No. 5039, applicationNo. 8632, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted to Elton S. and Mary V. Balley, to construct an addition to a residence spproximately 406 square feet, with a three-foot side yard, and to construct an addition to the rear of an existing garage, the addition to be approximately 188 square feet, withno side yard, on Lot 6, in Block 6, of Islenair Unit No. 2, at 3225 Isla Vista Drive, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and it is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 99991 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council October 26, 1950

peak filed	10-6-50]	FRED N	V. SICK	
	10-26-50 10am	ВуЕ		C I. Willig	ity Clerk.
0					Deputy.

TORM FORM 1270

Per RES. 5039

RESOLUTION NO. 99991

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of of the Zoning Committee No. 5039, applicationNe Committee decision is 1

BE IT FURTHER HES(Bailey, to construct au three-foot side yard, s the addition to be app: 6, of Islenair Unit No. A variance to is hereby granted as to property described abo

anal filed 10-6-50

10-26-50 10a m

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

from the decision mittee Resolution nd said Zoning

to Elton S. and Mary V. 406 square feet, with a of an existing garage, vard, on Lot 6, in Block 1. Section Sa, be, and it as they relate to the

SICK	.W CERT
City Clerk. Willig	By_By
Deputy.	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8617</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert W. and Marjorie Bell, to move and enlarge a garage and to have approximately six-inch side yard, provided the garage is not closer to the front property line on Grape Street than the adjoining garage on the east, on the East Half of Lots 9-12 inclusive, of Block 22, in San Diego Property Union Subdivision, at 3255 Grape Street, in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sections

DING OF D

Dated______ 0ctober 4 , 19 50

LICOTION WICHDRY WAR

Digotica GIT

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5040