WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8592</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Willmetta G., Donald A. and Doris P. Cassidy to erect a 20 ft. by 30 ft. (600 sq. ft.) garage, laundry and store room on existing slab, with 5 ft. rear yard, Lot 409, Block 20, Crown Point, 3404 Buena Vista, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By____

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sept. 20

Dated.

FORM 2145

____, 19_<mark>50</mark>

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	- By		Cit	ty Planning	Departm	lent	-
Investigation made9-20-50	Ву	Capt.	Ray ?S Cit	. Jones, ty Planning	John Departm	Virkel & P.	Q. Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision <i>Ulernich</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City O Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Clerk <u>9-21-50</u> Petitioner		9-20-50 Inspect	oralth Depa	9-22-5 artmen		
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		Continue Date of					_

1000 5-5-

. .

.

.

Draph 2 :

S BULL

1

march and the state

a faith a faith and a start and a start and a start and a start a start a start a start a start a start a start

and a second to

and the second second second second

.

7

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8579</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lester S. Johnson to construct duplex above existing garage which has 18 inch. sideyard, duplex to observe required sideyard on Lots 15 and 16, Block 113, University Heights, 4333 Illinois Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

· Hands' A AND TRAFT ' T'

Dated Sept. 20

Secretary Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5002

Application Received $\frac{7-13-5^{\circ}}{B_{2}}$ B	City Planning Department
Investigation mad@-20-50 By	y <u>Capt. Ray S. Jones, John Birkel & P. Q. Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-20-50</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-21-50</u>	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 9-20-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-21-50	Building Inspector <u>9-22-50</u>
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9-22-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1.4

. . . .

alge y i 🖿 🖂 et i Strat

TOLOETIC: C.

The second second second

010 --- *

A LE LA L

and the second

A STATISTICS OF A STATISTICS

141 4

Carl Contract and

12 2 2 3

Part of an Part of the

. The opentials services and a service

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8628</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James L. & Doris D. Reno, and Jess B. and Virginia Poor, to build one sign and three flood light poles with O' setback where 23' setback is required, on Lots 14-22, in G. A. Bush Addition, at 7th and University in Zone C, on condition that the owner sign an agreement to remove all st ructures to a point back of the normal setback line (23.01 feet) when and if the City requests it, for street widening purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1212, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>September 20</u>, 19<u>50</u> FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Application Received <u>9-20-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{9-20-50}{50}$ By	Capt Ann John Bule & PR Burlo. City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9 - 20 - 50</u> Decision Conditional Committee Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

⊢ • • 12²

21214

PTT SALAN

20121

Ref Star Car and

State & State State State State

and the second second second second second

and the second second

setting a setting of the set

and of or lar we have -

· cost in the Cost of a

A set of the set of

the same and a single the second a second

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold B. and Mary June Nicholas, to construct a single family residence with a ten foot setback, on the South 50 feet of the North 65 feet of Lot 10, in C. M. Doty's Addition, located 170 feet north of Beryl Street, on the west side of Emelene St., in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 20 , 19 50

Application Received By	/
11	City Planning Department
	Copt Ray S. Jones, John Bichel Pale Oty Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-20-50	Hearing date generation
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-25-50</u>	Date 9-20-50
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-25-50	Building Inspector <u>9-25-5</u>
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9 - 25 - 0
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

. .

and the second of the second of the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8603</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Monica Faas to build a residence with a 10 ft. setback on Wilshire Drive on Lot T, Mountain View Manor, adjacent northerly to 5329 Wilshire Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 3637 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated _____, 19_____ Sept. 20 50

Zoning Engineer

Application ReceivedB	y City Planning Department
	y Capt. Ray S. Jones. John Birkel & P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-20-50 Decision Commission Sent to City Clerk 9-21-50 Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 9-20-50 Building Inspector 9-22-50 Health Department 9-22-50 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

11

1.

a set to prove the set of the ballet the

the state of a state of the state of the

pass .

the state

,

· · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8630</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles E. and Ruth B. Nettleton, owner, and Helen H. Sweetman, purchaser, to erect a duplex on parcel of land not split out at time of zoning, being Portion of Lot 1, Block S, and Portion of Lot 4, Block 7 of F. T. Scripps Addition, and Portion of Los Angeles and San Diego Beach Railway Company Right of Way lying between, according to legal description on file in the Office of the Planning Department, located at the south side of Fern Glen, approximately 200 feet east of La Jolla Boulevard, in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By ______ Zoning Engineer ********

Res. 5006

Application Received 9-25-50 By	7
ripplication Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-27-50</u> By	Mr. Harling Mr. Burton
1	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-28-50 Decision	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-16-5	Building Inspector
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department 9-28-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ALL THE OWNER

.

•

gela gala

11 1 1

,

ļ

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8593</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph R. and Elizabeth J. Essary to construct 20' x 30' private garage with no rear yard on Southerly 1/2 of Lots 17 through 20, Block 178, Bacific Beach, 4636 Olney Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the winth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 20 , 19 50

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made9-20-50	By Capt. Ray S. Jones, John Birkel & P. C. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-20-50 Decision approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-21-50 Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 9-20-50 Building Inspector 9-22-50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

refejit vers and a zim vers and a second a second

The second se the search of the second se

profile and the state of the

10 10

CONECC,

8613

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank D. and Laura Jane Sinclair to divide and have right to erect a single family residence on each parcel, as follows:

- (1) 115 ft. frontage on Mar Ave. and 128 ft. on Rhoda Dr.
- (2) 100 ft. frontage on Rhoda Dr.

Lot 13, Beverly Heights on Southeast corner of Rhoda Drive and Mar Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 20 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res No. 5008

Application Received 9-15-50	By City Planning Department
Investigation made9=20=50	By Capt. Boy S. Jones, John Markel & P. G. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date Building Inspector <u>982890</u> Thealth Department 982890 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

1.12 - 12 - 13 - 14

St plan a set of skill

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8540</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. W. Powers, to construct approximately 23' x 18'6" addition to an existing residence and garage, with a 12 foot rear yard and a five foot side yard for the walls of the building and three and one-half foot side yard for the chimney, on Lot 354 of Block 19, in Crown Point, located at 3422 Bayonne Drive, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be tevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1950

Zoning Engineer Strikty

Application Received	By CB Ross City Planning Department
Investigation made10-1-50	By By City Planning Department
Planning Commission Pe Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	0-4-50 Hearing date Date 10-4-50 0-5-50 Building Inspector 10-5-50 Health Department 10-5-50 Health Department 10-5-50 Date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Francisco - Alexandra

T'ANAL BALL NC'

I construction of the second se

the first and share a set of the state of the

When a first of a constraints

How to be the the to the to the

THE COMPANY COMPANY

Breis & STINGER

The received and a

1

and the many of source of the source

et. 11

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8611</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alpheus J. and Esther E. Gillette to divide lot into 3 parcels with permission to build single family residence on each, according to survey map on file in Zoning Committee's Office, being that portion of Lot A lying Northerly of the Westerly extension of the Southerly line of Hempstead Place, , Kensington Heights No.1 Subdivision, on Hempstead Place, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Aflit reeyded 3-26-5-1 Q FMe 2-11-53

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Sept. 20, _____, 19_50

By _____ Secretary Zoning Engineer

Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made 20-50	By Cont. May 3. Sonas Sohn Mining A 2. 0. Parton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Commission Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date 9-20-50
Planning Commission Petitione	Building Inspector
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	r Health Department 9-22-50
Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A state of the state of

en and a start of the start of

1 118. 8 . 10 6 . 18481 - 3 . 2 . - 46 . 1

and a second second

and per states a second second second

WE TOUGH FILLS

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8471</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. H. Darby, to divide into two equal parcels fronting on Midway Street, and to build a single family residence on each parcel, on Lots 16 and 17 of Block 11 in Bird Rock Addition, located at the northwest corner of Midway and Taft Streets, in Zone R-1, on condition that a 15 foot setback is maintained on both streets, and that the corner residence have not less than 15 feet rear yard, according to the lot plans submitted to the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

oninger

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

SEGTEMETY

Dated	October	L	,	19_50
lated	- LIGTALAI		•	

Application Received <u>9-14-50</u>	By M. P. Barry City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-4-50</u>	By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-4-50	Hearing date
Decision Conditional Opproved	Date 10-4-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5-50	Building Inspector 10-6-50
Planning Commission Petitioner	10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

I. C. as * . . .

and an arrive for the second

5 5 5 5 1 4

alle at a with a w

A. S. & S. & S.

AND THE STREET STREET

apple was going to the factor and chelt ar jac

178 (The second

,

ine an an areas

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8575</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Harry F., Elizabeth and Myrtle C. Roberts to convert an existing apartment into two apartments, making six living units where five living units now exist, on the second floor of a building which has zero side yard, on Lots 23 and 24, Block 176, San Diego Land & Town Company's Addition, 2092, 2094 and 2096 Logan Ave, Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed - Hearing 10-19-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 20 , 19 50

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer XXXXX Res. No. 5012

Application Received	By <u>R. M. Mc Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made	By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1-10 Decision Level Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-1-10 Planning Commission Petitione Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Hearing date Date 10-1-50 Building Inspector 10-6-50
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a set to a free

148 A. T.

. .

and the first of the second al is love to the set

Marie Pit Lands And

ATTER AND A STA

Hetriques 15

1

14 6 14

3 5

Ť.

A STATE TATE OF THE STATE TO STATE

1

and the action were made and

- 0

Mades 21 5 4

RESOLUTION NO. 99925

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Harry F. Roberts, 3939 First Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5012, application No. 8575, for variance to Ordinance No. 8924, Sec. Sa, permission to convert an existing apartment into two apartments, making six living units where five living units now exist, on the second floor of a building which has zero side yard, on Lots 23 and 24, Block 176, San Diego Land & Town Company's Addition, 2092, 2094, 2096 Logan Avenue in Zone C. be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that permission is hereby granted to Harry F. Roberts, Elizabeth Roberts and Myrtle C. Roberts, to convert an existing apartment into two apartments, making six living units where five living units now exist, on the second floor of a building which has zero side yard, on Lots 23 and 24, Block 176, San Diego Land & Town Company's Addition, subject to leaving a thirty-two foot by twenty foot in depth area on Evans Street for parking purposes.

uncil thearing 10-19-50

	City Cler
	City Cier
Bv	HELEN M. WILLIG

RESOLUTION NO. 99925

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Harr Zoning Committee in denyin variance to Ordinance No. into two apartments, makin second floor of a building San Diego Land & Town Comp be, and it is hereby susts

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED Elizabeth Roberts and Myr Apartments, making six liv floor of a building which Land & Town Company's Addi in depth area on Evans Sti

assessing 10-19-50

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

te decision of the on No. 8575, for existing apariment its now exist; on the nd 24, Block 176, Avenue in Zone C, n is hereby overruled.

arry F. Roberts, partment into two xist, on the second Block 176, San Diego oot by twenty foot

FRED W. SICK City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. <u>5013</u> Del 4509 \$ 4594

WHEREAS, Application No. ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months Brom the expiration date of Resolution No. 4594 dated March 15, 1950 which amended Resolution No. 4509, dated March 8, 1950, in the name of F. N. McBride, be granted to construct a single family residence on a portion of Lot 2, according to legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, with a 15 ft. setback to be observed on Conde and Pine Streets. Block 497, Old San Diego, on the Southerly corner of the intersection, Zone R-1, on the following condition:

That the owners of Lot 1 and portion of Lot 2 adjoining, A. J. and Estella W. Sutherland, sign an Agreement to the effect that the Southwesterly 50 feet of Lot 2 and an adjoining portion of Lot 1, Block 497, Old San Diego, will always be kept as one parcel, and will never be sold separately.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

AGG 641 3/29/50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal. is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

_ , 19 Dated October 4 FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. 5013

Application ReceivedB	y Re Barry City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Copt. day S. Jones, and City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Health Department
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the second sec

men'

.

No. 5014 Dec 5035 RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE 8653 WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown: 1. That the granting of the application is _____necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and 2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and 3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, That the following described property, Lot North 1/2 of Lot 4 Block Eureka Lemon Tract (Carl J. Hansen) Subdivision 100-unit trailer park may be used for the erection and operation of TENTATIVE APPROVAL subject to the following conditions 1. A compact evergreen hedge at least 3 ft. high at the time of planting be installed around the property and maintained in first-class condition at all times; 2. A 5 ft. fence or wall to be constructed around the property; All required driveways, roadways, or unoccupied space utilizied in lieu of a driveway or roadway, to be graded and surfaced full width with dust-resisting material;
 The exterior design of all structures to be approved by the Zoning Committee; 5. No portion to be occupied until a unit is built and approved by the City Health Department and the City Planning Department; 6. This permit to expire on June 30, 1956. Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Res. No. 5014

19450 October 4 Dated

2144

By Secretary Poor No. 501 Zoning Engineer

 Application Received
 $7 - 29 - 5^{\circ}$ By
 $7 \cdot M \cdot Mc$ Consult

 Investigation made
 $10 - 4 - 5^{\circ}$ By
 City Planning Department

 Investigation made
 $10 - 4 - 5^{\circ}$ By
 City Planning Department

 Considered by Zoning Committee
 $10 - 4 - 5^{\circ}$ Hearing date
 Department

 Decision
 $0 - 4 - 5^{\circ}$ Hearing date
 $0 - 4 - 5^{\circ}$

 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk
 $10 - 6 - 5^{\circ}$ Building Inspector
 $10 - 6 - 5^{\circ}$

 Planning Commission
 Petitioner
 $10 - 6 - 5^{\circ}$ Health Department
 $10 - 6 - 5^{\circ}$

 Appeal filed with City, Clerk, date
 Council Hearing, date
 Date
 $10 - 6 - 5^{\circ}$

 Resolution becomes effective
 The finit extended to
 Date of action
 Date

an mer mannen in a sur dimension of other the particular provides an all more or a state

and the second second and the second se

" concentration by the Antion Commission of the Cist of

the property of a set of the set

a salar that will be a second of the

Letter dated Sept. 22, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4521, dated March 22, 1950, be granted to Martha S. Handley to divide into a building site for a single family residence, being a portion of Pueble Lot 1290, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, located on a 30 ft. easement approximately 1/2 mile Northeast of the end of Ardath Road, Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. That the two existing barracks buildings be either removed from the premises or completely demolished within thirty days from the date of this Resolution, but with permission to store the resulting lumber from the buildings on the premises for a period not to exceed one year from the date of this Resolution;
- 2. That an 80 ft. easement be granted to the City, running North and South across the property, on the approximate center line of the existing roadway.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. P. 484

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 4

_____ , 19 **5**0

Zoning Engineer

By___

Secretary

Res. No. 5015

Application Received 74 25- 50	By City Planning Department	
Investigation made	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Rey S. Jones, a By City Planning Department	and
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision Constitional approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1005-50	Hearing date Date 10-4-50	
Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	r 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50 Council Hearing, date Date	
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action	
A DE LA LETTE A STATE A STATE	and the second and the second second second second second	

The start of the second start of the start o

and the second second second second

a new state of a process of the state of the property of the

the fatter of the state of the state of the state of the

1. 1.

· · · · ·

pro tare prime

19434 A 2000 11

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8610</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Corinne Wynes to make alterations and 5 ft. by 12 ft. addition including bathroom, to existing residence with 2.15 ft. sideyard on Lets 13 and 14, Block 2, West Teralta, located at 4441 Iowa Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By				-	and the second	
Zoning	Engineer	Secretary XXXXXXX	Res.	No.	5016	

Dated Oct. 4 , 1950-

Application Received	Ву _	City Planning Department Adm. Burrel C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and
Investigation made	By_	T C P
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision <i>Clemical</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-50</u> Planning Commission Petitione Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective		0-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		Continued to Date of action

an an an a star

and again the state

18

and a star in

In Hard the second second

a state of the second

india.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8172</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

to

Permission is hereby DENIED to Julia Malta/make alterations and repairs on existing building or buildings on Lots 39 and 40, Block 11, L. W. Kimball's Subdivision, located at 2462 "K" Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By__

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 4 , 19_50

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX Res. No. 5017

PORM 2145

a protection and a second s		To p	11. 71 .	
Application Received 9- 19-50	Bv	E-(Vantese	
all adding theory of		City Planning Departm	P. Q. Bur	
nvestigation made	ByAdm.	Burrell C. Allen, C	apt. Ray S. Jones, and	ton.
8		City Planning Departm	nent	
Considered by Zoning Committee	50 Hearing	date		
Decision Resural	Date	10-4-50		
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5-	50 Building	Inspector <u>10-6-50</u>		
Planning Commission Petition	ner 10-5-50	Health Departmen	t 10-6-50	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council 1	Hearing, date		
Decision of Council	Date		The states	
Resolution becomes effective				
Application withdrawn	Continue	ed to		
Time limit extended to	Date of a			

Lite to the st Capy De la se 170041 1 100

33 315 CF

A Truge to a

the Induction of Automatic

a state the second

ALC: AT DE SET

and the set of the

- 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8608</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. L. and Esther H. Stitt to erect single family dwelling on portion of Lot 4, Rosemont Addition, as per legal description on file in Planning Department Office, located on Northerly side of Scimitar Drive at intersection with Broadway Street, in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By____

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 4 , 19 50

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>9-21-50</u> B	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-4-50</u> B	y City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision <i>Approved</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

THE PARTY OF MERINA

5414 F. S. S. T.

Marks,

10.

A ST TOP I T THE T ALL AND TOP I

· ALAS

the second s

a warte in anyone war and there are president to the the table of the

in the second of a second of the second of t

2 martine of the standard of

A THEMPS & SALV THE

2 .

£.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Alfred C. Cannon's to construct single family residence with 2 ft. setback on San Elijo Street on West 50 feet of Lot 2, Block 133, La Playa, located on the Southeasterly corner of McCall and San Elijo Streets, ZoneR-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 50

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 4

Byoning Engineer Secretary Res. No. 5019

FORM 2145

lated.

Application Received $\frac{9-22-52}{B}$	y Adm Long City Planning Department	
	y <u>Adm. Burrell C. Allen. Capt. Ray S. Jones and</u> City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee10-4-50Hearing dateDecisionDecisionDate10-4-50Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk10-5-50Building Inspector10-6-50Planning CommissionPetitioner10-5-50Health Department10-6-50		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date	
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action	

. .

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8581</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles R. Jones, owner, and Frank Balestrieri, lessor, to operate a poultry slaughtering plant in connection with an existing retail market, approximately 100 live birds to be kept on the premises; provided all live birds are kept within a building; and that birds are not to be fed on the premises; that live birds are to be killed within 24 hours; and that all requirements of the Health Department are to be complied with, on Lots 1 through 4 of Block 14 in Lincoln Park Subdivision, at 32 -25th Street, in Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance Number 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal i filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______ 0ctober 14 , 19 50

PORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation madeBy	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-4-50 Decision Conditional Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Hearing date Date 10-1-50 Building Inspector 10-6-50 Health Department 10-6-50 Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second

Leulaire Neulaire Neu

Lille Corp.

TT 6 2.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8616</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. Arkin to use existing building for storage of insulating materials on Portion SW 1/4 of NE 1/4 lying North and East of Euclid Ave. Section 33 Township 16S Range 2W, located at 3007 Euclid Avenue, Zone C and E-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated October 4, 19_50

Zoning Engineer

Res No. 5012

Application Received	By M. C. Barry
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department
The second second second	
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-4-50	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 10-4-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerkon5-50	Building Inspector 10-6-50
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-4-50 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 0-5-50 Planning Commission Petitione	r 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second second

and part of day

- .

La la contra da

Carles and

· · · ·

10. T. L. 17 .

N 1945 MAR WORKSTON DAVIS DE LA COMPANY DE L

a define have a serie that the second

i statis and

the second second

and the second of the second o

IT BID I TOITTING MOTORIANS PERSONS - IDEPENDES

and the fight many states of the second second

8584 WHEREAS, Application No. _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles P. Iffland, to erect a six-foot concrete block fence on the lot line at the rear of the garage, on top of the existing retaining wall, with a maximum height of ten feet, provided the wall is finished with a color coat on the outside, on Lots 43 and 44 of Block S in City Heights, at 2530 Montclair Street, in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretery.

lated October 4 , 1950

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5022

PORM 2145

Application Received	By
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and By
Batton manage	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1-3 Decision Conductional Opproved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5	0 Hearing date Date 0 50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission Petition	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	3
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

the state of the

r

CONTRACT

apple about about mo

orological in the second

.

.

ST NEW!

1 Martin

1 8 41 51

1 0* - HY .:

The property is the T * UT ju per sult.

to statistic
 to statistic
 to statistic
 to statistic

The forest of the second

The set of the second second second line

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8638</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glen Munkelt to construct retaining wall 8 feet high on Lots 11 and 10, Block 71, Roseville located at 3333 Ingelow Street in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By____

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX Res. No. 5023

FORM 2145

Application Received $\frac{q}{2} - \frac{26}{5} - \frac{52}{5}$ By	y City Planning Department P. Q. Burton	
Investigation made	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt.Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-4-50 Hearing date Decision Approval Date 10-4-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5-50 Building Inspector 10-6-50 Planning Commission Petitioner 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date Date		
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action	

.

FTY St at - 1 "D

9006 -1.

,

per rea

.

la 👝 🦕 🖬 👘

and the second

201040.000

WHEREAS, Application No. 8578 has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section f Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rev. Theodore Bell and Helen Bell to construct a single family residence on parcel split out of original lot after zoning, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1285, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the south side of the intersection of Hidden Valley Road and the private extension of Roseland Drive, in Zone R-1; and also to excavate on the property, construct fill on the property, and move excess dirt from the land, on the following conditions: 1. That the present fill be cut down as shown on drawings submitted by

- Rev. Theodore Bell and on file in the Planning Department Office;
- 2. That the floor of the Bell residence be located not higher than 7 feet above the floor of the adjacent Smith residence; and
- 3. That all cut and/or fill slopes be planted and landscaped from both an aesthetic and protective standpoint;
- 4. Subject to a permit to excavate to be issued by the City.Manager, and any additional conditions imposed by said permit.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

october 26 , 19 50

By_

10RM 2145

Application Received <u>9- 26-50</u> By	- P. B. Burlow City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Copy Ray S. Jones Mr. Wilton Lencet, City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-25-50 Decision Comp. Appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-26-50 Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date Building Inspector Health Department
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

er av sa pra

:

2

272 .

,

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8626</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. G. Payne to make alterations to residence having approximately 2 ft. sideyard and 6 ft. access to street on Let C, Block 5, Horton's Addition located at 1241 - 9th Street in Zone "C".

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ath day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

ated October 4

______, 19___50

Zoning Engineer

Ву_____

Res No.5025

RM 2145

Application Received <u>9-26-5</u> B	y City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 14 - 50$ B	y aday Burnel (allen Copt Kay & Jone + P. Burl City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A P Mar I and a sume times

and the second second

and the second second

, .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7514</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leo J. and Georgian Jean Kaiser, to erect a retaining wall and a free standing concrete block wall, the retaining wall to be not higher than the grade on the lot from the front property line back to the setback line, and the free standing wall to be not higher than Sf eet above the lowest adjacent ground level and back of the setback line, on Lot 1 of Block 2 in Lamont Terrace, at 4805 Lamont Street, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be twoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 5026

SRM 2145

ated_

October 4 , 19 50

Application Received 9-26-50	By E. C. Man Africe City Planning Department P. Q. Bus
Investigation made10_405-	By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision Confictional Approxe Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-1</u> Planning Commission Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	50 Building Inspector 10-6-50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

OUTTH. IN THOSE

60

well on the first frequence of a west of all the property of the second se

01 .

. C ...

5 1 -

1167.24

South Switch I were templeton of the City of Switches

and the start was a set of the words a break of

A second statement of a s second statement of a second statemen

and a second and a second s

the second s

the second second second second and the same second s

Difference and the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8643</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Karl B. Hill to add to residence with a 15 ft. rear yard on Portion of Pueblo Lot 1285, being Parcel 290 of Assessor's Map No. 33, located at 1935 Hypatia Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

6, 35

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Res. No. 5027

Dated October 4	, ¹⁹ 5 0
occoper 4	
FORM 2145	

Application Received <u>9-28-50</u> By <u>PR</u> , <u>Buston</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-4-50</u> By <u>Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and</u> City Planning Department P. Q. Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Hearing date Decision <i>Approvel</i> Date 10-4-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1045-50</u> Building Inspector <u>10-6-50</u>
Decision approval Date 10-4-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1095-50 Building Inspector 10-6-50
Planning Commission Petitioner 10-5-50 Realth Department 10-6-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

ten de la serie La serie de la s

101017 10 a she secure in the second strains as

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>86/4</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wayne E. Monsees to construct a residence and garage with 14 ft. setback from Via del Norte on Let 1, Block 7, La Jolla Hermosa, on Southwest corner of Via del Norte and Vista de la Mesa, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 4

FORM 2145

By_____ 4

, 1950

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received _ 9 - 28 - 50	_ By City Planning Department P. Q. Burton
Investigation made10=1:=50	By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department
A opeal filed with City Clerk, date	-50_ Building Inspector 10-6-50 oner 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a the start day of the start

particular in the second of th

an analysis of the set of the set

the part of the set of the set of the part of the set of the

AUDITACE PURIS A ALTER A ALTER AND A AUDITACE

the state of the state of the

.

Cuthed Shore.

1 1 1 1

Letter dated Sept. 27, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months to Resolution No. 4593, dated April 5, 1950, be granted to B. M. Taylor to construct a restaurant with parking areas, on Lots 14 through 17 and the closed alley adjoining, Block 3, Stephen's Addition, on the West side of Revere Ave., approximately 200 ft. North of Glendora St., Zone R-4, on the following condition:

The parking areas to be surfaced, and a fence or hedge to be constructed back of the setback line on Revere Ave. and adjacent to the North line of the property, if the parking areas abut the R-4 Zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Res No. 5029

Dated October 4 _ , 19 50

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>7-28-5</u> ^o By Investigation made <u>10-4-50</u> By	City Planning Department Adm. Burrel C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, an P. Q. Burton
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Decision Conditional approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5-50 Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 10-4-50 Building Inspector <u>10-6-50</u> 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a the state of the state of the

Harry &

NET THE TOTAL TO C

tant F 7

1000

S STAR

The state of the s

TRANSPORT OF THE A STATE OF A PROPERTY OF

wapt to the and a sheet of

•

and the state of the state of the

14 . V.

RESOLUTION NO. 5030 see 4513

Letter dated 9-27-50

WHEREAS, <u>Application No.</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4513, dated March 8, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Luella Koons to erect a garage with no side yard on Lot 1, in Block J, of Bird Rock City by-the-Sea, and the Northwesterly 2.75 feet of the Northeasterly 27 feet of Lot 2, in Block J, of Bird Rock City bythe-Sea.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated _____ October 11 , 1950

FORM 2145

Res. No. 5026

Application Received <u>9- 28- 50</u> By	City Planning Department
	a. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-4-50 Hear	ing date
Decision <i>Approval</i> Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-50</u> Build	10-4-50
C of Pocolution sent to City Clerk 10-5-50 Build	ing Inspector <u>10-6-50</u>
Planning Commission Petitioner 10-5	-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Planning Commission Petitioner 10-5	cil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Contr	nued to
Time limit extended to Date	of action

And and and and and and and and and the formation of the formation formation of the statistical of the statistical statistics of the statistical statistics of the statistical statistics of the statistical statistics of the stati

A. P. Y ST. Q. P. TOL

- offo :

and the second at the

white the second

No strate all to Provide

/1

and the part of the second states and the

1 - 11 - 11

Starting the Lot of the Start Starting Starting

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7654</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Day & Night Plumbing & Heating Company to build a 5-car garage with o ft. sideyard, to be located 15 ft. from center line of the alley, on Lot 5 (except Northerly 50 ft. of the East 15 ft.) and Lot 6 (except North 50 ft. and East 15 ft.) and all of Lots 7, 8 and 9, Block 231, University Heights, northwest corner of Albert and Brooks Streets, Zone E-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dw

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 4	_ '	19-50
FORM 2145		70 . 3.

	And the loss of the	Secretary				-
Zoning	Engineer	XXXXXXX	Res.	No.	5031	

Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10=4-50</u>	By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision <i>Approval</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Hearing date Date 10-4-50 Building Inspector 10-6-50 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

1.00

Man A and a second and a second

a the time of second processing on the second second

and the state of the state of the state of the

aller the

1042.410 73.22.

In Per 20 0101 / 10-10

NO COT DU TON

20 10 10 10

, t.

RESOLUTION NO. 5032 see 99990 following

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8646</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lucretia Day Belt, owner, and J. E. Belt, operator, to erect a l'xl0' sign on the wall of a sign ship, on Lots 7 and 5 of Block 27, in Resubdivision of Teralta, at 4271 Central Avenue, in Zone R-4;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above;

and

Permission is hereby DENIED to Lucretia Day Belt, owner, and J. E. Belt, operator, to erect and/or maintain a 2'xl2' sign on a beauty shop on Lots 7 and 8 of Block 27, in Resubdivision of Teralta, at 4271 Central Avenue, in Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed - HEARING 10-26-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______ 0ctober 4 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer Stiticity

Res. No. 5032

FORM 2145

Application Received B	City Planning Department
here of Batton many	y <u>Adm. Burrell ^C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones</u> , and City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-4-50 pecision Marine Resolution sent to City Clerk 64 Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 10-4-50 Building Inspector <u>10-6-50</u> 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50 Council Hearing, date <u>Date</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

A support of the second s

TTO AVAILAT PA TORPT TORT STADAN

The the total second

the second

and the second state of th

the second s

a fore and the second state of the second state of the second state

the second s

and the second second

RESOLUTION NO. 99990

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RES 5032

That the appeal of Lucretia Day Belt, 4269 Central Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying application of Lucretia Day Belt, owner, and J. E. Belt, operator, to erect and/or maintain a 2' x 12' sign on a beauty shop on Lots 7 and 8 Block 27 Resubdivision of Teralta, located at 4271 Central Avenue, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 99990 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Oct. 26, 1950

A

les

10M -12/48

leal	filed 10-9-50	FRED	W.	SICK		
	10-26-50	10 agus.		City	/ Clerk.	
i	10	ByBy.	N M	. WILLIG		
ering				I	Deputy.	

RESOLUTION NO. 99990

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RES 5032

That the appea decision of the Zening C and J. E. Belt, operator on Lots 7 and 8 Block 27 in Zone R-4, be, and it hereby overruled.

Page is intentionally left blank.



sus, from the tia Day Belt, owner, gn on a beauty shop 71 Central Avenue, at noteigeb eettin

City of San Diego

I perchy certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 99990 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Oct. 26, 1950 Lal 10-9-50 100mm

ICK	PRED W. S	
City Clerk.		
WILLIG	.N WELSH	By
Deputy.		

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8661</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Antonic Chula to build a 26'6" x 34' garage on Lot 5, in Block 48, of Roseville, at 3112 Emerson Street, in Zone R-4, on condition that the duplex shown on plans approved by the Planning Department be commenced within 90 days from the date of this Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 4 , 1950

Zoning Ingineer

Secretary

Res. No. 5033

FORM 2145

Application Received	By By City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision Conditional approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-5</u>	Hearing date Date 10-4-50 Building Inspector 10-6-50
Planning Commission Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

to here F with the source of all and there a over, function of they solve to and an and a second of the second is a second of the secon

bicourses in the state of the state of

r

\$15 · · · ·

10.0519

1.000

two and the manual no. on

911 1 2 5 6 5

the stand of the stand of the stand of the stand of the

Sect . War . Higher

The said the way to

- - 01 1 m t, 1, 01 m m.

a la serie a serie

. .

WHEREAS, Letter dated 10-2-50

has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not ____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4927, dated August 23, 1950, be amended, to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. and Edna McClure to divide into a building site, move a building thereon and convert to a garage, being the Northerly 295.60 feet of the Easterly 127.52 feet of Lot 21, Bureka Lemon Tract. 3545 Ticonderoga Street, in Zone R-1, on the condition that the owner grant to the City an easement for the widening of Ticonderoga Street to its full width, and on condition that the house to be built later and this garage conform in architecture.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sector Child

, 1950 October 4 Dated_

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

As. No. 5034

pplication Received <u>9 - 24 - 52</u>	By Al Burlow
	By By City Planning Department P. (
	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones.
estigation made <u>10-405-</u>	By City Planning Department
sidered by Zoning Committee	10-4-50 Hearing date
cision Conditional april	Date 10-4-50
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk	<u>Petitioner</u> 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50
peal filed with City Clerk, date	
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action
The local data in the second data at	
an Hender A. Star 193	
	and the second of the state of the second
Hard and hard a start and the second	where a second second second and the the
The state of the s	a tradition of the second second construction of the out
the second of the second secon	and a set of the set o
whether is a ware come of the	a second s
and the state of the	The second se
mal a nated in the second	
s follo : :	the state of the state of the state of the state of
,	
·	

1 1 Contraction of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8654</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl J. Hansen, to build and operate a 100-unit trailer park on the North Half of Lot 4 of Eureka Lemon Tract, at the East side of Pacific Highway north of Bunker Hill, in Zone R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That a compact evergreen hedge at least three feet high at the time of planting be installed around the property and maintained in first-class condition at all times;
- 2. That a five-foot fence or wall be constructed around the property;
- 3. That all required driveways, roadways, or unoccupied space utilized in lieu of a driveway or roadway, be graded and surfaced full width with dust resisting material;
- 4. That the exterior design of all structures be approved by the Zoning Committee;
- 5. That no portion be occupied until a unit is built and approved by the City Health Department and the City Planning Department;

6. This permit to be for a period expiring on June 30, 1956.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby tranted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described those.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ October 4 , 19_50

FORM 2145

By_____ Zoning Engineer Statistics

Res. No. 5035

Application Received 9-29-50	By City Planning Department	-
Investigation made	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, an By P. Q. Burton	ıd
	City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision Constituonal approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1005-50</u>	Hearing date	
Decision Conditional approval	Date 10-4-50	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1095-50	Building Inspector 10-6-50	
Planning Commission Petitione	r 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	
and the second		-

Malemble results of the second of the second s

and the second and subjects of the state shade the

,

10 7 11 17 11 17 11 C 2.

na · fr inte

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8635</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward and Rose Bryant, to operate a beauty shop in the cottage in the rear of Lots 3 and 4 of Block 13 in Ocean Beach Park, at 4784 Muir Avenue, Zone R-4, together with one sign 10" x 20" in dimension, in the bay window of said cottage, said sign to be unlighted after 10 o'clock P.M. until daylight.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No.12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 4 , 1950

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5036

Dated _____

Application Received9-27-50 I	
Investigation made I	By <u>AdmBurell Callon Cost Pays</u> , Jours and City Planning Department J. Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision Conditional approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-50</u>	Hearing date
Decision Conditional approval	Date 101450
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5-50	_ Building Inspector 10-6.10
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the first state of the stat

,

hand the state of the

the second of the second second

and the second of the second of the

and the second first of the second

WHEREAS, Application No.8549 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Disbrow P. Johnson, to construct a single family residence with no setback for the porch stoop, but with a four-foot setback for the other portions of the building, on condition that the appearance on the street frontage be acceptable to the Planning Department, on Lot "L" and portion of Curlew Street closed, and that portion of Redwood (30') Street closed adjoining, in Block 346 of Horton's Addition, in Zone R-1, located at the southwest corner of Redwood and Curlew Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2017, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 4 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer XXXXXXXX

Res. No. 5037

	white the second states and the second state
Application Received 9-26-50	By E.C. Van Hese
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 10-4-50	By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jone's and City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, dat e	Date 10-14-50
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
140 blie worestly for called ove.	
is of the provide the south for the	ne strad o ote, that was been the set
The state of the second st	character and the second
the second state of the second state of the second	
and the second s	
	The first state of the state of
	the are and a particular the second of the second second
	and the state of t

WHEREAS, Application No. 595 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen H. Taylor and Margaret Hawken, to build a snack bar kitchen in a separate room on the lower floor of a single family residence, on Lot 24, of Yacht Club Terrace, at 851 Rosecrans Street in Zone R-1, on condition that the owners of said property, Helen H. Taylor and Margaret Hawken, sign an agreement to the effect that the kitchen will be used only as incidental to the use of the recreation room, and will not be rented separately, and will be put to no use other than as incidental to this single family dwelling.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

AGG 678

Res. No. 5038

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretery

Dated ______, 19_50

The second second

70000071111

Application Received	9-26-50	By	P. R. Buston
Investigation made	10-4-50	By Adm.	City Planning Department <u>Burrell C. Allen. Capt. Rey S. Jones</u> and City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Decision Confilmed Copy of Resolution set Planning Commission Appeal filed with Cit; Decision of Council Resolution becomes of: Application withdrawn	nt to City Clerk 10-5-50 P y Clerk, dat e fective	0Heari Date 10-5-50 etitioner	Building Inspector 10-6-50

orreshtering of the second for a second state of the second secon

culls is is the tweer without to the start in the set of the set o

ine a manel to the still must be deep done in the real a to the

minical the second of the the south from the second the

and the alter and again parts, working the state of the

A DATE A DATE TO A DE TRANSPORTANTE A DATE A DATE A DE TRANSPORTANTE DE TRAN

a sub set provide the top " and when a subset in the set

and the set the subject of the state of the state of the set of the

RESOLUTION NO. 5039 see 99991 following

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8632</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elton S. and Mary V. Bailey, to construct an addition to a residence approximately 406 square feet, with a four-foot side yard, and to construct an addition to the rear of an existing garage, the addition to be approximately 188 square feet, with no side yard, on Lot 6, in Block 6, of Islenair Unit No. 2, at 3225 Isla Vista Drive, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, SectionSa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed - Hearing 10-26-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 4 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer Sectorers Res. No. 5039

Application Received <u>9-25-50</u> By E.C. Uan Received	lon Id
Investigation made <u>10-14-50</u> By <u>Adm. Burrell C. Allen. Capt. Ray S. Jones an</u> City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-4-50 Hearing date Decision Mmoval Date 10-4-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5-50 Building Inspector 10-6-50 Planning Commission Petitioner 10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action	

5. · 3 · 4.

.

Samas 11 5 /220.

1

Charles B.

THUR IN THE REAL

per RES. 5039

RESOLUTION NO. 99991

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Elton S. Bailey, 3225 Isla Vista Drive, from the decision of the Zoning Committee on the provisions imposed by Zoning Committee Resolution No. 5039, applicationNo. 8632, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted to Elton S. and Mary V. Bailey, to construct an addition to a residence spproximately 406 square feet, with a three-foot side yard, and to construct an addition to the rear of an existing garage, the addition to be approximately 188 square feet, withno side yard, on Lot 6, in Block 6, of Islenair Unit No. 2, at 3225 Isla Vista Drive, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and it is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

place filed	10-6-50		FRED W.	SICK
earing	10-26-50	10a m	ByBy.	City Clerk. Willig
FORM 1270				Deputy.

per RES. 5039

RESOLUTION NO. 99991

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of of the Zoning Committee No. 5039, applicationNe Committee decision is 1

BE IT FURTHER HES(Bailey, to construct au three-foot side yard, a the addition to be app: 6, of Islenair Unit No. A variance to is hereby granted as to property described abo

anal files 10-6-50

ACOIN FORM 1270

10-26-50 102 20

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

from the decision mittee Resolution nd said Zoning

to Elton S. and Mary V. HOS square feet, with a of an existing garage, rard, on Lot 6, in Block -1. Section Sa. be, and it

is they relate to the

RICK	.W CERT
City Clerk. Willig	ByBy.
Deputy.	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8617</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert W. and Marjorie Bell, to move and enlarge a garage and to have approximately six-inch side yard, provided the garage is not closer to the front property line on Grape Street than the adjoining garage on the east, on the East Half of Lots 9-12 inclusive, of Block 22, in San Diego Property Union Subdivision, at 3255 Grape Street, in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

SCOLODINXXX

Dated ______ , 19_50

TTOO FICH MACHINEMED

nea ottad age

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5040

Application Received 9-22-50	By E. C. Van Rie City Planning Department
Investigation made 10-4-50	By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones and City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision Conditional Approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10</u> Planning Commission <u>10-5-50</u> Pet Appeal filed with City Clerk, <u>dat e</u> Decision of Council_ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	

O TE ALL LESS

1-1-1-1-1-1-2013

AND NOT THE

and prove the restriction of the second state in the interaction of the State, or only of the

The fire account of the second of the state of the second of the second

the put the second second and the second Deficient to an and the second s

a formation of the second of the second of the period of the second of the Madillow and a second of a state of the state of the second second

CONTRACTOR AND THE AVERAGE DEPENDENCE AND A PROPERTY OF A DEPENDENCE OF A DEPE

There is a first a the right of the providence of the Month of the more than

WHEREAS, Application No.8623 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Yancey Neil and Mary E. Adams, to convert a single family residence to a duplex, said duplex having the appearance of a single family residence, on a portion of Villa Lot 128 approximately 120 ft. x 146 ft. in dimension, on Villa Lot 128 (Parcel "C"), Normal Heights, located at 3516 Sydney Place, in Zone R-1, on condition that two off-street parking spaces are provided on the premises, and no exterior changes be made on the street frontage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secreterser

October 4 , 19_50

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5041

Dated _____

A- ii ii D- i i	9-22	-Jo By	80	Va	21	
Application Received .		Бу	City	Planning De	partment	
Investigation made	10-4-50		a. Burrell C. City	Allen, Planning De		P. Q. Burton S. Jones, and
Considered by Zoning Decision Condition Copy of Resolution ser Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Decision of Council	Clerk, date	10-4-50 Hea Dat Dat Petitioner 10-4 Cou	lding Inspector 50 Heal incil Hearing,	th Depart	-6-50 ment 10-1	6-50
Resolution becomes ef: Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	1		tinued to			

the second second second the second the second second the second second

a state a state as a second of the set in the install the second second of an

Floring S. A. A.

constitution & that construction the construction of a construction of the constr

at in Cantel and the many by the Jack P. Jack P. Jack

there are there are and the post of the there are

- for the Local Left - Mar . It's - marine to a visual

support and the second second second structure and a second second

CONTRACTOR OF STREET

Branchart and and

TANK TRACTORY

STOTE STUGTON STOTES

cherto giudita as in sol a

Services 5 to Turn and the

numpelse .

. . . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8529</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. E. and Eleanor C. Swanson, to maintain a second kitchen in a single family residence in connection with a recreation room on the lower floor, on Lot 3 of Block 32 in La Jolla Hermosa No. 2, located at 6120 Waverly Street, in Zone R-1, on condition that the owners sign an agreement that the lower floor will never be rented separately, and that the said second kitchen will always be used by the occupants of this single family residence only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

<u>October 4</u>, 19<u>50</u>

By Zoning Engineer RESERVE

Res. 5042

Dated____

Application Received <u>9-18-50</u> E	By C. Buston City Planning Department Adm. Burrel C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and
Investigation made <u>10-4-50</u> E	By P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-4-50</u> Decision Conditional Approve Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-5-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

ANTHE CONTRACTOR OF STREETS OF SHOP DISCOUNTS

1 2 - I alke water the apprent the state of the set

where the second states and the second s

and the property the contraction of the first the dense of

and the to be a series of a series of the state of the series

the set of the set of

and a finite the many the nationalizer for approximately provide the

and and the second second state shares and and the second

12 . . I To Date of the Marsh by

7. 10(7.72, 7° - 1.

Chical Starts Const

units of AT

a gran - ----U. J. 726. 7

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jeanne B. Fiori, (also known as Jeanne Chisholm and Jeanne Offerman), to construct a single family residence on the South Half of Lots 11 and 12 of Elock 192 in Pacific Beach, located at the northeast corner of alley intersection west of Mission Boulevard, south of Emerald Street, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed Hearing 10-24-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______ , 1950

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5043

Application Received9-13-5 B	y Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Commission Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date Building Inspector Health Department Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

William and the set of the set of

Tel to to the second of the or .

ALL DI LINE AND 116,01. · ·

Man Tring The Art State

TO A PROPERTY AND A REAL OF A R

12 m * 10 * 80412

the off of a short of the sum of site the spirit

A series of the series of the first series of the series o

a service of the particulation of graph" (by a publication of come

The The Tale ends, Le total the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8585</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Jeanne B. Fiori (also known as Jeanne Chisholm and Jeanne Offerman), to construct one duplex on the rear portion of Lot 11 and the rear portion of Lot 12, said lots without street frontage but with 20 feet alley access to street, approximately, on the South Half of Lots 11 and 12 of Elock 155 in Pacific Beach, located at the northeast corner of alley intersection west of Mission Boulevard and south of Diamond Street, in Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed = Hearing 10-24-50-99989 Hearing - 11-2-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 4 , 19_50

Zoning Engineer Stricter

Res. No. 5044

	and the second se
Application Received <u>9-26-50</u> B	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-4-50 Decision Decise Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1045-50	Hearing date Date 10-1-50 Building Inspector 10-6-50
Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	10-5-50 Health Department 10-6-50 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The local of the second s

, e j 11 - e

P. P.O. BELLER, R. CO. M. L. D. M.

- 1192, the set why should be

ANDIRES

1976 10 . 19

, har bar bar watter baraner of a

Harrison Daries

Altobertonin

RESOLUTION NO. 99989

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RES 5044

The matter of the appeal of Jeanne B. Fiori from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting variance to permit a single-family residence on South half Lots 11 and 12 Block 192 Pacific Beach; the appeal of Jeanne B. Fiori from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying variance to permit a duplex on rear portions of Lots 11 and 12, Block 155, Pacific Beach, be, and they are hereby referred to the City Attorney for a legal ruling for the meeting of the Council November 2, 1950.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the hearings on said appeals be, and they are hereby continued until the hour of 10:00 o'clock A.M. of Thursday; November 2, 1950.

0 1.2.0			FRED W. SICK	
eal filed	10-9-50			City Clerk.
ersoning	10-24-52	10@m.	By	
				Deputy.
THE FORMUNTO				

10M - 12/48

RESOLUTION NO. 99989

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RES 5044

The matter of the Zoning Committee in gra South half Lots 11 and from the decisionof the portions of Lots 11 and to the City Attorney fo

BE IT FURTHER RESO continued until the hou

10-9-520

Page is intentionally left blank.



on of the residence on ! Jeanne B. Fiori permit a duplex on rear iey are hereby referred !ouncil November 2, 1950.

3, and they are hereby

City of San Diego

	FRED W. SICK	
City Clerk.	and the second second second	
	By. Helen M. Willig	. ne so i
Deputy.		

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8511</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego _

THEREFORE COREXITY RESOLVED X BROTHE ZONINE COMPLETER X fx the Gitx x f Ser Diegox California X ax fx to year X

5. And WHEREAS; the granting of the request contained in Application #8511 will not give to the owners of the remainder of Lots 13 and 14, Block 3, La Mesa Colony any additional right to build under the City Zoning Ordinance, which they do not now possess;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Augustus A. and Winifred J. Atha, to erect a second living unit on the Northerly 90 feet of Lots 13 and 14 and that portion of 68th Street closed adjacent on the east, in Block 3 of La Mesa Colony, at 4912 -68th Street, in Zone R-2, which parcel was split out after zoning.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_50

By Zoning Engineer Schitcher

Res. No. 5045

Application Received 9-21-50 By	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	
	City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-9-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner	Building Inspector
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

10.1.*

history of L

Pare to commence

. .

State & BARADORNA

 All shares and s here and shares and share

with a monthly and read

and when the set of the providence of the set of the set of the set of the

1.61

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William Dwyer to build a six-unit court and garage with a 20 foot rear yard, on Lots 1 and 2 of Block B in Kensington Park Annex, at 4717 Biona Drive, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Ву___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 11 , 1950

Secretary. Zoning Ingineer

Res. No. 5046

	/
Application Received	By Adu B. Callen Grites Jone of John Brite
Investigation made 10 -11 - 50	ByCity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee / -	11 - Co Hearing date
Considered by Zonnig Committee /	Deta $10 - 11^{-170}$
Decision approval	Dale / C
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 70	-/3-50 Building Inspector 10-13-50
Planning Commission Peti	Date / 0 - 11 - 50 -/3-50 Building Inspector / 0 - 13-50 tioner / 0 - 13-50 Health Department / 0 - 13-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

7 cc Van thei

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8438</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Fred B. and Elizabeth W. Waters to divide into two building sites and construct a single family residence on each, being the Southeast 1/4 (except the North 50 ft. and except the South 100 ft.) of Pueblo Lot 175, on the East side of Rosecrans St., 100 ft. North of Rogers St., Zone R-1, one of the above building sites having no street frontage, but served by a 10 ft. easement.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed Hearing 11-2-1950 Waters

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ October 18 , 19 50

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5047

Application Received By	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Ray S. Jones, & P.	Q. Burto
Investigation made <u>10-18-50</u> By		
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-18-50</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-19-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	10-19-50 Health Department 10-20-50	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action	

SUN SO S

50

MAN.

and a start start and and the start and

the state and the second second second and the

Provide Parts Parts

AV

660

6

,

×

RESOLUTION NO. 100530

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Fred B. Waters and Elizabeth W. Waters from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying application for a veriance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, for permission to divide the southeast 1/4 (except the north 50 feet and except the south 100 feet) of Pueblo Lot 175 on the east side of Rosecrans Street, into two parcels, being on the east side of Rosecrans Street, 100 feet north of Rogers Street, in Zone R-1, to provide two building sites and construct a single-femily residence on each, one of the proposed building sites having no street frontage but being served by a 10-foot easement, be, and it is hereby filed.

City Clerk. By HELEN M. WILLIG Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 100530

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of the decision of the 2 variance to the provi ordinance No. 8924, 8 east 1/4 (except the of Pueblo Lot 175 on parcels, baing on the of Rogers Street, in construct a single-fa building sites having 10-foot easement, be,

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

ators from tion for a as, and te the southico feet) into two aites and proposed red by a

City Clerk.

Deputy.

DLLL

By.....

8629 WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown: 1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and 2. That the granting of the application will ______be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and 3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, 19 thru 22 106 Block That the following described property, Lot .. University Heights, 2602-16 El Cajon Blvd., Subdivision (EUGENE TWEED) may be used for the effection and operation ofa motel, using existing buildings, comprised of 8 rental units and 1 manager's quarters, None. subject to the following conditions

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Res. No. 5048

5048

Dated Oct. 18 19450

144

Zoning Engineer

Ву

Application Received 9-28-50 By DW Mc Connell City Planning Department
"City Planning Department
Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones & Investigation made 10m18m50 By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-18-50 Hearing date
DecisionDate10=18=50DecisionD
Planning Commission
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Decision of Council
Resolution becomes effective
Application Withdrawn
Time limit extended to

- La the state of the second state of the seco

and the second of the second sec

The second countries of the Calester

RESOLUTION NO. 5049

Letter dated October 6, 1950

WHEREAS, Appreciation: Nor ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4589, dated April 5, 1950, be granted to T.D. Sherman, owner, and H. O. Ware, purchaser, to divide into 2 parcels and construct a single family residence on each, as follows:

The Southerly 76 ft. of Lot 1;
 Lot 1, except the Southerly 76 ft.

located on Soledad Terrace, on the East side of Collingwood Dr., at Loring St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ October 18 , 19 50

Ву_____

X9850596X

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received $10 - 7 - 50$	By M. & Barry City Planning Department
Investigation made10-18-50	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones & By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-18-50</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-19-5</u> Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	r 10-19-50 Health Department 10-20-50
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The part of sector sol

Sec. 1

. . .

T The second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8631</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. Arnet Speer, owner, and Bass-Redlich Enterprises, Inc., lessor, to erect a solid redwood fence 8 ft. in height around a portion of a skating rink, according to the sketch on file in the Planning Department Office, on Parcel 9A and the Southwesterly 50 ft. of Parcel 9 of Assessor's Map of Record of Survey 1344 in Pueblo Lot 319, 3630 Enterprise St., Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	a W. Mc Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	Adn. Barroll C. Allen, Capt. Ray 3 Japan and City Planning Department P. C. Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-18-50 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-19-60 Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Hearing date Date 10-10-50 Building Inspector 10-20-50
TAC HIGHWAY	
· F. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	A Constant of the second of th
Minway	DARNETT AVE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8686</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Ethel Adams to construct a single family residence on the Southerly 15 ft. of Lot 14 and all of Lots 15 and 16, Block 7, Center Addition to La Jolla Park, on the Northeasterly corner of Massena St. and Pepita Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated October 18 , 19 50

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 10-14 50 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-18-50</u> By	Adm. Burrell ^C , Allen, Capt. Ray S, Jones, & P. Q. City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-18-50</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-19-50</u>	Date 10-18-50
Planning Commission Petitioner 2 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Health Department
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

110 Y .

- -

- 1 C +

10-3

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8659</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> meterially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry Phelps to build a single family residence and garage with a 19 ft. setback on the Northwest 65 ft. of the Southwest 105 ft. of Lot 1, Block 529, Old San Diego, on the Easterly corner of Pine and Trias Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Hold for Appeal 11-2-1950 Henley RES. 100079

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_October 18 , 1950_

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

POBT-
O. G. Buston
City Planning Department
Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date 10-18-50
Building Inspector
Building Inspector 10-20-50 Health Department 10-20-50
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

n - po

DOG STOR

" DIOOL"

A 78 -

and the apprentic providence whet appression in

13

· · ·

a provide is a first and she will be

· Participa (cr. Aspart

RESOLUTION NO. 100079

с ^ОРу

FORM 1270

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Grace M. Henley and others from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 to permit Harry Phelps to build a single-family residence and garage with a 10-foot setback on the northwest 65 feet of the southwest 105 feet of Lot 1 Block 529, Old San Diego, on the easterly corner of Pine and Trias Streets, in Zone R-1, be, and said appeal is hereby denied; and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

pleated on	10-20-50	FRED W. SICK	
learing on	11-2-50	HELEN M. WILLIG	City Clerk.
0		Dy	Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 100079

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Committee in granting v Harry Phelps to build a the northwest 65 feet o the easterly corner of hereby denied; and said

0 PP

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

sion of the Zoning o. 12321 to permit a 10-foot setback on 529, Old San Diego, on and said appeal is tained.

 J herefug tertify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No....100079.

 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said CouncilNox...2, 1950.

 Meded on 10-20-50

 FRED W. SICK

 City Clerk.

 By

 Month Torm 10, 12/48

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8508</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, [alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward and Margaret Strop to divide into two parcels and erect a one-story single family residence on each, being a portion of Lot 13 and all of Lots 19 and 20, Block 4, Ironton, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, the property running through from Armada Terrace to Harbor View Dr., and lying Southwest of Bessemer St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

207

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ October 18 , 19 50

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5053

Application Received By By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-18-50</u> By <u>Adm. Burrell C. Allen. Capt. Ray & Jones and</u> City Planning Department P. Q. Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-18-50 Hearing date
Decision Date 10-18-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-19-50 Building Inspector 10-20-50 Planning Commission Petitioner 10-19-50 Health Department 10-20-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date
Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

,

the party prover.

252 1

and the many start

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8657</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to L. W. and Myrna E. Goddard to convert an existing single family residence to a duplex on Lot 26, Block 1, Islenair Unit No. 1, 3428 Belle Isle Dr., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 18 , 19 50

By_____ Zoning Engineer

Secretory

FORM 2145

Application Received $$	50 By <u>E.C. Vandrie</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made10-18-50	By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray & Jones and City Planning Department P. Q. Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee _1	0-18-50 Hearing date
Decision	Date 10-18-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission	Petitioner 10-19-50 Health Department 10-20-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

When and

ici-

When r.

right,

and an it was here

in .

1.5

CONTRACTOR OF CITAL OF SOM NEEDE

the second states where every

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8673</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edgar R. Isaacson to construct a duplex above a 4-car garage, with an 8 ft. 10 in. rear yard, on Lots 7 and 8, Block 12, Ocean Beach Park, 4866 Muir Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 18 , 1950

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

FORM 2145

plication Received By By Me Connect City Planning Department
estigation made <u>10-18-50</u> By <u>Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Roy & Jones</u> and City Planning Department P. Q. Burton
nsidered by Zoning Committee 10-18-50 Hearing date
cision Date 10-18-50 Building Inspector 10-20-50
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-19-50</u> Building Inspector <u>10-20-50</u> Petitioner 10-19-50 Health Department <u>10-20-50</u>
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
solution becomes effective
plication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

,

Aneroneyid

1155 C 58

THE REAL PROPERTY OF ANY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8675</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Shipwrights, Boatbuilders and Helpers Local Union No. 1300 to construct a post office building with a 7 ft. 10 in. rear yard, on Lots 1 and 2, Block 7, Hartley's North Park, on the Southeast corner of Grim Ave. and Wightman St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______, 19_50

FORM 2145

By___

DESCRIPTION

Zoning Engineer

Pplication Received By DESouth City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>10-15-50</u> By <u>Adm. Raprell C. Allen, Capt. Ray & Jones</u> and City Planning Department P. Q. Marton
onsidered by Zoning Committee 10-18-50 Hearing date
Decision Decision Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-19-50 Building Inspector 10-20-50
Petitioner 10-19-50 Health Department
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

and the second of the second second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8667</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Good Shepherd Lutheran Church and Reverend R. F.Kibler to construct additions to an existing church building having an 11-1/2 ft. rear yard, the additions to have no sideyard, and resulting in a total coverage of 68%, on Lots 15 through 18, Block 2, Wilshire Pl., 4335 Van Dyke Ave., Zone R-4, according to the plat submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____October 18 ____, 19_50

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	_ By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-18-90</u>	By Adn. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones. an
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-18-9 Decision	Date 10-18-80
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Plan ing Commission Petition	her in the first
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Charles the second

, 12

- St. S. S. S. L. Date

*

a turner

where a rear we want is also care when

The second representation of the second seco

a teccar is a contraction with the provide the public of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8666</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Church of Christ of Pacific Beach to construct a church building with a 15 ft. setback on Jewell St., on a portion of Acre Lot 63, Pacific Beach, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the Northwest corner of Oliver St. and Jewell St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

ace to batoufile

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 18 , 19 50

the second s

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5058

FORM 2145

Application Received By By City Planning Department
Investigation made 10-18-50 By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-18-50</u> Hearing date <u>Date</u> Date <u>10-18-50</u> Date <u>10-18-50</u> Building Inspector <u>10-20-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner <u>10-19-50</u> Health Department <u>10-20-50</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

and the second sec

to the potential of the states and the

.

16

.

and the state of t

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8690</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy F. and Kathryn R. Bleifuss to erect 2 additions to an existing non-conforming building, said existing residence having a 2 ft. 3 in. sideyard, and 1 addition to have a 3 ft. 8 in. sideyard, being portions of Lots 29, 30 and 31, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, Block P, Valle Vista Terrace, 4779 Panorama Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19_50

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5059

Application Received	By D.W. He Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-18-50</u>	By Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date 10-18-50 Building Inspector 10-20-50 ner 10-19-50 Health Department 10-20-50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

37

,

.

the second second

* NY 11215

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8697</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. and E. Blew to erect a bay window on an existing residence, to have a 3 ft. 6 in. sideyard, on a portion of Lot 1, Block 449, Old San Diego, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, 2260 Sunset St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______ , 19_50

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By By City P	Buston lanning Department
Investigation made IU=Lo=30 DV	Burte Burte Burte Burte Burte Allen, Capt. Ray S, Jones, & P. Q. Ianning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-18-50</u> Hearing date Decision Date <u>10-18-50</u>	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-19-50 Building Inspector	10-20-50
Planning Commission Petitioner 10-19-50 Healt Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, d	h Department 10-20-50 ate
Decision of Council Date Date	
Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action	

- 18 BM - ---

4

. .

Investigation of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5639</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Bermission is hereby granted to Mary H. Gross, owner, and Cecil W. Drake, purchaser, to split into two parcels as follows: (1) to maintain existing residence on a parcel of land not less than 10,000 square feet in area; and (2) to erect a new residence on a parcel approximately 28,000 square feet in area with not less than fifty feet of street frontage on Silver Gate Place, on condition that the existing garage on property be removed before final completion of the new residence proposed, said property being a portion of Pueblo Lot 142 according to the legal description on file in the Office of the Planning Department, located at the Northerly end of Silver Gate Place, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 18 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer Sectorexx Res. No. 5061

FORM 2145

and the Chip of the State of the	
Application Received <u>9-28-5°</u>	By D. N: Mc Connell City Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made <u>10-18-50</u>	By <u>Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones</u> , and City Planning Department
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	18-50 Hearing date Date 10-18-50 0-19-50 Building Inspector 10-19-50 Health Department 10-19-50 Health Department 10-20-50 Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action
· ·	
	The second se
1 Dit	
	+7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,1,1,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,
The second se	
	A A
2	
	VAR.
	Kel
2 t	a to reaction and the star as places included
VERCHTE	C
CA. S-	X
DILVERGNITE	
	and a reader and area is able to the peripose of the
	$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$
	· I a chickle

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8650</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Bermission is hereby granted to Margaret G. Correll, to erect a residence and garage with a 15-foot setback on Wilson Avenue, on the Westerly 100 feet of Lots 22to 24, inclusive, of Block 59, in City Heights, located at the northeast corner of Wilson Avenue, and Wightman Street, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisionf of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ October 18 , 19_50

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer XXXXXXXXXX Res. No.5062

Application Received	By <u>A. M. Mc Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-18-50</u>	By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-16-50 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-10-	Hearing date Date Date Building Inspector
Planning Commission Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	er Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Date Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

40.00173

1.38 North 1.8

1

de par dis de la comparación

and the contraction with the product when when

and a state of the state of the second state of the state of the second state of the s

and a set of the set o

The second and the states of note first ore of the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8476</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harvey Lewis to construct a 5-car garage 25'x49'for the storage (including washing and polishing, but no repairing) of funeral cars in connection with the mortuary at 3051 El Cajon Elvd., garage to be one foot from two lot lines, and no rear yard adjacent to alley; portion of area to be used for automobile parking; on condition that the fence now existing on the property be painted a suitable color, and that the fence be permanently maintained on the easterly boundary of the property concerned, and that the fence be not less than 5 feet in height; and that suitable planting be maintained on the easterly fifty feet of the above mentioned property; and the entire area surfaced; said property being the West 50 feet of Lots 23 and 24 and the West 35 feet of Lots 25 and 26, in Block 117 of University Heights, at the rear of 4216 and 4224 Illinois Street, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 18, 1950

By Zoning Engineer Freetrax Res. No. 5063

Dated____

Application Received <u>10-2-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Adm. Barrell C. Allen, Capt. Boy S. Jones. and City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-16-50 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-19-50	Hearing date Date 10-18-50 Building Inspector 10-20-50
Planning Commission Petitioner 1 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	0-19-50 Health Department 10-20-50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The in the state of the set of the set

· 1 31

11 2

one T 2

10-1 1

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8652</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924. as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles H. and Ella May Brown to divide parcel of Pueblo Lot 1120 (per legal description on file in Office of Planning Department) into four parcels with permission to build single family residence on each, according to plot plan on file in the Office of the Planning Department, on condition that the owner of said property grant to the City of San Diego an easement, and right-of-way for street purposes across that portion of their land easement, and right-of-way for street purposes across that portion of their land shown on the plan submitted, and that they grant to the City of San Diego access rights between the proposed access road and Camino del Rio highway, said property being located at the south side of El Camino Rio on the east side of Allens Dairy adjoining, in Zone R-IA.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. page 10

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_

FORM 2145

October 18, 1950

By _____ Zoning Engineer

Secreteryxx

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-18-50</u> By	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, & P. Q. City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-18-50</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-19-50</u>	Date 10-18-50
Planning Commission Petitioner 1 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	0-19-50 Health Department 10-20-50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

,

Del -on K. 0 Party at his Day of the and the second second ALAC IAC 1 AC 1 1. 431 and the statistics pr B Ø ALLEN 3 (4) BROW A 0 BOTTON & MALLER AND TWO ALLER studies and resident station of STATE ATT. U.O. TOP DO LAS AND AND A STATE and the second strengt - been been seen of the second second second second second second second second second s and the second sec ?lu en

6 1

and when a presenting by the same

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8461</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mary Olive and Eric H. Manring to enclose sundecks on an existing duplex which has a 1 foot side yard, on Lots 7 through 10 in Block 5 of La Jolla Strand, located at 243 Playa del Sur, 239 Playa del Sur, and 235 Playa del Sur, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 18 , 1950

Zoning Engineer

XXXXXXXXXXX

FORM 2145

Dated___

Application Received By	
Investigation made <u>10-18-50</u> By	Adm. Burrell G. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and City Planning Department
Considered by Bonning	Hearing date Date 10-18-50 Building Inspector10-20-50
Planning Commission Petitioner 10 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Health Department
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action

0

0000510

and a

DEFE STATE

20 0 00 00 00 1 1 1.

and the strength

L.C.

TOTAL TOTAL

. . . .

- - 1 MA - 2 - 3 - 4 - 3 -

- 13

a fear and a second of the second a second second

the sector of th

I have the state of the state of the state of the state

a plant part of port part and the state of the state of the

and and still have been and a second for the second state of the s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5435</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward P. Silva to build a playroom addition to an existing residence which has a 3 foot side yard and no side yard for the garage, the addition to have no side yard and 4 foot rear yard, on the northerly half of Lots 1 to 4, inclusive, of Block S in Roseville Heights, at the southwest corner of Trumbull and Bangor Streets, in Zone R-1, on condition that no plumbing be installed in the playroom and that the owner of the property sign an agreement to the following effect:

"That no habitable building be erected closer than 10 feet to the existing building on the North Half of said lots; and no auxiliary or accessory building will be erected closer than 5 feet to the existing building on the North Half of said lots."

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby grant ed as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Res. No. 5066

Dated ____

FORM 2145

	Torre but the market the set of
and and the	
Application Received By	D.E. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and P. 9. Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-18-50</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-19-50</u> Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	0-19-50 Health Department 10-20-50
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
AND AND A T	
a charge a charge a second a second	and finite a grow high a spectrum an east
	tree e
	n a d texpera dat diferra and tradea Than 4 and ta tradea Alan 2 and The Tollar to the second
	and the second
a tratta a secondaria	<pre>construction is part of its construction construction is part of its construction construction is constructed its construction construction construction is constructed its construction construction</pre>
CONVERT A	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	the set of the second s
	Not the second s
	out
	and a set of an and the set of the set of the set of the
	and a contracted by all the product for
	- Cr.

.

*

and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5637</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stephen T. and Jeanne T. Bailey, and Gilbert N. and Doris P. Bailey, to divide two parcels of Pueblo Lot 104 (legal description of which is on file in the Office of the Planning Department), into three parcels, with permission to build a single family residence on each, the property facing the 40-foot easement now being acquired for street purposes (West Extension of Silver Gate Place), said property being located at approximately the center of Pueblo Lot 104; subject to the acceptance by the City of San Diego of the 40-foot public street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____

October 18, 19 60

Secretary

Application Received By	City Planning Department Adm. Dayroll C. Allon, Bay D. Jones, & P. Q. Burt
Investigation made 10-18-50 By	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-18-50</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-19-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date Building Inspector Health Department 10-20-00
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

10-

413

×

31

 \bigcirc

4 C

X

loer gale

114

6.3.

Ì

Catol

,

QE

2 2

S

tain.

4 P . 4 .

177

ace

4A

6 5

4

J.

5

8

and has also 24 to particular set to also see provide

A A SOLOLING TO STATE AND DESCRIPTION AND AND

to fine

S a signal trait !!

a m 1 1 6

STRON

- - - E ..

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8681</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lucius S. and Morna E. Rickard to erect a duplex over four garages in the rear where a single family residence exists in front, making a total of 3 living units on the property, with an S'9" access court (for two units) where 10 feet is required, on Lots 31 and 32 of Block 3 in the Resubdivision of Blocks 3, 6, 9, and 12 of City Heights Annex No. 1, located at 4030 -45th Street in Zone R-4; on condition that a portion of the utility building be removed to a point not less than three feet away from the proposed duplex and garage if the adjacent wall of the utility building is stucce, or six feet or more away if it is not.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Zoning Engineer Successive

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received B	DE. Jost	
Application Received D	City Planning Department Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Ray S. Jones, & P.	Q. Bur
Investigation made <u>10-15-50</u> B	y City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-18-50 Decision	Hearing date Date 10-18-50	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-19-50 Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date	
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

a fine of a contract of the traction of the terminal of the

and a state the monthly of a state the con-

and the second section and the second second second

to the second of all second to the

state state states and a second

HETETTIC WILL DO NO. .

erre e la company a

TRANSFE TRANSFE

,

100 m 61 - 10 16-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8679</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph B. Lukehart to move in a building to be converted to a 4-unit apartment, with a 12 foot setback, where the block is more than 40% built up and the average of the block is 22^{4} , on Lots 13, 14 and 9 feet of Lot 15 in Block 196 of University Heights Addition, located at the east side of Florida Street approximately 250 feet north of University Avenue, in Zone R-4, on condition that a surfaced off-street parking area for 5 cars be provided adjacent to the alley, and permanently maintained; and that an agreement be signed by the owner that all of lots 13 and 14 and the Northerly 9 feet of Lot 15 of Block 196 University Heights Addition, will be held in one ownership and not divided, but used only as a part of the property herein described, and never sold separately.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

AGG 692

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ October 18 , 1950-

Zoning Engineer Xichter

Res. No. 5069

Application Received By By City Planning Department Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Ray S. Jones, & P. Q. Bur	to
Investigation made By City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-18-50 Hearing date Decision Date 10-18-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-19-50 Building Inspector 10-20-50 Planning Commission Petitioner 10-19-50 Health Department Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action	
Time limit extended to Date of action	
<pre>// * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *</pre>	
<pre>to be a set of the set of th</pre>	

,

61.

Beer and State

The second second the part of the second second second

A THE REAL PROPERTY AND A THE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u><u>\$650</u></u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph B. Lukehart to move in two buildings to be converted to provide six living units, on unit to be served by a four-foot access court, and the remaining units to be served by a 10 foot access court, on Lots 13, 14, and 9 feet of Lot 15, in Block 196 of University Heights Addition, located at the east side of Florida Street approximately 250 feet north of University Avenue, in Zone R-4; on condition that a surfaced off-street parking area for five cars adjacent to the alley be provided and permanently maintained; and that an agreement be signed by the owner that all of Lots 13 and 14 and the northerly nine feet of Lot 15 of Block 196 of University Heights Addition will be held in one ownership and not divided, but used only as a part of this property herein described and never sold separately.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

IV ST SEV

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ October 18 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer Sector

Res. No. 5070

Investigation made 10-18-50 By	Allen, Ray S. Jones, & P. Q. Burt
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-18-50</u> Hearing date	
Decision Date 10-18-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-19-50 Building Inspector	10-20-50
Planning Commission Petitioner 10-19-50 Health Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, da	te
Decision of Council Date Date	
Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action	

1.1.2

Gar rity -

N. 1899 . R. B.

sic .

*

el la contra de la c

GC PL C C

S. A. 2 S.143

an ere i ol an el completer en la la completer en la

TO A REPORT OF A REPORT

And the The showing the first first the

The state of the second s

The second second second second second points of the second s

the second second

Des 14

A THE TRUCK TO A THE TRUCK THE TAX

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8656</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Werner W. Duemling, M.D., and John C. Craddock, D.D.S., to operate a prescription pharmacy for patients and doctors only, located within a medical clinic building now under construction and alteration, on Lots A and B of Block 287 in Horton's Addition, at 2561 First Avenue, in Zone R-4; on condition that the outside door on Maple Street be removed and the wall permanently closed, and that no signs are to be erected on the outside of the building referring to the pharmacy.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12967 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ October 18 , 19 50

Zoning Engineer

Secreterva

Res. No. 5071

Sector States	* 1 mil		
Application Received	10-11	- 25° B	By <u>E. C. Van Phre</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made	10-18-50	B	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones 6 P. C. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Decision Copy of Resolution sen Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Decision of Council	nt to City Cl Clerk, date ₋	erk <u>10–19–50</u> Petitioner	10-19-50 Health Department 10-20-50
Resolution becomes eff Application withdrawn Time limit extended to			Continued to Date of action

x trup

T 1 1 1 7 . 5 . 24 .

1

.

an ' na

S. Same

. .

1 1 Y 1

and the second second

and the second second

Taton, it is both to y and , it a form

The second s

- The second of the second second

19/0* CO.15

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8684</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gus N. Wallace to construct a 16-unit apartment building with a 10 foot rear yard on Lots 17 and 18 in Block 15 of La Jolla Park, at the corner of La Jolla Boulevard and Ravina, in Zone R-4; on condition that no buildings are to be erected on the City Easement across the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ October 15 , 150-

Zoning Engineer Transit

Res. No. 5072

		26.3	and the second second
1 30 j 2, 10	-1 - ¹⁰ -		hs P-+1
Application Received .	10-11-50	By	DC. Sould
			City Planning Department
Investigation made	10-18-50	By	m. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones & P. Q. Burten
			City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee <u>10-18</u>	- <u>50</u> Hea	ring date
Decision		Date	
Copy of Resolution ser Planning Commission	Petit	ioner 10-	ding Inspector <u>10-20-50</u> 19-50 Health Department 10-20-50
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date	Cou	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date	
Resolution becomes ef		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to			tinued to
Time limit extended to	J	Dati	
And Barrist and Andrews		Charles 1	The second s
	1. 1		
10 302 STELLS		al possible and	and the second second second second second second
NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY	0 900 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		a fill a second and the second second second
N. N		Laure -	
rpo		1.1	A TU DED · · · · · · · ·
ALM PORT STATE			and the second of the second
11 Jay 6 1 .			and the second
		the second part of the	and how the literation of the
State State And And	142 - 122 - 10 ²¹	u s haven	A AUTOF IS A F IN TOOM IS 46
11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Corp. 1 Corp. N		a Cura . Walloos Survey truck a lowedt
			a competite of the tris of on mater
			and the second
		5.9 *	and the state of t
			the president of the second second second
			the second particular the busides of sample and
			the second of the You's Constrained
			2013

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8682</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marie Brenner to erect a single family residence on the rear of Lot 11, in Block 58 of Roseville Subdivision, at 3035 Garrison Street, in Zone R-4, where duplex exists, making a total of 3 units, one unit to be served by a 9'8" access court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above; and

Permission is hereby DENIED to Marie Brenner to erect a single family residence with a five foot rear yard on the rear of Lot 11, in ^Block 58 of Roseville Subdivision, at 3035 Garrison Street, in Zone R-4, where duplex exists, making a total of 3 units.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, SA, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

n ind	0-1-1-0-0	, 19 ₅₀
Dated	October 18	,

Zoning Engineer Secretery

Res. No. 5073

Application Received B	y <u>E.C.</u> Van Hise City Planning Department
Investigation made10-18-50 B	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Capt. Ray S. Jones, and y City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-18-50	Hearing date
Decision	Date 10-18-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-19-50	Building Inspector <u>10-20-50</u>
Planning Commission Petitioner	10–19–50 Health Department 10–20–50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
a Part in the	

THE ALL AND A Re Weining Lat

apple and the second

,

a grad a start of the start of

and the state of the

A LINE AND A LINE CONTRACTOR AND A LINE AND A

i to sol i to a cuise, the said both coursel have

a set in the second of the sound be the second little f and the second sec

a set where he departs in the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8714</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard H. and Beatrice B. Nicholson, to split out parcel 90' x 100' and to build a single family residence thereon, said property being the Southerly 90 feet of the Westerly 100 feet of Lot A, in Block 3 of Magnolia Park, located at the easterly side of Albion Street, 110 feet south of Dudley Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

XXXXXXXXX

October 26 ' 1950

Dated _____

Res. No. 5074

Application Received 10- 23-50 By D. L. South City Planning Department
my John Buchel, Of Bento
nvestigation made By <u>Alm Burull</u> <u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 10- 25-50 Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-26-50 Building Inspector 10-27-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-26-50 Building Inspector 10-27-50
Planning Commission Petitioner 16. 26. 50 Health Department 10. 27. 50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Fime limit extended to Date of action

Charles and a set

structure is not which there a notificant the The management of the second state and the second second second second second second second second second second

and the second and they are presed in the crash

the second second

stillade and the state of the s

1

,

1. 1 11

RESOLUTION NO. 5075

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8684</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 5072, dated October 18, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gus N. Wallace to construct a 16-unit apartment building with a 10-foot rear yard on Lots 17 and 18 in Block 15 of La Jolla Park, except the Northwesterly 50 feet thereof, at the corner of La Jolla Boulevard and Ravina, in Zone R-4; on condition that no buildings are to be erected on the City Easement across the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19_50 FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 5075

Application Received	By	D. E South City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-18-50</u>	Ву	City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-27-	50 r /	erza-so Health Department 10-27-50
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		Continued to Date of action

· . .

,

and the seat have the for the collection that the

The start thep is the

a state for hereig

where the first strates have a second part of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8743</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. H. Barber, Jr., and Martha Barber to erect an 8 ft. high fence along the Easterly side lot line, not to extend in front of the setback line, to be 80 ft. in length, 2 ft. of lattice on top of a 6 ft. solid board fence, on Lots 4 and 5 and the East 10 ft. of Lot 6, Block 1, La Jolla Beach, 450 Marine St., Zones R-1 and R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated November 1, 1950

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application Received	By McConnell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u>	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster, By John Birkel, P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-1-50</u> Decision <i>Computed</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-2-56</u> Planning Commission Petitione Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	r 11=2=50 Health Department 11=3=50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

1. 1. 2. 4. 1

RESOLUTION NO. 5077

Letter dated October 16, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

An extension of 6 months from the expiration dated of Resolution No. 4714, dated May 31, 1950, is hereby granted to Joseph E. Dryer to erect a single family residence with a 5 ft. rear yard, on the Southwesterly 75 ft.of Lot 4, Block 501, Old San Diego, on the Northerly corner of Pine St. and Ampudia St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 and No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ŻONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated November 1, 150

Zoning Engineer

By_

X Secretery

Res. No. 5077

Application Received By By Barry City Planning Department
() soft - summing - spectrum out
Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster,
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u> By John Birkel, and P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-1-50</u> Hearing date
Decision Composed Date 11-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-2-50 Building Inspector 11-3-50
Copy of Recolution sent to City Clerk 11-2-50 Building Inspector 11-3-50
Plan : Commission Petitioner 11-2=50 Health Department 11-3-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8708</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Conselho Evangelic Portuguese Full Gospel Tabernacle to erect a Sunday School building in connection with the existing church building, with a 7 ft. rear yard, on Lot 1, Block 29, Roseville, 2848 Carleton St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 1, 1950

Secretary

PORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By

Res. No. 5078

Application Received	By Me Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>John Birtel</u> on P. Barton V. Lancaster, City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date 114-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission Petitione	r Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

I are restatively between particular and watch

.

3

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8695</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John M. and Mary Tellep to erect an addition to a residence, which will connect residence with garage, said garage having a 1 ft. 8 in. rear yard and a 3 ft. side yard, on Lots 2, 3, 4 and 5, except the Northerly 60 ft. thereof and except the street, Block 2, Ocean Villa Tract, 5153 Mission Blvd., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated November 1, 150

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5079

Application Received	By <u>E.C. Van Hae</u> City Planning Department
	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster, By John Birkel, P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-1-50</u>	_ Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-2-50	Date 11-1-50 Duilding Inspector
Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	11-2-50 Health Department 11-3-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Dote
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

13 1

ションジャージは合いないという。 つうみ

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8712</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louise Marris, to cut out portion of the southerly 90 feet of the Easterly 333 feet of Lot 18 of Horton's Purchase, at 47th and A Streets, in Zone R-1, and to divide into three building sites, on condition that the owner grant to the City of San Diego easements for street purposes as follows: Ten feet of her land to widen 47th Street, 20 feet of her land to widen A Street, and that any building constructed on this land be kept a minimum of 45 feet from the west property line, and that each resulting parcel of land after division be not less than 5000 square feet in area.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 2 , 1950

Zoning Engineer x xxxxxx

Application Received H	By City Planning Department
	Adm. Rurrell G. Allen, Milton M. Lanoaster.
Investigation made H	By John Mattel, P. Q. Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Concel approve Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date Building Inspector Health Department Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	_ Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

second in the second franks the second second as a second .

Construction of the second s

r . t -

and the second second of the second as a solution of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8715</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to A. J. McCartney to construct a duplex with a 10 ft. setback from Sutter St., on the South 70 ft. of the West 40 ft. of Lot 6, Block 1, Marine View, on the Northwest corner of the intersection of Sutter St. and Ibis St., Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 1, 1950

TORM 2145

Secretery

Zoning Engineer

Application Received E	By Me Connell, City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u> E	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster, By John Birkel P. O. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-1-50</u> Decision <i>flexied</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-2-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	11-2-50 Health Department 11-2 50
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

A set a s

the same brought program prover Land and read

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8713</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fritz and Mary E. Zapf to erect a concrete block wall along the rear and sides, near the rear property line, to be a maximum of 6 ft. free-standing wall on top of a maximum of 3 ft. retaining wall, on Lot 199, Block 9, Crown Point, 3516 Bayonne St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the tixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 1, 19_50

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 5082

Zoning Engineer

Application Received $10 - 24 - 50$ B	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u> B	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster, John Birkel, P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-1-50</u> Decision Commission Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-2-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	11-2-50 Health Department 11-3-50
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8717</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur and Adelaide Walker to construct 2 stores, to be attached to the present shop and residence, with a 3 ft. 6 in. sideyard on the North side, and no sideyard on the South side of Lot 16 and the North 15 ft. of Lot 17, Block 1, Center Addition to La Jolla Park, 7511 Girard St., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the tixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated _____ November 1 , 19_50

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5083

neer

Application Received $10 - 24 - 50$ B	y E. C. Van Hese City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u> B	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster, y John Birkel, P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-1-50</u> Decision <i>Approval</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-2-50</u>	Hearing date Date 11-1-50 Building Inspector11-3-50
Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

Negative .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8710</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee i the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward H. and Margaret C. Blair to erect a second residence on the Southerly 90 ft. of Lots 10 through 13, and the Westerly 10 ft. of Albert St. closed adjacent, making the third living unit on the entire Lots 10 through 13, Block 231, University Heights, 3642 Albert St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______, 1950 FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5084

Application Received 10_24-50	By <u>E.C. Van Acce</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u>	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster, By John Birkel, P. O. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-1-50</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-2-5</u> Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	er 11-2-50 Health Department 11-3-50
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Date
Time limit extended to	Date of action

* 5 K - 65*

million and

persident the sum starspeet rate.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8699</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. E. and Anna K. Meyer, to erect two apartments over garage, making a total of five living units, with a seven foot access court on the south side of the property, the garage at the rear to have three-foot side yards, according to the plan submitted to the Office of the Planning Department, said property being Lots 37 and 38, of Block 75, in University Heights Subdivision, at 4472 Alabama St., in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ November 1, 1950_

Zoning Engineer XXerxmarxx

Res. No. 5085

FORM 2145

Application Received $(-73-50)$ H	By City Planning Department
Investigation made 11=1=50 H	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster, By John Birkel P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 11-1-50
Decision approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-2-50	Building Inspector <u>11-3-50</u>
Planning Commission Petitioner	11-2-50 Health Department 11-3-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

States a construction

The second s

a property of the state product p

122 - C - C - C - C - C - C - C

and and the second spectrum in the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8703</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to R. C. MacFarlane, owner, and H. R. Wilkinson, Jr., purchaser, to construct three living units, one to be above a 3-car garage, on Lot 3, Block 13, South Park Addition, on the East side of Dale St., approximately 100 ft. South of Cedar St., Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby <u>DENIED</u> as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November] , 1950

ORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u>	Adm. Burroll C. Allen, Miltoh W. Lancaster, By John Birkel, P. O. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-1-50	Hearing date
Decision flemed	Date 11-1-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission Petition	er 11-2-50 Health Department 11-3-30
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

.

RESOLUTION NO. 5087 - see 4624 - 5484

Letter dated October 20, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, a Lifornia, as follows:

An extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4624, dated April 19, 1950, is hereby granted to Garrettson Estate, owner, and T. R. Tanner, purchaser, to erect and operate a Drive-In Theatre, 1,000 car capacity, to be partially in the M-1 Zone and R-4 Zone, on Lots 21 through 28, Block 265, and on Lots 25 through 48, Block 264, between Main St. and Cottonwood St., and between Thor St. and Rigel St., Bergin's Addition, on the following condition:

That the drainage situation on the property be solved to the satisfaction of the City Engineer, and that plans for any drainage structures involved be approved by the City Engineer before commencement of any construction.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>November 1</u>, 1950-

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	By M. Barry
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made 11-1-50	Adm. Burrell G. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster, By John Birkel, P. O. Burton
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-1-90 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Hearing date
Decision approval	Date 11-1-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission Petitione	er lealth Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

" I wanted a strategy and a strategy and the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8724</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**NOU**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold C. and Dorothy L. Major to convert an existing garage in the rear to living quarters (bedroom and bath) with an addition of 4 ft. thereto, resulting in a 16 ft. rear yard, on Lot 4 and the Easterly 19.9 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block A, Resubdivision of a Portion of Bird Rock Cityby-the-Sea, 322 Bird Rock Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated <u>November 1</u>, ¹⁹50 DRM 2145 By___

Secretery

Res. No. 5088

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 10-26-50	By C. Q. Buston
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u>	City Planning Department Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Miltoh W. Lancaster, By John Birkel, P. O. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-1-50</u> Decision Committee <u>11-1-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-2-50</u>	Hearing date Date 11-1-50 Building Inspector 11-3-55
Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

REPORTED FOR

54 . 36 M - 1 10

.

÷

RESOLUTION NO. 5089 See 100195 following

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8729</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Chris A. Cosgrove to construct a residence with a 14 ft. setback on Lot 12, Cosgrove Mesa, 5144 Tipton St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Appealed Hearing 11-14-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated <u>November 1</u>, 19<u>50</u> NORM 2145

alkan ar

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	By Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u>	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster, ByJhn Birkel P. C. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-1-50	Hearing date
Decision Clement Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-2-	Date 11-1-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-2-	50 Building Inspector 11-3-50
Planning Commission Petition	er11-2-50 Health Department 11-3-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Chris A Cosgrove, 6197 University Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5089, application No. 7829, permission to construct a residence with a 14 ft. setback on Lot 12, Cosgrove Mesa, 5144 Tipton St., in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

appeal feld

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 100195 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Nov 14, 1950

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal the decision of the 2 application No. 7829, setback on Lot 12, Co is hereby sustained, ruled.

helper off

Page is intentionally left blank.



nue, from ion <u>No. 5089,</u> a 14 ft. , be, and it eby over-

. 10.

City of San Diego

المعلم المعلمين لمعلمين المعلمين ال لمان المعلمين الم

RESOLUTION NO. 5090 see 100194 following

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8730</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Chris A. Cosgrove to construct a residence with a 13 ft. setback on Lot 13, Cosgrove Mesa, 5150 Tipton St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Appealed Hearing 11-14-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated <u>November 1</u>, ¹⁹ 50

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 7 - 50	By By Connell
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u>	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster, By John Birkel, and P. C. Supton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Llenier Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date 114-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission Petition	er 11-2-50 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

States and the second second

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Chris A. Cosgrove, 6197 University Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5090, application No. 8730, permission to construct a residence with a 13 ft. setback on Lot 13, Cosgrove Mesa, 5150 Tipton St., on Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

	Fred W. Sick	
By	Helen M. Willig	City Clerk.
Dy		Deputy.

appeal filed 11-6-50

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal decision of the Zoni: plication No. 8730, back on Lot 13, Cosg hereby sustained, an

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

enue, from the . No. 5000, apa 13 ft. setbe, and it is

المعنى المعن المعنى المعن المعنى المعن

Fred W. Sick City Clerk. By. By.

RESOLUTION NO. 5091 see 100191 following

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8731</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Peder Nilson Oklevik to construct a residence with a 12 ft. setback on Lot 14, Cosgrove Mesa, 5156 Tipton St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Appealed Hearing 11-14-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

November 1 . 190-DRM 2145 By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 5091

Application Received <u>10- 27-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster, John Birtel, P. O. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-1-50</u> Decision <i>Clement</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-2-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	11-2-50 Health Department 11-3-50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Peder Nilson Oklevik, 4764 College Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5091, application No. 8731, permission to construct a residence with a 12 ft. setback on Lot 14, Cosgrove Mesa, 5156 Tipton Street, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

appentiled 11-6-50

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 100191 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Nov. 14, 1950

	Fred W. Sick
By	City Clerk. Helen M. Willig
-,	Deputy

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appent the decision of the application No. 875 setback on Lot 14, it is hereby sustai ruled.

hedef - offer

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

renue, from ution No. 5091, th a 12 ft. > R-1, be, and s hereby over-

I perchy certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 100191 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Nov. 14, 1950

Fred W. Sick City Clerk. Helen M. Willig By..... WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8732</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>necessary</u> for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Chris A. Cosgrove to construct a residence with an 11 ft. setback on Lot 15, Cosgrove Mesa, 5162 Tipton St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Appealed Hearing 11-14-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

ated November 1, 1950

By___

ORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>10-27-50</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u> By	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lencaster, John Birkel, and P. O. Barton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-1-50	Hearing date
Decision Denier Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _11-2-50	Date 114-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _11-2-50	Building Inspector 11-3-50
Planning Commission Petitioner 1	1-2-50 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

A Martin Contraction of the second second

and the second state of the second state of the

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Chris A. Cosgrove, 6197 University Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5092, application No. 8732, permission to construct a residence with an 11 ft. setback on Lot 15, Cosgrove Mesa, 5162 Tipton Street, in zone R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

> Ered W. Sick City Clerk By. Helen M. Willig Deputy.

approx too

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Chris A. Cosgrove, 6197 University Avenue, from

the decision of the application No. 8732 setback on Lot 15. C it is hereby sustain ruled.

Page is intentionally left blank.



ation No. 5092, th an 11 ft. FR-1, be, and thereby over-

City of San Diego

50FH FORM 1270

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8733</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Orion Hammett to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback on Lot 16, Cosgrove Mesa, 5168 Tipton St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed Hearing 11-14-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal i filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

ated November 1 , 19_50

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5093

ORM 2145

Application Received 10 - 27.50 By	City Planning Department
	Adn. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster, City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision //enced Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date Building Inspector Health Department Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Orion Hammett, 3564 Quimby Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5093, application No. 8733, permission to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback on Lot 16, Cosgrove Mesa, 5168 Tipton Street, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overrubed.

appeal filed 11-6-50

Ered W. Sick City Clerk. Helen M. Willig Deputy.

102 1 3

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal o: of the Zoning Committee No. 8733, permission to Cosgrove Mesa, 5168 Tiand said Zoning Commit-

-)-11 had of something

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

the decision

application

= 1 1/5"

back on Lot 16, lereby sustained.

المعنى المعن المعنى المعن المعنى المعن

Ered W. Sick City Clerk. Helen M. Willig By.

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 5094 See 100192 following

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8734</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Chris A. Cosgrove to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback on Lot 17, Cosgrove Mesa, 5174 Tipton St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Appealed Hearing 11-14-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal if filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ŻONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated <u>November 1</u>, 1950-ORM 2145 Ву____

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 5094

Application Received 10 - 27 - 50 By	Wa. Mc Convell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u> By	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster, John Birkel and P. C. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Domient	Date 114-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-2-50	Building Inspector 11-3-50
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11=2=50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner 1 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	1-2-50 Health Department 11-3-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

×

in the second second

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Chris A. Cosgrove, 6197 University Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5094, application No. 8734, permission to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback on Lot 17, Cosgrove Mesa, 5174 Tipton Street, in Zone R-1, bez, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 100192 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Nov. 14, 1950

	Fred W. Sick
Ву	City Clerk. Helen M. Willig
<i>Б</i> у	Deputy

appeal feleral 11-6-50

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Chris A. Cosgrove, 6197 University Avenue, from the Page is intentionally left blank. decision of the Zoning application No. 8734, setback on Lot 17, Cos and it is hereby susta . hefurievo

No. 5094, a 10 ft. R-l. bez. is hereby

1 18

City of San Diego

I perefig rertify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 100192 Fred W. Sick City Clerk. Helen M. Willig Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8735</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Bryan S. Brown to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback on Lot 18, Cosgrove Mesa, 5206 Tipton St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Appealed Hearing 11-14-50

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

ated_____November 1 , 19 50

Secreterk

Zoning Engineer

ORM 2145

Application Received E	By Mit Connell,
,-11	City Planning Department
	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster,
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u> E	Sy John Birkel, P. Q. Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-1-50	Hearing date
Decision Werned Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-2-50	Date 11-1-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-2-50	Building Inspector 11-3-50
Planning Commission Petitioner	11-2-50 Health Department 11-3-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

1

÷ • • •

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Bryan S. Brown, 4345 Arizona Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5095, application No. 8735, permission to construct a residence with a 10 ft. setback on Lot 18, Cosgrove Mesa, 5206 Tipton Street, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

appro feed

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 100189 he Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council ... Nov. 14, 1950

	Fred W. Sick	
	Helen M. Willig	City Clerk.
Ву		Deputy.

BE +T RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appea decision of the Zon application No: 873 setback on Lot 18, and it is hereby su cverruled.

to the the

Page is intentionally left blank.



City of San Diego

from the m No. 5095, th a 10 ft. ie R-1, be, ion is hereby

I litereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 100189 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council ... Nov. 14, 1950

Fred W. Sick City Clerk. Helen M. Willig By. WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8696</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**NOt**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**NOt**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. D. and Ethel Arnold to divide into two parcels approximately 62-1/2 ft. wide each, and build a single family residence on each, being Lot 18, Block 1, Arnold's Park, on the North side of Fir St., just East of Brookline St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal 5 filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated November 15, 1950

FORM 2145

By_

Reference

Res. No. 5096

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 10- 27-52	By Barry City Planning Department
Integra	By Marten Bay S. Jones & City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date Building Inspector r Health Department Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8720</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Will D. and Crystal K. Rudd to construct a single family residence with no side yard on the north line of a portion of Lot 1, Block 32, University Heights, and that portion of the South Half of Golden Gate Drive closed by Resolution No. 17806 and No. 33460, as shown on the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, being on the Northeast corner of Massachusetts Street and Golden Gate Drive, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the the day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

November 1 19 50

ORM 2145

ated_

Zoning Engineer XXXXXXX

Application Received	
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u> Considered by Zoning Committee 11-1-50 Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lencaste City Planning Department Hearing date	
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-1-50 Hearing date	
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-1-50 Hearing date	er,
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-1-50 Hearing date	
Decision Coprovel Date 11-1-50	
Decision Comme Date 11-1-50 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-2-50 Building Inspector 11-3-50	
Planning Commission Petitioner 11-2-50 Health Department	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

The stand which a set of the set of the

MICH IN THE

2012/2012 2023

The Course Star

A state 1 and 1 an

125

(1) A set of the se

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Will D. and Crystal K. Rudd, to construct a single family residence with a 5 foot setback, on a portion of Lot 1, Block 32, University Heights, and that portion of the South Half of Golden Gate Drive closed by Resolution No. 17806 and Resolution No. 33460, as shown on the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, being on the northeast corner of Massachusetts Street, and Golden Gate Drive, in Zone R-1, on the following condition:

That the building is not closer to the northerly extension of the east line of Massachusetts Street south of Golden Gate Drive, than the existing house south of Golden Gate Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

Zoning Engineer

Constart, ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

A THE PLACE IS A MAN WITCHING THE

November 1 , 19 50)ated___

ORM 2145

Res. No. 5098

Application Received 10-23-50 H	By <u>EC. Van Hae</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u> I	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Milton W. Lancaster, ByJhn Birkel P. G. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-1-50</u> Decision Could Approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-2-50</u>	Date 11-1-50
Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	11-2-50 Health Department 11-2-50
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

1 5 X- 11-11

April 1997 and the second s

start i se ret marks in the second distriction

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>\$706</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Max Maisel to construct a 14' x 20' addition to an existing garage building with a 14 foot rear yard, and a sundeck on the roof of the new addition, on Lot 4 of Los Ranchitos, at 3532 Lowell Way, in Zone R-1, subject to an agreement being signed and recorded by the owner that the building would be used only by members of the family, or for servants quarters in connection with this single family residence, and not rented to tenants, and that there be no kitchen or cooking facilities in the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

aprest 69 100 port

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated _____ November 1, 1950

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5099

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>10-24-50</u> B Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u> B	y <u>E.C.</u> Um Hoe City Planning Department Adm. Burroll G. Allen, Milton T. Lancaster, y John Birkol, P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-1-50</u> Decision Confl Committee <u>11-1-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-2-50</u> Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date Building Inspector <u>11-3-50</u> Health Department <u>11-3-50</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Contraction of the set .

hat .

,

The second state of the second state and the second state and the

1 Para Santa

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8905</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry E. and Winnie D. Hodges, owners, and Loyd A. Carson, Jr., purchaser, to erect a residence with a seven-foot setback on San Jacinta Drive, and a fifteen-foot setback on Santa Margarita Street, on the northerly portion of Block 12, Las Alturas No. 4, fronting approximately 96 feet on San Jacinta Drive and approximately 65 feet on Santa Margarita Street, according to legal description on file in the Office of the Planning Department, located at the southwesterly corner of San Jacinto Drive and Santa Margarita Street, in Zone R-4, on condition that a surveyor's plat of the lot be submitted before the building permit is issued.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

November 1 , 19 50

Dated _____

5

Zoning Engineer States

Res. No. 5100

Application Received 10-24 -50 B	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Investigation made <u>11-1-50</u> B	Adm. Burrell C. Allen, Wilton W. Lancaster, John Birkel, P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Coull approval Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date Building Inspector Health Department Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a fine the start of the start

End of book.



City of San Diego